A DIGEST OF INDIAN LAW CASES;

CONTAINING

HIGH COURT REPORTS, 1862-1886.

AND

PRIVY COUNCIL REPORTS OF APPEALS FROM INDIA, 1836-1886,

WITH AN INDEX OF CASES.

COMPILED UNDER THE ORDERS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

BY

JOSEPH VERE WOODMAN,
OF THE MIDDLE TEMPLE, BARRISTER-AT-LAW, AND ADVOCATE OF THE HIGH COURT, CALCUTIA.

IN FIVE VOLUMES.

VOLUME V. T-Z, AND INDEX OF CASES.

CALCUTTA:

PRINTED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT OF GOVERNMENT PRINTING, INDIA.

CONTENTS.

нісн	COURT,	CALC	UTI	'A, 1	862 —1	1886		•	•		•	•		•	•	•	PAG iv
HIGH (COURT,	MAD	RAS,	1862	2—188	86			•			•	•	•	•	•	vii
HIGH	COURT,	вомі	BAY,	1862	2—188	16			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	viii
HIGH (COURT,	NORT	rH-V	EST	ERN	PRO	VINC	ES, 1	.866—	1886	•	•		•			ix
MEMBI	ERS OF	JUDI	CIAI	CO	MMIT	TEE	of I	RIV	Y CO	UNCI	L, 18	3 6—1	886	•	•	•	x
TABLE	of He	ADIN	GS,	SUB-	HEAI)ING	S, AN	D CI	Ross.	REFI	EREN	CES		•	•		xii
CORRI	GENDA		•	• .	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	xv
DIGEST	r of ca	SES .		•	••			•		•				•	•		5987
TABLE	OF CAS	ES II	ı Di	GEST	7	_			_								

HIGH COURT, CALCUTTA, 1862—1886

CHIEF JUSTICES.

Honourable SIR BARNES PEACOCK, KT.

- SIR RICHARD COUCH, KT.
- SIR RICHARD GARTH, KT. **
- SIR W. COMER PETHERAM, KT.

JUDGES.

Honourable SIR CHARLES R. M. JACKSON, KT. SIR MORDAUNT L. WELLS, KT.

- H. T. RAIKES. C. B. TREVOR. ••
- •>
- G. LOCH. H. V. BAYLEY. C. STEER. ,,
- ,,
- J. P. NORMAN. ,,
 - W. MORGAN.
- ٠, F. B. KEMP. "
- W. S. SETON-KARR. "
- L. S. JACKSON, C.I.E. >>
- EDWARD DE LATOUR (>>
- G. CAMPBELL. ,,
- SUMBHOONATH PUNDIT. . >>
- E. P. LEVINGE. ,,
- A. A. Roberts (Offg.). >>
- >>
- >>
- ••
- H. MILLS, Q.C.
 E. JACKSON.
 R. J. SCOTT.
 A. T. T. PETERSON.
 J. B. PHEAR. >>
- >>
- A. G. MACPHERSON. >>
- W. MARKBY. ,,
- DWARKANATH MITTER. >>
- F. A. B. GLOVER. >>
- SIR C. P. HOBHOUSE, BART. ,,
 - G. C. PAUL (Offg.).
- ONOCOOL CHUNDER MOOKERJEE. **,**,
- C PONTIFEX. >> W. AINSLIE.

•

,,

- ,,
- E. G. BIRCH. G. G. MORRIS. >> >>
- ROMESH CHUNDER MITTER. >>
- J. S. WHITE. 12
- H. S. CUNNINGHAM. **
- W. F. McDonell. H. B. Lawford. H. T. Prinsep. **
- >>
- >> J. PITT-KENNEDY
- >> A. WILSON. ».
 - L. R. TOTTENHAM.
- L. P. D. BROUGHTON (Offg.).

JUDGES-continued.

Honourable A. T. MACLEAN.

- C. D. FIELD.
- J. F. NORRIS. >>
- J. Q. PIGOT. J. O'KINEALY. ,,
- 22 "
- 33
- M. L. Bose (Offg.).
 W. Macpheeson.
 C. J. Wilkinson (Offg.).
 E. J. Teevelyan.
 Chunder Madhub Ghose. ,,
- "
- H. BEVERLEY. " ,,
- W. F. AGNEW (Offg.). J. P. GRANT (Offg.). ,,
- G. E. PORTER (Offg.).

ADVOCATES GENERAL.

Honourable T. H. Cowie.

- J. GRAHAM.
- G. C. PAUL, C.I.E.

STANDING COUNSEL.

- J. GRAHAM.
- H. A. EGLINTON.
- J. PITT-KENNEDY.
- C. J. WILKINSON.
- A. PHILLIPS (Offg. A. G.).
 J. D. BELL (Offg. A. G.).
- W. C. Bonneejee (Offg.).

HIGH COURT, MADRAS, 1862—1886.

CHIEF JUSTICES.

Honourable SIR COLLEY HARMAN SCOTLAND, KT.

SIR WALTER MORGAN, KT.

SIR CHARLES A. TURNER, KT., C.I.E. ,,

ARTHUR J. H. COLLINS, KT.

· JUDGES.

Honourable SIR ADAM BITTLESTON.

T. L. STRANGE.

,,

,,

H. D. PHILLIPS. H. FEBEE. W. HOLLOWAY.

L. C. INNES.

C. COLLETT.

G. H. Ellis (Offg.).

D. F. CARMICHAEL (Offg.).

J. KERNAN.

J. R. KINDERSLEY.

L. Forbes. "

MUTTUSAMI AYYAR, C.I.E. .,

T. M. Busteed (Offg.). "

H. J. TARRANT (Offg.).

, ,, P. P. HUTCHINS. "

F. BRANDT. >>

G. A. PARKER (Offg.). "

J. W. HANDLEY (Offg.).

ADVOCATES GENERAL.

Honourable T. SYDNEY SMYTH.

J. B. NORTON. ,,

J. D. MAYNE. "

H. S. CUNNINGHAM. 22

P. O'SULLIVAN.

H. H. SHEPHARD (Offg.).

HIGH COURT, BOMBAY, 1862—1886.

CHIEF JUSTICES.

Honourable SIR MATHEW R. SAUSSE, KT.

- SIR RICHARD COUCH, KT.
- SIR MICHAEL R. WESTROPP, KT. ,,
- SIR CHARLES SARGENT, KT. ,,

JUDGES.

Honourable SIR JOSEPH ARNOULD, KT.

- H. HEBBERT.
- A. K. FORBES. . >>
 - C. J. ERSKINE.
- R. COUCH. ,,

,,

- H. NEWTON. ,,
- M. R. WESTROPP. ,,
 - H. P. TUCKER.
 - A. B. WARDEN.
 - JAMES HORE (Offg.).
 - JANARDHAN VASUDEVJEE.
 - T. C. ANSTRY
 - J. GIBBS.
 - SIR C. SARGENT, KT.
 - L. H. BAYLEY.
 - F. LLOYD.
 - M. MELVILL.
 - C. G. KEMBALL.
 - J. P. GREEN.
 - R. WEST.
 - R. H. PINHEY.
 - J. MARBIOTT (Offg.).
 - NANABHAI HARIDAS.
 - BARON DE H. LABPENT (Offg.).
 - G. ATKINSON (Offg.).
 F. D. MELVILL (Offg.).
 F. L. LATHAM (Offg.).
 J. SCOTT.

 - H. M. BIRDWOOD.
 - W. E. HART (Offg.).
 - SIR W. WEDDERBURN, BART. (Offg.).
 - J. JARDINE.
 - C. F. FARBAN (Offg.).

ADVOCATES GENERAL.

Honourable A. J. Lewis.

,,

- L. H. BAYLEY.
- J. S. WHITE.
- C. J. MAYHEW (Offg.).
- A. R. SCOBLE.
- J. MARRIOTT.
- F. L. LATHAM.
- B. LANG (Offv.).
- C. F. FARBAN (Offg.). H. M. STABLING Offg.).
- J. MACPHERSON (Offg.).

HIGH COURT, NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES, 1866—1886.

CHIEF JUSTICES.

Honourable SIR WALTER MORGAN, KT.

- SIR ROBERT STUART, KT., Q.C.
- SIR W. COMER PETHERAM, KT., Q.C. ,,
- SIR JOHN EDGE, KT., Q.C.

JUDGES.

Honourable A. Ross.

- W. EDWARDS.
- W. ROBERTS. ,,
- F. B. PEARSON. ,,
- C. A. TURNER.
- R. SPANKIE.
- W. JARDINE (Offg.).
- G. D. TURNBULL (Offg.)
- R. C. OLDFIELD.
- D. STRAIGHT.
- M. BRODHURST. ,,
- W. DUTHOIT (D.C.L.)
 W. TYRBELL.
 SAITAD MAHMUD.

MEMBERS OF THE JUDICIAL COMMITTEE OF THE PRIVY COUNCIL WHO HAVE TAKEN PART IN THE DECISIONS CONTAINED IN THIS DIGEST, 1836—1886.

```
The Right Honourable LORD WYNFORD.
                    LORD BROUGHAM.
                    LORD DENMAN.
                    LORD LANGUALE.
         "
                    SIR JOHN NICHOLL, KT.
                    SIR LANCELOT SHADWELL, KT.
                    THOMAS ERSKINE.
        ,,
                   SIR JAMES PARKE, KT. (afterwards LORD WENSLEYDALE).
                   SIR JOHN B. BOSANQUET, KT.
                   SIR JOHN VAUGHAN, KT.
                   SIE HEEBERT JENNER, KT. (afterwards SIE HEEBERT JENNER FUST KT.).
                   STEPHEN LUSHINGTON, D.C.L.
                   LORD WHARNCLIFFE (Lord President of the Council). .
                   SIR JAMES LEWIS KNIGHT-BRUCE, KT.
        ,,
                   SIR JAMES WIGRAM, KT.
                   T. PEMBERTON LEIGH (afterwards LORD KINGSDOWN).
        ,,
                   SIR FREDERICK POLLOCK, KT.
                   LORD LANSDOWNE (Lord President of the Council).
                   DUKE OF BUCCLEUGH (Lord President of the Council).
                   LORD CRANWORTH.
        **
                   LORD TRUEO.
        "
                   LORD CAMPBELL.
                   SIR EDWARD RYAN, KT.
                   SIR JOHN JERVIS, KT.
                   SIR JOHN ROMILLY, KT. (afterwards LORD ROMILLY).
                   SIE GEORGE JAMES TURNER, KT.
        ۰ دد
                   SIE JOHN PATTESON, KT.
        33
                   SIE JOHN DODSON, KT.
        **
                   LORD WENSLEYDALE.
                   SIR WILLIAM H. MAULE, KT.
                   LORD CHELMSFORD.
                   LORD KINGSDOWN.
                   SIR CRESSWELL CRESSWELL, KT.
                   SIR JOHN TAYLOR COLERIDGE, KT.
        9>
                   SIR WILLIAM ERLE, KT.
        ,,
                   LORD WESTBURY.
                   SIR JAMES WILLIAM COLVILE, KT.
                   SIR EDWARD VAUGHAN WILLIAMS, KT.
                   SIR FITZEOY KELLY, KT.
SIR WILLIAM PAGE WOOD, KT. (afterwards LORD HATHERLEY).
                   SIE RICHARD TORIN KINDERSLEY, KT.
        ••
                   LORD CAIRNS.
        >>
                   LORD ROMILLY.
                   SIR JOHN ROLT, KT.
                   SIR ROBERT PHILLIMORE, KT.
                   SIR CHARLES JASPER SELWYN, KT.
                   SIR JOSEPH NAPIER, BART.
                   SIR GEORGE MARKHAM GIFFORD, KT.
        ,,
```

SIR WILLIAM MILBOURNE JAMES, KT.

MEMBERS OF THE JUDICIAL COMMITTEE OF THE PRIVY COUNCIL.

The Right Honourable SIE GEORGE MELLISH, KT. SIR BARNES PEACOCK, KT. LORD HATHERLEY. SIE JOHN STUART, KT. SIE JAMES SHAW WILLES, KT. " 23 SIR MONTAGUE EDWARD SMITH, KT. SIE ROBERT PORRETT COLLIER, KT. (afterwards LORD MONKSWELL). ,, LORD SELBORNE. " SIR JOHN BARNARD BYLES, KT. " SIE HENEY SINGEE KEATING, KT. LOED BLACKBURN. ,, ** LORD WATSON. 39 LORD FITZGERALD. SIR RICHARD COUCH, KT. SIR JOHN MELLOR, KT. SIR ARTHUR HOBHOUSE, KT. (afterwards LORD HOBHOUSE). LORD MONKSWELL. " LORD HOBHOUSE. "

PRIVY COUNCILLORS, ASSESSORS.

The Right Honourable SIR EDWARD HYDE EAST, BART.

- SIR ALEXANDER JOHNSTON, KT.
- " SIR EDWARD RYAN, KT.

LORD HALSBURY.

- ,, SIR LAWRENCE PERL, KT.
- ,, SIR JAMES WILLIAM COLVILE, KT.

TABLE

OF

HEADINGS, SUB-HEADINGS, AND CROSS-REFERENCES.

The headings and sub-headings under which the cases are arranged are printed in this table in capitals, the headings in black type, and the sub-headings in small capitals. The cross-references are printed in ordinary type.

Tacking. TOLLS. Talook, Meaning of-Torts. Talookdar. Torture. Tank, Order to destroy-Total loss. Tanks, Maintaining ancient-Towage, Lien for-Towage contract. TAXATION OF BILL OF COSTS. Towing, Rules for-TAZI MANDI CHITTIS. TOWN DUTIES, BOMBAY. Tehsildar. Trade, Contract in restraint of-Temple, Suit for declaration of trusts of-TRADE MARK. Tenant. Trader. TENDER. Tradesman's account. Tenure created under Court of Wards. Transfer by endorsement. Territorial jurisdiction, Effect of cession on-TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE. TERRITORIAL LAW OF BRITISH 1. GENERAL CASES. 2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 13. INDIA. 3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER. TERRITORY, TRANSFER OF— TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE. Testator. 1. GENERAL CASES. Thakbust award. 2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 29. THEFT. 3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER. Thekadar. TRANSFER OF PROPERTY. Ticket, Refusal to produce-TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882). Timber, Right to-- s. 2. Time for appearance of defendant, Extension of-- в. 10. Time bargain. - s. 52. TITLE. - s. 54. 1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE-- s. 131. (a) GENERALLY. (b) LONG POSSESSION. -- s. 135. 2. MISCELLANEOUS CASES. Transfer of Shares, Registration of-Title of suit, Irregularity in-TRANSFER OF TENURE. Title-deeds. Transfer, Registration of-Titles of honour. Transferable tenure. Toda garas haq. Trans-shipment permit.

Transportation.

TABLE OF HEADINGS.

Treason. Value of property, Statement in will as to-TREASURE TROVE. Variance between bought and sold notes. TREATIES. VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADIN AND PROOF. Trees. 1. GENERAL CASES. TRESPASS. 2. SPECIAL CASES. 1. GENERAL CASES. 3. ADMISSION OF PART OF CLAIM. 2. HOUSE-TRESPASS. Vatan. Trespasser. Vatandars Act (Bombay Act III of 1874). TROVER. Vendor, Petition by, to have document registered. TRUST. VENDOR AND PURCHASER. Trust-deed for benefit of creditors. 1. BILLS OF SALE. Trust-property. 2. Breach of Covenant. 3. BREACH OF WARRANTY. TRUSTEE. 4. CAVEAT EMPTOR. TRUSTEE AND CESTUI QUE TRUST. 5. COMPLETION OF TRANSFER. TRUSTEE ACT (XXIV OF 1841). 6. CONDITIONAL SALES. 7. CONSIDERATION. TRUSTEE ACT (XXVII OF 1866). 8. FRAUD. --- a. 3. 9. INVALID SALES. 10. LIEN. - ss. 20 and 32. 11. NOTICE. --- в. 35. 12. Possession. TRUSTEES AND MORTGAGEES ACT 13. PURCHASE OF MORTGAGED PROPERTY. (XXVIII OF 1866, s. 43). 14. PUECHASE-MONEY AND OTHER PAYMENTS PURCHASER. Turn of worship of idol, Right to-15. PURCHASERS, RIGHTS OF-16. SETTING ASIDE SALES. Umpire, Incapacity to act as-17. VENDOE, RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF-Unchastity. 18. MISCELLANEOUS CASES. Undertaking not to sue. Verbal contract. Verdict of Criminal Court. Under-tenure. Underwriter, Liability of-VERDICT OF JURY. 1. GENERAL CASES. . UNDUE INFLUENCE. 2. Power to interfere with Verdicts. UNLAWFUL ASSEMBLY. Vested interests. Unliquidated damages. Vesting order. Unprofessional conduct. Vicinage. UNNATURAL OFFENCE. Village accountant. Unseaworthiness. Village cattle. UNSETTLED POLLIAM. Village chowkidar. Unstamped documents, Admissibility of, in evidence. Village Munsiff. Upan chowki tenure. Village Munsiff's peou. USER. Voluntary assignment. Usufructuary mortgage. VOLUNTARY CONVEYANCE. Usury. Voluntary payment. Vacation, Closing of Court for-Voluntary settlement, Breach of covenants in-Vakalatnama. . . Wagering contract. Vakil. Wages. Vakil and client. Waging war. Valuation of appeal.

WAGING

WAIVER.

Wakf.

QUEEN.

WARRANT.

Valuation of right, title, and interest created by

mortgage.

1. SUITS. 2. APPEALS.

VALUATION OF SUIT.

WAR

AGAINST

WARRANT OF ARREST.

- 1. CIVIL CASES.
- 2. CRIMINAL CASES.

WARRANT OF ATTORNEY.
WARRANT OF COMMITMENT.
WARRANT OF EXECUTION.

Warranty, Breach of— Warranty of title.

Washerman.

WASTE.

WASTE LANDS.

Water, Escape of, Liability for damage done by-

Water-course, Right to use of— Water-supply, Causing diminution of—

WEIGHTS AND MEASURES, FRAUD-ULENT USE OF—

Wharfinger.

WHIPPING.

Widow.

Widows inheriting jointly.

Wife.

WILD ANIMALS.

WILL.

- 1. EXECUTION.
- 2. ATTESTATION.
- 3. FORM OF WILL.
- 4. NUNCUPATIVE WILL.
- 5. VALIDITY OF WILL.
- 6. INSPECTION OF WILL.
 7. RENUNCIATION BY EXECUTOR.
- 8. CONSTRUCTION.

WILLS ACT (XXV OF 1838).

Windows or doors, Suit to close-

Withdrawal from prosecution.

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT.

WITNESS-CIVIL CASES.

- 1. PERSON COMPETENT TO BE WITNESS.
- Summoning Witnesses.
 Expenses of Witnesses.
- 4. ABSCONDING WITNESSES.
- 5. Swearing or Affirmation of Witnesses.
- 6. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES-
 - (a) GENERALLY.
 - (b) Cross-examination.
- 7. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE.
- 8. PRIVILEGES OF WITNESSES.

WITNESS-CRIMINAL CASES.

- 1. PERSON COMPETENT TO BE WITNESS.
- 2. SUMMONING WITNESSES.
- 3. AVOIDING SERVICE.
- 4. SWEARING OR AFFIRMATION OF WITNESSES.
- 5. Examination of Witnesses-
 - (a) GENERALLY.
 - (b) EXAMINATION BY COURT.
 - (c) Choss-examination.
- 6. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE. WORKMAN.

WRITTEN STATEMENT.

WRONGFUL CONFINEMENT.

Wrongful conversion.

Wrongful dismissal.

WRONGFUL DETENTION.

WRONGFUL DISTRAINT.

Wrongful loss.

WRONGFUL POSSESSION.

Wrongful seizure in execution.

WRONGFUL RESTRAINT.

Year, Agricultural-

Zanzibar.

ZEMINDAR.

ZEMINDAR, POWER OF-

ZEMINDAR, RIGHTS OF-

Zemindar and ryot.

ZEMINDARI DAKS.

Zemindari dues and cesses, Suit for-

APPENDIX.

ABATEMENT OF SUIT.

ABETMENT.

ACCOMPLICE.

Accumulations.

Act-1842-IV.

Act-1856-XV.

Act-1861-IX.

Act-1868-VI (N.-W. P. Municipal Improvement)

CORRIGENDA.

VOL. I.

- Col. 22.—Under heading "ABSENCE BY REASON OF TRANSPORTATION" for "Li. MITATION ACT, 1877, s. 13" read "LIMITATION ACT, 1877, s. 7."
- Col. 153.—Under heading "AGENT, AUTHORITY OF" for "B. L. R., 195" read "5 B. L. R., 195".
- Col. 208.—Case 222, reference, for "8 B. L. R." read "7 B. L. R."
- Col. 469.—Under heading "BENAMI TRANSACTION" insert reference, "See Estoppel Estoppel by conduct, 19 W. R., 292."
- Col. 722.-Line 12 from top, reference, for "10 W. R., 57" read "10 W. R., Cr., 7".
- Col. 773.—Case 2, under "s. 138" for "3 I. L. R." read "3 B. L. R.".
- Col. 823.—Line 23 from top, reference, for "53 All., 33" read "3 All., 533".
- Col. 1360 .- Case 46, reference, for "7 W. R., 169" read "2 N. W., 169".
- Col. 1463.-Line 24 from top, reference, for "I. L. R., 7 Bom., 11" read "I. L. R., 6 Bom., 11".
- Col. 1488 .- Case 169, reference, for "2 W. R., 230" read "2 N. W., 230".

VOL. II.

- Col. 1588.—Case 39, reference, for "10 Moore's I. A." read "11 Moore's I. A.", and for "W. R. P. C." read "9 W. R., P. C".
- Col. 1621.—Under case 202, reference, for "16 W. R., 471" read "14 W. R., 471".
- COL. 1785 .- Case 341, reference, before "11 W. R., P. C." insert "2 B. L. R., P. C., 85",
- Col. 1856.—Line 1 from top, after "EXCISE ACT" omit "1856".
- Col. 1888 .- Line 8 from top, name of case should be "Balaji Ranchoddas, In Re."
- Col. 2057 .- Line 7 from top, for "12 W. R., 33" read "12 W. R., P. C., 33".
- Col. 2066.—Case 1, reference, for "5 I. L. R." read "5 B. L. R."
- COL. 2075.—Case 17, reference, for "Ind. Jur., N. S." read "Ind. Jur., O. S.
- Col. 2103.—Case 7, reference, for "Calc." read "Bom,"
- COB. 2221.—Case 18, reference, omit "16 W. R., 142".
- Col. 2306.—Case 145, reference, for "12 B. L. R." read "14 B. L. R.", and for "29 W. R., 490 read "22 W. R., 496".
- COL. 2538.—Line 7 from top, for "7 Bom." read "1 Bom."
- Col. 2559.—Case 73, immediately before name of case insert "Held also that the trust for minimenance and the religious trusts, as being mere perpetual trusts for the benefit of the family, we likewise void."
- COL. 2768.—Case 21, reference, for "6 B. L. R." read "4 B. L. R."
- Col. 2772.—Under case 15, reference, for "2 W. R., 142" read "2 N. W., 142".
- COL. 2793-Line 9 from top, the name of case should be "BALAJI RANCHODDAS, IN RE."
- Col. 2795 .- Case 22, for "4 B. L. R." read "3 B. L. R.", and for "634" read "434".
- Col. 2855.—Case 92, reference, for "4 B. L. R." read "6 B. L. R."

CORRIGENDA.

VOL. III.

Col. 3102.—Line	13 from t	op, reference,	for "8 All."	read "2 Mad."
-----------------	-----------	----------------	--------------	---------------

Col. 3112 .- Case 3, name of case, for "Hyder Singh" read "Hyder Jung Bahadoor."

COL. 3515 .- Case 167, line 11, after "89" insert "followed."

' COL. 3650 .- Case 7, reference, for "24 W. R." read "2 Hay".

COL. 3868.—Case 1, name of case, for "MITTER" read "MOZUMDAR."

Col. 4100.-Line 11 from top, reference, for "2 B. L. R." read "3 B. L. R."

COL. 4118.—Case 1, line 4 of heading, for "defence" read "reference".

Col. 4126 .- Case 6, reference, for "18 Agra" read "8 Agra".

VOL. IV.

Col. 4898.—Case 7, reference, for "8 B. L. R." read "7 B. L. R."

Col. 4615 .- Case 20, reference, for "2 A. C." read "2 B. L. R., A. C."

Col. 4853.—Case 23, reference, for "2 B. L. R." read "3 B. L. R."

Col. 4907.—Case 63, reference, for "4 N. W." read "5 N. W."

Col. 4913.—Case 93, reference, for "20 W. R." read "9 W. R."

Col. 5951.—Line 13 from top, reference, for "2 All." read "7 All."

VOL. V.

Col. 6144.—Line 13 from top, reference, for "10 Bom." read "9 Bom."

Col. 6240 .- Line 23 from top, reference, after "2 Moore's I. A., 424" omit "6 W. R., P. C., 46".

Col. 6248.—Case 1, reference, for "6 B. L. R." read "4 B. L. R."

REFERENCES OMITTED IN TABLE OF CASES.

Khemkor v. Umiashankar Ranchchor	•	•	•		3 Bom., 207	3373
Leelanund Singh v. Hamidooddin .	•	•	•	•	10 Bom., 381	2436
-	•	•	•	;	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 576	987
Paluckdhary Roy v. Radha Purshad Sing	h	•	•	3	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 50: 4 C. L. R.,	201

A DIGEST

OF

THE HIGH COURT REPORTS

1862-1886

AND OF

THE PRIVY COUNCIL REPORTS OF APPEALS FROM INDIA, 1836—1886.

TACKING.

See Cases under Mortgage-Tacking.

TALOOK, MEANING OF-

See Grant—Construction of Grant. [18 W. R., 469 22 W. R., 326

See LEASE-CONSTRUCTION.

[22 W. R., 326

TALOOKDAR.

Conduct of, as indicating his successor.

See Oudh Estates Act, 1869, s. 22. [I. L. R., 3 Calc., 626]

Registered, Interest of-

See Oudh Estates Act, 1869. [I. L. R., 3 Calc., 522]

See Cases under Oudh Talookdaes' Re-LIEF ACT.

TANK, ORDER TO DESTROY-

See Nuisance—Under Criminal Procedure Codes . 1 B. L. R., S. N., 27

TANKS, MAINTAINING ANCIENT— See Zemindae . 14 B. L. R., P. C., 209

TAX.

illegally levied.

See Madras Towns Improvement Act, 1871, s. 85 . I. L. R., 1 Mad., 158

See STATUTE, CONSTRUCTION OF—
[I. L. R., 1 Mad., 158
8 Bom., A. C., 213

TAX-continued.

Muhtarafa.—Trade tax, Zemidar's right to collect.—Mad. Reg. XXV of 1802, s. 4.—Mad. Reg. XXV of 1802, s. 4.—Mad. Reg. XXV of 1832.—The right of collecting the muhtarafa or trade tax from artisans in his zemindari has not been delegated by Government to the Zemindar of Karvaitnagar, and cannot be legally exercised by his assignces. Quære,—Whether it was competent for Government to delegate the collection of the muhtarafa to zemindars for their own use. VEDANTA v. KANNIXAPPA

I. L. R., 9 Mad., 14

TAXATION OF BILL OF COSTS.

See Attorney and Client.

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 473]

See Limitation Act, 1877, art. 84 (1871, art. 85) . . I. L. R., 1 Bom., 253
[I. L. R., 7 Mad., 1

1. — Attendance before taxing officer.—Attorney.—Appearance for several parties.—Summons to attend taxation.—Practice.—Any work which an attorney does jointly for several parties together he can only make one charge for, and where he appears for any number of parties before the taxing officer at the taxation of the costs of a suit, he must be taken to represent them jointly. The taxing officer should not issue separate summonses to different parties who appear by one attorney. Kenny v. Administrator General of Bengal . 7 B. L. R., Ap., 50

2. Accountants employed not under order of Court.—Useful and net stary expense.—In a suit to set aside a settlement, two accountants were employed at the plaintiff's instance, and not by order of Court, to examine the settlor's books and give evidence. Held that the investigation being most useful to the Court, and adapted to the ends of justice, the taxing master was right in allowing their expenses. MACNAIR v. HOGG

[2 Hyde, 89

TAZI MANDI CHITTIS.

See Contract—Wagering Contracts.

[4 Moore's I. A., 339

5 Moore's I. A., 109

6 Moore's I. A., 251

Principal and agent.—Gambling Act (XXI of 1848).—Where the plaintiff had expended money at the request of the defendant in the purchase or settlement of tazi mandi chittis,—Held, he was entitled to recover notwithstanding Act XXI. of 1848. KANAYALAL v. CHAGMAL BATTIA

[8 B. L. R., 412

BHAIRABNATH KHETTRI v. JUMANRAM DHAN-DARIA . . . 8 B. L. R., 415, note

TEHSILDAR.

See Limitation Act, 1877, abt. 7 (1859, s. 1, cl. 2).
[1 B. L. R., S. N., 20: 10 W. R., 260

TEMPLE, SUIT FOR DECLARATION OF TRUSTS OF-

See ACT XX of 1863.

[5 B. L. R., Ap., 55

TENANT.

See LANDLORD AND TENANT.

Suit against, for share of rent.

See CASES UNDER CO-SHARERS—SUITS BY CO-SHARERS WITH RESPECT TO THE JOINT PROPERTY—KABULIATS.

See Cases under Co-sharers—Suits by Co-sharers with respect to the Joint Property — Enhancement of Rent.

See Cases under Co-sharers—Suits by Co-sharers with bespect to the Joint Property—Rent.

TENDER.

See BENGAL RENT ACT, 1869, s. 46.
[1 B. L. R., S. N., 7: 10 W. R., 101
16 W. R., 79
2 W. R., Act X, 88

Validity of tender.—Contract Act. s. 38.—Tender of interest on mortgage-debt.— Under a mortgage-deed taken to secure the repayment within three years of a sum of R16,000 advanced by the plaintiff, with interest at 15 per cent. from the 2nd July 1874, the date of the mortgage. it was stipulated that interest should be paid every six months, but that if a year's interest should be unpaid, then the whole amount due for principal and interest should become payable at once; and also that the mortgagor might, after payment of interest, pay towards satisfaction of the principal any sum not less than R1,000. The first year's interest was allowed to get into arrear, but in September 1875 the defendant went to the plaintiff with R19,000, a greater sum than was due for principal and interest, and told him to repay himself from that sum. The

TENDER.-Liability of tender-continued.

offer was refused, and the plaintiff thereupon brought a suit on the 9th July 1877 for R16,000, with interest from the date of the mortgage to the date of the suit and subsequent interest. Held that the tender made by the defendant, although not valid according to English law, was valid under section 38 of the Contract Act. Per Wilson, J.—Section 38 of the Contract Act substantially requires that there should be a genuine and unconditional offer, in case of payment, to pay unconditionally at a proper place, made by a person in a position to pay. KANYE LALL KHAN v. KHETTERMONEY DOSSEE

[5 C. L. R., 105

- Unconditional tender. - Costs. - In a suit to recover R1,323-15-6, the balance of the price of goods sold, on which an account had been come to between the parties, it appeared that the defendant had tendered before suit a sum of R1,043-5, stating in the letter of tender that the sum so tendered was the only sum due. At the trial, the plaintiff obtained a decree for the full amount claimed by him. Held, both in the Court below and on appeal, that the tender was bad, and therefore the plaintiffs were entitled to their costs. Held per KENNEDY, J., that the tender was bad, being a tender of part of an entire debt. Held per Garth, C. J. (Markey, J., concurring), that the tender was also bad, as the plaintiffs could not have accepted the sum tendered without giving up the remainder of their claim. CHUNDER CAUNT MOOKER-JEE v. JODOONATH KHAN

I. L. R., 3 Calc., 468:1 C. L. R., 470

- Agent .- Cheque in payment of debt for rent .- Suit for rent .- Costs .-The landlord of a house through his agent sent in rent-bills to his lessee. The lessee gave the agent a cheque payable to her attorney for the amount demanded. The attorney realised the amount of the cheque and gave the money to the agent, who tendered it to the landlord's attorney, who refused to accept, and the money was returned to the lessee's attorney. Held, in a suit for the rent, that, under the circumstances, the tender amounted to payment. Held, further, that although, as a general rule, the amount of a tender not accepted ought to be paid into Court in order to entitle the defendant to costs, yet that, as the tender in this case amounted to payment, the defendant was entitled to have the suit dismissed with costs. BOLYE CHUND SING v. MOU-I. L. R., 4 Calc., 572 LARD

TENURE CREATED UNDER COURT OF WARDS.

See Bengal Act IV of 1870. [15 B, L, R., 343

TERRITORIAL JURISDICTION, EF-FECT OF CESSION ON—

See CESSION OF BRITISH TERRITORY IN INDIA . I. L. R., 1 Bom., 367 [10 Bom., 37]

TERRITORIAL LAW OF BRITISH INDIA.

Nature of territorial law.—English law.—The territorial law of British India is a modified form of English law. SECRETARY OF STATE v.
ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL OF BENGAL

[1 B. L. R., O. C., 87

TERRITORY, TRANSFER OF-

- District of Kanara.—16 and 17 Vict., o. 95; 21 and 22 Vict., c. 106 .- Councils Act, 24 and 25 Vict., c. 67.—The power given by 16 and 17 Victoria, Cap. 95, to alter the distribution of territories among the presidencies, was vested by 21 and 22 Victoria, Cap. 106, in the Secretary of State for India, by whose order of 28th of February 1862 North Canara was annexed, the new arrangement of territory to take effect from such date as the Governor General of India in Council should by proclamation appoint for the purposes of the Councils Act, 1861, which Act has reference solely to the constitution and functions of the Legislative Councils, and does not purport to affect in any way the exercise of the general powers of Government, or the administration of justice, and the jurisdiction and authority of the Courts of Justice, the annexation for those purposes being made by the Secretary of State, and not being qualified or controlled by the provise in section 47 of 24 and 25 Victoria clause 67, which cannot be construed as a substantive enactment, or as qualifying or restraining the power vested in the Secretary of State. REG. v. VYANKATSVAMI

[2 Bom., 112: 2nd Ed., 106

TESTATOR.

---- Acknowledgment of signature by--

See WILL-EXECUTION.

[I. L. R., I Bom., 547

Application for probate of will of Hindu.

See PROBATE—OPPOSITION TO AND RE-

[I. L. R., 2 Calc., 208

--- Debts of Hindu.

See VENDOR AND PURCHASER—NOTICE.
[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 897

- Power of-

See Cases under Hindu Law-Will-Power of Disposition.

[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 561

See Mahomedan Law-Will.

[I. L. R., 2 Calc., 184

THAKBUST AWARD.

See ACT XIII OF 1848. [2 B. L. R., P. C., 111: 12 W. R., P. C., 6

THEFT.

See PARTNERSHIP PROPERTY.
[13 B. L. R., 307, 308, note; 310, note

THEFT-continued.

committed out of British territory.

See Jubisdiction of Criminal Court— Offenors committed only party in one District . I. L. R., 1 Mad., 171

1. ——— Penal Code, s. 378.—Definition of theft.—As to what constitutes theft as defined in the Penal Code. Queen v. Madarre [3 W. R., Cr., 2

2. Moving property and severing it.—The moving by the same act which effects the severance may constitute a theft. AnonyMOUS

5 Mad., Ap., 36

gainst wish of ostensible purchaser thereof.—Apparent title or colour of right to property.—To constitute theft it is sufficient if property is removed against his wish, from the custody of a person who has an apparent title, or even a colour of right, to such property. Cape v. Scott, L. R., 2 Q. B., 269, followed, Queen-Empress v. Gangaram Santram [I. L. R., 9 Bom., 135]

4. Giving up right of possession in property by owner.—A conviction for theft under the Penal Code is illegal if the owner has given up all property in and all possession of the subject of the alleged theft. Anonimous

[4 Mad., Ap., 30

6. Unexplained possession of rice.—Meaning of corpus delicti.—Where a prisoner was found in possession of rice not thrashed in the usual way, and having no paddy land of his own, he failed to account satisfactorily for his possession of the rice,—Held, he could not be convicted of theft without more evidence. The meaning of the term corpus delicti" explained. Anonymous

[7 Mad., Ap., 19

7.- Dishonest taking, Omission of allegation of.—The prisoner was convicted of theft on his own confession. The charge to which the prisoner pleaded did not allege the taking out of the possession of some person dishonestly, and there was no evidence of such taking. Held that the conviction was bad. ANONYMOUS. 5 Mad., Ap., 37

B.——Abetment of the ft.—Receiving stolen property.—Joint undivided Hindu family.—A Hindu, intending to separate himself from his family, emigrated to Demerara as a coolie. After an absence of thirty years he returned to his family, bringing with him money and other moveable property which he had acquired in Demerara by manual labour as a coolie. On his return to his family he lived in commensality with it, but he did

THEFT.—Penal Code, s. 378—continued.

not treat such property as joint family property but as his own property. Held that such property was his sole property, and his brother was not a joint owner of it, and could properly be convicted of theft in respect of it. It is irregular to convict and punish a person for abetment of theft, and at the same time to convict and punish him for receiving the stolen property. EMPRESS P. SITA RAM RAI [I. I. R., 3 All., 181]

- Wahomedan married woman.—Husband and wife.—Taking property of husband.—A Mahomedan married woman may be convicted of theft, or abetment of theft, in respect of the property of her husband. REG. v. KHATABAI [6 Bom., Cr., 9]
- 10.
 **Thindu woman removing struthan from possession of her husband.—A Hindu woman who removes from the possession of her husband, and without his consent, her palla or stridhan, cannot be convicted of theft, nor can any person who joins her in removing it be convicted of that offence. Reg. v. Natha Kalyan . 8 Bom., Cr., 11
- Removal of family jewels by wife and persons coming to commit adultery with her .- Two persons were committed for trial, the first prisoner for adultery, enticing away a married woman, and theft, and the second prisoner for abetment of the enticing away and theft. The first prisoner was acquitted of the charges of adultery and enticing away. The case for the prosecution was that the prosecutor's wife left her husband's house in company with the first prisoner, and that previous to her departure she, by means of false keys supplied to her by the second prisoner, opened the room where the family jewels and money were kept and removed them. The jewels were deposited with the second prisoner for safe custody. Part of the money was handed to the first prisoner. Held that, notwithstanding the acquittal, the prisoners were not entitled to be discharged without trial on the charge of theft. Anonymous

and s. 114.—Forcibly carrying off crop.—Want of consent of owner.—Where a Court finds that parties came with a number of armed men, and carried off a crop, the finding amounts to that of a forcible carrying off without the consent of the owner. Even if they took no part in the actual taking, they must, with reference to section 114, Penal Code, be considered guilty of the substantive offence under section 378. QUEEN v. SHIB CHUNDER MUNDLE . 8 W. R., Cr., 59

[5 Mad., Ap., 23

- 13. Person acting under ill-founded claim of right.—A person acting under a claim of right (however ill-founded such claim may be) is not guilty of theft by asserting it. QUEEN v. RAM CHUEN SINGH . 7 W. R., Cr., 57
- 14. Assertion of right by accused.—Defence to charge of theft.—A bare assertion by an accused charged with committing theft of a proprietary right in the alleged stolen property

THEFT.-Penal Code, s. 378-continued.

is no reason for a Magistrate to refuse to entertain a charge of theft. QUEEN v. KALICHARAN MISSER
[7 B. L. R., Ap., 55

S. C. RUNNOO SINGH v. KALI CHURN MISSER [16 W. R., Cr., 18

See KHETTER NATH DUTT v. INDRO JALIA
[16 W. R., Cr., 78

HURIS CHUNDRA DAS v. BOLAI AUDHICARRE
- [16 W. R., 75

- Assertion of right to crops.—The mere assertion of a fair claim of property or right, or the mere existence of a doubt as to right, is not sufficient to justify an acquittal in a case of plunder of crops. The claim to the property must be proved by evidence to be fair and good. NASSIB CHOWDRY v. NANNOO CHOWDRY v. NANNOO CHOWDRY v. NANNOO CHOWDRY v. NANNOO CROWDRY v. NAN
- 16. and s. 442.—Boat.

 Moveable property.—A boat may be the subject of theft. Although, under section 442 of the Penal Code, it is for certain purposes classed with houses, it does not cease to be moveable property under section 378. QUEEN v. MEHAE DOWALIA [16 W. R., Cr., 63]
- Intention to convert to his own use, Want of.—Temporary use.—When an accused charged with murder was alleged to have taken a boat from a place where it had been secured by its owner, and after proceeding some distance in it had abandoned it, and when he was charged with the theft of the boat,—Held that the charge was unsustainable, inasmuch as it was evidently not his intention to convert it to his own use, and make it permanently his own property, but merely to make use of it for the purpose of aiding him in escaping. Adu Shikdar v. Queen-Empress
- 18. -Property removed with criminal intent but with consent of owner.—A. sought the aid of B. with the intention of committing a theft of the property of B.'s master. B. with the knowledge and consent of his master, and for the purpose of procuring A.'s punishment, aided A. in carrying out his object. On the prosecution of A. for theft,—Held that as the property removed was so taken with the knowledge of the owner, the offence of theft had not been committed. EMPRESS v. TROYLUKHONATH CHOWDHEY

Possession of wood by forest inspector.—Removal of wood without payment of fees.—Possession of wood by a forest inspector, who is a servant of Government, is possession of the Government itself; and a dishonest removal of it, without payment of the necessary fees, from his possession, albeit with his actual consent, constitutes theft within the meaning of section 378 of the Penal Code, if that consent was unauthorised or fraudulent.

Reg. v. Hanmanta I. L. R., 1 Bom., 610

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 366: 3 C. L. R., 525

[.-Penal Code, s. 378-continued.

20. - and s. 95. — Valueless produce. — Property almost valueless. — Conviction and sentence by a Magistrate reversed, as the act of which the accused was convicted—taking pods (almost valueless) from a tree standing upon Government waste ground—came within the meaning of section 95 of the Penal Code, and did not therefore amount to theft. Rec. v. Kasya bin Rayji.

[5 Bom., Cr., 35

- Retaining possession of nets of poachers.—The prisoner, acting bond fide in the interests of his employers, and finding a party of fishermen poaching on his master's fisheries, took charge of the nets, and retained possession of them, pending the orders of his employers. Held that the prisoner was not guilty of theft. Queen v. Nobin Chunder Holdar . . . 6 W. R., Cr., 79
- 22. Taking fish in navigable river.—The taking fish in that portion of a navigable river over which a right of julkur exists in another person does not fall within section 378 of the Penal Code. HURI MOTI MODDOCK v. DENORATH MALO. 19 W. R., Cr., 47

BHUSUN PARUI v. DENONATH BANERJEE [20 W. R., Cr., 15

23. Taking fish from creek.—The wrongful taking of fish from a creek is not theft. Queen v. Revu Pothadu

[I. L. R., 5 Mad., 390

- 24. - **s. 379.**—Possession. in an enclosed tank .- Where the accused were found fishing without permission in an enclosed tank belonging to the municipality of the town of Sirsi, it was held that they could be convicted of theft, as the tank from which the fish were taken was apparently an enclosed tank, and the fish were, therefore, restrained of their natural liberty, and liable to be taken at any time according to the pleasure of the owner, and were, therefore, subjects of theft. Bhusun Parui v. Denonath Banerjee, 20 W. R., Cr., 15; and Queen v. Revu Pothadu, I. L. R., 5 Mad., 390, distinguished. QUEEN-EMPRESS v. ADAM VALAD SHAIR FARID . I. L. R., 10 Bom., 193
- 25. Illegal seizure and impounding of cattle.—The illegal seizure and impounding of cattle is not theft within the meaning of the Penal Code, even if effected with the malicious intent of subjecting the owners to additional expense, inconvenience, and annoyance. ARADHUN MUNDUL v. MYAN KHAN TAKADGERR

[24 W. R., Cr., 7

Removal of salt naturally formed.—Bom. Salt Acts, XXVII of 1837 and XXXI of 1850.—Dishonest removal of salt naturally formed in a creek which was under the supervision of an officer belonging to the Customs Department constitutes theft, the salt having been legally appropriated by such officer. Per BAYLEY and WEST, JJ.—But removal for one's own use from a creek of such salt not legally appropriated constitutes no offence under the Penal Code or the Salt Acts, though the

THEFT.—Penal Code, s. 378—continued.

salt becomes liable to detention. Reg. v. Mansang Bhavsang 10 Bom., 74

27.— Taking salt from swamp surrounded by police.—Possession.—A swamp, the property of Government, having been surrounded with police guards by Government to prevent salt being removed,—Held that the taking against the will of Government, and with the intention of obtaining an unlawful gain, of salt which had been spontaneously produced on the swamp, was theft. QUEEN v. TAMMA GHANTAYA

[I. L. R., 4 Mad., 228

and s. 204.—Secreting document produced before arbitrator.—Intention.—Remoteness of object.—Where the plaintiff in a suit referred to arbitration by consent, with a view to prevent a witness from referring to an endorsement on a bond (which tended to show that defendant had paid more than it was alleged had been paid by him) snatched up the bond which was lying beside the arbitrator, ran away, and refused to produce it,—Held that the offence committed was not theft, but secreting a document under section 204 of the Penal Code. Subramania Ghanapati v. Queen

29. — s. 380.—Theft in a building.—Requisites for offence.—All that is necessary in order to constitute the offence of theft in a building, is that the property should be under the protection of the building: it is not necessary to show unlawful entrance into the building. Queen v. Ishee Preshad 24 W. R., Cr., 49

30. — and s. 409.—Constables taking property from house under their charge.

—Theft by constables of property from the house they were employed to guard is punishable under section 380, and not section 409, Penal Code. Quren v. Boidnath Singh . . . 3 W. R., Cr., 29

s. 381.—Theft by servant.—Hired boatman.—A hired boatman does not come within the definition of a clerk or servant under section 381 of the Penal Code. Theft by such a person on board a boat comes under section 380. QUEEN v. BAWOOL MANJEE

[8 W. R., Cr., 32]

32. — ss. 381, 409.—Stealing money in accused's charge.—Criminal breach of trust.

—The prisoners were charged with having stolen a sum of money shut up in a box and placed in the police treasury buildings, over which they, as burkundauzes, were placed on guard. Held that the charge should have been made under section 381 of the Penal Code (theft by servant in possession of property), and not under section 409 (criminal breach of trust by public servant). QUERN v. JUGGUENATH SINGH . . . 2 W. R., Cr., 55

THEKADAR.

1. Meaning of term.—The term 'thekadar' is properly applicable to hereditary culti-

(5997) THEKADAR.—Meaning of term—continued. vators only when they have also a theka or lease of a share in, or the whole of, the profits of an estate. BAIJ NATH v. MUNGLER . 2 N. W., 411 Mode of creation of thekadar's interest.—Effect of accepting theka.—A thekadar is ordinarily a person who holds a theka or lease of the whole of a zemindar's interest in a village. There is nothing in the law which renders a writing necessary to the creation of such an interest. It is not to be inferred from the mere circum-

TICKET, REFUSAL TO PRODUCE-

stance that persons accepted a theka that they fore-

went their existing right. LEELA DHUB v. BHUG-

See RAILWAY ACT, 1871, s. 2.

See RAILWAY ACT, 1879, 88. 17, 31. II. L. R., 1 Calc., 192

TIMBER, RIGHT TO-

See Custom-Evidence of Custom. [9 W. R., 97

TIME FOR APPEARANCE OF DE-FENDANT, EXTENSION OF-

See NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS, SUMMARY PROCEDURE ON-

> [1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 395 3 B. L. R., O. C., 83

. 3 N.W., 39

25

Col.

See PRACTICE-CIVIL CASES-LEAVE TO SUR OR DEFEND.

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 539

TIME BARGAIN.

See EVIDENCE-PAROL EVIDENCE-VARY-ING OR CONTRADICTING. WRITTEN IN-STRUMENTS . I. L. R., 9 Calc., 791

TITLE.

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE . 6000 . 6000 (a) GENERALLY (b) Long Possession . 6005 2. MISCELLANEOUS CASES . 6007

See CHUR LANDS . 2 B. L. R., P. C., 4 117 W. R., 73

See CASES UNDER CLAIMS TO ATTACHED PROPERTY.

See CASES UNDER ONUS PROBANDI-Possession and Proof of Title.

See Partition-Jurisdiction of Civil COURT IN SUITS RESPECTING PARTI-. 8 B. L. R., Ap., 73, note

See Cases under Possession-Evidence OF TITLE.

See Cases under Possession-Suits for Possession-Proof of Particular TITLE.

TITLE-continued.

See Cases under Resistance or OB-STRUCTION TO EXECUTION OF DECREE.

— Acknowledgment of—

See Cases under Limitation Act, 1877, s. 19 (1871, ART. 148)-ACKNOWLEDG-MENT OF OTHER RIGHTS.

- Breach of covenant for-

See DAMAGES-SUITS FOR DAMAGES-BREACH OF CONTRACT. [I. L. R., 2 Bom., 273

— Decision of Revenue Court as to—

See CASES UNDER RES JUDICATA-COM-PETENT COURT-REVENUE COURTS.

Declaration of, Suit for—

See DECLARATORY DECREE, SUIT FOR-DECLARATION OF TITLE.

See Cases under Onus Probandi-Dr-CLARATION OF TITLE.

See Possession-Suits for Possession -PROOF OF PARICULAR TITLE.

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 224 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 699

- Denial of-

See Cases under Estoppel-Landlord AND TENANT. DENIAL OF TITLE.

See Cases under Landlord and Tenant -FORFEITURE-DENIAL OF TITLE.

— Disclaimer of—

See Admission-Admission in State-MENTS AND PLEADINGS.

[15 B. L. R., 10

Extinction of—

See Cases under Limitation Act, 1877, s. 28.

See RELINQUISHMENT OF TENURE.

[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 91, 208

- Investigation of-

See CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE, 1882, S. 236 (1859, s. 214) . 3 B. L. R., A. C., 413

-- Notice of-

See CASES UNDER VENDOR AND PURCHASER -Notice.

Obstruction to—

See DECLARATORY DECREE, SUIT FOR-SUITS CONCERNING DOCUMENTS. [I. L. R., 1 All., 688

- Proof of-

See ESTOPPEL-ESTOPPEL BY DEEDS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS.

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 397

-Proof of-continued.

See Landlord and Tenant-Miraspars. [I. L. R., 3 Bom., 340

See Cases under Possession—Evidence of Possession.

See Cases under Possession—Evidence of Title.

See Sanad . I. L. R., 3 Bom., 340 [12 B. L. R., 120

— Question of—

See APPEAL—NORTH-WEST PROVINCES ACTS . I. L. R., 1 All., 366

See Cases under Bengal Rent Act, 1869, s. 102.

See CERTIFICATE OF ADMINISTRATION—PROCEDURE I. L. R., 3 Calc., 616
[I. L. R., 6 Calc., 303

See Insolvent Act, s. 26.

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 434

See JURISDICTION OF CRIMINAL COURT—GENERAL JURISDICTION.

2 N. W., 202

See JURISDICTION OF REVENUE COURT—BOMBAY REGULATIONS AND ACTS.
[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 624

See PROBATE—OPPOSITION TO AND RE-VOCATION OF GRANT.

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 1

See RES JUDICATA—COMPETENT COURT—GENERAL CASES.

[I. L. R., 5 Calc., 832 See Cases under Res Judicata—Competent Court—Revenue Courts.

See CASES UNDRE RES JUDICATA-COM-PETENT COURT-SMALL CAUSE COURT CASES.

See Cases under Small Cause Court, Mofussil — Jurisdiction — Title, Questions of—

See CASES UNDRE SMALL CAUSE COURT,
PRESIDENCY TOWNS—JURISDICTION
—RECOVERY OF IMMOVBABLE PROPERTY.

See Special Appeal—Small Cause Court Suits—Title, Question of—

[I. L. R., 2 Calc., 470

- Slander of-

See DECLARATORY DECREE, SUIT FOR-DECLARATION OF TITLE.

[I. L. R., 1 Mad., 65

— Warranty of—

See SALE IN EXECUTION OF DECREE— PURCHASERS, TITLE OF GENERALLY.

> [4 Bom., A. C., 114 6 Bom., A. C., 258 12 W. R., 41 I. L. R., 2 All., 108, 828

TITLE.—Warranty of-continued.

See Sale in Execution of Decree—
Setting Aside Sale—Rights of PurCHASERS—RECOVERY OF PURCHASEMONEY I. L. R., 2 Bom., 258

See CASES UNDER VENDOR AND PURCHASER.

by long possession.

See Accretion—Re-formation after Diluviation . I. L. R., 3 Calc., 796

See Bombay Regulation V of 1827, s. 1.
[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 352

See CASES UNDER POSSESSION—EVIDENCE OF TITLE.

on sale of goods.

See CONTRACT—BREACH OF CONTRACT.

8 B. L. R., 581 n high and low

 to land between high and low water marks.

See Specific Performance—Specific Performance allowed.

[9 B. L. R., 128

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE.

(a) GENERALLY.

1. — Evidence of title.—Oral evidence.—Where the Principal Sudder Ameen, reversing the decision of the Munsif, dismissed a claim to the possession of certain land, on the ground that "mere oral evidence unsupported by any documentary evidence was not admissible to establish a man's title to landed property," the High Court on appeal reversed his decision and held that oral evidence, if believed, may be as good for proving a man's title as documentary evidence. Durban Fakseer v. Nobin-Chandra Mazumdar

[1 B. L. R., S. N., 16: 10 W. R., 217

dence in India.—The presumption in favour of the genuineness of documents offered in evidence in India is very weak, but it must not be held that the presumption is in favour of forgery, and when a long series of documents is produced showing a reasonable origin of title nearly a century ago, a regular deduction of that title and a possession consistent with it, confirmed by the fact of such possession existing at the time of the commencement of the respondents' title by purchase in 1833, the evidence of extrinsic improbability should be very strong indeed to counterbalance the weight of such testimony. WISE v. BHOOBUN MOYEE DEBIA

[3 W. R., P. C., 5: 10 Moore's I. A., 165

[1 Moore's I. A., 305

4. Forged documents.

—If a party put in evidence in support of his title,

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE —continued.

(a) GENERALLY—continued.

Evidence of title-continued.

documents proved to be forged, but the other evidence adduced by him is not impeached, the Court, in rejecting the forged documents, will take the unimpeached evidence into consideration, and, if satisfied, adjudicate thereon. SEVVAJI VIJAYA RAGHUNADHA VALOJI KRISTNAN GOPALAR v. CHINNA NAYANA CHETTI . . . 10 Moore's I. A., 151

Suit for possession.—In a suit to recover possession of land which both the plaintiff and defendant claimed to have reclaimed from jungle and to have possessed many years, and for which each claimed to have obtained a pottah from Government, the mere fact that the land was included in plaintiff's pottah was held to be insufficient, without going into the facts to ascertain possession, to entitle him to a decree. GOLAM REZA CHOWDHEN T. CHANDOO MEAH LUSHKUR

[15 W. R., 45

- Possession.—Presumption.—The ordinary presumption that possession goes with the title would be of no avail in the presence of clear evidence to the contrary; but where there is strong evidence of possession on one side opposed by evidence apparently strong also on the other side, the Privy Council held that in estimating the weight due to the evidence on both sides, the presumption might, under the circumstances of the case, be regarded, and that with the aid of it there is stronger probability that the case of the side that had possession was true than that of the party out of possession. Runjhet Ram Panday v. Goberdhun Ram Panday v. Goberdhun Ram Panday v. 20 W. R., P. C., 25
- 7.

 Limitation Act (XV of 1877), arts. 143, 144.—Conflicting evidence of possession.—Presumption of title.—Where two adverse parties are each trying to make out a possession of twelve years, and the evidence is conflicting and not conclusive on either side,—Held that the presumption that possession goes with the title must prevail. DHARM SINGH v. HUR PERSHAD SINGH . . . I. L. R., 12 Calc., 38
- Sumption of.—Waste lands.—In disputes as to the right to the possession of jungle lands, it is only in cases where neither party has exercised any acts of ownership over the lands in question that the Court may resort to evidence of title, and presume that the party proved to have the title has also possession. RAM BANDHU V. KUSU BRATTU

[5 C. L. R., 481

• Suit for possession.

—Possession of title-deeds and receipts for rent.—
In a case involving the alternative question of fact whether certain land belonged to R. or C., neither the one nor the other of the opposite party venturing to state who his opponent was, and the testimony of the witnesses on this point being doubtful,—Held that R., who was in possession of the title-deeds and

TITLE-continued.

- 1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE —continued.
 - (a) GENERALLY—continued.

Evidence of title-continued.

of the receipts of rent, ought to succeed, unless there was something on the record to countervail such strong evidence. Khoda Buksh Khan v. Choa

[19 W. R., 162

Nuit for declaration of title.—Onus probandi.—Production of title.

deeds.—The plaintiff sued for declaration of her title to property of which the defendant was in possession, but of which she produced the title-deeds in favour of herself. Held, the onus was on the defendant to disprove the plaintiff's title, and the defendant was not allowed to raise certain fresh issues; but the plaintiff was, under the circumstances of the case, entitled to rely on the title given her by the production of the title-deeds in her favour. SWAENAMAYI RAUE v. SEINIBASH KOYAL 6 B. L. R., 144

11. Possession.—Uninterrupted and undisputed possession for a long time constitutes sufficient prima facie evidence of title; but if this possession is admitted to be under an adoption, it will avail nothing if the adoption fails. Haimun Chull Singh v. Gunshram Singh

[5 W. R., P. C., 69

- Suit for possession.—Where, in a suit to recover possession of land, the plaintiff succeeded in proving that he had been in possession up to a recent date, and that he had been forcibly dispossessed by the defendant, the lower Appellate Court threw upon the defendant the burden of proving his title, and, on his failing to do so, decreed the case. Held that this was a fair inference of title and of a right to be replaced in possession without going further into the title,—that is, to the mode of its acquisition. Trilochum Ghose v. Kailas Nath Sidhanto Bhowmik Bhutta-chabii. 3 B. L. R., A. C., 298: 12 W. R., 175
- Proof of title .-Suit for possession. - In a suit to recover possession, on the allegation that plaintiff had been illegally ousted, though holding under a lease from defendant, the latter urged that, though plaintiff had been allowed to hold the tenure as a tehsildar or collector of rents, he had never been the ijaradar or farmer in The Judge found that the estate was possession. really let out in ijara to the plaintiffs by the defendant, who had recovered rents and granted him receipts on account of the ijara mehal. Held that this was a complete finding in favour of the plaintiff's title, and that it was not necessary for him to sue for the pottah which had been wrongfully denied him by defendant. JOHEEROODDEEN MAHOMED v. DABEE Pershad Singh . . 13 W. R., 21
- 14. Proof of title.—
 Evidence of possession.—In a suit to establish title, evidence of plaintiff's possession prior to the summary award under section 15, Act XIV of 1859,

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE —continued.

(a) GENERALLY—continued.

Evidence of title-continued.

under which he was dispossessed, may be good evidence of his title, and must be considered. BULLUBER KANT BHUTTACHARJER v. DOORJO DRUN SHIKDAR [7 W. R., 89

Possession.—Evidence Act, s. 110.—Specific Relief Act, 1877, s. 9.-In a suit for possession, where the plaintiff proved that he had been in possession of the lands in dispute, and that he had been ousted by the defendants, who were unable to give any proof of their right so to oust him, or of a superior title,—Held (PRINSEP, J., dissentiente) that the prior possession of the plaintiff was primd facie evidence of title, and that he was entitled to a decree. Per Prinser, J.—Proof of prior possession and of illegal dispossession are in themselves no evidence of title, except in a possessory suit under the Specific Relief Act (I of 1877). Section 110 of the Evidence Act applies only to actual and present possession, and does not declare generally that possession shall always be primd facie evidence of title. KAWA MANJI v. KHUWAZ NUSSIO

[5 C. L. R., 278

· Possession .-Lands attached by Government as being disputed lands .- Disputes respecting the boundaries of the zemindaris of Yettiapooram and Ramnad in the district of Madura having led to acts of violence by the ryots, the Government, in the year 1836, to preserve the public peace attached the disputed lands and took possession for the benefit of the party to whom the lands should be judicially awarded. At and before the time of the Government taking such possession, the zemindar of Yettiapooram was in possession of certain lands adjacent to, and taken as a part of, the lands in dispute. The lands remained under attachment by Government for a period of nearly twenty years, no steps having been taken regarding them till the year 1855, when the zemindar of Yettiapooram brought a suit against the Collector of Madura and the zemindar of Ramnad to recover possession of the land so formerly occupied by him, and for the mesne profits thereof while in the possession of the Government. Although no clear title in this suit was proved by either zemindar, it was held by the Courts in India, and affirmed on appeal by the Judicial Committee, that the fact of possession of the lands by the zemindar of Yettiapooram before and at the time of the attachment by Government was, under the circumstances, evidence of title, and the Government was ordered to restore the lands to him. ZEMINDAR OF RAMNAD v. ZEMINDAR OF YETTIAPOORAM . . 10 Moore's I. A., 47

17. ——Proof of possession.—In a suit to recover possession of two plots as parcels of the plaintiff's ancestral jammai lands, the Court of first instance found that one plot was parcel, but was not satisfied that the other was so also. The lower

TITLE-continued.

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE —oontinued.

(a) GENERALLY—continued.

Evidence of title—continued.

Appellate Court agreed with the first finding, but further found that there was sufficient evidence of possession to show that both plots were parcels of the jammai. Held, reversing the decision of FIELD, J., that on second appeal the High Court was not entitled to question the sufficiency of that evidence; and, further, that one plot having been found to be parcel of the jammai, it was sufficient to give evidence of possession and ownership to prove that the other plot was also parcel. Dadabhai Narsidas v. Sub-Collector of Broach, 7 Bom., A. C., 82, distinguished. BABODA KANTO BEFPARI v. JODHISTEE NATH

Registration after proclamation.—Evidence of assertion of title.

The act of registration after a proclamation under section 20, Regulation XXXVII of 1793, amounts to a public, open, and notorious assertion of title on the one side, and the omission to register, unexplained by proof of the ill-health of the claimant, or absence in a distant country, or ignorance, affords an equally strong presumption of the non-existence of any title on the other. Usunout-land lands and the country of the contraction of the non-existence of the non-existe

any title on the other. USUDOOLLAH v. IMAMAN [5 W. R., P. C., 26: 1 Moore's I. A., 19

Registration in Collector's books.—Registration in the Collector's books is not of itself a proof of title.

GOBIND NATH SEIN v. GOBIND CHUNDER SEIN

[10 W. R., 393

AMEEROONISSA BIBER v. WOOMABOODDEEN MA-HOMED CHOWDHEY . . 14 W. R., 49

20. Entry in Collector's books.—Proof of title.—The Collector's book is kept for purposes of revenue, not for purposes of title, and the fact of a person's name being entered in the Collector's book as occupant of land does not necessarily of itself establish that person's title, or defeat the title of any other person. Fatma kom Nubi Saheb v. Dabya Saheb

[10 Bom., 187

COLLECTOR OF POONA v. BHAVANRAV BAL-KRISHNA . . . 10 Bom., 192

Sangapa Malapa v. Bhimangowda Mariapa [10 Bom., 194

21. Co-proprietors.—
Registration of shares in land.—Registration of land under Bengal Act VII of 1876 is not only no conclusive proof, but no evidence at all, upon the question of title of a proprietor so registered. Such registration does not relieve a plaintiff from the onus of proving his title to land claimed by him. RAM BHUSAN MAHTO v. JEBLI MAHTO

[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 853

See also Saraswati Dasi v. Dhanput Singh [I. L. R., 9 Calc., 431: 12 C. L. R., 12

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE —continued.

(a) GENERALLY—continued.

Evidence of title-continued.

Payment of rent.—Presumption.—Continuous payment of rent for about a hundred years held to give rise to a presumption that the tenant held under a mirasi title. Brajanath, Kundu Chowdhry e. Lakhi Nabayan Addi . . . 7 B. L. R., 211

23. Title confirmed by decree.—Where a proprietary title is affirmed by a decree, the property is not subsequently held under the decree alone, but under the original title.

Ambit Koobb v. Roof Koobb

[2 N. W., 459; Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 240

(b) Long Possession.

24. Title by long possession.—Adverse possession.—Limitation.—
Twelve years' continuous possession of land by a wrong-doer not only bars the remedy and extinguishes the title of the rightful owner, but confers a good title upon the wrong-doer. Semble,—Such title may be transferred to a third person whilst it is in course of acquisition, and before it has been perfected by possession. Gossain Dass Chunder v. Issue Chunder Nath . . I. L. R., 3 Calc., 224

See GOLUCK CHUNDER MASANTA v. NUNDO COOMAR ROY

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 699: 3 C. L. R., 450

Title by long pos. session .- Adverse possession .- Limitation .- Grant made by wife during absence of husband .- A wife, during the prolonged absence of her husband, who was erroneously supposed to be dead, acting in excess of the limited powers of a wife in possession of her absent husband's property, made a mirasi grant of a portion of her husband's estate. The grantee entered into and remained in possession for upwards of twelve years. Held that the position of the grantee was not that of a lessee, and that his possession (although in its inception an act of trespass against the husband) having continued for upwards of twelve years, had perfected his title to the lands. One who holds possession on behalf of another does not, by mere denial of that other's title, make his possession adverse, so as to give himself the benefit of the statute of limitation. CHUNDER BANERJEE v. KALLY PROSONNO MOOKER-. I. L. R., 4 Calc., 327 JEE

26.

Declaration of title.— Adverse possession.— Variation between pleading and proof.—A declaration of title may be made upon proof of twelve years' adverse possession. Such declaration cannot, however, be given on a title not distinctly stated in the plaint or in the issues. Shield Kumaei Debi v. Govind Shaw Tanti . . . I. L. R., 2 Calc., 418

TITLE-continued.

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE -continued

(b) Long Possession—continued.

Evidence of title-continued,

JUGGUT CHUNDER v. BANEE MADHUE BANERJEE [23 W. R., 205

Proof of title.-Possession for period of limitation. - Plaintiff stating that he was obstructed in the cultivation of certain land which belonged to him, asked that the obstruction be removed and damages granted. The damages were disallowed, but the Civil Judge made a declaration of title in the plaintiff's favour, basing that entitlement on the statute of limitations. Held that where a man seeks a declaration of a title other than the possession which he has, mere possession for the period of the statute will not justify the declaration, which, allowing it to be made, ought to be based upon a finding of the title alleged by plaintiff, and not upon the existence of a possession for the period required by the statute to bar the action of another. Accordingly, the lower Appellate Court was required to return a finding on the issue "whether the title asserted by plaintiff is proved." TIRU-MALASAMI REDDI v. RAMA SAMI REDDI

[6 Mad., 420

29. Proof of title.—Suit for declaration of title.—Adverse possession.—Case made in plaint.—Where a specific title has been alleged, but not proved, and the plaintiff endeavours to succeed in the first Court or second Court of Appeal upon a title by twelve years' adverse possession, he must be prepared to show that this other title by twelve years' adverse possession was raised in the Court of first instance with sufficient clearness to enable his adversary to understand that he claimed to succeed as well by twelve years' adverce possession as by the specific title alleged. Krishna Churn Baisack v. Protab Chunder Surma. I. L. R., 7 Calc., 560

30.

Adverse possession.—Unregistered deed of sale.—On the 18th January 1876 plaintiff became purchaser at a Court's sale of the right, title, and interest of G. and N. in a shop, and, having been obstructed by defendant in obtaining possession of it, sued to recover it from him. The plaint was filed on the 27th January 1877. Defendant answered that he purchased it from G. under a deed of sale dated 5th January 1865, and that he had been in possession since that day. The deed of sale was not admitted in evidence

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE —continued.

(b) Long Possession—continued.

Proof of title-continued.

for want of registration, but it was found that defendant had been in possession as owner since 5th January 1865. Held that, although the defendant could not prove a title by purchase, it was open to him to establish his title without the aid of the deed of sale; that his possession of the premises for more than twelve years prior to the institution of the suit was adverse both to G. and N., and that the claim of the plaintiff, who was assignee of their interest, was consequently barred. Balaram Nemchand v. Appa, 9 Bom., 121, explained; Somu Gurrukal v. Rangammal, 7 Mad., 13, referred to and followed. Sambhubhai Karsandass v. Shivialdass Sadalia.

I. L. R., 4 Bom., 89

31. Long possession.—Liability to assessment of revenue.—A title to hold land free from assessment to revenue cannot be acquired by any length of possession revenue-free. SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA IN COUNCIL v. RAM UGRAH SINGH . I. L. R., 7 All, 140

2. MISCELLANEOUS CASES.

32. Right to raise question of title.—Boundary dispute.—Suit for possession.—In a boundary dispute the title of the plaintiff is not, except under very peculiar circumstances, open to attack; but when the plaintiff sues for possession of property in the defendant's hands, not as forming part of another estate, but claiming a right thereto under a superior title, then the defendant has a right to call the plaintiff's title in question. RAMCHUNDER BANERIER T. MUDDUNMOHUN TEWARER

[W. R., 1864, 355

[2 W. R., 138

Suit for possession of land held under superior holders.—The plaintiff sued to recover possession of certain lands said to have been included in a talooka pottah given him by the zemindars, alleging that the defendants were obstructing his possession. For the defence it was averred that these lands fell within a 9 annas share which belonged to one D., and that by process of sale they became the right of other parties under whom defendants held as lessees. Held that, notwithstanding the parties to this suit held under a superior landholder, plaintiff was entitled to have his title put in issue and determined. NAGUR CHAND v. DOORGA DOSS CHOWDHEY

[11 W. R., 137 See Dinomonee Baneejee v. Gybutoollah Khan

84. Onus probandi.—Proof of title.—Suit for confirmation of title and declaratory decree.—When a plaintiff sues for confirmation of possession and seeks a declaratory decree, he must make out his title affirmatively. If the Indian Courts agree in holding that he has not done so,

TITLE -- continued.

2. MISCELLANEOUS CASES—continued. Onus probandi—continued.

even though the High Court may not have attended to the depositions of material witnesses, the Judicial Committee will not disturb the decision of the High Court. TORAB ALLY v. MAHOMED TUKKER

[19 W. R., P. C., 1

35. Claim under particular title.—Presumption.—Where a plaintiff claims, not under any general right of inheritance, but expressly under a deed, he must prove that deed: no legal presumption as to the contents of the deed can arise from a consideration of what the party, through whom he claims, would have been entitled to by the law of inheritance, had there been such a deed. MOOAD MULLICK v. BELAT MULLICK

[9 W. R., 385

36. Possession .-Proof of title and possession.—Suit for injunction.— Hindu law.—K. C., a Hindu, died in March 1864, possessed, among certain other property, of a house, and leaving three sons, R., B., and T. He also left a will, of he which appointed R. executor, and declared that "the whole of my estate, both real and personal, and the existing shop, you, R., are the proprietor of the whole." R. was directed to furnish the expenses of the household and carry on the shop, and pay for religious observances, &c. The testator then left legacies to his daughters and others, but made no mention of his sons B. and T. R. applied for probate of the will and a caveat was entered by B., but the opposition was withdrawn on a compromise, and the will was proved; the compromise, however, was never carried out. In August 1866 R. died, leaving a son, M., and his two brothers, B. and T., surviving him, and having made a will appointing T. executor, and giving him the power of dealing with all the property. T. applied for probate, but was opposed by M.; but on 23rd May 1867 probate was granted. On 26th March 1867 B. and T. mortgaged a twothird share in the house to the defendant, and on default in payment of the mortgage-debt, the defendant obtained a decree for payment or sale on 6th January 1868. On 17th August 1867 T. mortgaged the whole house to the plaintiffs to secure payment of money borrowed to carry out A.'s will. The plaintiffs obtained a decree for foreclosure on 15th July 1869, and subsequently a decree for possession. In a suit brought by the plaintiffs in possession, alleging that the defendant's mortgage and decree threw a cloud on their title, and that they would be injured by the sale, the plaint prayed that the defendant might be restrained by injunction from proceeding to sale, and his mortgage be brought into Court and cancelled. Held that, to entitle them to relief, they must prove their title as well as their possession, and on failure to do so the suit must be dismissed. ROOPLALL KHETTBY v. MOHENDRO NATH ROY

37. False deed set up in support of rightful claim.—A party is not precluded from succeeding upon a title established by a genuine

[10 B. L. R., 271, note

2. MISCELLANEOUS CASES-continued.

False deed set up in support of rightful claim—continued.

deed, because he sets up a false deed which, if treated as a conveyance and not as a mere confirmation, may be inconsistent with that title. PATTA-BHIRAMIER v. VENCATAROW NAICKEN

[7 B. L. R., P. C., 136: 15 W. R., 35 13 Moore's I. A., 560

Transfer of property.— Relinquishment of dur-mokurrari lease.—Necessity for conveyance. - Where a dur-mokurrari has been granted and then relinquished for valuable considera-tion to the grantors, no formal reconveyance is necessary to revest the title in the latter. IMAMBANDI . Begum v. Kumleswari Pershad

[L. R., 13 I. A., 160; I. L. R., 14 Calc., 109

TITLE SUIT, IRREGULARITY IN-

See High Court, Jurisdiction of-High COURT, CALCUTTA-CIVIL.

[2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 245

TITLE-DEEDS.

See Cases under Deposit of Title-

- Delivery of, for specific purpose. See ATTORNEY AND CLIENT.

15 B. L. R., Ap., 15

Possession of-

See EVIDENCE-CIVIL CASES-MODE OF DEALING WITH EVIDENCE. [2 W. R., P. C., 167 8 Moore's I. A., 4

Production of—

See Inspection of Documents.

[5 Bom., O. C., 152 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 496 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 655 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 808

See ONUS PROBANDI-DECLARATION OF . 6 B. L. R., 144 TITLE

See TITLE-EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE-GENERALLY . 6 B. L. R., 144 [19 W. R., 162

Suit to recover—

See JURISDICTION - SUITS FOR LAND-GENERAL CASES. I. L. R., 4 Calc., 322

TITLES OF HONOUR.

See PLAINT-FORM AND CONTENTS OF PLAINT-DEFENDANTS.

[12 B. L. R., 443; 445, note

TODA GARAS HAQ.

See DUTIES.

[2 Bom., 253: 2nd Ed., 239 7 Bom., A. C., 50

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 144-INTEREST IN IMMOVEABLE PROPERTY.

[18 B. L. R., 254

See Pensions Act, 1871, ss. 3 and 4. [I. L. R., 1 Bom., 203 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 443

I. L. R., 5 Bom., 408

See PENSIONS ACT, 1871, s. 11. I. L. R., 4 Bom., 432

TODDY.

See Bombay Abkari Act, 1878, s. 14 and I. L. R., 6 Bom., 398 [I. L. R., 9 Bom., 462

See Bombay Revenue Jurisdiction . I. L. R., 9 Bom., 462 ACT, 1876 See JURISDICTION OF CIVIL COURT-REV-ENUE . I. L. R., 9 Bom., 462

TOLLS.

- Lessee of tolls. - Act VIII of 1851.—A lessee of tolls was held not to be, a person employed in the management and collection of tolls within the meaning of Act VIII of 1851. IN THE MATTER OF BANKA BIHARI GHOSE

[2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 17: 11 W. R., 26

 Illegal collection of tolls.— Act VIII of 1851, s. 6 .- Public road .- To justify a conviction under section 6, Act VIII of 1851, for illegal collection of a toll on a public road, it was necessary that the road should be a public road within the meaning of section 2 of the Act. IN RE NAREN-DEONABAIN SINGH. . . 6 W. R., Cr., 48

Illegal demand of toll.—Act VIII of 1851, s. 6 .- Summary offence.- A charge of an illegal demand of toll under Act VIII of 1851, section 6, ought not to be dealt with summarily under Chapter XVIII of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872. The power of levying tolls under Act VIII of 1851 is vested in the Lieutenant-Governor of Bengal, and is restricted to levying tolls only at the toll-bar: the establishment of a toll must be by some distinct resolution of the Government notified in some way or other by the Government. The word "extortionately" in section 6 of Act VIII of 1851 is not used in the same sense as it is used in the Penal Code, but as meaning an unlawful demand of toll accompanied by pressure, the pressure in this case being the exercise of the powers indicated in section 3 of the Act by seizing the complainant's horses and carts and detaining them until the toll was paid. UTTOM CHUNDER GANGOOLY v. ISSUE CHUNDER MOOKERJEE [22 W. R., Cr., 76

TORTS.

See CASES UNDER DAMAGES-SUITS FOR DAMAGES-TORTS.

TORTS-continued.

See Cases under Damages—Measure and Assessment of Damages— Toets.

TORTURE.

See ABETMENT—TORTUES. [7 W. R., Cr., 3 21 W. R., Cr., 11

See POLICE OFFICER . 7 W. R., Cr., 3

TOTAL LOSS.

See Insurance.—Marine Insurance.
[6 B. L. R., 218: 7 B. L. R., 347
3 Bom., A. C., 1
Bourke, O. C., 17, 228

TOWAGE, LIEN FOR-

See BOTTOMRY BOND . 6 B. L. R., 323

TOWAGE CONTRACT.

See Action in Rem. [I. L. R., 10 Calc., 865

TOWING, RULES FOR-

See STEAM-TUGS . . 1 Hyde, 293
[2 W. R., P. C., 51: 8 Moore's I. A., 103

TOWN DUTIES, BOMBAY.

Act XIX of 1844.-Suit to

a tax on cotton and cotton seeds purchased in, and exported from, Broach .- Cess illegal .- Agency. -Trust .- The plaintiff, manager and part proprietor of a Vallabhacharya temple at Broach, sued the defendant to establish the right of the temple to levy a cess on cotton and cotton seed purchased in Broach and exported from it. The defendant denied the plaintiff's right and contended (inter alia) that, even if the right existed until 1844, it was then abolished by Act XIX of that year, which "enacted that from the first day of October 1844 all town duties, kusubviras, mohtarphas, baluti taxes, and cesses of every kind on trades and professions, under whatsoever name, levied within the Presidency of Bombay, and not forming a part of the land revenue, shall be abolished." Held that Act XIX of 1844 applied to the cess claimed by the plaintiff. The expression "cesses of every kind" included the cess on cotton and cotton seeds, and absolutely put an end to the right, if any existed, of the Government or of any private individual of levying the same. Held, also, the suit could not be regarded as a suit for money had and received by the defendant to the plaintiff's use, or as one to recover money received by the defendant as trustee or agent. Gosvami Shri Purushotamji Maharaj v. Robb [I. L. R., 8 Bom., 398

TRADE, CONTRACT IN RESTRAINT OF-

See Cases under Contract Act, s. 27.

TRADE MARK.

See DAMAGES—MEASURE AND ASSESSMENT OF DAMAGES—TORTS. [I. L. R., 10 Born., 617

See Injunction—Special Cases—Trade Mark. . . 3 B. L. R., Ap., 4 Cor., 150

 Injunction to restrain use of trade marks.—Combination of figures.—The plaintiffs, from 1872, imported and sold an article described as 71th grey shirtings, and marked as follows: "In the centre of each piece of cloth a stamp in blue colour of a turtle in a star, with the words 'trade mark;' underneath, in a semi-circular form, is the name 'Fleming, Galbraith, & Co., Manchester,' and under this the number 89 within a star, and at the bottom of each piece the number 2008." In 1877 the plaintiffs discovered that the defendants were importing from the same manufacturers, and selling cloth of a similar quality marked as follows: "A stamp in blue colour of a rose in a square; underneath are the words 'Ralli and Mavrojani' arranged in a semi-circular form, and under this the number 39 in a star, and at the bottom the number 2008." On the facts of the case the lower Court (MAOPHERSON, J.) granted an interim injunction to restrain the defendants from so marking their cloth, on the ground that it was a colourable

imitation of the plaintiffs' mark and calculated to

mislead the public; and on appeal the Court (GARTH, C. J., and MARKEY, J.) upheld that decision so far as to continue the injunction. Held per GARTH, C. J.

-If the imitation of the plaintiffs' marks generally,

or the use of the number 2008 in particular, would

be calculated to deceive or mislead the public, the

defendants ought to be restrained from such use or

imitation. Under the circumstances the use of their

marks by the defendants would be calculated to deceive

the public into the belief that they were purchasing goods imported by the plaintiffs. Per MARKEY, J.—The number 2008 was not part of the plaintiffs' trade mark proper: nor on the evidence was it so associated with the plaintiffs' name as to indicate to the public that the goods bearing that number came only from the plaintiffs' firm as importers; on the evidence it was merely a quality mark, and therefore not calculated to mislead the public into the belief that they were purchasing those imported by the defendants. Semble,—There may be a right to ex-

importers only. RALLI v. FLEMING
[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 417: 2 C. L. R., 93

2. Right to use of trade mark.—Rival traders.—Similarity of same.—No trader importing goods can lawfully adopt a trade mark which is calculated to cause his goods to bear in the market the same name as those of a rival trader. TAYLOR v. VIEASAMI . . . I. L. R., 6 Mad., 108

clusive use of a trade mark by traders who are

3, —— Restraining use of trade mark.—Evidence of fraud.—The ground upon which a person is restrained from using another's trade mark is that he is gaining an advantage by the use of a particular trade mark which is the property

TRADE MARK.—Restraining use of trade mark—continued.

of another. It is not necessary to prove intentional fraud, or to show that persons have been actually deceived. It is sufficient if the Court be satisfied that the resemblance is such as would be likely to cause the one mark to be mistaken for the other. EWING v. GRANT, SMITH, & CO. 2 Hyde, 185

Balfour & Co. v. Kilburn & Co. [1 Hyde, 270

— Possession and use of trade mark.—User in foreign market.—Abandonment.-. Estoppel by conduct. - Such possession and use of a trade mark in one market as to constitute a right in it, establishes in the owner thereof an exclusive right to that trade mark in other markets, although the owner may not have used it in such markets. To constitute a mark a trade mark, it must have been adopted as a symbol devised to distinguish a particular class of goods as the goods of that class manufactured or selected by a particular manufacturer or merchant. Where the plaintiffs by their conduct led the defendant to believe that they claimed no right to a certain trade mark, and that it was open to the defendant to adopt it as his own, and the defendant did adopt it, and by his industry secured a wide popularity for it in the Indian market,-Held that the plaintiffs were estopped from denying the defendant's right to use the trade mark in the Indian

[I. L. R., 8 Mad., 149

TRADER.

See Insolvent Act, s. 7.
[I. L. R., 7 Bom., 411
I. L. R., 13 Calc., 68

See Insolvent Act, s. 60. [I. L. R., 5 Bom., 1 2 Hyde, 1, 177 7 Bom., O. C., 22

TRADESMAN'S ACCOUNT.

market. LAVERGNE v. HOOPER

See SMALL CAUSE COURT, PRESIDENCY TOWNS—JURISDICTION—GENERALLY.
[I. L. R., 2 Bom., 570

TRANSFER BY ENDORSEMENT.

See STAMP ACT, 1869, 88. 34, 41. [I. L. R., 3 Calc., 347]

Instrument of—

See Stamp Act, 1869, sch. II, art. 38. [L. L. R., 2 Calc., 399

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE.

Col.
1. General Cases . . . 6013

2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL 13 6019

3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER . . . 6022

1. GENERAL CASES.

1. ——— Power to transfer.—Mad. Reg. IV of 1816, s. 26—Village Munsif.—Jurisdiction.—

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE-continued.

1. GENERAL CASES—continued.

Power to transfer-continued.

In a suit under Regulation IV of 1816, the defendant having objected to the Village Munsif trying the suit on the ground of personal hostility, the Munsif transferred the suit to another Village Munsif. Held that this transfer was illegal. Per HUTCHINS, J.—Semble,—In such a case the Village Munsif should report the facts to the District Court, and the District Judge should transfer the case for trial to another Village Munsif. LAKSHMAKKA v. BALI [I. L. R., 8 Mad., 500

2. Transfer to Munof Small Cause Court suit.—A suit within the cognisance of the Small Cause Court cannot be lawfully transferred for trial to a Munsif's Court. JOOBBAJ CHOWKEEDAB V. WHELAN

[13 W. R., 399

Gase remanded for local inquiry.—A case remanded to a District Judge for the purpose of a local inquiry cannot be transferred to a Subordinate Judge for disposal. CHOWDRY HAMEDOOLLAH v. MUTEEOONISA BIBEE

[15 W. R., 574

4. Power of Judge to transfer case under Act XVI of 1868.—A Judge had no authority under Act XVI of 1868 to order a Subordinate Judge to try proceedings in execution of a decree which were a portion of the original civil suit tried by himself. APTABOODDREN AHMED v. MOHINEE MOHUN DOSS . 15 W. R., 48

Beng. Civil Courts
Act, VI of 1871, s. 19.—Though a Subordinate Judge
may very properly, if he find the subject-matter of a
suit to be of the value of less than R1,000, transfer
it for trial to a Muusif, yet a Subordinate Judge is
empowered under section 19, 'Act VI of 1871, to try
causes of any value. Suffeeollah Siegar v.

Begum Biber 25 W. R.

Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 6.-Withdrawal of suits from subordinate Courts .- Remand by higher Court .-Fresh suit.—The power given by section 6 of Act VIII of 1859 to a Zillah Judge for the withdrawal of suits from subordinate Courts should only be exercised upon cause shown, and ordinarily not without opportunity given to the parties to the suit to be heard upon the question. The terms of section 6 were inapplicable to suits which the subordinate Court had received by order of remand from a Court to which the District Court was itself subordinate. A suit sent by the High Court to a subordinate Court under a remand to the High Court by Her Majesty's order in Council, and in which, under the Council's remand order, the plaint has been amended, a new statement filed, and new issues framed, is substantially a new suit. Mahomed Zahoor Ali Khan v. Rutta Kun-2 N. W., 481 WOOR .

7. Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 6.—Transfer of purt-heard case to be

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE-continued.

1. GENERAL CASES-continued.

Power to transfer-continued.

completed in another Court.—Section 6 of Act VIII of 1859 did not authorise the taking a case in progress of trial off the file of a Subordinate Judge in order that it might be completed by the Judge himself, or some other Court. It is clear that such transfer must take place on the institution of the suit. RAM NATH v. GOWHUE . 2 N. W., 230

DUMRRE SAHOO v. JUGDHAREE . 13 W. R., 398
ABDOOL HYE v. MACRAE . . 23 W. R., 1

8. Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 6.—Transfer after evidence has been taken.—Quære,—Whether a case could be transferred from one Court to another, under section 6, after the evidence had been taken in the former Court. Asmedia Koonwar v. Tayler. Khorshed Ali v. Tayler. W. R., 1864, 15

9. Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 6 .- Suit brought whilst Court is closed for vacation .- The Court of the Plincipal Sudder Ameen of Thana being closed during vacation, a plaint which, under section 6 of the Civil Procedure Code, ought to have been instituted in that Court, was, by the order of the District Judge, referred for trial to the Assistant Judge, entered in the register of suits in the Judge's Court, and tried by the Assistant Judge. Held, reversing the decree of the District Court in appeal, that it was not lawful for the Judge to refer the suit, without its having first been instituted in the Principal Sudder Ameen's Court. MOTILAL RAMDAS v. JAMNADAS JAVERDAS . 2 Bom., 42: 2nd Ed., 40

Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 6.—District Court.—Power to receive plaint when lowest Court closed.—Where a plaintiff presented a plaint to the District Court, the Subordinate Judge's Court, in which he ought to have presented it, being then temporarily closed, it was held that the District Court could not be considered a Court of first instance, competent to receive the plaint. The decision in In re Ganesh Sadashiv, 5 Bom., A. C., 117, overruled; and Motilal Ramdas Javerdus, 2 Bom., A. C., 42, followed. RAMAYA ELAPA v. MUHAMADBHAI . 10 Bom., 495

Civil Procedure Code, 1869, ss. 5 and 6.—Jurisdiction.—Held that though both suits were properly cognisable by the Court at Cawnpore, yet the Sudder Court's order, which it was competent to pass under section 6, Act VIII of 1859, gave jurisdiction to the Principal Sudder Ameen of another district, whose decision was not liable to be set aside for want of jurisdiction, in reference to the provisions of section 5 of that enactment. HAM BUX v. GIRDHAREE LALL

[l Agra, 178

by one officer and decision given by another.—A suit for enhancement was filed under Act X of 1859, in the Court of a Deputy Collector. The issues were

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE—continued.

1. GENERAL CASES-continued.

Power to transfer-continued.

framed and the evidence recorded by an Assistant Collector, apparently not invested with the powers of a Deputy Collector, who wrote a report recommending the mode in which the suit should be disposed of. It was then disposed of by another Deputy Collector who was probably acting at the time as Collector. Held that there was no power to transfer the case, and that the procedure by which the suit was heard by one officer and decided by another was illegal.

HUEDYAL OOPUDHYA v. MAHOMED NARRM [1 N. W., Part II, p. 19: Ed. 1873, 79]

Civil Procedure
Code, 1859, ss. 5 and 6.—Where a District Court
had jurisdiction under section 5, Act VIII of 1859,
to try a suit, and defendant made no application to
the Judge, or communication to the plaintiff, with a
view to its being tried in a different district, the case
was held to be not one for the exercise of any special
power by the High Court for that purpose. Kristo
Dass Koondoo v. Issur Chunder Chowders
[11 W. R., 189]

- Civil Procedure 14. -Code, 1859, s. 6.-Notification giving jurisdiction as Small Cause Court .- Power to transfer pending cases .- Where, on 3rd March 1870, the Government issued a notification under sections 4 and 5, Madras Act IV of 1863, investing the Additional Principal Sudder Ameen of Mangalore with exclusive jurisdiction to try Small Cause suits for sums under R500 within the jurisdiction of the District Munsif,-Held that the Munsif had no power after the notification to transfer to the Principal Sudder Ameen an application pending before himself at the date of the notification under section 6 of the Civil Procedure Code, 1859, the notification not being retrospective in its operation. NARAYANA MALYA v. GOVIND . 6 Mad., 18 SHETTY

Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 13. -Power of Sudder Courts. -Section 13, Act VIII of 1859, enacted that, where a suit was brought for immoveable property situated within districts subject to different Sudder Courts, the Judge in whose Court the suit was brought should apply to the Sudder Court to which he was subject for authority to proceed, and the Sudder Court to which the application was made, with the concurrence of the other Sudder Court within whose jurisdiction the property was partly situated, might give authority to proceed. But no power was expressly given in the section cited, or .elsewhere in the Act, to direct the transfer of a suit brought in a Court subordinate to one Sudder Court to a Court subordinate to another Sudder Court. Quære,-Whether Sudder Courts acting in concurrence had power to make such a transfer. SKINNER alias NAWAB MIRZA v. ORDE

16. Civil Procedure Yode, 1859, s. 13.—Family domains of the Maharaja of Benares.—Held, following S. A. No. 969 of 1877, decided the 14th December 1877, that the

[I. L. R., 2 All., 241

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE-continued.

1. GENERAL CASES-continued.

Power to transfer-continued.

provisions of section 13 of Act VIII of 1859 were not applicable in a case in which a portion of the immoveable property was situate within the limits of the family domains of the Maharaja of Benares, those domains not constituting a district within the meaning of that section.

RACHU NATH DASS v.

KAKKAN MAL . I. I. R., 3 All., 568

- Civil Procedure Code, 1877, s. 24.—Place of suing.—grounds for transfer .- Section 24 of the Civil Procedure Code does not empower a High Court to transfer a suit instituted within its own jurisdiction to the jurisdiction of another High Court, but only to declare in which Court a suit shall proceed, and, if necessary, to stay all further proceedings within its own jurisdiction. The defendants in a suit instituted at Mainpuri, who resided and carried on business at Surat, applied under section 24 of the Civil Procedure Code that the suit might be tried at Surat, on the ground that it would be tried with greater convenience to them at that place. Held that there being no balance in favour of either justice or convenience on the side of the Surat Court, the suit should proceed at Mainpuri. Tula Ram v. Harjiwan Dass

[I. L. R., 5 All., 60

18. Civil Procedure Code, 1877, s. 25.—Power of High Court.—The High Court cannot make an order of transfer of a case under section 25 of the Code of Civil Procedure unless the Court from which the transfer is sought to be made has jurisdiction to try it. PEARY LALL MOZOOMDAR v. KOMAL KISHORE DASSIA

[I. L. R., 6 Calc., 30

19. Civil Procedure Code, 1877, s. 25 .- Transfer from Court in which a suit has been wrongly instituted .- A suit for the infringement of certain inventions, instead of being instituted in the Court having, by virtue of section 22 of Act XV of 1859, jurisdiction to entertain it, was instituted in a Court subordinate to such Court not having such jurisdiction. The Court having jurisdiction to entertain such suit, at the joint request of the parties, transferred it for trial to itself under section 25 of the Civil Procedure Code, and tried it. Held in the High Court that, inasmuch as the parties had assented to the transfer of the suit, and its transfer brought it into the right Court, the fact that the suit had been originally instituted in the wrong Court did not render the transfer illegal, and the Court having jurisdiction had properly tried the suit. Prr-. I. L. R., 5 All., 371 MAN v. BULL

But held by the Privy Council (reversing this decision) that under section 25 of the Civil Procedure Code the superior Court cannot make an order of transfer of a case unless the Court from which the transfer is sought to be made has jurisdiction to try it. Peary Lall Mozoomdar v. Komal Kishore Dassia, I. L. R., 6 Calc., 30, approved. A suit having been instituted in the Court of the Subordinate Judge, who was incompotent to try it, the case was transfer-

TRANSFER OF CIVIL

1. GENERAL CASES-continued.

Power to transfer-continued.

red by consent of parties to the Court of the District Judge for convenience of trial. *Held* that such transference was incompetent, and that such consent did not operate as a waiver of the plea to the jurisdiction which was taken in the defendant's written statement and subsequently insisted upon. LEDGARD v. BULL

[L. R., 13 I. A., 134 : I. L. R., 9 A1L, 191

Civil Procedure
Code, 1882, s. 331.—Claim below ordinary pecuniary
limit.—By virtue of section 647 of the Code of Civil
Procedure, a superior Court may, for sufficient cause,
transfer a claim, registered under section 331, to a
subordinate Court for trial.

SITHALAKSHMI v. VYTHILINGA I. L. R., 8 Mad., 548

Reasons for transfer. - Amending issues. - Procedure on transfer. - The mere transfer of a suit for the convenience of the public, or for the acceleration of business, from one subordinate Court to another, does not affect the authority of the Judge of the District Court to transfer it to his own file, or to another Court, or to retransfer it, if he see sufficient cause for so doing; nor would the circumstance that a case had been up on appeal to the High Court on a preliminary point, and been remanded for a trial on the merits, limit the authority of the District Court Judge to bring it upon his own file, or to transfer it to the file of a Court other than that in which it was instituted. omission of the Judge to assign his reason for transferring the case does not vitiate his proceeding. When a Judge transfers a case to his own file, he is at liberty to amend the issues first laid down, and to raise additional issues, and to go into the whole case, except upon any question upon which there has been a judicial finding. TARUCKNATH MOOKERJEE v. GOUREE CHURN MOOKERJEE . 3 W. R., 147

22. - Procedure on transfer.—Evidence of witnesses.—Where a suit which was filed originally before a Principal Sudder Ameen, who had fixed the issues and recorded the evidence of witnesses, is transferred by a Judge to his own file, the Judge, his Court being a Court of original jurisdiction, ought to have the witnesses before him and take their evidence de novo. UNNOPOORNA v. HUBBULLUB SINGH. 8 W. R., 465

Code, 1882, s. 25.—Court to which suit is transferred not taking fresh evidence.—Where the trial of a suit was commenced by a Subordinate Judge and then transferred by the District Judge to his own file under section 25 of the Civil Procedure Code, and the latter did not retake the evidence, but dealt with the case as it came to him from the Subordinate Judge and dismissed the suit,—Held that the District Judge had not tried the case within the meaning of section 25 of the Code. BANDHU NAIK v. LAKHI KUAR

24. Case referred to arbitration.—Power of Judge to decide after trans-

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE -continued.

1. GENERAL CASES—continued.

Procedure on transfer-continued.

fer.—A case having been withdrawn by the Judge, for trial in his own Court, from the Principal Sudder Ameen's Court, where it had already been referred to arbitration,—Held that the Judge was quite competent to decide the case himself, without necessarily being bound also to refer it to arbitration.

ABOO MAHOMED v. KISHEN MOHUN SURMA

[6 W. R., 290

25. Application for transfer.—
Transfer of several separate suits.—Separate applications.—Where it is desired to have a number of suits transferred, a separate application should be made in each case for transfer. Kishores Lal v. Luchmun Doss 2 N. W., 147

2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 13.

- 26. Transfer to High Court.—Jurisdiction of High Court, Calcutta.—Sessions Court, Allahabad.—The High Court at Calcutta had no jurisdiction over the Court of the Sessions Judge at Allahabad, such Court not being subject to the superintendence of the High Court under the 13th section of the Charter. Great Eastern Hotel Company v. Secretary of State for India [1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 219]
- Ground for transfer.—Prejudice to interests of party.—A suit will not be removed from a Zillah Court in which it was instituted, to the ordinary original jurisdiction of the High Court, unless it be clearly shown that the interests of the party petitioning for such removal will be prejudiced by a non-removal. BORRADALLE v. GREGOEX... Bourke, Ex. O. C., 1
- pense.—The 13th section of the Letters Patent (1865) of the High Court at Fort William gives the Court power to order a suit to be transferred for trial only where the transfer is agreed on by the parties, or for the purposes of justice; and in the absence of agreement it must be made out that there will be inconvenience amounting to this, that, if the case be tried in the Court in which it was originally laid, the trial will be unsatisfactory. The mere fact that it would be less expensive to try the case in the High Court is not sufficient of itself for the Court to act upon and order the case to be transferred. OJOODERAM KHAN V. NOBLIMMONEY DOSSEE

[1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 396

29. Ground for transfer.—Nature of questions for disposal.—Conduct of Judge.—On an application under the Letters Patent, 1865, clause 13, for the removal of a suit,—Held that, having regard to the whole circumstances connected with the case from the beginning, the questions to be disposed of, and the conduct of the Judge before whom the proceedings were, it was proper and necessary for the purposes of justice that the suit should

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE—continued.

2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 18
—continued.

Transfer to High Court-continued.

be removed. Thakook Kapilnauth Sahai Dro v. Government . . . 10 B. L. R., 168

Ground for transfer.—Nature of questions for disposal.—Local prejudice.—The Court refused to transfer a case from the mofussil, where there were, among other alleged reasons, suggestions that the plaintiff's case might be prejudiced by being tried in the mofussil, and that difficult and intricate questions of law would arise in the case, the Court not being satisfied by the evidence that such reasons existed. COURJON COURJON ... 9 B. L. R., 10

 Ground for transfer .- Consent of parties .- Expense .- A suit for an account and for other relief relating to immoveable property situated without the local limits of the ordinary original civil jurisdiction of the High Court, was instituted against several defendants in the Court of the Subordinate Judge of the district within which the property was situated. Upon a petition by one of the defendants, consented to by most of the other defendants and by the plaintiff, the High Court ordered the suit to be removed from the Court in which it had been instituted, to be tried and determined by the High Court as a Court of extraordinary original jurisdiction, on the grounds that the parties and the witnesses resided in Calcutta, that it would be cheaper to try the suit in Calcutta, and that all parties appearing on the motion desired a transfer. PAYN v. ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL OF BENGAL

I. L. R., 6 Calc., 766: 6 C. L. R., 221

67 Ground for transfer.—Difficult questions of English law in case.—
The Court will order a suit to be removed from the mofussil, and tried in the High Court, when difficult points of English law arise, and when generally it appears to be an unfit case to be tried in the mofussil.

DOUCETT v. WISE 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 94

- Ground for transfer .- Questions of English law .- Parties .- British subjects and residents of Calcutta.-Where a case was originally tried by a Zillah Judge, and on appeal to the High Court on its appellate side the Judges of that Court remanded it to the Court below for a fresh trial, intimating that it was a proper case to be transferred under clause 13 of the Letters Patent constituting the High Court; and where it appeared that questions of English law were involved in the case, that the witnesses and parties were chiefly British subjects, and the plaintiff an officer of the High Court and resident in Calcutta, the Court ordered the case to be transferred for trial to the High Court, original jurisdiction. DOUCETT v. WISE [1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 227

84. Ground for transfer.—Sale in execution of decree.—Order winding up company.—On 25th October 1870 a petition for the winding up of the B. T. E. Company of

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE—continued. 2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 13 —continued.

Transfer to High Court-continued.

Assam was presented to the Court of Chancery in England by one of the shareholders of the Company, and a provisional liquidator was appointed. On 5th November, at an extraordinary meeting of the Company, it was resolved that the Company should be wound up, and liquidators were appointed. On 12th November, the petition for winding up came on for hearing, and an order was made that the voluntary winding up should continue subject to the supervision of the Court. On 18th November, by deed under the hands and seals of the liquidators, M. was appointed their attorney in India. On 27th October certain immoveable properties in Assam belonging to the Company were attached in execution of decrees in certain suits in the Court of the Munsif of Debroghur. On 9th December the properties were put up for sale, and purchased at prices which it was alleged were considerably under their value. Applications were made in the Munsif's Court at Debroghur by the purchasers for confirmation of the sales, which applications were opposed by M., and pending the Munsif's decision an application was made to the Deputy Commissioner of Luckimpore for an order to stay all proceedings in the decreesuits, on the ground of the order for winding up the Company of 12th November, which application was refused on 15th February 1871. On 16th February 1871 the Munsif made an order confirming the sales. M. thereupon petitioned the High Court for the removal of the suits from Assam to the High Court, to be tried in its extraordinary original civil jurisdiction, on the ground that no appeal would lie against the order of 15th February, refusing to stay the proceedings in the suits; and that if an appeal should be preferred to the Deputy Commissioner from the order of the Munsif confirming the sales, his decision would be final. The application was opposed on behalf of the purchasers. Held, the Munsif not having had notice of the winding-up order of 12th November, had power to sell the property on 9th December, and the sale having actually taken place, and there being nothing to show that there was any irregularity in the proceedings, the High Court would have no power, if the cases were brought down, to set aside the sale. This, therefore, was not a proper case for the exercise of the power which the High Court possesses under clause 13 of the Letters Patent. In THE MATTER OF DECREE-SUITS IN THE COURT OF . 7 B. L. R., 305 MUNSIF OF DEBROGHUR .

Accounting case.—Where a suit was originally instituted in the Hooghly Court, and H. S., who was a defendant, and not subject to the jurisdiction of that Court, joined in an application to have the case tried by the High Court in the exercise of its extraordinary original civil jurisdiction, which application was granted,—Held per PHEAR, J., that the suit must be treated as if the plaint had been originally filed in the High Court, the proceedings in the Hooghly Court being without jurisdiction, and the cause of action having

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE—continued
2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 13
—continued,

Transfer to High Court-continued.

arisen wholly within the jurisdiction of the High Court. Held on appeal by Peacock, C. J., and Machererson, J., that the defendant H. S., by joining in the application to have the suit removed to the High Court, admitted the jurisdiction of that Court to try the suit in the exercise of its extraordinary original civil jurisdiction, and could not afterwards dispute the jurisdiction. The law, therefore, to be administered by the High Court must be the same law and equity which ought to have been applied if the suit had been tried in the Court at Hooghly. Per Machererson, J.—The law which would have been applicable to the case if it had been tried at Hooghly, is practically the same as the English law, whatever may be the nationality of the parties. Geose v. Ameramany Dasi

[4 B. L. R., O. C., 1: 12 W. R., O. C., 13

Application for transfer.—Before whom application should be made. An application to the High Court to remove a case from a District Court, and to try it as a Court of extraordinary original jurisdiction, under section 13 of the Charter, should be made to a Judge sitting on the Original Side of the Court. DOUCETT v. WISE. 4 W. R., Mis., 7

3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER.

37. Expense, inconvenience, or other good reason.—Civil Procedure Code (Act XIV of 1882), s. 23.—Practice.—Section 23 of Act XIV of 1882 is only intended to provide for those cases where, on the ground of expense or convenience, or some other good reason, the Court thinks that the place of trial ought to be changed. Parties desirous of obtaining the transfer of a case from one forum to another ought clearly to explain to the Court by petition and affidavit what is the nature of the claim and defence; they should further state what are the issues and the evidence required, and then satisfy the Court that, either on the ground of expense or convenience, or otherwise, the place of trial ought to be changed. Khatija Bibi v. Taruk Chunder Dutt

[I. L. R., 9 Calc., 980: 13 C. L. R., 182

88. Portion of property in another jurisdiction.—Civil Procedure Code, 1877, s. 23.—Procedure.—The fact that a portion of property, the whole of which is sued for in the Court of the Munsif of A., is of less value than the remaining portion which is within the jurisdiction of the Munsif of B., is no sufficient ground for an application under the Code of Civil Procedure, section 23, for a transfer to the latter Court. A party applying under section 23, Act X of 1877, must first of all give notice to the other party or side; the application should then be received by the Munsif and transmitted to the High Court through the District Court. Publikation of the Munsif and transmitted to the High Court through the District Court.

TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE.

Col

- 6023 1. GENERAL CASES 2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 29 6027
- 3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER . . 6028
 - See Appral in Criminal Cases—Acts—

BURMA COURTS ACT. [I. L. R., 4 Calc., 667

See Cases under Complaint-Power TO REFER TO SUBORDINATE MAGIS-TRATE.

1. GENERAL CASES.

- Power to transfer.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 178.—Reference to High Court.—Burma Courts Act (Act XVII of 1875), s. 80.—The Local Government has no power, under section 178 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to transfer for trial to the Court of a Commissioner a criminal case duly committed for trial to the Court of the Recorder of Rangoon; but the Local Government has the power to transfer a case from the district of Rangoon to the Sessions division of Pegu. QUEEN-EMPRESS v. NGA THA MOUNG

[I. L. R., 10 Calc., 643

- Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 526 .- District Magistrate and Civil and Sessions Judge (qua Magistrate) of Bangalore subordinate to High Court .- The District Magistrate and the Civil and Sessions Judge of the civil and military station at Bangalore are Magistrates subordinate to the High Court at Madras within the meaning of section 526 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. The High Court, therefore, has power to transfer a case from the Courts of those Judges to any other Criminal Court. Under the circumstances disclosed, the High Court transferred this case. Scott v. Ricketts . I. L. R., 9 Mad., 356
- Power of High Court, Bombay .- Criminal Procedure Code, 1882. s. 526 -Act III of 1884, s. 11.-Cantonment Magistrate, Secunderabad .- The High Court of Bombay having been vested by notification of the Governor General of India in Council, No. 178, of 23rd September 1874, with original and appellate criminal jurisdiction over European British subjects, being Christians, resident, amongst other places, at Secunderabad, outside the Presidency of Bombay and within the territories of His Highness the Nizam of Hyderabad, the Cantonment Magistrate of Secunderabad in his character of a District Magistrate is subordinate to the High Court in criminal matters relating to Christian European British subjects in Hyderabad within the contemplation of section 526 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, Act X of 1882, as amended by Act III of 1884, section 11; and the High Court possesses, by virtue of the appellate jurisdiction so vested in it, the power of transferring a criminal case pending in the Cantonment Magistrate's Court either to itself or to any Criminal Court of equal or superior jurisdiction. The High Court by an order under section 526 of the Criminal Procedure Code

TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE-continued.

1. GENERAL CASES-continued.

Power to transfer—continued.

(Act X of 1882) transferred the present case of defamation from the Court of the Cantonment Magistrate at Secunderabad to the High Court for trial. on the ground that no machinery for a trial by jury existed at Secunderabad. QUEEN-EMPRESS v. ED-. I. L. R., 9 Bom., 333

- Power of High Court, Bombay .- Aden Act II of 1864 .- Transfer of case from Court of Political Resident at Aden .-Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 526 .- A prisoner charged with having committed murder at Perim was committed by the Magistrate there on the 26th August 1885, for trial before the Political Resident at Aden, by whom he was convicted and sentenced to death on the 14th September 1885. On the 26th January 1886, the High Court of Bombay reversed the conviction and sentence, on the ground that the Court of the Resident had no jurisdiction over the Island of Perim, and that the Resident, not having been appointed a Judge of a Court of Session for that island, was not competent to try the prisoner. The High Court ordered a re-trial before a competent Court. On the 10th February 1886 the Government of Bombay issued the notification (No. 823) above set forth. On the 11th March 1886 an application was made to the High Court of Bombay for the transfer of the case to another Court of Session or to the High Court for trial. Held that Perim is a Sessions Division, and that, after the establishment, under the Code of Criminal Procedure, of a Court of Session for the Perim Sessions Division and the appointment of the Resident at Aden as Sessions Judge of that Court, the accused stood properly committed to a Court of Session. The High Court, therefore, could transfer the case from that Court, under section 526 of the Code, to any other Court of equal or superior jurisdiction, or to the High Court of Bombay. Per BIRDWOOD, J.—The High Court cannot, under section 526 of the Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1882), any more than under section 25 of the Civil Procedure Code (Act XIV of 1882), direct the transfer of a case, which is not properly before a subordinate Court of competent jurisdiction, to receive and try it. Peary Lall Mozoomdar v. Komul Kishore Dossia, I. L. R., 6 Calo., 30, followed; Queen-Empress v. Thaku, I. L. R., 8 Bom., 312, distinguished. Per JARDINE, J.—After the High Court had annulled the proceedings in the Court of the Resident at Aden as without jurisdiction, the case could not be treated as still pending in his Court; and as there was no Court of Session in, existence at the time of the commitment, it necessarily followed that the case remained in the Magistrate's Court. But, whether the case was considered as pending in the Court of a Magistrate or of a Resident, or of a Sessions Judge, the High Court had the power to transfer it, and that under the circumstances the case should be so transferred to the High Court for trial. QUEEN-EMPRESS v. MANGAL TEK-. I. L. R. 10 Bom., 274 CHAND .

TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE—continued.

1. GENERAL CASES-continued.

- 5. Transfer to High Court.—High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act, X of 1875, s. 147 (Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 526) and s. 115.—
 "Case" referred to High Court.—Reference to Police Magistrate.—Semble.—That the "case" mentioned in section 147 of the High Court's Criminal Procedure Act, X of 1875, must refer to some question in the nature of a criminal proceeding, and not to a matter of a quasi-civil character, such as the reference to a Police Magistrate contemplated in section 115. Reg. RAMADAS SAMALDAS. EX PARTE MADAVII DHARBAMSI
- High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act, 1875, s. 147 (Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 526).—"Other proceeding."—Commitment, Application to quash.—24 & 25 Vict., c. 104, ss. 13 and 15.—The words "or other proceeding" in section 147 of Act X of 1875 did not include a commitment, and an application to have a commitment quashed could be entertained under the provisions of that section. In the matter of the petition of Chundre Mulliok. Charoo Chunder Mulliok. Charoo Chunder Mulliok.

[I. L. R., 9 Calc., 397

- Acquittal.—Presidency Magistrates Act (IV of 1877), s. 181.—Calcutta Municipal Act (Bengal Act IV of 1876), ss. 75, 79.—The powers of interference given to the High Court by section 147 of the High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act, were not intended to be exercised in the case of an acquittal by the Magistrate, but only in the case of convictions or other orders whereby a defendant is aggrieved or injured. Corpobation of Calcutta v. Bheecunkam Napit alias Bheecun Napit I. L. R., 2 Calc., 290
- 8. High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act, 1875, s. 147 (Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 526 .- Notice to prosecutor .- Penal Code, ss. 292 and 294 .- Specific charge .- Procedure on transfer to High Court .-In an application for the transfer of a case under section 147, Act X of 1875, in which the prisoner has been convicted and is undergoing imprisonment, it is in the discretion of the Court to order, for sufficient prima facie cause shown, that the case be removed without notice to the Crown. Semble,-A charge under sections 292 and 294 of the Penal Code should be made specific in regard to the representations and words alleged to have been exhibited and uttered, and to be obscene; and the Magistrate, in convicting, should in his decision state distinctly what were the particular representations and words which he found on the evidence had been exhibited and uttered, and which he adjudged to be obscene within the meaning of those sections. Where no such specific decision has been given, the High Court, when the case has been transferred under section 147, Act X of 1875, may either try the case de novo, or dismiss it on the ground that the Magistrate has come to no finding on which the conviction can be sustained. Queen v. Upendronath Doss

[I. L. R., 1 Calc., 356

TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE-continued.

1. GENERAL CASES—continued.

Transfer to High Court-continued.

- High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act, 1875, s. 147.-Transfer of case before Magistrate. -- Power to issue mandamus. -A charge was made against the accused of using criminal force under section 141 of the Penal Code. The Police Magistrate heard the evidence for the prosecution, and, without disbelieving it, decided it did not amount to the offence charged. Held that, assuming that an error of law had been committed, the High Court had no power to issue a mandamus to the Magistrate to commit the defendants; it was not a case where the Magistrate had declined jurisdiction; he had exercised his jurisdiction and heard the case. Held, also, it was not a case which the Court could transfer under section 147 of the High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act. IN THE MATTER OF THE EMPRESS ON THE PROSECUTION OF MAL-COLM v. GASPER . I. L. R., 2 Calc., 278
- High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act, 1875, s. 147.—Case transferred to High Court.—Refund of fine on quashing conviction.—Notes of evidence taken by Magistrate.—The High Court had no power, under section 147, Act X of 1875, to order a fine to be refunded on quashing a conviction. The Court in this instance decided whether the case should be transferred under section 147 on the notes of the evidence taken by the Magistrate at the trial. Queen on the prosecution of Morad Ali v. Jeebun Bux

[I. L. R., 1 Calc., 354

[15 B. L. R., Ap., 14

12. Power of District Magistrate.—Power to call for case.—Procedure when, having called for it, he finds it out of his jurisdiction.—The Magistrate of the district has authority to call up to his own Court any criminal case without limitation as to the stage of proceeding at which it may be called. If the Magistrate, having in the exercise of his authority withdrawn any case, finds that it did not come within the jurisdiction of his Magistracy, he would not merely be competent but bound to refuse to proceed further with the case. VILAETEE KHANUM v. MEHEE ALI

[24 W. R., Cr., 4

13. Held that, although the Magistrate of a district is competent to order the removal of any particular case from the

TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE—continued.

1. GENERAL CASES-continued.

Power of District Magistrate-continued.

file of a subordinate Court to his own, it is doubtful whether he can by general proceeding direct the transfer of cases which have no existence, and which are not pending before any of his subordinates. GOVERMMENT v. GIEDHABEE LALL

[1 Agra, Cr., 24

14. Application for transfer.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 64.—Power of Judge acting on English committee.—An application for the transfer of a case under section 64 of the Criminal Procedure Code should be made, not by letter to the English Department of the High Court, but before the Court in its judicial capacity, and should be supported by affidavits or affirmation in the usual way. Queen v. Zuhieuddin

[I. L. R., 1 Calc., 219: 25 W. R., Cr., 27

15. Notice of transfer.—Subordinate Magistrates.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1872), s. 48.—Notice to the parties before the transfer is made.—Before a Magistrate of a district can transfer a case from a Court subordinate to him to any other subordinate Court, notice of such intended transfer should be served upon the parties, so as to enable any or either of the parties to come forward and show cause why such transfer should not be made. In the matter of the petition of Teacotta Shekdae. Teacotta Shekdae v. Amber Mater

[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 393: 10 C. L. R., 239

16. Transfer of partly-heard case.—Hearing of evidence.—Where a case which has been partly heard by one officer is transferred to another officer for trial, the latter should hear all the evidence in the case before deciding it. KOPIL NATH SAHI v. KONEBEAM . 14 W. R., Cr., 3

Queen v. Kullian Singh . 2 N. W., 468

The High Court, however, declined to interfere in a case of this sort, as the prisoners did not appeal or raise any objection to the trial on this ground. KOPIL NATH SAHI v. KONERRAM

[14 W. R., Cr., 3

2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, cl. 29.

17. Transfer to High Court.—
Power to transfer.—Criminal Procedure Code,
1873, s. 64.—Section 29 of the Letters Patent of
1865 empowers the High Court to transfer for trial
before itself an appeal to a Court of Session from
the sentence of a District Magistrate, and this
power was not affected by section 64 of the Code
of Criminal Procedure, 1872, which authorised
the High Court to transfer an appeal from one subordinate Court of criminal jurisdiction to another.
SITAPATHI NAYUDU V. QUEEN

[I. L. R., 6 Mad., 32

18. — Power to transfer.—" Competency" to investigate case.—The conTRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE-00%-

2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, cl. 29
—continued.

Transfer to High Court-continued.

struction of clause 29 of the Letters Patent, 1865, is, that the High Court has power, if in its discretion it thinks right to exercise it, to transfer the investigation or trial of any criminal offence committed in Calcutta to a mofussil Court, which is otherwise competent to try it, or to direct the trial by the High Court of an offence committed in the mofussil. "Competent to investigate it" does not include competency as regards local jurisdiction, but only competency with regard to the offender, the nature of the offence, and the punishment. Quren v. Nabadwip Goswami

[1. B. L. R., O. Cr., 15: 15 W. R., Cr., 71, note

· Power to transfer.—Power of single Judge on original side of High Court .- On an application made for the transfer of a case from the Sessions Court at Patna for trial by the High Court at Calcutta, on the grounds mainly that all but one of the charges against the prisoners were for offences committed in Calcutta; that the selection of Patna as the place of trial was calculated to prejudice the prisoners; that the police at Patna were getting up the case against the prisoners by improper and illegal means; that by these means was created such a feeling of dread and insecurity among the witnesses and others in Patna as would prevent a fair trial from taking place there; that some of the witnesses for the defence, although willing to give evidence in Calcutta, refused to go to Patna to give evidence; and that many difficult points of law were likely to arise at the trial; but these allegations were denied by the affidavits filed in opposition to the application, -Held (MACPHERson, J., doubting), the High Court had power under clause 29 of the Letters Patent to transfer the case for trial by itself. The Court, however, refused the application, on the ground that a sufficient case had not been made out for the exercise of the power of the Court. Per PHEAR, J .- A single Judge, sitting on the original side of the Court, has power to entertain an application for the removal of a criminal case from a Court in the mofussil to the High Court in the exercise of its extraordinary original criminal jurisdiction. QUEEN v. AMERE KHAN

[7 B. L. R., 240: 15 W. R., Cr., 69

3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER.

Nature of grounds for transfer.—Transfer from one Magistrate to another.—The High Court will not, except on very strong and very clear grounds, transfer a case from one Magistrate's Court to that of another Magistrate. In the matter of the printing of Shankar Abaji Hoshing. Reg. v. Shankar Abaji Hoshing 6 Bom., Cr., 69

21. Probability of unfair trial.

—Transfer from one Magistrate to another.—It is only when there is reason to suppose that the prisoner

TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE—con-

3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER—continued.

Probability of unfair trial-continued.

will not have a fair trial that the High Court will transfer a case from one magisterial officer to another. QUEEN v. KISTO CHUNDER GHOSE

[2 W. R., Cr., 58

Proof of grounds for transfer.—Grounds necessary to obtain transfer when application is opposed by accused.—Before the transfer of a case from one Criminal Court to another can be made, in cases in which the accused objects to the transfer, the prosecution must bring forward the very best evidence to prove that a fair trial cannot be had in the district in which the case is ordinarily triable. In the matter of the petition of the Legal Rememberance. Emperition of the Legal Rememberance. Emperison of Oppal Bose

[I. L. R., 6 Calc., 491

- 23. Prosecution initiated by Magistrate.—Conviction before same Magistrate.

 —Transfer of appeal from Magistrate to Sessions Judge.—Where the Magistrate of the district had procured the initiation of a number of prosecutions against the same person, and one of them which had resulted in conviction came up before him in appeal, the High Court, considering that it was not altogether seemly that he should hear the appeal, ordered its transfer to the Sessions Judge. Ramzan Ali v. Durro Komilla . 24 W. R., Cr., 58
- Judge forming premature opinion .- Convenience .- Relieving judicial officer of case he wishes not to try.-The High Court does not exercise its powers of transfer in a case of forgery or perjury solely on the ground that the Judge who is to try the case has formed an opinion that the document has been forged or the perjury committed. But when the transfer can be made without risk of any improper interference with the course of justice, and without much inconvenience to the parties and witnesses, the transfer would be proper, not only as a fair concession to the accused person, but as a means of relieving the Judge from a position which he would himself desire to avoid. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF ARUNACHALLA Reddi 5 Mad., 212
- Magistrate.—Magistrate antigonistic to accused.—Power of High Court.—Where the procedure in the case of a person charged with an offence was found to be irregular and illegal, and the Magistrate was prejudiced and antagonistic to the prisoner, the High Court made an order (as in the Bancoorah case, 4 B. L. R., Ap., 1) to transfer the proceedings to be tried by another officer appointed or deputed by the Government of Bengal to try the case. Abdool Kadir Khan v. Magistraate of Purneah [11 B. L. R., Ap., 8: 20 W. R., Cr., 23
- 26. Judicial officers interested in case.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 64.—Road Cess case.—Transfer of appeal for trial.—

TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE—continued.

3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER—continued.

Judicial officers interested in case—continued.

Where it appeared that the only officers in the district of P. otherwise competent to hear an appeal from a conviction for theft of property alleged to have belonged to the Road Cess Committee of the district were, by reason of their connection with that committee, interested in the result of the appeal, the High Court directed that the petition of appeal, together with all papers connected therewith, should be forwarded to the Sessions Judge of the 24-Pergunnahs, to be dealt with as an appeal presented in his own Court. In the matter of Dwarka Nath Banerjee 6 C. L. R., 279

- 27. Magistrate expressing opinion unfavourable to accused.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 36.—Transfer by Magistrate.—Although section 36 of the Code of Criminal Procedure did not require a Magistrate to state his reasons for transferring a criminal case from a Court subordinate to him to his own or to any other subordinate Court, the High Court set aside an order of a Magistrate transferring a case after the Subordinate Magistrate, before whom it was, had taken the evidence for the prosecution, and had expressed an opinion unfavourable to the prosecution. QUERN v. NOBOCOOMAR BANERJEE 14 W. R., Cr., 12
- 28. Jurisdiction.—Place of commission of offence.—Transfer of preliminary investigation.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, ss. 64 and 69.—The High Court, under sections 64 and 69 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, directed the preliminary investigation in this case, in which the accused was charged with criminal breach of trust, to be held in Calcutta, the place where the offence charged was, if not wholly, at all events partly, committed. QUEEN 8. MACDONALD . 22 W. R., Cr., 6

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY.

See Vendor and Purchaser—Completion of transfer.

[I. L. R., 2 Bom., 547 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 554

 Erection of building for school on land.—Gift of building for a school.—Position of managers of school.—Suit by managers for trover and trespass.—Where a party who, partly with his own funds and partly with R100 subscribed by the village, erected a building for a school, never gave the property to the school, and never even acquiesced in the managers of the school entering upon it,-Held that the managers entered upon it as trespassers, and that although the proprietor acquiesced in their having taken possession, he did not thereby convey any property in the school to the subscribers, and was not bound to repay that portion of the money which he expended himself in building the house, or to do more than return that portion of the funds which were subscribed by the village. SREE-. 7 W. R., 476 HURRY ROY v. HILLS .

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY—continued.

Erection of building for school on landcontinued.

S. C. on reference on original trial

[6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 21

while transferor is out of possession.

See VENDOR AND PURCHASER—BILLS OF SALE . 2 B. L. R., P. C., 111

AULOCK MONEE DOSSIA v. AULOCK MONEE DEBIA 25 W. R., 48

Prankrishna Dry v. Biswambhar Sein [2 B. L. R., A. C., 207

11 W. R., 81

2. Right of purchaser to sue for possession.—Want of considera-

Chaser to sue for possession.—Want of consideration.—Alleged purchasers whose vendors were not
in possession, and who have paid nothing for what is
said to have been sold to them, are not competent to
maintain a suit for possession of the property in dispute. BISHONATH DRY ROY v. CHUNDER MOHUN
DUTT BISWAS 23 W. R., 165

See TABA SOONDOREE CHOWDHRAIN v. COLLEC-TOR OF MYMENSINGH

[13 B. L. R., 495: 20 W. R., 446

4. Wrongful dispossession of vendor.—Right of vendee to sue for possession.—Where a conveyance of property was made by a person who had been in possession and enjoyment for years before she was wrongfully custed, the conveyance was held to give a right to sue for immediate possession. BIKAN SINGH v. PARBUITY KOORE

[22 W. R., 99

NITTYANUND GOSSAIN v. SHAMA CHURN CHATTERJEE 23 W. R., 163

See Gunga Hurry Nundre v. Raghubram Nundre . 14 B. L. R., 307: 23 W. R., 191

to sue for possession.—Third parties.—Requisite proof.—An assignee of property, of which the assignment was not in possession when the assignment was made, can only recover, even from the hands of third persons, upon showing that he would have a right to enforce specific performance of his contract against

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY-continued.

Sale while vendor is out of possession—continued.

Right to specific performance after purchase of right to sue.—Where a purchaser of a right to sue for possession brings a suit for specific performance, and it is not shown that he has left undone anything necessary to entitle him to what he claims, it must be taken in special appeal that the plaintiff is entitled to insist on specific performance of his contract with his vendor. LALLA SABIL CHAND v. GOODER KHAN

[22 W. R., 187

Suit by assignee for possession .- Effect of bill of sale. - The assignees, R., K., and G., of certain property brought against the assignor, L., and others, a suit to obtain possession of a portion of assigned property of which he, L., never had possession, and to obtain a declaration of right of ownership to the other portion already in the possession of one or more of themselves. Held that as L., at the time when the assignments were made, was not in either actual or constructive possession, he was unable thereby to pass the property, and that the bill of sale was only evidence of a contract to be performed in future upon the happening of a contingency. RAM KHELAWUN SINGH v. OUDH . 21 W. R., 101 KOOER

And see Boodhun v. Boodhun Singh
[21 W. R., 156

Suit by assignee for possession .- Validity of transfer .- The plaintiffs sought to recover possession from the defendants of certain land, claiming under a kararnama executed to them by one Mutyawa. The defendants contended that Mutyawa had never been in possession of the land. The lower Appellate Court held that as Mutyawa was not in possession at the time when the kararnama was executed, the plaintiff's claim was not maintainable. On appeal to the High Court, -Held, reversing the decree of the lower Appellate Court, that the circumstance of Mutyawa's not having been in possession at the time the kararnama was executed did not prevent the plaintiffs from recovering possession from the defendants. Kalidas v. Kanhaya Lall, I. L. R., 11 Calc., 121: L. R., 11 I. A., 219, referred to and followed. UGABCHAND MANACK-CHAND v. MADAPA SOMANA

9. Sale in execution of decree.—Assignment by purchaser who has not obtained possession.—Upon a sale in execution of decree the property in the thing sold passes to the purchaser; and there is nothing in either the Hindu or the English law which debars a third person from taking an assignment of such property from the auction-purchaser, albeit it has not been reduced into possession by him. GOVIND RAGUNATH v. GOVIND JAGOJI . . . I. J. R., 1 Bom., 500

[I. L. R., 9 Bom., 324

TRANSFER OF

nued.

without possession.—Incomplete title.—Held that the hypothecation of property to which a judgment-debtor had not acquired absolute title was incomplete and insufficient to create a valid and perfect lien in favour of the mortgagee enforceable by law against the actual possessor. Herchund Singh v. Ram Singh 1 Agra, 286

11. Lease granted while lessor is out of possession.—A valid lease cannot be granted by a person not in possession of the lands leased. TIREY v. KEISTO MOHUN BOSE. HOVENDEN v. AKBAR ALI

L. R., 1 I. A., 76

-- Rights of lessee. -Suit for possession.—A transfer of property of which the transferor is not at the time of the transfer in possession, is not ipso facto void. Where a putnidar, while out of possession of the putni estate, granted a dur-putni thereof,-Held that the dur-putnidar's suit against third persons, who were in possession of the estate, to recover possession, would lie, it appearing that the plaintiff had paid an adequate consideration for the dur-putni, and that the dur-putni pottah was not evidence of a contract to be performed in future on the happening of a certain contingency, or that if it were so, that the plaintiff had done all he was bound to do to entitle him to specific performance of the agreement by the putnidar. LOKE-NATH GHOSE v. JUGOBUNDHOO ROY

[I. L. R., 1 Calc., 297

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882).

See LEASE-CONSTRUCTION.

[I. L. R., 7 Bom., 256

See Mortgage — Foreclosure—Demand And Notice of Foreclosure.

[I. L. R., 8 All., 388

---- s. 2.

See s. 10 . I. L. R., 7 All., 516

Act came into force.—Assignment of after Act in operation.—The provisions of the Transfer of Property Act apply to the assignment of a mortgage made after that Act came into force, although the mortgage may have been made before the commencement of that Act. LALA JUGDEO SAHAI v. BRIJ BEHARI LAL

1. L. R., 12 Calc., 505

2. — and ss. 67, 86.—Suit for foreclosure of mortgage.—Beng. Reg. XVII of 1806, ss. 7, 8.—Procedure.—Act I of 1868 (General Clauses Act), s. 6.—A mortgagee by conditional sale, under an instrument executed while Regulation XVII of 1806 was in force, and before the Transfer of Property Act, 1882, which repealed that Regulation, came into force, sued, after the repeal of that Regulation, for foreclosure of the mortgage, not having proceeded in accordance with the provisions of section 8 of that Regulation. Held (STUART, C. J., dissenting) that the procedure of that section

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882), s. 2, and ss. 67, 28 -continued.

was not saved by clause (c) of section 2 of the Transfer of Property Act, but the provisions of that Act were applicable to the suit. Ganga Sahai v. Kishen Sahai . . . I. L. R., 6 All., 262

and ss. 67, 99.-Mortgage-decree. — Execution of decree. — A decreeholder, who had obtained a decree in the year 1880 against his judgment-debtor, declaring his title in certain mortgaged properties and authorising a sale, sought, after several previous applications keeping the decree alive, to execute his decree again on the 15th April 1885. The judgment-debtor objected, on the ground that no suit had been instituted or decree obtained under section 67 of the Transfer of Property Act as directed by section 99. Held that section 99 of that Act was not intended to apply to decrees already obtained declaring a lien and authorising a sale, but even assuming that it was so intended, section 2 of the Act saved the right of the decree-holder to obtain a sale of the mortgaged properties. Ganga Sahai v. Kishen Sahai, I. L. R., 6 All., 262, distinguished. DINENDRA NATH SANNYAL v. CHANDRA KISHORE MUNSHI

[I. L. R., 12 Calc., 436

gage.—Conditional sale.—Suit for possession on foreclosure.—Beng. Reg. XVII of 1806, ss. 7, 8.— The procedure laid down in the Transfer of Property Act may be applied to the case of foreclosure of a mortgage executed before the Act came into operation, provided it be so applied as not to affect the rights saved by section 2, clause (c) of the Act. Where, therefore, under the provisions of Regula-tion XVII of 1806, notice of foreclosure had been served on a mortgagor by conditional sale, the mortgage having been executed and the foreclosure proceedings taken before the Transfer of Property Act came into force, and after the expiry of the year of grace the money not having been paid, the mortgages instituted a suit for possession on foreclosure, and when such suit was defended by a third party who had purchased the mortgaged property at an execution sale and obtained possession before the commencement of the foreclosure proceedings, and the necessary notice had not been served upon him,-Held that it was competent to the Court to apply the procedure prescribed by the Transfer of Property Act and grant the mortgagee a decree in the terms of section 86, substituting the period of "one year" for the period of "six months" therein mentioned. Ganga Sahai v. Kishen Sahai, I. L. R., 6 All., 622, referred to. Pergash Koer v. Mahabir Pershad NARAIN SINGH . I. L. R., 11 Calc., 582

Closure, Suit for.—Mortgage by conditional sale.—Beng. Reg. XVII of 1806.—Procedure.—Statute, Construction of.—Where a suit is brought, after the date of the Transfer of Property Act, for the foreclosure of a mortgage dated previous to the Act, the procedure to be followed is that given by the Transfer of Property Act; the procedure of Regulation XVII, 1806, not being saved by section 2 (clause c)

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882), s. 2, cl. (c), and s. 86—continued.

of Act IV, 1882. Ganga Sahai v. Kishen Sahai, I. L. R., 6 All., 262, approved. Per Wilson, J. -It is a general rule in construing statutes that in matters of substantive right they are not to be so read as to take away vested rights, but that in matters of procedure they are general in their operation. There is nothing in the Transfer of Property Act from which it can be beyond reasonable doubt concluded that the Legislature intended to depart from this settled principle of legislation. Per TRE-VELYAN, J.—There is a clear distinction between "relief" and the mode or procedure for obtaining such relief. The "relief" remains unaffected by a change of procedure. The "rights and liabilities" of a mortgagor and mortgagee, and the "relief" in respect of such rights and liabilities, are the same under Act IV of 1882 as they were before. A different procedure for enforcing such rights and obtaining such relief has, however, been adopted by the Transfer of Property Act. BHOBO SUNDARI DEBI v. RAKAL CHUNDER BOSE

[I. L. R., 12 Calc., 583

1.—— s. 10.—Married Woman's Property Act (III of 1874), s. 8.—Restraint on anticipation.—Section 10 of the Transfer of Property Act merely excepts from the general rule laid down in section 8 of the Married Woman's Property Act, III of 1874, the particular case of a married woman, and does not give to a restraint upon anticipation any greater force than it had before the passing of the Act, but merely preserves to it the effect it had previously, leaving the Married Woman's Property Act of 1874 and the decisions upon it untouched. HIPPOLITE v. STUART . I. L. R., 12 Calc., 522

and s. 2.—Condition against alienation .- Inheritance .- Deed of compromise. - Beng. Civil Courts Act, VI of 1871, s. 24.-In a suit for possession of certain shares in certain villages, a compromise was effected between the plaintiffs and B., the defendant. The terms of the compromise were embodied in a deed, the terms of which were (inter alia) as follows: "The said B. will hold possession as a proprietor, generation by generation, without the power of transferring in any shape. The following shares recorded in B.'s name shall not be transferred or sold in auction in payment of any debt payable by the said B., and in the event of their being transferred or sold, such transfer will be invalid, and the plaintiffs will then be entitled to set aside that transfer, and to obtain possession." B. obtained possession of the shares allotted to him by the compromise. Subsequently, certain creditors of B. attached the shares referred to in the deed in execution of a decree obtained against the heirs of B. for money lent to B. on a bond, which he had executed while in possession of the shares, and in which he made a simple mortgage of them. The representatives of the plaintiffs in the suit in which the compromise was made objected to the attachment. Held by Oldfield, J., that the deed of compromise passed an absolute estate to B. and his heirs to which the law annexed a power of transfer, and that, in reference to section 10 of the Transfer of Property Act,

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882), s. 10 and s. 2—continued.

the stipulation against alienation on B.'s part, or against sale by auction in execution of decrees against him, was void. Per MAHMOOD, J.—That the rule contained in section 10 of the Transfer of Property Act was not binding upon the Court in this case, inasmuch as the question was one of succession or inheritance, to be governed by section 24 of the Bengal Civil Courts Act; that it was for those objecting to the attachment to show that, under the Hindu law, the rights of B. in the property ceased to exist at his death, or that his estate devolved upon them free of his debts; that, the Hindu law being silent on this subject, the principles of justice, equity, and good conscience must be applied, to which, so far as transfer was concerned, effect was given by section 10 of the Transfer of Property Act; that the restriction imposed by the deed of compromise upon B.'s powers of alienating the absolute estate which it conferred upon him were opposed to the policy of the law and could not be recognised; and that B. must be held to have had an absolute estate which would devolve upon his heirs, and which could be sold in execution of decrees for his debts. The Tagore Case, 9 B. L. R., 377, referred to. BHAIRO v. PARMESHRI DAYAL . . I. L. R., 7 All., 516

- ss. 10, 11,

'ee RIGHT OF SUIT — CONTRACTS OR AGREEMENTS I. L. R., 8 All., 452

--- ss. 41, 48.

See N.-W. P. RENT ACT, S. 7.

[I. L. R., 8 All., 409

-- s. 51.

See DECREE-FORM OF DECREE-MORT-GAGE . I. L. R., 8 All., 502

s. 52.

e s. 135 . I. L. R., 18 Calc., 297

Registered and unregistered documents.—Transfer of property "pendente lite."—
Act III of 1877 (Registration Act), s. 50.—B. held a decree for the sale of property which had been mortgaged to him by an instrument which was not compulsorily registrable and was not registered. N. purchased the same property pendente lite by a registered deed of sale. Held that there was here no competition between a registered and an unregistered instrument to which section 50 of the Registration Act could apply; and that N's purchase was, by section 52 of the Transfer of Property Act, subject to the decree passed in B's favour. Bhagwan Das v. Nathu Singh. I. L. R., 6 All., 444

s. 53.

See Registration Act, 1877, s. 50. [I. L. R., 8 All., 540

- в. 54,

See Pre-emption — Construction of Wajib-ul-urz.
[I. L. R., 7 All., 482, 626

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882), s. 54—continued.

GARTH, C. J.—Section 54 of the Transfer of Property Act virtually abolishes optional registration NARAIN CHUNDER CHUCKERBUTTY v. DATARAM ROY . I. L. R., 8 Calc., 597: 10 C. L. R., 241

---- s. 58.

See Per-emption — Construction of Wajib-ul-urz.

[I. L. R., 7 All., 258, 343

— в. 59.

See Compromise—Compromise of Suits Under Civil Procedure Code.

[I. L. R., 9 Mad., 103

--- s. 62.

See MORTGAGE—REDEMPTION—MODE OF REDEMPTION AND LIABILITY TO FORE-CLOSURE . I. L. R., 8 All, 402

· s. 65.

See Landlord and Tenant—Teansfer by Tenant . I. L. R., 10 Calc., 443

--- в. 67.

See s. 2 . I. L. R., 6 All.,
[I. L. R., 12 Calc., 436]
— s. 68.

See MORTGAGE—POSSESSION UNDER MORT-GAGE . I. L. R., 6 All., 298

--- в. 76.

See LANDLORD AND TENANT—TRANSFER BY TENANT . I. L. R., 10 Calc., 443
See MORTGAGE—ACCOUNTS.

[I. L. R., 6 All., 303

--- s. 84.

See MORTGAGE—REDEMPTION—MODE OF REDEMPTION AND LIABILITY TO FORE-CLOSURE . I. L. R., 8 All., 502

--- в. 86.

See 8. 2.

[I. L. R., 12 Calc., 436, 505, 583 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 582 I. L. R., 6 All., 262

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 135. [I. L. R., 12 Calc., 614

See Sale in Execution of Decree—Setting aside Sale-Ieregularity—General Cases . I. L. R., 13 Calc., 346

--- в. 87.

See Sale in Execution of Decree—Setting aside Sale—Irregularity—General Cases . I. L. R., 13 Calc., 346

--- s. 88.

See EXECUTION OF DECREE—Mode of Ex-ECUTION—MORTGAGE.

[I. L. R., 7 All., 194

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882)—continued.

---- s. 95.

See Limitation Act, 1877, art. 148. [I. L. R., 8 All., 295

— в. 99.

See s. 2 . I. L. R., 12 Calc., 436

s. 100.

See Limitation Act, 1877, art. 148. [I. L. R., 8 All., 295

ss, 106, 111.

See Landlord and Tenant—Ejectment
—Notice to Quit.

[I. L. R., 7 All., 596, 899

--- в. 118.

See PRE-EMPTION — CONSTRUCTION OF WAJIB-UL-URZ . I. L. R., 7 All., 626 8. 123.

See Attachment—Subjects of Attachment—Annuity or Pension.
[I. L. R., 6 All., 634

1. s. 131.—Transfer of debts.— Notice of transfer.—Assignment of mortguge.— Mortgagor, Liability of, to assignee of mortgagee when no notice of assignment given .- An assignment is perfectly valid though the notice referred to in section 131 of the Transfer of Property Act has not been given, though the title of the assignee as against third parties is not complete until such notice has been given; the object of such notice being the protection of the assignee. Section 131 of the Transfer of Property Act makes no alteration in the law as it obtained in England previous to the passing of that Act and as laid down in the cases cited in the note to Ryall v. Rowles, 2 W. & T. L. C., 777, the first portion of the section merely fixing the time when the section comes into operation, and the latter providing for the protection of the debtor if he deals with the debt before that time. Where, therefore, an assignce of a mortgagee brought a suit on the mortgage against the mortgagor and the mortgagee, and no notice of the assignment had been given to the mortgagor under section 131 of the Transfer of Property Act,-Held that the Court was wrong in dismissing the suit merely on the ground that no notice was served, as after the suit was instituted the mortgagor became aware of he assignment, and the transfer accordingly came nto operation on the date when he thus became aware of it. Lala Jugdeo Sahai v. Brij Behari LAL . . I. L. R., 12 Calc., 505

2. Decree.—Debt.—A decree is not a "debt" within the meaning of that word as used in section 131 of the Transfer of Property Act. A "debt" under that section means an actionable claim, and not a claim which has already passed into a decree. AFZAL v. RAM KUMAR BHUDRA
[I. L. R., 12 Calc., 610]

1. ———— s. 135.—Transferee of a claim for maller value.—Recovery of full amount of debt.—It

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882), s. 135—continued.

is not the object of section 135 of the Transfer of Property Act absolutely to prevent a transferce, who has purchased a claim at a smaller value, from recovering the full amount of the debt due from the debtor. GRISH CHANDRA v. KASHISAURI DEBI

[I. L. R., 13 Calc., 145

- Right of Suit.—Suit to set aside a document.—Actionable claim.—The co-sharers of a Hindu family, one of whom was a minor, owned certain immoveable property in Munshigunge near Dacca. In 1873 a perpetual lease of this property, executed by all the co-sharers except the minor, was granted to certain persons hereinafter called the lessees. On the minor's behalf the lease was executed by his elder brother as guardian of the minor. In May 1882, the minor, who had previously attained his majority, sued the lessees and his co-sharers for a declaration of his right to and for possession of his share in the said property, alleging that the perpetual lease was not binding on him. On the day after the institution of the suit the plaintiff sold all his interest therein to A. for R600. Held that A.'s purchase was an actionable claim within the meaning of section 135 of the Transfer of Property Act. RAJANIKANTH RAI CHOWDHURI V. HARI MOHAN GUHA

[I. L. R., 12 Calc., 470

- and s. 52.—Sale of immoveable property by person out of possession .- Actionable claim .- A transfer of ownership of immoveable property is not a sale of an actionable claim, although the owner at the time of the sale may not be in possession. A. and B. being owners of an 8 annas share of certain immoveable property sold it under a kobala to C. and D. At the time of the sale X. and Y. were in adverse possession of the share. Held that the transaction was a sale under section 52 of the Transfer of Property Act, to which the provisions of Chapter VIII of the Act, specially those of section 135, were inapplicable. Semble, -- Section 135 refers to claims for money of some kind or the like, although the money claim may be a charge on immoveable property. MUDUN MOHUN DUT v. FUTTARUNNISSA

[I. L. R., 13 Calc., 297

TRANSFER OF SHARES, REGISTRA-TION OF-

See BANK OF BENGAL.

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 392

TRANSFER OF TENURE.

See BENGAL REGULATION VIII OF 1819. [3 B. L. R., P. C., 48

See CASES UNDER LANDLORD AND TEN-ANT-TRANSFER BY LANDLORD.

See CASES UNDER LANDLORD AND TEN-ANT-TRANSFER BY TENANT.

See CASES UNDER RIGHT OF OCCUPANCY -Transfer of Right.

See STAMP ACT, 1862, S. 14. [3 B. L. R., Ap., 30

TRANSFER OF

 Grant for purpose of living on the land .- Per Peacock, C. J .- If one man grants a tenure to another for the purpose of living upon the land, that tenure, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, is assignable. BENI MADHUB BANERJEE v. JAI KRISHNA MOOKERJER

[7 B. L. R., 152 : 12 W. R., 495

Upholding, on appeal, KEMP, J., in BANKE MA-DHUB BANERJEE v. JOY KISHEN MOOKERJEE

[11 W. R., 354

 Mokurrari tenure.—It is necessary that a tenure should be mokurrari in order to be transferable. HUROMOHUN MOOKERJEE v. LALUN-MONRE DASSEE . 1 W. R., 5

Surburakari tenure in Cuttack .- Consent of zemindar .- The alienation of surburakari tenure in Cuttack is not practicable without the consent of the zemindar. Doorjodhun . 1 W. R., 322 Doss v. Chooya Daye.

 Rvotwari tenure.—Consent of zemindar or talookdar .- Quære, - Whether a transfer of a ryotwari tenure can be effected without the consent of the zemindar or talookdar, as the case might be, the immediate successor in estate. SHIBES-SUREE DEBIA r. MOTHOOBANATH ACHARJER

[13 W. R., P. C., 18: 13 Moore's I. A., 270

TRANSFER, REGISTRATION OF-

See BENGAL RENT ACT, 1869, s. 46. [1 B. L. R., S. N., 7 10 W. R., 101

8 W. R., 138

See Cases under Landlord and Ten-ANT-ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF TENANCY,

See CASES UNDER LANDLORD AND TENANT -Transfer by Tenant.

See SALE FOR ARBRARS OF RENT-DEPOSIT 13 B, L, R., 146 TO STAY SALE .

See SALE FOR ARREARS OF RENT-EFFECT 9 W. R., 161 OF SALE [7 W. R., 409

Marsh., 212 1 W. R., ?

TRANSFERABLE TENURE.

7 B. L. R., 152 See ACQUIESCENCE 10 B. L. R., Ap., 5

See Cases under Landlord and Trnant -Transfer by Tenant.

See PATNI TENURE.

[3 B. L. R., A. C., 487

See CASES UNDER RIGHT OF OCCUPANCY-TRANSFER OF RIGHT.

TRANS-SHIPMENT PERMIT.

See SEA CUSTOMS ACT, S. 128. [I. L. R., 4 Bom., 447

TRANSPORTATION.

See SENTENCE-TRANSPORTATION.

Absence by reason of—
See Limitation Act, 1877, s. 7.
[1 R. L. R., S. N., 25

TREASON.

See Waging War against the Queen.
[7 B. L. R., 63

TREASURE TROVE

- 1. Beng. Reg. V of 1817.—Hidden treasure.—Duty of finder of hidden treasure.—Rights of finder, zemindar, and Government.—Some persons while digging a field in a certain zemindar found an earthen pot containing money. The finder and the zemindar both claimed to be entitled to the treasure, but the provisions of Regulation V of 1817, with regard to the finding of hidden treasure, were not complied with by them, and on this ground the Judge held they were not entitled to it. The Government claimed the money on the ground that the provisions of the Regulation had not been complied with, and it was made over to the Collector, an appeal to the High Court being dismissed. In REUMA CHABAN BANERJEE 2. COLLECTOR OF
 - S. C. OMA CHURN BANERJEE v. COLLECTOR OF HOOGHLY 15 W. R., 525
- 2. s. 6 and s. 2.— Valuable property.— Ornament.—The owner of the house where an ornament has been found concealed may, under section 6, Regulation V of 1817, retain possession of it as a "valuable property" under section 2, if no one else has substantiated any claim thereto. PREM MOYRE BEWAH v. NOBIN CHUNDER CHOWDERY [4 W. R., Mis., 8]
- Mad. Reg. XI of 1832.—Right to idols discovered.—Right of owner of property.— Right of trespasser.—Omission to give notice to authorities.—Certain idols and vessels of copper were discovered accidentally by one Shaik Mira and his brother, while digging for stones, in a masonry building underneath the ground in a rather elevated part of the bed of the tank of Anandur which belongs to the zemindari of Shivaganga. No intimation of the discovery was given by the finders to any public authority, but the Subordinate Magistrate, being informed of it by the police, proceeded to the spot and recovered the idols on the third or fourth day after they were found. They were then sent by the Magistracy to the Court of the Principal Sudder Ameen of Madura to be dealt with under the provisions of Regulation XI of 1832. Proclamation inviting claimants was made and petitions asking for possession of the idols were presented by three parties-1st, by the Rani of Shivaganga, on the ground that she was trustee of the Devastanams on her estate, on which the idols had been found; 2nd, by the Stanikam of a temple in the village of Anandur; and 3rd, by the finder. The Principal Sudder Ameen adjudged the idols to be the property of the Rani, and directed that they should be delivered to her.

The finder appealed to the Civil Court, which re-

TREASURE TROVE.—Mad. Reg. |XI of 1832—continued.

versed the decision of the Principal Sudder Ameen and directed delivery to the appellant. Against this order the Rani appealed to the High Court on the grounds-1st, that Regulation XI of 1832 only applies to cases in which the ownership of the property is undiscovered, and that, in the present case, the Rani was presumably the owner of the property found; 2nd, that a trespasser could not benefit by the finding. Held that the Rani had no title to what had been hidden in former times in the soil now belonging to her: that it had been found that these idols were hidden in a stone chamber specially appropriated to that purpose, and that she could not, therefore, claim a title as owner. As to the objection that the finder, being a trespasser, could not benefit,-Held that it was unnecessary to consider this objection unless the Rani had some right or title to the treasure, the same as she had in the soil of the tank: that she had not such right, and therefore that the contention as to the right to the property found lay between the finder and the State, which had made no claim. An objection, not before taken, was allowed to be argued at the hearing, -viz., that the formalities prescribed by the Regulation had not been complied with. Held that, though immediate notice had not been given by the finder, the property was within three or four days of its discovery in the hands of the authorities, who might be said, therefore, to have supplied the necessary notice. KATTAMA NATCHIAR (ZEMINDARNI OF SHI-VAGANGA) v. MUHAMMAD MIRA RAVUTAN

[7 Mad., 150

TREATIES.

1. ——Tenure of territory in Bombay.—The nature and results of Governor Aungier's Convention stated, and the origin of "pension and tax" in Bombay traced. Treaty of the 23rd June 1661 between Charles II. and the King of Portugal considered. The treaty in 1664-65 by Mr. Humphry Cook with the Viceroy of Goa was entered into without authorisation by the Crown of England or the Crown of Portugal, was not ratified by either, was expressly repudiated by the former, and never was of any force. Loe d. de Silveira v. Texeira, 2 Mor. Dig., 250, observed upon. NAOROJI BERAMJI v. ROGERS 4 Bom., O. C., 1

2. Cession of Bombay and Salsette to Portuguese.—The treaty made between Sultan Bahadur of Gujarat and the King of Portugal on the cession of Bassein and its dependencies (including Bombay and Salsette) to the Portuguese referred to. SECRETARY OF STATE IN COUNCIL v. BOMBAY LANDING AND SHIPPING COMPANY

[5 Bom., O. C., 23

See LOPES v. LOPES . 5 Bom., O. C., 172
TREES.

See Cases under Landlord and Tenant—Property in Trees planted on Land.

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, s. 28 (1871, s. 29) . . I. L. R., 3 All., 495

TREES-continued.

See SMALL CAUSE COURT, MOFUSSIL-JU-BISDICTION-MOVEABLE PROPERTY. [I. L. R., 5 All., 564

24 W. R., 394 3 Mad., 237 I. L. R., 3 All., 168

TRESPASS.

Col. . 6043 1. GENERAL CASES . 6045 2. HOUSE-TRESPASS

> See CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE, 1882, s. 244 -QUESTIONS IN EXECUTION OF DECREE. [3 B. L. R., A. C., 413 12 B. L. R., 208, note

See CASES UNDER CRIMINAL TRESPASS.

See DAMAGES-SUITS FOR DAMAGES-. 8 Bom., A. C., 177 [7 N. W., 47 25 W. R., 548

See DEBTOR AND CREDITOR. [2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 7

See EXECUTION OF DECREE-LIABILITY FOR WRONGFUL EXECUTION. 3 B. L. R., A. C., 413 12 B. L. R., 208, note

See MASTER AND SERVANT.

[2 B. L. R., A. C., 227 2 B. L. R., O. C., 140

See BAILWAY ACT, 1871, s. 2. [I. L. R., 1 Bom., 25

. I. L. R., 6 Mad., 245 See RIOTING [10 C. L. R., 278 W. R., 1864, Cr., 21

See WRONGFUL DISTRAINT. [3 B. L. R., A. C., 261

. by cattle.

See NUISANCE-UNDER CRIMINAL PROCE-DURE CODES . 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 45 9 B. L. R., Ap., 36

1. GENERAL CASES.

Landlord and tenant.—Damage to reversionary interests .- Right of landlord to sue for damage. - English law, Non-applicability of .- Many of the tenures in India are in the nature of a partnership, in which he to whom the land belongs participates with the cultivators in the crop. Therefore the law of England, that a landlord who has parted with his possession to a tenant cannot sue in trespass for damage to the property, unless the wrongful act complained of imports a damage to the reversionary interests, does not apply to landlords in India. VENKATACHALAM CHETTI v. ANDIAPPAN . I. L. R., 2 Mad., 232 AMBALAM

 Wrongful distraint of crops. Distraint without notice.—Penal Code, s. 79.— Resistance to wrongful distraint .- A zemindar was held to be justified in exercising his right of private distraint of crops, if he had served the defaulters

TRESPASS - continued.

1. GENERAL CASES-continued.

Wrongful distraint of crops—continued.

with written notices under Act X of 1859, section 116; and, in such a case, ryots, who knowingly resisted the distraint, were held to be not protected by the Penal Code, section 79. But, if the zemindar's people enter upon crops with intention of distraining without notice, the ryot-owners are justified in considering such action as trespass. QUEEN v. KANHAI SHAHU [23 W. R., Cr., 40

 Land taken by Government without formality prescribed by Beng. Reg. I of 1825.—Right of owner to maintain suit against Government for rent.-Lands were occupied by the Government for the purpose of making an embankment without the observance of the formality required by Regulation I of 1825. Held that the owner of the land was entitled to maintain a suit against Government for the rent of the land during the time he was kept out of possession. JOYNABAIN BOSE v. COLLECTOR OF 24-PERGUNNAHS

[Marsh., 56

COLLECTOR OF 24-PERGUNNAHS v. JOYNARAIN . W. R., F. B., 18: 1 Hay, 122

 Suit to prevent trespass.— Suit to close doors .- Cause of action .- Possibility of injury .- No suit can lie to close doors opened by a person in his own wall, on the ground of a possibility of his committing trespass on the land of the plaintiff, or of his having actually committed such trespass. It will only lie when the opening of the doors is in itself such an irremediable injury that the plaintiff would not be sufficiently compensated by money damages. GIBBON v. ABDUR RAHMAN . 3 B. L. R., A. C., 411 KHAN .

Sale for arrears of rent.— Sale under defective notice .- Reversal of sale for irregularity.-A., a zemindar, sold the right of B., his putnidar, for arrears of rent under Regulation VIII of 1819. This sale was subsequently set aside at the suit of B. for irregularity. A. then sued B. for the arrears under Act X of 1859, and B. pleaded limitation. Held that A. was not guilty of a trespass in bringing the property to sale under a defective notice, and A. could not have sued for arrears pending the proceedings to set aside the sale. SWAR-NAMAYI v. SHASHI MUKHI BARMANI [2 B. L. R., P. C., 10

S. C. SURNOMOYEE v. SHOSHEE MOKHEE BUR-12 Moore's I. A., 244 [11 W. R., P. C., 5

Trespass on burial-ground.— Penal Code, s. 297 .- Trespass by co-owner .- A., R., C., and D. were co-owners of a plot of land in which they were accustomed to bury their dead. A. and B. opened a saw-pit close to the graves of D.'s relatives, but did not disturb any of the graves. Held that they were wrongly convicted under section 297 of the Penal Code. IN BE MUHAMMAD HAMIN KHAN I. L. R., 3 Mad., 178

TRESPASS-continued.

1. GENERAL CASES-continued.

7. Liability for trespass by defendants not actually committing it.—Committee under Act XX of 1863.—Held, in a suit under Act XX of 1863, that where the evidence showed that certain acts of trespass by one of the defendants were for the benefit and on behalf of the members of the committee, and were afterwards adopted and taken advantage of by them when they had acquired a full knowledge of those acts, the defendants for whose benefit the acts were done were liable for the trespass. Venkatasa Naiker v. Seinivassa Chabitable 1. Linkard 1. Linkar

2. HOUSE-TRESPASS.

- 8. Breaking open chest in house by inmate of house.—Penal Code, s. 457.

 T, being an inmate of his uncle's house, broke open a chest and took out property from it. He was convicted of an offence under section 457 of the Penal Code. Held that he could not properly be convicted under that section. QUEEN v. TASUDUK HOSSEIN 6 N. W., 301
- 9. Breaking open door in execution of decree.—Penal Code, s. 456.—Where the accused persons, execution-creditors, in company with an authorised bailiff, broke open complainant's door before surrise with intent to distrain his property for which they were convicted on a charge of lurking house-trespass by night or house-breaking by night,—Held that as they were not guilty of the offence of criminal trespass, there being no finding of any such intent as is required to constitute that offence, and that as criminal trespass is an essential ingredient of either of the offences with which they were charged, the conviction must be quashed. In the matter of Jotharam Dayay

10. — Cattle-yard.—Building used for custody of property.—Penal Code, ss. 442, 457.
—The Court inclined to hold that a cattle-yard which was originally walled on four sides, and in one side of which, fallen out of repair, there was a gap stopped with a thorn, was a building used as a place for the custody of property, within the meaning of section 442 of the Penal Code. Queen o

DULLEE

6 N. W., 307

- 11. Entry into house with forged warrant of arrest.—Penal Code, s. 452.

 —Where A. goes with a forged warrant of arrest into a house, and takes away one of the inmates against his will under the authority of such warrant, he is guilty of house-trespass, by putting such person in fear of wrongful restraint, under section 452 of the Penal Code. Queen v. Nundmohum Sirkar.

 12 W. R., Cr., 33
- 12. Right of wife to enter husband's house.—Wife excommunicated from caste.—Excommunication from caste per se does not doprive a Hindu wife of her right of joint enjoyment of her husband's house, so as to make her a trespassor

TRESPASS-continued.

2. HOUSE-TRESPASS-continued.

Right of wife to enter husband's house—

if she enters the house to claim maintenance. QUEEN v. MARIMUTTU . I. L. R., 4 Mad., 243

13. Entering lock-up with intent to convey food to prisoner.—Penal Code, s. 442.—Where a person entered into a havalat with intent to convey or attempt to convey food to a prisoner under trial, such act on his part did not amount to house-trespass within the meaning of section 442 of the Penal Code. EMPRESS 9. LALAI

[I. L. R., 2 All., 301

TRESPASSER.

Suit by, to recover money paid.

See Whongful Possession.

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 566

Suit for mesne profits against—

See Mesne Profits—Mode of Assess
MENT AND CALCULATION.

[I. L. R., 1 All., 518

TROVER.

Suit in-

See Husband and Wife.
[I. L. R., 1 Calc., 285

—Right of stoppage in transitu. -Contract for goods free on board.—Insolvency.— Goods contracted to be sold and delivered "free on board," to be paid for by cash or bills at the option of the purchasers, were delivered on board, and receipts taken from the mate by the lighterman employed by the sellers, who handed the same over to them. The sellers apprised the purchasers of the delivery, who elected to pay for the goods by a bill, which the sellers having drawn, was duly accepted by the purchasers. The sellers retained the mate's receipts for the goods, but the master signed the bill of lading in the purchasers' names, who, while the bill they accepted was running, became insolvent. In such circumstances, held by the Privy Council (reversing the decision of the Supreme Court at Bombay) that trover would not lie for the goods, for that on their delivery on board the vessel they were no longer in transitu so as to be stopped by the sellers; and that the retention of the receipts by the sellers was immaterial, as after their election to be paid by a bill the receipts of the mate were not essential to the transaction between the seller and purchaser. Framjee Cowasjee v. Thompson [3 Moore's I. A., 422

2. — Conversion. — Assignment of goods in certain warehouses on advances.—Seizure of goods.—Advance and assignment not simultaneous.—Incomplete assignment.—A bill of sale and assignment of goods described as being in certain warehouses belonging to A. was given by him for the loan of a sum expressed to have been paid on the day of the date thereof. Upon an action of trover

TROVER.—Conversion—continued.

brought against the assignce of A., who had seized the goods, it appeared in evidence that a portion only of the goods was in the warehouse specified at the date of the sale, and that no part of the loan was paid on that day, the same being discharged by instalments a few days afterwards: whereupon the Judges of the Supreme Court held that there had been no valid transfer, and consequently no conversion, and gave an interlocutory judgment in accordance with such view. Held by the Judicial Committee on appeal from that decision, and from an order refusing a new trial, that the decision was not justified by the evidence, and must be reversed and a new trial granted. MUTTYLOLL SEAL c. O'DOWDA

— Suit to recover notes lost by gambling.—Act XXI of 1848.—Illegal consideration .- Bona fide holder for value .- Trust for specific purpose. - The plaintiff, the manager of the Oriental Bank, placed in the hands of D., a broker, thirteen Government currency notes for R1,000 cach on D.'s representation that there was some Company's paper at a certain place which he could procure at a more reasonable rate than in the Calcutta market, if the money were given him to purchase it. If the Company's paper was not procurable, the notes were to be returned to the plaintiff. D. did not go to the place stipulated to purchase the Company's paper, but, meeting the defendant and others, he went into a house hired for gambling, and lost at cards, and paid away to the defendant some of the notes he had received from the plaintiff. The plaintiff now sued the defendant to recover the notes so entrusted to D., on the allegation that they had been entrusted by him to D. for a specific purpose, and that the defendant was not a bond fide holder for value. He (the plaintiff) stated in evidence "that if the paper had been bought, he would either have taken the papers at the most favourable market price for the bank, or have sold them and given D. the profit." Held, the plaintiff was entitled to recover. The defendant was not a bona fide holder for value. Per PAUL, J., in the Court below, and per NORMAN, J., on appeal.—The notes were especially entrusted to D. for the purchase of the Company's paper. Per PHEAR, J .- Upon the case put forward by the plaintiff, the transaction was a short loan, and not a bailment, and did not bear the character of a trust. But upon the evidence the notes were the property of the bank, and remained so in D.'s hands, and therefore the plaintiff was entitled to recover on behalf of the bank. Buldeo Narain v. Schymgroun

[6 B. L. R., 581

TRUST.

See Ecclesiastical Trust.

[2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 12]

See English Law-Trust, Declaration of- 4 Mad., 460

TRUST-continued.

See HINDU LAW—WILL—CONSTRUCTION OF WILLS—SPECIAL CASES OF CONSTRUCTION—PERPETUITIES, TRUSTS, AND BEQUESTS TO A CLASS.

[2 B. L. R., O. C., 11 4 B. L. R., O. C., 103, 281

See Cases under Limitition Act, 1877, s. 10.

See Limitation Act, 1877, art. 113 (1871, art. 113) . I. L. R., 2 Calc., 323

See WILL-CONSTRUCTION.

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 420 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 325

Constructive-

See Limitation Act, 1877, s. 10. [I. L. R., 2 All., 361 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 91

Disavowal of-

See Limitation Act, 1877, Art. 144 (1871, Art. 145)—Adverse Possession.
[I. L. R., 1 All., 403

Notice of-

See Limitation Act, 1877, art. 134 (1871, art. 134).
[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 269

Revocation of-

See Onus Probandi—Trust, Revocation of— . . . 10 B. L. R., 19

Right to account of administration of—

See ACCOUNT, SUIT FOR-

343

For specific purpose.

See Limitation Act, 1877, s. 10 (1871, s. 10) . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 455, 897 [I. L. R., 6 Mad., 402

See Trover . . 6 B. L. R., 581

Creation of trust .- Owner of property constituting himself trustee .- Father opening an account in name of his son .- In order that the owner of a fund may constitute himself a trustee of it, he must either expressly declare himself a trustee, or must use language which, taken in connection with his acts, shows a clear intention on his part to divest himself of all beneficial interest in it, and to exercise dominion and control over it exclusively in the character of a trustee. From the single circumstance that an account has been opened by a father in his books in the name of his son, in which money is credited to the son, no presumption can be raised in India that the father intends to create a trust, in favour of his son, of the sums appearing in the account. ASHABAI v. TYEB HAJI RAHIMTULLA [I. J., R., 9 Bom., 115

TRUST.—Creation of trust-continued.

- Subsequent disposition by settler.—Disposition out of income.—Held that where a trust has been once perfectly created, although there may have been no transmutation of possession, it cannot be defeated by any subsequent act of the settlor, and apparent dispositions of portions of the property afterwards made by him to particular members of a family, the individuals constituting which have, as a class, a beneficial interest in the whole, must be regarded, not as gifts to them for creations of new trusts in their favour which he had no power to make, but as the acts of a trustee, and available only to the extent of the shares to which such persons may be entitled. But this applies only to dispositions out of the principal of the fund, and not to payments made out of its income to particular members of the family for their maintenance, or other expenses, as there may be circumstances which would render it inequitable to take an account of the latter, so as to charge such persons with what they may have received beyond their respective shares. Jamsetji Jijibhai v. Sona-. 2 Bom., 130: 2nd Ed., 133

Invalid declaration of trust .- Intended transfer of property .-Incomplete gift .- Evidence of interested parties .-The plaintiff, H., was the daughter of one K., deceased. K., some two years before his death in 1866, contemplated conferring a bounty to the extent of R5,000 on each of his daughters, M. and H. For M. he bought a house at Zanzibar and settled it on her by a formal deed of settlement with various limitations. For H., too, he at first intended to buy a house; but finding houses in Bombay were too dear, he purchased a Government promissory note of the nominal value of R5,000. The note was purchased in his own name, and a separate account of it opened in his books, headed "The account of one promissory note bearing 5 per cent. interest." This account he debited with all expenses over and above the R5,000 incurred in and about the purchase of the note, such as for premium, carriage hire, &c ,charging, moreover, 9 per cent. interest on these items of debit (which interest he carried as a gain to his general interest account) and he credited the account with the interest collected on the note from time to time, allowing interest at 6 per cent. on these items of credit. He kept also a separate account of the proceeds of the note, headed "The account of interest on one promissory note for #5,000." The plaintiff stated that on the day when the note was bought her father K. brought it and showed it to her, saying, "This is your note; take it when you want it;" and that she left it in his custody, saying, "I will take the note when my sons grow up and do business." Corroborative evidence -which, however, differed in details from, and was in some respects inconsistent with, the evidence of the plaintiff -was given by the plaintiff's son and husband, as well as by a fourth witness not interested in the case. No interest was ever paid to the plaintiff by K. himself, although he lived for two years after the purchase of the note; but after K.'s death his son recognised some claim in the plaintiff

TRUST.—Creation of trust-continued.

to the income of the sum of R5,000 set apart by K., and he paid her sums, equivalent to the proceeds of the note, with more or less regularity down to the date of his death. The note itself, however, he sold without communicating with the plaintiff, and appropriated to himself the sum realised by the sale, although he continued the account of interest on the note, and even headed that account in H.'s name. Later still, after the death of K.'s son, his grandsons, the defendants, made similar payments for some time, but irregularly, and finally they refused to pay anything further. The plaintiff sued for the note or its value, and for arrears of interest accrued due thereon, asserting that the evidence established a declaration of trust in respect of the note. Held that the evidence was insufficient to establish a valid declaration of trust, for while K.'s books of account might very well be held to corroborate the testimony of a trust which was itself of a satisfactory description, they were insufficient of themselves to establish such a trust; while the oral testimony-which, if taken together and accepted as reliable, might well suffice to establish the acknowledgment of a trustcontained such discrepancies and was so generally misty and uncertain in character that it ought not to be accepted unless corroborated by undisputed facts in the case incapable of being explained except on the hypothesis advanced by the plaintiff. Per SARGENT, C. J .- The equitable doctrine of the transfer of ownership by acknowledgment of trust, when it is sought to establish it by oral evidence, requires to be applied in this country with the greatest caution; and we cannot doubt that to allow an acknowledgment of trust to be established by the evidence of interested parties, speaking as to conversations which took place seventeen years ago without the corroboration derived from other evidence pointing irresistibly in the same direction, would be to introduce a most dangerous mode of appreciating evidence in this country, and would offer a direct encouragement to perjury. The suit dismissed, but without costs, K.'s intention that H. should have the benefit of the R5,000-to which, however, he had failed to give effect—being clear. HIRBAI v. JAN MAHOMED KHALAEDINA . I. L. R., 7 Bom., 229

- Gift.→Requisites to complete gift.—Donor constituting himself trustee for donee.—Enforcement of trust by representative of donee .- Trustee, Liability of .- The plaintiffs M. and R. were Parsis, and were married in the year 1851. The defendant was the widow of B. M., who was the father of the plaintiff R. The plaintiffs sued to recover from the defendant certain Government promissory notes which they alleged had been presented by B. to M. at her marriage for her sole and separate use. They alleged that the said notes, then of the nominal value of R1,500, were endorsed in the name of the said B., and had been deposited by him for safe custody with M.'s grandfather J.; that the said B. during his life used from time to time to receive the said notes from J. and draw the interest thereon for M.; that B. died in 1864, and that after his death the defendant, who was his widow and executrix, used to draw the interest for M.; that in 1869 she obtained possession of the said notes, and had

TRUST.-Creation of trust-continued.

ever since continued in possession thereof, informing the plaintiffs that she was duly keeping them and collecting the interest for M.; that the plaintiffs had been living with the defendant until shortly before the present suit, and having then separated from her, had called upon her to hand over the notes and the accumulated interest, which she refused to do. The defendant denied that her husband B. had ever presented M. with Government notes for her separate use. She alleged that the notes which had been deposited by B. with J. were her own separate property, and not M.'s; that she and her husband had dealt from time to time with them, and that no interest was ever paid to the plaintiffs, or either of them, or for their benefit. She further stated that some of the notes which had been deposited with J. had been disposed of by B. in his lifetime with her consent; that in 1869 she obtained the remaining notes from J. and sold them, and applied the proceeds to her own benefit. At the hearing it was proved that, on the occasion of the plaintiff's marriage, presents were made to M. both by her own family and by that of the bridegroom R. Two accounts were then opened in the books of the firm of J. N. & Co., of which M.'s grandfather J. was a partner, one of which showed her acquisitions from her own family, and the other her acquisitions from the family of her husband. The latter account contained an entry (under date August 1854) to the effect that B., the father-in-law of M., had bought two Government notes for R1,500 in M.'s name, and had obtained the interest on them, which was duly credited to her. Other documents were produced, proved to be in the handwriting of B. and J., in which the said Government notes were alluded to as the property of M., and as having been purchased with her moneys. In 1864 B. died without having endorsed the notes over to M. or to any one in her behalf, and they remained in his name in the hands of J. until 1869, when the defendant got possession of them. Held that B. was liable to answer for the notes as a trustee, and after B. the defendant as his executrix and representative. In the documents put in evidence, B. alluded to the notes as M.'s property. His placing them, as he did, with M.'s grand-father, was itself an acknowledgment, according to the practice of the class to which he belonged, that the benefit was to be hers and her children's. thus sufficiently admitted an obligation as trustee. The legal ownership was his, but he had acknowledged with sufficient clearness an obligation to hold and use the ownership for the benefit of another. Such a purpose clearly manifested constitutes a trust, and burdened with a trust the property passed from B. to the defendant as his representative, and could be enforced against her. Held, further, that having regard to the general practice among Parsis, the conduct of B. in relation to the notes showed that it was his intention that the property should be enjoyed in sole and separate use by M. and her children. MERBAI v. PEROZEBAI

[I. L. R., 5 Bom., 268

5. Suit for declaration of trust.

- Possession of trust property.—Breach of duty.—
In a suit for declaration of trust, with reference to

TRUST .- Suit for declaration of trust-continued.

lands, it must be shown that the party against whom the trust is prayed must have obtained a more or less rightful possession of the lands, but impressed with the obligation of a trust; that in a suit such as last mentioned, it must be shown that some duty primal facie fell on the defendants, of which they were committing a breach. MUZHUE HOSSAIN v. DINOBUNDO SEN . BOURKE, O. C., 8: Cor., 94

- Recognition of trust.—Dead of gift, Validity of .- Oudh Estates Act (I of 1869), s. 8.-A talookdar, deceased before annexation, had provided by will for the succession of his five widows, one at at a time, to his estate, with remainder to a son of his nephew. Settlement was made with the senior widow after the Mutiny, a sanad granted to her as talookdar, with full power of alienation, and her name was afterwards entered in the lists prepared under section 8 of the Oudh Estates Act, 1869. But certain of her acts were not explicable except on the understanding that she was abiding by the will. Held, in a suit by the widow next in order, that such senior widow had undertaken the trust of carrying out the provisions of the will, and that a deed of gift made by her transferred only her interest, which was an estate for life. RAMANUND KUAR v. RAGHUNATH KUAR. ANUNT BAHADUR SINGH v. RAGHUNATH KUAR

[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 769: 11 C. L. R., 149 L. R., 9 I. A., 41

7. Cessation of trust.—Cessation of performance by congregation of particular form of worship.—Commencement of different form of worship.—If the congregation of a church as a body cease to follow the observances of a particular form of worship, and in preference for forty years follow those of a different form of worship, there would be no one left for whom and by whom the original form of worship can be continued, the objects of the original trust cease to exist, and the church funds and property become impressed with a trust for the performance of the later form of worship. Mellus v. Vioar Apostolic of Malabar

[I. L. R., 2 Mad., 295

8. – - Suit to enforce trust.—Suit for enforcing religious or charitable trusts.—Right of suit .- Pleading .- Security for costs .- The representatives of a testator, who has created trusts for religious or charitable purposes, in which the representatives are not personally interested, may institute proceedings to have abuses in the trust rectified, there being no officer in this country who has such power of enforcing the due administration of religious or charitable trusts by information at the relation of some private individual, as is possessed by the Attorney General in England. A suit for this purpose should not be admitted unless the plaintiff gives sufficient security for costs. In order that a decree for an account may be made in favour of the plaintiff in such a suit, he must allege substantially in his plaint that which must be a distinct breach of trust;

TRUST.—Suit to enforce trust—continued.

it is not sufficient for him to make out a case of mere suspicion, or to rely on particular passages in the defendant's written statement. BROJOMOHUN DOSS v. HURROLOLL DOSS . I. L. R., 5 Calc., 700

9. Transfer of property on trust.—Transfer of property by convict sentenced to transportation.—B. having been sentenced to transportation for life, presented a petition in the Revenue Court, in which, stating that he owned a certain zemindari estate, that he had been so sentenced, and that it was necessary to make arrangements for the payment of the Government revenue and the management of the estate, he prayed that his name might be removed from the revenue registers and that of P. be recorded in its stead. Held that the transfer of the property by B. to P. was in the nature of a trust. Durga Prasad v. Asa Ram, I. L. R., 2 All., 361, referred to. HAIT RAM v. Durga Prasad.

I. L. R., 5 All., 609

Property held on trust.-10. Assignment by trustees.—Limitation.—In 1870 the purchasers and recorded proprietors of a four biswas share of a certain village caused a statement to be recorded in the village record of rights to the effect that B. claimed to be the proprietor of a moiety of such share, and that they were willing to admit his right whenever he paid them a moiety of the sum which they had paid in respect of the arrears of revenue due on such share. In 1843 M. purchased such share and became its recorded proprietor. In 1877 K., the son of B., sued the representative of M., for possession of a moiety of such share, alleging, with reference to the statement recorded in the record of rights, that such moiety had vested in M.'s assignors in trust to surrender it to B. or his heirs on payment of a moiety of the sum they had paid on account of revenue, and paying into Court a moiety of such sum. Held that that statement could not be regarded as evidence of the alleged trust, and that, assuming that the alleged trust existed, the suit was barred by limitation, M. having purchased without notice of the trust and for valuable consideration. KAMAL SINGH v. BATUL FATIMA . . I. L. R., 2 All., 460

-Holder of missing person's estate.—Possession.—The possession by the widow or some other member of the family, of a missing person's estate may, in the absence of an indication of its being adverse, be considered to be that of a trustee until the expiry of the term fixed for his return. NABAIN SAHAI v. POSOO 2 Agra, 78

Absconding share-holder.—Custom for his share to be considered as held in trust for a certain time.—Failure to reclaim share.—The plaintiffs sued to recover a share in a village on the allegation that it had been taken by the other shareholders of the village in trust for their father, according to custom, on his absconding from the village by reason of his inability to pay his quotum of Government revenue. The only evidence of the custom was a provision in a wajib-ul-urz that the share of a person who absconded should be held in

TRUST.—Property held on trust—continued. trust for him for twelve years only. Held that, as the father of the plaintiffs did not reclaim his share within twelve years, the plaintiffs' right was forfeited. NAHANA v. DYA RAM. . . 5 N. W., 170

- Wajib-ul-urz. -Absconding co-sharer .- Where a clause of the wajib-ul-urz of a village stated in general terms that absconders from such village should receive back their property on their return, and certain persons who absconded from such village before such wazib-ulurz was framed sued to enforce such clause against the purchaser of their property from the co-sharer who had taken possession of it on their absconding, and who was no party to such wajib-ul-urz, alleging that their property had vested in such co-sharer in trust for them, -Held that before such co-sharer could be taken to have held their property as a trustee, there must be evidence that he accepted such trust, and this fact could not be taken as proved by the wajib-ul-arz. PIAREY LAL v. SALIGA

[I. L. R., 2 All., 394

14. Wajib-ul-urz.-Absent shareholders .- Held that a village administration-paper which provides for the surrender to absent shareholders on their return to the village of the lands formerly held by them does not necessarily constitute a valid trust in their favour, although it may be evidence of such a trust. Where a village administration-paper provided for the surrender to certain absent shareholders on their return to the village of the lands formerly held by them, but did not contain any declaration of a trust as existing between such absent shareholders and the occupiers of their lands at the time such administration-paper was framed,-Held that the administration-paper could not be regarded as evidence of a pre-existing trust between such persons, nor as an admission of such a trust by such occupiers. HARBHAI v. GUMANI

[I. L. R., 2 All., 493

· Absent co-sharer. 15. -Wajib-ul-urz.-S. and his brother owned an 8 annas share of a village, and H. and D. owned the other 8 annas share, the parties being related to each other by blood. In 1865 (Sambat 1921), at the settlement of the village, the following statement was recorded by the settlement officer in the wajib-ul-urz at the instance of H. and D., with whom the settlement was made, S. and his brother being absent from the village and having been absent for some ten years: "We, H. and D., are equal sharers of one 8 annas and S. and (his brother) of the other 8 annas in the village according to descent: ten years ago S. and (his brother) went away into Orai; their present residence is not known: they have not left woman, child, or heir of any kind in the village: on that account the entire 16 annas of the village are in possession of us, H and D. At the time of the preparation of the knewat we made a gift of 4 annas of our own eight annas to P., and have given him possession of 4 annas of the 8 annas belonging to S. and (his brother), keeping the remaining four annas in our own possession: when S. and

TRUST.-Property held on trust-

(his brother) return to the village, we three who are in possession shall give up the 8 annas share of the aforesaid persons." In March 1880 S. sued P. for possession of the 4 annas mentioned in the wajibul-urz as having been made over to him by H. and D. out of the 8 annas share belonging to S. and his brother. He based his suit upon the wajib-ul-urz, but did not expressly state that the share in suit had been entrusted to \hat{H} , and D, on the understanding that it should be returned to him when he reclaimed it. The lower Appellate Court dismissed the suit as barred by limitation, on the ground that P.'s possession of the share in suit became adverse in 1866 or 1867, more than twelve years before the institution of the suit, when S., having returned to the village, had claimed the share and P. had refused to surrender it. On second appeal it was contended by S. that under the terms of the wajib-ul-urz P.'s possession was that of a trustee, and his possession could not be held to be adverse. Per SPANKIE, J .- That inasmuch as there was no direct evidence that the share in suit had been entrusted by S. to H. and D. on the understanding that it should be returned to him when he reclaimed it, and as such a trust could not be implied from the terms of the wajib-ul-urz, which amounted to nothing more than an acknowledgment of S.'s title and an offer to surrender possession when he returned, and as when he did return in 1866 or 1867 P. refused to surrender possession, S. was bound to have sued to recover the share in suit within twelve years from the date of such refusal, and as he had failed to do so, the suit was barred by limitation. Per Pearson, J .- That although no mention was made in the wajib-ul-urz of such a trust as was contended for, yet the terms of that document strongly suggested the creation of such a trust. Having regard to the terms of the Wajib-ul-urz, and to the fact that S. and his brother were not strangers to H. and D., nor merely co-sharers, but near bloodrelations, probably residing together on the same premises and partners in agricultural labours, further inquiry should be made with the view of elucidating the nature of the acquisition of H, and D, of the share and of their subsequent possession. SIRDAR Sainey v. Piran Singh . I. L. R., 3 All., 453

16. Retirement and disability of trustees.—Effect of, on trust.—Where property is assigned to trustees by an insolvent trader for the purpose of having it equally distributed among his creditors, such a trust does not become inoperative by reason of the retirement of two out of three trustees, and of the inability of the third to discharge his duties properly. BAUMGARTNEE v. STEPHENSON [3 Agra, 321]

17. Creditor's trust-fund.—Unclaimed dividends, Suit for distribution of.—Where a creditor's trust-deed contained no provision for redistribution of unclaimed dividends, and a suit was brought by the representatives of one of the creditors, party to the deed, for the administration and distribution of funds in the defendant's possession allotted to other creditors by way of dividends, but

TRUST.—Creditor's trust-fund—continued.

unclaimed by them for forty years,—Held that the plaintiff was not entitled to such relief. Wilde v. Banning, L. R., 2 Eq., 577, distinguished. MANIOKA-VELU MUDALI v. ARBUTHNOT & Co.

[I. L. R., 4 Mad., 404

18. Resulting trust.—Intention of party.-Implied trust, Presumption of .- Suit brought to recover possession of a talook, upon the alleged ground that the moneys with which the purchase was made were not the moneys of the person in whose name the property was bought, but of a lady with whom he was living as her husband, and that there was a resulting trust in her favour. The Privy Council considered that the very principle of a resulting trust was that the property had been purchased with money belonging to another, with an implied trust that it should belong to that other person to whom the money also belonged; but that if it was the intention of the person to whom the money belonged that there should be no such trust, no such implied trust could arise by implication, and the presumption would then be met by the facts. Americonnissa Khanum v. Ashruffoon-NISSA . 17 W. R., 259: 14 Moore's I. A., 438

Statute Frauds.—Stat. 29 Charles II., c. 3.—The plaintiff, who was the widow of G., sued the defendant, the executrix of J., to recover a sum of R7,394-9-6, part of the purchase-money of a house which had been sold by J. in his lifetime, and which the plaintiff alleged had been, shortly before his death, conveved by her husband G, to J. in trust to sell and hold the proceeds in trust for G.'s family. The defendant denied the trust and insisted that J. had purchased the house from G. for valuable consideration. Both J. and G. were Parsis. Held that, even assuming that no consideration was given by J. to G. for the house, the plaintiff was not entitled to succeed. In the absence of consideration, the trust of the house, which was admittedly conveyed by G. to J., would have resulted to G., unless, under the provisions of section 7 of the Statute of Frauds (29 Charles II., Cap. 3), he (G.) had declared in writing some other trust which was to supersede the resulting trust in his own favour. No such declaration of trust in writing was proved. If, on the other hand, the trust did result to G., he, no doubt, might, as equitable owner of the house, have disposed of his interest by will. If he did so, the plaintiff had not qualified herself to sue as his representative. Probate had not been obtained of the will, and until the will was proved it could not be said that G. had made a particular declaration of trust by it. Nor without probate could the plaintiff take up the position of legal representative of her deceased husband entitled to enforce his rights, and, amongst others, his rights under the supposed resulting trust. Except as executrix or as administratrix the plaintiff could not recover property, or enforce rights equitably vested in her deceased husband. BAI MANEOK-BAI v. BAI MERBAI . . I. L. R., 6 Bom., 363

9 H 2

TRUST-continued.

Defaulting trustees.—Breach of trust beneficial to trust-estate.—The Court will not, at the instance of one of two defaulting trustees, declare the liabilities arising from a breach of trust without having all the parties concerned before it. Nor will the Court pass an order which might in any way tend to be construed as an assent to a breach of trust already committed, even though the breach may have been beneficial to the trust-estate. BARRY v. STERL

[1 C. L. R., 80

Revocation of trust .- Foluntary settlement .- A., being at the time unmarried, executed a voluntary settlement by which he created trusts for himself for life, and after his death for his issue and widows (if any), with ultimate trusts over. The deed contained a provision empowering A. at any time, with the consent of the trustee, to revoke the trusts, and to declare any new or other trusts. A. subsequently married, and, after his marriage, executed a deed of revocation, declaring that the trustproperty should be held for himself absolutely. The trustees refused to hand over the trust-property, and A. thereupon instituted a suit to have the trust set aside. His wife was a minor, and there was no issue of the marriage. Held that, although there might be cases in which, where no other person but the settlor was interested, the deed might be regarded as a mere direction as to the manner in which the settlor's property should be applied for his benefit, and as such revocable by the settlor, yet that, in the present case, there being an infant beneficiary, the deed could not be revoked. GOLAM YASSIN v. OFFICIAL TRUSTEE OF BENGAL

[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 887

TRUST-DEED FOR BENEFIT OF CREDITORS.

See BILL OF EXCHANGE.

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 174

See DEBTOR AND CREDITOR.

[11 Moore's I. A., 317 3 Agra, 104, 321 8 Bom., A. C., 245 1 Bom., 233 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 101

TRUST-PROPERTY.

See COURT FEES ACT, SCH. I, ART. 11.
[6 B. L. R., Ap., 138
11 B. L. R., Ap., 39
7 B. L. R., 57
14 B. L. R., 184

TRUSTEE.

See Costs—Special Cases—Trustees.
[13 B. L. R., 383

I. L. R., 11 Calc., 628

See EXECUTOR . I. L. R., 2 Bom., 388
See HINDU LAW-ENDOWMENT-CREATION OF ENDOWMENT.

[14 B. L. R., 175 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 169 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 56 | TRUSTEE-continued.

See HINDU LAW-ENDOWMENT-SUCCES-SION IN MANAGEMENT.

> [5 B. L. R., 181 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 499

* See HINDU LAW-ENDOWMENT-TRANS-FEE OF RIGHT OF WORSHIP.

[3 C. L. R., 112

See Insolvent Act, s. 40. [I. L. R., 3 All., 799

See Cases under Limitation Act, 1877, s. 10 (1871, s. 10; 1859, s. 2).

See MALABAR LAW-JOINT FAMILY.

[I. L. R., 2 Mad., 328 I. L. R., 1 Mad., 153

Sce OUDH ESTATES ACT.

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 522, 645

See Parties—Parties to Suits—Debtor and Cheditor, Suits between— [I. L. R., 3 All., 799

See CASES UNDER TRUST.

See VENDOR AND PURCHASER—VENDOR, RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF—

[7 B. L. R., 113

See WILL-CONSTRUCTION.

[4 B. L. R., O. C., 53 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 228

- Constructive-

See INSOLVENCY—OBDER AND DISPOSITION . I. L. R., 2 Bom., 542

— Claim to immoveable property against—

See CERTIFICATE OF ADMINISTRATION— RIGHT TO SUE OR EXECUTE DECREE WITHOUT CERTIFICATE.

[I. L. R., 2 Calc., 45

See WILL-CONSTRUCTION.

[I. L. R., 2 Calc., 45

Distinction between trustee and director.

See COMPANY—POWERS, DUTIES, AND LIABILITIES OF DIRECTORS.

— of temple, Breach of trust by—
See Jubis diction of Chiminal Court.
—General Jubisdiction.

[I. L. R., 1 Mad., 55

[6 B. L. R., 278

- Suit by-

See HINDU LAW—WILL—CONSTRUCTION
OF WILLS—SPECIAL CASES OF CONSTRUCTION—VESTED AND CONTINGENT
INTERESTS I. L. R., 1 Bom., 269

1. — Relinquishment by one trustee.—Effect of relinquishment.—In a contest between three trustees or managers of an endowment,

TRUSTEE.—Relinquishment by one trustee—continued.

each entitled to a third share in the profits of the property, if one of them withdraws from the contest, his share is held to have been relinquished in favour of the remaining partners, and to have merged in the general account to be rendered by the trustees or managers. Buzl Ruhim v. Lutafut Hossein. Khodejoonnissa Bibes v. Lutafut Hossein. Khodejoonnissa Bibes v. Lutafut Hossein. W. R., 1864, 171

2. Breach of trustees' duty.—
Mixing trust funds with money of trustees.—Commission on trust moneys.—It is a grave breach of
duty in trustees, or administrators taking out letters
of administration, to estates in this country under
powers of attorney from executors or next of kin
abroad, to mix the incomes raised by them from
trust properties, or the funds of the estate, in one
common fund with their own moneys, and such a
course of dealing may expose the trustees or administrators to criminal as well as civil liabilities. In the
MATTEE OF THE PETITION OF COWIE.

[I. L. R., 6 Calc., 70: 7 C. L. R., 19

Appointment of new trustees.—Probate.—Executors.—Executors alienating property of their testator's estate before obtaining probate. - Title of alienees to such property. - Right of holder of property to vote at election of trustee before obtaining probate.—Trustee elected by debenture-holders.—Meeting of debenture-holders to elect a trustee.—Exclusion from meeting of holders of debentures obtained from executors before probate.-Validity of election of trustee elected at meeting from which such debenture-holders were excluded.— In order to secure certain money which it had borrowed by the issue of debentures, the D. Company on the 23rd November 1883 conveyed certain lands, &c., to three trustees, K., G., and D., by way of mortgage. With regard to the appointment of new trustees in case any trustee should die, &c., the indenture of mortgage provided that, in certain events, the surviving or continuing trustees might convene a meeting of the debenture-holders for the purpose of nominating a new trustee; and that at such meeting the election of such new trustee should be decided by a majority of votes of the debenture-holders present in person, each party having only one vote, and in case of an equality of votes, then the chairman of the meeting should have a casting vote. K., one of the trustees appointed under the deed, died on the 9th February 1886, leaving a will whereby he appointed three executors. At the time of his death K. was the holder of one moiety of the debentures, viz., 1,400 debentures of the value of R7,00,000. The two remaining trustees, G. and D., called a meeting of the debenture-holders for the 27th February 1886 to elect a trustee. Previously to the meeting and for the purpose of having the large interests of K.'s estate adequately represented, the executors of K. distributed some of the debentures in their hands, belonging to K.'s estate, among nominees for the purpose of voting at the meeting; and they also sold some of the debentures. Among the persons to whom debentures were sold were the first three plaintiffs. Pursuant

TRUSTEE.—Appointment of new trustees
—continued.

to the notice convening the meeting, the plaintiffs and other persons, to whom debentures belonging to the estate of K. had been given or sold, presented themselves and claimed to attend the meeting; but none of them, except the three executors (plaintiffs 4, 5, and 6) of K. were allowed to attend, and they were admitted only in their capacity as executors. Defendant No. 1 was chairman of the meeting, and he ruled that the three executors had a joint right, in their capacity as executors, to give one vote upon any proposition that might be submitted to the meeting. At the meeting it was proposed that the holders of the debentures, who claimed admission to the meeting, should be permitted to attend. The chairman ruled the motion irrelevant, and would not allow it to be put. The executors, therefore, withdrew from the meeting. After they had withdrawn, the third defendant, P., was elected a trustee. At the date of the meeting the executors had not obtained probate of K.'s will. On behalf of the defendants it was contended that P.'s election was valid; and that the persons to whom the executors had given or sold debentures belonging to K.'s estate had been properly excluded from the meeting of the 27th February, inasmuch as the executors had not at that time obtained probate, and, consequently, the title of their aliences to the debentures was still incomplete. Held that P. (defendant No. 3), had not been validly appointed a trustee to the indenture of the 23rd November Under that indenture, debenture-holders had the right to vote; and the debentures were payable to bearer. The fact that the executors had not at the date of the meeting obtained probate did not affect the rights of those to whom they had given or sold debentures, and such persons had, consequently, been improperly excluded from the meeting. MA-THURADAS LOWJI v. GOCULDAS MADHOWJI

[I. L. R., 10 Bom., 468

4. — Breach of trust.—Liability of passive trustee.—A trustee who, having accepted a trust, remains passive and takes no steps to see the trust carried into execution, is liable for losses arising from the breach of trust of his co-trustee. BAI JADAY v. TRIBHUYANDAS JAGJIVANDAS

[9 Bom., 333

Fiduciary relationship.—Assignment by married woman .- L. M. died in 1856, having bequeathed certain personal property to J. S., who then and at the time of the subsequentlymentioned suit was a married woman, and who executed a power of attorney, authorising O. G. & Co. to receive payment of the legacy, and to execute a settlement of a portion of the same according to articles contained in the power. This settlement was made, and under it a portion of the legacy was assigned to trustees, who did not execute the deed or undertake the trust, and no other trustee was substituted for them. O. G. & Co. at various times advanced money to J. S., and in acknowledgment received promissory notes from her for a portion of such advances; and in a suit by O. G. & Co. to recover the amount of these advances, it was held that TRUSTEE,—Fiduciary relationship—continucd.

O. G. & Co., standing in a fiduciary relation to J. S., before they could avail themselves of her acts, must show that she did them with a full knowledge of the circumstances of the case and of her own position with regard to it. SMITH v. STEWART [Bourke, O. C., 292

TRUSTEE AND CESTUI QUE TRUST.

2. — Cause of action.—Adverse possession.—Limitation.—When property is placed in the hands of another by way of trust, no cause of action arises to the owner until there has been a demand by the owner for the restoration of the property and a refusal by the trustee to give up the property. The period of limitation begins to run from the date of such refusal or distinct assertion of adverse right, and not from the date the trustee enters into possession. RAKHALDAS MADAK v. MADHU-

MADAK [3 B. L. R., A. C., 409: 12 W. R., 319

 Suit to set aside alienations by trustee. - Bond fide purchaser .- A suit brought by a cestui que trust to set aside as fraudulent certain alienations made by the trustee was dismissed by the lower Appellate Court as barred by limitation, merely on the ground that more than twelve years had, at the commencement of the suit, elapsed since the execution of such deeds of alienation. Held (1) that this was not sufficient, and that the Court should have tried whether the purchasers were cognisant at the time of their purchase of a subsisting trust affecting the property, for if so they would have taken it subject to the trust, and would stand in the shoes of the original trustee, and would not be bona fide purchasers from trustees entitled to the benefit of the law of limitation; (2) that if the trustee had power to make valid grants, the grantees would have a perfectly good title, if they took for valuable consideration, without notice af the trust. LUTERFUN v. Bego Jan. Bego Jan v. Chebag Ali [5 W. R., 120

estates had been under care of Court of Wards .- Cause of action .- Fiduciary relationship. -Plaintiff, the zemindar of Shivaganga, sued to recover two villages which she alleged formed part of the Shivaganga zemindari. The villages originally belonged to P., mother of the present defendant, B., the ex-zemindar of Shivaganga. In 1856 they were purchased by the Court of Wards on behalf of B., who was then a minor, with part of the rents and profits of the zemindari, and in 1860 were given by him to his mother. In 1864 B. was ousted by a decree of the Privy Council, and became liable to the present plaintiff for the mesne profits of the zemindari. In the account taken of mesne profits due, the amount expended on the purchase of these villages was excluded by plaintiff's consent from the sum debited to the ex-zemindar. Plaintiff now sued P., and, she dying, the suit was continued against B. as

her representative. Held that there being in the decree of the Privy Council nothing directly giving a

Suit for mesne profits where

TRUSTEE AND CESTUI QUE TRUST.— Suit for mesne profits where estates had been under care of Court of Wards—continued.

right to maintain the suit, there was but one ground upon which the suit could be supposed to lie,—namely, the existence of the relation of trustee and beneficiary between the Collector and the plaintiff at the time of the purchase. Held, also, that such relation did not exist. KATTAMA NACHIAE v. BOTHAGUEU-SAMI TEVAE

6 Mad., 298

TRUSTEE ACT.

appointment of new trustees.—Trustees were appointed for a company in 1845, and the partnership was to last twenty years, which expired on December 31st, 1864. The shareholders thereupon appointed S., a new trustee, to sell the business, and he sold it to R. The old trustees had left the country. In an application, with the consent of all parties, under Act XXIV of 1841, that S. might sign the deed of transfer, the Court held that it was necessary to show that the old trustees had no lien on any other property in the concern before the order asked for could be made. IN THE MATTER OF FORT GLOUCESTEE MILLS CO. Bourke, O. C., 260

- (XXVII of 1866), s. 3.—Hindu trusts .- Equitable jurisdiction of High Court .- Appointment of new trustee .- Supreme Court Charter, 1823.—The High Court may exercise the summary powers conferred upon it by the Trustee Act (XXVII of 1866) in the case of Hindu trusts. Section 3 of the Trustee Act, which provides that the power and authority given by the Act to the High Court shall be exercised only "in cases to which English law is applicable," cannot be intended to limit the operation of the Act only to cases to which, in their whole extent, the law prevailing in England applies without qualification or reserve, as this would virtually exclude the Act in any case on which an Act of the Indian Legislature has any bearing. The cases referred to in the section must be cases to which English law is in some measure applicable, but in what measure is not indicated in the Act. English law must be regarded as applicable in the sense intended if the principles recognised by the English Equity Courts are applicable. At the date of the grant of the Charter to the Supreme Court of Bombay in the year 1823, English equity had become a system which would deal with a body of quasi-common law in a scientific manner and in obedience to known and uniform rules. When it applied its method to the determination or the constitution of a right, even based on the Hindu or Mahomedan law. it administered English law. In this sense "English law was applicable" at the date of the passing of the Trustee Act of 1866 to all cases in which peculiarly equitable doctrines had obtained recognition in the relations between the native inhabitants of Bombay. Those doctrines could not be employed to subvert the native substantive laws, but they afforded a means of ameliorating them by a system

TRUSTEE ACT (XXVII of 1866, s. 3—continued.

of rules borrowed from the English Court of Equity. Trusts are recognised in the Hindu as well as in the English system of law. But while the substantive Hindu law insists strongly on the suppression of fraud and the fulfilment of promises, it fails to furnish the detailed rules by which effect is to be given to its principles in cases of trust. If the Court is called on to give effect to a trust in any given case, it looks to the Hindu law of property to determine the estate of the trustee, but with reference to the duties of trustee and the rights of beneficiaries it is governed by the rules of English equity. There are no others that it can apply. In meeting an exigency, or in taking cognisance of a form of right not directly provided for in the Shastras, the Court, in exercising its jurisdiction under section 41 of the Charter of 1823, may apply Hindu law. But, taking Hindu law as one of its data, it applies "English law" also in the form of equity to all or nearly all the questions that arise. IN RE KAHANDAS NARBANDAS . I. L. R., 5 Bom., 154

- ss. 20 & 32.-Appointment of person to convey property on behalf of persons out of the jurisdiction and under other disabilities. -Where property has been, by an order of Court, directed to be sold, and where some of the parties interested in such property are either out of the jurisdiction, married women, or minors, and the place of abode of others of them is unknown, the Court will, on petition, under the Trustee Act, appoint a person to convey the interest of such persons to any purchaser, notwithstanding that, at the time the order is applied for, no contract for the sale of the property has been entered into. But the Court cannot make such an order with respect to the interest of a party who has not been served, and who has not entered appearance. LACKERSTEEN v. ROSTAN [I. L. R., 7 Calc., 32

– 8. 35. — Application for removal of trustee. - Ground for removal. - Stat. 13 & 14 Vict., c. 60, s. 32.—Where a petition was presented to the High Court praying for the removal, under section 35, Act XXVII of 1866, of certain trustees of a will, on the grounds, inter alia, of misappropriation, waste, and breach of trust, and for the appointment of new ones,-Held that the matters alleged were much too grave to be disposed of on a mere application, and that as the respondents opposed the appointment of new trustees, the petitioners should institute a regular suit. Act XXVII of 1866, section 35, is analogous to 13 and 14 Victoria, Cap. 60, section 32. The Courts in this country ought, in analogy to the rulings of the English Court of Chancery, to refuse jurisdiction under this section on a mere application alleging misconduct or any other cause, when the trustees whom it is sought to remove are willing to act, and refer the applicant to a suit. In the GOODS OF POWELL . 6 N. W., 54

TRUSTEES AND MORTGAGEES ACT.

Administrator General.—Taking opinion of Court on question respecting the administration.—Question

TRUSTEES AND MORTGAGEES ACT (XXVIII of 1866), s. 43—continued.

affecting rights of parties inter se. - Refusal of Court to express opinion .- The Administrator General of Bombay having taken out letters of administration (having effect throughout the Bombay Presidency) to the estate of one A. B., deceased, and having a balance in his hands to the credit of the said estate after having fully administered the same, was applied to by G. B., the brother of the said A. B., deceased, who had taken out letters of administration in England to the estate of his deceased brother, to hand over to him, the said G. B., the balance in question, -the said G. B. claiming to be the administrator of the domicile of the deceased, and, as such, to be entitled to all the personal assets of his estate wheresoever situate. Being in doubt as to whether he might safely accede to the request, the Administrator General of Bombay, by petition under section 43 of the Trustees and Mortgagees Act, XXVIII of 1866, submitted the question to the High Court for its opinion, advice, and direction. Held that the question being one of considerable difficulty and importance, and involving, moreover, in its decision questions which might seriously affect the rights of parties inter se, it was not a question such as was contemplated by section 43 of the Trustees and Mortgagees Act, XXVIII of 1866, nor one upon which the Court ought to give any opinion merely on an ex parte petition of this character. In he the goods of Brereton. In THE MATTER OF THE TRUSTEES AND MORTGAGES . I. L. R., 7 Bom., 381 ACT, 1866

TURN OF WORSHIP OF IDOL, RIGHT

See DAMAGES—SUITS FOR DAMAGES—TOETS . I. L. R., 3 Calc., 390
See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 131 (1871, ART. 131) . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 683
[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 807
6 B. L. R., 352: 15 W. R., 29

UMPIRE, INCAPACITY TO ACT AS-

See Arbitration—Appointment of Arbitrators and Umpires.

[4 B. L. R., O. C., 89]

UNCHASTITY.

See HINDU LAW-MAINTENANCE-RIGHT TO MAINTENANCE-WIDOW.

[12 B. L. R., 238 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 559 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 84 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 108

See HINDU LAW—STRIDHAN—EFFECT OF UNCHASTITY . I. L. R., 1 All., 48

See Cases under Hindu Law-Widow - Disqualifications-Unchastity,

UNDERTAKING NOT TO SUE.

See ARREST—CIVIL ARREST.
[I. L. R., 1 Calc., 78

UNDERTAKING NOT TO SUE—continued. See WARRANT OF ARREST-CRIMINAL 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 17

UNDER-TENURE

See Jurisdiction of Civil Court-REVENUE COURTS-ORDERS OF REVENUE . B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 625

See Cases under Sale for Arrears of RENT-PORTION OF UNDER-TENURE,

See Casks under Sale for Abreads of RENT-SALE OF UNDER-TENURE.

Avoidance of-

See Cases under Sale for Arrears of RENT-INCUMBRANCES.

Suit to cancel—

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 121 (1871, . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 860 ART. 119)

UNDERWRITER, LIABILITY OF-

See Insurance-Marine Insurance. [I. L. R., 2 Bom., 550 12 Bom., 23

UNDUE INFLUENCE

See CHAMPERTY . 13 B. L. R., 509 See VENDOR AND PURCHASER-INVALID . 1 B. L. R., A. C., 95 [Cor., 57 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 450

See WILL-VALIDITY OF WILL.

[I. L. R., 7 Mad., 515

 Onus of proof.—Third party not in confidential relationship .- A third person who, stands in no confidential relation to a grantor who is under age is not bound in the first instance to show that undue influence was used in a transaction. The subject of undue influence considered. RAJ COOMAR ROY v. ALFUZUDDIN AHMED . 8 C. L. R., 419

UNLAWFUL ASSEMBLY.

- Penal Code, s. 141.—Rioting.— Assembly originally lawful .- An assembly, lawful in its inception, may become unlawful by its acts. If force is used, the higher offence of rioting is committed. Queen v. KHEMER SINGH

[1 W. R., Cr., 19

- Common object.—In order to convict of the offence of being members of an unlawful assembly, it must be shown that the accused were actuated by a common object, and that the acts done by them were of such a nature as to make them guilty under section 141 of the Penal Code. Queen v. Dinobundo Rai

[9 W. R., Cr., 19

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF KOYLASH Chunder Dass . . 20 W. R., Cr., 78

of land on which unlawful assembly is held .- Com-

ASSEMBLY. - Pension UNLAWFUL Code, s. 141 and s. 154-continued.

mon object .- Held that the owner or occupier of land on which an unlawful assembly is held cannot be convicted under section 154 of the Penal Code, unless there is a finding that the riot was premeditated. Where two opposite factions commit a riot, it is irregular to treat both parties as constituting one unlawful assembly, and to try them together, inasmuch as they do not have "one common object" within the meaning of section 141 of the Penal Code. QUEEN v. SURBOOP CHUNDER PAUL

[12 W. R., Cr., 75

 Affray and unlawful assembly.—There is no ground for the distinction between an unlawful assembly as a premeditated act and an affray as a sudden one; for according to section 141 of the Penal Code, an assembly which was not unlawful when it assembled may subsequently become an unlawful assembly. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF LOKENATH KAR

[18 W. R., Cr., 2

Maintenance of rights .- Intention of parties .- No charge of being members of an unlawful assembly under section 141, Penal Code, can be sustained, where the intention of the parties was not to enforce a right or supposed right, but to maintain undisturbed the actual subsisting enjoyment of a right which was at that time being exercised. SHUNKER SINGH v. BURMAH MAHTO [23 W. R., Cr., 25

Person joining it and staying to prevent mischief to property.-It cannot be said that a person intentionally joins an unlawful assembly or continues in it, when it appears from the evidence that he went to the place where the members of the unlawful assembly were gathered, to prevent mischief being done to his own property which he had a right to protect. BIRJOO SINGH v. . 19 W. R., Cr., 66 KHUB LALL.

-- Ryots carrying away crops.—Where the defendants, ryots of a portion of a zemindari sold in execution of a decree of the Civil Court, reaped and carried away their crops despite the purchaser's people, and refused to allow the purchaser's people to seal and mark grain which had been reaped, and the ryots were assembled in such numbers and so armed that nothing could be done against them,-Held by the High Court that the acts of the defendants did not amount to an offence under section 141 of the Penal Code. An-. 4 Mad., Ap., 65 ONYMOUS

cl. 4.—Interrupting procession as a nuisance .- Held that the act of the defendants in assembling and forcibly interrupting a procession was forbidden by clause 4 of section 141 of the Penal Code, although the defendants acted upon the ground that the procession was a nuisance or aunoyance to them or their community. ANONY-MOUS . 5 Mad., Ap., 6

s. 143.—Dispute as to possession of land .- Assembly going with armed UNLAWFUL ASSEMBLY.—Pension Code, s. 143—continued.

men to sow land .- On the trial of certain persons charged with being members of an unlawful assembly, it was proved that there was a dispute of long standing between the accused and certain other parties regarding the possession of certain land; that neither of the parties was in undisturbed possession of the land; that the accused went to sow the land with indigo, accompanied by a body of men armed with latties; that they were prepared to use force, if necessary; and that the lattials kept off the opposite party by brandishing their weapons while the land was sowed. Held that the accused were rightly convicted of being members of an unlawful assembly, under section 143 of the Penal Code. Shunker Singh v. Burmah Mahto, 23 W. R., Cr., 25, distinguished. IN THE MATTER OF PRABY MOHUN SIRCAR. PEARY MOHUN SIRCAR v. EMPRESS

[I. L. R., 9 Calc., 639

S. C. In the matter of Peary Mohun Sirkar [18 C. L. R., 80

criminal force to public servant in execution of duty.—Resistance to search-warrant.—Where the officer in charge of a police station required the officer in charge of another police station to cause a search to be made in a house within the limits of his station, and such officer, on being required, deputed two officers subordinate to him to make the search without delivering to them the order in writing required by section 379 of Act X of 1872, it was held that the persons resisting the search attempted could not be lawfully convicted under sections 353 and 143 of the Penal Code. Queen v. Narain

____ 8. 147.—Rioting.—Abating nuisance.—A., a joint owner of a parcel of land, erected on it an edifice without the consent of B., another joint owner. A dispute arose and the Magistrate on inquiry ordered, under section 530 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, A. to be put in possession of the part of the land on which the edifice had been erected. B. subsequently brought a suit in the Civil Court to establish his title to joint possession of the whole parcel, and for a declaration that A. was not entitled to erect any edifice thereon; and he further prayed that such edifice should be removed. B. obtained a decree, whereupon his servants went on the land and pulled it down. They were charged before the Deputy Magistrate with having committed mischief, and on this convicted and fined. On the 8th October the accused, who were the servants of B., found the men in the employ of A. were putting up this erection, a nawbat-khana, again, and accordingly protested against its erection, pulled down the bamboos, thrust aside the servants of A., throwing to the ground one man who was clinging to the bamboos. On the 9th October 1877 these servants were charged before the Magistrate with rioting, and convicted under section 147, Penal Code. Held, per JACKSON, J., that, as the accused were not on the land in question as members of an unlawful assembly, nor for any unlawful purpose, the

UNLAWFUL ASSEMBLY. - Pension Code, s. 147—continued.

conviction, as well as the procedure, was illegal. Held per CUNNINGHAM, J., that the accused were merely exercising the remedy of abating a private nuisance, and were exercising a legal right of self-defence. EMPRESS ON THE PROSECUTION OF DENONATH GHATTACK v. RAJCOOMAR SINGH

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 573

S. C. In the matter of the petition of Raj-Singh . 2 C. L. R., 62

13. s. 149.—Encouraging members of unlawful assembly.—Where persons join an unlawful assembly for the purpose of committing an assault, and instead of preventing those armed from using their weapons encourage them to do so, they are in the same position as those members of the unlawful assembly who struck the blows. Queen v. Dusheuth Roy. . . . 7 W. R., Cr., 58

-- Constructive murder under s. 34, Penal Code.—Effect on others charged under s. 149.—Per FIELD, J.—Where a prisoner is constructively guilty of murder under section 34 of the Penal Code, it is doubtful if he can be said to have committed the offence of murder within the meaning of section 149, so as to make other prisoners, by a double construction, guilty of murder. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF JHUBBOO MAHTON. EMPRESS v. JHUBBOO MAHTON.

[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 789

S. C. JHUBBOO MAHTON v. EMPRESS [12 C. L. R., 238]

Where a person was killed by a member of an unlawful assembly, in prosecution of the common object of that assembly, the common object being that assembly the common object being that all those who were members of the assembly at the time such person was killed were guilty of the offence of killing her. In the matter of Golam Arfin

[4 B. L. R., Ap., 47

UNLAWFUL ASSEMBLY. — Pension

Code, s. 149-continued.

S. C. QUEEN v. GOLAM ARFIN

[13 W. R., Cr., 33

17. and s. 300, excep. 2.- Common object .- Murder .- One member of an unlawful assembly, whose common object was to eject certain persons from a piece of land, the title to which was disputed, fired at and killed one of such persons. Held by Couch, C. J., and Jackson, PHEAR, and PONTIFEX, JJ. (AINSLIE, J., dissenting), that the act being sudden and unpremeditated, the other members of the assembly were not guilty of the offence of murder under section 149 of the Penal Code, but of rioting armed with a deadly weapon under section 148. QUEEN v. SABED ALI [11 B. L. R., F. B., 347: 20 W. R., Cr., 5

Common object.-Murder .- A large body of men belonging to one faction waylaid another body of men belonging to a second faction, and a fight ensued, in the course of which a member of the first-mentioned faction was wounded, and retired to the side of the road, taking no further active part in the affray. After his retirement a member of the second faction was killed. Held (by NORMAN, J., whose opinion prevailed) that the wounded man had ceased to be a member of the unlawful assembly when he retired wounded, and that he could not, under section 149 of the Penal Code, be made liable for the subsequent murder. Held (by Jackson, J.) that he remained a member of the unlawful assembly. QUEEN v. KA-BIL CAZEE . 3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 1

Prosecution of common object .- If a body of men armed with latties, and under the leadership of one who to the knowledge of the rest is armed with a gun, assemble for the purpose of forcibly carrying off another man's property; and if in effecting that purpose, any one of the party, taking the gun, shoots and kills a person who is making a lawful resistance, the whole party may properly be convicted of murder under section 149 of the Penal Code. Queen v. Sabed Ali, 11 B. L. R., 347: 20 W. R., Cr., 5, cited. HABI SINGH v. EM-PRESS . 3 C. L. R., 49

20. --Acts taking place after unlawful assembly is over .- Where, after the object of an unlawful assembly had been accomplished, and the opposite party driven away, one of the members entered into an altercation with another and wounded him with a fish-spear, it was held that the act was not one done with a view to accomplish the common object of the assembly, or one which the rest knew would be likely to be committed in the prosecution of that object. Queen v. Binon

[24 W. R., Cr., 66

ss. 151 and 188.—Assembly of five or more persons.—Lawful command. Where the object of only three persons was to draw a crowd and their action was such as was calculated to and did draw a crowd of fifty or sixty persons likely to cause a disturbance of the public peace,

UNLAWFUL ASSEMBLY, 88, 151 and 188 -continued.

-Held that the gathering constituted an assembly of five or more persons within the meaning of section 151 of the Penal Code (Act XLV of 1860), and that a refusal to disperse after being lawfully commanded to disperse rendered every member of the gathering liable to conviction under the said section. An order given by an officer superior in rank to an officer in charge of police stations commanding an assembly of five or more persons likely to cause a disturbance of the public peace to disperse, is a lawful order within the meaning of section 480 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Act X of 1872). EM-PRESS v. TUCKER . I. L. R., 7 Bom., 42

UNLIQUIDATED DAMAGES.

See Insolvent Act, s. 40.

[13 B. L. R., Ap., 2

See Interest-Miscellaneous Cases-UNLIQUIDATED DAMAGES.

> 7 Bom., A. C., 89 9 Bom., 7

UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT.

See PLEADER-REMOVAL, SUSPENSION. AND DISMISSAL . 11 B. L. R., 312

UNNATURAL OFFENCE.

- Penal Code, s. 377.—Charge.— Particulars as to time, place, and person.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 222.—Held, where a person was tried for an unnatural offence and convicted on a charge which did not allege the time when, place where, or point to any known or unknown person with whom the offence was committed, and without any proof of these particulars, the facts proved against him only being that he habitually wore woman's clothes and exhibited physical signs of having committed the offence, that the conviction was not sustainable. Queen-Empress v. Khairati

[I. L. R., 6 All., 204

UNSEAWORTHINESS.

See CONTRACT-CONDITIONS PRECEDENT. [2 B. L. R., O. C., 127

UNSETTLED POLLIAM.

 Hereditary tenure.—Evidence of possession or receipt of rent .- There is no long uniform current of decisions at Madras sufficient to show that every polliam, not permanently settled, is necessarily only a tenure for life, or at the will of the Government. Each case must depend upon its own particular circumstances. The existence of a proprietary estate therein, and the tenure by which it has been held, are matters judicially determinable on legal evidence. In India the proof of possession or receipt of rent by a person who pays the land revenue immediately to Government is prima facie evidence of an estate of inheritance in the case of an ordinary zemindari. The evidence is still stronger if it be proved that the estate has passed on one or more occasions from ancestor to heir. There is no difference in this

UNSETTLED POLLIAM. — Hereditary

tenure-continued.

respect between a polliam and an ordinary zemindari.
OOLAGAPPA CHETTY v. ARBUTHNOT. COLLECTOR OF
TRICHINOPOLY v. LEKAMANI. PEDDA AMANI v.
ZEMINDAR OF MABUNGAPURI

[14 B. L. R., 115: 21 W. R., 358 L. R., 1 I. A., 268, 282

S. C. in High Court. ABBUTHNOT v. OOLAGAPPA CHETTY 5 Mad., 303

And Lekhamani v. Ranga Kristna Mutta Vira Puchaya Naikab. . 6 Mad., 208

UNSTAMPED DOCUMENTS, ADMISSIBILITY OF, IN EVIDENCE.

See CASES UNDER APPELLATE COURT—
REJECTION OR ADMISSION OF EVIDENCE
ADMITTED OR REJECTED IN COURT BELOW-UNSTAMPED DOCUMENTS,

See CASES UNDER EVIDENCE—CIVIL CASES
—SECONDARY EVIDENCE—UNSTAMPED
OR UNREGISTERED DOCUMENTS.

UPAN CHOWKI TENURE.

See MESNE PROFITS—RIGHT TO AND LIABILITY FOR— . 1 B. L. R., A. C., 167

USER.

See CASES UNDER PRESCRIPTION.

See CASES UNDER RIGHT OF WAY.

Before the Limitation Act of 1871 no precise time had been laid down as sufficient to create a right of user.

See Mullick Karim Baksh v. Harrihar Mandar . 5 B. L. R., 174: 13 W. R., 440

KISTO MOHUN MOOKEEJEE v. JUGGURNATH ROY JOOGEE 11 W. R., 236

HURO SOONDUREE DEBIA v. RAM DHUN BHUTTA-CHARJEE 7 W. R., 276

- 1. ——— Proof of right of user.—"All along" or "from before."—A user "all along" or "from before" does not necessarily prove a right. Its existence must be proved from a time from which the right would be gained or presumed to have been gained. MOOKTARAM BHUTTACHARJER v. HURBO CHUNDER ROY . . . 7 W. R., 1
- Right to outlet for water.—Easement.—In a suit to close up an outlet of water opened by the defendant, the lower Appellate Court found that the "outlet, or seuch" was used (barabar) all along, and that therefore the defendant had a right of user. Held that an enjoyment for at least twelve years is necessary to create a right by user, and that user by the defendant for that period at least had been found. KARTIK CHANDRA SIEKAR D. KARTIK CHANDRA DEY

[3 B. L. R., A. C., 166: 11 W. R., 522

3. Use for many years.—In a suit for a declaration of the right of

USER.-Proof of right of user-continued.

user over the water of a tank, which right was denied, the finding of the lower Appellate Court, from the evidence of witnesses adduced by plaintiff, that plaintiff had used the water for many years, was held to be sufficient to prove a continuous and uninterrupted user on the part of the plaintiff. TOOLSEE DOSS KOBEERAJ v. BHYRUE LALL TEWAREE

[8 W. R., 311

Ancient and uninterrupted right.—Easement.—A party claiming the right of user by prescription over the property of another, must show not only that the right has existed from ancient days, but also that it has been exercised as of right, and has not been interrupted. MALLIK JAWAD-UL-HUQ v. RAM PRASAD DAS . . . 3 B. L. R., A. C., 281

HEERALALL KOORE v. PURMESSUR KOORE [15 W. R., 401

- fright of easement.—The mere fact of user for any number of years will not be sufficient to confer a right, if the user be from time to time interrupted by the owner resuming, as occasion may require, the exclusive use of his land. In such a case the user will be treated as permissive merely, and not as the exercise of a right. AUKHOY COOMAB CHUCKERBUTTY v. MOLLAH NOBEE NOWAZ . 13 W. R., 449
- 6. Wrongful interruption.—Acquiescence.—Wrongful interruption does not destroy a right of user where steps are immediately taken to assert the right; but if this is not done for a length of time, acquiescence may safely be presumed. HEERALALL KOOEE v. PURMESSUR KOOEE 15 W. R., 401
- 7. Letting house to tenant.—Where a right of user of a drain or passage is incidental to a house, that right is not affected by the owner of the house letting the house to a tenant. AMJUDEE BEGUM v. AHMED HOSSEIN

76 W. R., 314

USUFRUCTUARY MORTGAGE.

See Decree-Form of Decree-Mortgage . I. L. R., 1 All., 524

See CASES UNDER LEASE—ZUR-I-PRSHGI LEASE.

See Limitation Act, 1877, s. 19 (1859, s. 1, cl. 15)—Acknowledgment of other Rights . 13 B. L. R., 177
See Cases under Mortgage.

USURY.

See Bengal Regulation XV of 1793. [2 B. L. R., P. C., 44, 69

See HINDU LAW-ALIENATION-ALIENA-TION BY FATHER.

[I. L. R., 2 Calc., 213

-continued.

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW-USURY.

See CASES UNDER INTEREST-STIPULA-TIONS AMOUNTING TO PENALTIES OR OTHERWISE.

See Cases under Mahomedan Law-USURY.

VACATION, CLOSING OF COURT FOR-

See APPRAL TO PRIVY COUNCIL-PRAC TICE AND PROCEDURE-TIME FOR AP-PEALING.

[I. L. R., 2 Calc., 128, 272

See Cases under Limitation Act, 1877, s. 5 (1871, s. 5).

VAKALATNAMA.

See Prisoner . . . 1 Bom., 16 See STAMP ACT, 1869, SCH. II, ART. 32, [I. L. R., 3 Calc., 767

VAKIL.

See ATTORNEY AND CLIENT.

[11 B. L. R., 60, note

See PAUPER SUIT-SUITS . 15 W. R., 198

See Cases under Pleader.

See Prisoner 6 Mad., 38

See STAMP ACT, 1879, SCH. II, ART. 11. I. L. R., 8 Mad., 14

Right of, to plead on original side of High Court.

> See RULES OF HIGH COURT, MADRAS. [I. L. R., 1 Mad., 24

VAKIL AND CLIENT.

See CONTRACT ACT, S. 25. [Í. L. R., 2 Bom., 362

I. L. R., 5 Bom., 258

VALUATION OF APPEAL.

See CASES UNDER BENGAL CIVIL COURTS Act, 1871, s. 22.

See Cases under Valuation of Suit-APPEALS.

VALUATION OF RIGHT, TITLE, AND INTEREST CREATED BY MORT-GAGE.

See Cases under Registration Act, 1877, s. 17.

VALUATION OF SUIT.

<i>'</i>			Col.
1, Suits			. 5074
1. SUITS 2. APPRALS	•	•	. 6088

VALUATION OF SUIT-continued.

See APPEAL .- ACTS-COURT FEES ACT. I. L. R., 2 Bom., 145, 219

See APPRAL TO PRIVY COUNCIL-APPRAL-ABLE ORDERS-VALUATION OF APPEAL.

See Cases under Appellate Court-REJECTION OR ADMISSION OF EVIDENCE ADMITTED OR REJECTED IN COURT BELOW-VALUATION OF SUIT.

See COSTS-SPECIAL CASES-VALUATION OF SUIT . 14 W. R., 255 [3 Agra, Rev., 5 20 W. R., 206

See CASES UNDER COURT FRES ACT.

See Jurisdiction-Question of Juris-DICTION-WHONG EXERCISE OF JURIS-. 22 W. R., 301 DICTION . [I. L. R., 8 Bom., 31

See RECORDERS ACT, S. 27.

[5 B. L. R., 305 8 B. L. R., Ap., 91

1. SUITS.

- Question of valuation. - Procedure.-Whether or not a suit has been properly valued is a preliminary question which ought to be disposed of before the case goes to trial. DASSER v. MAHOMED MOBARUCK

[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 975: 11 C. L. R., 399

Computation of value.-Stamp duty. - Valuation of subject-matter for purpose of determining jurisdiction .- The valuation of a suit for the purposes of stamp duty, and the valuation of the subject-matter of the suit for the purpose of determining the jurisdiction of the Court in appeal, are two different things. The value of the suit for the purposes of stamp duty is fixed by certain rules which determine an artificial value for those purposes. The value of the subject-matter of a suit on appeal, on which depends the jurisdiction of the several grades of civil suits, is the actual value of the property in litigation. AUKHIL CHUNDER SEN ROY v. MOHINY MOHUN DASS [I. L. R., 5 Calc., 489: 4 C. L. R., 491

Valuation purposes of jurisdiction .- Court Fees Acts. -The valuation of suits for the purpose of jurisdiction, is perfectly distinct from their valuation for the fiscal purpose of court fees. Therefore court Fees Acts, which are fiscal enactments, are not to be resorted to for construing enactments which fix the valuation of suits for the purpose of determining jurisdiction. DAYACHAND v. HEMCHAND DHABAMCHAND

I. L. R., 4 Bom., 515

Jurisdiction of Munsif.-Mad. Reg. VI of 1816, s. 11, and III of 1833.—The valuation of the matters of litigation for the purpose of determining the jurisdiction of Munsifs is to be made in the mode prescribed by section 11, Regulation VI of 1816, and Regulation III of 1833, and not in that prescribed in the Stamp Acts. THIAGARAJA MUDALI v. RAMANUJA CHARRY.

1. SUITS-continued.

Computation of value-continued.

CHINNASAMI CHETTI v. NANJAPPASARY. JUNJLA VENKATARAYADU v. JUNJLA KAMAMMAH

[6 Mad., 151

Court Fees Act, 1870, s. 12.—Class of suit in which particular suit ranks.—Section 12 of the Court Fees Act, which makes the decision of a Court in which a plaint or memorandum of appeal is filed final on questions the amount of any fee chargeable, does not affect a question as to the class of suits in which a particular suit ranks. Annamalai Chetti c. Closte

[I. L. R., 4 Mad., 204

Court Fees Act, 1870, s. 12.—Non-payment of sufficient court fee.—Section 12 of the Court Fees Act, VII of 1870, applies merely to the valuation of property for the purpose of calculating the court fee when there is no question as to the article of the schedule of the Act with reference to which the valuation is to be made, and does not apply to a case in which it is contended that the property has been wrongly valued, but that the relief has been improperly estimated by putting it under a wrong article in the schedule of the Act. It does not contemplate a case on which the Court refuses to hear a suit on the ground that a sufficient court fee has not been paid. See Ajoodhya Pershad Singh v. Gunga Pershad, I. L. R., 6 Calc., 249: 6 C. L. R., 567. Omrao Mirza v. Jones

[12 C. L. R., 148

7. Jurisdiction.—
Market value of subject-matter, Mode of computing.
—Court Fees Act (VII of 1870), ss. 6 and 12.—For the purpose of determining the question of jurisdiction, the valuation of a suit should be computed according to the market value of the subject-matter of the suit, and not by the special rules applicable to valuation laid down in Act VII of 1870. NANHOON SINGH v. TOPANER SINGH

[12 B. L. R., 113: 20 W. R., 33

JEEBBAJ SINGH v. INDEEJBET MAHTOON
[12 B. L. L. R., 115, note: 18 W. R., 109

CHUNDER NATH BHUTTACHARJER v. BRINDABUN SHAHA . . . 25 W. R., 39

KALU BIN BHIWAJI v. VISHRAM MAWAJI [I. L. R., 1 Bom., 548

BAI MAHKOB v. BULAKHI CHAKU
[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 588

8. Market value.—Where a Court is satisfied that the market value of the subject of a suit or appeal presented to it is of such an amount as to bring the suit or appeal within its jurisdiction, it is bound to receive it. The Court will generally assume that the value of the property in suit is that arrived at by the computation for the purposes of ascertaining the stamp duty where the Stamp Act prescribes arbitrary principles of calculation; but

VALUATION OF SUITS-continued.

1. SUITS-continued.

Computation of value-continued.

where it is asserted and shown to the satisfaction of the Court that the market value is in excess of the amount computed for such purposes, the Court must take notice of the actual market value. DHUNNOO c. DAMODUR DOSS 2 N. W., 177

Cases in which revenue cannot be calculated.—Market value.—In cases where, for the purpose of the stamp on an appeal, it is impracticable to ascertain accurately what portion of permanent revenue has been assessed on the lands in dispute in a suit, the appellant should furnish to the Registrar a memorandum giving an estimate of the market value and the date on which it has been calculated. If the Registrar consider the estimate clearly insufficient, the Court will issue a commission to ascertain the proper market value. The provisions of schedule B of Act XXVI of 1867 considered. Ex Parte Moonee Rangappen

[3 Mad., 352

proper valuation.—On a dispute arising as to the proper valuation of a suit, the Court may, on the application of either party, issue a commission and make an inquiry into the market value or the net profits of the property in dispute. The final decision as to the proper valuation is vested in the Court which hears the suit. UMA SANKAR ROY CHOWDRY v. MANSUR ALI KHAN

[5 B. L. R., Ap., 6:13 W. R., 327

See Wajid Ali Khan v. Lala Hanuman Prasad

[4 B. L. R., A. C., 139: 12 W. R., 484

The mating the value of a suit, the costs must not be included in the amount in dispute. NILMADHAB DAS v. BISWAMBHAE DAS

[3 B. L. R., P. C. 27 : 12 W. R., P. C., 29 13 Moore's I. A., 85

Character of suit.

Valuation altering with wording of plaint.—A suit should be valued according to its real character. Where a plaint is so worded as that, taken strictly, the valuation would be such that the Court in which the plaint was filed would have no jurisdiction, the mere miswording of the plaint will not oust the Court of its jurisdiction.

AJOODHIA LALL v. GUMANI LALL v. 2 C. L. R., 134

13. Incorrect valuation.—Appellate Court.—Ground for dismissal of suit.—The valuation of a suit must be taken from the statement in the plaint, and if, after going into the evidence, it is found that a particular item is improperly claimed, the Court has means of punishing the plaintiff by saddling him with costs or in any other way; but the whole suit should not be dismissed simply because, in the opinion of the lower Appellate Court, it ought to have been valued within the limit of the jurisdiction of the Small Cause Court. Moher Lall o. Khetalem Marwary 25 W. R., 76

1. SUITS-continued.

Valuation of amended plaint.—Valuation ascertained at date of filing and at date of amendment.—The proper valuation in the case of an amended plaint is that ascertained at the date of the amendment, and not at the date of the original filing of the plaint.

MOBO VISHVANATH
GANESH VITHAL

10 Bom., 444

Valuation of plaint presented again after return of plaint.-Return of plaint for want of jurisdiction.—Second presenta tion under Court Fees Act, 1870.-Act XXVI of 1867. Plaint presented under .- Where a plaint in a suit was originally presented, when Act XXVI of 1867 was in force, in the Court of the Munsif, and, being above the amount for which that Court had jurisdiction, was returned for presentation to the Subordinate Judge, and when presented there it was admitted and heard, and afterwards it was found that under Act VII of 1870, the Court Fees Act which was then in force, the lower standard of valuation necessary would have made it cognisable by the Munsif,-Held that by analogy to the cases which decide that the date of a suit for the purposes of limitation is the date when the plaint was originally presented, the suit must be assumed to have been brought when the plaint was filed in the Munsif's Court, and therefore was properly valued under Act XXVI of 1867. KHELLAT CHUNDER GHOSE v. NUSSEEBUNNISSA 16 W. R., 47 BIBBE

16. Account, Suit for.—Court Fees Act, 1870, s. 7, cl. f, and s. 11.—By section 7, clause f, of the Court Fees Act, VII of 1870, the plaintiff in a suit for accounts must state the amount at which he values the relief sought; but he is free to fix it as he thinks proper, subject to the provisions of section 11 which preclude the execution of the decree in case it exceeds such value until the execution fee has been paid. GOVINDAS v. DAYABHAI

[I. L. R., 9 Bom., 22

17. Adoption, Suit to set aside —Suit by reversioner.—Jurisdiction.—For the purpose of determining the jurisdiction over a suit by a reversioner to set aside an adoption, the loss which would accrue to the adopted person, should the adoption be declared invalid, is the measure of the value of the subject-matter of the suit. Keshava Sanabhaga v. Lakshminabayan.

[I. L. R., 6 Mad., 192

18. — Annuity, Suit for declaration of right to.—Act XXVI of 1867.—Stamp Act, 1862, sch. A, ci. 2.—In a suit for a declaration of right to an annuity (varshasan) it was held that the stamp for the petition of special appeal should be regulated by the market value of the annuity, and that primā facis ten times the amount of the annuity might be assumed to be its market value, as enacted for analogous agreements by section 2, schedule A, Act X of 1862. Narsinvachaeya v. Svami Raya Charya 5 Bom., A. C., 55

19. — Attachment, Suit to set aside.

Suit by trustees' deed given by insolvent for benefit

VALUATION OF SUITS-continued.

1. SUITS-continued.

Attachment, Suit to set aside—continued.

of creditors.—The valuation for stamp duty of a suit brought by the trustees of an assignment by an insolvent trader for the benefit of his creditors to set aside an attachment by an execution-creditor, should be calculated on the value of the lien claimed by the judgment-creditor. STEPHENSON v. BAUMGARINEE

[8 Agra, 104

- Suit under Civib Procedure Code, 1882, s. 288.—Stamp.—Possession. -Court Fees Act, VII of 1870, sch. II, art. 17, cl. 1.— When a party prefers a claim or makes any objection to the attachment of any property in execution of a decree, but fails to establish it, and brings a suit under section 283 of the Code of Civil Procedure (Act XIV of 1882) to establish his right to the property attached, his plaint is to be treated as falling under article 17, clause 1 of schedule II of the Court Fees Act, VII of 1870, and is chargeable with only a ten-rupee stamp, notwithstanding that the plaintiff may pray in such a suit to be awarded possession. Parvati v. Kisan Sing, Judgments for 1881, p. 121, followed; Gunpatgir Guru Bholagir v. Gunpatgir, I. L. R., 3 Bom., 230, distinguished. DHONDO SAKHA-I. L. R., 9 Bom., 20 RAM v. GOVIND BABAJI .

21. Attachment, Suit to set aside order removing.—Court Fees Act, VII of 1870, ss. 6 and 12, and sch. II, art. 17, cl. 1.—Valuation by subordinate Court.—Suit to restablish judgment-debtor's right to property on removal of attachment.—Where, on the removal of an attachment at the instance of a third party, the judgment-debtor to the property from which the finish judgment-debtor to the property from which the of his judgment-debtor to the property from which the attachment had been removed, and to get the summary order to remove the attachment set aside,—Held that the proper stamp on a plaint of that kind was R10 under section 6 and schedule II, article 171 of the Court Fees Act, VII of 1870. VITAL KRISHNA v. BALKEISHNA JANARDAN

[I. L. R., 10 Bom., 610

A suit to carry out an arbitration award need not be valued. Khoda Buksh t. Mowla Buksh

14 W. R., 255

23. Award, Application to file. —Civil Procedure Code, 1882, s. 525.—The proper court fee upon an application to file an award under section 525 is the court fee prescribed for applications, and not the court fee upon a plaint. BIJA-DRUE BHUGUT v. MONOHUE BHUGUT

[I. L. R., 10 Calc., 11

S. C. PALUT BHAGUT v. MONOHUB BHAGUT.

24. Charge on property, Suit to establish.—Mad. Civil Courts Act, 1873.—Subject-matter of suit.—For the purposes of jurisdiction (Madras Civil Courts Act, 1873) the subject-matter of a suit to establish the validity of a charge upon property is, when the property is in excess of the charge, the amount of the charge; when the charge

1. SUITS-continued.

Charge on property, Suit to establish continued.

is in excess of the property, the value of the property. KRISHNAMA CHARYAR v. SRINIVASA AYYANGAR . . . I. L. R., 4 Mad., 339

25. Damages, Suit for.—In determining the jurisdiction of the Court in a suit for damages, the amount claimed, and not that eventually found due, must be taken as the valuation.

JOY DOORGA DASSEE v. MANICE CHAND BAROO

[16 W. R., 248

26. — Declaratory decree, Suit for. — Suit to establish right to attached property.— Held that, in the case where a person has preferred a claim to property attached in the execution of a decree, on the ground that such property is not liable to such attachment, and an order is passed against him, and he sues to establish his right to such property, the value of the subject-matter in dispute in such suit, for the purposes of jurisdiction, will be the amount of such decree. Second Appeal No. 320 of 1876, decided the 16th May 1876, followed. Gullari Lal v. Jadun Rai . I. L. R., 2 All., 799

27. Suit to establish right by reversal of deeds.—When a plaintiff only sues for declaration of his title to certain lands on reversal of the kobalas said to have been illegally executed by his father, he need not be compelled to value the case at the total of the consideration mentioned in those deeds. SHEO GHOLAM SINGH v. BEJOYRAM PROTAB SINGH . W. R., 1864, 317

28. Plaint insuffid.-Court Fees Act, VII of 1870, s. 12.—The law allows a plaintiff in some cases to rectify a mistake as to stamp duty, but this privilege is subject to qualification, and does not exist where the relief to be granted is altogether distinct from that originally sought. In such a case, the plaintiff should not be allowed to put an additional stamp on his plaint. Where a plaintiff sued on a stamp of R10 for a declaration of his title to land worth R19,000, in the possession of the defendant, it was held that the suit could not be maintained, and that the plaintiff was not entitled to put an additional stamp on the plaint and convert his suit into one for possession. Chokalingapeshana Naicker v. Achi-YAR . I. L. R., 1 Mad., 40

Court Fees Act (VII of 1870), s. 4, and sch. II, art. 17, cls. 3 and 6.—Jurisdiction.—Bom. Civil Courts Act, XIV of 1869, s. 24.—A Subordinate Judge of the 2nd class has no jurisdiction to entertain a suit for the declaration of the plaintiff's title where the property in respect of which the declaration is sought exceeds \$R5,000 in value. The law may lay down, for purposes of revenue, certain rules for the valuation of suits; but such valuation cannot be accepted as a criterion of the actual amount or value of the claim, upon which the jurisdiction of a Court depends.

VALUATION OF SUITS-continued.

1. SUITS-continued.

Declaratory decree, Suit for-continued.

Whether a suit be merely to obtain a decree, declaratory of the plaintiff's title to, or whether it be to establish his title, coupled with a prayer for possession of, the rights of a deceased person, the inheritance is the object in dispute. The actual value of the estate to which the plaintiff claims to be entitled, and not the value which it may eventually represent to the plaintiff, is the value of the subject-matter. Bal Makkor v. Bulakhi Charke

[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 538

Court Fees Act. s. 12, and sch. II, art 17, cl. 3.—Consequential relief .- Appeal .- Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 246. -Section 12 of the Court Fees Act prohibits appeals on questions relating to valuation for the purpose of determining the amount of a fee, but does not prevent a Court of Appeal from determining whether or not consequential relief is sought in a suit, so that it may determine under what class of cases the suit falls for the purposes of the Court Fees Act. A suit by a person against whom an order has been made, under section 246 of Act VIII of 1859, disallowing his claim to the attached property, need not be valued according to the value of the property, but can be brought on a stamp of R10, under Act VII of 1870, schedule II, article 17 (iii). CHUNIA v. RAM DIAL [I. L. R., 1 All., 360

Suit to stay butwara proceedings under Beng. Reg. XIX of 1814, after partition by private arrangement.—An allottee, under a private partition, sued to stay subsequent partition proceedings brought under Regulation XIX of 1814, and to have his possession confirmed. The defendants objected to the valuation of the suit and to the suit being heard by the Civil Courts, no proceedings having first been instituted before the revenue authorities. Held that such a suit should be considered to be one for a declaratory decree, or for something in the nature of an injunction, and that, therefore, the plaint should not be stamped according to the value of the entire estate. JOYNATH ROY v. LALL BAHADOUR SINGH

[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 126: 10 C. L. R., 146

32. — Deed, Suit to set aside. — Suit for cancellation of bond. — Value of subject-matter of suit. — Jurisdiction. — The value of the subject-matter of a suit for the cancellation of a bond is to be determined with reference only to the principal amount, and not that amount together with the interest payable thereon when the suit is instituted. Gulab Rai v. Mangli Lal . I. L. R., 6 All., 71

Appeal. — Suit for cancellation of a document.—Jurisdiction.—The plaintiffs sued for the cancellation of a bond for the payment of \$\frac{16}{3600}\$, together with interest thereon at the rate of 4 per cent. per mensem, alleging that they had executed such bond under the impression that it was a bond for the payment of \$\frac{13}{3000}\$, together with interest thereon at the rate of \$1\frac{1}{2}\$ per cent.

1. SUITS-continued.

Deed, Suit to set aside—continued.

per mensem, whereas the defendants had fraudulently caused them to execute the bond in suit. The plaintiffs paid into Court R3,000, together with interest at the rate of 1½ per cent. per mensem. Held that the value of the subject-matter in dispute was the difference between R3,000 and R6,000 or thereabouts, and therefore an appeal from the decree of the Court of first instance preferred to the District Judge was cognisable by him. Kali Charan Rai v. AJUDHIA RAI I. L. R., 2 All, 148

34. Deed prejudicing title to immoveable property.—In a suit to annul a sale-deed which prejudices the title of the plaintiffs to immoveable property, a stamp calculated on the consideration-money mentioned in the sale-deed is sufficient. Thakoor Pathuku. Ram Soomhun Lal [2 N. W., 433]

Suit to set aside a false sale-deed as being forged.—A suit to set aside a false sale-deed was held to be sufficiently valued at the sum mentioned in that sale-deed. Thakoor Patuck C. Ramsoombun Lall. 1 N. W., 17: Ed. 1873, 16

36. Suit to cancel document on ground of fraud.—The plaintiff executed a document whereby he created a charge of R4,500 upon certain immoveable property. In a suit to cancel the document upon the ground of fraud,—Held that the plaintiff valued his relief at R4,500, and that the District Munsif had no jurisdiction to try the suit. NARAINA PUTTER v. AYA PUTTER

[7 Mad., 372

Suit for possession of property alienated.—Price stated in sale-deed.
—In a suit for possession of a share of an undivided estate and to set aside a kobala by which the estate had been illegally alienated, the plaintiff is not bound to value his claim according to the price stated in the kobala. Augopura Chowdhry v. Meah Bibee [10 W. R., 207

28. Ejectment, Suit for,—Market value of tenant-right.—Where a landlord claims to eject a tenant, he claims to recover the tenant-rights in the holding, and the stamp duty chargeable on the plaint should be determined with reference to the market value of that right only.

BEY V. DAIBEE SINGH . 3 Agra, Rev., 5

40. Suit to have a lease set aside and buildings erected by lessess demo-

VALUATION OF SUITS-continued.

1. SUITS-continued.

Ejectment, Suit for -continued.

lished .- Suit for possession of land and demolition of buildings erected thereon .- Court Fees Act .- Beng. Civil Courts Act, ss. 20, 22.—Certain co-sharers of a village sued to have a lease of certain land, the joint undivided property of the co-sharers, which the other co sharers had granted, set aside, and to have the building erected on such land by the lessess demolished, on the ground that such lease had been granted without their consent. They valued the relief sought at R100. The value of the buildings of which they sought demolition was R3,000. B. sued N. claiming inter alia possession of certain land and to have . certain buildings erected thereon by the defendant demolished. Held, with reference to the above-mentioned suits, that in estimating their value for the purposes of the Court Fees Act, 1870, or of the Bengal Civil Courts Act, 1871, the value of the buildings which might have to be demolished should not be taken into account. JOGAL KISHOR v. TALE Singh. Bindeshei Chaubey v. Nandu

[I. L. R., 4 All., 320

Emoluments attached to office, Suit for .- Court Fees Act, 1870, s. 7, cls. 2, 4.—Claims for future emoluments.—Jurisdiction .- Mad. Civil Courts Act, 1873, s. 12.-Portion of claim struck out and plaint returned for presentation to inferior Court .- In a suit filed in the Court of a Subordinate Judge, the plaintiff prayed, inter alia, for a decree for the payment, aunually, of the emoluments attached to a certain office, or their value at a rate stated in the plaint. This portion of the claim he valued, under clause 2 of section 7 of the Court Fees Act, at ten times the amount of the value claimed for one year. The value of the claim thus stated exceeded the pecuniary limit of the jurisdiction of the District Munsif. The Subordinate Judge held that this portion of the claim was not actionable, inasmuch as the right to the emoluments was conditional upon services to be rendered, and did not fall under clause 2 of section 7 of the Court Fees Act, not being a fixed sum payable periodically, and therefore he held that the plaint was improperly valued, that the suit was not within his jurisdiction, and that the plaint should be returned to be presented to the proper Court. Held that this order was right. KRISHNAN v. REVI VARMA

[I. L. R., 8 Mad., 384

42. — Instalment bond, Suit on.

—The stamp on a plaint on an instalment bond should be estimated, not on the amount of the whole bond, but on the amount claimed in the suit. Sutto Bhama Dossea v. Jameeruddy Khan

[4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 12

48. Khoti estate, Suit for recovery of.—Act XXVI of 1867, sch. B, cl. 11. Amount of assessment.—Held that a khoti estate is an estate paying revenue to Government upon which an assessment is temporarily settled, and that a suit for its recovery should be assessed at eight times the annual assessment under Act XXVI of 1867, schedule B,

1. SUITS-continued.

Khoti estate, Suit for recovery of-continued.

article 11, note (a), Sp. Rule 1 for the Bombay Presidency. Ex PARTE VITHAL alias GOPAL GO-NESH BIVALKAR . . 4 Bom., A. C., 148

Manager, Suit to remove.-Court Fees Act, 1870, s. 7 .- Suit to eject trustee .-Jurisdiction .- Specific Relief Act, s. 42 .- By an agreement between S. and M., members of the same Hindu family, it was arranged that certain immoveable property dedicated to charitable uses by the family should be managed by M., subject to the supervision of S., and that M. should render accounts to S. and observe certain other conditions. S. sued M. in the Court of the District Munsif, and prayed for a decree for the removal of M. as manager and for the appointing of himself as manager of the property. M. objected that the Court had no jurisdiction, because the property exceeded in value the pecuniary limits of the jurisdiction of the District Munsif's Court as fixed by section 12 of the Madras Civil Courts Act, 1873. Held that S. was not entitled to sue for the removal of M. without praying for his ejectment from the property, and that, as the property exceeded in value R2,500, the District Munsif had no jurisdiction. Sonachala v. Manika [I. L. R., 8 Mad., 516

45. Karnavan of Malabar tarwad.—Mad. Civil Courts Act, 1873, s. 13.—For the purpose of jurisdiction a suit to remove the karnavan of a Malabar tarwad is not a suit for the frecovery of the tarwad properties managed by the karnavan and to be valued as such, but a suit which asks for a relief that is incapable of valuation. NARANJOLI CHIRAKAL KUNHI RAMAN v. NARANJOLI CHIRAKAL PUTTALATHU KUNHUNNI NAMBIAE I. L. R., 4 Mad., 314

96. Suit for removal of karnavan.—Court Fees Act, 1870, sch. II, art. 17, cl. 6.—A suit for the removal of a karnavan of a Malabar tarwad on the ground of misfeasance is incapable of valuation and falls under section 6, article 17, schedule II of the Court Fees Act, 1870. Govindan Nambiar v. Krishnan Nambiar [I. L. R., 4 Mad., 146]

47. Mesne profits, Suit for.—
Denial of plaintiff's title.—In a suit for wasilat,
the stamp on the plaint will be sufficient if it cover
the amount claimed for wasilat, notwithstanding the
defendant may deny the title of the plaintiff to the
land. Kadir Buksh v. Wise

[Marsh., 165: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 103 1 Hay, 370

48. Suit for possession and mesne profits.—Where a suit for mesne profits is united with one for possession, no separate stamp-fee is necessary in respect of mesne profits. SXEDUN V. ALLAH AHMED . W. R., 1864, 327

VALUATION OF SUITS-continued.

1. SUITS-continued.

49. — Partition, Suit for.—Madras Civil Courts Act, s. 12.—Jurisdiction.—Subject-matter of suit.—In suits for partition, the value of the property of which the plaintiff claims a share, and not the value of the share claimed, determines the jurisdiction of the Court under section 12 of the Madras Civil Courts Act, 1873. Vydinatha v. Subramanya . . I. L. R., 8 Mad., 235

Calculation of.—Market value of property.—The ordinary rule for assessing the hearing fee according to the market value of the property in suit is not applicable to a suit for partition, and the Court in each case ought to fix the amount of such fee. Generally speaking, the value of the suit is the difference between the value after partition of the plaintiff's share which he requires to be partitioned and the value of the same share not partitioned. KIETEE CHUNDER MITTER V. ANNATH DAB

[13 C. L. R., 253

 Suit for division of lands according to established custom .- A coowner of village lands sued in 1861 to have them divided among the villagers according to a custom (last observed in 1835) that at the expiration of every twelve years the lands should be re-distributed by lot among the co-owners, and to have two of the shares delivered to him as one of such co-owners. In 1851 another co-owner had, in a suit to which some only of the present defendants were parties, obtained a decree for the periodical allotment of the lands; and in 1853 such decree, which clearly recognised the existence and validity of the custom, was affirmed on appeal. Held that the plaintiff need not pay an institution fee on the aggregate amount of the value of all the sharers in the village, and that the stamp on the plaint need only be proportioned to the value of the property actually sued for. VENKATASVAMI NAYAKKAN v. SUBBA RAU. SANKARA SUBBAIYAN v. Subba Rau . . 2 Mad., 1

Jurisdiction.— Subject-matter of suit.—Act XIV of 1869, s. 25.— What prima facie determines the jurisdiction of a Court is the claim, or subject-matter of the claim, as estimated by the plaintiff; and the determination having given the jurisdiction, the jurisdiction itself continues, whatever the event of the suit. this is so notwithstanding a bona fide error in the estimate made by the plaintiff, but plaintiff cannot oust the Court of its jurisdiction by making unwarrantable additions to the claim which cannot be sustained, and which there is no reasonable ground for expecting to sustain. The subject-matter of a claim, within the meaning of section 25 of Act XIV of 1869, is the specific thing sought by the plaintiff. In a partition suit, where the plaintiff seeks for a division and separate possession of his share in joint property, it is the share so claimed which is the subject-matter of the claim, and not the whole of the joint property which is sought to be divided. LAKSH-MAN BHATKAR v. BABAJI BHATKAR

[I. L. R., 8 Bom., 31

1. SUITS-continued.

by auction-purchaser.—Procedure.—In a suit for possession by an auction-purchaser, where plaintiff valued his claim at what he paid for the property,—Held that the valuation was prima facie not incorrect, and, until rebutted by evidence and the result of a proper inquiry, should be accepted as correct. If the valuation was doubted, an inquiry should have been instituted under Act XXVI of 1867. SOOBU-DRA v. RAM PROKASH SINGH . . . 16 W. R., 5

54. Suit after foreclosure.—Court Fees Act, s. 7, cl. 9.—Where a suit
for possession is brought after a decree for foreclosure has been obtained, the valuation of such a suit,
in so far as the jurisdiction of the Court is concerned, is not to be calculated according to the scale laid
down in the Court Fees Act, section 7, clause 9.
AHOLLYA BAI DEBIA v. SHAMA CHUEN BOSE

[1 C. L. R., 473

Suit for sion of share of estate and to set aside deed.—In a suit for possession of a share of an undivided estate, and to set aside a kobala by which the estate had been illegally alienated, plaintiff is not bound to value his claim according to the price stated in the kobala. AUGOPURA CHOWDHRY V. MEAH BIBEE

Suit for possession and declaration of title.—Where a suit is for recovery of possession (with mesne profits) of a certain portion of land, and for a declaration of right in respect of the remainder, its valuation should not include the value of the latter, which is only nominal, and requires a stamp of RIO. HURRO NATH BIRUTTACHARIKE D. HARVEY. . 25 W. R., 23

58. — Pre-emption, Suit; for.—In a suit for pre-emption, the valuation of the property sued for is to be calculated at the market value for which it would sell, and not at ten times the value of the sudder jumma. Anjud Singh v. Depun Singh [3 R T. R An 143:14 W R. 238, note

[3 B. I., R., Ap., 143: 14 W. R., 238, note

NAUNHOO SINGH v. TOFAN SINGH

714 W. R., 228

10 W. R., 207

59. Jurisdiction.— Beng. Civil Courts Act (VI of 1871), s. 20.—In a pre-emption suit, the subject-matter is the right of

VALUATION OF SUITS-continued.

1. SUITS-continued.

Pre-emption, Suit for-continued.

pre-emption, the value of which, and not that of the property itself, determines the question of jurisdiction under section 20, Act VI of 1871. NAUN SINGH v. RASH BEHARY SINGH

[I. L. R., 13 Calc., 255

60. — Redemption, Suit for.—Value for purpose of jurisdiction.—The purchaser of the equity of redemption of certain land sued to redeem the same. He made the mortgagor and vendor of the land a pro formá defendant. Held that the value of the subject-matter of the suit was not the market value of the land, but the amount of the mortgage money. Kubair Singh v. Atma Ram

[I. L. R., 5 All., 332

Mad.Civil 61. Courts Act, 1873, ss. 12 and 14.-Value of improvements.—Per curiam (TURNER, C. J., and MUTTUSAMI AYYAR, J., dissenting).—Where an instrument of mortgage does not expressly secure the amount to be allowed for improvements on redemption of the mortgage, the value of the improvements is not to be calculated in ascertaining the "value of the subject-matter of the suit" for the purposes of jurisdiction under section 12 of the Madras Civil Courts Act. Per Turner, C. J. (Muttusami Ay-YAR, J., concurring) .- By the custom of Malabar a condition is attached to all kanam demises that the mortgagor shall pay the value of improvements made by the mortgagee during the term of the demise before he can redeem, and the repayment of the sums spent in improvements is thus secured by the mortgage in the same manner as the repayment of the principal advanced, and must be calculated in determining the value of the subject-matter of the suit for the purpose of jurisdiction. ZAMORIN OF CALICUT v. NARAYANA . I. L. R., 5 Mad., 284

ANONYMOUS CASE

[I. L. R., 5 Mad., 287, note

Jurisdiction of Munsif.—The integrity of a joint usufructuary mortgage having been broken in consequence of the mortgagee having purchased the right of several of the mortgagors, one of the mortgagors sued in the Munsif's Court to recover his share of the mortgaged property, alleging that the mortgage had been redeemed. The value of the mortgage's right, qua such share, was under R1,000. The mortgagee set up as a defence to such suit that a bond, under which a sum exceeding R1,000 was due, had been tacked to the mortage, and that until such sum had been satisfied the plaintiff could not recover possession of his share. Held, on the question whether the Munsif had jurisdiction, that the value of the subject-matter of the suit was the value of the mortgagee's right, quâ the plaintiff's share; and as the value of such right did not exceed R1,000 even if it were held that the mortgaged property was further incumbered with such bond, such suit was cognisable in the Munsif's Court. The principle

1. SUITS-continued.

Redemption, Suit for-continued.

laid down in Gobind Singh v. Kallu, I. L. R., 2 All., 778, followed. BAHADUE v. NAWAB JAN

[I. L. R., 3 All., 822

- Joint mortgage. -Jurisdiction.-Court fee.-Valuation of suit.-"Subject-matter in dispute."-Act VII of 1870, s. 7, art. ix.—Act VI of 1871, s. 20.—Statute, Construction of .- A deed of mortgage was executed by P., T., and S. for R4,000. A., the purchaser of the share of S., brought a suit for recovery of possession of one third of the mortgaged property against the mortgagees who had purchased the shares of P. and T., the other mortgagors. Held by the Full Bench with reference to section 7, article ix of the Court Fees Act (VII of 1870), that the defendantsmortgagees having bought up the equity of redemption of two of the mortgagors, and pro tanto extinguished their mortgage-debt, and so by their own act empowered the plaintiff to sue for redemption of one third of the property, the principal money now secured as between them and the plaintiff must now be regarded as one third of the original mortgage amount, -- namely, R1,333-5-4, -- more particularly as fiscal enactments should, as far as possible, be construed in favour of the subject. Balkrishna Dhondo v. Nagvekar, I. L. R., 6 Bom., 324, referred to. Held, also, with reference to the terms of section 20 of the Bengal Civil Courts Act (VI of 1871), that the "subject-matter in dispute" in suits of this kind was the amount of the mortgage-debt and the mortgagee's rights which were sought to be paid off; that from the terms of the plaint it was obvious that in the present case the subject-matter in dispute was R1,333-5-4, the one third of the original mortgage sum of R4,000; and that it was therefore beyond the limits of the Munsif's pecuniary jurisdiction. Per Манмоор, J.—It is a rule of construction that while in cases of taxation everything must be strictly construed in favour of the subject, in questions of jurisdiction the presumption is in favour of giving jurisdiction to the highest Court. Observations by MAH-MOOD, J., as to the subject-matter of suits for the redemption of mortgages, and the mode in which the value of such subject-matter should be calculated for purposes of jurisdiction. AMANAT BEGAM v. BHA-JAN LAL . . I. L. R., 8 All., 438*

Osufructuary mortgage.—Overvaluation of suit, Effect of.—Jurisdiction.—The mere fact that a suit has been overvalued does not deprive the Court in which it is brought of jurisdiction, if the overvaluation was bonafide and had not the effect of altering the appellate jurisdiction,—that is to say, did not cause the appeal from the judgment of the Court of first instance to lie to a different Court from that to which it would have lain had the suit been instituted in a Court having a more limited jurisdiction. RAJENDEO LALL GOSSAMI D. SHAMA CHUEN LAHOBI. I. L. R., 5 Calc., 188:

mortyaged land paying revenue to Government.

VALUATION OF SUITS -continued.

1. SUITS-continued.

Redemption, Suit for-continued.

The stamp duty payable under section B of Act X of 1862, on a suit to redeem mortgaged land paying revenue to Government, should be calculated on the sum for which the land is mortgaged, and not on the market value of such land. NANDHAM SUNDARJI NAIK v. BALAJI VITHAL . 5 Bom., A. C., 153

As Suit by kanam-holder against jenmi and holders of prior kanam in possession.—A suit brought by a kanam-holder against the jenni and the holders of a prior kanam in possession, to recover possession of the lands, may be properly treated, for the purpose of jurisdiction, as a suit for land, although it results in a decree for redemption, and, if regarded as a redemption suit, would be cognisable by a Court of subordinate jurisdiction. Marakae v. Paramsswaran

[I. L. R., 6 Mad., 140

•67.- Restitution of conjugal rights, Suit for.—Burma Courts Act, 1875, s.

peal.—The proviso in section 49 of the Burma Courts Act amounts to an express declaration that it is a condition precedent to the right of appeal from the Recorder's Court that the suit shall be one which has an amount or value capable of being estimated in money, and that that amount or value must fall within certain specified limits. A suit for the restitution of conjugal rights is incapable of being valued, and no appeal therefore in such a suit will lie under the Burma Courts Act from a decision of the Recorder of Rangoon. Golam Rahman v. Fatima Bibi II. L. R., 13 Calc., 232

68. ——Sale, Suit to set aside.—Sale in execution of decree.—Value of property sold.—In a suit to set aside an auction sale, the plaint must be stamped as if the suit were for the recovery of the property. DRAPU CHOWDHEN v. ISHAN CHUNDER DAS 9 C. L. R., 231

69. Share of land, Suit for.—
Suit relating to land.—Rental of share.—In valuing a suit relating to a share of land, the rental of the share is to be the criterion of the stamp. RAM BUKSH THAKOOR v. AJOODHYA LAL

[2 W. R., Mis., 45

70. Waste lands, Suit for.—Act XXIII of 1863 (Waste Lands), s. 5, Suit under.—In a suit under section 5, Act XXIII of 1863, by a claimant to waste land proposed to be sold or otherwise dealt with on account of Government, or by an objection to the sale or other disposition of such land, the plaint must be on a stamp of R100. GREESH

CHUNDER ROY v. COLLECTOR OF SYLHET [7 W. R., 349]

2. APPEALS.

71. Question of valuation.—Appellate Court, Power of.—Act XXVI of 1867.—An Appellate Court has no power to set aside a decision arrived at by the Court of first instance as to the

2. APPEALS-continued.

Question of valuation-continued.

valuation of the property in suit. MAFIZUDDIN v. KARIMUNISSA BIBEE

[6 B. L. R., Ap., 11:14 W. R., 381

ISHAN CHANDEA MOOKEEJEE v. LOKENATH ROY
[6 B. L. R., Ap., 12:14 W. R., 451

72.

Appellate Court.—Where it appears on appeal that the suit has not been rightly valued, and if rightly valued the Court of first instance would not have had jurisdiction to try it, the Appellate Court may entertain the objection, though it had not been raised in the Court below. SHEO GOBIND RAUT V. ABHAI SINGH.

5 B. L. R., Ap., 17

73. Undervaluation.—Ground for dismissing appeal.—Insufficient stamp.—Where an appeal was brought on an insufficient stamp, the appeal was dismissed without prejudice to the appellant bringing a fresh appeal within twenty days on a full stamp. Wali Alam v. Nasran

[3 B. L. R., Ap., 104

. S. C. Wolfe Alum v. Misrun . 12 W. R., 50

74. Ground for dismissing appeal.—When a suit has been admitted upon a certain stamp, tried, and decreed for the plaintiff, "undervaluation" is no ground for dismissing the defendant's appeal. EMAMUDDIN KHAN v. RAMKISHOEK KOWAR . . 5 B. L. R., Ap., 30

76. Overvaluation.—Refund of stamp duty.—Where excess stamps had been filed in consequence of an overvaluation of the appeal, the surplus amount was ordered to be refunded. IN THE MATTER OF GRANT

14 W. R., 47

77. Law applicable to valuation.—Law in force at presentation of appeal.

The valuation of an appeal must be according to the Act in force at the time of its presentation, and the original valuation under a law obsolete at the period of appeal can have no influence in the decision. Anonymous......................... 5 Mad., Ap., 44

BHUGOBUTTY KOOER v. KUSTOOREE KOOER
[15 W. R., 272]

78. Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 229.—Change of law between date of

VALUATION OF SUITS—continued.

2. APPEALS—continued.

Law applicable to valuation-continued.

original suit and date of claim, Effect of, on jurisdiction.-The subject-matter of an appeal should be valued for the purpose of jurisdiction according to the law in force at the date of the appeal, and not of the suit which has led to it. For the purpose of jurisdiction, a claim under section 229 of Act VIII of 1859 is a fresh suit, and not a continuation of the suit in which the claim is made; so that where, by reason of a change in the law as to the mode of valuing suits for the purpose of jurisdiction between the date of the original suit and the claim, the Court that dealt with the original suit ceases to have jurisdiction over the subject-matter of the claim, that Court cannot try the claim. MUTTUMMAL v. CHIN-. I. L. R., 4 Mad., 220 NANA GOUNDEN

of appeal for jurisdiction.—Mad. Act III of 1873 (Madras Civil Courts Act).—According to section 13 of Act III of 1873 (the Madras Civil Courts Act), it is the money value of the original suit that fixes the jurisdiction throughout the subsequent litigation in its several stages. Held, therefore, where the amount of the original suit was more than R5.000, and an appeal was preferred to the District Court, but the amount in dispute in the appeal did not exceed R5.000, that the District Court had no jurisdiction to hear the appeal. MUTHUSAMI PILLAI v. MUTHU CHIDAMBABA CHETTI

[7 Mad., 356

80. Appeals in measurement cases.—Miscellaneous petitions.—Petitions of appeal in cases to obtain an order for measurement may be written on the stamp used for miscellaneous petitions. SMITH v. NUNDUN LAL

[6 W. R., Act X, 13

Right to measure valued at specified amount.—Where a zemindar values his right to measure at a certain amount, the petition of appeal must be written on a regular stamp according to such valuation, and not upon a stamp used for miscellaneous petitions.

OMM CHUEN BISWAS v. SHIBNATH BAGCHEE . 8 W. R., 14

82. Appeal from order declaring party to have no locus standi.—Miscellaneous appeal.—Petition.—An appeal from an order of the lower Appellate Court, declaring that a party who claimed to be in possession of property taken in execution of a decree to which he was no party, and with which he had no concern, had no locus standi in the execution case, is in the nature of a miscellaneous appeal, and should be on a stamp for an ordinary petition. Mohesh Chunder Baneries v. Chunder Mones Daies. 9 W. R., 139

83. Appeal from order rejecting application to set aside ex parte decision.—Summary appeal.—The stamp required for a petition of appeal from an order rejecting an application to set aside an ex parte decision under section

2. APPEALS-continued.

Appeal from order rejecting application to set aside ex parte decision—continued.

119, Act VIII of 1859, was a two-rupee stamp. Such an appeal was treated as a summary and not a regular appeal. PARBUTTY v. GREEDHAREE LALL

74 W. R., Mis., 15

- 85. Appeal by mortgagee on question of lien.—Where the appeal by the mortgagee was not with reference to the property, but to a mortgage lien,—Held that the valuation for the purpose of stamp in such appeals should be with reference to the value of the lien for the mortgagedebt of incumbrance, and not with reference to the value of the mortgaged property. Mahomed Sheelun Khan v. Misser Koondun Lall. Bheeka v. Nund Kishore.

[Agra, F. B., 158 : Ed. 1874, 119

86. Appeal in suit for profits in respect of several years.—Court fees.—Distinct causes of action.—Distinct subjects.—Act VII of 1870 (Court Fees Act), s. 17.—Civil Procedure Code, ss. 43, 44.—In an appeal in a suit for recovery of profits under section 93 (h) of the N.W. P. Rent Act in respect of several years, the proper court fee leviable on the memorandum of appeal is one calculated on the aggregate amount of the profits claimed, and not one calculated separately on the amount of profits claimed for each year MUHAMMAD MALIK KHAN v. NIRHAI BIBI

[I. L. R., 7 All., 761

- 87. Appeal from rejection of claim by forest settlement officer.—Mad. Forest Act (V of 1882), s. 10.—Appeal to the District Court.—Court Fees Act, sch. II, art. 11 (a); art. 17, cl. vi.—An appeal to the District Court from the rejection of a claim by a forest settlement officer under clause 2 of section 10 of the Madras Forest Act, 1882, falls under article 17, clause VI, and not under article 11 (a) of schedule II of the Court Fees Act, 1870. KAMARAJA v. SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA . I.L. R., 8 Mad., 22
- 88. Appeal from order disallowing an application to file an agreement to refer to arbitration.—Court fee, Mode of calculation of.—Per Oldfield, J.—The court fee payable on a memorandum of appeal from an order under section 523 of the Civil Procedure Code, disallowing an application to file are agreement to refer to arbitration, is an ad valorem fee computed on the value of the subject matter in dispute in the appeal. Daya Nand v. Bakhtawar Singh

[I. L. R., 5 All., 333

VALUATION OF SUITS-continued.

2. APPEALS-continued.

- 89. Appeal from order disposing of dispute under Civil Procedure Code, s. 322B .- Dispute as to extent of judgment-debtor's liability to claim .- Nature of appeal. -Court Fees Act, VII of 1870, sch. II, No. 11.-An appeal from the decision of a dispute under section 322B of the Civil Procedure Code falls directly within the exception of article 11, schedule II of the Court Fees Act (VII of 1870), and the memorandum of appeal should therefore be presented as for a decree in a suit upon an ad valorem stamp. Srinivasa Ayyangar v. Pria Tambi Nayakar, I. L. R., 4 Mad., 420, dissented from. AHMAD KHAN v. . I. L. R., 7 All., 565 MADHO DAS
- 90. Appeal in partition suit, —Court Fees Act, sch. II, art. 17, cl. 8.—Stamp on memorandum of appeal in partition suit.—The stamp fee payable on appeals to the High Court in suits asking for partition, the separation of a share, and for khas possession of that share after separation," is that leviable under article VI, clause 17, schedule II of the Court Fees Act. For the purpose of jurisdiction the Court should be guided by the value of the property in suit, but the amount of the stamp fee should be governed by a different principle. Kiety Churk Mitter v. Aunath Nath Deb I. L. R., 8 Calc., 757: 11 C. L. R., 95
- Appeal from decree for possession disallowing perpetual character of leases.-A suit for possession of certain lands having been decreed on the ground of plaintiff's right of occupancy, but the perpetual (mirasi) character of the leases under which the claim had been made having been disallowed, an appeal was preferred to have it declared that the leases were perpetual. Held that, as the value of the claim would be the difference in the value of the land as held under a mirasi tenure at a fixed rent, or an ordinary tenure at a fluctuating rent, and as this might be an extremely difficult calculation, the stamp fee upon the appeal would be properly fixed according to the valuation put by the appellant upon the subject-matter of his claim. KEBUL RAM MUNDUL . 24 W. R., 454 v. WELLS
- Appeal in suit to enforce a right of pre-emption.—Appeal by purchaser.—Court fee.—Act VII of 1870 (Court Fees Act), s. 7, (i) and (vi).—Where, in a suit to enforce a right of pre-emption, a decree was passed against the vendees-defendants, and they appealed from the same on the grounds that they were entitled to receive from the plaintiffs-pre-emptors a sum largethan that found by the Court of first instance to have been the purchase-money, and also that the plaintiffs had estopped themselves from asserting the right by refusing to purchase,—Held that the nature of the suit was not changed in appeal, and that, on the contrary, the subject-matter of the dispute between the parties was the right of pre-emption, the value of which, for the purposes of court fee, was to be determined in manner directed by section

VALUATION OF SUITS—continued. 2. APPEALS—continued.

Appeal in suit to enforce a right of preemption—continued.

7, clause (vi) of the Court Fees Act, VII of 1870. Ram Lakhan Rai v. Bandan Rai distinguished. Where an appeal is preferred in a suit for pre-emption, on the ground that the right to pre-empt has or has not been established, as the case may be, no matter what other pleas may be taken, the value of the subject-matter in dispute, for the purposes of the Court Fees Act, must be determined as in terms provided in article (vi) of section 7 of the Act. Where the question in appeal relates solely to the amount to be paid by the pre-emptor, the court fee should be calculated ad valorem on the difference between the amounts alleged as the sale price on the one side and the other. HAFIZ AHMAD v. SOBHA RAM . I. L. R., 6 All., 488

Appeal in suit for redemption of usufructuary mortgage.—Beng. Civil Courts Act (VI of 1871), s. 22.—The plaintiffs sued for the possession of certain immoveable property, alleging that they had mortgaged such property to the defendants, and that the mortgage-debt had been satisfied out of the profits of the property. The defendants set up a defence to the suit which raised the question of the proprietary right of the plaintiffs to the property. The value of the mortgagees' interests in the property was below R5,000; the value of the mortgaged property exceeded that amount. On appeal to the High Court from the original decree of the Subordinate Judge in the suit, it was contended that the appeal from that decree lay to the District Court and not to the High Court. Held that the "subject-matter in dispute," within the meaning of section 22 of VI of 1871, was the mortgage and the mortgagees' rights under it, and that, the value of this being only R2,000, the appeal should have been preferred to the District Court. Second Appeal No. 1039 of 1877 dissented from. GOBIND SINGH v. KALLU

[I. L. R., 2 All., 778

~ 1

VALUE OF PROPERTY, STATEMENT IN WILL AS TO—

See EVIDENCE—CIVIL CASES—RECITALS IN DOCUMENTS . I. L. R., 1 Bom., 561

VARIANCE BETWEEN BOUGHT AND SOLD NOTES.

See EVIDENCE—PAROL EVIDENCE—VARY-ING OR CONTRADICTING WRITTEN IN-STRUMENTS . 9 B. L. R., 245

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF.

				Cor.
1. GENERAL CASES				. 6094
2. Special Cases				. 6096
3. Admission of Par	RT O	F CLAIR	VE.	. 6114

See Cases under Estoppel—Estoppel by Deeds and other Documents.

/ VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.

See Cases under Issues—Fresh or Additional Issues.

See TITLE—EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE—LONG POSSESSION.

[I. L. R., 2 Calc., 418

See WRITTEN STATEMENT.

[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 209

1. GENERAL CASES.

1. — Decision on point not raised in pleadings or issues.—A plaintiff must recover secundum altegata et probata, and no decree should be given in his favour on a point not raised in the pleadings nor embodied in an issue. JOYTARA DASSEE v. MAHOMED MOBARUCK

[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 975: 11 C. L. R., 399 Jankee v. Jhanjoo . . 2 N. W., 407

MOOKTAKESHEE DEBEA v. COLLECTOR OF BURDWAN 12 W. R., 204

TABA CHAND ROY v. Nobin Chunder Roy [21 W. R., 132]

PROTAP CHUNDER BOROOAH v. COLLECTOR OF GOWALPARA 22 W. R., 216

2. Amendment of case.—Mistake or misap prehension.—A plaintiff can be allowed to amend his case only when he has an honest case, but either through mistake or some misapprehension he has not placed the real facts before the Court. BHYRO DUTT V. LEKHBANEE KOOER

[16 W. R., 123

Civil Procedure
Code, 1859, Operation of, as compared with old
procedure in equity.—Under the Civil Procedure
Code parties are not bound so strictly to the pleadings as in an equity suit under the old procedure, if
their being so bound would work in justice. Dosser
v. Tareachuen Coondoo Chowdhey

Bourke, A. O. C., 48

- 4. Variance in plaint.—Dismissal of suit, Ground for.—Held by a majority that the Code of Civil Procedure does not require the dismissal of a suit by reason of any variance in the plaint. MAHOMED REEZAOODEEN v. HOSSEIN BUKSHKHAN...... 1 W. R., 300
- Raising issues after variance is shown.—A plaintiff will not be allowed to set up one case, and, having proved another, to ask for issues to be raised to suit the proof; but when a plaint and its proof necessarily lead to one or more particular issues, it is the duty of the Court, if these issues do not come by surprise on the defendant, to raise such issues, and to give the relief thereon to which the plaintiff is entitled. OBHOYCHURN MULLICK V. WOOMES CHUNDER PAUL . 2 Hyde, 263
- 6. Proof of cause of action not alleged.—Dismissal of suit, Ground for.—Claim on one cause of action, evidence showing another.—

1. GENERAL CASES-continued.

Proof of cause of action not alleged—continued.

Where a plaintiff sues on one cause of action and in support thereof gives evidence which, if it establishes anything, establishes a different cause of action, the Court acts properly in dismissing his suit. MUDHOO-SOODUN GOSSAMBE v. HILLS . 10 W. R., 242

- 7. Amount proved exceeding amount claimed.—Decree.—Where the amount to which the plaintiff would be entitled on the evidence exceeds that specified in the plaint, plaintiff is restricted to the amount so specified. NATHOORAM v. Jardine, Şkinner, & Co. Cor., 118
- 8. Presumption from failure to prove allegations.—Onus of proof.—An adversary is entitled to the benefit of such presumptions as naturally arise from a party's failure to prove his allegations, even though the onus was in the first instance on the former. Gunee Biswas s. Sree Gopal Paul Chowdhey 8 W. R., 395
- 9. Failure to prove precise case pleaded.—Deerre, Right to.—A previous ruling in Beegognath Chatterjee v. Lukhee Monee Dabee, 12 W. R., 248, explained not to mean that a plaintiff must either get the thing he claims or nothing at all, but that having come into Court upon one title, which he asks to have declared and fails to prove, a plaintiff cannot claim the declaration of another. Goldick Chunder Sircar v. Ishan Chunder Deb . . . 23 W. R., 437
- Right to make party liable in different character.-Suit against party personally.—Representative's liability.—In a suit to recover advances made to the defendant to carry on an indigo factory under a karbarnamah, in which it was agreed that the advance should first be repaid out of the profits realised from the manufacture, where it was found that the sale of the indigo had yielded more than the amount advanced, but had been credited by the plaintiff to old debts owing him by the defendant's father instead of to the defendant's personal debt, - Held that the plaintiff had violated the terms of the agreement, and had not in good faith attempted to make the defendant personally liable, and he could not be allowed to proceed against the defendant as representative of his father. Perroux v. Luchmberur Singh 12 W. R., 113
- 11. Unestablished defence.—
 Decree, Right to.—The Court should not necessarily decree the plaintiff's claim in full because the defence set up by defendant has entirely failed.
 MUTLOOB ALI v. KIRIA . . . 1 Agra, 276
- 12. Defence not set up by defendant.—Inconsistent defence.—It is not competent to a Court to set up a defence not only not made by the defendant, but inconsistent with his own statement. Shurut Soonduree Dabee v. Puresh Narah Roy 13 W. R., 464

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.

1. GENERAL CASES—continued.

Defence not set up by defendant-continued.

RADHA BINODE DUTT v. KOOTABODE MUNDUL

[15 W. R., 363

CHITTRA COOMARY BEBEE v. RAM LALL MOOKERJEE 18 W. R., 334

RAJABAM BANERJEE v. SONATUN ROY
[23 W. R., 404.

2. SPECIAL CASES.

Account, Suit for balance of. - Failure to prove balance alleged. - Issues. - Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 141 - Held, in contravention of various rulings of the late Sudder Court, that a suit brought on an alleged settlement of accounts, and balance struck and admitted, should not be dismissed merely on account of the plaintiff's failing to prove the alleged settlement and admission of balance by defendant; but that the Court, being competent under section 141 of the Civil Procedure Code to amend or frame additional issues that may be necessary to determine the real question or controversy between the parties, ought to enter into evidence regarding the items composing the account, and decree the claim regarding such items, if they are found to be due and not otherwise barred. Ki-SHUN PERSHAD v. BHAWANER DEEN

[Agra, F. B., 47 : Ed. 1874, 35

RAMSAHOY v. SEETHOO

[1 N. W., 28: Ed. 1873, 26

But where the issues had been framed solely on the alleged adjustment, the suit was held to be rightly dismissed. NOBIN CHUNDER KOONDOO v. SREE-DHUR BHUTTARCHARJEE . . 15 W. R., 24

 Alienation, Suit to set aside. Variance between case in plaint and evidence. Raising ground not taken in plaint.-The plaintiff, a Hindu, sued to set aside a certain alienation, on the ground that the alienor was an illegitimate son of the plaintiff's grandfather, and therefore had no interest in the property. Not being able to substantiate this ground in the first Court, the plaintiff, on appeal, raised a new ground,-viz., that the alienation was bad, because, under the Mitakshara law, the owner of a share in a joint ancestral estate is not competent to alienate his share without the consent of the other heirs. Held that such variance could not be allowed, and that the plaintiff must prove his case as laid in the plaint. SRI PRASAD v. RAJ GURU TRIAMBUKNATH DEO [6 B. L. R., 555: 14 W. R., 336

case.—Raising fresh case on appeal.—In a suit to set aside a sale of ancestral property by a minor's father and guardian as made without necessity and for the father's profligate expenditure, and without inquiry by the purchasers as to whether it was for the infant's benefit, the defendants alleged that the sale was made under pressure of a foreclosure suit on account of a demand under a former mortgage

2. SPECIAL CASES—continued.

Alienation, Suit to set aside—continued.

- Company. Contributories, List of .- Amendment of plaint .- Where the holder of shares in a company was described in the list of contributories, against whom a balance order by the Court of Chancery had been made, as " Devji Bhanji, cotton merchant," and as being sued "in his own right,"
 —Held that the plaintiffs' company could not be allowed to give evidence that the shares were in fact held by a firm consisting of two individuals named respectively Bhanji Zutani and Devji Hemraj; nor could the plaintiffs be allowed, at the hearing of the appeal, to amend their plaint, originally framed against both partners, with a view to making the firm liable for the amount of the calls, so as to sue Bhanji Zutani only, who alone was alleged to have signed the articles and memorandum of association in the name of Devji Bhanji, and to make him personally liable as the holder of the shares. Weikersheim's case, L. R., 8 Ch., Ap., 831, distinguished. London, Bombay, and Mediterranean BANK, v. BHANJI ZUTANI . I. L. R., 2 Bom., 116
- 17. Compromise.—Failure to prove case.—Right to succeed on ground not alleged.
 —Where the plaintiff sued to have a deed of compromise set aside as having been fraudulently entered into behind his back and without his knowledge, and failed to prove any fraud or collusion,—Held that he was not entitled to a decree on the ground taken on appeal, that the document was invalid as being unregistered, declaring that it did not affect his interests. Madhub Ali Khan v. Hossain Reza Khan 4 C. L. R., 52
- 18. Contract.—Assumption of facts, Decision on.—The determinations in a cause should be founded upon a case either to be found in the pleadings or involved in or consistent with the case thereby made. Therefore, where the relief sought by the plaint is grounded on a contract, the case must not be determined upon an alleged equity resulting from a different state of facts and inconsistent with that alleged by the plaintiff. ESHAN CHUNDER SINGH P. SHAMACHUEN BHUTTO

[2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 87: 6 W. R., P. C., 57 11 Moore's I. A., 7

Followed in Doss RAM Doss v. Mohendro Roy Decha 18 W. R., 274

19. Issues.—Amendment of plaint.—Variance between case in plaint and evidence.—The plaintiffs sued the defendants for damages for breach of contract, alleging in their plaint that they had agreed to sell, and the defend-

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.

2. SPECIAL CASES-continued.

Contract—continued.

ants to purchase, certain indigo seed, but that the defendants had refused to take delivery, although the plaintiffs were ready and willing to deliver the same. Upon the evidence of the plaintiffs, it appeared that there was no contract as alleged in the plaint, but the contract, as stated by them, was that they (the plaintiffs) were to purchase seeds as agents for the defendants. The Judge dismissed the suit on the ground that the plaintiffs were bound to prove their case as stated in the plaint. Held that that the suit ought not to have been dismissed on that ground. The issues raised admitted of the true question being tried,-viz., whether, under the circumstances, the defendants were liable to pay the price of the seed; and if they did not, the Court ought to have amended the issues, or framed additional ones. The object of the plaint is merely to bring the matter in dispute before the Court, but it is for the Court, upon the statements before it, to determine the real issue between the parties. ABBUTHNOT v. Betts . 6 B. L. R., 273: 14 W. R., 181

- 20. -Ejectment, Suit for.—Failure to prove lease .- Reliance on general title, Right of .-Case stated in plaint.—Where a lessor sues to eject his tenant on the expiration of the latter's term, or for breach of the conditions of his lease, and fails to prove the lease, he is not ordinarily at liberty in the same suit, ignoring the lease, to fall back upon his general title as though he had not set up and failed to prove the alleged lease. A plaintiff must be limited to the case which he puts forward in his plaint, but he may put forward an alternative case in his plaint from the commencement, as the defendant then will know that he has more than one case to meet, and will not be taken by surprise. LAKSHMI-. 9 Bom., 1 BAI v. HARI BIN RAVJI
- 21. Fraud.—Failure to prove specific case of fraud.—Where the plaintiff in his pleadings pledged himself to prove a specific case of fraud, and made his cause of action entirely dependent on that, he was not allowed to succeed, when he failed to prove fraud, on a collateral matter. Saheb Roy v. Gujadhur Pershad Narain Singh

[22 W. R., 221

Mortgage.—Suit for redemption.—Decree on mortgage set up by defendants and not on that alleged by plaintiff.—In a suit to redeem, the plaintiff produced a mortgage the genuineness of which the defendants denied, but they produced a mortgage from the plaintiff's ancestors to their ancestors. The Principal Sudder Ameen made a decree for the restoration of the lands according to the terms of the mortgage produced by the defendants. The Civil Judge reversed the decision. Held, on special appeal, that the Principal Sudder Ameen was justified in making the decree which he gave, it not being inconsistent with the relief prayed for by the plaint. UNICHA KANDYIB KUNHI KUTTI NAIR v. VALIA PIDIGAIL KUNHAMED KUTTI MARACCAR

[4 Mad., 359

2. SPECIAL CASES-continued.

Mortgage-continued.

- Suit for redemption.—Evidence given of other mortgage than the mortgage in respect of which suit brought .- Evidence Act, I of 1872, s. 35.—Statement of a survey officer as to entry as occupant how far admissible. - The plaintiff sued to redeem certain lands alleged to have been mortgaged by his ancestor to the ancestors of the defendants in 1823. At the hearing the deed of mortgage, in respect of which the suit was brought, was produced, but another mortgage of about the same date was produced and proved by the plaintiff. lower Courts passed a decree for the plaintiff. defendants appealed. Held (reversing the decree of the lower Courts) that where a particular instrument is sucd on as the basis of a right, it is incumbent on the plaintiff to establish his case on that particular cause of action, not on a cause of action merely bearing the same common name, or of the same description, and so included in the same class. Under section 35 of the Evidence Act, I of 1872, a statement by the survey officer that the name of this or that person was entered as occupant would be admissible if relevant, but it would not be admissible to prove the reasons for such an entry as facts in another case. GOVIND-RAV DESHMUKH v. RAGHO DESHMUKH

[I. L. R., 8 Bom., 543

 Change of nature of suit.—The plaintiff sued to redeem a mortgage, alleging that it was made in the year A.D. 1821 for The defendant admitted the mortgage, but alleged that it was made in A.D. 1791 for R110, and contended that the suit was barred by limitation. The Subordinate Judge held that the mortgage had been made for the amount and at the date alleged by the defendant, but that the suit was not time-barred, as the mortgagor's title had been acknowledged by the mortgagee within the period of limitation. He accordingly made a decree for redemption on terms consistent with the plea of the defendant, but opposed to that of the plaintiff. On appeal, the Assistant Judge agreed with the first Court as to the merits of the case, but reversed its decree on the ground that the plaintiff was not entitled to succeed on a state of facts inconsistent with the case set forth in the plaint, observing that a plaintiff ought not to be allowed to change his cause offaction. Held by the High Court, on second appeal, that the decree made by the first Court in favour of the plaintiff did not in any way proceed upon a cause of action different from that made in the plaint, and that the cause of action remained the same,—namely, the right of the mortgagor to redeem from a mortgagee. A plaintiff ought not to be allowed to alter his case so as to convert a suit of one character into a suit of another and inconsistent character. LAKSHMAN BHISAJEE HARI DINKAR DESAI . I. L. R., 4 Bom., 584

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.

2. SPECIAL CASES—continued.

Mortgage-continued.

account having been taken of what was due on the mortgage, the mortgagor might at any time make a tender of such mortgage-money with interest up to date, and require that the land should be restored. The plaintiff, representing the interest of the original mortgagor, sued for redemption of the mortgage, treating the above decree as regulating the rights of the parties from the time when it was made. that the plaintiff not having sought by his plaint to redeem the mortgage, or alleged that there had been acknowledgment, could not in the present appeal fall back on a right to redeem such mortgage, although the latter might be within limitation, as that would be to make a case different from the one tried and decided in the Courts below. Accordingly, the suit had been properly dismissed. HARI RAVJI CHIP-LUNKAR v. SHAPURJI HORMASJI SHET

I. L. R., 10 Bom., 461

26. Suit for redemption of immoveable property brought as donee.—Title of plaintiff as reversioner.—In a suit for the redemption of immoveable property brought by the plaintiff as donee from a Hindu widow of the equity of redemption, the plaintiff's right to the property as reversioner cannot be inquired into, notwithstanding an allegation in the plaint that he was a near relative of the husband of the donor. JAGANNATH VITHAL v. APAJI VISHNU. 5 Bom., A. C., 217

Where a mortgagor sues to recover possession of the mortgaged property on the ground that the loan has been paid off from the assets of the estate, and that he is entitled to recover surplus collections, and the Court finds that a large balance in favour of the mortgagee still exists, the plaintiff is not entitled to a conditional decree, but the suit should be dismissed. Kundun Lale v. Sasta Kooer. Sasta Kooer v. Kundun Lale v. Sasta Kooer. Sasta Kooer v. Kundun Lale v. Sasta Kooer v. Sasta Kooe

But see Boistub Doss Koondoo 7. Huro Na-Bain Haldar . . . 17 W. R., 408

Usufructuary mortgage. - Failure of claim to enforce lien. - Compensation for breach of contract to give mortgagee possession .- A usufructuary mortgagee, the mortgagor having broken his agreement to give him possession of the mortgaged property, sued the mortgagor to recover the principal mortgage-money and interest by enforcement of lien. The property was not hypothecated as security for the mortgagemoney. Held that it was inequitable to dismiss the suit for that reason, the defendant having been guilty of a breach of the contract of mortgage, for which the plaintiff was entitled to compensation; that although the plaintiff did not expressly claim such relief, yet, regard being had to the pleadings and evidence in the case, the suit might be treated as one for such relief; and that on estimating the compensation which should be awarded, the principal mortgage-

2. SPECIAL CASES—continued.

Mortgage-continued.

money with interest at the rate specified in the contract of mortgage might fairly be taken as a reasonable guide. MAHESH SINGH v. CHAUHARIA SINGH [I. L. R., 4 All., 245

Usufructuary 29. mortgage.—Suit to enforce hypothecation.—Compensation for breach of contract .- Money lent .- Money had and received for plaint iff's use .- An instrument of mortgage provided that the mortgagors should deliver possession of the mortgaged property to the mortgagee, and the latter should retain possession, setting off profits against interest, until the former should redeem, by payment of the principal sum, which they were at liberty to do in the month of Jaith in any year they pleased. The mortgagors having failed to deliver possession of the mortgaged property, the mortgagee sued them for the principal sum and interest, asking for enforcement of lien. The instrument of mortgage did not contain any hypothecation of the property. Held that, although the suit, so far as it sought enforcement of lien, wholly failed, there being no hypothecation of the property, yet it was not equitable or proper that, as regards the money-claim, the mortgagee should be relegated to a fresh suit, inasmuch as a cause of action was disclosed, whether the suit was regarded as one for compensation in damages for breach of contract, or for money had and received for the plaintiff's use, or for money lent, and the suit should be determined on its merits. Sheo Narain v. Jai Gobind

[I. L. R., 4 All., 281

Bight to declaration of share.—Where, the main object of a suit framed and valued as a suit for partition of a portion of the estate fails, the plaintiff is not entitled to turn round and ask for a declaration as to the extent of his share. RUTTUN MONER DUTT v. Brojo Mohun Dutt . 22 W. R., 333

Affirming S. C. 22 W. R., 11

Affirming S. C. 22 W. R., 11

31. — Possession,—Moveable pro-

32. Immoveable property.—Separate acquisition.—Held that the question of possession was not a proper one for decision

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.

2. SPECIAL CASES-continued.

Possession—continued.

when a plea of limitation was overruled, and the claim was found to be based, not on the fact of possession, but of the claimant being a member of the joint family and the property acquired by joint funds.

Nund RAM v. Chootoo . . . 1 Agra, 255

83. Possession, Suit for.—Accrual of cause of action.—Limitation.—In a suit by an execution-purchaser to recover possession of landed property, where defendant pleads limitation and plaintiff proves facts from which the Court is unable to draw conclusions of law for itself, plaintiff ought not to be strictly bound to the accrual of the cause of action alleged in his plaint, so long as that arose within twelve years before commencement of the suit. Makiam Brown v. Rye Churn Dutt

[13 W. R., 269

Misdescription as to situation of lands.—Identification.—Where lands claimed under a certificate of sale as being in one village are found to be in another, it is open to the plaintiff to show that there has been a misdescription, and that, although the name of the former was used, the intention was to convey the lands he claimed situate in the latter.

RAMGOPAL BARICK v. SHIB PERSHAD SIRCAB 12 W. R., 483

75. Failure to prove pottah.—In a suit for possession by two ryots claiming under different pottahs from the same zemindar, when the defendant's pottah fails, he still has a right to have a judicial determination of his claim to occupancy.

BYDNATH SHAHA v. JADUB CHUNDER SHAHA 3 W. R., 208

Suit for possession on specific title.—Right of occupancy.—A plaintiff who succeeds in proving the facts stated in his plaint as necessarily implying a right of occupancy, may succeed in a suit for possession even though he does not prove the title on which he specifically relied. Surjoo Pershad v. Kasher Rawut

[21 W. R., 121

37. — Decree on ground not alleged in plaint.—The plaintiff sued for a declaration of mirasi mokurrari rights to certain lands and for mesne profits, alleging that he had been wrongfully ejected by the predecessors in title of the defendants. Held that the lower Courts were wrong in giving the plaintiff a decree for possession on the ground of occupancy right, he not having claimed such relief in his plaint. Bijoya Debia v. Bydonath Deb, 24 W. R., 444, followed. Beindard Chunder Siekae v. Dhununjoy Nushkue [I, L. R., 5 Calc., 246: 4 C. L. R., 443

38. Defendant sued as a trespasser.—Right to decree against him as a tenant.—Where a plaintiff brings a suit for possession, alleging that the defendant is a trespasser, the

2. SPECIAL CASES—continued.

Possession, Suit for—continued.

moment it is shown that the defendant is not in possession as a trespassor, but holds as a tenant under the plaintiff, the suit must be dismissed, no matter what the character of that tenancy may be. RAM GOLAM SINGH v. HEET NABAIN SAHOO

[2 C. L. R., 292

Failure to prove allegation of defendant's tenancy.—Right to treat him as a trespasser.—Where a plaintiff sued for khas possession on the ground that the defendant was his tenant and had forfeited his tenure by denying his landlord's title, and it was found there was no relation of landlord and tenant between the parties, the plaintiff was held not entitled to succeed on the contention that the defendant was a trespasser. Lalle Singh v. Bunwary Lall Roy

[25 W. R., 448

- Failure to prove permanent character of tenancy.-Right to decree as tenants.-In a suit for possession of land on the strength of an alleged mirasi mokurrari, one of the main issues was whether the plaintiffs were or were not tenants of the land in dispute, and upon this issue it was found that the plaintiffs had acquired a title as tenants from long possession, although they failed to establish the mirasi mokurrari character of their tenure. Held that the plaintiffs were entitled to a decree for possession. Kales Coomar Pattur v. Khettur Nath Baug, 17 W. R. 47; and Surjoo Pershad v. Kashee Rawut, 21 W. R., 121, followed. Bijoya Debia v. Bydonath Deb, 24 W. R., 444; and Brindabun Chunder Sircar v. Dhananjoy Luskur, I. L. R., 5 Calc., 246: 4 C. L. R., 443, distinguished. SHIB CHUND LAHIRI v. JOYMALA DASI . 7 C. L. R., 103
- of forcible dispossession where defendant's possession is found to be permissive.—A suit to recover possession of land on the ground of forcible dispossession, in which it was pleaded by defendant and found as a fact that the defendant's holding was of a permissive character, should be dismissed at once, the defendant's possession not being a wrongful one of the kind alleged by plaintiff. The right mode of action in such a case would have been for plaintiff to serve the defendant with notice to quit the land, and thereby put an end to the permission relied upon by him. PHILLIPS 7. NUNDCOOMAR BANERJEE

A plaintiff's failure to prove dispossession on the particular date mentioned in the plaint is not a sufficient ground for the dismissal of the suit. HURO CHUNDER CHOWDHEY v. GOBIND CHUNDER MOITRO

• [15 W. R., 178

BOGA KOLITA v. THOOLESSUR KAYASTA
[24 W. R., 357

TORAB ALI v. MAHOMED AMEER HOSSEIN
[3 C. L. R., 105]

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.

2. SPECIAL CASES-continued.

Possession, Suit for-continued.

- Suit for confirmation of possession .- Proof that plaintiff was out of possession .- Change in form of suit .- The plaintiff sued for an adjudication of his right to, and confirmation of possession of, certain lands, on the allegation that they had been conveyed to him by one of the defendants and that he was in actual possession thereof, and that his title thereto had been impeached by the subsequent sale of the same lands by his vendor to the other defendant. The Court of first instance found that the plaintiff's allegation of possession was false, and dismissed the suit. Held, on appeal, that the suit was rightly dismissed. for though a plaintiff who brings forward a bond fide case, which he proves in substance, though not in form, would be assisted by the Court, in the absence of such special circumstances no such assistance would be afforded. TERIETPUT SINGH v. Gos-SAIN SUDERSAN DAS . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 46

Failure to prove case in plaint.-Right to decree on other grounds.-At a sale held under Bengal Act VIII of 1865, the defendant purchased a shikmi tenure, and obtained possession thereof. Subsequently he ousted the plaintiff from certain lands, and hence the suit by the plaintiff for recovery of possession thereof, on the ground that the property in dispute was a lakhiraj tenure created by the Raja of Tippera, and that the plaintiff was owner thereof, partly by purchase and partly by inheritance. The lower Appellate Court found as a fact that the late shikmidar, and not the Raja, had granted the lands in dispute as bramatar, but not in favour of the person through whom the plaintiff claimed. The Court, however, passed a decree in favour of the plaintiff, as he had been unlawfully dispossessed. Held that the plaintiff having failed to prove the case as set up by him, and upon which he claimed, could not be entitled to a decree upon grounds other than those stated in the plaint. ISWAR CHANDRA CHUCKERBUTTY v. BISTU CHANDRA CHUCKERBUTTY

[3 B. L. R., Ap., 97: 12 W. R., 32

 Failure to prove case .- Changing case on appeal .- Each of two proprietors, A. and B., separately mortgaged the whole of the joint property to different persons. mortgagee, who was prior in time, obtained a decree on his bond, sold and purchased the house. subsequent suit for confirmation of right and possession by A.'s mortgagee, he charged that the other bond and decree were fraudulent and collusive, and that B. had no interest in the property. All these allegations were found to be false by the lower Appellate Court. Held, on special appeal, that the plaintiff could not recede from the case he had made in the lower Courts, and claim to be entitled to a decree for A.'s interest in the house. DURSUN SAHOO v. PRYAG RAM 2 C. L. R., 538

46. Failure to show alternative case.—Right to change case in special

2. SPECIAL CASES—continued.

Possession, Suit for-continued.

appeal.—Suit for possession of certain property as part of a joint-family property sold by a widow without authority. Plaintiff applied to appeal specially on the ground, but could cite no authority in support of it, that when the eldest member and manager of the family purchases out of his own separate funds, because the family is joint, the property must be considered as joint property. Having failed in this character, the Court declined to allow him in special appeal to come in as a reversioner, and ask for a decree declaring the widow's act void as against reversioner. Madho Pershad v. Lalla Jeetun Lall. 17 W. R., 98

47. Joint claim.—
Right to succeed on proof of separate title.—Where
the plaintiffs in a suit put forward a joint claim, it
is not enough that one of them makes out his title;
the suit should be dismissed unless the joint claim
is established. RAM COMUL CHUCKERBUTTY v.
NUND RAM COOLLE . . . 10 W. R., 262

SHEO NUNDUN PERSHAD v. MUKDOOM BUKSH
[20 W. R., 364

A8.

Right to succeed on proof of title to less share separately.—A plaintiff suing on the ground that she was jointly entitled, was not allowed to succeed in the suit where it was shown she was only entitled to a less share in her own separate right. Hurro Monee Dossea v. Onookool Chunder Mookerjee

8 W. R., 461

49. Claim to exclusive possession.—Proof of right to joint possession.—When a plaintiff in a suit asks for one thing (e.g., exclusive possession), a Court ought not to give him a decree because he proves that he is entitled to another thing (e.g., joint possession). BEEJOYNATH CHATTERJEE v. LUCKHEE MONEE DABEE

[12 W. R., 248

SREENABAIN CHUCKERBUTTY v. MILLER [15 W. R., O. C., 7

Claim to separate possession.—Proof of joint possession.—Alteration of claim.—When a plaintiff who claims property on the allegation that he purchased it from a person to whom it exclusively belonged, fails to prove that the property was the separate property of his vendor, he cannot have a decree for the share of the property to which his vendor was entitled as a member of a joint family. Goue Beharer Ram Bhuggur v. Shecruttun Koonwar . 10 W. R., 243

of right to sole possession.—Decree on admission of defendant of joint possession.—Where a plaintiff sued for sole possession and a declaration of sole title, and the defendant admitted that he was in joint possession, but the plaintiff went on with his suit in order to get a decree that he was solely entitled and in sole

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.

2. SPECIAL CASES—continued.

Possession, Suit for—continued.

possession, and failed to prove his case, he was held not entitled to a decree founded on joint possession. LUKHUN SINGH v. NUFFUR SING . 6 W. R., 311

52. Suit for possession of share.—Decree for joint possession.—In a suit to recover possession of a third part of a khanabari, where the first Court, considering that there never had been a partition in definite shares, ordered restoration to the sort of possession plaintiff had enjoyed previous to being dispossessed,—Held that there was no objection to the decree being in that form; and although a plaintiff does not prove the precise claim which he makes, if he is substantially right he ought to have a decree, and not be left to bring another suit. RAJKISHOER BHUDDUR v. HUREE MONUN BRUDDUR

Dissenting from Beejoynath Chatterjee v.
Luckhee Monee Dabee . 12 W. R., 248

property as being partitioned.—Relief inconsistent with allegations on plaint.—In a suit to recover a quantity of land alleged to have formed part of a joint estate which had descended to plaintiff and his brothers, but which was subsequently divided into separate shares,—Held that, upon failure of proof of the allegation of partition, plaintiff might obtain relief upon the first allegation; and the Court below was not debarred by law from framing an issue as to whether plaintiff was entitled to recover to the extent of the interest which he had in the land, if found to be joint property. FUKEER DASS POOROHEET 7.

GOPAL MOOKERJEE 12 W. R., 107

Claim to property on separate title.—Right to decree on joint title.—The plaintiff alleged in his plaint that the defendant had erected a hut, or challa, upon ground to which he, the plaintiff, was separately entitled. The lower Appellate Court found that the land in dispute was the joint property of both parties, and that the defendant was not at liberty to erect the hut without the express permission of the plaintiff, and ordered the demolition of the challa. Held that the plaintiff was not entitled to a judgment upon a ground which was inconsistent with the case set out in his plaint. Nabin Chandra Mitter v. Mahes Chandra Mitter

[3 B. L. R., Ap., 111: 12 W. R., 69

55. Pre-emption, Suit for.—
Claim to right in different ways.—In a suit to establish a right of pre-emption, where the plaint is framed on right of Shufeh Khuleet, the plaintiff ought not to be allowed to shift his ground and make out a new case as Shufeh Jah.

GIEDHAREE SAHOO

Pre-emption, Suit for.—
Claim to right for.—
County to a suit to establish the plaintiff ought not to be allowed to shift his ground and make out a new case as Shufeh Jah.
GIEDHAREE SAHOO

24 W. R., 355

56. Principal and agent.—Suit by principal against agent.—Failure of suit on grounds pleaded.—A bank sued H., its agent, who

2. SPECIAL CASES—continued.

Principal and agent—continued.

had appointed N. to act in the matter of the agency, for money belonging to it which H. had paid N. for the purposes of the agency, and which was not accounted for by N., claiming the same on the ground that N. had been appointed to act as a sub-agent without authority. The lower Appellate Court found that N. had been appointed by H. to act in the matter of the agency with authority, but, instead of dismissing the suit with reference to this finding, gave the plaintiff bank a decree against H. on the ground that he had not exercised ordinary prudence in selecting N. as an agent for his principal. Held that, inasmuch as the plaintiff bank had not claimed relief on the ground that H. had failed in his duty in naming N. as an agent for his principal, but on the ground that N. had been appointed without authority, and had failed to prove its case, the suit should have been dismissed. HAMILTON v. LAND MORTGAGE BANK I. L. R., 5 All., 456 OF INDIA

57. Rent.—Suit for arrears of rent.

—Failure to prove contract.—Claim for use and occupation.—Where a plaintiff sued for rent and failed to prove any contract, express or implied, to pay it, he was held not entitled to change his case and ask for compensation for use and occupation. LUCHMERPUT Doss v. ENART ALI . 22 W. R., 346

Suit for rent on unstamped lease .- Failure to prove lease .- Right to recover damages for use and occupation .- The plaintiff alleged that he had given possession to the defendant of a certain estate, in consideration of the payment by the defendant of annual rent for a term of five years; that the defendant had paid the rent for the first three years of the term, but had neglected to pay any for the last two years, and that since the expiry of the term the defendant had remained in possession; and he claimed to recover possession of the property and a certain sum for its use and occupation by the defendant. He also claimed to recover the same sum as damages for the retention of the estate by the defendant, from the date up to which the defendant had last paid rent. The agreement between the parties was contained in certain letters which were unstamped. Held that although the claim to relief made by the plaintiff on the basis of the contract must fail, because there was no evidence of the contract on which the Court could act, yet he could fall back on his claim to recover damages for the use and occupation of the land, as the defendant could not defend his possession, being equally incompetent with the plaintiff to rely on the terms of a contract of which he could not give proof, and as he did not deny the use and occupation alleged, he had no answer to the claim for damages. MACGIVERON v. WALLACE . 5 N. W., 65

59. Suit for rent in kind.—Evidence of nugdi rent.—In a suit for a balance of rent on the allegation that defendants cultivated a portion of plaintiffs' jaglir as bhowli

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.

2. SPECIAL CASES-continued.

Rent-continued.

Suit for declaratory decree.—Suit under Beng. Rent Act, 1869.—
Where the plaintiff sued under Bengal Act VIII of 1869 for a declaration that certain land was mål, as well as for assessment of rent thereon and for arrears of rent at the rate assessed, and the suit was dismissed, and on appeal the plaintiff abandoned the two last points in his claim and asked merely for a declaratory decree,—Held that the lower Appellate Court ought, notwithstanding the plaintiff had elected to sue under the Rent Act, to have proceeded with that part of the case, and disposed of the appeal as to that only. Anund Moyee Dossee v. Raye Mones Dosses [20 W. R., 14]

61. Suit for kabuliat on allegation of holding specific quantity of land.—Failure to prove allegation.—In a suit for a kabuliat, on the allegation that the defendant is holding a specific quantity of land under him, if the plaintiff's allegations are disproved, and the relation of landlord and tenant is not established, the plaintiff's suit must altogether fail, YAKOOB ALI v. KAERNOOLLAH

Failure to prove kabuliat.—The plaintiff having sued for rent upon a kabuliat, and failed to prove it, is not entitled to a decree if he shows that the dofendants had paid him rent for a number of years, the Court observing that it would not be the exercise of a sound discretion to allow a party who relies upon a document to set up a fresh case when an issue as to the execution of such document is found against him, and there are good reasons for believing that the document is not genuine. Nurrohurry Mohonto v. Naranner Dosser

[W. R., F. B., 23: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 9: 1 Hay, 234

S. C. Nairainee Dossee v. Nurrohurry Mo-HONTO Marsh., 70

WOODOY NABAIN v. DUBBIAHO ROY [W. R., 1864, 187

RAM NAFFEE KHABA v. DEGUMBER CHATTERJER
[W. R., 1864, 259

[8 W. R., 329

Kureemooddeen Biswas v. Hurochunder Gooho [1 W. R., 305

GOVIND RAMCHANDRA GORHLE v. AHMED [5 Bom., A. C., 133

BHOYRUB CHUNDER CHOW.DHRY v. HARADHUN GHOSE . Marsh., 561: 2 Hay, 666

VARIANCE BETWEEN AND PROOF—continued.

2. SPECIAL CASES-continued.

Rent-continued.

Simeoo Kareegue v. Anund Chunder Roy [Marsh., 57: 1 Hay, 130

See also JEETOO v. BEETUN
[Marsh., 47: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 85:
1 Hay, 112

FATIMA BEBEE v. ARIF SOOKANEE
[Marsh., 263: 2 Hay, 106]

So also in the case of a defendant. GOOROO Doss GHOSE v. SRISTEE DHUR DEY
[W. R., 1864, Act X, 39]

[Marsh., 371; 2 Hay, 422

Suit on kabuliat which plaintiff fails to prove.—Plaintiff sued upon a kabuliat, and filed a pottah in support of it. The pottah having been rejected, and the kabuliat not proved, he was held not entitled to fall back on a general statement that he has a jote pottah; that the lands in dispute are part of the same; and that he can oust the defendant, who was duly in possession. Gobind Chunder Lahory v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co. 7 W. R., 163

Failure to prove right to pottah .- Right to have fair and equitable rate of rent fixed as occupancy ryots. - The plaintiffs sued as ryots to obtain a pottah corresponding with a kabuliat which they said had been taken from them by the defendants, who were 12-anna shareholders in the land, and, according to an alleged promise, to give them a pottah. The plaintiffs failed to make out the ground on which they relied, but the lower Appellate Court, being of opinion that the plaintiffs had made out a right of occupancy under the rent law and were entitled to obtain a pottah from the zemindar at a fair and equitable rate of rent, and finding no evidence as to what such a rate would be, gave them a decree at the old rate. Held that the decision was erroneous, as there was no evidence on which the question of a fair and equitable rate could be determined, and as it rested on a ground not taken by the plaintiffs, who came into Court on a special contract. If the plaintiffs' right to a pottah had rested on the ground of their being occupancy ryots, they might claim a pottah from all the 16anna shareholders, who ought to have been made parties and the case remanded for trial by the first Court. UTHUR HOSSEIN v. RAMPHAL ROY

[20 W. R., 75

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.

2. SPECIAL CASES-continued.

Rent-continued.

Failure to prove kabuliat.—Where a landlord sucd a ryot for arrears of rent alleged to be due under a kabuliat, and the Court found that such kabuliat had not been executed by the ryot, although he had occupied the land, the landlord was held not entitled to have a further trial of the question whether any and what amount of rent was due on account of the ryot's occupation of the land. LUKHEE KANTO DASS CHOWDHEY V. SUMBERUDDI LUSKEE

[13 B. L. R., F. B., 243 : 21, W. R., 208

----- Suit for enhancement of rent .- Suit on kabuliat .- Amendment of plaint .- Decree for rent on failure to prove kabuliat.-In a suit on a kabuliat, where no alternative claim for rent at an old rate is in words expressly asked for in the plaint (although it is disclosed by the plaint that the defendant had previously occupied the land in suit at a rate which the evidence proved to be lower than the rent mentioned in the kabuliat), and where the kabuliat is not proved, t is in the discretion of the Court to amend the plaint or the issues, and to allow an alternative claim to be tried; and when the omission to make the laim in the plaint appears to have been an inadvertence, it is right that the Court should do so. Lukhee Kanto Dass Chowdhry v. Sumeeruddi Lusker, 13 B. L. R., 243, commented upon. ROUSHAN BIBEE v. HURRAY KRISTO NATH

[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 926

Suit for enhancement of rent.—Failure to prove rent as claimed.—If the plaintiff is unable to show that he is entitled to the rent exactly as he claims it, the Court is not tebarred from giving him a decree for such enhanced rent as it thinks ought to be paid,—e.g., to livide the land into different classes and assign a separate rental to each description. BRUGWAN CHUNDER ROY CHOWDHRY v. JEGUR KHAN

[22 W. R., 456

Suitfor enhancement of rent.—Failure to prove notice.—In a sunt originally treated by the plaintiff as a suit for enhancement of rent, he cannot, after failing to prove notice, treat the suit as one for enhancement, and say no notice was necessary. RASH BEHARY MOCKERJEE v. KHETTEO NATH ROY. 1 C. L. R., 418

71. Suit for enhance ment of rent.—Suppression of material fact.—A plaintiff must state clearly in his plaint the substance

2. SPECIAL CASES-continued.

Rent-continued.

of his claim,—i.e., the particular mode in which his claim arose, as well as the amount of that claim. Thus, where a plaintiff allowed the Court below to decide the case as if his contention was an ordinary case between a landlord suing to enhance and a tenant resisting his claim, and the statement of the defendant divulged the material circumstance of the case that the plaintiff's estate was let in farm, the High Court refused to allow the plaintiff on appeal to rest upon an alleged stipulation in a farming lease, the existence of which he altogether suppressed in the Court below, reserving to him the right of collecting from the tenantry an enhanced rent during the currency of the farming lease. Hurbo Soonder v. Muddum Mohun Dutt

[W. R., 1864, Act X, 34

 Right of suit.—Cause of action not shown in plaint but proved in course of case. -Where a plaintiff brought a suit for confirmation of his title to an estate, in consequence of the opposition offered by defendant to an application for partition by a vendee who had purchased a portion of plaintiff's share, and the Court of first instance tried the case on its merits and gave the plaintiff a decree,-Held that the lower Appellate Court was not justified in reversing the decision of the first Court on the ground that no cause of action had been disclosed, because, although the plaint itself disclosed no cause of action, yet, on the trial of the suit on its merits, a cause of action had been disclosed in the opposition which defendant had offered to the partition proceedings, and which had interfered with the enjoyment of his rights by the plaintiff. LALLAH MAHTAB ROY v. DEBEE DUTT SINGH . . 25 W. R., 204

Specific performance.—
Suit to enforce contract of betrothal.—Failure to
prove complete betrothal.—The plaintiff, on behalf
of her infant son, sued the father and guardian of M.
B. to recover possession of M. B., alleging that M. B.
had been betrothed to her son, and that under the
Hindu law a betrothal was the same as marriage and
could not be repudiated, and that the defendant had
on demand refused to give up M. B. Held that
the suit having been brought on the allegation of a
perfect betrothal equivalent to marriage, it could not
be tried and decided by the Court as if it were a
suit for damages on account of breach of contract.
Nowbut Singh v. Lad Koobe . 5 N. W., 102

74. Title.—Setting up different title from that alleged.—The plaintiff cannot be allowed to set up a different title from that on which he sues and fails to prove. ISHAN CHUNDER CHOWDHRY v. SHARODA GOOPTEAH

12 W. R., 487

75. Suit for recognition of adoption.—Right to show title by inheritance.

A distinct suit for the recognition of an adoption having totally failed, the plaintiff is not entitled to fall, back on his right by descent. See good No. Singer v. Odit Narais Singer . W. R., F. B., 4

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.

2. SPECIAL CASES-continued.

Title—continued.

76.

Title of separate acquisition by purchase.—Setting up inconsistent title by joint purchase.—The plaintiff having set up a title by sole purchase, was held not at liberty to change his case entirely, and to come in and set up another and inconsistent title, founded on inheritance or joint purchase. Doss RAM Doss v. MOHENDRO ROY DECHA

18 W. R., 274

Allegation of title by purchase.—Failure to prove alleged title.—Possession, Title by.—In a suit for declaration of title to and possession of certain property on the allegation of purchase and subsequent forcible ouster, it was held that the plaintiff, having failed to prove the purchase or the forcible dispossession, could not succeed on mere proof of twenty years' possession. A plaintiff who sues on one title cannot succeed on another entirely different. Hubo Soondurer Debia v. Unnoforna Debia 11 W. R., 550

BIJOYA DEBIA v. BYDONATH DEB

[24 W. R., 444

Failure to establish particular title.—Title by long possession.—
Where a plaintiff brought a suit to establish his title, and the lower Court, on a trial of the issue, thought the title was not proved, yet gave plaintiff a decree on the ground of his being in possession for a long time,—Held that the lower Court ought not to have given a decree upon a ground not suggested in the plaint or in the issues tried. Bhay-go Matomer Wasid

[25 W. R., 315

79. Failure to prove articular title.—Title by right of occupancy.— Act X of 1859, s. 6.—In a suit for possession of land after purchase, where defendant pleaded that he had ong held under a miras pottah which both the Courts below found to be false,—Held that the defendant could not be allowed in special appeal to come n for the first time with an allegation of a new and separate title,—viz., a right of occupancy under section 6, Act X of 1859. Sookjo Koomar v. Gungadhuur Roy.

Failure to prove specific title.—Title by possession.—Form of plaint.

—Where a plaintiff who fails to prove a specific title which he sets up, yet causes it to appear that he has had a clear bond fide possession from which the Court can infer a good title, the Court will not shut him out in consequence of the mere form of the plaint. Kylash Kamines Dossia v. Judoo Bashines Dossia v. 22 W. R., 391

Allegation of mokurrari right and failure to prove it.—In a suit to recover possession of land which defendant alleged imself to have held for more than twelve years ander a mokurrari lease, where the lower Appellate

2. SPECIAL CASES-continued.

Title-continued.

Court, finding that defendant failed to prove his mokurrari right, declared he had no title to hold as a squatter,—Held that, notwithstanding the failure of the defendant to prove his mokurrari the neares, the lower Court ought to have found what was the nature of the occupancy, and how long it had subsisted. JORAWUR SINGH v. KHYRAT ALI

[10 W. R., 360

Suit in one capa-82. city, proof of right to succeed in another .- A suit was brought by a Hindu widow to recover her share, as heiress to her husband, in certain family property, of which she claimed a portion in her absolute right, and a portion as one of the joint shebaits of certain idols. Among other properties plaintiff claimed one fifth share in a talook, not as a debutter property, but, in right of her husband, as her absolute property. The first Court found that this share was the property of a certain idol, and held that she had not maintained the allegation in her plaint, and even if entitled to it in her right of joint shebait, she could not recover in that capacity, as she had not framed her plaint in that way and had not sued as shebait. The Privy Council held the High Court to be right in treating this objection as one rather of form than of substance, and in giving the relief prayed for. RADHA MOHUN MUNDUL v. JADOO-. 23 W. R., P. C., 369 MONEE DOSSEE

Amendment of plaint.—Alternative relief.—Ejectment suit.—Failure to prove lease.—General title.—Where, in an action of ejectment against a tenant holding over, the lease sued on was inadmissible in evidence for want of registration, and the plaint was not amended to one containing an alternative claim for partition,—Held that the plaintiff could not be allowed to fall back upon his general title, and obtain a decree for partition, RAMCHANDEA BAPUJI GOKHLE v. VASUDEV MORBHAT KALE

[I. L. R., 10 Bom., 451

Right to easement in suit for right of ownership.—Decision on case not made in pleadings.—In a suit brought to establish a right of ownership over certain land,—Held, it was not competent to the Court to enter into and decide upon the plaintiff's right to an easement over the same. A question not raised by the plaint ought not to be decided by the Court. LALJI RATANJI v. GANGARAM TULJARAM

[2 Bom., 184: 2nd Ed., 176]

85. Title by prescription.—Making case different from that in plaint.—In a suit for the removal of a pucca building recently erected by defendant upon land lying between the premises of the two parties to the dispute, where plaintiff's claim to use the land had been put upon his title as owner,—Held that, having failed to make out the case originally set forth in the plaint, plaintiff had no right to fall back upon

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.

2. SPECIAL CASES-continued.

Title-continued.

a title by prescription. BHOOBUN MOHUN MUNDUL v. RASH BEHAREE PAUL . 15 W. R., 84

3. ADMISSION OF PART OF CLAIM.

Suit for rent.-Failure to prove jummabundi .- Form of decree .- The plaintiff sued for rent at R29 a year on a jummabundi, which he alleged was signed by all the ryots when he came into possession; the defendant denied that he was a party to the jummabundi, but admitted that he held some portion of the land as tenant of the plaintiff at a yearly rent of R5, and that the balance due by him to the plaintiff was R5-15. The plaintiff failed to prove the jummabundi. Held, the plaintiff must, if he accepted the admission of the defendant at all, accept it as a whole, and was therefore only entitled to a decree for R5-15, and not to a decree for all the years for which he claimed rent at R4-13 per an-BONOMALEE CHURN MYTEE v. HAFIZUD-DEEN . 13 B. L. R., 247, note: 12 W. R., 317

And see Lukhee Kanto Dass Chowdhey v. Sumerruddi Lusker

[13 B. L. R., F. B., 243: 21 W. R., 208 and ROUSHAN BIBEE v. HURRAY KRISTO NATH [I. L. R., 8 Calc., 926

87. Dismissal of suit on failure to prove it.—Right to decree on defendant's admission.—Where the plaintiff brought a suit for rent for R185, as rent for two years, which he alleged was payable in produce, and the defendants alleged that the rent was only R29 a year, and that the plaintiff had sued them on a former occasion and obtained a decree at that rate, the Judge, finding the defendant's case proved, held that as the plaintiff had set up a false claim, he was not entitled to a decree, and dismissed the suit. Held, on special appeal, the plaintiff was entitled to a decree for rent at the rate admitted by the defendant. Kibhen Mohun Mookerjee v. Rajoo Dey

· [13 B. L. R., 245, note: 19 W. R., 234

ROOKHINI KANT ROY v. SHABIKATUNISSA BIBI [13 B. L. R., 246, note: 20 W. R., 64

RAJ COOMAR SINGH v. CHOTO RAJ COOMAR SINGH . W. R., 1864, Act X, 12

HULODHUR SEN v. SEETUL CHUNDER BHOOMICK . [23 W. R., 85

Failure to prove case.—Right to decree on admission of defendant.—Dismissal of suit.—In a suit for rent, based upon an alleged settlement, the plaintiff failed to prove such settlement. Held that no issue having been raised as to what was the fair and proper value of the land, the plaintiff was not entitled to have that question determined: his suit must either be decreed at the rate admitted by defendant, or dismissed. LUTF ALI KHAN v, FIRIBA SINGH

, L. R., 208

3. ADMISSION OF PART OF CLAIM—continued.

Failure to prove rate.—Decree at admitted rate.—
In a suit for arrears of rent, where the plaintiff fails to prove the rate of rent claimed in the plaint, it is the duty of the Court to find the proper rate of rent payable by the tenant to his landlord, and not to give a decree merely for the rent admitted by the tenant, Punnoo Singh v. Nirghin Singh

[I. L. R., 7 Calc., 298: 8 C. L. R., 310

agreement.—Failure to prove agreement.—Decree at admitted rate.—The defendant held lands under the plaintiff at a certain rate per bigha. The plaintiff brought a suit for arrears of rent on a new agreement alleged to have been entered into by the plaintiff and the defendant, whereby the latter agreed to pay a higher rate per bigha. The lower Appellate Court found that the new agreement had never, in fact, been entered into, and gave a decree for the old rate of rent without going into the question whether it was a fair rent or not. Held that the decision was correct. SUFDAR REZA v. AMZAD ALI. I. L. R., 7 Calc., 703: 10 C. L. R., 121

— Failure to prove rent in kind .- Failure to prove case .- Decree on admission of defendant for money rent.-In a suit for arrears of rent, as bhowli rent, where the plaintiff failed to make out his title to bhowli rent or rent in kind, the first Court, finding that the evidence established a commutation of bhowli rent into rent in money, dismissed the suit, with a reservation of the plaintiff's right to sue again for nagdi rent. The lower Appellate Court, agreeing in the first Court's view of the facts, and finding that the defendant admitted that he owed rent in money, decreed the claim to the extent of the admission. Held that the lower Appellate Court was right, and that the reservation of right by the first Court was of doubtful operation. BIBERJAN v. BHAJUL SINGH [21 W. R., 438

92. Omission to make alternative claim.—Suit for rent.—Beng. Act VI of 1862, s. 10.—In a suit for rent, where the claim was at the rate fixed by the revenue officer acting under Bengal Act VI of 1862, section 10, and was dismissed on the ground that that officer had not the power to assess such rent as he thought proper,—Held that the plaintiff, whose claim was not in the alternative, was not entitled to a decree at the rate previously paid. DWARKANATH BOSE v. RAM LOCHUN BOSE

93. for ejectment.—Entry under unregistered bease.—Holding over.—Landlord and tenant.—Proof of terms of lease.—Decree for rent upon admission of different tenure by defendant.—The plaintiff sued in 1881 to recover certain land and arrears of rent from the defendant, alleging that the defendant's ancestor entered on the land as a tenant in 1865, under a lease for five years, which

[23 W. R., 465

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.

3. ADMISSION OF PART OF CLAIM—continued.

Suit for ejectment—continued.

VATAN.

See Cashs under Hereditary Offices Act (Bombay).

See SERVICE TENURE.

[I. L. R., 9 Bom., 198

VATANDARS ACT (BOMBAY ACT III OF 1874).

See Cases under Jurisdiction of Civil Court—Offices, Right to—

VENDOR, PETITION BY, TO HAVE DOCUMENT REGISTERED.

See REGISTRATION ACT, 1877, s. 73 (1871, s. 73) . I. L. R., 1 All., 318

VENDOR AND PURCHASER.

	Col.
	6117
	6118
S. BREACH OF WARRANTY	6119
4. CAVEAT EMPTOR	6120
5. COMPLETION OF TRANSFER	6122
6 CONDITIONAL SALES	6124
7 CONSIDERATION	6124
8 FRATID	6127
O INVALID SALES	0127
10 LIEN	0104
11 Norton	0194
30 Decement	OTAL
13. PURCHASE OF MORTGAGED PRO-	
י עשמעת	6144
14 DUDOUAGE WONRY AND OTHER PAY-	
MENTS BY PURCHASER	6148
The state of the s	bläl
TO CHARLES ASIDE SALES	0104
17. VENDOR, RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES	
OF—	6155
18. MISCELLANEOUS CASES	6157
See Cases under Benami Transac	TION.
See CONTRACT ACT, S. 73.	_=_
[15 B. L. R.	, 276
See CONTRACT ACT, 8. 103.	

VENDOR AND PURCHASER-continued.

See ESTOPPEL-ESTOPPEL BY CONDUCT. [4 B. L. R., P. C., 16

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 134 (1871, ABT. 184).

[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 269

See PARTIES-ADDING PARTIES TO SUITS -Defendants

[L L. R., 4 Calc., 355

See SALE IN EXECUTION OF DECREE-PERSON SELLING PROPERTY OF WHICH HE IS NOT, BUT AFTERWARDS BECOMES, . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 677

1. BILLS OF SALE.

 Effect of execution of bill of sale without delivery .- Specific performance .-It is very questionable in any case whether the effect of the execution of a bill of sale by a Hindu vendor is to pass an estate, irrespective of the actual delivery of possession. Where the vendor sells an estate of which he is not in possession, in consideration advances to enable him to sue for its recovery, it is not open to the purchaser, after failing to complete his part of the contract, to claim specific performance and delivery of the recovered estate on tendering the balance of the purchase-money. PRAHLAD SEN v. Budhu Sing. Kaliprasad Tewari v. Prahlad

[2 B. L. R., P. C., 111: 12 W. R., P. C., 6

S. C. PERHLAD SEIN v. BUDHOO SINGH. KALI-PERSHAD TEWAREE v. PERHLAD SEIN

[12 Moore's I. A., 275, 282

- 2. -Suit to compel transfer of property.-When a bill of sale, though signed and registered, has not been delivered, and no part of the purchase-money has been paid, the vendor cannot be compelled to complete the transfer. LALLA INDUBJEET LALL alias GUJADH UE PERSHAD v. Jumoona . 5 W. R., 248
- Incomplete contract.—A bill of sale, though duly executed, was not delivered to the purchaser, but was deposited with a third party, to be held by him until the purchaser should perform certain acts, the performance of which was the consideration for the sale. The purchaser subsequently by a trick got possession of the bill of sale before he had performed all the acts in question. Held that, under such circumstances, no effect could be given to the bill of sale as against the vendor, so that a suit for possession of the lands covered by it would not lie. RAJ CHUNDER CHOW-DHEY v. KAJ NATH CHOWDHRY

[W. R., 1864, 222

- Vendor under bill of sale remaining in possession.—Allegation of fraud. -Suit to set aside bill of sale.-When a person grants a bill of sale to another person absolute in its terms, he cannot sue to have it set aside on the ground that he has all along remained in possession; and if he alleges fraud in the contract, and adduces

VENDOR AND PURCHASER-continued.

1. BILLS OF SALE—continued.

Vendor under bill of sale remaining in possession-continued.

the fact of non-payment of the consideration-money as evidence of fraud, he will be bound to show proof of non-payment. TEKAIT MEGRAJ SINGH c. JOY-MUNGUL SINGH . . 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 78

 Bill of sale as construed by intention of parties.—Right of purchaser to sue. -Cases will often arise in which, though a bill of sale may in terms purport to convey the property itself, yet it is clear upon the face of the instrument that the intention of the parties was to convey the right of entry or the equity of redemption, and nothing more. In such cases the Court should not lay stress on the mere terms of the instrument, but give effect to the intention of the parties, and recognise the purchaser's right of action to eject the trespasser or to redeem the mortgage. BAI SURAL v. DALPAT-RAM DAYASHANKAR . I. L. R., 6 Bom., 380

2. BREACH OF COVENANT.

- Covenant to restore estate to original owner or heirs at fixed price before selling to another.—Sale under reservation to keep in actual possession or re-sell it to vendor at fixed price.—Subsequent alienation.-Right of reconveyance. - Where a share in an estate had been sold under a stipulation that the purchaser should possess it himself as landlord, or, if desirous of parting with it, should restore it to the original owner or his heirs at a fixed price; and the purchaser, having been restrained by this agreement from selling off this property to a third person, had, on his retirement to England, given other persons a farming lease of it for fifteen years,—Held that as the object of the original stipulation was to secure the constant possession of the share to some one with whom the original owner or his heirs, who still retained the residue of the estate, could keep up friendly relations, the grant of the farmer's lease was a violation of the covenant; and that the heirs of the original owner were entitled to have the share in suit conveyed to them at the stipulated price. RAM-NATH SEN LUSHKUR v. WISE . 25 W. R., 378
- Covenant repugnant to interest created. — Contemporaneous "ikrarnamah." - Condition restraining alienation .- M., a cosharer in a village, transferred to A., another cosharer, a 2 annas share by deed of sale. Upon the same date A, executed an ikrarnamah in which he agreed that he would not collect the rents of the 2 annas transferred to him, that he would not ever demand partition of that share, and that he would not alienate or mortgage it or otherwise exercise proprietary rights over it. It was further provided that in the event of A. committing any breach of covenant the sale should be avoided, and the proprietary rights in the 2 annas share should re-vest in M. Held hat the deed of sale and the ikrarnamah must be regarded as recording one single transaction.—i.e., they

VENDOR AND PURCHASER-continued. 2. BREACH OF COVENANT-continued.

Covenant repugnant to interest created -continued.

must be read together as stating the nature of the transaction entered into upon that date between the plaintiff and the defendant, which on the face of it professed to be a sale of a 2 annas share to the other by the former; and that, in this view, it was clear from the ikrarnamah that the proprietary title created by the sale-deed was cut down to nil, and limitations placed upon it which rendered it useless as a proprietary right. Situl Purshad v. Luchmi

3. BREACH OF WARRANTY.

Purshad, I. L. R., 10 Calc., 30, referred to. MAH-BAM DAS v. AJUDHIA . I. L. R., 8 All., 452

RAM DAS v. AJUDHIA

- Suit on warranty.—Knowledge by purchaser of title being doubtful.-A purchaser, aware of the doubtful character of the title to the estate he is about to purchase, is justified in taking a guarantee from the seller, who cannot successfully plead to a suit on the guarantee that the purchaser was aware of the facts which induced him to stipulate therefor. PATHOO LAL v. RADHIKA DOSS 「3 N. W., 106
- Sale of whole title. -Failure of title. Suit for money had and received .- A vendor legally conveying all his title cannot be sued for money had and received, although the title prove defective. Accordingly, where the plaintiff bought two kanam claims, and sued upon them unsuccessfully,-Held that he could not recover the purchase-money from his vendor's representatives, on the ground that the consideration for the payment had failed. MUHAMMAD MOHIDIN v. OTTAYIL . 1 Mad., 390 UMMACHE
- Implied warranty.-Warranty of title by vendor or mortgagor.-Right to sue for damages, -A seller or mortgagor must always be held impliedly to warrant the title of the property sold or mortgaged; and if it be found that the title is defective, the vendee or the mortgagee can sue for damages or loss on the breach of implied contract, although there may be no express agreement for title. DWARKA DASS v. RUTTUN SINGH

[2 Agra, 199

 Description published in advertisement.—Warranty of title.—Misrepresentation.—Fraud, Proof of.—A zemindar (A.) gave certain villages in putni to B. and received consideration-money and rent from him, but B. never got possession of them, nor derived any benefit from the putni, it having been found that the villages belonged to a third party as lakhirajdar, who obtained a decree against A, in a suit to which B, was made a party. A. had published an advertisement setting forth a description of the property, and calling upon intending purchasers to come forward. Held that the advertisement published by A. setting forth a description of the villages was substantially an implied warranty of title and would make him respon-

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.

3. BREACH OF WARRANTY-continued.

Implied warranty-continued.

sible to purchasers deceived by such misrepresentation; and fraud having been shown, the absence of a stipulation to refund would not protect A. from refunding. Held that, in cases like this, it would be a sufficient proof of fraud to show that the fact (of ownership) as represented was false, and that the person making the representation had a knowledge of the fact contrary to it. NILMONEE SINGH v. GOR-DON, STUART, & Co. . 9 W R., 371

See KHELUT CHUNDER GHOSE v. KRISTO GOBIND . 18 W. R., 276

Right to sue on warranty of title.—Right to refund of consideration.—A buyer may at once sue on a warranty of title if he can show that the seller has not a good title in accordance with his undertaking, and that he has sustained loss in consequence. Semble,-It does not follow as a matter of course that on proof of breach of warranty the buyer is entitled to receive back the whole of the consideration-money, or that on its being ascertained that the seller had no title the conditional sale is nullified. SAYEF ALI &. MAHOMED JOWAD ALI [7 W. R., 196

Covenant against disturbance of possession.—Loss of property by third person enforcing right of pre-emption. - Disqualification of purchaser from buying.—Covenant for good title to convey.—Construction of covenant.—An instrument of sale contained the following condition: "Should any person claim as a co-sharer or proprietor of the property, and assert his claim against the purchaser or raise any dispute of any kind, or if from any unforeseen cause the purchaser be deprived of the possession of the property or any portion thereof, or his possession thereof is disturbed in any way, then I (vendor), my heirs and assigns, shall be liable for the purchase-money, the profits of the property, and costs of litigation." The purchaser having lost the property by reason of a person having a right of pre-emption having sued him to enforce such right and obtained a decree, sued the vendor to recover the costs incurred by him in defending such suit, basing his claim upon the condition set forth above. Held that the suit was not maintainable, as such condition referred to flaws or defects in the vendor's title, and was not applicable to a loss accruing to the purchaser from his disqualification to buy. Golam Jilani v. Im-DAD HUSAIN . I. L. R., 4 All., 357

4. CAVEAT EMPTOR.

Right of purchaser .- Warranty of title. - Hindu law. - Contract. - Sale of land in Bombay .- In England the law gives to the purchaser of land a right to have a good title to it shown by the vendor. No such rule appears to exist in the Hindu law and in contracts between Hindus for the purchase and sale of land in Bombay the intention of the parties must be ascertained from the terms of

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued. 4. CAVEAT EMPTOR—continued.

Right of purchaser-continued.

the agreement, without regard to any implication. DEVSI GHELA v. JIVARAJ MUKUNDAS

[2 Bom., 430: 2nd Ed., 406

als.—Defect in title previous to title shown by vendor.—When it is provided by conditions of sale of land that the vendor shall not be bound to show any title prior to an instrument of a certain date, the purchaser may insist upon a defect of title appearing aliands and before that date, and if it be proved to exist may rescind the contract and recover back earnest-money, interest, and expenses.

Mancharji v. Narayan Lakshumanji

[1 Bom., 77

16. Land sold without warranty.—Purchaser with invalid title.—Liability of vendor.—In the absence of fraud or express warranty of title in a sale of land, the vendee cannot recover from the vendor the expenses incurred in defending a suit for possession brought against him by a third party having a better title. Neelmonee Singh Deo v. Gordon, Stuart, & Co.

[1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 356: 6 W. R., 152

- 17. Liabilty of purchaser.—In
 'as to title.—Eviction after purchase.—By the rule of caveat emptor, the buyer is bound by law to take care of himself and to see that he buys after satisfying himself that there is a good title. The purchaser is bound to look not only to his own title, but to see that he is properly indemnified by the covenants in his deed of purchase; and if he does not choose to protect himself in this manner, he has no remedy: for if a deed of purchase has been once executed, unless there is an evection by the vendor or some person claiming under him, the purchaser has no right of action against the vendor. Gour Kishore Shaha v. Chundre Kishore Dutt Mo-Joomdar
- 18. Sale of shares deposited with bank for advance.—Depreciation of security.—Objection to divelose position of shares. Where a contract has been made for the sale of shares deposited with a bank as security for an advance, the vendor is not bound to disclose the fact to the purchaser wheu there can be no reason to anticipate such a depreciation of value in the shares as would entitle the bank to refuse to transfer. NARAYAN SUCCABAM v. BHAWOO DAJES . 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 154
- 19. Fraudulent concealment by vendor of defect of title.—Absence in sale-deed as covenant for title of purchaser.—Right to damages.—In 1881 a Hindu executed a sale-deed of a house in the mofussil. The deed contained no covenant for title. The purchaser having been ejected from a portion of the house under a decree, of which the vendor was aware at the time of the sale, sued the vendor for damages. The Munsif decreed the claim on the ground that the vendor had fraudulently concealed the existence of the decree. On appeal the

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.
4. CAVEAT EMPTOR—continued.

Fraudulent concealment by vendor of defect of title—continued.

District Judge reversed this decree, holding that as the purchaser had not insisted on a covenant for title, he must be held to have accepted all risks. Held that, if there had been fraudulent concealment as alleged, the purchaser was entitled to damages. CAJA-PATHI V. ALAGIA . I. I. R., 9 Mad., 89

5. COMPLETION OF TRANSFER.

20. — Oral transfer.—Hindu vendor and purchaser.—Land may pass by mere parol between Hindu vendor and purchaser. Monesh Chunder Chatterjee v. Issue Chunder Chatterjee

[1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 266

21. — Want of registration.—
complete without payment of purchase-money on
registration of deed.—A sale might be complete,
and it still might be a condition of the contract that
the purchase-money was to be paid afterwards, and
the deed insevidence of the contract may not be completed. The bare fact of the deed not being registered would not annul a sale if, by mutual agreement,
a sale had already been made. KALEE CHUEN GIBEE
GOSSAIN v. LAILA MUDDUN KISHOBE

[7 W. R., 317

22. — Transfer of ownership of property.—Decree for specific performance of contract of sale.—Conveyance.—In the mofussil of the Bombay Presidency, the transfer of the ownership of immoveable property to a vendee who has obtained a decree ordering the specific performance of the contract of sale to himself, does not wait for the execution of a conveyance,—even if the vendor is required, as he seldom is, to execute such a conveyance,—but is effected by the passing of the decree itself, coupled with the payment of the purchase-money. Dhondiba Keishnaji Patel v. Ramohunder Bhagwat

[I. L. R., 5 Bom., 554

23. Possession given in execution of decres.—The formal possession given by a Civil Court under an execution operates, in point of law and fact, as between the parties, as a complete transfer of possession from one party to the other.

KORR v. PURGUN ROY

[I. L. R., '

registration of conveyance.—Failure to pay purchase: money and return of conveyance.—D. sold a house to P. and executed a deed of conveyance which was duly registered. The purchase-money, however, was never paid by P., who, consequently, never obtained possession. Shortly after the conveyance had been registered, P. returned it to D. with an endorsement thereon to the effect that it was returned because P. was unable to pay the purchase-money. The right title, and interest of P. in the house was subsequently attached and sold under a decree obtained against him by the plaintiff. The plaintiff became the purchaser,

AND PURCHASER-continued.

5. COMPLETION OF TRANSFER-continued.

Transfer of ownership of property—continued.

and sued D. for possession. The lower Courts threw out the claim, on the ground that the property had not passed to P., the sale to him being incomplete. Held, the sale of the house by D. to P. was not incomplete. The deed purported to make an immediate transfer of the ownership of the house to P., and P. accordingly became the owner of the house. The endorsement on the conveyance, not having been registered, could not affect the property. The plaintiff, therefore, as purchaser of the right, title, and interest of P., became legal owner of the house, but subject to all P.'s liabilities; and as D. had a lien upon the house for the amount of the unpaid purchase money, the plaintiff could not obtain possession without paying off this charge. UMEDMAL MOTIRAM v. DAVU BIN DHONDIBA . . I. L. R., 2 Bom., 547

25. Execution deet of sale.-Failure of purchaser to perform preliminaries to possession .- The vendor of certain immoveable property agreed to sell such property, and the purchaser agreed to purchase it on the understanding that the purchaser should retain a part of the purchase-money, and therewith discharge certain bond-debts due by the vendor, for the payment of which such property was hypothecated in the bonds. On such understanding the vendor executed a conveyance of such property to the purchaser. Held, in a suit by the purchaser for the possession of such property in virtue of such conveyance, that, the purchaser not having paid such bond-debts or done anything to account for such part of the purchasemoney according to such understanding, the contract of sale had not been completed, and the suit was therefore not maintainable. IKBAL BEGAM v. GOBIND PRASAD . I. L. R., 9 All., 77

Default in completing contract of sale .- Partial performance .- In suits arising out of the default on both sides to complete a contract for the purchase and sale of land in the mofussil, the Court should proceed as a Court of Equity, and should look to the acts and conduct of the parties subsequent to the making of the contract as well as the language of the contract itself; and where the contract has been partially performed and the purchaser put into possession of a portion of the land and allowed by the vendor so to continue long after the period fixed for completion of the contract has elapsed, further time should be given by the Court for the performance of the contract in specie. (Tucker, J., dissentiente.) BALA VALAD SANKIA v. Gabaji Balvant Kulkarni

[2 Bom., 175: 2nd Ed., 168

27, — Conditional contract "subject to approval of title by purchaser's solicitors."—Rescission.—Registration Act (III of 1877), s. 17, cl. (b).—An agreement for the purchase and sale of certain immoveable property provided that the completion of the contract should be "subject to the approval of the purchaser's soli-

AND PURCHASER—continued.

5. COMPLETION OF TRANSFER—continued.

Conditional contract "subject to approval of title by purchaser's solicitors", —continued.

citors" (naming them), and that if they should not approve of the title, the vendor should refund the earnest-money and pay all costs incurred by the purchaser in investigating the title. The purchaser's solicitors disapproved of the title, and the purchaser rescinded the contract. The agreement was not registered. Held in a suit to recover the amount of the earnest-money and costs, that, assuming the objections to be reasonable, the purchaser was entitled to rescind the contract. Held, further, that the agreement did not require registration. Sere-GOPAL MULLICK v. RAM CHURN NUSKUR

[I. L. R., 8 Cale, 856: 12 C. L. R., 125

6. CONDITIONAL SALES.

28. Land sold on condition of re-purchase.—Absolute sale.—Where land was sold on a condition of re-purchase, and no time was mentioned in the instrument of sale,—Held that the sale had not become absolute, and that the plaintiff, having bought the original vendor's rights, was entitled to maintain a suit for recovery of the land. Gueusamy Alyan c. Swaminadha Alyan [2 Mad., 450

 Deed of conditional sale.— Beng. Reg. XV of 1793.—Beng. Reg. XVII of 1806. - Usufruc'. - A deed of sale executed in 1201 (1794) was subject to the condition that if the vendors, "from the year 1202 to the year 1203. should repay the whole of the consideration-money, they should receive back the deed of sale, which shall then become null and void; and if within the said period they fail to pay the said considerationmoney, this conditional sale shall become absolute, and be considered irrevocable." Held that Regulation XV of 1793 did not operate to prevent the assignment becoming absolute after the expiration of the time limited for repayment of the consideration, and that Regulation XVII of 1806 had not a retrospective effect, and therefore did not apply; and that even if the entire amount of the purchase-money were satisfied out of the proceeds of estate before the time for the conditional sale becoming absolute, the vendees would acquire a perfect title. BULDEO . Marsh., 632 SINGH v. DHUKBUN SINGH .

80. Purchaser under conditional sale.—Incumbrances.—A purchaser under a conditional sale takes the property with all bond fide incumbrances created by his vendor previous to the sale. RADHA MOHUN DEB v. NUND LAL DRY [7 W. R., 363]

7. CONSIDERATION.

31. Validity of contract of sale.

—Agreement without consideration.—Right to sell afterwards to another.—A mere agreement to sell

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.

7. CONSIDERATION—continued.

Validity of contract of sale-continued.

a certain property, without any consideration passing, cannot bar the right of the vendor on the same day to sell a portion of the property to a third party, or invalidate the third party's purchase. BHYUNKUBER DABER v. TABINER CHURN CHUCKERBUTTY [7 W. R., 38

Perchase-money.—Intention to pass subject of sale.

—Failure to pay consideration, Effect of.—Although ordinarily, in a transaction of sale, it may be reasonable to suppose that the seller does not intend to pass the property to the purchaser until the purchase-money has been paid or secured, it is not an absolute rule of law that the non-receipt of the consideration-money in full entitles a vendor to make void a sale which is otherwise complete.

MOHUN SINGH v. SHIB KOONWER

1 Agra, 85

HEERA SINGH v. RAGHO NATH SUHAI. BHUETH SINGH v. RAGHO NATH SUHAI . 3 Agra, 30

- parties.—Failure to pay consideration, Effect of, after execution and delivery of deed.—The intention of the parties from their acts should be ascertained; and when a deed is executed and delivered to the purchaser, a subsequent default by the purchaser in the due payment of the purchase-money would not, in the absence of fraud, make void the sale, or give any other right to the vendor than a right to sue for the money. Further, if it be proved that the vendor intended to retain possession until full payment, the Court may pass a decree establishing the purchaser's right subject to execution or payment of consideration. Mohun Singh v. Shib Koonwer [1 Agra, 85]
- 84. Plea of valuable consideration.—Allegation of seisin of vendor and sale of absolute tittle.—A pleading setting up as a defence a purchase for valuable consideration should aver the seisin of the vendor and the sale of his absolute title for good consideration. RADANATH DAS v. ELLIOT [6 B. L. R., P. C., 530]
 - S. C. RADHANATH DOSS v. GISBORNE & Co. [15 W. R., P. C., 24: 14 Moore's I. A., 1
- 85. Failure of consideration.—
 Bonus paid for talook not in existence.—Right to
 refund of bonus.—When a bonus is paid for a putni
 talook not in existence, there is an entire failure of
 entitled to a refund of it. The principle of caveat
 emptor does not apply to such a case. Keisto Lall
 Mottro v. Nobbo Coomar Roy . 5 W. R., 232
- 36. Proof of payment of consideration.—Non-payment of consideration-money.—Burden of proof.—In a suit for possession of land alleged to have been purchased under a registered deed of sale, the defendant-vendor admitted the execution and registration of the deed, but denied receipt of consideration. The deed was dated in

VENDOR AND PURCHASER-continued.

7. CONSIDERATION-continued.

Proof of payment of consideration-continued.

January 1876, and the suit was instituted in 1884. It was found that the vendor had been in possession during the whole of that period. The plaintiff produced no evidence in proof of the payment of consideration. Held that, although under ordinary circumstances the party to a deed duly executed and registered who alleges non-payment of consideration is bound to prove his allegation, the fact that the plaintiff and his predecessor had silently submitted to the withholding of possession for upwards of eight years, combined with the continuous possession of the vendor, favoured the allegation of the latter that possession had been withheld because of the non-payment of consideration, and raised such a counter-presumption as to make it incumbent on the plaintiff to give evidence that consideration had in fact passed. Held, therefore, that in the absence of such evidence, and of evidence to explain the fact of the plaintiff being out of possession, the suit failed. ACHOBANDIL KUARI v. MAHABIR PRASAD

[I. L. R., 8 All., 641

- 37. Part payment of consideration.—Right to sue for possession.—Held that non-payment of the consideration-money can be pleaded by the seller, and inquired into by the Court, the admission of the seller at the time of registration before the Registrar being no conclusive proof of payment of the consideration-money, with reference to the practice which obtains of preparing the sale-deed and registering it before payment. Under the ordinary rule of law, a purchaser has a right to sue for possession when a portion of the consideration-money has been paid, unless the contrary be shown to be the intention of the parties, and the seller has a right to sue for the balance of price. Good Pershald v. Nunda Singh . 1 Agra, 160
- Right to refund of earnestmoney .- Agreement for sale of ship .- Failure of consideration .- Plaintiff and defendants entered into an agreement for the sale by the defendants, who were thereby stated to be the absolute owners of a certain ship, to the plaintiff of the said ship. The defendants agreed with the plaintiff that they would, immediately upon payment of the purchase-money, execute to the plaintiff and another a proper bill of sale of the ship. The defendants were unable to get a properly registered bill of sale of the ship made out, owing to infirmity of their own title, but were willing, so far as they could, to convey. The plaintiff had made part payment in respect of the price of the ship. Held that the consideration had failed, and that the plaintiff was entitled to a refund of the money paid by him in part payment, and of sums disbursed by him under the agreement on account of the expenses of the ship. Jassim Binsaff v. Esau . 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 13 AHMED
- 39. Valuable consideration, Question of.—Assignment of chose in action.—The question whether an assignment of any equity of

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued. 7. CONSIDERATION—continued.

Valuable consideration, Question of __continued.

redemption admitted by the assignor was made for a valuable consideration or not, is no material in determining the rights of the assignee against a party who holds adversely to the assignor. Kachu BAYAJI v. KACHOBA VITHOBA . 10 Bom., 491

8. FRAUD.

40. Evidence of fraud.—Inuacy of purchase-money.—In considering a case
of alleged fraud in the purchase of an estate, it is
material to inquire what relation the purchase-money
paid bore to the value of the estate. SeemunOHUNDER DEY v. GOPAUL CHUNDER CHUCKERBUTTY
[7 W. R., P. C., 10: 11 Moore's I. A., 28

- 41. Notice of facts implying bad title.—Mala fides.—Questions of bona fides.—Notice of fact from which the infirmity of the vendor's title might be inferred is evidence of mala fides, but is not itself mala fides, and the question of bond fide purchase is one of fact. SITHA UMMAL V. RUNGASAMI IYENGAR . 5 Mad., 385
- Effect of fraud.—Goods obtained by fraud.-Right of vendor.-Where goods have been obtained by means of a fraudulent purchase, the vendor has a right to disaffirm the contract so as to re-vest the property in himself, and this even if the property had passed to the vendee with the consent of the vendor. Where a vendee purchased cotton, with the preconceived design of not paying for it, the sale did not pass the property: although the cotton may have been, with the vendor's consent, allowed to be placed on the vendee's boat, still the vendee must be considered as the agent of the vendor, and his possession as that of the vendor, and the cotton as still the property of the vendor, as long as the price was not paid. DURSUN LALL PANDEY O. INDUR CHUNDER . . 6 W. R., 81

9. INVALID SALES.

44. Misrepresentation.—Right to recover purchase-money.—Wilful misrepresentation by a vendor regarding property sold, practised in a matter within his knowledge, and concerning which the purchaser had no adequate means of knowledge, held to vitiate a sale, and to entitle the purchaser to recover the purchase-money actually paid by him. MAHOMED SHARE KHAN v. BAHOO BEGUM [I N. W., Part II, p. 26: Ed. 1873, 84

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued. 9. INVALID SALES—continued.

 Undue influence,—Fiduciary relationship .- Attorney and client .- Onus probandi. -A contract of sale or conveyance entered into by any one with a person who stands relatively to him in a position of confidence or trust, is liable to be called in question by the vendor, and to be set aside at his instance, if it be found that the other party made an unfair use of his advantages. This rule of equity applies strongly in a case where any person, acting as an attorney, or as a legal adviser, enters into a contract with his client in respect of the subject of litigation or advice. Undue influence is presumed to have been exerted until the contrary is proved, and the purchaser is bound to show that all the terms and conditions of the contract are fair. adequate, and reasonable. Pushong c. Munia HALWANI

[1 B. L. R., A. C., 95: 10 W. R., 128

- Fiduciary relationship .- Trustee and cestui que trust .- J. and M. were named executors of the will of H., who died in 1844. M. alone proved the will, but J. did not renounce probate until nine years after the death of H. and the commencement of litigation. The only act as an executor of H. proved against J. was that, in a deed executed by him for the conveyance of the share of H. in a certain estate in which J. was also interested in another capacity, he was described as executor of H., and the deed recited that probate had been granted to him. Held that he was by this reason, as well as on the ground of having an unfair advantage in respect of certain property in litigation, precluded from purchasing the interest of H.'s sons under a decree. DHONENDEO CHUNDER MOOKERJEE v. MUTTY LOLL MOOKERJEE. SREEMANCHUNDER MOOKERJEE v. MUTTYLOLL MOOKERJEE . Cor., 57
- Purchase by agent or other person on fiduciary position.-Fiduciary relationship, - Onus probandi.- Consideration. - An agent or person in a fiduciary position towards the owner of property purchased by him, is bound to prove that the sale was made for good and sufficient consideration, and must not only prove that the agent had authority to sell, and that the consideration alleged was in fact paid, but also that the consideration paid was a fair price for the property. If the purchase be made by a stranger, such a purchaser need not show that the consideration paid by him is a consideration equal to the value of the property; it will be sufficient for the purchaser to show that the sale was made by a person who had authority from the owner to sell; and, unless the seller can establish a fraudulent connivance between the agent employed to sell and the purchaser, the sale will be binding on the seller on proof of authority of the agent to sell. . 2 N. W., 153 RUTTA BEBEE v. DUMBEE LAL
- 48. Deed of sale executed by man of weak intellect.—Ground for setting aside sale by Court of Equity.—A Court of Equity will not set aside the voluntary deed of a weak man who is not absolutely non compos, unless the weakness as well as the facts surrounding the transaction

v. DHAKUBAI

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.

9. INVALID SALES—continued.

Deed of sale executed by man of weak intellect—continued.

and the nature of the transaction itself, be such as to satisfy the Court that the person had not at the time a mind adequate to the business, and that he might have been imposed upon, or unless the Court is not satisfied of the good faith of all the parties to the transaction. RAJENDER CHUNDER NEWGEE v. BHOOBUN KALEE DEBEA. W. R., 1864, 65

 Sale by old and illiterate woman without professional advice.—Fraud. -Undue influence.—Inadequate consideration.-Terms on which deed will be set aside.—Purchasemoney declared a charge.-Funeral expenses of Hindu widow declared a charge.—No allowance for repairs and improvements.- C. was the widow of one R., deceased, and from the death of R. until her own death remained in occupation of a house and chawl which had belonged to him. D. was a sister of C.'s, and, shortly after R.'s death, D. and her son B., the first and second defendants, went to live with C. on the said property, and lived with C. and were her only companions until C.'s death. While so living with C., D. and B. advanced to C. at various times, on joint account, various sums of money, amounting to R3,500, for purposes such as would have justified C. in pledging the property of her late husband to secure the repayment of the same. C. became very ill, and D. and B., fearing she might be going to die, requested her to take some steps to secure to them the repayment of the sums they had advanced to her. C. thereupon offered to give D. and B. an absolute deed of sale of the said house and chawl in consideration of the said sum of R3,500 already advanced to her, and of an additional sum of R500 then to be paid to her to defray her funeral expenses and the costs of the said conveyance. D. and B. consented, and called in their solicitor to take C.'s instructions and draw up the deed in question, which he accordingly did; and within three days of the said agreement the deed was executed. At that time C. was very ill, and twelve days after the execution of the deed C. died. C. was an illiterate woman, over sixty years of age, and had in this matter no independent professional or other advice. The additional sum of R500 agreed to be paid to C. was never so paid to her, but after her death D. and her son expended moneys in and about her funeral ceremonies, amounting, as they alleged, to upwards of R400. The property in question so pledged to them for R4,000 was worth at least R5,200. The plaintiff, one of the heirs of R, sued to set the deed aside and for possession of the said property. *Held* that the deed of sale must be set aside as obtained under circumstances which amounted to fraud. Held, also, that the advances, amounting to H3,500, made to C. by D. and her son B., being made for purposes for which C. would have been justified in pledging the said property, the deed of sale should be set aside only on the terms that the property in question should stand charged with the repayment of the sums so advanced. Held, also, that the property must stand charged with the repayment

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued. 9. INVALID SALES—continued.

Sale by old and illiterate woman without professional advice—continued.

to D. and B. of such a sum as, having regard to her position and station in life, should be found to be a reasonable sum for the funeral expenses of C. After C.'s death, D. and B. remained in possession of the said property under the deed of sale, and expended considerable sums of money in and about repairs and improvements to the same; and they now claimed that, if the sale was to be set aside, the sums so expended should be repaid to them. Held that no allowance could be made to D. and B. for sums so expended by them, such sums having been expended at a time when D. and B. must be taken to have

known that they were fraudulently in possession of

the property in question. SADASHIV BHASKAR JOSHI

I. L. R., 5 Bom., 450

Inadequacy of consideration .- Actual or constructive fraud .- Cancelment of sale, - Sales by expectant heirs of reversionary interests.—In the case of a sale by a person, young indeed and in distressed circumstances, but not without advice or means of information, of an estate actually vested in him, but not to be obtained without litigation, the party seeking to set aside the sale must establish the fraud, actual or constructive, which entitles him to relief. It is not sufficient for him to show that he did not receive the full value of the estate to which the result of the litigation might ultimately show him to be entitled. The difference between that value and the purchase-money, if not too disproportionate, may be legitimately taken to represent the difference between certainty and immediate enjoyment on the one hand, and risk, worry, expense, and delay on the other. The exceptional equitable principles which, in a sale by an expectant heir of a reversionary interest, throw upon the purchaser the onus of showing that he gave a fair price, and which, on failure of such proof, entitles the expectant heir to have the sale set aside, have no application in the above case, or in that of every ignorant and improvident person. AZIMUDIN KHAN . I. L. R., 6 Bom., 309 v. ZIA-UL-NISSA

51. — Omission to register.—Fraud.—Registration Act, XVI of 1864, s. 18.—Where the sale-deed was executed, and consideration paid, but the deed was not registered within four months, owing to the seller's fraud,—Held that such fraudulent vendor could not benefit himself by pleading the provision of law (section 18, Act XVI of 1864) as a bar to the purchaser's claim. PURGAS RAI v. JUGGUN SINGH. 2 Agra, Pt. II, 201

52. Alienation to defeat execution of decree.—Rights of creditor without specific lien against purchaser.—Fraud.—On the 3rd October 1865 the plaintiff filed a suit against D. to recover certain lands and money. While the suit was pending,—viz., on the 13th October 1866,—D. mortgaged part of his immoveable property to defendant R., and on 21st August 1871 executed a deed of sale to defendant R. of all the immoveable property of

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued. 9. INVALID SALES—continued.

Alienation to defeat execution of decree —continued.

which he (D.) was then possessed, for the price of R4,000. On 30th April 1872 the plaintiff obtained a decree against D., and in execution thereof attached certain immoveable property other than the land mentioned in the decree. The defendant R. applied under section 246 of the Civil Procedure Code (Act VIII of 1859), and on the 21st August 1873 procured the removal of the attachment, whereupon the plaintiff brought the present suit to set aside the order of 21st August 1873, and to obtain a declaration that the attached property belonged to *D*. and was liable in execution. *Held* that inasmuch as neither the decree of the 30th April 1872, nor the plaint on which it was founded, established or sought to establish any claim against a specific lien upon the immoveable property, the subject of the present suit, it was perfectly competent for D., at any time previously to an attachment of the property, to alienate it, and the question for decision as to that property was whether D, had alienated it or not. If the deed of sale by which D. conveyed the property on the 21st August 1871 were merely colourable, and the change of ownership ostensible only and not real,-i.e., if it was the intention of the parties that the alience should be merely a trustee for D. to shield the property from execution, and that D. should continue to be the beneficial owner of it,—there would not be any alienation, and the deed of sale would be void as against an attaching creditor of D. If, on the other hand, the sale were a real transaction,-i.e., if it was the intention of the parties that the full ownership should pass from the vendor to the vendee,—then the sale would be valid, even though it might have been in the contemplation of the parties that future attempts to attach the property by a creditor of the vendor (not having any specific lien on the property) should be defeated by the sale. Until attachment the creditor has no right to interfere with the power of his debtor to deal with his property. RAJAN Harji v. Ardeshir Hormusji

[I. L. R., 4 Bom., 70

SAKHARAM MAHIPAT v. DAWUD VALAD JAWABHAI . . I. L. R., 4 Bom., 76, note

BALVANTEAV v. JIVANJI HOBMASJI [I. L. R., 4 Bom., 77

53. Sale to two successive purchasers.—Non-payment of purchase-money.—Right of first and second purchasers.—The proprietor of certain immoveable property conveyed it first to one person and then to another. The first purchaser sued the vendor and the second purchaser for the possession of the property, alleging that he had been put in possession of it, but had been ousted by the second purchaser. Held that the first sale was not void by reason of the non-payment of the purchasemoney, and that the second sale being invalid as having been made by a person who had no rights and interests remaining in the property, the second purchaser was not a representative of the vendor and entitled to receive the purchase-money found to be

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued. 9. INVALID

Sale to two successive purchasers—continued.

still due to him from the first purchaser, and to retain possession of the property until the receipt of that purchase-money. RAM LAKHAN RAI v. BANDAN RAI

LL. R., 2 All, 711

54. ——Sale of property not belonging to vendor.—Loss sustained by purchaser on being dispossessed.—Where a party sells property to others, and it afterwards appears that he had not the right to do so, and the purchasers are in consequence dispossessed, the loss ought to fall on the vendor, and not on the vendees who put faith in his act of selling. AMANOOLLAH v. MAHOMED NASIE

[22 W. R., 442]

55. Deed of sale set aside as being fraudulent and void.—Right of purchaser to compensation for improvements.—A party in possession under a deed of sale conveying real estate, the property of a defendant in a pending suit, held not entitled to any allowance for sums expended by him for improvement upon the estate, when the deed was found to be fraudulent and void as against the creditors of the vendor, and to have been executed to defeat a sequestration. MUSADEE MAHOMED CAZUM SHERAZER V. ALLY MAHOMED SHOOSTEY . . . 6 Moore's I. A., 27

10. LIEN.

Creation of lien.-Title.-Notice of charge. - English law as to right of bond fide purchaser for value without notice. - By contract and deposit of title-deeds B. charged certain land in favour of A. as a security in respect of the nondelivery of the title-deeds of an estate bought by A. from him. After the creation of this charge the land was transferred by B. to C. The Sudder Court having decided that the contract was not operative as an hypothecation or pledge, even between the parties to it, and that A. had no right of suit against C., to whom the land had been transferred,-Held by the Privy Council, reversing that decision, that the agreement created a lien on the land, and that no positive law was shown to forbid the giving effect to such agreement. The owner of property subject to a lien or charge can in general convey to another no title higher or more free than his own; it lies always on a succeeding owner to make out a case to defend such prior charge. The law in India does not enable a purchaser of land to look only to the apparent title in the Collector's books, or the presumed title of the owner in possession; and it is beyond the province of a Court of Justice to give effect to the title of such a purchaser to the extent of defeating a prior lien or charge. Conceding that a purchaser for value, bond fide, and without notice of the charge, would have an equity superior to A.'s right,—Held that a purchase in good faith by C. had not been proved. If the English doctrine on this subject be adopted, as the rule prescribed by justice, equity, and good conscience, its

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued. 10. LIEN—continued.

Creation of lien-continued.

qualifications and restrictions should not be rejected.

VARDEN SETH SAM v. LUCKPATHY ROYJEE LALLAH

[Marsh., 461: 9 Moore's I. A., 303

57. - Purchaser, Right of.—Produce of land, Sale of.—N.-W. P. Rent Act, XVIII of 1873, s. 56.—Hypothecation.—The purchaser of the unstored produce of land in the occupation of a cultivator, with notice of the lien created on such produce by section 56 of Act XVIII of 1873, takes such produce subject to such lien. S. A. No. 1393 of 1870, decided on the 4th February 1871, and Achul v. Gunga Pershad, 2 Agra, 78, followed. KINLOCK v. COLLECTOR OF ETAWAH. KINLOCK v. COULT OF WARDS . I. L. R., 3 All., 433

58. Lien by deposit of title-deeds.—Subsequent purchase by another.—In 1865 C. gave H. a lien on his property, by a deposit of title-deeds. In 1867 B. purchased the same property bond fide, and without notice of H.'s lien. Held that B. took the property free of the lien. Bunsbedur v. Heera Lall

[1 N. W., Part VI, p. 74: Ed. 1873, 166

Priority.—Inchoate agreement to purchase. - Deposit of earnestmoney.—The claimant entered into an agreement for the purchase of certain property, and on the execution of the agreement deposited R15,000 as earnestmoney of the contract and in part payment of the purchase-money. The claimant was not satisfied at that time with the title-deeds supplied by the vendor, but afterwards entered into fresh negotiations for the purchase upon different terms. The vendor died, and the present claim was filed in a suit to administer his estate. Held that the claimant was entitled to be paid in full the R15,000 in priority to all other creditors; and that his lien was not lost by the failure either of the original contract or the subsequent negotiations. KENNY v. ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL OF BENGAL . 8 B. L. R., O. C., 75

of.—Estoppel.—In execution of a money-decree, the decree-holder caused the right, title, and interest of the judgment-debtor in a certain property, which had been mortgaged to him by a registered bond, to be sold, but without notice of the existence of such lien. He afterwards obtained a decree upon the bond, and sold it to the defendants, who caused the same property to be attached. The purchaser intervened under section 246, but without success. In a suit by the purchaser to establish his absolute right,—Held that, as the defendants' vendor had suppressed the fact of the charge, and thereby induced the plaintiff to purchase as the absolute property of the judgment-debtor, they were now precluded from setting up his lien. Dullab Sienar v. Krishna Kumar Barshi

[3 B. L. R., A. C., 407: 12 W. R., 803

61. Right to enforce lien.—Sale subject to decree declaring lien on property.—If a

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued. 10. LIEN—continued.

Right to enforce lien-continued.

decree declares a lien over A.'s property for a certain sum in favour of B., and subsequently A. sells part of this property to B. and part to C., B. cannot sue to enforce his lien against C.'s purchase without bringing his own into contribution. RAM LOCHUN SIECAR v. RAM NARAIN . . . 1 C. L. R., 296

11. NOTICE.

62. Necessity of notice of title.

—Equitable doctrine of secret ownership.—It is a rule of universal equity, and not one peculiar to English Courts, that, in order to enable the real owner of property to recover from a purchaser for value from a person allowed by the real owner to hold himself out as the owner, he must prove either direct or constructive notice of the real title, or that there existed circumstances which ought to have put the purchaser on an inquiry which, if prosecuted, would have led to a discovery of the real title, RAMCOOMAE

[11 B. L. R., 46: 18 W. R., 166 L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 40

Koondoo v. McQuren

64. – Equitable relief against forfeiture.-Remarks on the doctrine of equity as to the applicablity of the defence of purchase for valuable consideration without notice. The defence does not apply where the Court of Chancery is exercising a jurisdiction concurrent with that of the Courts of law. Where A. sold land to B., reserving a right to re-purchase by payment of a certain sum at a specified time, and before such time had arrived B. re-sold to C. for valuable consideration without notice, and A. failed to make the payment and forfeited his right to re-purchase,-Held that he had no title unless relieved against the forfeiture, and that such relief could not be given as against C. Samakkaundan v. Perumal Chetti [2 Mad., 14

95. Purchase from joint Hindu family.—Presumption.—Semble,—That considering the state of Hindu families a purchaser would be affected with notice by much slighter evidence than a purchaser in other countries. KOYLLOTHPUTENPURAYIL MANOKI KOBAN NAYAE v. PUTHEN PURAYIL MANOKI CHANDA NAYAE

[3 Mad., 294

VENDOR AND PURCHASER-continued.

11. NOTICE-continued.

Purchaser without notice-continued.

should not be deprived of the benefit of an honest purchase, even though the sale to his vendors was fraudulent, if he had no notice of the fraud. GOLAM AHEA v. DIGUMBUR SINGH . W. R., 1864, 225

Sale of whole interest.—Subsequent purchase without notice by another.—The plaintiff purchased from the first defendant, who purchased from the person admitted to be the owner in 1856. The resisting defendants claimed under a subsequent sale by the same person. Held, reversing the decree of the lower Court, that on the simple principle that after the conveyance to the first defendant the owner of the land had nothing more to convey, the resisting defendants took nothing and the plaintiff was entitled to recover. VIEA-BHADRA PILLAI v. HARI RAMA PILLAI

[3 Mad., 38 See contra, Chidambara Nayinan v. Annappa Nayarkan 1 Mad., 62

68. Bond fide purchaser.—Omission to make proper inquiries into title.
—In order that a purchaser of immoveable property from a Hindu in the Island of Bombay may be entitled, as against the beneficial owner of such property, to set up the defence of being a bond fide purchaser without notice, he must show that he has made all proper inquiries into the title and as to the state of the family of his vendor, and of his vendor's predecessors in title for a period of twelve years at least before the date of his purchase. SAVAKLAL KARSANDAS v. ORA NIZMUDDIN . 8 Bom., O. C., 77

Purchaser, Obligation of.— Joint Hindu family, Purchase from.—When a person has notice that another has or claims an interest in property for which he is dealing, he is bound to inquire what that interest is; and if he purchases without doing so, he will be bound, although the notice was inaccurate as to the particulars or extent of such interest. Where the notice is given by the person himself who claims an interest in the property, and it is afterwards proved that he had such an interest,-Quære, Whether any amount of inquiry can discharge the purchaser from liability. chaser, therefore, from one member of a joint Hindu family is affected with notice of the claims of the other members. On the facts,-Held (reversing the decision of the Court below) that no sufficient inquiry had been made in this case. GOBIND CHUNDER MOOKERJEE v. DOORGAPERSAD BABOO [14 B. L. R., 337: 22 W. R., 248

70. Incumbrance. Equitable consideration without notice.—The reason for the rule of equity that a purchaser of property, though for valuable consideration, yet with notice of a prior incumbrancer, purchases subject to such incumbrance, is that such purchaser is acting mald fide in taking away the right of the prior incumbrancer by getting the legal estate, while knowing that a prior purchaser has the right to it. But a purchaser for valuable con-

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.

11. NOTICE-continued.

Incumbrance—continued.

sideration, without notice of the prior right of a third person, is not guilty of or party to a fraud upon the rights of a prior purchaser. The Courts of Equity, therefore, will not interfere with his right to the possession, enjoyment, and disposal of the property; and though, subsequently to his purchase, he may become aware of the prior incumbrance, yet he has the right to convey to a subsequent purchaser, who, at the time of such subsequent conveyance, has notice of the prior right of the third person; and such subsequent purchaser will take the property free from the incumbrance, for neither is he guilty of any fraud in accepting what his vendor had a right to convey, nor would the bond fide purchaser without notice be able otherwise freely and completely to dispose of the property which he innocently acquired. On the same principles, any subsequent purchaser, however remote, though having notice, must be protected. Where, therefore, the second defendant, having notice of the plaintiff's equitable mortgage, purchased from one who, also with such notice, had purchased from a bona fide purchaser for value without notice,-Held that the second defendant held the property free from the equitable mortgage. Carter v. Carter, 3 K. and Johns, 617, distinguished. DAYAL JIVRAJ I. L. R., 1 Bom., 278 v. Jairaj Ratansi

71. Bond fide transferee for value of mortgaged property.—Ignorance of existing incumbrance.—Held that a statement in answor to interrogatories, which was made by the purchaser of mortgaged property, to the effect that at the time of the purchase he was aware of the mortgage and believed that it had been satisfied, was no proof of the purchase having been made after notice of a prior mortgage, inasmuch as it was inconsistent with the knowledge of an existing incumbrance. Sheo Dayal Mal v. Hari Ram

[I. L. R., 7 All., 590

Purchaser for value.-Notice of prior mortgage.-The plaintiff in 1867 obtained a decree against one Ramzan Mohidin for payment of a debt by him personally, or in default entitling the plaintiff to recover the amount from the sale of certain immoveable property situated in Gujarat on which the debt had been secured under a sankhat. On the attachment of the immoveable property in execution of that decree, the defendant objected under section 246 of the Civil Procedure Code, and alleged that he had purchased the property in 1865. The attachment having accordingly been raised, the plaintiff sued for a declaration of his right to sell the mortgaged property. Both the lower Courts threw out the plaintiff's claim. On special appeal the decrees of the lower Courts were reversed, and the case remanded for the trial of the issue whether the defendant was a bond fide purchaser for valuable consideration, without notice of the plaintiff's sankhat or lien on the property in dispute at or before the time of his purchase. GRIDHAR RANCHODDAS v. HARAMCHAND REVACHAND [8 Bom., A. C., 75

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.

11. NOTICE-continued.

78. — Transfer of property subject to trust.—Purchaser for value.—Constructive notice.—Tenant in possession as object of charitable trust.—If the purchaser of an estate for value takes with notice, actual or constructive, of a trust, he is bound by such trust to the same interest and in the same manner as the person from whom he purchased. A person purchasing an estate where there is a tenant in possession is bound to inquire into the title of such tenant, and if he neglects to do so he takes subject to such rights as the tenant may have. The equities are the same where there is a person in possession as the object of a charitable trust and under the trust. Mancharji Soraeji Chulla v. Kongeroo [6 Bom., O. C., 59

74. Notice.—Right of purchaser.—B., having been sentenced to transportation for life, presented a petition in the Revenue Court, in which, stating that he owned a certain zemindari estate, and that he had been so sentenced, and that it was necessary to make arrangements for the payment of the Government revenue and the management of the estate, he prayed that his name might be removed from the revenue register and that of P. recorded in its stead. P. sold the property for consideration, his vendee purchasing without notice of any trust, and it was subsequently put up for sale in execution of a decree against P.'s vendee and was purchased without notice of any trust. Held that the property could not be followed into the hands of the purchaser at the execution sale. Durga Prasad v. Asa Ram, I. L. R., 2 All., 361, observed on. HAIT RAM v. DURGA PRASAD

75. Constructive notice.—Person in possession of subject of sale.—Where there is a

son in possession of subject of sale.—Where there is a person in possession of an estate other than the numinal owner,—i.e., the person in whose name the title-deed is,—a purchaser, although he may be a purchaser for value, is bound to inquire what is the nature of his possession. If he does not think fit to do so, he takes subject to the rights of the person in possession. HAKREM MEAH v. BREJOY PATNEE

[22 W. R., 8

MASSIM MEAH v. SHAM DOSS

[22 W. R., 189

Sale in execution of decree.—Sale of property on which there is a lien.

—Per Kennedy, J.—An execution-purchaser takes subject to all equities affecting the judgment-debtor, and will be bound by constructive notice in the same way as an ordinary purchaser. Kinderly v. Jervis, 22 Beau., 1; and Brewer v. Lord Oxford, 6 De G., M. & G., 507, cited and followed. RAM LOCHUN SIEGAR v. RAM NABAIN . . . 1 C. L. R., 296

77. Doctrine of constructive notice.—Secrecy in transaction.—The Court will not apply the doctrine of constructive notice where the party seeking the benefit of that doctrine has been guilty of secrecy in the transaction with

VENDOR AND PURCHASER-continued.

11. NOTICE-continued.

Constructive notice—continued.

constructive notice of which he seeks to affect a purchaser. HORMASJI TEMULJI v. MANKUVARBAI [12 Bom., 262]

78. Notice to agent of purchaser. Notice to a purchaser's agent was held to be constructive notice to his principal, so as to fix the latter with a trust, or a burden relative to the subject of purchase which without notice he would have escaped. Seedee Nazeer Ali Khan v. OJOODHYA RAM KHAN. MUNSOOR ALI KHAN v. OJOODHYA RAM KHAN . . . 8 W. R., 399

· Liability of land purchased from Hindu devisee for debts of his testator.—Onus probandi.—Per Pontifex, J.—The question how far lands purchased from a Hindu devisee are liable in the hands of the purchaser for the testator's debts, stands on the same footing as a similar question would under the present English law. The creditors of the ancestor or testator may follow his lands into the possession of a purchaser from the heir or devisee, if it can be proved that such purchaser knew (1) that there were debts of the ancestor or testator left unsatisfied, and (2) also that the heir or devisee to whom he paid his purchase-money intended to apply it otherwise than in the payment of such debts. But a purchaser ignorant on either of these points has a safe title, for no duty is cast upon the purchaser from the heir or devisee to inquire whether there are any debts of the ancestor or testator, or to see to the application of his purchasemoney, even when there is an express charge of debts by the testator on the devised estate—at least when the devisee is also executor; and in such a case the burden of proof is entirely on the creditor to show that the purchaser from the devisee had notice that the latter intended to misapply the purchase-money. For a purchaser to be affected with constructive notice through his solicitor, the latter himself must have actual notice. GREENDER CHUNDER GHOSE v. MACKINTOSH

I. L. R., 4 Calc., 897: 4 C. L. R., 198

Fraud.—Registration Acts, Effect of .- When a person is proved to have had a knowledge of certain facts, or to have been in a position the reasonable consequence of which knowledge or position would be that he would have been led to make further inquiry, which would have disclosed a particular fact, the law fixes him with having himself had notice of that particular fact. There may be such wilful negligence in abstaining from inquiry into facts which would convey actual notice as may properly be held to have the consequences of notice actually obtained. But if there is not actual notice, and no wilful or fraudulent turning away from an inquiry into, and consequent knowledge of, facts which the circumstances would suggest to a prudent mind, then the doctrine of constructive notice ought not to be applied. Constructive notice may apply as against third persons from a neglect to call for deeds and documents of title, but

VENDOR AND PURCHASER-continued.

11. NOTICE-continued.

Constructive notice-continued.

not to the same extent where a Registration Act is in operation, as it would where no Registration Act prevails. Agra Bank v. Barry, L. R., 7 H. L., 135, followed. If an agent authorised to sell property commits a fraud against his principal, the principal is the person who ought to suffer and not a stranger. DOOHGA NARAIN SEN v. BANKY MADHAB MOZUMDAB.

I. L. R., 7 Calc., 199

 Registration.— Possession.—Registration Acts, Effect of.—English Registry Acts, Stat. 7 Anne, c. 20, s. 1; 2 and 3 Anne, c. 4, s. 1; 6 Anne, c. 35, s. 1; 8 Geo. 2, c. 6, s. 1. - Irish Registry Act, 6 Anne, c. 2, s. 4 (Ireland).-Neither in England nor in Ireland has mere registration been held to amount to notice to subsequent mortgagees or purchasers. In Bombay the Courts have adopted the rule which prevails in America, and have held that registration does amount to notice to all subsequent purchasers of the same property. Possession has been deemed by Hindu and Maĥomedan law, as interpreted in the Presidency of Bombay, to amount to notice of such title as the person in possession may have; and any other person who takes a mortgage or other charge upon immoveable property without ascertaining the nature of the claim of him who is in possession does so at his own risk. This is the rule in England also. The Indian Registration Acts prior to the year 1864, like the Middlesex Registry Act (Stat. 7 Anne, c. 20, sec. 1); the Yorkshire Registry Acts (Stat. 2 and 3 Anne, c. 4, sec. 1; 6 Anne, c. 35, sec, 1; 8 Geo. II., c. 6, sec. 1), and the Irish Registry Act (Stat. 6 Anne, c. 2, sec. 4, Ir.), gave priority of rank to priority of registration. The later Indian Registration Acts-viz., Act XVI of 1864, XX of 1866, VIII of 1871, and III of 1877-proceed upon a different principle. Under them a registered instrument operates from the time at which it would have commenced to operate if no registration had been required or made, and not from the time of its registration, which rule applies both to compulsory and optionally registrable The earlier decisions, by which regisinstruments. tration has in India been permitted to supply the want of possession, may be attributed to this absolute preference so accorded by the earlier Registration Acts to priority of registration. In the reported cases under the Indian Registration Acts passed in and subsequently to 1864, which have not (like the previous enactments) given priority of rank to priority of registration, the Courts have also regarded registration as an equivalent for possession where the instrument earlier in date has been registered, but unaccompanied by possession. The Courts have gone a step further, and have held registration under Act XVI of 1864 and the subsequent Acts to amount to notice, and therefore to atone for the absence of, and to be a sufficient substitute for, possession in the validation of title. The rule, however, that registration is equivalent to possession, cannot be applied to cases where the registration of the instrument earlier in date has been effected subsequently to the execu-

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.

11. NOTICE—continued.

Constructive notice-continued.

tion of the instrument set up against it. LAKSHMAN DAS SARUPOHAND v. DASRAT

(I. L. R., 6 Bom., 168

Priority .- Possession .- Vendor and purchaser .- Purchaser without possession .- Subsequent purchaser with possession and without notice of prior purchase.—The plaintiff purchased the land in dispute on the 28th February 1878, and on the same day lodged his deed of purchase with the Registrar together with the registration fee. It was registered on the 29th April 1878. He was not put in possession of the property. The defendant purchased the same property on the 1st April 1878, and on the following day lodged his deed of purchase with the Registrar together with the registration fee. It was registered on the 26th May 1878. His purchase was accompanied with possession. In a suit brought by the plaintiff against the vendor and the subsequent purchaser for possession of the property,-Held that the registration of the plaintiff's deed of purchase, not having been effected until after the execution of the defendant's deed, could not have operated as notice of the plaintiff's deed to the defendant, and, therefore, could not be equivalent to possession. Held, also, that as the defendant was a purchaser without notice, either actual or constructive, of the plaintiff's prior purchase, and had taken the pre-caution of obtaining possession, both parties being Hindus and innocent purchasers, the defendant could not be deprived of the benefit of his possession. . I. L. R., 6 Bom., 165 HASHA v. RAGHO

88. Priority.—Notice of prior contract.—Specific Relief Act, 1877, s. 27.—Oral agreement.—Sale to third person in contravention of agreement.—Civil Procedure Code, 1882, ss. 261, 262.—Where a bond fide contract, whether oral crimitten, is made for the sale of property, and a third party afterwards buys the property with notice of the prior contract, the title of the party claiming under the prior contract prevails against the subsequent purchaser, although the latter's purchase nay have been registered, and although he has obtained possession under his purchase. Chundre Kant Roy v. Krishna Sunder Roy

[I. L. R., 10 Calc., 710

See Nemai Chaban Dhabal v. Kokil Bag [I. L. R., 6 Calc., 534: 7 C. L. R., 487

San-mortgage in Gujarat.—Priority — Priority as between a purchaser at execution sale and prior mortgages by unregistered san-mortgage.—Plea of purchase without notice.—The general rule in the Presidency of Bombay is that, amongst Hindus, possession is necessary in order to perfect a transfer of immoveable property by mortgage or deed of sale as against subsequent incumbrancers or purchasers. The main ground of this rule is that possession is notice to all subsequent intending mortgagees or purchasers of the title of the party in possession. It is, however,

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued. 11. NOTICE—continued.

Priority—continued.

the established and judicially recognised custom of Gujarat that possession is not necessary in the case of a san-mortgage to validate it as against subsequent mortgagees or purchasers. The necessity of possession being thus dispensed with, it seems to follow that a san-mortgage, in other respects good, is valid as against a subsequent mortgagee or purchaser, whether or not such mortgagee or purchaser has notice of the san-mortgage. To hold that a subsequent mortgagee or purchaser for valuable con sideration and without notice of a san-mortgage is entitled to priority over it, would be tantamount to depriving the san-mortgagee of the benefit of the custom that possession is unnecessary. Per MELVILL, J.—Such perfect security is now afforded by registration that there appears to be hardly room for the plea of purchase without notice. Seeing that a purchaser may secure himself against all unregistered mortgages without possession by simply taking possession or registering his conveyance, he is, if he omit to do so, in pari delicto with the prior mortgagee, and it is difficult to see how he is entitled to any relief. Sobhagchand Gular Chand v. Bhai-. I. L. R., 6 Bom., 193 CHAND .

12. POSSESSION.

85. Vendor remaining in possession .- Presumption .- Where a deed was executed conveying a man's entire property to his son, only two years old, and reserving to himself one rupee a day for his subsistence, and after execution the conveying party remained in possession,-Held that, in the absence of explanation, no other inference could be drawn than that the deed was merely intended to be used as a blind. SREENATH SINGH CHOWDHRY v. HUREEPEBA

[10 W. R., 449

- Condition of sale .- Acceptance of security by vendor .- Suit to realise security .- The defendant purchased certain jewels at a sale by auction, subject to a condition that, if not paid for in three days, the goods should be sold at the risk of the purchaser. Being unable to pay within the time stipulated, he gave a promissory note for the price, upon an agreement that the vendor should retain the jewels for him, but should not exercise the power of sale within three days. Held that the vendor could sue on the note, though he retained the jewels in his possession under the lien so created. ALLEN, HAYES, & Co., v. Anundo Chunder Mundle . Bourke, O. C., 156
- Absence of change of pos-Bession.-Hindu law.-Incomplete sale.-According to Hindu law, a change of possession is necessary to complete a sale of corporeal property, in order to prevent successive purchasers from being cheated by successive sales of the same property, and to obviate disputes as to what was really sold. A purchaser from a Hindu vendor, who buys corporeal property without possession, does not thus obtain a

VENDOR AND PURCHASER-continue d 12. POSSESSION—continued.

Absence of change of possession—conti-

title which, in a suit for specific performance against the vendor, he can enforce against a person actually in possession under a title adverse to the vendor by joining that person as a defendant. KAOHU Вачалі г. Каснова Vітнова . 10 Bom ., 491

 Necessity of change of possession.-Hindu and Mahomedan laws.-Priority. -It is a general but not an invariable rule that possession in the grantee or assignee is deemed essential amongst Hindus and Mahomedans to the complete transfer of immoveable property, either by gift, sale, or mortgage. Exceptions to the above rule pointed out. LAKSHMAN DAS SARUPCHAND v. DAVRAT [I. L. R., 6 Bom., 168

SOBHAGCHAND GULABCHAND v. BHAICHAND [I. L. R., 6 Bom., 193

Hindu law.-Delivery of possession .- Notice .- Delivery of possession of property sold is, under the Hindu law, essential to complete the title of the vendee against a third party purchasing with possession from the same vendor without notice of the prior transaction. The rule prevails as between competing conveyances both of which have been registered. Authorities and Hindu law texts on the subject reviewed. LALU-BHAI SURCHAND v. BAI AMRIT

[I. L. R., 2 Bom., 299

- Sale when vendor is not in possession. - Hindu law. - Necessity of possession. -Ejectment .- A Hindu, whose estate is in the possession of a trespasser or a mortgagee, may sell his right of entry as such, or his equity of redemption as such, and the purchaser may thereupon sue to eject the trespasser or to redeem the mortgage; but a bill of sale by a Hindu vendor, purporting to convey the estate itself, executed by a person who is not in possession, cannot operate as a present conveyance, nor enable the purchaser to sue in ejectment. Prahlad Sen v. Budhu Singh, 2 B. L. R., P. C., 111; and Bhobosoonduree Dasseah v. Issur Chunder Dutt, 11 B. L. R., 36, followed. Bikan Singh v. Parbutty Koer, 22 W. R., 99; Gungahurry Nundee v. Rughubram Nundee, 14 B. L. R., 307; and Lokenath Ghose v. Juggobundhoo Roy, I. L. R., 1 Calc., 297, referred to. BAI SURAJ v. DALPATRAM DAYA-SHANKAR . I. L. R., 6 Bom., 380
- Possession, Delivery of .-Hindu law -Sale .- Possession is not essentially necessary by Hindu law to give validity to a transfer by sale of immoveable property. BHUKAN BHAI-BAVA v. BHAIJI PRAG . 1 Bom., 19
- Title .- Hindu law .- Delivery of possession is not necessary to the transfer of ownership among Hindus. Per MARKBY, J.—As a general rule of law, when a vendee has got a document which in terms professes to make over property, and the document is registered (in case

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.

12. POSSESSION—continued.

Possession, Delivery of-continued.

registration is necessary), he becomes at once the owner without actual delivery of possession. Gunga-HURRY NUNDEE v. RAGHUBRAM NUNDEE

[14 B. L. R., 807: 28 W. R., 181

93. Hindu law.—
Per curiam,—Delivery of possession is not, under the Hindu law, essential to complete the title of a purchaser for value. NARAIN CHUNDER CHUCKER-BUTTY v. DATARAM ROY

[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 597: 10 C. L. R., 241 NAGUBAI v. MOTIGIE GUEU . . . 1 Bom., 5

94. Hindu law.—
Under the Hindu law current in the Madras Presidency, possession is not necessary to complete a sale.
VASUDEVA BHALLU v. NABASAMMA

[I. L. R., 5 Mad., 6

95. • Want of possession.—Hindu 2.—Sale before Transfer of Property Act.—Possession.—Under the law administered in the Madras Presidency in the case of sales of land between Hindus made before the date of the Transfer of Property Act, 1882, where all has been done that the parties contemplated to complete a sale, the title of the purchaser cannot be defeated in favour of a second purchaser merely by reason that the latter obtained and the former did not obtain possession. RAMASAMI AYVANGAR v. MARIMUTTU BHATTAN

[I. L. R., 6 Mad., 404

Sale of land by a Hindu .- Vendor without possession .- Conveyance of right of action .- Where a Hindu vendor sold his share in certain land, but expressly stated in the deed of sale that he was out of possession; that the land was in the hands of a third party, to whom it had been mortgaged without the vendor's authority; and that he (vendor) empowered the purchaser to bring a suit against the person in possession in order to recover the vendor's share in the land, with mesne profits,-Held that what the deed contemplated was nothing more than the transfer of the right of entry, although, according to the invariable mode of expression in such documents, the vendor professed, in terms, to convey the property itself. Held, further, that the purchaser acquired the same right of action which his vendor possessed, notwithstanding that the vendor was not in possession at the date of the sale. VASUDEV HARI v. TATIA NARAYAN

[I. L. R., 6 Bom., 387

97. Transfer of property by a person not in possession.—Validity of such transfer.—Hindu law.—The plaintiffs sought to recover possession from the defendants of certain land, claiming under a kararnama executed to them by one M. The defendants contended that M. had never been in possession of the land. The lower Appellate Court held that as M. was not in possession at the time—en the kararnama was executed, the

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.

12. POSSESSION—continued.

Want of possession-continued.

plaintiff's claim was not maintainable. On appeal to the High Court,—Held, reversing the decree of the lower Appellate Court, that the circumstance of M.'s not having been in possession at the time the kararnama was executed did not prevent the plaintiffs from recovering possession from the defendants. Kalidas v. Kanhaya Lall, I. L. R., 11 Calc., 121: L. R., 11 I. A., 219, referred to and followed. UGARCHAND MANACKCHAND v. MADAPA SOMANA . . . I. L. R., 10 Bom., 324

98.of land.—Though, by Hindu law, on a sale of land
it is not absolutely necessary that the purchaser
should be put in possession, it is requisite that the
vendor should at the time of sale be in possession of
the property sold. GIEDHAR PARJARAM v. DAJI
DULABHRAM 7 Bom., A. C., 4

Mahomedan law.

—Sale when vendor is out of possession.—A sale among Mahomedaus, unlike a sale between Hindus, is valid as against a third party, even though the vendor was not at the time of the sale in possession of the property sold. ADAMKHAN v. ALARAKHI

[I. L. R., 6 Bom., 645

See also Mohinudin v. Manchershah
[I. L. R., 6 Bom., 650

13. PURCHASE OF MORTGAGED PROPERTY.

100. Bonā fide purchase without notice of prior charge.—Per PEACOCK, C. J., NORMAN, and PUNDIT, JJ. (BAYLEY and CAMPBELL, JJ., dissenting).—The fact of a purchase of land under a deed of sale being bond fide and without notice of a prior charge, does not pass the land free from the prior charge. MAHESWAB BAX SING V. BHIKHA CHOWDHRY

[B. L. R., |Sup. Vol., 403 S. C. 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 122: 5 W. R., 61

101. Obligation of purchaser.—Inquiry by intending purchaser.—An intending purchaser of property which has been previously mortgaged, who has no reason to suppose it to be joint family property, or the vendor to be a member of a joint family, and who has inquired of and learnt from the mortgagee that there was no fraud, is not bound to make any further inquiry. KYLASH KAMINEE DOSSER v. TARINEE CHURN BOSE [20 W. R., 100]

102. Priority.—Mortgage.—Possession.—Registration.—A registered mortgagee, though without possession, is entitled to priority over a subsequent purchaser. SUNDAR JAGJIVAN v. GOPAL ESHVANT 4 Bom., A. C., 68

But an unregistered mortgage without possession is not valid against a purchaser with possession. Ganpat Bajasher v. Khandu Chaugsher

[4 Bom., A. C., 69

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.

13. PURCHASE OF MORTGAGED PROPERTY—continued.

Mortgage by member of joint Hindu family.—Surrender of equity of redemption.—Purchaser for valuable consideration.—Pleading.—A member of a joint Hindu family granted a usufructuary mortgage: he subsequently, without the knowledge of the co-partners, released the equity of redemption. On hearing of this, the co-partners contested the validity of the release. Held that the parties claiming from the person to whom the release was made, took, so far as the co-partners were concerned, a title only as mortgagees. RADHANATH DAS V. ELLIOTT 6 B. L. R., 530

8. C. RADHANATH DAS v. GISBORNE & Co. [15 W. R., P. C., 24: 14 Moore's I. A., 1

 Purchase by mortgagee.— Possession .- Priority .- Registration .- A registered mortgage without possession has priority over a subsequent registered sale and conveyance with pos-By a duly registered deed, D. mortgaged land to the plaintiff with power of sale. On default made by D., the plaintiff brought a suit for a sale of the mortgaged land; but, pending the suit, D. sold the land to the defendant, who registered his conveyance and entered into possession. The plaintiff subsequently obtained a decree, and at the execution sale became himself the purchaser. In the present suit he sought to recover possession from the defendant. Held that the plaintiff was entitled to recover. His rights as mortgagee included the right of bringing to sale the property as it subsisted at the date of the mortgage. The property having been so brought to sale, the purchaser acquired a right free from any created subsequently to the mortgage and subject to it. SHRINGARPURE v. PETHR

[L. L. R., 2 Bom., 662

- Rights of mortgagee. - Mort-105. gage, Sale without disclosing .- Estoppel .- The three senior members of an undivided Hindu family—the remaining members of which had disappeared-setting forth a ground of necessity, executed to the plaintiff, in November 1870, a mortgage, duly registered, of a piece of land which formed part of the family estate. Certain judgment-creditors of the absent member subsequently attached and sold his share in the said land under their decree. The plaintiff's undivided son purchased it, and in 1872 re-sold his right, title, and interest in it to the defendant's father, without disclosing the fact of his father's mortgage, but without any active fraud on the part of himself or his father to suppress the fact from the knowledge of his purchaser. In 1874 the plaintiff obtained a decree upon his mortgage, and attached the land. In a suit by the plaintiff to establish his right as against all the land included in his mortgage, -Held that the mortgage being, under the circumstances, a valid one, the sale of the absent son's share was subject to the lien created thereby, which lien was not disturbed by the purchase and subsequent sale of the share by the son of the mortgagee. The origin of the son's title was stated in the deed of sale to the new purchaser, who, by the fact of its being a sale of

VENDOR AND

18. PURCHASE OF MORTGAGED PROPERTY -- continued.

Rights of mortgagee-continued.

a share, was put upon inquiry. The mere want of disclosure, by the plaintiff's undivided son, of his father's mortgage, was not enough to create an estoppel against his father seeking to establish his claim under the mortgage. JOSHI v. JOSHI

[I. L. R., 2 Bom., 650

106. Sale of equity of redemption.—Right of purchaser.—Parties.—By two deeds, dated respectively the 22nd February 1868 and 7th September 1872, and duly registered, A. mortgaged the lands in dispute to B. for a term of years which expired in 1880. On 10th October 1873 A. executed a razinama in favour of B., relinquishing all his right in the said lands, and B. next day executed a kabuliat to Government for the lands, which thenceforward were entered in B.'s name. Previously to the second mortgage and razinama to B., -viz., on 21st March 1870.-A. had, by a duly-registered deed, mortgaged the same lands to the plaintiff, who in 1874 brought a suit against A. upon his mortgage and obtained a decree, under which he sold the mortgaged property, and became himself the purchaser thereof. Before and at the time of the institution of this suit, B. was in possession of the mortgaged land, but was not made a party to the suit. In 1877 B. sold the land to C. by a duly registered deed. In a suit brought by the plaintiff against B. and C. to recover possession of the land so purchased by him as above mentioned, at the sale in execution of his own decree,-Held that B.'s possession at the date of the plaintiff's suit upon his mortgage was sufficient to put the plaintiff on inquiry, and to constitute legal notice to him that the equity of redemption was at that time vested in B., and it was therefore the plaintff's duty to have made B. a party to the suit brought by him against A., who had then alienated the equity of redemption to B.; and not having done so, the plaintiff could not rely, in support of his own title, upon a purchase under his own irregularly-obtained decree, and could not, therefore, stand in a better position as against B. than if his original suit had been properly constituted,-i.e., he was bound to give B. an opportunity of redeeming his mortgage. NABU v. GULABSING [I. L. R., 4 Bom., 89

Purchase subject to mort-107. gage.-Right to redeem.-Good title at time of hearing of suit .- Certificate of sale .- The property in dispute was mortgaged by its owner to the defendant with possession on the 3rd October 1847. On the 3rd December 1861 A. obtained a moneydecree against the son and heirs of the mortgagor. In execution of that decree the property was sold subject to the mortgage, and purchased by B. on the 12th August 1864. Before confirmation of the sale, B., on the 1st September 1864, sold it to C., who, on the 30th March 1877, conveyed it by deed to the plaintiff. On the 27th September 1877 the plaintiff brought a suit for redeeming the property, and at the hearing produced a certificate of sale, dated the 27th

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.

13. PURCHASE OF MORTGAGED PROPERTY—continued.

Purchase subject to mortgage—continued. October 1877. The certificate was applied for in May 1877, and issued to C., reciting the sale to B., and the sale by B. to C. The Court of first instance allowed the plaintiff to redeem on payment of a certain sum of money to the defendant. The Assistant Judge, on appeal, reversed the decree of the first Court on the ground that the certificate of sale was not in existence at the date of the institution of the suit, and that, therefore, the plaintiff had then no complete title. On appeal to the High Court,-Held that the plaintiff, having purchased and paid for the equity of redemption, was entitled to redeem, although the certificate of sale was not issued until after the suit had commenced. If a party, whose title is to some extent imperfect, seeks to redeem, and is able to prove a perfect title at the hearing of his cause, he should have a decree for redemption. Harkisandas Narandas v. Bai Ichha, I. L. R., 4 Bom., 155, and Lalbhai Lakhmidas v. Naval Mir Kamaludin Husen Khan, 12 Bom., 247, explained and distinguished. KRISHNAJI RAVJI v. GANESH BAPUJI I. L. R., 6 Bom., 139

Purchaser of mortgagor's interest.—Priority.—Purchaser of value without notice of a prior san-mortgage.—Suit by mortgagee against purchaser to establish right to attach property.-Right of purchaser to redeem.-Parties.-Form of decree. On the 23rd March 1869 a house was mortgaged by its owner, P., to J. by a san-mortgage. After the death of P., his heirs, D. and T., on the 9th July 1869, executed to the plaintiff a sanmortgage of the same house for R62. That mortgage was neither registered nor accompanied with possession. On the 27th July 1869 D. and T. sold the house to the defendant. The deed of sale was not registered. A part of the purchase-money was applied to the payment of the first san-mortgage, which was then delivered up to the defendant, with a receipt on it by J., who acknowledged to have received from the defendant the amount due on his mortgage. The defendant, however, omitted to take an assignment of that mortgage to himself. The plaintiff sued D. and T. on his san-mortgage of the 9th July 1869, and in 1872 obtained a decree for the recovery of the mortgage-debt out of the mortgaged property. The defendant was not made a party to that suit. The plaintiff attached the house in execution of his decree, but the attachment was raised on the application of the defendant under section 246 of the Civil Procedure Code, Act VIII of 1859. The plaintiff then sued the defendant to establish his (plaintiff's) right to attach and sell the house under his san-mortgage. The defendant answered that he was a purchaser for value, without notice of the plaintiff's mortgage. The plaintiff's claim was dismissed by the first Court, but allowed by the Appellate Court. On special appeal,—Held that the defendant's plea that he was a purchaser for valuable consideration, and without notice of the plaintiff's sau-mortgage, would not avail to defeat that mortgage under the established usage of Gujarat in favour of san-mortgages. Held, further,

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.

13. PURCHASE OF MORTGAGED PROPERTY—continued.

Purchaser of mortgagor's interest—continued.

that the defendant, having become entitled by his purchase at least to the equity of redemption in the house, ought to have been made a party to the plaintiff's original suit on his mortgage, and was not bound by the decree in that suit, and was entitled to a reasonable time to redeem the house from the plaintiff's mortgage. Sobhagchand Gulabchand v. Bhaichand, I. L. R., 6 Bom., 193, followed. NARAN PURSHOTAM V. DOLATRAM VIRCHAND

[I. L. R., 6 Bom., 58 3

14. PURCHASE-MONEY AND OTHER PAY-MENTS BY PURCHASER.

109. Non-payment of purchase-money .- Tender .- Payment into Court .-Suit for specific performance. - Plaintiff had entered into a contract with one of the defendants for the purchase of certain immoveable property, and after he made a small advance the contract was written out and registered. The purchaser refusing to pay up the purchase-money unless the vendor paid the costs, or half the costs, of registration, the latter resold the property to a third person. The present suit was to compel the completion of the contract and delivery of the property. *Held* that the Court was bound to see whether it was or was not the intention that a complete and binding sale should take place, although the purchase-money was not paid. Held, also, that in bringing such a suit, the plaintiff was bound, if he had not previously tendered the money to the defendant, to pay it into Court. MAHADOO BEGUM v. Hubrebool Hossein . 15 W. R., 44

110. Advance of purchase-money.—Lien on purchase.—Repayment.—Suit for possession.—B. advanced money to A. for the purchase of an estate. The estate was purchased by A., but it was conveyed to B. Held that before A. could maintain a suit to obtain possession of the land, he was bound to pay or tender the money advanced by B. BHOYRUB CHUNDER SEIN v. ANUNDMOYE CHUNDERIN v. Marsh., 494

111. ——— Right to refund of purchase-money.—Failure to give possession.—Suit for purchase-money.—A purchaser of property of which possession was contracted to be given, but the vendor is unable to fulfil the contract, is at liberty to sue for repayment of the purchase-money, and is not obliged to sue for possession of the property. Mo-HUN LAL C. BEHARRE LAL . . . 3 N. W., 336

chaser.—Refund of purchase-money.—A bond fide purchaser was held to be entitled to a refund of the purchase-money in a case where some dispute having arisen as to the purchase, the matter was referred to arbitration; and it was held that the vendor had no authority to sell. The principle of caveat emptor

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.

14. PURCHASE-MONEY AND OTHER PAYMEN'TS BY PURCHASER—continued.

Right to refund of purchase-money—continued.

does not apply to such a case. KISHEN MOHUN SHAHA v. RAM CHUNDER DRY . 3 W. R., 28

form contract.—Omission to repudiate sale.—Suif for recovery of purchase-money.—The defendants had sold certain property to the plaintiff. They afterwards refused to effect mutation of names in favour of the plaintiff, on the ground that he had not paid off a certain mortgage on the property, which he had promised in the contract of sale to do. They did not repudiate the sale or the plaintiff's title under it. Held that the refusal was not tantamount to a rescission of the sale, and that a suit for the recovery of the purchase-money would not lie. Sieaj-ood-dowlah v. Nooe Ahmud

114 Suit to recover deposit of purchase-money .- Obligation to tender conveyance for execution .- In a suit by a purchaser of immoveable property to recover a deposit, paid by him on account of the purchase-money to the auctioneer, the vendor having refused to convey to the purchaser, save by a deed which should describe the premises by reference to another deed, not shown to the purchaser at the auction, and of the contents of which he had not then any notice,—Held that the purchaser was not bound to have tendered a conveyance engrossed to the vendor for execution, together with the residue of the purchase-money, before suing to recover the deposit. Essaji Adamji v. Bhimji PURSHOTAM . 4 Bom., O.C., 125

Illegal sale.—Sale by coparceners without assent of others.—Where a sale by two coparceners in favour of another was set aside, on the ground that the sale by a coparcener without the consent of the others was illegal,—Held, on the suit of the vendee to recover the purchase-money from the descendants of the vendors, that the purchase-money was like a debt, and payable by the heirs, in proportion to the shares inherited by each. OOMEDEE v. CHEDA LALL

Purchase-money by heir taking after widow.—Held that a party succeeding as heir to an estate, the sale of which, by the widow of the person from whom he inherits, has been set aside, is bound to refund the purchase-money paid to the widow for the purpose of discharging liabilities on the estate. ROOSTUM

1 v. Alum Singh . . . 1 Agra, 291

[2 Agra, 264

ter.—Suit for refund of purchase-money.—Set-off.—The plaintiff agreed to purchase land and paid down the purchase-money, taking from the vendor an agreement that if he did not register the conveyance he would return the purchase-money. The plaintiff entered into possession, but the vendor failing to register the conveyance, he sued to recover back his

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.

14. PURCHASE-MONEY AND OTHER PAYMENTS BY PURCHASER—continued.

Right to refund of purchase-money-continued.

purchase-money. Held that he was entitled to a refund of the purchase-money. The purchaser who had obtained possession might or might not, according to the particular circumstances of the case, be liable to pay the vendor a reasonable amount for the occupation of the land; but when no set-off is pleaded, the vendor could only claim such amount by separate action. Court of Wards v. Nitta Kali Debi . 3 B. L. R., A. C., 353: 12 W. R., 287

See Gubu Prasad Roy v. Dhanfat Singh [5 B. L. R., Ap., 46: 14 W. R., 20

Prabuhram Hazra v. Robinson
[8 B. L. R., Ap., 49: 11 W. R., 398

revenue sale afterwards set aside.—Suit to recover purchase-money.—Voluntary payment.—A person who, with notice, buys property subject to a contingency, which may defeat or destroy the interest which is the subject of the sale, is not entitled to be relieved from his bargain and to recover the purchase-money, merely because the contingency contemplated actually happens, and the property either does not become, or ceases to be, available for his benefit. Ramtuhul Singh v. Bissessur Lal Sahoo

[15 B. L. R., 208: 23 W. R., 305 L. R., 2 I. A., 131

Reversing the decision of the High Court in BISSESSUR LAL SAHOO v. RAMTURUL SINGH
[11 B. L. R., 121: 19 W. R., 351

Payment by purchaser at execution sale.—Purchaser looking to application of money to pay debts on estate.—A purchaser was held entitled to recover the amount paid by him on account of previous mortgages, when, in making these payments, he merely acted for the debtor who had borrowed the money from him, and what he did was to see that that money so borrowed was properly applied in clearing off the debts which rendered his own purchase unsafe, and of the existence of which he was at that time cognisant. WAJED HOSSEIN V. AHMED REZA

[17 W. R., 480

der attachment.—Caveat emptor.—Fraud.—T. sold a mouzah, of which he was owner, to Z. At the time of sale the mouzah was under attachment in execution of a decree obtained against T. by R. Z. paid the amount of that decree to prevent the property which she had purchased being sold in execution. Z. was

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued. 14. PURCHASE-MONEY AND OTHER PAYMENTS BY PURCHASER—continued.

Voluntary payment—continued.

(6151)

under no obligation otherwise to pay the amount of the decree. *Held* that Z. was entitled to recover against T. the amount so paid. ZAHUEAN C. TAYLER [2 B. L. R., A. C., 86: 10 W. R., 380

Purchase from Hindu widow.—Alienation set aside by heir.—Suit by purchaser to recover money paid on mortgage.—The plaintiff purchased an estate from a Hindu widow in possession, and after his purchase he paid a debt for which the property sold had been mortgaged by the late husband of his vendor. Subsequently the daughter of the vendor claimed the property as heir of her father, and recovered possession of it from the purchaser by suit. The purchaser then sued the heir for a refund of the amount of the mortgage-debt paid by him. Held that the purchaser was entitled to recover. Poban Misra v. Harsaban Misra v. Harsaban Misra v. Harsaban Misra v. Harsaban Misra v. B. L. R., Ap., 55

15. PURCHASERS, RIGHTS OF-

123. Purchaser from Hindu executor. — Inquiry by purchaser. — Semble, — A purchaser from a Hindu executor is not bound to see to the exact amount of the debts which the testator has directed the executor to pay, or even to inquire in any such debts actually existed; he need not look further than the will itself. ROOPLALL KHETTEY v. MOHIMA CHUEN ROY. 10 B. L. R., 271, note

124. - Specific performance, Right to.—Sale bond fide but not of final character.—Priority over attaching creditor.—A deed of sale, though not strictly of a complete and final character, yet, if genuine and duly attested, may be sufficient to bind the property and to give the purchaser the right to demand a specific performance of the contract and the execution of such further assurances as might be deemed necessary to invest him with a complete title to the property. Such a deed would necessarily prevail over any intermediate attachment of the property for debts due from the original proprietor. LALLA CHOONERLAL NAGINDAS v. SAWAECHUND NAMEDAS [5 W. R., P. C., 111

125. Validity of sale.—Sale for valuable consideration.—Intention to transfer.—Held that the mere fact that the sale to the plaintiff was instigated by some discharged mortgagee does not of necessity make void the plaintiff's right as purchaser, if it be found that the vendor to the plaintiff had some right or interest in the property by inheritance, and transferred it for valuable consideration, with the intention that it should take effect as a transfer of his rights as heir. MAHOMED FAIZALI KHAN v. Gunga RAM.

126. Conveyance by mortgagee with power of sale.—Absence of confirmation by mortgagor.—B. & Co., mortgagees with power to sell, sold the mortgaged property to the

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued. 15. PURCHASERS, RIGHTS OF—continued. Validity of sale—continued.

- Effect of sale. Purchase of rights of Mahomedan widow.—Failure to take actual possession .- By an order passed under Act XIX of 1841, A. was declared entitled to take possession of a fourth share of her deceased husband B.'s estate which devolved upon her according to Mahomedan law. B.'s nephew C. sued to recover this share on the ground that A. had been divorced and this suit was pending when the present suit was brought by the purchasers of A.'s rights. It being found that A. never took actual possession of her share under her decree and that C. was in possession of the whole estate, - Held that A.'s vendees could not be placed in a higher position than their vendor was when C.'s suit was brought against her, and all that they were entitled to was the right to represent her in the pending suit. MAHOMED GOWHUR ALI KHAN v. AZEEMOODDEEN. MAHOMED GOWHUR ALI KHAN v. GOOL BEGUM. MOHOMED GOWHUR ALI KHAN v. SHURUFUNISSA W. R., 1864, 93 BEGUM

share of estate.—Right to cultivate land.—Rate of rent.—In the absence of any reservation or restriction, the purchaser of a fraction of a share of an estate acquires a right either to cultivate a proportionate share of the lands cultivated by his vendors on the same conditions as to favourable rents as those under which they, as proprietors, cultivated it, or to claim his share of the rents of these lands just as he would from any ryot of the estate, but without any other sum as mesne profits. Chytun Singh v. Kayessue Koonwue. . . . 5 W. R., 117

129. Specification of land sold.

—Purchase of specified land with description of amount.—A party who buys a specified talook with the additional description that that talook contains so much land, gets the whole land which the specification of his vendor covers and which was intended to be sold, although it may be more than was contained in the description. AMEEROONISSA KHATOON v. KUMOLA KART ROY. . . . 14 W. R., 117

opecify area sold.—Misdscription.—Compensation for smaller area.—The specification in a deed of sale of land of the area of the land sold prima facis implies that the area was regarded as material by the parties, and, unless it is clear that the precise area was not regarded as material, proportional compensation will be awarded to the purchaser of land the real area of which is found to fall short of the area specified in

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.

15. PURCHASERS, RIGHTS OF—continued.

Specification of land sold—continued.

VADU v. TRIKAMJI 12 Bom., 10

Non-registration, Eiffect of.—Proof of actual contract of sale and possession on payment of purchase-money.—Held that it does not follow from the non-registration within the time fixed for registration of a deed which was executed before the Registration Act came into operation, that the purchaser has acquired nothing by his purchase, or that the vendor is to resume possession of the property, if it be shown that there was a contract of sale, and that in pursuance of that contract the purchaser paid the money and obtained possession. Ram Surun Dass v. Ram Chund. 1 Agra, 283

133. Non-registration.— of decree.—Decree on mortgage-bond.—Registration.— Right to execute decree.—A decree-holder purported to sell to A., by private sale, all his right, title, and interest in a mortgage-decree obtained by him in a suit on a mortgage-bond against the mortgagor. The deed of sale was not registered. Afterwards, by a registered deed of sale, A. conveyed all his right, title, and interest in the same decree to B. Held that the right to execute the decree as a mortgago-decree did not pass to B. Koob Lall Chowdhry t. Nittya Nund Singh. . I. L. R., 9 Calc., 839

S. C. HUB LAL CHOWDHRY v. NITTYANUND SINGH 12 C. L. R., 393

Assignment of indigo factory.—Right to rent and to indigo manufactured.—Where a plaintiff sued on the alleged purchase by him of the rights and interests of certain parties in an indigo concern, it was held that the rents collected and appropriated, and the indigo manufactured and taken away before the date of the purchase, could not form part of the stores and assets sold to the plaintiff, unless the sale of the assets, &c., had been as from some date prior to the date of purchase. Chunder Coomar Roy v. Wilkins

[10 W. R., 311

135.

Assignee, Liability of, to creditor of the factory.—Creditor, Rights of.—Dena powna, Contract to take over

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.

15. PURCHASERS, RIGHTS OF-continued.

Assignment of indigo factory-continued.

-A., by deed duly registered, assigned his interest in an indigo factory to B. In the deed was a recital that it had been agreed that B. should take over the dena powns account of the factory as the same stood on the 30th September 1856. C. sued A. and B. jointly to recover rent in respect of lands which had been occupied under a lease from C. with and for the use of the factory, and which was due on the 30th September 1856. B. raised the defence that the debt was not included in a schedule, dated 30th September 1856, signed by A., and which he alleged had been furnished to him by A. as containing a list of the liabilities of the factory. Held, if a trader or other person in this country assigns his stock in trade and effects to another, and such other person enters into a contract with the first to pay the debts of the concern, or a certain portion of such debts, the contract and assignment create a liability to the creditors in whose favour such contract is made, which they may enforce by suit; nor is the creditor bound to elect between his original debtor and the assignee, but he may join them as co-defendants in the same suit. Held, also, per Peacock, C. J., and NORMAN and KEMP, JJ. (STREE and SETON-KARE, JJ., dissenting), the case must be remanded to the lower Court to try what was the agreement between A. and B. as to B. taking over the dena powna account of the factory, whether the schedule was an essential part of the contract or not. KEARNES v. BHAWANI CHARAN MITTER

B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 54: W. R., F. B., 167

PHOOL KOONWAR v. CHARDON

[6 W. R., Act X, 89

136. Liability of assignee to creditor.—Bond given by former proprietor.—When the holder of a bond from the former proprietor of an indigo factory had made no demand on it for twelve years, nor apprised the assignee of its existence as a debt due by the factory, it was held that he could not come down on the present proprietors, but must look to the obligor of the bond personally for satisfaction. HUBREBUNISSA v. COX

[W. R., 1864, 266

16. SETTING ASIDE SALES.

137. Ground for setting aside sale.—Stipulation to have mutation of names.—Refusal of revenue authorities to register name of purchaser.—Where a person purchased certain lands under a deed of sale, in which the vendor undertook to apply to the revenue authorities for the transfer of the lands to the name of the vendee, and did so, and both persons clearly understood what they were doing,—Held that the refusal of the revenue authorities to enter the purchaser's name in the mutation register did not constitute a ground for cancelling the sale and recovering the purchase-money, GEDEEBA KOLITA v. DEBENDEO NABAIN KONWAB

[25 W. R., 352

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.

16. SETTING ASIDE SALES-continued.

Ground for setting aside sale-continued.

Bona fide purchase from guardian of Hindu widow acting collusively.—The plaintiff was entitled, in right of her deceased husband, to the equity of redemption in a mortgaged estate. Her guardian, in collusion with the mortgagee, instituted a foreclosure suit, in which she was represented by the guardian, who submitted to a decree; and under that decree the property was sold, and the defendant became the purchaser. Held that the defendant being a bona fide purchaser, the sale was not liable to be set aside. KHETERMONER DASSEE v. KISHENMOHUN MITTEE. Marsh., 313

S. C. SS EHEN MITTER C. KHETTERMONEE DASSEE . 2 Hay, 196

17. VENDOR, RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF—

139. — Unpaid vendor.—Refusal to deliver under payment.—Right ofter delivery.—A party selling land may refuse to give delivery until the consideration is paid; but having given delivery, he has no right to retake possession and pay himself the purchase-money out of the usufruct. PREM SOONDUREE DOSSIA v. GRISH CHUNDER BRUTTA-CHARJEE. 10 W. R., 194

whole of consideration-money.—When a vendor of land is not paid a portion of the consideration-money, he cannot wholly disaffirm the contract, but he can establish his lieu on the land as an unpaid vendor.

MOESUM ALLE 2. BALASOO KORE . 2 HAY, 576

For unpaid purchase-money.—In a suit claiming possession of land purchased by the plaintiff from the defendant, the Munsif threw out the claim for want of consideration; but the District Judge found that the plaintiff was entitled to have the land, and that the defendant might sue for the purchase-money. Held that the equitable doctrine of the vendor's lien for unpaid purchase-money applied to the case, but as the District Judge had not decided whether the defendant had succeeded in preving that the purchase-money had not been paid, the suit should be remanded for a finding by him on that issue. YELLAPPA BIN BISAPPA C. MANTAPPA BIN BISAPPA

[3 Bom., A. C., 102

pertion of purchase-money.—The vendees of certain land, a portion of which only was in their possession by virtue of the sale, the rest being in the possession of mortgagees, sued for a declaration of their right to such land, and to have a sale of a portion of such land, made after it had been sold to them, set aside. Held that, inasmuch as the sale to them had taken effect, they were entitled, netwithstanding the whole of the purchase-money might not have been paid, to a decree as claimed, and the vendors, if they had any claim in respect of the purchase-money, should be

VENDOR AND

17. VENDOR, RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF—continued.

Unpaid vendor-continued.

left to seek their remedy. Kesri v. Ganga Prassad. I. L. R., 4 All., 168

143. Stoppage in transitu.-Lien of unpaid vendors.-Agents for purchase of goods .- Insulvency .- Right of carriers. -A firm at Cawnpore sent an agent to Sarun, plaintiff's residence, to effect purchases in cotton, and the plaintiff, at the instance of the person so deputed, made purchases and supplied funds, both for purchase and for their carriage and insurance, the agent doing nothing but consenting to the arrangements and giving hundis on his employer's correspondents in payment. The goods were despatched and insured, but before reaching their destination the firm became insolvent, and the plaintiff proceeded to take possession of them, but was prevented on account of the goods being previously attached by the defendant, a judgment-creditor. It was held on plaintiff's suit that the plaintiff was an unpaid vendor, and had a lien on the goods for the price, and might detain the goods till he received or was satisfied about the payment for the said goods, a completed contract for the sale of the goods not withstanding. An unpaid vendor, in case of the vendee's insolvency, may stop the goods sold in transitu. Agents for the purchase of goods have a lien on the goods when purchased for the moneys paid and liabilities incurred by them in respect to such purchase, and are not bound to deliver the goods until they are reimbursed or secured for such advances and liabilities, and an agent in this character is in the position of an unpaid vendor. Where the vendor is not otherwise paid than by having received the insolvent's acceptance, he may in the event of the purchaser's insolvency stop the goods, though he have negotiated the bills, and they are still outstanding and not yet at maturity. Whilst the goods sold remain in the hands of the carrier employed to convey them to their original destination, as between buyer and seller, no case of constructive possession arises, unless when the carrier enters expressly into some new agreement, distinct from the original contract for carriage. So also the mere acts of making or sampling the goods, or giving notice to the carrier to hold the goods for the buyer, though done with intention to take possession, do not establish a constructive possession, or affect the right to stop in transitu. Where the right of stoppage in transitu vests in the consignor, it cannot be defeated by the claims of other creditors of the consignee, the unpaid vendor having an elder and preferential lien. BEO-LANATH & BALJ NATH . 2 Agra, 11

who has given possession to the purchaser is not entitled to rescind the contrast of sale and recover by session because the purchaser is not entitled to rescind the contrast of sale and recover by session because the purchaser money is not paid. His remedy is to sue for the sum due, and he has a lieu on the property for the amount.

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued. 17. VENDOR, RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF—continued.

Unpaid vendor-continued.

RAGHAVENDRA v. MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONERS OF HUBLI . . . I. L. R., 3 Bom., 172

145. Lien.—Creditor of vendor, Right of, to lien.—Mortgage.—Although an unpaid vendor holds a lien upon property sold for the consideration-money, yet a creditor of that vendor cannot claim the same right. HARI RAM v. DENAPUT SINGH

[I. L. R., 9 Calc., 167: 11 C. L. R., 339

by Government.—Auction sale of confiscated property.—Ground for setting aside sale.—Where it was made a distinct condition of sale that the property should be sold to the highest bidder, without any restriction of the purchaser being a rebel or not,—Held that the Government may, like any other seller, impose any condition it pleases in reference to the property which it offers for sale, prior to sale, but is not at liberty subsequently to the sale to disaffirm or annul it on a ground not only novel but directly at variance with the terms on which it offered the property for sale. Seva Lall v. Marnomed

147. Vendor keeping vendee out of possession.—Suit for partition.—Trustee.
—Mesne profits.—Where, in a suit for partition, it appeared that the vendor of the portion sued for had kept the vendee out of possession, the vendor, though liable for mesne profits, was not in the position of trustee of the rents for the party kept out of possession. Nilkamal Lahubl v. Gunomani Debi

[7 B. L. R., P. C., 113: 15 W. R., P. C., 38

18. MISCELLANEOUS CASES.

149. Fictitious sale.—Mortgage.

—Suit by purchaser for confirmation of possession.

—Issues.—Where a sale by A. to the plaintiff had taken place shortly before a mortgage of the same property by A. to the defendant, the defendant is entitled to have raised, in a suit brought for confirmation of possession and to declare the sale valid, an issue whether the sale was bond fide and for consideration, and whether possession passed under it to the plaintiff. The proper issue is not whether the deed of sale was genuine or not.

GAEBHU BHAGAT T. R. R., Ap., 33

150. Owner standing by and seeing property sold.—Right to have sale set

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.

18. MISCELLANEOUS CASES—continued.

Owner standing by and seeing property sold—continued.

aside.—The rule that one who, knowing his own title, stands by and encourages a purchase of property as another's, will not be allowed to dispute the validity of the sale, implies a wilful misleading of the purchaser by some breach of duty on the owner's part. In this case there was nothing more than mere quiescence. BASWANTAPA SHIDAPA v. RANU [I. L. R., 9 Bom., 86

151. — Purchaser from husband. -Acquiescence of wife .- Suit to set aside purchase as being wife's property.-Where a husband was alleged to have given a share in some property to his wife, and the husband subsequently sold the whole property to another party, and put the said party in possession, without any objection from the wife, who for years behaved as though she had no interest in the property other than that arising from her husband's possession of it in his own right,-Held that a person afterwards claiming to have purchased the wife's share, and seeking to be put in possession, could not displace the bond fide purchaser from the husband, for a person in the position of the wife in this case, who chooses to stand by for years not asscrting her rights, but allowing another to deal with her property as his own, has no equity to come into Court and eject any one who has purchased in ignorance of her title. SOOJAT MAHOMED v. MAHOMED . 25 W. R., 281 TORAB

 Grant of estate when having bad title.- Vendor afterwards obtaining good title.-Specific Relief Act (I of 1877), s. 18.-A. holding a certain mehal as a ghatwal, mortgaged it to B. by way of a zur-i-peshgi lease for twenty-one years. Shortly after the granting of the lease the zemindar got a decree against A. by which A.'s ghatwali right was extinguished. In execution of that decree, the zemindar ousted and took khas possession of the mehal. Some years afterwards, the zemindar granted to A. a perpetual mokurrari lease of the same mehal. Held, in a suit against A. instituted by the assignee of B.'s rights in the zur-i-peshgi, that under section 18, Act I of 1877, A. must, out of his present estate in the mehal, make good the zur-i-peshgi. Loor NARAIN SINGH v. SHOWKEE LALL

72 C. L. R., 382

153. Separate agreement by purchaser.—Subsequent exercise of pre-emption.— Co-sharers.—Where a vendor in selling his property got the vendee to execute another deed in his favour for certain bighas of land for his maintenance, and subsequently, on the completion of the bargain, a cosharer took that property by right of pre-emption,-Held that the agreement, being in fact a part of the consideration for sale and bond fide, was binding on the pre-emptor, who could not claim to have the bargain made with him on more favourable terms than those offered by the stranger and accepted by the vendor, the fact that he was no party personally to the agreement notwithstanding. KHAIT SINGH v. HEERA DASS . 1 Agra, 75

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.

18. MISCELLANEOUS CASES-continued,

 Right of pre-emption.— Option of getting estate re-transferred.—Mortgage.
—In July 1870, R., the owner of a share of a village, executed in favour of M. an instrument whereby he transferred by sale the share to M. absolutely. In November 1870 M. agreed to re-transfer the share to R., if R. desired, at any time within thirteen years, to re-purchase it, on payment of the sum which M. had paid for it. During the term mentioned in the agreement of November 1870, R. not having taken advantage of the agreement, M. sued, as owner of the share, to enforce the right of pre-emption in respect of the sale of another share of the village. Held that, M. having become under the transfer of July 1870 the out-and-out proprietor of the share, until R. availed himself of the option given him by the agreement of November 1870, the full estate of an owner, carrying with it the right of pre-emption, vested in M., and it was competent for him to enforce such right by suit. Ramsaran Lal v. Amirta Kuar, I. L. R., 3 All., 369, distinguished. BHAJAN v. MUSHTAK AHMAD . I. L. R., 5 All., 324

155. Condition against alienation .- The co-sharers of a certain estate sold it to R. On the same day as the vendors executed the conveyance of such estate to R., the latter executed an instrument whereby he agreed that the vendors might redeem such estate or any portion thereof, within a certain term, on repayment of the purchase-money or a proportionate share thereof, and in such case the sale would be considered cancelled; provided that the vendors paid the money out of their own pockets and did not raise it by a transfer of the property, and not otherwise. The heir of one of the vendors sold his share of such estate to A., and A. sued R. to redeem such share. Held by the Full Bench (STUART, C. J., doubting) that the nature of the transaction between R. and his vendors must be determined by looking at both the conveyance and the agreement, and, both those documents being regarded, the transaction between them was one of mortgage, and the vendors had a right of redemption, and the proviso in the agreement was inequitable and incapable of enforcement against them or their representatives in title. Held, also, by Pearson, J., that the agreement was not of the nature of a personal contract enforceable only by the original vendors and not by their representatives; that, assuming that a transfer of the property was prohibited by the agreement, R. could not, as implied by the Full Bench ruling in Dookhchore Rai v. Hidayut-oollah, Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 5, treat as a nullity the sale which had been made to A., and A.'s right to redeem could not be reasonably denied and resisted; and that a transfer was not positively but only implicitly prohibited by the agreement, R. merely declaring that he would not recognise the transferees as having acquired the equity of redemption or cancel his own sale-deed, and such a declaration was beyond his competence and had no legal effect. Ram Saran Lal v. Amirta Kuar

[I. L. R., 3 All., 369

VERBAL CONTRACT.

See Limitation Act, 1877, ART. 75.
[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 619

VERDICT OF CRIMINAL COURT.

See EVIDENCE—CIVIL CASES—MISCELLA-NEOUS DOCUMENTS—CRIMINAL COURT, PROCEEDINGS IN—

> [3 B. L. R., Ap., 2 2 B. L. R., A. C., 31 10 W. R., 56 9 W. R., 77 14 W. R., 493 11 W. R., 171

See Cases under Res Judicata—Comprtent Court—Chiminal Courts.

VERDICT OF JURY.

MINAL CASES.
[I. L. R., 10 Bom., 497

See CASES UNDER REVISION—CRIMINAL CASES—VERDICT OF JURY AND MISDIBECTION.

1. GENERAL CASES.

1. Verdict of majority.—Want of independent opinion.—The law requires a juryman to exercise his own understanding on the case submitted to him, and to decide on evidence, and not to follow blindly the opinion of his fellows. Where one out of three (in a jury of five) depends on the inspection and inquiries of the other two, the verdict of the three is not that of a legal majority. Petamebur Jugi v. Nasaruddy 25 W. R., Cr., 4

2. — Ground for refusing to accept verdict.—Verdict based on voluntary confessions.—Wherever trial by jury exists, the verdict of the jury must be accepted, unless it is manifestly and certainly wrong. A verdict based on voluntary confessions is just as good as a verdict based on the testimony of credible witnesses. It is the province of a jury to decide as to the credibility of witnesses. Queen v. Wuzir Mundul. 25 W. R., Cr., 25

3. — Unanimous verdict.—Further consideration of case by jury.—Dissent by Judge from unanimous verdict.—Procedure.—It is only in a case where the jury are not unanimous that a Court may require them to retire for further consideration. Where a verdict is unanimous, it must be received by the Judge, unless contrary to claw. Where a Judge dissents from the unanimous finding of a jury given in accordance with the law, the only procedure open to him to follow is that laid down in the fifth clause of section 263 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Government of Brighl v. Mandell . . . I. L. R., 5 Calc., 871

S. C. Empress v. Mahuddi . 6 C. L. R., 349

VERDICT OF JURY-continued.

1. GENERAL CASES-continued.

- 4. Dissent from verdict.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263, cl. 4.—The "dissent" referred to in the 4th clause of section 263 of the Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1872) must be such a complete dissent as to lead the Judge to consider it necessary for the ends of justice to submit the case to the High Court. EMPERSS v. BHAWANI . I. L. R., 2 Bom., 525
- Court.—Statement by Judge of offence committed.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, ss. 263, 464.—It is the duty of a Judge in sending up a case to the High Court under sections 263 and 464 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, when he disagrees with a verdict of acquittal, to state the offence which, in his opinion, has been committed. Empress v. Sahae Rae [I. L. R., 3 Calc., 623: 2 C. L. R., 304]

- 8. Ambiguity in verdict.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Under section 263 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1872, a Court was authorised to ask the jury such questions as were necessary to ascertain what their verdict really is; but where the verdict, although perhaps erroneous, is not ambiguous, it is the duty of the Judge to record it without further question. In the MATTER OF DHUNUM KAZEE. EMPRESS v. DHUNUM KAZEE. I. L. R., 9 Calc., 53:11 C. L. R., 169
- Qure Code, 1882, s. 303.—Although section 303 of the Criminal Procedure Code empowers a Judge to ask the jury such questions as are necessary to ascertain what their verdict is, it was never contemplated that, on ascertaining that the jury are not unanimous, the Judge should make minute inquiries to learn the nature of the majority and its opinion, so that he should have the opportunity of accepting or refusing that opinion as a verdict according as it coincides with his own opinion or not. Whatever may be the opinion of the Judge, if he goes so far as to ask the jury what is the exact majority, and what is the opinion of the majority, he ought to receive that verdict without hesitation, and if he differs from

VERDICT OF JURY-continued.

1. GENERAL CASES-continued.

Questioning jury as to their verdict-

it he should proceed as directed by section 307. HURRY CHURN CHUCKERBUTTY v. EMPRESS

[I. L. R., 10 Calc., 140: 13 C. L. R., 358

- Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—In a case in which the accused was tried on charges of murder, culpable homicide, and causing grievous hurt, the jury acquitted him of murder, but convicted him on the other counts. This verdict was recorded by the Sessions Judge, who then, in accordance with section 263, Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, questioned the jury as to the grounds for their verdict, and the jury eventually intimated their willingness to convict of murder. The Sessions Judge differed from the first verdict of the jury, but as he had recorded that verdict, he doubted whether he could accept the second verdict, and referred the case to the High Court under section 263. Held that section 263 did not apply to such a case as this. There could be no verdict delivered, and no verdict finally recorded, until the last of the questions put by the Judge to the jury was answered; and as it appeared from the answers of the jury that their findings of facts disclosed that the verdict ought to have been one of guilty on the charge of murder, the Judge should have entered the verdict of the jury as one of guilty of murder. The case was accordingly returned to the Judge to enable him to do that, and to pass such sentence as the law directed. It is only when it is necessary, in order to ascertain what the verdict of a jury really is, that a Judge is justified under section 263 in putting questions to the jury. QUEEN v. SUSTIRAM MANDAL . . 21 W. R., Cr., 1
- Special verdict. —Question put by Judge to jury after special verdict.—Penal Code, s. 330.—The prisoners were tried under section 330 of the Penal Code (for voluntarily causing hurt to a girl), and under section 348 (for wrongfully confining her). Circumstances of aggravation were alleged, as lifting up and using a sword, of lowering the girl into a well, and of pricking her with thorns. The jury in their verdict stated that they disbelieved these allegations, and also the charge of illegal confinement, but that they believed that some slaps had been given. The Judge then asked the jury whether they convicted on either, and if so, which head of charge. They answered that they believed the prisoners had beaten the girl, and that they convicted them under section 330. Held that the question put by the Judge to the jury was a proper one, and not one of law. The conviction upheld. Such a case is not governed by the rules of English law as to special verdicts. QUEEN v. HARI PROBAD GANGOOLY

[8 B. L. R., 557: 14 W. R., Cr., 59

12. Form of verdict.—Culpable homicide.—Murder.—Illegal finding.—The finding of a jury that, although the accused killed the deceased, the crime was not murder because the accused

VERDICT OF JURY-continued.

1. GENERAL CASES-continued.

Form of verdict-continued.

had no object in killing him, is not a legal finding, and does not amount to a conviction of culpable homicide not amounting to murder. QUEEN c. UC-KOOR GHOSE 1 W. R., Cr., 50

13. Penal Code, ss. 322 and 335.—Verdict of guilty under section not in charge.—Grievous hurt with provocation.—Where a prisoner was charged under the Penal Code, sections 304, 325, and 323, and the jury brought in a verdict of guilty under section 335.—Held that he was not acquitted of grievous hurt, but found guilty of the offence described in section 322 with the extenuating circumstance which would confine the punishment within the limits specified in section 335. QUEEN V. LUKHINABAIN AGOORI. 23 W. R., Cr., 81

14. Offence proved, though not independently charged.—Code of Criminal Procedure (Act X of 1872), s. 457.—Penal Code (Act XLV of 1862), ss. 149, 325.—The accused were charged under section 149, coupled with section 325, of the Penal Code, with, while being members of an unlawful assembly, committing grievous hurt. The jury disbelieved the evidence as to the unlawful assembly, but unanimously found two of the accused guilty of grievous hurt under section 325. Held that such verdict was, under section 457 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, legally sustainable, although that offence did not form the subject of a separate charge. Section 457 enables a verdict to be given on some of the facts which are a component part of the original charge, provided that those facts constitute a minor offence. Government of Bengal v. Mahaddi . I.L. R., 5 Calc., 871

S. C. EMPERSS v. MAHUDDI. 6 C. L. R., 349

15. Objections to verdict.—
Ground for thinking verdict erroneous.—Where a party objects to the verdict of a jury, he ought to give the Magistrate reasonable prima facie ground for the opinion either that the jury did not in fact apply a judicial discretion to the case, or that the verdict was such as the jury could not have arrived at by a proper exercise of their discretion upon the materials before them. BINDABUN CHUNDER DUTT v. DWARKA NATH SEN 23 W. R., Cr., 15

2. POWER TO INTERFERE WITH VERDICTS.

16. General principle regulating interference.—English law.—Position of Judge in India.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—The Code of Criminal Procedure, section 263, casts upon the High Court the duty both of Judge and jury; but, notwithstanding this difference, which clothes it with greater powers and responsibilities than the superior Courts in England, it will, as far as may be, be guided by the principle of English law, that the verdict of a jury will not be set aside unless it be perverse and patently wrong, or may have been induced by an error of the Judge. In a proper

VERDICT OF JURY—continued. 2. POWER TO INTERFERE WITH

General principle regulating interference —continued.

case, however, the High Court will rectify the verdict of a jury. Reg. v. Khanderav Bajirav
[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 10

17. -English law.-Acquittal by jury.—Disagreement by Judge.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1872) s. 263 .- Notwithstanding the large discretionary powers vested in the High Court under section 263 of Act X of 1872. the Court will adhere generally to the principle of the Courts in England, -viz., that the Court will not set aside the verdict of a jury unless it be perverse and patently wrong, or may have been induced by the error of the Judge; and when the Court is asked to do so on the ground that the verdict is against the weight of evidence, the question is, not whether the learned Judge who tried the case was or was not dissatisfied with the verdict, or whether he would have come to the same conclusion as the jury, but whether the verdict was such as reasonable men ought to have come to. IN THE MATTER OF DHU-NUM KAZEE. EMPRESS v. DHUNUM KAZEE

[I. L. R., 9 Calc., 53:11 C. L. R., 169

18. Exercise of powers of High Court.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—The Court should exercise the powers vested in it by section 263 of the Criminal Procedure Code (X of 1872) only when it finds the verdict of the jury clearly and patently wrong, and only set such verdict aside, even if the Sessions Judge disagrees with it, when it is found unsustainable by the evidence. Queen v. Sham Bagdi

13 B. L. R., Ap., 19:20 W. R., Cr., 73

Queen v. Nobin Chunder Baneejre
[13 B. L. R., Ap., 20: 20 W. R., Cr., 70
Queen v. Iswarya . 14 B. L. R., Ap., 1

Queen v. Hurro Manji [14 B. L. R., Ap., 2, note: 21 W. R., Cr., 4

in evidence otherwise sufficient for conviction.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s, 263.—Where there are reasons sufficient to warrant a jury in disbelioving the witnesses and in giving the prisoner the benefit of the doubt raised by inconsistencies in that evidence, although another jury might have come to a different conclusion, the High Court will not interfere. It must be shown that the verdict of the jury is certainly unreasonable and perverse. Queen v. Sham Bagdi, 13 B. L. R., Ap., 19: 20 W. R., 73, cited and followed. In the matter of Hurres Narain Mockerjea

20. — Exercise of power of interference.—Ground for setting aside verdict.—Verdict contrary to Judge's charge to jury.—Where jury convicted a prisoner cont, ary to the charge of the Sessions Judge, which charge was held by the High Court to have been a proper charge, the High

VERDICT OF JURY-continued. 2. POWER TO INTERFERE WITH

Exercise of power of interference—continued.

Court refused to interfere, although it concurred with the Sessions Judge in thinking that the verdict of the jury was not correct. The case was one in which an application could be made to the Government; but as regards the Court the petitions were rejected. QUEEN v. NICHEERAM BAGDEE 18 W. R., Cr., 45

Contra, Queen v. Shib Chunder Mundle
[18 W. R., Cr., 46

up properly.—Ground for setting aside verdict.—The omission of the Judge to sum up the case properly to the jury is an error in law sufficient to justify the setting aside of the verdict. No general rule can be laid down as to when a prisoner is prejudiced by a defective summing up, but in general, if the finding of the jury in such a case is one that an Appeal Court would set aside if the trial had taken place with assessors, the Court will interfere and set the verdict aside. Reg. v. Fattechand Vastachand [5 Bom., Cr., 85]

22. Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, v. 263.—Ground for setting aside verdict.—Misdirection.—The High Court set aside the verdict of a jury in this case, because the Judge in his direction to the jury omitted to point out the absence of evidence very material to the case of the prosecution, and because he directed the jury to attribute an undue importance to the statements or excuses made by the prisoner in the explanation of certain documents. Queen v. Gunga Govind Palit [23 W. R., Cr., 21]

erdict.—Verdict of guilty.—Where a jury found an accused person guilty of murder, but refused to convict him because there had been no eye-witness of his crime, and on a second charge from the Judge refused to find him guilty at all,—Held by the High Court, to whom the case was referred, that the Judge ought to have explained to the jury that the testimony of eye-witnesses was not necessary to the establishment of a charge of murder, and that the jury, if they had no doubt of the guilt of the accused, were bound to give effect to the conclusion at which they had arrived. QUEEN v. GOKOOL KAHAR

24. Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Discretion of Court.—Setting aside verdict of acquittal of murder.—A very large discretionary power is vested in the High Court by section 263 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. No fixed rules can be laid down for the exercise of that discretion in every instance, and the decision in each case submitted must depend upon its own peculiar circumstances. In this case the Court set aside a verdict of acquittal of murder. Empress v. Mukhun Kumb 1 C. L. R., 275

VERDICT OF JURY—continued.

2. POWER TO INTERFERE WITH VERDICTS—continued.

Exercise of power of interference—continued.

vith verdict.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Ground for setting aside verdict.—On a trial by jury before a Sessions Judge, the jury returned a verdict of guilty. The Judge disagreed with the verdict, and submitted the case to the High Court. Held that the High Court had power to set aside the verdict of the jury, and to direct an acquittal. Section 263 of the Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1872) explained. Queen v. Koonjo Leth

[11 B. L. R., 14: 20 W. R., Cr., 1

Judge differing from verdict.—Acquittal by majority of jury.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Where a jury are not unanimous in their finding, and the Judge dissents from the opinions expressed by them, on the case being referred under section 263 of Act X of 1872, the High Court is competent to find the prisoner guilty, notwithstanding an acquittal by the majority of the jury. EMPRESS v. SAHAE RAI

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 623 : 2 C. L. R., 304

Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Verdict of acquittal.—Where the purpose the prisoners on the charges framed, but found certain facts which amounted to another offence, and omitted to convict the prisoners of that offence, as provided by section 457 of the Criminal Procedure Code,—Held that the High Court could, on the case coming before them under section 263 of the Criminal Procedure Code, find the prisoners guilty of such offence. EMPRESS v. HARAI MIRDHA [I. L. R., 3 Calc., 189]

Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Acquittal by jury.—The High Court, acting under section 263 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, convicted the accused in this case on the facts, notwithstanding the verdict of acquittal come to by the jury. Queen v. Sidham Siegar

Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Acquittal by jury.—
Confession.—Evidence Act, s. 29.—The Court on a consideration of the evidence set aside the verdict of acquittal come to by a majority of the jury, holding that a confession made by the accused before the Assistant Magistrate was good, such confession, even if obtained by deception, being admissible under section 29 of the Evidence Act, 1872. Queen v. Ram Chuen Ghose . 20 W. R., Cr., 33

cedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Acquittal by jury.—The prisoner, who was charged with having committed murder, was found by the jury who tried him to have been of unsound mind at the time he committed the offence. The Sessions Judge differing on that point from the jury referred the case to the High Court

VERDICT OF JURY-continued.

2. POWER TO INTERFERE WITH VERDICTS—continued.

Exercise of power of interference—continued.

guittal by jury.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Judge disagreeing from verdict of majority.
—A majority of the jurors (four out of five) acquitted the prisoner on a charge of attempt to commit rape. The Sessions Judge disagreed with that verdict, and referred the case to the High Court under section 263 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, because in his opinion the offence charged was proved. The High Court found that the evidence for the prosecution was fully worthy of belief and consistent with probabilities, and sentenced the prisoner. In the Matter of Tiluckdhare

[2 C. L. R., 1

Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Interference with verdict of majority of jury where Sessions Judge differed.—The Sessions Judge, differing from a majority of the jury, who acquitted the accused, referred the case to the High Court under section 263 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, to be dealt with as an appeal. Before proceeding with the case, the High Court considered it fair to the accused to give him notice to bring forward any objections he might have to the Sessions Judge's recommendation. On a consideration of the evidence, the High Court convicted the accused of the offence with which he had been charged in the Court below. QUEEN v. OOTTUM DHOBA

[19 W. R., Cr., 38

Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Differing from verdict of acquittal by jury.—Where the Sessions Judge did not consider a confession to have been induced by illegal pressure, the High Court, upon a reference under section 263 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, held it to have been properly admitted, and finding it to be full and clear, and supported by reliable evidence, acted upon it by convicting the person who made it, notwithstanding his retraction of it in the Court of Session, and his being found not guilty by the jury. Reg. v. Balvant V. Pendharkar

34. Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Trial on different charges.—
Discharge on some charges on which jury and Sessions
Judge agree.—Reference of whole case to High Court.
—In a case in which the accused were charged with

VERDICT OF JURY—continued. 2. POWER TO INTERFERE WITH VERDICTS—continued.

Exercise of power of interference-continued.

murder (section 302, Penal Code), culpable homicide not amounting to murder (section 304), and voluntarily causing grievous hurt (section 325), the Sessions Judge at the trial added a further charge of housebreaking by night in order to the commission of an offence (section 457). The jury unanimously acquitted the prisoners of the three original charges, and a majority of the jury (four out of five) acquitted them also of the last charge. The Sessions Judge agreed with the verdict of the jury as regarded the three original charges, and recorded a formal order acquitting and discharging the prisoners on these three charges. He differed from the majority as to the fourth charge, and referred the case to the High Court under section 263 of the Criminal Procedure Code. Held that where (as in this case) the Sessions Judge had approved of a verdict on certain charges, and finally acquitted and discharged the accused as to these charges, the High Court could not under section 263 convict on the facts on these very charges. That section seems to contemplate only a case in which, without recording any order of acquittal or conviction, the Sessions Judge refers the whole case. As there was nothing in this case to show on what grounds the majority of the jury acquitted the prisoners on the additional charge, and as the Sessions Judge agreed with the unanimous verdict as to the three original charges, the High Court presumed that the reason which weighed with the majority of the jury in finding the prisoners not guilty on the additional charge must have weighed with the whole jury in finding them not guilty on all the three other charges, and accordingly the Court could not set aside the verdict of the majority on the last count, without practically finding directly in the teeth of the verdict of the unanimous jury on the first three counts. QUEEN v. UDYA CHANGA

[20 W. R., Cr., 73

· Verdict in accordance with charge.- Verdict disagreed with by Judge. -Penal Code, ss. 302, 304, 325.—Reference under s. 307, Act X of 1882.—A prisoner was charged under sections 302 and 304 of the Penal Code, and the Judge at the trial added a further charge under section 325. The Judge in his charge to the jury directed them that in the event of their finding the charges under sections 302 and 304 unsustainable, they might find the prisoner guilty under section 325. The jury unani-mously acquitted the prisoner on the charge framed under section 302, and a majority of them acquitted him on the charge framed under section 804; but a majority of them found him guilty on the charge framed under section 325. The Judge disagreed with their finding as regarded the charge framed under section 304, and referred the case to the High Court under section 307 of the Criminal Pro-The High Court refused to interfere cedure Code. with the verdict, on the ground that the verdict could not be said to be manifestly erroneous, the Judge having heard the evidence and having expressed his

VERDICT OF JURY-continued.

2. POWER TO INTERFERE WITH VERDICTS—continued.

Exercise of power of interference—continued.

opinion to the jury that they might find the prisoner guilty under section 325. QUEEN-EMPRESS v. JAC. I. L. R., 11 Calc., 85

36. Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 269.—Jury wrongly treated as assessors by Judge.—Unanimous opinion of jury treated as assessors accepted as formal verdict.—L. and N. were tried by a Sessions Court on charges of dacoity and murder. The jury returned a verdict of guilty on both charges. The Judge, contrary to the provisions of section 269 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, treated the jury as assessors in respect of the charge of murder, and, convicting L. and N. of dacoity, acquitted them of murder. Held that the irregular procedure of the Judge could not deprive the verdict of the jury of its proper legal effect. QUEEN-EMPRESS v. LAKSHMANA. I. L. R., 9 Mad., 42

VESTED INTERESTS.

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—WILL—
CONSTRUCTION OF WILLS — SPECIAL
CASES OF CONSTRUCTION—VESTED AND
CONTINGENT INTERESTS.

See Succession Act, s. 98. [I. L. R., 4 Calc., 304

See WILL—CONSTRUCTION.
[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 304]

VESTING ORDER.

See Cases under Insolvency—Claims of Attaching Creditors and Official Assignes.

See Insolvent Act, s. 7.

15 B. L. R., 309
2 Bom., 150: 2nd Ed., 147
1 Bom., 251
11 C. L. R., 389
I. L. R., 3 Bom., 437
I. L. R., 8 Mad., 554
I. L. R., 7 Bom., 438

9 W. R., 455

VICINAGE.

See MAHOMEDAN LAW-PRE-EMPTION— RIGHT OF PRE-EMPTION—CO-SHARERS. [2 B. L. B., A. C., 63, 330 6 B. L. R., 41 14 W. R., 266 8 W. R., 2, 413 2 N. W., 100, 257 2 W. R., 261

VILLAGE ACCOUNTANT.

See CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE, 1882, s. 45 (1872, s. 90). [I. L. R., 1 Mad., 266

VILLAGE CATTLE.

See PASTURAGE, RIGHT TO-[I. L. R., 2 Bom., 110

VILLAGE CHOWKIDAR.

See BENGAL REGULATION XX OF 1817, s. 21 . . . 18 W. R., 298

VILLAGE MUNSIF.

I. L. R., 7 Mad., 220 [I. L. R., 8 Mad., 500 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 180

VILLAGE MUNSIF'S PEON.

See CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE, 1882, s. (45 1872, s. 90). [I. L. R., 1 Mad., 266

VOLUNTARY ASSIGNMENT,

See CASES UNDER INSOLVENCY-VOLUN-TARY CONVEYANCES,

VOLUNTARY CONVEYANCE.

See Contract Act, s. 25.

[I. L. R., 2 All., 891

See Cases under Debtor and Creditor.
See Cases under Insolvency-Volun-

TARY CONVEYANCES.

See Insolvent Act, s. 26.

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 434

Avoidance of gift or settlement voluntarily made.—
Stat. 27 Eliz., c. 4.—Where a person who has
made a voluntary gift or settlement of an estate
sells the same to another for value, the conveyance
operates as a conveyance of the estate which the settlor had before the voluntary settlement, the Statute
27 Elizabeth, Cap. 4, putting the settlement out of
the way, so that it shall not affect the conveyance
which is made to the purchaser: words showing an
intention on the part of the person who made the
voluntary gift to convey to the purchaser all the interest or estate that he had, are sufficient to avoid
such gift. Judah v. Abdool Kuerrem
[22 W. R., 60

VOLUNTARY PAYMENT.

See Cases under Contract Act, ss. 69 and 70.

See CONTRACT ACT, S. 72.

[I. L. R., 7 Calc., 578

See Cases under Contribution, Suit for-Voluntary Payments.

See MONEY HAD AND RECEIVED.

[8 B. L. R., 418 W. R., 1864, 205 3 N. W., 162 5 N. W., 1

See Money Paid . 7 N. W., 154 [10 W. R., 400

VOLUNTARY PAYMENT—continued.

See Money Paid under Process of Decree . I. L. R., 7 Mad., 586

See Cases under Payment into Court.

See RES JUDICATA-ADJUDICATIONS.

[13 B. L. R., 146

See Cases under Sale for Arrears of Rent—Deposit to stay Sale.

See Cases under Sale for Arrears of Revenue—Deposit to stay Sale.

See Sale in Execution of Decree—Set-Ting aside Sale—Rights of Pub-Chasers—Recovery of Pubchase-Money . . . 11 B. L. R., 121 [15 B. L. R., 208

See Vendoe and Purchase—Purchase
Money and other Payments by PurChaser . 2 B. L. R., A. C., 86
[11 B. L. R., 121
15 B. L. R., 208
18 W. R., 508
17 W. R., 480
8 B. L. R., Ap., 55

VOLUNTARY SETTLEMENT, BREACH OF COVENANTS IN—

See Damages — Suits for Damages— Breach of Contract. [I. L. R., 2 Bom., 273

W

WAGERING CONTRACT.

See Cases under Contract—Wagering Contracts.

See EVIDENCE—PAROL EVIDENCE—VARV-ING OR CONTRADICTING WRITTEN IN-STRUMENTS . I. L. R., 9 Calc., 791

WAGES.

See ATTACHMENT—SUBJECTS OF ATTACH-MENT—WAGES . 1 B. L. R., S. N., 15 [I. L. R., 5 Bom., 132

See Cases under Master and Servant.

of labourers.

See BENGAL ACT VI OF 1865.
[8 B. L. R., A. Cr., 39

Suit for-

See SMALL CAUSE COURT, MOFUSSIL— JURISDICTION—WAGES. [6 B. L. R., Ap., 91

See SMALL CAUSE COURT, RANGOON.
[I. L. R., 10 Calc., 878]

WAGING WAR.

See SENTENCE—TRANSPORTATION. V.R., Cr., 16

WAGING WAR-continued.

See JURISDICTION OF CRIMINAL COURT—
OFFENCES COMMITTED ONLY FARTLY IN
ONE DISTRICT—ABETMENT OF WAGING
WAR . 9 B. L. R., Ap., 36

WAGING WAR AGAINST THE QUEEN.

Conspiracy to wage war.—2reason.—Misprision of treason.—Limitation of period for prosecution.—Penal Code, s. 121.—7 Will. III., c. 3, s. 5.—The offence of engaging in a conspiracy to wage war, and that of abetting the waging of war, against the Queen, under section 121 of the Penal Code, are offences under the Penal Code only, and are not treason or misprision of treason; and therefore the provisions of the Statute 7 William III., Cap. 3, section 5, as to placing a limitation on the period for prosecution, are not applicable. Queen v. Ameruddin 1. 7 B. L. R., 63: 15 W. R., Cr., 25

WAIVER.

See CASES UNDER ACQUIESCENCE.

See Bond . . I. L. R., 1 Bom., 45
See Limitation Act, 1877, art. 75.
[I. L. R., 7 Mad., 577, 583
6 N. W., 88
11 Bom., 155
L. L. R., 3 All., 514

I. L. R., 5 All., 289 I. L. R., 2 All., 857 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 97 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 857

See Limitation Act, 1877, aet. 179—Order for Payment at specified date.

[I. L. R., 5 All., 289
I. L. R., 7 Calc., 56
13 C. L. R., 243
I. L. R., 18 Calc., 73

by prisoner.

See CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS.

[I. L. R., 2 Calc., 23 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 83

of irregularity.

See Limitation Act, 1877, art. 179 (1871, art. 167)—Joint Decrees—Joint Decrees—Louders . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 605

of objection.

See Cases under Appellate Court—Objection taken for first time on Appeal.

See Cases under Jurisdiction—Question of Jurisdiction—Consent of Parties and Waiver of

1. — Waiver by conduct.—Appeal.

—Irregularity.—Substitution of parties.—Consent.

—Where the purchaser of a plaintiff's rights was substituted for the plaintiff, t'le irregularity was held to be cured by the consent of the defendant, implied in his offering no opposition, but appealing

WAIVER .- Waiver by conduct-continued.

from the judgment on the merits, making the substituted plaintiff one of the respondents. BEER CHUNDER ROY v. TUMEREGOODDERN . 12 W. R., 87

- Appeal.—Right of objection to proceedings taken in accordance with appeal to High Court.—Where a party, dissatisfied with the decision of the lower Court, appeals to the High Court and reopens the whole case, he must acquiesce in the result finally arrived at by the Court below in accordance with the instructions of the High Court in his appeal. Gungaram Dutt v. Chowlhey Jumnajoy Mullick. 1 C. L. R., 144
- Objection.—Raising same objection subsequently.—Where parties have, before the Deputy Collector, withdrawn their objections to an Ameen's report, the lower Appellate Court should not allow the same objections to be revived before it. Bhugobutty Burmoner v. Gour Chunder Mundul

Objection to nonservice of notice of execution.—Appearance.—Where a judgment-debtor appears and contests the decreeholder's right to execute his decree, he cannot object that no notice was served as required by law, having impliedly waived the objection. Grish Chunder Banerjee v. Bhanoo Moter Chowdhrain

[11 W. R., 329

- 75.— Waiver by judgment-debtor of objection.—Right to deduct mesne profits.—A judgment-debtor claiming a deduction on account of mesne profits deereed against him should make good his claim when called upon by the Court executing the decree. Failing to do so he loses his remedy. Nubo Coomar Chatterjee v. Ramdhun Chatterjee . 15 W. R., 266
- Omission to take objection.—Remand.—Held that the defendant, not having taken an objection to the suit on the ground of the minority of the plaintiffs, whilst it was pending in appeal to the High Court, were precluded from raising it on remand. BENI RAM BHUTT v. RAM LAL DHUKEI. I. L. R., 13 Calc., 189
- 7. Effect of waiver.—Landlord and tenant.—Waiver of right of forfeiture for non-payment of rent.—A landlord who has waived his right to sue for the cancelment of a lease on the ryot's failure to pay six successive instalments, is not on further breaches of the covenant. Dulli Chand of further breaches of the covenant. Dulli Chand of Mehrer Chand Sahoo . 8 W. R., 138
- Waiver of vendor binding on purchaser.—Sale for arrears of rent.—The Government, as auction-purchaser of the zemindari right of a pergunnah, having waived all right to cancel the tenures of the talookdars, and having admitted them to settlement and otherwise recognised

WAIVER.-Effect of waiver-continued.

their rights, it was held that the defendant, a purchaser, could not put in force against the talookdars any rights which his vendor had waived. Obhox Chuen Roy v. Asanoollah

[2 W. R., Act X, 81

- Waiver of rights under mortgage. - Resumption by Government of mortgaged land under Land Acquisition Act, and re-sale to mortgagor .- Omission of mortgages to claim compensation .- Government having under the Land Acquisition Act taken possession of portion of certain land which had been mortgaged by the owner subsequently, while the mortgage was still in force, re-sold the portion taken, to the mortgagor, who sold it to a third person bona fide for value. In a suit by the mortgagee (who had taken no steps to obtain any portion of the money paid by the Government for the land) praying for the sale under the mortgage of the land resumed by Government,-Held that the plaintiff as mortgagee had waived his rights under the mortgage, and that the purchaser from the mortgagor had acquired a title free from the plaintiff's incumbrance. Quære, - Whether the mortgage claim might not, but for the waiver, have re-attached, on the lands resumed by the Government again coming into the possession of the mortgagor. RAM AWTAR SINGH v. TULSI RAM . 5 C. L. R., 227
- 10.- Waiver of grounds of enhancement.—Reliance on one ground only.—Presumption.—In a suit for enhancement of rent upon different grounds, the fact that at the hearing the plaintiff relies on one of the grounds only, and that in the judgment of the first Court the whole case was rested on that ground only, is not a safe warrant for the inference that the other grounds were waived. BONOMALEE CHUEN MYTER v. SHOBOOF HOOTAIT
- 11. Waiver of right to execute decree.—Agreement to give time to debtor.—New contract.—The granting of a judgment-debtor the indulgence of a temporary stay of the warrant of execution issued to enforce the decree, does not prejudice the judgment-creditor's right to execution at a subsequent time. BUTCHENNER v. RAYUDU

[5 Mad., 285

Waiver where decree-holder was allowed to perform act under decree in case judgment-debtor failed to do so .- H. C. obtained a decree against G. R. for the reconstruction in the family house, within one month, of a verandah which had been improperly pulled down by the latter; on failure of G. R. to rebuild it in the specified time, the decree-holder was to be allowed to rebuild it himself at the cost of G. R. About a month after the reconstruction was begun, but after the lapse of the month allowed to the judgment-debtor H. C. applied for an injunction to stop the work as not being according to the decree, and for permission to rebuild it himself. Held, notwithstanding G. R. had made alterations and contravened the decretal order, the judgment-creditors' conduct in looking on without remonstrance for nearly a month while the

WAIVER.—Waiver of right to execute decree—continued.

judgment-debtor incurred considerable expense amounted to a waiver of his right to take matters into his own hands. Gopee Kishen Gossain v. Hem Chunder Gossain . 16 W. R., 38

18. Waiver of right to interest on arrears of rent.—Receipt of arrears of rent for long time without interest.—By the terms of a kabuliat, rent not paid when due was to bear interest. The zemindar received rent for a period of ten years without making any demand of interest in respect of arrears, and without claiming to apply any portion of the payments towards the discharge of interest. Having subsequently brought a suit for interest, the Courts below were of opinion that the zemindar had waived his claim to interest and dismissed his suit. Held that there were facts justifying such an inference, and that their finding could not be reviewed in special appeal. DINDOYAL PORAMANICK v. PRAN KISHEN PAUL CHOWDHEY

[Marsh., 394 : W. R., F., B., 117 : 2 Hay, 423

Omission to enforce interest under kabuliat.—Variation in contract.—In order to establish variation in a written contract, it must be distinctly pleaded and proved when and how the variation took place, the mere fact of a kabuliat not having been enforced in the most stringent manner does not take away from the lessor the right to enforce it. Peares Moiun Mookerjer v. Brojo Mohun Bose. 21 W. R., 36

Pearee Mohun Mookeejee v. Brojo Mohun Bose 22 W. R., 423

Omission to claim interest.—Pleading.—Both parties stipulated for payment of rent on certain dates, and, if not so paid, of a certain rate of interest until paid. The rent not having been paid at the time agreed on,—Held that the landlord's omission to claim interest, instalment by instalment, for the fractional time that the rent was not paid after it became due, did not justify the plea that the interest stipulated for was not due, or warrant the belief that the plaintiff had waived his claim to interest. RUTTY KANT BOSE v. GUNGADHUE BISWAS

[W. R., F. B., 13: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 6: Marsh., 40

Waiver of objection to service of notice of enhancement.—Omission to appeal from decision finding notice properly served .-Question of law and fact .- Plaintiff sucd to recover rents at enhanced rates after notice, and got a decree. Defendants appealed on the merits, tacitly accepting the finding of the lower Court that notice had been duly served. On appeal, the Subordinate Judge of his own motion took up the question of notice, decided that it had not been duly served, and reversed the decree of the lower Court. Held that the Subordinate Judge was wrong, for seeing that the defendants had not appealed from the finding of the first Court which declared that there had been good service, it might fairly be presumed that they had due notice of the claim to enhance, until evidence sufficient to rebut

WAIVER.—Waiver of objection to service of notice of enhancement—continued.

that presumption should be shown. An objection that notice of enhancement has not been properly served is not an objection purely of law, but a mixed objection of law and fact, which may be impliedly waived by the conduct of the parties. Chunder Mones Dossee v. Dhuroneedhur Labory, 7 W. R., 2, cited and distinguished. Shushee Bhoosun v. Muddun Mohun Chuttofadhiya. . . . 2 C. L. R., 297

Agreement come to under mistaken belief.—Agreement to accept provision in satisfaction of claim to maintenance. - Mutual mistake, Effect of .- Suit by son for partition .- Relinquishment of claim. The plaintiff's father, a member of an undivided Hindu family, signed an agreement by which he agreed to accept a provision in satisfaction of his claim for maintenance. The agreement was signed by reason of a mistaken belief entertained by the plaintiff's father and the other members of the family that there existed an established custom in the family which rendered the property indivisible. Held, in a suit by the plaintiff for a partition of the family, property liable to partition, that the agreement having been come to under a mutual mistake, it was no bar to the plaintiff maintaining the suit, for it would not have prejudiced the right of the plaintiff's father if he had chosen to insist upon a partition. SOOBBAMANIA TELAVER v. SOKRA TELAVER 5 Mad., 437

Agreement to accept portion of property for maintenance. - Suit for full share of property on partition.-In a suit to recover a share of family property, the Civil Judge found that the plaintiff in 1856 waived his right to a share of the family property by accepting a small portion, and dismissed the suit. The plaintiff shared with other members of the family the belief that by established family usage the property was impartible and passed at each succession to the eldest of the coheirs according to the ordinary law, the other co-heirs being entitled only to a portion for maintenance. Under that belief the plaintiff accepted the allotment made to him in 1856 by the then eldest co-heir of a smaller portion of the property than he would be entitled to on a partition, as a sufficient provision for his maintenance. The plaintiff's younger brother instituted a suit in 1861, and succeeded in resisting the alleged custom, and obtained a decree for his full share. Held (reversing the decision of the Civil Judge) that the plaintiff was entitled to the relief asked for, it not appearing from the arrangement of 1856 that the parties intended the allotment to be in satisfaction and discharge of every right of the plaintiff as a coparcener. Subbiem Pillay v. Arnachala . 5 Mad., 444 IRUNGOL PILLAY

19. — Waiver by renunciation of rights.—Renunciation of rights.—Law of waiver.—Privileges of office.—It is not law that every right may be renounced. The general rule is power of renunciation, but there are two marked classes of exceptions: There can be no renunciation of rights and consequent destruction of relative duties prescribed by an absolute law; nor of things inherent in man as

WAIVER. — Waiver by renunciation of rights—continued.

man. A man may renounce a concrete right, but not one resulting from a natural condition. Semble,—A karnavan cannot part, by contract, so as to be unable to resume them, with the privileges and duties which attach to his position as a karnavan. Cherukomen alias Govinden Nair v. Ismala . 6 Mad., 145

APPUNI v. AYANEPALLI EKANATHA THAVAI VA-BIKARNAVAN SHANGUNI. APPUNI v. VETTU-THADATHA SHAMA . . . 6 MAd., 401

- 20. Effect of signing document in which there is an omission.—Omission in wajib-ul-urz of interest in property.—Imperfection in settlement proceedings.—The mere signature by an agent of a wajib-ul-urz from which the record of an important interest in property was omitted, cannot be construed as a waiver of such right or claim. Still less can the imperfection or inaccuracy of settlement proceedings operate to extinguish or disallow existing rights. IMAMBUNDEE v. BHUGWAN DASS.

 1 N. W., 41: Ed. 1873, 38
- 21. Effect of acceptance of mortgage-money on right of purchase.—Condition in favour of purchase by mortgagee.—A. mortgaged land to B., the mortgage instrument providing that B. should be entitled to purchase the land if it were not redeemed by 12th July 1843. In 1845 B. accepted from A. one pagoda in part payment of the mortgagemoney. Held that this was a waiver by B. of his right to purchase. VENKATACHABI v. ANANTACHABI
- Withdrawal of objection to sale in execution of decree. - Effect of, on subsequent right to sue to set it aside .- The plaintiff purchased certain property from the first and second defendants. The property was subsequently put up for sale by order of the Civil Court in execution of a decree against the first and second defendants, and was purchased by the third defendant. When the property was about to be sold under the decree, the plaintiff presented to the Court a petition objecting to the sale, but his vakil withdrew the petition with his consent before the sale. In a suit by the plaintiff for the recovery of the land,—Held that the plaintiff was not precluded from recovering the land by reason of his having withdrawn the petition, as he could not thereby be considered to have waived his right to sue. Ku-MARASAMY REDDI v. PANNA SOONA MOOBOOGAPPA CHETTY . 7 Mad., 359
- 24. Relinquishment by Hindu widow.—Relinquishment of title to property widow.—Petition.—A mere petition by a widow to the effect that she has relinquished her title in certain

WAIVER,—Relinquishment by Hindu widow—continued.

property in favour of parties suing the lessees of the property for possession, is not a legal relinquishment of her share therein. Ooma Churn Koondoo v. Bhoobun Mohun Pal . 10 W. R., 98

WAKF.

See ACT XX OF 1863, s. 18.

[15 B. L. R., 167 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 324

See Cases under Mahomedan Law-Endowment.

WARRANT.

- 1. Warrants made by Lieutenant-Governor of Bengal.—Seal of Court.—
 The Court will order its seal to be impressed on any warrant made by the authority of the Lieutenant-Governor of Bengal, even if not actually signed by him. ANONYMOUS . 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 106
- 2. Search warrant. Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, ss. 114, 115.—Requisites of warrant.—It is essential to the legality of a search warrant, under section 114 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, that the production of some specified and particular thing is desired; that the Magistrate alone shall determine that such production is necessary; and that a specified house or place only is to be searched. The warrant must, under section 115 of that Code, be directed to some other person only when a police officer is not forthcoming. Queen v. Hossain Ali Chowdhey. . . 8 W. R., Cr., 74

WARRANT OF ARREST.

Col.
1. CIVIL CASES 6178
2. CRIMINAL CASES 6181

-- of Governor General in Council. See Bengal Regulation III of 1818. [6 B. L. R., 392, 459

9 B. L. R., 36 See Habeas Corpus. [6 B. L. R., 392, 459

1. CIVIL CASES.

- 1. Absence of warrant.charge from custody of Sheriff.—The Court will discharge a prisoner from custody when the jailor holds
 no warrant for his detention, although he has been
 properly in the custody of the Sheriff. IN THE MATTHE OF SHAH SAHIB . 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 19
- 2. Informality of warrant.—Application for discharge.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 273.—Delay in bringing up prisoner.—B. M. and several other prisoners in the custody of the Sheriff of Calcutta for debt, without having been brought up to have an order for their allowance made, on being produced for that purpose by the Sheriff, applied for their discharge under section 273

WARRANT OF ARREST-continued.

1. CIVIL CASES-continued.

Informality of warrant-continued.

of Act VIII of 1859. Preliminary objections were taken to the validity of the warrants on which the Sheriff arrested them, on the grounds that the time for execution was not specified in them; and that even had they been originally valid their authority had expired, owing to the delay in bringing up the prisoners. Both objections were overruled. Held that a mere informality in a warrant, such as the omission of the time for execution, only renders it irregular, and does not invalidate it: that advantage having been taken of such irregularity to prejudice the prisoner, affords grounds for an application to the Court to set the warrant aside: and that a mere delay in bringing the prisoner before the Court after his arrest, if not for a considerable period, does not render his detention illegal. IN RE BHOLANAUTH MULLICK Bourke, O. C., 96

3. Form of warrant.—Sufficiency of warrant.—Where a person had been taken in execution under a ca. sa. directed to the Sheriff under the old procedure, it was held to be sufficient to empower the jailor to detail him. The words "ordinary civil jurisdiction" are only used to distinguish the civil from the criminal jurisdiction. IN RE ANWAR BISWAS 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 106

- Writ of Calcutta Small Cause Court, Form of.—Act XII of 1865.—Fixing subsistence-money .- A writ of the Calcutta Small Cause Court commanding its "Bailiff to take the body of 1., and have him before the Court on the day of -to satisfy B. in the sum of --- debt and costs, ordered and decreed by the said Court on theof --- to be paid to the said B. with costs of execution, and by virtue thereof to take and convey the said A. to the common jail of the said Court, there to be detained in safe custody for ---- weeks, or until he shall sooner perform the said order of the Court," is in point of form a sufficient warrant to the jailor to receive and detain A., notwithstanding Act XII of 1865. It was not necessary, in the case of commitment of a debtor to prison by the Calcutta Court of Small Causes, to bring him in the first instance before the Court, as under the provision of Act VIII of 1859, in order to have his subsistence-money fixed. MATTER OF MEER NAWAUB

[1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 315

5. Warrant directed to Nazir.

—Arrest of judgment-debtor.—Indorsement to peon.

—Civil Procedure Code, 1882, s. 343.—Indorsement of particulars of arrest by Naib Nazir.—Where a warrant issued by a subordinate Court, directing the Nazir to arrest a judgment-debtor in execution of a decree, was entrusted by the Nazir to a subordinate for execution by indorsing his name upon it,—Held that there is nothing in the Civil Procedure Code to prohibit a Nazir from authorising a deputy to execute a warrant of arrest for him, and that his indorsement must be regarded as primā facie evidence of the authority of the person to whom the warrant is delivered to execute it. Held, also, that it is most desir-

WARRANT OF ARREST-continued.

1. CIVIL CASES—continued.

Warrant directed to Nazir-continued.

able, when the Nazirs of the subordinate Courts delegate the duty of executing warrants of arrest, that they should confer the authority in more clear and explicit terms than are expressed by a mere indorsement, and that they should be careful in selecting proper persons to discharge that duty, bearing in mind, as far as circumstances permit, the position and caste of the party to be arrested, so as to avoid, through the medium of Court process, subjecting any such party to personal indignity or offence. Held, further, that it is important that the person chosen should be made acquainted with the contents of the warrant in order that he may be able to inform the judgment-debtor at whose suit and for what amount he is being taken into custody. Where a warrant for the arrest of the judgment-debtor had been executed, and an indorsement thereon, professedly under section 343 of the Civil Procedure Code, was irregularly made by the Naib Nazir, he not having been "the officer entrusted with the execution of the warrant,"-Held that such irregularity did not invalidate the arrest. I. L. R., 6 All., 385 DUL KARIM v. BULLEN .

Irregularity in warrant.-Warrant of arrest in execution of a decree only initialled by proper officer .- Civil Procedure Code, 1882, ss. 2, 251.-A warrant issued for the arrest of a debtor under the provisions of section 251 of the Civil Procedure Code, was initialled by the Munsarim of the Court, sealed with the seal of the Court, and delivered to the proper officer for execution. The debtor forcibly resisted the officer, and was tried and convicted, under section 353 of the Penal Code, of assaulting a public servant in the execution of his duty as such. In revision, it was contended, with reference to the requirements of section 251 of the Civil Procedure Code, that the warrant of arrest, having been initialled only, was bad, and the officer could not legally execute it, and consequently no offence under section 353 of the Penal Code had been committed. Held that this contention could not be allowed, and although it was proper that the person signing a warrant should write his name in full, it could not be said that because the signature was confined to the initials of the name, it was not the duty of the officer to execute the warrant. QUEEN-EMPRESS v. JANKI PRASAD . I. L. R., 8 All., 293

Validity of warrant.—Liability of Nazir.—Escape of judgment-debtor.—The plaintiff sued out a warrant for the arrest of his judgment-debtor on the 4th December 1876. The warrant was lodged with the Nazir on the 16th December and was to be in force till the 4th January 1877. On the 22nd December 1876 the Nazir was informed that the judgment-debtor was already in the civil jail under a writ of execution issued by another creditor. The Nazir then returned the warrant to the Subordinate Judge who had issued it. On the 29th December the Subordinate Judge again sent it to the Nazir's office, where it was duly received by the Nazir's karkun (defendant No. 2). This fact was not reported by the karkun to the Nazir (defend-

WARRANT OF ARREST-continued.

1. CIVIL CASES-continued.

Validity of warrant-continued.

ant No. 1) until the 4th January 1877. On the 1st January 1877 the judgment-debtor's debt was paid by Government, and he was released in honour of Her Majesty's assumption of the title of Empress of India. The judgment-debtor thereupon left the district and could not be found, and the plaintiff's warrant remained unexecuted. The plaintiff sued the Nazir and his karkun for allowing for his judgmentdebtor to escape. Held that the Nazir ought not to have sent the warrant back to the Subordinate Judge. and that there was no necessity for a fresh order on it until the time for which it had to run had expired. Held also that, according to Act VIII of 1859, as it stood at the end of 1876 and until October 1877, the batta for the maintenance of a debtor could not become payable until he was arrested and brought before the Court, and the latter made the order for his committal to the civil jail. KASTURCHAND v. Ravji Sadashiv . I. L. R., 4 Bom., 65

2. CRIMINAL CASES.

- 8. Arrest in pending case.—
 Power of Magistrate.—Criminal Procedure Code,
 1861, s. 68.—Section 68 of the Code of Criminal
 Procedure gave a Magistrate jurisdiction on proper
 evidence to issue a warrant for the arrest of persons
 in a pending case. IN THE MATTER OF SIDESHURY
 CHOWDHEAIN . . . 16 W. R., Cr., 50
- ---- Warrant on non-appearance to summons.—Lessee of tolls.—Disobedience of summons to appear.—Undertaking not to sue.—A., the lessee of a toll, was in arrear to Government in respect of the rent. The Magistrate issued a summons to him, whereby it was recited that a plaint had been preferred against him (A.) for not paying the sum of R262 for arrears of rent, and A. was summoned to appear before the Magistrate to answer the charge. A. did not appear on the day appointed, but had an application presented for postponement of the demand for arrears of rent, on the grounds therein stated. On the following day the Magistrate passed the following order: "Whereas the debtor, defendant, has not appeared in person, the summons has not been obeyed; therefore it is ordered that a warrant be issued for the arrest of the defendant." Proceedings were afterwards taken upon the warrant. Held that all the proceedings taken by the Magistrate were irregular and must be set aside, the defendant undertaking not to take legal proceedings for anything done under the order or warrant, In the matter of Banka Bihari Ghose

[2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 17: 11 W. R., Cr., 26

10. — Issue of warrant.—Complaint on oath.—Report of police officer.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1869, ss. 68 and 155.—In cases in which the police cannot arrest without a warrant, a warrant cannot legally be issued by a Magistrate except on a complaint made on oath (or under section 68 of the Criminal Procedure Code), whether such Magistrate is authorised to entertain cases either on complaint

WARRANT OF ARREST-continued.

2. CRIMINAL CASES-continued.

Issue of warrant-continued.

directly to himself or on the report of a police officer. Reg. v. Jafab Ali . . . 8 Bom., Cr., 113

- Arrest on report of policeman for offence for which arrest without varrant might be made.—Where a policeman in whose sight a theft was committed arrested the thief, and being himself unable to take or send the accused to a Magistrate, sent a report, on which the Magistrate issued a warrant,—Held that, under these circumstances, the accused was legally brought before the Magistrate. Reg. v. Mahipya Vallad Bomya Mahae 5 Bom., Cr., 99
- Validity of warrant.—Criminal Procedure Code (X of 1872), s. 157.—Magistrate out of jurisdiction.—Extradition.— It was not essential to the validity of a warrant issued under section 157 of Act X of 1872 that the Magistrate issuing it should be, at the time he issues it, within the local limits of his jurisdiction. He might issue such a warrant from a place in foreign territory. Reg. v. Locha Kala

[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 340

- 14. Operation of warrant.—
 Detention of prisoner.—The force of a warrant of arrest is at an end when the prisoner is brought before the Magistrate. MUTHOORA NATH CHUCKERBUTTY V. HEREA LALL DOSS . 17 W. R., Cr., 55
- Warrant issued to unofficial person.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1872), s. 161.—Act XXV of 1861, s. 77.—Under section 77 of the Criminal Procedure Code, a Magistrate ought not to issue a warrant to an unofficial person, except when he is without the assistance of competent police officers, and unless the urgency is imminent. The force of a warrant of arrest is at an end when the prisoner is brought before the Magistrate, and the prisoner cannot lawfully be committed to prison or remanded without sufficient grounds; and in the absence of evidence there can be no grounds. In the MATTER OF THE PETITION OF SUBENDRONATH ROY. QUEEN v.

[5 B. L. R., 274:13 W. R., Cr., 27

16. Criminal Procedure Code (Act XXV of 1861), s. 68.—Act X of 1872, ss. 142 and 150.—Detention of accused.—A warrant issued under section 68, which was a warrant

WARRANT OF ARREST-continued.

2. CRIMINAL CASES—continued.

Operation of warrant-continued.

of arrest as described under section 76 (Form B); is only for the purpose of bringing an accused person before the Magistrate. It was not a warrant for commitment, and did not authorise the detention of a person longer than is necessary for his production before the Magistrate. To detain him further there must be a fresh warrant under section 222, charging the prisoner with some offence, on evidence taken on oath or affirmation, and in the presence of the accused. In the matter of Mahes Chandra Banerjee. Queen v. Purna Chandra Banerjee.

[4 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 13 W. R., Cr., 1

- Detention of accused.—Order sanctioning detention for indefinite period.—Remand of accused.—Held that the order of a Magistrate sanctioning the detention by the police of an accused person for an indefinite period is illegal. At the expiration of twenty-four hours from the time of arrest, the accused must be brought before a Magistrate, who could then remand for a period not exceeding fifteen days, under section 224 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1861. No remand without a hearing can last for a longer period. Reg. Surkya valad Dhaku. . 5 Bom., Cr., 31
- to seal warrant.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1869, s. 76.—Requisites of good warrant.—A warrant issued under section 76 of the Code of Criminal Procedure should be sealed, should describe the person to be apprehended under it with reasonable particularity, so that there may be no difficulty in establishing his identity, and should be subscribed with the name and full official title of the Magistrate issuing it. Where a warrant was defective in all the above particulars, the prisoner apprehended under it was released by the High Court. IN BE HASTINGS . 9 Bom., 154
- 18.6, s. 58.—Error in warrant not affecting conviction.—A warrant issued under section 58 of Act XIII of 1856 should be addressed to some one or more inspectors, and not generally to "all constables and peace officers." Where a warrant in the latter form was executed under the direction of an inspector, it was held that the error in the form of the warrant was merely an error of procedure, and did not affect the validity of the conviction, under section 57, of persons apprehended in pursuance of the warrant so executed. Reg. v. Nana Moroji. In Reg Madhay Morae.... 8 Bom., Cr., 1
- 20.

 Warrant not containing specification of offence.—A warrant which did not specify a punishable offence, and which had been issued upon a statement not sufficient to make out any offence, quashed. IN RE BIDHUMUKHI DEBI

 6 B. L. R., Ap., 129
 - S. C. BIDHOOMOOKHEE DABER v. SREENATH HALDAB . . . 15 W. R., Cr., 4

WARRANT OF ARREST-continued.

2. CRIMINAL CASES—continued.

21. Informality in warrant.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1869, s. 404.—Power of High Court.—Irregularity in process of arrest and attachment.—The High Court was not empowered to interfere under the provisions of section 404 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1869, until there has been a judicial proceeding by a Magistrate. A person complaining of irregularity of process issued for his arrest and for the attachment of his property, before applying to the High Court under section 404 of the Criminal Procedure Code, should make application to the Magistrate issuing such process for his discharge and the release of his property, on the ground of the informality of the warrants. Queen v. Bishebur Preshad

[2 N. W., 441: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 236

22. — Mode of arrest in foreign territory or out of jurisdiction. — Warrant of arrest for contempt of Court.—The High Court of Bombay will not send a special bailiff into the territories of the Gaikvar of Baroda to arrest a defendant who has been guilty of a contempt of Court, but the Court will send a special bailiff for such purpose beyond the local limits of the High Court to a place within the Presidency of Bombay. HARIVALLABHDAS KULLIANDAS v. UTAMCHAND MANIKCHAND

WARRANT OF ATTORNEY.

- Extent and operation of warrant.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, ss. 17 and 49.—Acceptance of service and appearance.—Act XX of 1862, s. 7.- A warrant of attorney to the attorney of a defendant to receive a declaration or plaint, &c., in any action or suit to be brought for the recovery of certain moneys, and to confess the same action or suit, or else to suffer or consent to a judgment or decree in the said action or suit by default, or in any other way to pass or be pronounced against the defendant, empowered the attorney to accept service and appear for the defendant within the meaning of sections 17 and 49 of Act VIII of 1859. Held that section 7 of Act XX of 1862 referred only to warrants of attorney for the entering up of judgments in the High Court which were in existence before the 1st July 1862. KHALUT CHUNDER GHOSE v. Sarodasoondery Dossee. Bourke, O. C., 244
- 2. Limitation Act, 1859.—Entering up judgment.—The statute of limitation is no answer to a rule nisi to enter up judgment on a warrant of attorney. Soojan Mull. v. Hyder Jung Bahadoor 1 Ind. Jur., O. 8., 58

WARRANT OF COMMITMENT.

—— Signature of Magistrate.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 303.—The signature of a Magistrate to a warrant of commitment under section 303 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1872, should not be affixed by a stamp. Subramanaxa v. . I. I. R., 6 Mad., 396

WARRANT OF EXECUTION.

1. — Extension of time for operation of warrant.—Act X of 1859, s. 88.—Jurisdiction.—Where a warrant of execution under Act X of 1859, section 88, was extended for four days after a particular day, when the original warrant was not sixty days old, in order that more moveable property might be pointed out,—Held that, until the time so extended had elapsed, an order for sale of immoveable property was without jurisdiction. NABI BAX 7. DIDAR BAX SHAH

[3 B. L. R., A. C., 10: 11 W. R., 326

- 2. Return of warrant.—Public servant.—Resistance to public servant.—Renal Code, s. 183.—Civil Procedure Code, 1882, s. 251.
 A person was convicted under section 183 of the Penal Code for offering resistance to the attachment of property by a public servant. The offence was committed on the 4th of February 1883, but the warrant under which the public servant acted was returnable on or before the previous day. Held that the conviction was bad. In the matter of the petition of Anand Lall Bera. Anand Lall Bera. Empress on the prosecution of Azim Pron. I. L. R., 10 Calc., 18: 13 C. L. R., 209
- Irregularity in warrant .-Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 222.-Civil Procedure Code, 1877, 1882, s. 251.—An execution sale of the right, title, and interest in land was set aside by the Court, on the ground that the warrant for the execution of the decree and order of attachment of the property sold had not been signed by the Judge, but by the Munsarim of the Court; and at a second sale the property was sold to other purchasers, who, as well as the judgment-debtor, were sued by the purchaser at the first sale for a declaration of his right to have the first sale confirmed. The High Court having held that, with reference to section 222 of Act VIII of 1859, the first sale had been rightly set aside, an appeal to the Judicial Committee was dismissed with costs. RAM DAYAL v. MAHTAB SINGH . I. L. R., 7 All., 506

WARRANTY, BREACH OF-

See CHARTER-PARTY.

[8 B. L. R., 544: 23 W. R., 136

See Contract—Breach of Contract.
[8 B. L. R., 544: 23 W. R., 136

See CONTRACT ACT, 8. 78.

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 801

See Cases under Vendor and Pur-Chaser-Breach of Warranty.

WARRANTY OF TITLE.

See Cases under Sale in Execution or Decree-Purchasers, Title of-Generally.

See Sale in Execution of Decree— Setting aside Sale—Rights of Purchasers . I. L. R., 2 Bom., 258

See Cases under Vendor and Pur-Chaser—Breach of Warranty.

WARRANTY OF TITLE-continued.

See CASES UNDER VENDOR AND PUR-CHASEE—CAVEAT EMPTOR.

WASHERMAN.

See Madras Towns Improvement Act, 1871, s. 1 . I. L. R., 1 Mad., 174

WASTE.

See HINDU LAW—ALIENATION—ALIENA-TION BY WIDOW—SETTING ASIDE ALIEN-ATIONS, AND WASTE.

[1 B. L. R., A. C., 27 5 B. L. R., 508 6 B. L. R., 747 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 48 8 W. R., 273 1 W. R., 256 2 N. W., 78 24 W. R., 86 3 B. L. R., 362 10 B. L. R., P. C., 1 9 W. R., 362

See Hindu Law—Reversioners—Who
MAY SUE

1. L. R., 6 Calc., 198
[6 Moore's I. A., 433
I. L. R., 9 Calc., 817
Marsh., 622

See Limitation Act, 1877, Art. 125 (1859, s. 1, cl. 16). 7 B. L. R., 131

1. Limitation.—Allegation of waste.

—Prayer for protection from contemplated waste.—

Held per PHEAE, J., that where a suit was one to

prevent contemplated waste, it was not barred by

lapse of time. GROSE v. AMIETAMAYI DASI

[4 B. L. R., O. C., 1: 12 W. R., O. C., 13

BISWANATH CHUNDER v. KHANTAMANI DASI
[7 B. L. R., 181

2. Liability for waste.—Hindu widow; liability for waste committed by her husband as administrator.—In a suit against a widow individually, and not in her representative capacity, to recover plaintiff's share of property alleged to have been in her possession, the suit being one wherein defendant was charged with devastation in respect of such property only,—Held that defendant was not liable in that suit to be made answerable out of her husband's assets for any devastation which he might have committed. Staves v. Dias . 10 W. R., 444

WASTE LANDS.

See LANDLORD AND TENANT—MIRASIDARS.
[I. L. R., 1 Mad., 205

See SETTLEMENT—RIGHT TO SETTLEMENT.
[4 Mad., 429]

See Settlement—Subjects of Settlement . . . 1 Mad., 12, 407

See Valuation of Suit—Suits.
[7 W. R., 349]

1. ——— Presumption from land lying waste.—Evidence as to possession.—The fact of

WASTE LANDS.—Presumption from land lying waste—continued.

land lying waste does not of itself show that no one is in possession. MAHOMED ALI v. SHURUM ALI [8 W. R., 422

2. — Ownership of waste land.—
Presumption as to possession.—Where land is waste
and there is no visible sign of occupation, the possession must be taken to go with the right, and the
right is primé facie in the zemindar of the estate to
which the waste land belongs. Woodwant MahTOON v. HUNOOMAN PERSHAD SINGH

[22 W. R., 419

3. — Possession of waste land.—
Limitation.—Presumption.—Proof of title.—There
may be such possession of waste lands as to protect a
suit from being barred by limitation; and where the
question of possession is doubtful, a presumption will
arise in favour of the party who proves title. MAHOMED BASSIE v. KUREEM BUKSH . 11 W. R., 268

4. Possession, Presumption of, from evidence of title.—In disputes as to the right to possession of waste and jungle lands, it is only in cases where neither party has exercised any acts of ownership over the lands in question that the Court may resort to evidence of title, and presume that the party proved to have title has also possession. RAM BANDHU v. KUSU BHATTU

[5 C. L. R., 481

Title to uncultivated or jungle lands.—Adverse possession.—Limitation.—Acts of ownership.—If adverse possession for a sufficiently long time is proved, the title of a person to uncultivated or jungle land may be barred by limitation in the same manner and to the same extent as in the case of cultivated land; the evidence of possession being the exercise of such acts of ownership as would ordinarily be exercised over property of that nature. MITTERJEET SINGH v. RADHA PERSHAD SINGH ... 23 W. R., 368

See Watson v. Government [B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 182: 3 W. R., 73

Right to use of waste land.-Permissive use of, by tenants.—Right of landlord to erect building on.—Works of permanent character executed by licensee.—Easements Act, V of 1882, vs. 60, 61:-In a suit by a zemindar to have his right declared to build a house on some waste land in the mouzah, the defendants, who were tenants in the mouzah, resisted the claim, on the ground that they had built wells and water courses on the land, and had a right also to use it as a threshing-floor and for stacking cow-dung. Held that the defendants having acquired no right adverse to the plaintiff as owners, by prescription or otherwise, in the land, their right of use could only be as licensees of the plaintiff; and although he could not interfere with their right to the wells, which were works of a permanent character, and on which the defendants had incurred expenses, he could revoke the license as to the other use claimed of the land, and his claim to build the house should therefore be decreed. LAND MOBTGAGE BANK OF INDIA v. MOTI

[I. L. R., 8 All., 64

WASTE LANDS-continued.

7. — Act XXIII of 1863, s. 5.—
Suit to contest sale. — Where the Collector failed
to give notice of his intention to dispose of the
estates, it was not incumbent on the plaintiff to contest the sale within the period prescribed by section
5, Act XXIII of 1863. HIMMUT SINGH v. COLLECTOR OF BIJNOUR 2 Agra, 258

8. Act to reclaim waste lands.—Suit to contest award by Board of Revenue.—Extension of time.—Institution of suit.—
The Court cannot extend the period of thirty days allowed by section 5, Act XXIII of 1863, for preferring a suit to contest an award by the Board of Revenue. The filing of a vakalutnamah is not an institution of such a suit. TARANATH DUTT v. COLLECTOR OF SYLHET

[5 W. R., Waste Land Court Ref., 1

9. 88. 8, 18.—Suit for possession.—Statute, Interpretation of.—Where an Act expressly takes away one particular remedy which would otherwise have been open for enforcing a right of property, or in any other particular interferes with proprietary rights, but does not, in express words or by necessary implication, declare that those rights shall cease, the method of interpretation which ought to be adopted is to give effect to the Act exactly so far as its words extend, and no further. There is nothing in Act XXIII of 1863 to prevent a person who has a good title and has throughout been in possession, or who has a good title, and at any time succeeds in peaceably getting possession, and is not ousted in a possessory suit, or who for any other reason is in the advantageous position of a defendant, from defending his rights, notwithstanding any sale which the Government may have professed to make under the Waste Lands Act. Quære,—Whether the terms of the Act are not sufficiently satisfied by making it apply to waste lands of Government, and by understanding the claims and objections mentioned in the Act as claims in respect of Government land, and objections with the same limitation. KRISTO CHUNDER DASS v. STEEL

[I. L. R., 12 Calc., 279

s. 18.—Suit for compensation for land wrongly sold as waste.—A purchaser of land sold as waste land under Act XXIII of 1863 cannot be compelled to grant a pottah to a person alleging himself to have been in occupation of the land before the sale. If the claimant has omitted to come in in.due time to stay the sale, and the land has actually been sold, his only remedy is by a suit under section 18 for compensation, making the Collector a defendant. MAGUN POLLAN v. MONEY

[7 W. R., 474

WATER, ESCAPE OF, LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE DONE BY—

See EMBANKMENTS.

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 776

WATER-COURSE, RIGHT TO USE OF-

See Cases under Prescription—Easements—Right to Water. WATER-COURSE, RIGHT TO USE OF —continued.

See Cases under Right to use of Water.

WATER-SUPPLY, CAUSING DIMINU-TION OF—

See MISCHIEF I. L. R., 1 Mad., 262 [I. L. R., 10 Bom., 183

WEIGHTS AND MEASURES, FRAUD-ULENT USE OF-

Penal Code, s. 266.—Fraudulent intention.—The mere possession of weights in excess of the authorised standard will not support a conviction under section 266 of the Penal Code; a fraudulent intent must be charged and proved. Reg. v. Damo Dhar Dalji [1 Bom., 181]

GOVERNMENT v. KANGALEE MUDUK

[18 W. R., Cr., 7

WHARFINGER.

See BILL OF LADING.

[L. L. R., 4 Calc., 736

WHIPPING.

See SENTENCE-WHIPPING.

[Bourke, O. C., 269 5 Mad., Ap., 18 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 158

1. — Juvenile offenders.—Act VI of 1864, s. 3.—Section 3 of Act VI of 1864 (the Whipping Act) applies to juvenile as well as to adult offenders. Reg. v. Kusa valad Lakshman [7 Bom., Cr., 70]

2. First conviction of adults.—Substituted punishment.—In the case of adults on a first conviction, or in the case of juvenile offenders whether for a first offence or otherwise, whipping can only be in lieu of, and not added to, any other punishment. QUEEN v. ABDOOL

[W. R., 1864, Cr., 38

QUEEN v. KANTIBAM . . 1 W. R., Cr., 24
QUEEN v. TONAOKOCH . 2 W. R., Cr., 63
QUEEN v. AMARUT . . 4 W. R., Cr., 20

Under Act VI of 1864 (the Whipping Act), a juvenile offender means a person under the age of sixteen years. Reg. v. Muhammad Ali vallad Abdul Ali [8 Bom., Cr., 9

Act XI of 1864, ss. 5, 10.—Criminal Procedure Code, s. 392.—By the term "juvenile offender" in section 5, Act VI of 1864 (Whipping Act), is meant an offender under the age of sixteen years. Reg. v. Muhammad Ali, 8 Bom., Cr., 9, referred to. Empress v. Din Ali

[I. L. R., 6 All., 482]

5. Sentence of whipping when allowable.—Act VI of 1864, s. 4.—Offence after precious conviction.—The punishment of whipping

WHIPPING.—Sentence of whipping when allowable—continued.

under section 4, Act VI of 1864, can only be inflicted on a second conviction of a person who, having served a sentence of imprisonment, again commits a crime. QUREN v. UDAI PATNAIK

[4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 5: 12 W. R., Cr., 68

6. Offence after previous conviction not shown.—
On a reference by a Sessions Judge under section 434 of the Criminal Procedure Code, a sentence of whipping in addition to one of rigorous imprisonment in the case of an offence specified in section 2 of Act VI of 1864 was annulled, as the offence was not committed after previous conviction. Reg. v. Surya bin Krishna Mandavkab. 3 Bom., Cr., 38

REG. v. BABJI VALAD BAPU . 4 Bom., Cr., 5

7.
Act VI of 1864, s. 3.—Second conviction for offence committed before first conviction.—Section 3 of Act VI of 1864 (the Whipping Act) does not apply to cases in which the second conviction is for an offence committed previously to the first conviction. Reg. v. Kusa Valad Lakshman.
7 Bom., Cr., 70

8. Previous conviction.—A sentence of whipping founded on a previous conviction of the prisoner is only warranted where the subsequent conviction is for the same specific offence as that in respect of which the previous conviction applied. ANONYMOUS. 5 Mad., Ap., 1

Anonymous . . . 5 Mad., Ap., 39

9. Theft in dwelling-house.—Act VI of 1864, s. 3.—Previous conviction of theft.—A prisoner convicted of "theft in a dwelling-house" who has previously been convicted of "simple theft" is not thereby rendered liable to whipping, under Act VI of 1864, section 3. Reg. v. Changia valad Shumia . 7 Bom., Cr., 68

Act VI of 1864, s. 7.—Conviction of dishonestly receiving stolen property.—Previous conviction for theft.—P. was convicted by a Magistrate of the first class of dishonestly receiving stolen property. He confessed on his trial that he had twice previously been convicted of theft. He was sentenced to be whipped, to be rigorously imprisoned, and, on the expiration of the term of imprisonment, to furnish security for good behaviour. Held that the offence of theft not being the same offence as that of dishonestly receiving stolen property, the punishment of whipping was illegal. EMPRESS v. PARTAP

of separate offences.—"House-breaking to commit theft," and "theft."—Whipping Act, VI of 1864, s. 2.—Where a prisoner convicted of "house-breaking in order to commit theft," and of "theft," both offences being portions of one continuous criminal act, was sentenced on the first head of charge to one year's rigorous imprisonment, under section 457 of the Penal Code, and on the second head of charge

WHIPPING.—E of whipping when allowable—continued.

Act VI of 1864, s. 7.—Conviction of theft.—A sentence of whipping cannot, with reference to Act VI of 1864, section 7, be passed on a conviction for theft under section 379, Pensl Code, as the former section only provides for sentences of imprisonment for a term not exceeding three years. Queen v. Esan Chundel Dex Co. 1819

[21 W. R., Cr., 40

[I. L. R., 1 Mad., 56

Attempt at house-breaking with view to theft.—In the case of a conviction of attempting to commit house-breaking by night with intent to commit theft, a sentence of whipping was annulled as being illegal. Reg. v. Yella Valad Parshia . . . 3 Bom., Cr., 37

Substitution of whipping for other punishment.—Sentence.—Theft.—Whipping may be substituted for any other punishment for the offence of theft in a dwelling-house.

QUEEN v. JUNGHOO KHAN 3 W. R., Cr., 36

Act VI of 1864, s. 7.—Whipping in addition to other sentences.—A sentence of whipping passed on a person who is already under sentence of death, or transportation, or penal servitude, or imprisonment for more than five years, is illegal. If the sentence of whipping precede, instead of follow, the other sentence, the passing of the latter sentence renders the infliction of the whipping illegal. Anonymous Case

___ Act VI of 1864. -Power of Magistrate. - When a Magistrate, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 46 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, passed a cumulative sentence against a person convicted at one and the same time of two or more offences punishable under the Penal Code,-Held per PEACOCK, C. J., and PHEAR and SETON-KARE, J J., that he could not, in addition to the penalties prescribed by the Penal Code, sentence the prisoner to whipping under Act VI of 1864; nor could he exceed twice the extent of his ordinary jurisdiction as defined by section 22 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1861. Held, further, per SETON-KARB, J., that, in the case of hardened offenders, a Magistrate can award whipping in addition to the maximum of imprisonment which he is competent to award. Held per MACPHERSON and JACKSON, JJ., that the Magistrate may, in such case, in addition to awarding double the punishment which may be awarded for a single offence, award the punishment of whipping; but only one whipping can be awarded. Nassie v. Chunder

[B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 951: 9 W. R., Cr., 41 RUTTUN BEWA v. BUHUE. JHOWLA v. BUHUE [14 W. R., Cr., 7] WHIPPING.—Sentence of whipping when allowable—continued.

- Act VI of 1864.-Penal Code, ss. 325, 342, 378 .- Criminal Procedure Code (Act XXV of 1861), s. 46 .- Cumulative sentences .- Where the prisoner was convicted by the Magistrate of three distinct and separate offences, and was sentenced to a month's imprisonment for the offence of wrongful confinement under section 342, six months' imprisonment for the offence of voluntarily causing grievous hurt under section 325, and to whipping with twenty stripes for the offence of theft under section 378 of the Penal Code, it was held (KEMP and PHEAR, JJ., dissenting) that the sentence was legal. Where a person is convicted at the same time of two or more offences punishabls under the Penal Code, -Held (KEMP and PHEAR, JJ., dissenting) that it is lawful for the Court, in addition to the penalties prescribed by the Penal Code, to sentence the prisoner to whipping. Nassir v. Chunder, B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 951, not followed. MANIBUDDIN v. GAUB CHANDRA SHAMADAR

[7 B. L. R., F. B., 165: 15 W. R., Cr., 89

18. Magistrate of second class under Criminal Procedure Code, 1872.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1882), ss. 2 and 32.—A person appointed a Magistrate of the second class under Act X of 1872 is incompetent, since the coming into force of Act X of 1882, to pass a sentence of whipping, unless he is specially empowered so to do according to the provisions of section 32 of the latter Act. EMPRESS V. BILGUANTA RAVJI • [I. L. R., 7 Bom., 303]

19. Whipping in addition to imprisonment.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, ss. 305, 310.—In passing a sentence of whipping in addition to six months' imprisonment, a Deputy Magistrate ordered that the prisoner should be brought before him at the termination of the imprisonment, and that the sentence of whipping should then be carried out. On the recommendation of the Sessions Judge (who referred to sections 305 and 310, Act X of 1872), the High Court cancelled the sentence of whipping as having become inoperative and incapable of being carried out. Hue Chundra Kulal v. Jafer Ali

20. — Grounds for sentence of whipping.—Statement of grounds in judgment.— When a sentence of whipping is imposed the grounds for that punishment should be stated on the judgment. BADIYA v. QUEEN. I.L. R., 5 Mad., 158

21. Previous convictions, Proof of.—Kyfeut.—As a rule, before flogging is given as an additional punishment, there ought to be formal evidence upon the record of the previous convictions relied on. The conviction and identity of the prisoner ought to be proved in the regular way: a mere kyfeut is no evidence whatever. Queen v. Nuzhe Nushyo

22. — Mode of infliction of sentence of whipping.—Stay of sentence, Grounds for.—Act VI of 1864, ss. 11 and 12.—Meaning of the

WHIPPING.—Mode of infliction of sentence of whipping—continued.

words "execution shall be stayed" in Act VI of 1864, section 11. Sections 11 and 12 together mean that a man sentenced to whipping is not to be whipped unless in a fit state to bear it; the whipping should not be commenced, but if it be commenced it is not to be continued longer than the man is fit to bear it; and then the sentence has been satisfied, for it cannot be executed by instalments. Anonymous

[3 Mad., Ap., 1

23. Time after sentence within which whipping may be given.—Act VI of 1864, s. 9.—A sentence of flogging cannot be carried out after the expiry of the limit of fifteen days from date of sentence provided in section 9 of Act VI of 1864. Anonymous . 6 Mad., Ap., 38

WIDOW.

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW-ALIENA-TION-ALIENATION BY WIDOW.

See HINDU LAW—INHERITANCE—SPECIAL HEIRS—FEMALES—WIDOW.

See Cases under Hindu Law—Maintenance—Right to Maintenance— Widow.

See Cases under Hindu Law—Partition—Right to Partition—Widow.

See Cases under Hindu Law—Partition—Shares on Partition—Widow.

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW-REVER-

See Cases under Hindu Law—Stridhan.
See Cases under Hindu Law—Widow.

See PRE-EMPTION—RIGHT OF PRE-EMP-TION . I. L. R., 1 All., 452 [I. L. R., 8 All., 17 I. L. R., 7 All., 860

Power of alienation.

See Cases under Hindu Law—Widow—
Power of Widow—Power of Disposition or Alienation.

- Power of disposition of-

See Cases under Hindu Law-Widow
-Power of Widow-Power of Disposition or Alienation.

- Purchase by-

See BENAMI TRANSACTION — ONUS OF PROOF 6 B. L. R., 303

- Refusal or omission to adopt.

See HINDU LAW—WILL—CONSTRUCTION
OF WILLS—SPECIAL CASES OF CONSTRUCTION—OMISSION OF REFUSAL TO
ADOPT . 3 B. L. R., O. C., 85

WIDOW-continued.

---- Rights of-

See Mahomedan I.aw—Inheritance.
[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 702
17 W. R., P. C., 108
11 Bom., 104

WIDOWS INHERITING JOINTLY.

See HINDU LAW-INHERITANCE-SPECIAL HEIRS-FEMALES-WIDOW.

[I. L. R., 1 Mad., 290 1 Bom., 66 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 59 8 Mad., 268, 424 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 194 I. L. R., 7 All., 114

See HINDU LAW-PARTITION-RIGHT TO PARTITION-WIDOW.

[I. L. R., 1 Mad., 290 3 Mad., 424 6 B. L. R., 134 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 194

WIFE.

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW-CONTRACT
-HUSBAND AND WIFE,

See Cases under Hindu Law—Partition
—Right to Partition—Wife.

See Cases under Hindu Law—Partition—Shares on Partition—Wife.

See HUSBAND AND WIFE.

- Action for harbouring-

See RESTITUTION OF CONJUGAL RIGHTS.
[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 164

— Custody of—

See Habbas Corpus . 13 B. L. R., 160
See Hindu Law-Guardian-Right of Guardianship . 23 W. R., 178
See Mahomedan Law-Custody of Wife . . . 5 B. L. R., 557
[13 B. L. R., 160

- Evidence of, against husband.

See EVIDENCE—CRIMINAL CASES—HUSBAND AND WIFE.

[B. L. R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 11
7 Bom., Cr., 50

-- Maintenance of-

See Cases under Hindu Law-Maintenance-Right to Maintenance-Wife.

separated from husband, Liability of, for debts.

See HINDU LAW-CONTRACT-HUSBAND AND WIFE . I. L. R., 1 Bom., 121

WILD ANIMALS.

1. Animals fere nature. - Escape of wild animals kept in confinement. - Return

WILD ANIMALS.—Animals feræ naturæ —continued.

or pursuit of such animals. — Wild animals are no longer the property of a man than while they continue in his keeping or actual possession; but if they regain their natural liberty, his property ceases until they have a mind to return, which is only to be known by their usual custom of returning, or are instantly pursued by their owner, for during such pursuit his property remains. Chytun Churn Doss n. Collector of Sylhet . 21 W. R., 75

Capture of wild elephant.—Right of owner of land where captured.

—Right of finder.—A wild elephant having fallen into a pit made by K. N. in his own land, was secured, removed, and tamed by U. M., without the leave of K. N. Held that K. N. was the captor, and that U. M. acquired no property in the elephant. MAKATH UNNI MOYI v. MALABAR KANDAPUNNI NAIR [I. L. R., 4 Mad., 268]

S. Escaped elephant.

Ownership.—Recapture.—A tame female elephant escaped from her master's field in company with a herd of wild elephants and resumed her natural wild habits. The owner-plaintiff abandoned his search after two months, and then offered a reward of R200 to any person who should recapture her. At the end of four months she was recaptured by the defendant, who was compelled to tame her in the same way as if she had been an ordinary wild elephant. Plaintiff offered the reward of R200 to the defendant and demanded the elephant, but the demand was refused.

Held that under the circumstances the plaintiff had lost all claim to the animal. PEAL v. CAMPBELL

[3 C. L. R., 515

WILL.

		Col.
1. EXECUTION		. 6196
2. ATTESTATION		. 6196
3. FORM OF WILL		. 6199
4. NUNCUPATIVE WILL		. 6200
5. VALIDITY OF WILL		. 6200
6. Inspection of Will .		. 6201
7. RENUNCIATION BY EXECUTOR		. 6201
8. Construction	·	. 6202
See CHARITABLE BEQUEST.	1 0	1- 000

[I. L. R., 1 Calc., 303 L. R., 3 I. A., 32 26 W. R., 1 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 591

See Domicile . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 106

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW-WILL.

See LAND TENURE IN CALCUTTA.

[1 Moore's I. A., 305, 399

See CASES UNDER MAHOMEDAN LAW-WILL.

See Mortgage—Form of Mortgages.
[1. L. R., 1 All, 753

See CASES UNDER PROBATE.

WILL-continued.

--- Exemplification of--See Succession Act, s. 237. [8 B. L. R., Ap., 76

Revocation of-

See HINDU LAW-WILL-NUNCUPATIVE
WILLS I. L. R., 3 Calc., 626
See Succession Act, s. 56.
[I. L. R., 1 Calc., 158

Suit by person claiming under-

See CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE, 1882, s. 50. [I. L. R., 6 Bom., 73

--- Statement of value of property in--

See EVIDENCE-CIVIL CASES-RECITALS IN DOCUMENTS . I. L. R., 1 Bom., 561

1. EXECUTION.

of testator.—Nature of execution required.—To entitle the executor to probate, the signature of the testator must be that of a conscious person, and not the results of mere mechanical movement of the hand, KALEE TABA DOSSIA v. NOBIN CHUNDER.

21 W. R., 84

2. ATTESTATION.

2. Directions as to attestation.

—Succession Act, s. 50.—Probate.—An unprivileged will will not be recognised by the Court and admitted to probate unless executed in accordance with the directions contained in Part VIII, Act X of 1865, such directions being imperative and not merely declaratory. Held that the words "in the presence of the testator," in clause 3 of section 50 of the Succession Act, may receive the same construction that has been put upon them in the English Courts, but cannot receive larger interpretation. Esais Gabriel 3 N. W., 32

3. Presence of witnesses.—Succession Act (X of 1865). s. 50.—Where the testator does not himself sign the will, but some other person signs it in his presence and by his direction, then, besides this other person, there must be two witnesses who must sign the will in the presence of the testator. In the goods of Roymoney Dossee, I. L. R., 1 Calc., 150; and Hurro Sundari Dabia v. Chunder Kant Bhuttacharjee, I. L. R., 6 Calc., 17, cited. IN THE MATTEE OF THE PETITION OF HEMILOTA DABER [I. L. R., 9 Calc., 226

S. C. GRISH CHUNDER BANERJEE v. HEMIOTA DEBI . . . 11 C. L. R., 859

4. — Attesting witness.—Succession Act, s. 50.—Signature made fir testator by party afterwards attesting.—The person making the signature of a will for the testator is not competent as an attesting witness of its execution under the provisions

2. ATTESTATION—continued.

Attesting witness-continued.

of the Succession Act. In the goods of Bailey, 1 Curt., 914; and Smith v. Harris, 1 Rob., 262, distinguished. In the Goods of Nanabhai Sobabji Mestri. Avabai v. Pestanji Nanabhai

[11 Bom., 87

Mode of attestation.—Execuof will.-Wills Act, XXV of 1838, s. 7.-Section 7 of the Wills Act, XXV of 1838, enacts "that no will shall be valid unless it shall be in writing and executed in manner hereinafter mentioned: (that is to say) it shall be signed at the foot or end thereof by the testator or by some other person in his presence and by his direction, and such signature shall be made or acknowledged by the testator in the presence of two or more witnesses present at the same time; and such witnesses shall subscribe the will in the presence of the testator, but no form of attestation shall be necessary." A testator signed his will in the presence of a witness who subscribed it in his presence, and some time afterwards, upon the arrival of another witness, the testator, in the joint presence of the former witness and the other subscribing witness, acknowledged his subscription at the foot of the will. The second witness then subscribed the will, and the first witness in his and the testator's presence acknowledged his subscription but did not re-subscribe. Held by the Judicial Committee (affirming the decision of the Supreme Court at Calcutta) that the requirements of the Act had not been sufficiently complied with; it being necessary that both witnesses should be jointly present at the same act of the testator and jointly subscribe it in his presence. CASEMENT v. FULTON

Acknowledgment of signature by testator.—It is a sufficient acknowledgment by a testator of his signature to his will if he makes the attesting witnesses understand that the paper which they attest is his will, though they do not see him sign it, or observe any signature to the paper which they attest, provided that the Court is satisfied that the testator's signature was on the will when the witnesses attested it. MANICKBAI v. HOR-

masji Bomanji

[3 Moore's I. A., 395

. I. L. R., 1 Bom., 547

7. Sufficiency of attestation.— Succession Act (X of 1865), s. 50.—Probate.—Hindu Wills Act (XXI of 1870), s. 2.—By the Succession Act, section 50, no particular form of attestation is necessary: therefore, where, to a document purporting to be her last will and testament, the name of the testatrix was written by A., and the testatrix then in his presence affixed her mark, and A. in her presence wrote beneath it "by the pen of A.," and the testatrix was then identified to the Registrar, who was present, by B., who had seen her affix her mark to the document, and who in her presence put his signature as having identified her,—Held a sufficient attestation; and probate was granted. In the GOODS OF ROYMONEY DOSSEE . I. L. R., 1 Calc., 150

WILL-continued.

2. ATTESTATION—continued.

Sufficiency of attestation-continued.

Grant of probate. -Signature.-1 Vict., c. 26 (Wills Act), s. 9.-Succession Act (Act X of 1865), s. 50.—To the will of A., a British-born subject and a member of the Bengal Civil Service, who died in India possessed of personal property only, a native servant of the testator, purporting to attest the will, appended words in the Persian character signifying "this is A.'s signature." Held, on an application for probate, that this was not a sufficient subscription of the will. Semble, -A signature by mark would be a sufficient signature to a will by a witness under the Succession Act. In THE GOODS OF WYNNE. . 13 B. L. R., 392

Succession Act (X of 1865), s. 50.—Hindu Wills Act (XXI of 1870), s. 2.—Section 50 of the Succession Act (X of 1865) clearly intends that the two attesting witnesses to a will shall sign their names after the testator or testatrix shall have executed the will. Bissonath Dinda v. Doyaram Jana, I. L. R., 5 Calc., 738; and Fernandez v. Alves, I. L. R., 3 Bom., 382, followed. If a testatrix admits a signature on a will to be hers before a Registrar of Assurances, and is identified before him by one of the witnesses to the signature. and both the Registrar and the identifier sign their names as witnesses to the admission made,-Held that such an attestation would be sufficient to satisfy section 50 of Act X of 1865. In the goods of Roymoney Dossee, I. L. R., 1 Calc., 150, followed. In THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF HURRO SUN-DARI DABIA. HURBO SUNDARI DABIA v. CHUN-DER KANT BHUTTACHARJEE

[I. L. R., 6 Calc., 17: 6 C. L. R., 303

Attesting witness, when he should sign.—Succession Act (X of 1865), s. 50.—The signatures of two or more attesting witnesses to a will required by section 50 of the Succession Act (X of 1865) must be attached to the will after, and not before, the testator's signing or affixing his mark to it. Quare,— Whether a will can be properly attested by a marksman. BISSONATH DINDA v. DOYARAM JANA

[I. L. R., 5 Calc., 738: 5 C. L. R., 565

Succession (X of 1865), s. 50.-Witness.-Signature.-Mark. -The direction contained in section 50, clause 3, of the Succession Act (No. X of 1865) as to the signature of witnesses attesting an unprivileged will, is not satisfied by the witnesses affixing their marks. It is necessary for the validity of a will that the actual signature, as distinguished from a mere mark, of at least two witnesses should appear on the face of the will. FERNANDEZ v. ALVES [I. L. R., 3 Bom., 382

Will attested by marksmen .- Witness .- Signature .- Mark .- Succession Act (X of 1865), s. 50.—The direction contained in section 50, clause 3 of the Succession Act, as to each of the witnesses signing the will,

2.

Sufficiency of attestation-continued.

is not satisfied by the witnesses affixing their marks, and it is necessary for the validity of a will that the signature, as distinguished from a mere mark, of at least two witnesses, should appear on the will. Fernandez v. Alves, I. L. R., 3 Bom., 382, followed; In the goods of Wynne, 15 B. L. R., 392, dissented from. If a testator, on presenting his will for registration, admits a signature on the will to be his before a Registrar, and is identified before him by a witness, and both the Registrar and the identifier sign their names on the will as witnesses to the admission of the testator, such attestation is sufficient to satisfy the requirements of clause 3 of section 50, Act X of 1865. In the matter of Hurro Sundari Dabia, I. L. R., 6 Calc., 17, followed. NITYE GOPAL SIRCAR v. NAGENDRA NATH MITTER . I. L. R., 11 Calc., 429

- by attesting witness.—The mere fact of an attesting witness to a will repudiating his signature does not invalidate a will, if it can be proved by the evidence of other witnesses of a reliable character that he has given false evidence. If he does repudiate it uncontradicted, the mere fact of there being two witnesses purporting to have witnessed the testator's signature is not a compliance with section 50 of the Succession Act. Nubo Kishore Doss v. Joy Doorga Dossee . . . 22 W. R., 189
- 14. Forged attestations, Effect of.—Effect on title given under genuine portion of will.—C., under a power given to her by the will of L., her husband, a Hindu, sold certain land to R. After the sale certain forged attestations were added to the will. In a suit brought by the heir of L. to recover the property sold by C. to R., R. relied on the will which was produced by other defendants in the suit. Held that R.'s title could not be affected by the forgery. PARAMMA v. RAMACHANDRA

 [I. L. R., 7 Mad., 302]

3. FORM OF WILL.

15. — Buddhist will.—Probate.—
Succession Act (X of 1865), s. 331.—Probate may be granted of the will of a Buddhist made after the 1st January 1866. It is not necessary that the will of a Buddhist should be executed according to the formalities prescribed by the Succession Act. IN THE MATTER OF KOKYA DINE

[2 B. L. R., A. C., 79: 10 W. R., 417

16. Testamentary document.—
Will to have effect on contingency.—Probate.—A., being ill and away from home, wrote to his brother B. certain directions as to the management of his property, and concluded: "Brother, if I die of this sickness and C. survive me, then whatever property I have you will give one half to C.," &c. In another and subsequent letter he wrote to B.: "I don't think that the illness I am now suffering from will terminate fatally; but in case I should die, then you will give to C. one half of my Company's papers," &c.

WILL-continued.

8. FORM OF WILL-continued.

Testamentary document-continued.

"I appoint you turney (executor) in all matters relating to C.," &c. A. recovered from the fever, but died suddenly a year later, without having made any other testamentary disposition of his property. In a suit brought by B. as executor of A., according to the tenor of these documents, against the widow of A., for the purpose of having probate of them granted to him as of the will of the deceased,—Held (reversing the judgment of MACPHERSON, J.) that the documents were only intended to have a testamentary effect in the event of A.'s having died of the sickness he was suffering from at the time of writing, and therefore probate which had been granted by the Court at the original hearing was ordered to be brought in and cancelled. Kamenee Dossee v. Bissonath Ghose 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 6

17. — Codicil.—Probate, Application for.—Document referring to will.—After letters of administration with the will annexed had been granted, the administrator found a book containing memoranda in the testator's handwriting, made after the date of the will, and directing certain dispositions of his property. One entry referred in express terms to the will. The testator was a domiciled Scotchman. Held, on a petition by the administrator, asking that the memoranda might be admitted to probate, that the memoranda were not testamentary documents, and the petition was therefore dismissed. In the goods of Wemyss

II. L. R., 4 Calc., 721

4. NUNCUPATIVE WILL.

18. Validity of nuncupative will.—Roman Catholics of Portuguese extraction.—Intestate succession.—Quære,—Whether a Roman Catholic of Portuguese extraction can, under the law current amongst members of that church in Chittagong, take under a nuncupative will; and if not, to what is a wife entitled under the law regulating succession of intestates amongst members of that church? REBERIEO v. REBRIEO . 3 W. R., 63

5. VALIDITY OF WILL.

- 19. Military testamentary document.—Application for probate.—Lapse of time.

 —Invalidity of will.—A military testament valid in its inception may be deprived of its privilege by lapse of time. IN RE GODEY . 1 Hyde, 196
- 20. Will of Cutchi Memon.—
 Will diposing of ancestral property.—Wills made
 by members of the Cutchi Memon community, whereby the testators disposed of property which was
 proved to be ancestral,—Held to be invalid. Mahomed Sidick v. Ahmed. Abdulla Haji Abdsatar
 v. Ahmed . . . I. L. R., 10 Bom., 1
- 21. Will of East Indian testatrix out of civil jurisdiction of High Court. English law.—The provisions of the English law

5. VALIDITY OF WILL-continued.

Will of East Indian testatrix out of civil jurisdiction of High Court—continued.

as to the administration of and succession to the estate of a British subject dying testate apply to the will of an East Indian testatrix (the illegitimate daughter of a Mahomedan woman) who resided and died without the limits of the ordinary civil jurisdiction of the High Court. HOGG v. GREENWAY. GREENWAY v. HOGG

[Cor., 97: Bourke, A. O. C., 111

Will procured by importunity of wife.—Succession Act, s. 48.—Undue influence.—The wife of the testator persuaded him to execute a will in supersession of a former will less favourable to her, but the influence which she exerted was not such as to deprive the testator of the exercise of his judgment and volition. Held that the conduct of the wife did not amount to undue influence. Morison v. Administrator General of Madras . . . I. I. R., 7 Mad., 515

23. Proof of genuineness of will.

—Registration and attestation.—When a document
propounded as a will is proved to have been executed
and registered by the alleged testator, it is still
essential to inquire into the circumstances connected
with its execution and registration, when the will is
inofficious and there are other suspicious matters
connected with it. KISTO CHUEN MOJOOMDAE v.
DWARKA NATH BISWAS . . . 10 W. R., 32

6. INSPECTION OF WILL.

of kin of deceased.—The Court, on the application of one who is next of kin of a deceased Hindu, ordered a person in possession of an alleged will of the deceased to bring in and deposit the same with the officer of the Court for the purpose of being inspected, and a copy thereof taken by such applicant. IN THE GOODS of BALKEISHNA GANPATJI. 1 Bom., 114

7. RENUNCIATION BY EXECUTOR.

25. — Procedure after renunciation.—Proof of execution of will in Court.—Administration accounts.—A Hindu testator empowered his executor to lay out such portion of his estate as the executor might think fit towards charitable purposes, and did not dispose of the residue of the estate. The executor renounced, and no probate of the will or letters of administration, with the will annexed, was granted. In a suit by the testator's sole heiress for construction of the will and for administration, the Court allowed the execution of the will to be proved in Court, declared that it was void for uncertainty, and directed the usual administration accounts to be taken. Surbomungola Dabbe v. Mohendronath Nath . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 508

WILL-continued.

8. CONSTRUCTION.

Rules of construction. Intention of testator.—Meaning of "purchase."— The rule of construction applicable to a will is that words in general are to be taken in their (rdinary and grammatical sense unless a clear intention to use them in another can be collected. If the language of a will is perfectly unambiguous and precise, it cannot be strained for the purpose of giving effect to what possibly might have been the intention of the testator, but is not expressed or implied in the terms of the testament. G., by a clause in his will, gave his wife a life-interest in the house in his possession, and in those which he might afterwards "purchase." In G.'s lifetime his younger brother died, and G. thereby acquired a house by inheritance. Held, there being nothing to show that G. had used the word "purchase" in any other than its ordinary sense, that the language of the will could not be strained in order to give effect to what possibly might have been the testator's desire had he foreseen the death of his younger brother in his own lifetime, but was not expressed or implied in the terms of the testament. and that the house did not pass, under the will, to the testator's widow. George v. George

[6 N. W., 219

Effect of words excluding from inheritance.—Heir-at-law.—A., a Parsi inhabitant of Surat, died there on the 13th February 1879, leaving him surviving the following relations, viz.: A daughter J. (the respondent) by his first wife, who had predeceased him; his second wife Dhanbai, who lived apart from him; his third wife, who had been divorced by him, and whose son A. he did not recognise as his own; and his three sisters D., S., and G., the first-named of whom had been married to K., and whose son E. was the appellant. By his will A. expressly directed that neither his daughter J. nor his widow Dhanbai should take any share of his property, the whole of which he bequeathed to his brother R., who, however, predeceased him. Held that the use of mere negative words, unaccompanied by any effective disposition of his property, could not exclude his daughter J. or his widow Dhanbai from succeeding to their shares of the estate. Erasha Kaikhusru v. Jerbai

[I. L. R., 4 Bom., 537

estate how calculated.—Intention of testator.—
Other questions disposed of in the Court of first intance having remained undecided by the High Court, which dealt with the question of jurisdiction alone, were considered with reference to whether there had or had not been shown any good reason for reversing or varying the order of the original Court. Among these, the question whether the manager's commission was to be calculated on the gross rental of the estate, or on the income divisible among the sharers, was held to be settled by the indication of the latter mode of calculation in the will. Order S. SKINMER

[I. L. R., 3 All., 91: 7 C. L. R., 295 L. R., 7 I. A., 197

8. CONSTRUCTION—continued.

Armenian will.—Devise.—Absolute estate.—Estate for life.—An Armenian, by his will in the Bengali language, made a gift to his son in the following terms: "I bequeath to A as salamati my talooks (which he named) and R6,000 in cash. He shall enjoy the profits of the aforesaid talooks. On his demise his sons shall get. The mooktears shall make over to the satisfaction of A." Held that the will was to be construed according to equity and good conscience, and not according to English law. The rule applicable was that, unless a contrary intention appeared, the estate given was an absolute one. A. took an absolute estate under the devise. Broughton v. Pogose

[12 B. L. R., 74:19 W. R., 181

30. · Superstitious uses, English law against.—Application of English law to India.—Semble,—The English rule of law which prohibits the bequest of money for superstitious uses has no application in India. JUDAH v. JUDAH

[5 B. L. R., 433

31. Bequest for performance of Masses.—Validity of bequest.—A bequest in a will of a sum of money for the performance of masses in Calcutta is valid. ANDREWS v. JOAKIM

[2 B. L. R., O. C., 148

32. Validity of bequest.—Gift to superstitious uses.—A bequest by a Roman Catholic of Portuguese descent, born and domiciled in Calcutta, for the performance of masses, in not a gift to superstitious use. DAS MERGES v. CONES

33. Legacy to attesting witness.

Succession Act, s. 54.—A legacy to the attesting witness of a will is void under section 54 of the Succession Act, whether or not the attestation of the witness is indispensable to the validity of the will.

ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL v. LAZAR

[I. L. R., 4 Mad., 244

34. Legacy to minor.—Absolute gift.—Discretion of executor.—Where there is an absolute bequest and power to executor to delay making over the legacy at discretion,—Held that, on attaining majority, the legatee should at once be put in possession. Dr Silva v. Dr Silva

[1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 16: Bourke, O. C., 281

St. Domestic servant.—Legacy, Suit for.—Sirang.—The testator, a Hindu, made a will in the English form and language, in which he bequeathed (inter alia) as follows: "To each of my domestic servants in Calcutta who shall have been in my service ten years and upwards at the time of my death R100 for every rupee of monthly salary drawn by them from me respectively." The plaintiff had been in the service of the testator for about forty years as sirang on board a steamer which the testator kept on the river, and in which he used to visit his zemindaries and perform other journeys by water. The plaintiff was in the habit of daily attending at the

WILL-continued.

8.

Domestic servant-continued.

testator's residence, and there obeying any orders that might be given him. If the steamer was not needed, the plaintiff used to attend at the testator's residence from early in the morning to about one in the afternoon, returning to take his meals and stop on board the steamer. Held that he was entitled to take under the legacy as a domestic servant of the testator. Dhanno SIEANG v. UPENDEA MOHAN TAGORE 8 B. L. R., 244

Washerman.

—Held on the evidence, that the plaintiff had failed to prove he was a domestic servant of the testator, so as to entitle him to take a legacy under this clause. BHIM DAS v. UPENDRA MOHAN TAGORE

[9 B. L. R., Ap., 4

· Husband and wife.—Trustee. -Sole use and benefit. A testator made the following bequest in his will: "I give, devise, and bequeath to my dearly-beloved wife all the stock-intrade, furniture, mourning coaches, horses belonging thereto, stones, marbles, tools, implements, and materials connected with my trade and business, and all my right and interest therein; and after payment of my debts and other expenses, I give, devise, and bequeath the rest and residue of my outstandings and collections for her sole use and benefit, with liberty to continue and carry on such trade and business." The testator's widow married a second husband, and they carried on the business of the deceased together. They afterwards separated, and she brought a suit against her husband for a declaration of her right under the will, and for an account from her husband of the profits, &c., of the business during their marriage. Held (reversing the decision of the Court below) that, on the true construction of the will, the stock-in-trade, &c., was not bequeathed to the wife for her sole and separate use independent of any future husband; her husband did not become a trustee for her in respect of such stock-in-trade or the profits of the business, and he was not bound to render an account. ORD v. ORD

38. Charitable bequest.—1

[4 B. L. R., O. C., 53

quest for spiritual benefit.—Uncertainty.—Superstitious uses.—N. E. J., a Hebrew merchant domiciled in Calcutta, and possessed of both real and personal property, died, leaving a will, by which, after appointing his mother, K. E. J., and his brother, J. E. J., executrix and executor thereof, and making various bequests and provisions, he made the following bequest of the residue of his property: "And what may remain after payment of the above-mentioned sums, as well as the debts, shall remain under the control of my brothers, S. E. J., and J. E. J., for the purpose of defraying therewith the expenses for the year, and making charitable distributions as commanded, and giving alms for my spiritual benefit according to their judgment." Held, assuming that the High Court should act in conformity with the English Court of Chancery in carrying out charitable

8. CONSTRUCTION—continued.

Charitable bequest-continued.

bequests, that, as far as the bequest related to giving of alms for the testator's spiritual benefit, it was void for uncertainty. The "defraying expenses and making charitable distribution" were limited by the bequest to the year within which the testator died. JUDAH v. JUDAH . . 5 B. L. R., 433

43 Eliz., c. 4.-Mortmain, Statutes of .- Hospital .- Clause prohibiting alienation.—A testator left his personal property to trustees in trust to pay thereout certain annuities to his son and daughter, and, after bequeathing some pecuniary legacies, devised certain immoveable property to the trustees in perpetuity in trust for the support of hospitals in the North-West Provinces, with directions that the surplus income (if any) from his personalty during the lives of his children, and on the death of either of them, his or her annuity, and on the death of both of them, the whole income of the personalty, should be applied in support of the hospitals. The will also contained a provision that the property should never be sold. In a suit for the construction, and for declaration of the trusts, of the will, it appeared that the income of the personalty was not more than sufficient, after payment of the legacies, to pay the annuities to the testator's children, and that the immoveable property was greatly in need of repairs and did not produce enough for the support of the hospitals, or to enable the trusts of the will relating thereto to be carried out. Held that the devise for the support of the hospitals was a valid devise, and one to which the Court would give effect, as being a charitable trust within the scope of 43 Elizabeth, Cap. 4. The Statutes of Mortmain not appliying to India, the Court will carry out such a trust when the subject is immoveable property, just as it would if it had been personal property. Held also that, if the prohibition against sale were a valid one, the Court could not order a sale merely because it would be advantageous to the charity that the property should be sold; but held that the prohibition against sale was void as being repugnant to the devise, and, notwithstanding such prohibition, the trustees had power to sell, or otherwise alienate, the property for the purpose of maintaining the hospitals. BROUGHTON v. MERCER

[14 B. L. R., 442

Void bequests.—
Uncertainty.—"Surplus."—General residuary bequest.—A testator by his will directed as follows:
"I do hereby direct my trustee to feed the really needy and poor at Gopeenathjee out of a separate expense out of my estate, to be contributed to the worship of Lukeejonardunjee, my ancestral goddess. I do direct my trustee to spend suitable sums for the annual sradhs or anniversaries of my father, mother, and grandfather, as well as of myself after my demise, for the performance of the ceremonies and the feeding of the Brahmins and the poor; to spend suitable sums for the annual contribution and gifts to the Brahmins, Pundits holding tolls for learning in the country at the time of the

WILL—continued.

8. CONSTRUCTION—continued.

Charitable bequest-continued.

Doorga Pooja; to spend suitable sums for the perusal of Mohabharat and Pooran and for the prayer of God during the month of Kartick. Should there be any surplus after the above expenditure, then I do hereby direct my trustee to spend the said surplus in the contribution towards the marriage of the daughters of the poor in my class and of the poor Brahmins, and towards the education of the sons of the poor amongst my class, and of the poor Brahmins, and other respectable castes, as my trustee will think fit to comply." Held that the gifts were valid testamentary bequests, and that the words "should there be any surplus after the above expenditure" created a general residuary bequest. Held, on appeal (affirming the decision of the Court below), that a general residuary bequest was created by the concluding words of the clause, which would absorb any of the preceding bequests, if they should happen to be invalid. Quære,—Whether the bequests to pundits holding tolls, and for the reading of the Mohabharat and Pooran and for prayer to God, were valid. DWARKANATH BYSACK v. BUBBODA PERSAUD . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 443 BYSACK

Cyprès, Doctrine of .- A testatrix bequeathed the interest of a Government promissory note to "The Calcutta Armenian Orphans' College Funds for the Relief and Enjoyment of the Poor Families, Widows, Orphans, and Schools of the Armenian Nation," to be received half-yearly by the wardens of the funds for the time being. Although there was a charity in Madras, called "The Armenian Orphans' College," there was none in Calcutta or elsewhere answering the description of the Calcutta Armenian Orphans' College, but there were two, and only two, charitable institutions in Calcutta which provided for the relief and enjoyment of the poor families, widows, orphans, and schools of the Armenian nation. Of these, one, the Church of St. Nazareth, distributed money amongst, and gave relief to, the poor families, widows, and orphans of the Armenian community; and the other, the Armenian Philanthropic Academy, educated gratuitously the poor and orphans of the same community. The note was invested by order of the Court, and there had been a large accumulation of interest thereon. The governors of the two institutions concurred in asking that each should receive a moiety of the accrued and future interest of the fund. Held that the cyprès doctrine applied; that the accumulated interest should remain invested; but that the accruing interest on the accumulated fund should be paid half-yearly, one moiety to the wardens of St. Nazareth's Church, and the other to the managers of the Armenian Philanthropic Academy. LONGBOTTOM c. . 1 Mad., 429 SATOOR

42. Gift.—Cyprès, Doctrine of.—Lapse of legacy.—Costs.—Under the will of A., who appointed the Administrator General of Bengal his executor, B. had a life-interest in the residue of the testator's estate. B. brought a suit against the Administrator General to have it declared

8. CONSTRUCTION—continued.

Charitable bequest-continued.

that a pecuniary legacy, given under the will, had lapsed and fallen into the residue. Prior to the hearing it was agreed between B. and the Administrator General that the costs of the suit should come out of the testator's estate; this agreement was embodied in a consent order obtained on the application of the plaintiff. The suit was dismissed, and this decision was affirmed on appeal. On the question of costs,—Held that the estate of the testator not being before the Court, the agreement as to costs could not be carried out, and that the plaintiff must pay the costs of all parties to the suit. MALCHUS c. BROUGHTON . I. L. R., 13 Calc., 193

Appointment of trustee.—Failure to carry out wishes of testator.—Where a testator had made a bequest for charitable purposes, and had made no express provision for the management of the charitable trust so created, except by directing that, in the event of his heirs failing to carry out his wishes in respect of the trust fund, the Civil Court should take the fund and the management of the trust summarily into its own hands,—Held that, in the absence of misconduct, the widow, and not the Collector, was the proper person to be appointed trustee. HORI DASI DABI V. SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA IN COUNCIL

[I. L. R., 5 Calc., 228: 4 C. L. R., 77

44. Children.—Domicile.—Rules for interpretation .- Accretions to property from rents .- Where a testator has an ascertained domicile, the construction of his will must depend on the law of that domicile; but if no particular law is applicable, the will is to be interpreted by principles of natural justice. In such cases, in applying the rules of Hindu, Mahomedan, or English law to the wills of Hindus, Mahomedans, or East Indian Christians respectively, their particular habits and modes of life may be looked to as a guide to the interpretation. From the context of the will and surrounding circumstances, "children" may be interpreted as illegitimate children. Where by the will the income of estates was left to devisees for life, with a gift over of the corpus on their death, and a portion of the income, instead of being divided among the tenantsfor-life, was applied to the purchase of other estates, —Held, those estates did not pass to the remaindermen, but formed the absolute property of the tenantsfor-life, and passed to their devisees. BARLOW v. . 5 B. L. R., 1: 13 W. R., P. C., 41 [13 Moore's I. A., 277

45. — Contingent gift.—Puttro poutradi, Meaning of.—Absolute estate.—A Hindu, B. L. M., died in 1874, leaving a widow, K. K. D., a daughter's daughter, H. D. D., and a brother, R. L. M., with whom he was on bad terms. By his will, which was made on the 9th of August 1870, and at a time when there was no reason to abandon all expectation of his leaving male issue of his own, B. L. M. directed that, in the event of his dying without leaving a son, grandson, or son's grandson, his widow

WILL-continued.

8.

Contingent gift -continued.

K. K. D. should take the whole of his estate according to the shastras, and enjoy the profits thereof for her life, and that on her death, in the event of a daughter or daughters having been born to him, then she or they, and on the death of her or them, then her or their son or sons (the testator's daughter's sons) should in like manner take and become the owner or owners of the estate according to the shastras; and that in the event of there being no daughter or daughter's son of the testator living at the time of the death of his widow, then his grand-daughter (daughter's daughter) H. D. D. should take the whole estate absolutely from generation to generation (puttro poutradi); and that, in the event of no son or daughter being born to the testator after the execution of his will, and of his grand-daughter (daughter's daughter) H. D. D. dying childless, or being a barren or childless widow, or otherwise disqualified, then the whole of his property should go to the Government, to be employed by it for charitable and philanthropic purposes. The main object of the testator B. L. M. in making this disposition of his property was admitted. ly to exclude R. L. M. from the inheritance. Held that H. D. D., if she survived the testator's widow K. K. D., and was not then a barren or childless widow or otherwise disqualified, would take, not a life-interest, but an absolute estate, to the exclusion of R. L. M. Held, also, that the words "puttro poutradi" had generally the effect of defining the estate given as an estate of inheritance, and did not by themselves necessarily denote that the estate given was to be one descendible to heirs male only. Held, also, that in case of H. D. D. not surviving K. K. D., or of her being at the time of the death of K. K. D. for any reason disqualified from taking the estate, then upon the death of K. K. D. the gift to the Government of the reversion to the exclusion of R. L. M. would take effect, and was a good and valid gift. HOEI DASI DABI v. SECRETARY OF STATE FOR India in Council

[I. L. R., 5 Calc., 228: 4 C. L. R., 77

Gift to children on their attaining 21.-Where words of contingency form part of the description of the class of persons to take, as in the case of a gift to those "who shall attain the age of 21," the words must receive their natural construction, and no estate vests in any one till he attains the prescribed age. In such a case there must be something in the context pointing to a different construction, or something in the will inconsistent with the literal construction, to justify a Court in adopting any but the literal construction. In the case of words of contingency occurring in the description of the class of persons to take, a mere gift over is not sufficient to change their BALLIN V. BALLIN meaning.

47. Period of distribution.—Survivorship.—A., a Hindu, made the following provisions by his will: "I have two sons living, B. and C.; they, and an infant son of my

II. L. R., 7 Calc., 218: 8 C. L. R., 28

8. CONSTRUCTION-continued.

Contingent gift-continued.

eldest son, the late D., and my wife E. (four persons), shall succeed to the whole of my estate: these four persons will receive equal shares. If any of these four persons happen to die, which God avert, the survivor of them will receive this estate in equal shares; but if there be a son or a grandson surviving as the heir and representative of the party dying, such survivor shall succeed to his share: if there be a daughter or grand-daughter in the female line surviving, such survivor shall receive a share of the property; the expense of the marriage of such female child only shall be defrayed out of the estate:" and also provided that "so long as my infant grandson shall not have attained his majority, the whole of my estate shall remain undivided." All the persons named survived the testator. Held that they took absolute interests in the shares named, and that the estate became divisible on the infant son of ELLOKASSEE DOSSEE v. D. attaining majority. . L. L. R., 5 Calc., 59 DURPONABAIN BYSACK

Vesting of estate in executor.—Directions to executors, Effect of.—A testatrix, after appointing certain persons to be executors of her will in respect of the whole of her property, directed that they should "take possession of the whole of her property, and keep the same under their protection;" that they should pay out of her estate the charges of interment, &c.; that they should repair four houses annually out of the income thereof, having let them out to hire, and, after paying taxes and ground-rent, divide the proceeds every three months between the testatrix's two sons; that the executors should not give the rents to the creditor, because the bequest of the income to the sons was "not an entire gift to them, but a mere provision for their support." The will proceeded as follows: "Should my son M. happen to die before the decease of his wife, then I give the share of M. to his widow H. M., &c., and after the death of H. M., should my son M. not have left any legitimate male child, then I give the above share to my son J., &c. After the death of M. (and his wife), should he have left legitimate male children, such male children shall in the same manner receive the income once in every three months till they attain the age of 21 years, and then the amount of their share shall be divided into equal portions, and each of them having become the owner of his portion shall receive the same from my executors; but if H. M. die before M., and M. die without having had legitimate male children, then I give and bequeath the shares of my son M. to my son J. as a provision for his support, &c. If my sons M. and J. die without having male issue, and if their wives—that is to say, H. M. and C. J.—die without having male issue begotten by my sons, then I give my garden, &c., actually and entirely to the sons and daughter of my daughter G., begotten by her]first husband, G. A.,—that is, to A. M. B. and N., or in case of their death, to their sons and daughters lawfully begotten, or to such of them as shall survive at the time. My said garden shall be divided into equal shares, and each of

WILL-continued.

8. CONSTRUCTION—continued.

Contingent gift-continued.

them having received his share in equal proportion as a legacy from me, shall enjoy the same." M, and H. M. his wife, died without having left any children; J. died in the lifetime of M. A., and one of the sons of G. died without leaving children in the lifetime of H. M. Held, firstly, that the direction to the executors to lease the property indefinitely and out of the income to make repairs, pay taxes and ground-rent, and apply the rent to the maintenance of the sons, was sufficient to vest the legal estate in the trustees. Secondly,-that such estate was an estate in fee. Thirdly,—that the children of G. took equitable estates in remainder in fee, defeasible in case of their death in the lifetime of the first taker for life, in which event there was substituted a devise to their children in fee. Fourthly,-that the children of G. took as tenants in common, and not as joint tenants, and therefore, that as there was nothing from which cross remainders between the children of G. could be implied, the share of A. reverted to the heir-at-law of the testatrix. Fifthly, -that wherever any estate in fee is devised to a trustee in trust without any limitation of the estate of the cestui que trust, the latter takes the beneficial estate in fee. Shircore v. Administrator Gene-. 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 50

49. Gift to children.

 A testator, after providing for payment of debts, &c., directed that the whole of his property should be disposed of and the proceeds placed in the Oriental Bank, with power to the executors to invest the same in mortgages, and to leave existing mortgages untouched. The will then contained this direction: "That a monthly stipend of R15 be paid to my daughter E. S. for her own benefit, and R20 for the benefit of her two children (during their minority), and in the event of the demise of any of the said children occurring, the sum of R10 to cease rateably as being the allowance for each child; that on each of the children attaining their age of majority, I request that my executors pay to each of them severally and proportionably the full amount of interest accruing from my estate (the existing provision for my two daughters to continue during their natural life), and after their demise the said interest in like manner to revert to their heir or heirs in succession." Held, firstly,—that a direction to pay a monthly stipend to E. S. and M. D. respectively was simply a charge upon the testator's estate to pay the said stipends to E. S. and M. D. for their respective lives. Secondly,—that E. S. and M. D. were severally entitled during the lifetime and minority of their children to a monthly stipend of R10 in respect of each child, such payment to cease upon the death of each child or on its attaining majority, till which latter event the said children took no interest under the will. Thirdly,-each child upon attaining its majority took a share of the residue, proportioned to the number of children then living, and a contingent proportionate interest in the shares of each of the other children which would become vested on the death of each one dying under twenty. Fourthly,-

8. CONSTRUCTION-continued.

Contingent gift-continued.

the limitation of the gift "during their natural life and after their demise, the said interest in like manner to revert to their heir or heirs in succession," did not prevent the children from taking their several shares absolutely under the will. Semble,—
The rule in Wild's case, 6 Rep., 17, is not applicable to personalty. AGNEW v. MATHEWS

[1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 74: 1 Mad., 17

· Absolute gift.—Restriction on legatees.—Enjoyment.—Residuary estate.—Where a testator leaves a legacy absolutely as regards his estate, but restricts the mode of the legatee's enjoyment to secure certain objects for the benefit of the legatee, and where such objects fail, the absolute gift prevails, and does not fall into the residue of the testator's estate. Therefore, where a testator gave legacies to certain of his grandsons and granddaughters, but nevertheless declared that such legacies should be held upon trust (as to the legacies to the grandsons) to invest the same and to apply the income during the minority of the legatee towards his maintenance and education, and upon his attaining the age of 21 years to pay him the income during his lifetime, and after his death to pay such income unto the widow of such grandson, and after the death of both of them to transfer the capital unto the child or children of such grandson as being a son or sons should attain the age of 21 years, or being a daughter should attain that age or marry, in equal shares as tenants-in-common; and where the testator especially provided as to the legacy left to one grandson that upon the happening of certain events it should be paid to his other grandchildren, -Held that the gift to the grandsons were absolute, and that the subsequent provisions were simply a qualification of the gifts for the benefit of the legatees; and that, therefore, upon the death of one of the grandsons unmarried, his legal representative was entitled to the legacy left to him. ADMINIS-TRATOR GENERAL OF BENGAL v. APCAR I. L. R., 3 Calc., 553

tion.—Conditional limitation.—Breach of condition.—Residence.—P. C. T., a Hindu, died leaving an only son, G. M. T., and having first made his will in the English form, whereby, after declaring that he had already made sufficient provision for his son G. M. T., and that G. M. T. was to take nothing under the will, he gave all his property to trustees, upon trust, as to the personal estate, "to collect and get in the same" with certain specified exceptions, and thereout to pay his funeral expenses and debts, "and such legacies as were not by the will postponed in payment;" and to invest the residue, and out of

- Proviso for cesser .- Condi-

the annual proceeds of such investments, so far as the same would extend, to pay certain annuities and postponed legacies as they became due, and to pay such surplus income as might from time to time exist to the person entitled to the beneficial enjoyment of the real property or the surplus rents or profits thereof, with an ultimate trust, after all the WILL-continued.

8. CONSTRUCTION—continued.

Proviso for cesser—continued.

legacies and annuities had been satisfied, for the person or persons entitled to the beneficial enjoyment of the real property. And as to the realty, upon trust, until all the debt and legacies had been paid, and all the annuities had fallen in, to receive the rents, and thereout in the first instance to pay the unsatisfied legacies and annuities, and to pay the surplus rents to the person or persons for the time being to whom the real estate (subject to the devise to the trustees) was given by the will. And as a first charge on the net income of the real property (after satisfying the expenses of establishments), the testator directed the trustees to pay R30,000 per annum to the person for the time being entitled to the beneficial enjoyment of the real property or the surplus income thereof. He further directed them, after all the annuities and legacies had fallen in and been satisfied, to convey the real estate, so far as the then condition of circumstances would permit, unto and to the use of the person entitled, under the limitations contained in the will, to the beneficial interest therein. The first limitation was to J. M. T. for life. At the end of the limitations of the real estate, the will contained the following proviso: "Provided always, and I hereby declare that, if any devisee, or tenant-for-life . . shall permit or suffer the said property so devised and limited as aforesaid, or any portion thereof, to be sold for arrears of Government revenue, or shall, after attaining his majority, cease to keep up in a due state of repair, and to use as his residence in Calcutta, the said baithakhana house and premises where I now reside, and make use of and enjoy my library, horses, carriages, farmyard, furniture in the said house, and jewels, gold and silver plates, &c., in my use or possession, then, and immediately thereupon, the devise and limitations in this my will contained and declared shall wholly cease and determine as to him, and the person next in succession to him under the limitations aforesaid shall at once succeed," as if the person committing a breach of such conditions had then The testator died in August 1868. In Decemdied. ber 1868 his son G. M. T. instituted a suit for the purpose of avoiding and setting aside the trusts and limitations of the will, except so far as they were for payment of debts, legacies, and annuities. suit was dismissed on the 1st of April 1869. T. appealed, and on the 1st September 1869 the Appeal Court declared him to be absolutely entitled to the personalty, subject to the trusts for payment of debts, legacies, and annuities, and entitled, on the death of the defendant J. M. T., the tenant-for-life, to the realty. J. M. T. and others claiming under the limitations in the will, appealed to the Privy Council; and G. M. T. filed a cross-appeal, in which he claimed that the gift of the life-estate to J. M. T. ought to be declared void. By the order of Her Majesty in Council, which was dated the 9th August 1872, and which arrived in Calcutta in September 1872, all the limitations after the limitation to J. M. T. were declared void and inoperative, and it was further declared that J. M. T. was beneficially entitled to a life-interest in the realty, and also in the personalty directed to be conveyed or converted into a fund,

8. CONSTRUCTION-

Proviso for cesser—continued.

subject to the payments in the will directed to be made, and to the provisions in the will not thereby declared to be void; and also, until the legacies and annuities fell in and were satisfied, to R2,500 a month out of the net rents of the realty, and also to the surplus rents of the same and the surplus interest of the personalty; and that, upon the failure or determination of J. M. T.'s life interest, G. M. T. was entitled as heir-at-law to the real and personal property. The proviso for cessor was not among the provisions of the will which were declared void. J. M. T. was one of the trustees under the will. After the testator's death the business of the estate continued, as theretofore, to be carried on in a portion of the baithakhana house: and J. M. T., who had a family dwelling-house of his own, used, up to November 1869, to attend at the baithakhana daily for the transaction of business. In November 1869 J. M. T. quarrelled with his co-trustees, and ceased to go to the baithakhana. In April 1870 he demanded from the other trustees that possession of the baitakhana should be given to him, and upon their insisting on the right to occupy the portion of the baithakana used for the purpose of the estate business, sued them for possession. In July 1870 a decree for possession was made in his favour. The trustees appealed, and ultimately, in July 1871, the Appellate Court made a declaration that it was consistent with the trusts of the will that J. M. T. should enter into possession; and the trustees were ordered to deliver to him possession of the baithakhana, except the portion of the ground-floor occupied for the business of the estate. After obtaining his decree, J. M. T. found that the baithakhana was in a very bad state of repair, and called upon the trustees to have proper repairs executed. On their refusal to do so, except under direction of the Court, J. M. T., in December 1871, brought a suit to compel them to effect necessary repairs: the trustees contested the suit, but in March 1872 a decree was passed directing them to make the repairs. Subsequently repairs were begun, which were completed in October 1872. In a suit by G. M. T., alleging that J. M. T. had committed a breach of one or more of the conditions contained in the proviso for cessor, by not residing in the baitakhana house and by neglecting to keep it in repair, and had thereby incurred a forfeiture of which the plaintiff was entitled to take advantage,-Held, that the clause containing the provisions for cesser and shifting of the estate was intended to come into operation as a whole and not piecemeal, and, therefore, that until J. M. T. came into full beneficial enjoyment of the lifeestate given him by the will, or at all events until he became entitled to the surplus rents, the time had not arrived when that clause was intended to apply. Held, further, that, assuming that such time had arrived, the action of the plaintiff in contesting the right of J. M. T., under the will, to occupy the baitakhana house and premises, debarred him from claiming that effect should be given to the clause of forfeiture for non-residence. Even apart from any action by the plaintiff, the conduct of the trustees in disputing the right of J. M. T. to possession of a

WILL-continued.

8. CONSTRUCTION—continued.

Proviso for cesser—continued.

portion of the baitakhana house, and refusing to repair, would suspend the operation of the forfeiture clause until October 1872, inasmuch as it prevented him until that time from obtaining such a possession as was contemplated by the forfeiture clause. The forfeiture clause was not brought into operation by the judgment and order of the Judicial Committee of 9th August 1872. Held, on the evidence, that J. M. T. had complied with the conditions as to residence. GANENDEO MOHUN TAGORE v. JUTTENDRO MOHUN TAGORE. 12 B. L. R., 1

On appeal to the Privy Council, - Held that as the clause provided for the cesser and determination of the life-interest of J. M. T. in the event of the conditions in it not being performed, his interest, notwithstanding the conditions over had been declared to be void, would cease when that event happened. Held that the clause could not be construed so as virtually to defeat it, and therefore it must be held to be operative before the trusts of the will were at an end, and J. M. T.'s estate perfected by a conveyance. But held on the evidence that there had been no breach of the condition contained in the clause. The delay in not residing before October 1872 was not unreasonable. Where, in a condition of residence, no manner or period of residence is prescribed, but residence simply, and without definition, exclusive residence is not supposed to be meant; in such cases the occasional use of a house and keeping an establishment in it with the intention of again using it as a residence, is a sufficient compliance with the condition. GANENDRO MOHUN TAGORE v. JUTTENDRO MOHUN 14 B. L. R., 60: 22 W. R., 377 TAGORE [L. R., 1 I. A., 387

52. Power of appointment.—

Execution of power .- Marriage settlement .- A testator, after giving certain specific bequests, disposed of his property as follows: "I request that the interest of my property, invested in Government securities, be disposed of from time to time as follows:-First,—to my dear son A. two shares; to my two dear daughters B. and C. each one share; the interest to be paid to them quarterly or half yearly as may be most convenient. Second,-I request that these shares shall not be transferable during their lifetime. Third,-at the demise of any of my children without issue, any such share to be divided in the above proportion to the survivors. Fourth,-in the event of issue, they may bequeath their share to any one of their children they may select, subject to the above conditions." C. married in 1874, and, by a settlement made in consideration of the marriage, her share was assumed to be assigned to trustees upon certain trusts. In 1875 C. and her husband made the following joint will: "We do hereby constitute the survivor of us to be executor or executrix in our estate and sole heir of the same, together with the child or children begotten in our marriage." C. died shortly after the execution of the above will leaving one child. In a suit by C.'s husband and the trustees of the settlement of 1874 for the administra-

8. CONSTRUCTION—continued.

Power of appointment-continued.

tion of the testator's estate and for the construction of his will,—Held that the settlement of 1874 could not operate upon C.'s share in consequence of the direction of the testator, that it should not be transferred in the lifetime of C., and that the plaintiffs took nothing under the settlement. Held, also, that the power of appointment given by the will of the testator had not been properly exercised by the joint will, and that the child of C. took the whole of her mother's share. FRHESEN v. SIMPSON

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 514

53. - Gift in remainder expectant on termination of estate for life.—Devise of talook.—The Oudh Estates Act, I of 1869.—Registration.-Acceleration of remainder on failure of life-estate. A gift in remainder expectant on the termination of an estate for life, does not fail, but is accelerated, by reason of the gift of such prior life estate not taking effect. The principle of the decition in Lainson v. Lainson, 5 De Gex, M. & G., 754: 18 Beav., 1, held applicable to a will made by a Hindu testator. A talookdar, whose talook was entered in the third of the six lists prepared in conformity with section 8 of "The Oudh Estates Act," I of 1869, devised his estate by a will, which was not registered, to one of his wives for life, and after her death to his younger son by her. Held, as a consequence of the above rule, that it was not necessary to decide, upon a claim by the elder son as heir-at-law, whether the widow, as a person "who would have succeeded to an interest" in the talook, if the talookdar had died intestate, would have been within the exception, in reference to the effect of non-registration of will contained in section 13 of the same Act. AJUDHIA BAKSH v. RAKMAN KUAR

[I. L. R., 10 Calc., 462: L. R. 11 I. A., 1

Vesting of interest.—Divesting.—Executory trust.—H., by his will, bequeathed to his daughter A. M. H. "on her attaining her 18th year, the sum of Company's R10,000, with any interest that may have accrued thereon, if she marries, to be settled upon herself and children solely; should she die unmarried, her money to be equally divided between her brothers; and if either of them die, the whole of deceased's money to go to the survivor." Held that A. M. H. (who had attained her 18th year) had a vested interest in the legacy subject to be divested upon her dying at any time unmarried, and further, subject to an executory trust in favour of her children in the event of her marrying at any time, and therefore that she was not entitled to have the capital of the legacy paid to her. IN THE MATTER OF THE WILL OF HUNTER. IN THE MATTER OF ACT XXVIII OF I. L. R., 4 Calc., 420

55. Interest not subject to be divested.—A testator nominated A. B., &c., "to be executors and trustees of this my will, and eventually guardians of my dear children and estate, until such time as my children shall severally attain

WILL-continued.

8. CONSTRUCTION—continued.

Vesting of interest-continued.

the age of 25 years, when I request the aforementioned gentlemen (my wife being dead), their heirs or executors, will divide, or cause to be divided, into shares agreeably to the number of our surviving children, giving to the boys two shares and to each of the girls one, or to their lawful issue or husband, the whole of my estate, each child to be put in possession of his or her share when they shall respectively attain the age of 25 years; and whenever either of my daughters shall enter into the holy state of matrimony, I request that a proper settlement may be made upon her and her children, and in the event of either of my children departing this life without leaving husband, wife, or lineal descendants, his or her share shall be divided equally amongst our other children or their lawful issue; but on no account shall any division of the principal of my estate take place till after the death of their mother. Held (reversing the decision of PHEAR, J.) that after the mother's death, each child took a vested interest on attaining the age of 25 years,—that is, at the time when possession is to be given, -and not an interest subject to be divested in the event of the child dying without husband, wife, or lawful issue. TAYLOR v. PHILLOTT. PHILLOTT v. MORRIS [1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 375

 Divesting clause; -Gift over on legatee's death "prior to division' of the estate. - Gift not void for uncertainty. - Act X of 1865 (Succession Act) ss. 75, 91, 106.-A testator directed his trustees and executors to hold his real and personal estate upon trust, to sell the real estate either together or in parcels, and either by public auction or private contract, and to call in, sell, and convert into money such part of his personal estate as should not consist of money, and to divide the said moneys, and the ready money which might belong to such estate, amongst the several persons named in the schedule to the will, and to pay the same to them in the shares and proportions therein mentioned, as and when they should respectively attain the age of 21 years in the case of males, or, in the case of females, when they should respectively attain that age or marry. He directed that, in the event of any of such persons dying in his life-time, or at any time thereafter " prior to the said division," leaving lawful issue, such issue should be entitled to the share which their deceased parent would have taken. One of the legatees who had attained the age of 21 years at the testator's death, died five months after him, before payment of the legacy, and left lawful issue. Held that the legacy vested in interest in the legatee at the testator's death, but that the legatee having died prior to the division of the estate, it became divested; that the "division" of the testator's estate meant. in this will, the ascertainment of the amounts allottable to the share of each legatee, after the conversion of the estate into money; and that the gift over in favour of the legatee's issue was not void for uncertainty, but took effect. Johnson v. Crook, L. R., 12 Ch. D., 639; Collison v. Barber, L. R., 12

8. CONSTRUCTION—continued.

Vesting of interest-continued.

Ch. D., 834; Bubb v. Padwick, L. R., 13 Ch. D., 517; Chaston v. Seago, L. R., 18 Ch. D., 218; Spencer v. Duckworth, L. R., 18 Ch. D., 634, referred to. BACHMAN v. BACHMAN I. I. R., 6 All., 583

Bequest to orphan in Military Orphan Asylum.-Direction to trustees .- A special case was stated for the opinion of the Court, as to whether S. M. took a vested interest in the sum of R6,325, under the following clause of will: "I paid to the M. O. Society R6,000 for S. M., and invested R6,826, the interest on which I directed to be paid to the mother of S. M. Now I direct my trustees, after the death of the mother of S. M., to realise the latter sum and pay it to the M. O. Society, for S. M., in terms of the regulations of the Society." Held that the bequest was prima facie for the benefit of the daughter. That, having regard to the regulations of the M. O. Society, the bequest was a gift for the benefit of the Society generally. That if the will had given the mother the interest for life, instead of saying it had been given, it would have vested. That the interest vested in S. M. at once, and formed part of her estate. In the MATTER OF THE GOODS OF . Bourke, O. C., 104 COLLINS

58. Trust.—Creation of trust.— Uncertainty.—A Hindu by his will, after appointing certain persons executors for the purpose of managing his estate after his death, gave them the following directions: "You should give my brothers, their wives and children, according to your wishes." Held that no trust was created by these words. Kumarasami v. Subbaraya

[I. L. R., 9 Mad., 325

Direction as to debt due from attesting witness.—Direction as to debt due from attesting witness.—Where a testator directed that a debt due to him by an attesting witness of his will should not be claimed, demanded, or enforced, but that his wish was that the sum should be specially devoted to the education of the children of such attesting witness,—Held that there was no release of the debt or legacy to the attesting witness, but a valid trust in favour of the children. Administrator General v. Lazar

[I. L. R., 4 Mad., 244

60.

Precatory trust.

—W. R., by his will, made the following gift to his wife, M. A. R.: "I give to my dearly beloved wife the whole of my property both real and personal (described) feeling confident that she will act justly to our children in dividing the same when no longer required by her." M. A. R., by her will, left to their children certain portions of such property, leaving to their child A. C. R., amongst other things, certain banking shares. These shares were attached in the execution of a decree against the executors to her estate as belonging to such estate. Held by the High Court that she took under her husband's will a life interest only in his property with a power of appointment in favour of the children, and that the

WILL-continued.

8. CONSTRUCTION-continued.

Trust-continued.

shares belonged to A. C. R., and could not be sold in execution of the decree as part of the estate of M. A. R. RAYNOR v. MUSSOORIE BANK

[I. L. R., 2 All., 55

Held by the Privy Council, reversing the decision of the High Court, that the widow took an absolute interest in the property, and that no trust for the benefit of the children was created. In order to create a precatory trust the words must be such that the Court finds them to be imperative on the first taker of the property; and the subject of the gift over must be well defined and certain. MUSSOOBIE BANK v. RAYNOR

[I. L. R., 4 All., 500: L. R., 9 I. A., 70

Expression of wish.-Bequest .- A testatrix, entitled to Government notes under a gift, coupled with the condition that she was to receive only the interest during her life, and that, after her death, the notes were to be held in trust for all her heirs, gave the following directions to S. K., whom she made her principal legatee: "I direct S. K., under this will, to pay every month R644-1-7 (being one third of R1,933-5-4, my monthly pay allowed by the Government for notes, which are deposited) to my dependants and personal servants, as detailed below. Be it known, that the expenses of the imambarra, &c., will be continued for ever; and also the pay of G. K. and M. A. will be defrayed for ever, i.e., generation after generation. The rest of the servants will be paid for life only." Held that these words constituted a bequest, and were not merely the expression of a wish. Also, that the bequest was not one of legacies payable out of a specified sum and no other; the statement that the monthly payments to be made amounted to one third of the sum received monthly by the testatrix, not limiting the source of the legacies. SULEMAN KADR v. DORAB ALI KHAN

[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 1: L. R., 8 I. A., 117

Will confirming trust-deed. -Construction of deed .- Forfeiture .- S., being desirous of securing and settling his property, executed a deed of trust whereby he conveyed and assigned all his real and personal property unto trustees, upon (among others) the following trusts: that immediately after his death the trustees should convey. assign, transfer, and make over all the premises mentioned in the deed unto such person or persons as S. should, by his last will, attested by two witnesses. direct and appoint, and in default of such direction and appointment, unto the next heirs of S., their heirs and assigns, for ever, and in the meantime to pay the Government revenue out of the rents and profits of the real property, and employ the residue and accumulations as well as the produce and accumulations of the personal property "in such a manner as may procure the daily worship of the household idols" mentioned in the deed, and pay what they considered a fair and proper sum to the wives and family of S. living at his death and residing in the family dwelling-house. By his will, dated

8. CONSTRUCTION-continued.

Will confirming trust deed-continued.

the same day as the deed, S. declared that he ratified and confirmed the deed, subject to such provisions as were contained in his will, which were that the trustees should not charge the fund for the maintenance of those who should not live in the family dwelling-house and for the appointment of new trustees. Held, first, upon the authority of Wilson v. Pigott, 2 Ves. Jun., that the powers of the trustees (under the deed) did not cease on the death of S., and that the directions in the will, although not strictly within the words, amounted to a good appointment in equity, so that instead of the trustees of the deed conveying the property on the death of S. to his son, they should contrive to hold subject to the trusts of the deed as modified by the will. Secondly,-that the words "in such a manner as may procure the daily worship," &c., meant in such manner as shall be sufficient to procure the daily worship, &c., and that the trustees were not authorised to apply such portion of the trust funds as they in their discretion might think fit, but only such portion as was reasonably sufficient for those purposes. General debts and liabilities are not charges against property forfeited upon conviction of felony. HURRYDOSS BONERJEE v. HOGG (ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL OF . 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 86 Bengal)

. Gift of residue to a class.— 63. -Postponement of period of distribution. - Vested interests .- Succession Act, ss. 98, 101, 102 .- A testator gave his residuary estate to trustees upon trust to invest and "to pay, transfer, or divide the same unto, between, or among the children of my brothers A. and B. respectively, to be paid, transferred to, and divided among them in the proportions and at the times hereinafter mentioned,—that is to say, the share of each and every son of my said two brothers shall be double that of each and every daughter, and the shares of each son shall be paid to him or them respectively upon his or their attaining the age of 21 years, and the share of each daughter to be paid to her or them on her or their respectively attaining that age or previously marrying, with benefit of survivorship between and among all the said sons and daughters." The testator left him surviving his two brothers and a sister, C. A. and B. both died before the eldest of the testator's nephews or nieces attained 21 or married. In a suit instituted by the widow and executrix of A. to have it declared that the above bequests were void under sections 101 and 102 of the Succession Act, and the testator died intestate as to the residue of his estate, and that she as executrix of A. was entitled to receive a one-third share of the said estate, and the accumulations thereof,-Held that the legatees took vested interests subject to be divested on death before the contingencies mentioned in the will happened; that the period of distribution alone was postponed; and that the bequests were valid. Semble, -Section 98 of the Succession Act applies only to vested interests. MASEYE . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 304 v. FERGUSSON

64. Postponement of period of distribution.—After-born child, Share of

WILL-continued.

8. CONSTRUCTION—continued.

Gift of residue to a class-continued.

-Lapsed bequest. -Succession Act, s. 98.-A testator gave his residuary estate to trustees upon trust, to invest and "to pay, transfer, or divide the same unto, between, or among the children of my brothers A. and B. respectively, to be paid, transferred to, and divided among them in the proportions and at the times hereinafter mentioned,—that is to say, the share of each and every son of my said two brothers shall be double that of each and every daughter, and the shares of each son shall be paid to him or them respectively upon his or their attaining the age of 21 years, and the shares of each daughter to be paid to her or them on her or their respectively attaining that age or previously marrying, with benefit of survivorship between and among all the said sons and daughters." After the death of the testator, and before the period of distribution arrived, a son was born to B., and one of the sous of A. died intestate and unmarried. Held that the after-born son of B. was entitled to a double portion as one of the male children of the testator's brother, and that the share of the son of A. was divisible among the surviving male and female children in equal shares. MASEYK v. . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 670 FEEGUSSON .

65. — Residuary estate of moveable and immoveable property.—Claims to, against executors and trustees.—If a testator appoints persons to be his executors and trustees, and directs them to do certain acts which can only be done by the owners of his residuary estate, the trustees will take that estate, though there be no express devise to them. TREEFOORASOONDERY DOSSEE v. DEBENDEONATH TAGORE . I. L. R., 2 Calc., 45

WILLS ACT (XXV OF 1838).

s. 3.—Application of Act.—East Indians domiciled out of the jurisdiction of High Court.—The Wills Act, XXV of 1838, applied to the wills of East Indians, whether domiciled within or beyond the testamentary jurisdiction of the High Court. Hogg v. Greenway . 2 Hyde, 3

Held, on appeal, the Wills Act only applied where the High Court had an exclusive jurisdiction analogous to that of the Ecclesiastical Court in England. It did not apply in the case of a person who was not entitled by birth or domicile to have applied to him the actual law of England. Therefore it did not apply to the case of an East Indian testatrix (the illegitimate daughter of a Mahomedan woman) who resided and died outside the limits of the ordinary civil jurisdiction of the Court. Gebenway v. Hogg. Hogg v. Gebenway

[Bourke, A. O. C., 111: Cor., 27

WINDOWS OR DOORS, SUIT TO CLOSE-

See Cases under Jur'sdiction of Civil Court—Privacy, Invasion of—

See TRESPASS—GENERAL CASES.
[3 B. L. R., A. C., 411

WITHDRAWAL FROM PROSECUTION.

See PUBLIC PROSECUTOR.

[I. L. R., 8 All., 291

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT.

See Apprilate Court—Objection taken for first time on Appeal—Special Cases—Withdrawal of Suit.

[I. L. R., 3 All., 528

See Compromise—Remedy on Non-Per-FORMANCE OF COMPROMISE.

[Agra, F. B., 1

See DIVORCE ACT, S. 35.

[9 B. L. R., Ap., 6

See Practice—Civil Cases—Affidavits.
[8 Bom., O. C., 55

See Practice—Civil Cases—Withdeawal of Suits or Appeals . Cor., 67 [I. L. R., 7 Bom., 287

See Relinquishment or Omission to Sue for Portion of Claim.

[I. L. R., 1 All., 324

It was formerly held in some cases that the power to allow withdrawal of suits given by the Civil Procedure Code (section 97 of Act VIII of 1859) was not applicable to suits under the Rent Act, 1859.

DOYAL CHUNDER GHOSE v. DWARKANATH MISSER [Marsh., 148: W. R., F. B., 47 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 41: 1 Hay, 347

Modhoo Soodun Mulick v. Panch Cowres Mulick 7 W. R., 302

Beer Chunder Joobraj v. Tariner Churn Roy 11 W. R., 46

RAMANATH DUTT v. JOYKISHEN MOOKERJEE
[11 W. R., 3

In other cases rent suits were held not to be excluded. RAM CHARAN BYSAK v. HARVEY

[2 B. L. R., S. N., 11: 10 W. R., 373

WOOMANATH ROY CHOWDHEY v. SERENATH SINGH 15 W. R., 260

Since the Bengal Rent Act, 1869, however, the procedure in rent suits has been, and is now, the same as in any other suits.

Anund Mohun Paul Chowdhey v. Ram Kishen
Paul Chowdhey. Gobind Chunder Paul
Chowdhey v. Rameishen Paul Chowdhey
[2 W. R., 297]

2. — Leave to one of several coplaintiffs to withdraw.—Consent of co-plaintiff. —Civil Procedure Code, 1877, s. 373.—The proviso in the third clause of section 373 of the Code of Civil Procedure does not deprive the Court of power to permit one of several co-plaintiffs to withdraw unconditionally from a suit even though his co-plainWITHDRAWAL OF SUIT.—Leave to one of several co-plaintiffs to withdraw—continued.

tiffs do not consent to his withdrawal. Монамача Сноwdнеаім v. Duega Chuen Shaha [9 C. L. R., 332

Withdrawal with consent of defendant.-Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97 .- Right to bring fresh suit .- A plaintiff filed a plaint for an account to be taken. The plaintiff withdrew the plaint, without the permission of the Court to withdraw from the suit, with liberty to bring a fresh suit. This was done for the purpose of a submission to arbitration under a deed mutually executed between the plaintiff and defendant. The deed was not acted upon. Held, reversing the decision of MACPHERSON, J., that the plaintiff was not debarred by section 97 of Act VIII of 1859 from bringing a fresh suit to establish the agreement for reference to arbitration, and also for the account, which was a relief to which he was entitled. The section only applied to cases where the plaintiff withdraws from the suit without the consent of the defendant. JUGGOBUNDO CHATTERJEE v. WATSON & Co. . . Bourke, A. O. C., 162

S. C. in Court below Bourke, O. C., 250

— Withdrawal of claim under s. 230, Act VIII of 1859.—Right to bring subsequent suit.—In a suit to recover the possession of land of which the plaintiff had been dispossessed in execution of a decree against the first defendant, it appeared that the plaintiff had applied within one month from the date of his dispossession to the Court from which the process of execution had issued under section 230 of the Code of Civil Procedure, setting up his title, and it was numbered and registered as a suit under the section. Before the claim came on for hearing, the plaintiff was allowed by the Court to withdraw the proceeding, with liberty to bring a fresh suit upon the claim set up. The plaintiff subsequently brought the present suit. Held that the former proceeding was a suit within the meaning of section 97 of the Code, and liberty having been given on its withdrawal before decree to bring another suit. the present suit was well brought. SUBBARAMIEN v. PONNUSAWMY CHETTY 5 Mad., 298

5. Substitution of assignee of plaintiff's rights and allowing him to withdraw.—Power of Court.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.—Where the Court allowed the purchaser of the plaintiff's rights to be substituted for him and then permitted him to withdraw the suit,—Held it was an order not within section 97, and one which the Court had no power to make. JUDOOPUTTER CHATTERJEE v. CHUNDER KANT BHUTTACHARJEE [9 W. R., 309

6. Withdrawal after issue joined.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.—Failure to support claim.—A plaintiff cannot be permitted to withdraw with liberty to bring a fresh suit after issue hus been joined and he has failed to

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT.—Withdrawal after issue joined—continued.

produce evidence to support his claim. MUDDUN RAM Doss v. ISRAIL ALI CHOWDHRY

[21 W. R., 291

- 7. Discretion of Court.—Power to interfere with discretion on appeal.—Where, after issue joined, the plaintiff was permitted to withdraw his suit, with liberty to sue again,—Held that to allow withdrawal and fresh suit at that stage was a discretion to be exercised with great caution, but the discretion having been exercised it could not be interfered with on appeal by the Judge. Omesh Chunder Mundell v. Thakoor Doss Mookerse . . . 23 W. R., 345
- 8. Withdrawal before final judgment.—Discretion of Court.—Power to interfere with discretion on appeal.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.—A lower Appellate Court had no power to interfere with the discretion of a first Court under section 97, Act VIII of 1859, in allowing a plaintiff, whether before or after the settlement of issues and before or after the acceptance of evidence upon the issues, at any time before final judgment, to withdraw from the suit with liberty to bring a fresh suit in the same matter. RAM KANYE DUTT v. HARGO CHUNDER MOJOOMDAR . . . 17 W. R., 229
- 9. Section 97 of Act VIII of 1859, applied only to cases where a plaintiff, before final judgment, is permitted by the Court to withdraw from his suit, with liberty to sue again. Anund Mohun Paul Chowdhry v. Ram Kishen Paul Chowdhry. Gobind Chundre Paul Chowdhry v. Ramkishen Paul Chowdhry. Ramkishen Paul Chowdhry. [2 W. R., 297]
- 10. Leave to bring fresh suit.—
 Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.—Permission under section 97 to bring a fresh suit could not be given after final judgment has been pronounced. Shedraj Nundun Singh v. Rajcoomar Barboo Deo Nundun Singh 24 W. R., 23
- Failure to prove case.—Dismissal of suit.—Procedure.—The power to dismiss a suit, with liberty to bring a fresh one for the same matter, is limited to cases where the suit fails by reason of some point of form: such liberty should not be given where, after issue joined, the plaintiff has failed to make out his case. WATSON T. COLLECTOR OF RAJSHAYE

[3 B. L. R., P. C., 48: 12 W. R., P. C., 43 13 Moore's I. A., 160

See MONA BIBER v. OOMED ALI

116 W. R., 276

12. — Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 373.—Ground for allowing withdraw-al.—Quære,—Whether, under section 373 of Act X of 1877, the Court ought to permit the plaintiff to withdraw from the suit with liberty to bring a fresh suit on the ground that the defence to the suit was such that the suit must fail if proceeded with. Zahur-v. Khuda Yar Khan

[I. L. R., 3 All., 528

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT-continued.

- evidence.—In a suit by one of several sharers of certain mortgaged property for contribution on account of payments made by the plaintiff to prevent foreclosure, the Court on appeal thought the plaintiff might have produced better evidence, but his claim being a good one, it allowed him to withdraw the suit with leave to bring a fresh one. Khatoon Koonwar v. Hurdoot Narain Singh

[20 W. R., 163

- 16. Private agreement.—Restoration of suit.—Where a plaintiff filed a petition withdrawing his claim unconditionally, the suit should be at once struck off the file. If the defendant had entered into some private agreement and did not fulfil the same, it might give a new right of action to the plaintiff for enforcing that agreement, but was no reason for setting aside the petition for withdrawal of the suit as null and void. Shumsher Bahadoor v. Mahomed Ali Beg. 2 Agra, 158
- 17. Suit for possession.—Sn quent suit for rent.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.—There was nothing in section 97, Act VIII of 1859, to prevent a suitor from instituting a claim for possession, and afterwards bringing one for rent. RAMKISHORE MUNDLE v. MOORAD MUNDLE [W. R., 1864, Act X, 67]
- 18. Suit for possession after release from attachment in execution in another suit.—Civil Procedure Code, 1877, s. 97.—A claim to attached property made under Act VIII of 1859, section 246, was dismissed, and the claimant, in the year 1875, instituted a regular suit against the decree-holder under the provisions of that section. The decree-holder then released the property from attachment, and the plaintiff withdrew his suit. The same property was afterwards, in the year 1878, attached again and sold in execution of the same decree. Held that a subsequent suit for possession

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT.—Suit for possession after release from attachment in execution in another suit—continued.

of the property against the purchaser at the execution sale was not barred under section 97 of Act VIII of 1859. Eshen Chunder Singh v. Shama Churn Bhutto, 11 Moore's I. A., 7, cited. MUKHODA SOON-DASI v. RAM CHUEN KARMOKAB

[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 871

19. Suit withdrawn under Regulation law.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.—A plaintiff without leave of the Court withdrew from a suit in 1853. He filed a fresh suit in the same cause of action in 1866. Held that he was not debarred from doing so, as the provisions of section 97 of the Code of Civil Procedure did not apply VINAYAK JOSHI v. JANARDAN JOSHI

7 Bom., A. C., 23

Nature of fresh suit.—Fresh suit filed upon a different title in existence at date of former suit .- Civil Procedure Code (XIV of 1882), s. 373.—Practice.—The plaintiffs, who were an English joint stock company registered under the English Companies Act of 1862, sued the defendant as a past member of the bank, upon a balance order of the High Court of Justice in England, dated 24th February 1881; to recover the sum of £678-3. In August 1882, the plaintiffs had filed a previous suit against the defendant to recover the said sum of That suit was based upon a call order, dated 11th November 1880, which it sought to en-By an order made in that suit on 7th April 1883, the plaintiffs were permitted to withdraw it, with liberty to bring a fresh suit for the same cause of action. The present suit to enforce a balance order, dated the 24th February 1881, was filed on 11th February 1885. It was contended on behalf of the defendant that the present suit being based upon an order which was in existence at the date of the previous suit, the plaintiffs could not now sue upon it; that the plaintiffs could not abandon the title upon which they claimed in the first suit, and set up a different title in the second. Held that the plaintiffs were not precluded from bringing the second suit upon the balance order, and that the suit was properly framed. LONDON, BOMBAY, AND MEDITERBANEAN BANK, v. BURJOBJI SORABJI LYWALIA . . I. L. R., 9 Bom., 346

 Withdrawal of suit by next friend.—Suit on behalf of a minor.—Civil Procedure Code (Act VIII of 1859), s. 97 .- Fraud .-Where a Court has reason to believe that a suit is lawfully brought by a party who has a right to bring it on behalf of a minor, any withdrawal of the suit by that party would have precisely the same effect as the withdrawal of a suit by a person of full But where a person acting for a minor has fraudulently withdrawn the minor's suit under section 97 of Act VIII of 1859, without obtaining leave to bring a fresh suit, and by such withdrawal an absolute statutory prohibition is imposed on the minor from bringing a fresh suit, it is open to the minor to relieve himself from the consequences of the fraud in one of three ways, --viz., (1) by an apWITHDRAWAL OF SUIT.—Withdrawal of suit by next friend—continued.

plication to the Court in the suit in which the withdrawal took place; (2) by a regular suit to set aside the judgment founded upon the withdrawal; or (3) by bringing a fresh suit for the same purpose, and setting up the fraud as an answer to the statutory bar. ESHAN CHUNDEA SAFOOI v. NUNDAMONI DASSEE I. L. R., 10 Calc., 357

Withdrawal wrongly allowed. - Arbitration. - High Court's powers of revision .- Civil Procedure Code, ss. 2, 373, 588, 622. -Practice.-Notice to show cause.-Amendment of plaint.—An order under section 373 of the Civil Procedure Code permitting the withdrawal of a suit, with liberty to bring a fresh one, not being made appealable by section 588, or being a "decree" within the meaning of section 2, is not appealable. When the plaintiff in a suit applies for permission to withdraw it, with liberty to bring a fresh one, such permission should not be granted without the defendant being served with notice to show cause why such permission should not be granted. L., claiming as heir to H., a deceased Hindu, sued K., his widow, and G., a minor represented by his mother and guardian B., to have the adoption by K. of G. set aside and for certain other reliefs. The matters in difference in the suit were referred to arbitration, and an award was made in favour of the defendants. The plaintiff preferred objections to the award. Before these were disposed of K. died. The Court of first instance subsequently allowed the objections and set aside the award. The minor defendant then applied to the High Court for revision of the order setting aside the award. This application was rejected, on the ground that the order might be impugned on appeal from the decree in the suit. The plaintiff subsequently applied for permission to withdraw the suit, with liberty to bring a fresh one, on the ground that, K. having died, he was entitled to possession of the immoveable property left by H. This permission was granted. The minor defendant applied to the High Court for revision. Held that it might have been a very good ground for allowing the plaintiff to withdraw the suit that K., the adoptive mother of the minor defendant, had died pendente lite, had no arbitration proceedings taken place in the course of the suit; but when the parties had referred their differences to arbitration, and an award had been made in favour of the defendant, and had been set aside, and an application for revision of the order setting it aside had been refused, on the ground that the matter could be made the subject of appeal from the final decree in the suit, permission to withdraw the suit and bring a fresh one should not have been granted. The minor defendant might be seriously prejudiced by such a course, and the suit had not abated against him by the death of K., while on the other hand a decree in the suit, if in his favour, would decide the litigation, and if in favour of the plaintiff, would not prevent his bringing a suit for possession on the separate cause of action which had arisen. Stahlschmidt v. Walford, L. R., 4 Q. B. D., 217, referred to. The High Court refused to allow the plaint in the suit to be smended, by the addition

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT.—Withdrawal wrongly allowed - continued.

of a claim for possession of the property left by H. KALIAN SING V. LEKHRAJ SINGH

[I. L. R., 6 All., 211

- Withdrawal without leave.—The High Court has no power, under the Civil Procedure Code, to award costs to the defendant when the plaintiff withdraws not having asked leave to do so with liberty to bring another suit for the same matter. Beass v. Tieuvengada Pillai 1 Mad., 247
- 24. Power to award costs.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.—Where the plaintiff applied, under section 97, Act VIII of 1859, to be allowed to withdraw from the suit, with liberty to bring a fresh suit for the same matter, the Court refused the application. Another application for leave, simply to withdraw from the suit, was granted, the Court dismissing the suit with costs. Brass v. Tiruvengadu Pallai 1 Mad., 247, dissented from. Hossaini Bibi v. Peri Khanum [1 B. L. R., O. C., 45]
- 25. Form of order.

 —Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.—A plaintiff who is permitted to withdraw from his suit under section 97, Act VIII of 1859, must pay the defendant's costs. On such withdrawal, the proper order to be recorded is not one of dismissal, but one simply permitting the plaintiff to withdraw the suit, with liberty to bring a fresh suit for the same matter on payment of costs or otherwise as the Court may direct. DOUGETT v. WISE . 1 W. R., 322
- Payment of costs not made condition precedent to fresh suit.—Power to stay suit.—A., having brought an action against B., was allowed to withdraw, with leave to bring a fresh suit, and was also ordered to pay the costs. Held, that the payment of the costs not having in terms been made a condition precedent to bringing a fresh suit, the Court had no power to stay proceedings in the fresh suit, on the ground that the costs had not been paid. CHITTO v. MUZZUE HOSSAIN

 [2 Hyde, 212
- 27. Small Cause Court is not bound to allow a plaintiff to withdraw a suit, on the ground that he has received payment from one of the defendants in the suit, that attempt to withdraw having been made after the plaintiff had succeeded in getting a judgment against two defendants which had been set aside by the Court on various grounds, and a new trial ordered. In such a case the Court may permit the withdrawal of the suit upon the terms of plaintiff paying the first defendant's costs. Rama Chandra Shaster v. Papu Aivan 3 Mad., 27
- 28. Withdrawal of appeal.—
 Power of Appellate Court.—An Appellate Court has authority to permit an appeal to be withdrawn.
 RAM PERSHAD OJHA v. BHUROSA KOONWAR

[9 W. R., 328

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT.—Withdrawal of appeal—continued.

Withdrawal of suit on appeal.—Act XXIII of 1861, s. 37.—Power of Appellate Court.—Under section 37, Act XXIII of 1861, the High Court, upon appeal from a Judge sitting in the exercise of the ordinary original jurisdiction of the Court, had power, before pronouncing final judgment in appeal, to permit the plaintiff to withdraw from the suit with liberty to bring a fresh suit. Gebegory v. Dooley Chand

[14 W. R., O. C., 17

- 31. Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.—Exercise by Appellate Court of powers under s. 37, Act XXIII of 1861.—Where application was made for leave to withdraw a suit, with leave to bring a fresh one, it being contended that the fact of a notarial protest on inland bills, and of their being in the hands of the holder without signature, was proof of dishonour; and further, that defendant being a Hindu, there was no necessity for notice of dishonour,—the Appellate Court, reversing the decision of the Court below, granted the application under the power given by section 37, Act XXIII of 1861. BOMBAY CITY BANK v. MOONJEE HURBYDOSS BOURKE, A. O. C., 99
- 32. Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.—The plaintiff having sued, and the issues having been laid down as though the suit was for separate possession, the decree of the lower Court for joint possession was set aside, with leave to plaintiff, under Act VIII of 1859, section 97, to bring a fresh suit for joint possession. JUGGUN-NATH DEB NAZIR v. MOHEBOOLLAH*

[17 W. R., 164

Appellate Court, Powers of.—Discretion, Exercise of.—Civil Procedure Code, 1882, ss. 373, 582.—Where, on appeal from a decree dismissing a suit, the Appellate Court, being of opinion that the plaint was informally drawn and its allegations regarding the cause of action not sufficiently specific, gave the plaintiff permission, under section 373 of the Civil Procedure Code, to withdraw the suit, with leave to institute a fresh one,—Held, per Straight, J., that with reference to the terms of section 582 of the Civil Procedure Code, the Appellate Court had power to avail itself of the provisions of section 373, and therefore had a discretion to make the order allowing the plaintiff to withdraw the suit and institute a fresh one. Gregory v. Dooley Chand, 14 W. R., O. C., 17; and Khatoon Koonwar v.

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT.—Withdrawal of suit on appeal—continued.

Hurdoot Narain Singh, 20 W. R., 163, referred to. Also per STRAIGHT, J., that it could not be said that the Appellate Court in this case had exercised its discretion so unreasonably or erroneously as to compel the interference of the High Court with it in appeal. Per TYRBELL, J., that it might be taken that the Appellate Court, though not so stating in express terms, meant to set aside, and did set aside, the decree of the Court of first instance, regarding it as a decree which could not have been rightly made and must be set aside, by reason of the radical defect in the plaint, the basis of the suit and the decree; and that, in this view, there was no legal objection to the exercise by the Appellate Court of the discretionary power of Chapter XXII of the Code. GANGA RAM v. DATA RAM . I. L. R., 8 All., 82

34. — Applications for execution of decree,—Civil Procedure Code, s. 374.—Withdrawal of application.—The rule laid down in section 374 of the Civil Procedure Code (Act XIV of 1882)—that where a suit is withdrawn with leave to bring a fresh suit, the plaintiff shall be bound by the law of limitation in the same manner as if the first suit had not been brought—does not apply to applications for execution. Pirjade v. Pirjade, I. L. R., 6 Bom., 681, dissented from. TARACHAND MEGHJI v. KASHINATH TEIMBAK

[I. L. R., 10 Bom., 62

35. — Revocation of withdrawal. — Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.—A plaintiff who has withdrawn from his suit is at liberty to rescind the act of withdrawal at any time before final judgment. Section 97 of the Civil Procedure Code was held to be inapplicable to a case where the plaintiff rescinded after two days, a petition he had presented of withdrawal from his claim. The last clause of that section contemplated cases in which the withdrawal is not revoked. RAMBHUROS LALL V. GOPEE BEBEEE 6 N. W., 66

WITNESS-CIVIL CASES.

WITNESS-CIVIL CASES-continued.

See ARREST-CIVIL ARREST.

[14 B. L. R., Ap., 13 I. L. R., 1 Calc., 78 4 Mad., 145

See CASES UNDER CIVIL PROCEDURE Code, 1882, s. 177 (1859, s. 170).

See Cases under Commission—Civil Cases.

See DIVORCE ACT, s. 52.

[3 B. L. R., Ap., 6

See Cases under Evidence—Civil Cases
—Miscellaneous Documents — Depositions.

See Cases under Evidence Act, 1872, s. 33.

See Cases under WILL-ATTESTATION.

See WILL-CONSTRUCTION.

I. L. R., 4 Mad., 244

——Refusal of party to attend as—

See Superintendence of High Court— Charter Act, s. 15—Civil Cases, [B. L. R., Sup., Vol., 716

1. PERSON COMPETENT TO BE WITNESS.

1. — Arbitrator.—Suit after award is set aside.—If an arbitration award is set aside and the matter is tried as a suit before the Court, the arbitrator cannot be examined as a witness as to the grounds of his decision, but only to prove any admission which may have been made before him in the course of the arbitration and which might be material evidence. NILMONEE BOSE v. MOHIMA CHUNDER DUTT . . . 17 W. R., 516

2. — Attorney.—Advocate.—Competent witness.—An attorney who has acted as advocate for one of the parties, and pleaded his case in Court, can be examined as a witness in the case. RAMFAL SHAW v. BISWANATH MANDAL

[5 B. L. R., Ap., 28

3. Magistrate.—Suit for malicious prosecution.—Magistrate who held preliminary enquiry into criminal charge.—Magistrates are not incapacitated to give evidence of matters which have come before them in the course of a preliminary enquiry into a criminal charge. Held that in a suit for a malicious prosecution the defendant had a right to the evidence of the Subordinate Magistrate, who held a preliminary enquiry into a charge of forgery preferred by the defendant against the plaintiff. RAMASAMI AYYAN v. RAMU MUPAN . 3 Mad., 372

4. Munsif.—Witness as to facts judicially before him.—A Munsif ought not to be called on to depose as to what took place before him in the course of a trial which he was conducting as Munsif, and he is entitled to exemption. Anony.

MOUS 6 Mad., Ap., 42

WITNESS-CIVIL CASES-continued.

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES.

- Duty of Court.—Securing attendance of witnesses.—Every Court is bound to render all reasonable assistance to a party to enforce the attendance of his witnesses.

 NILMONEE BANERJEE v.
 SHUEBO MONGOLA DEERE

 6 W. R., 14
- Application for summons to cite witnesses.—A party is entitled at any stage of the case before hearing to apply for a summons to cite witnesses without reference to the number of such applications which he may have previously made, and it is the duty of the Court to comply with such application, if any time be left before the hearing of the cause. Anurup Chandra Mukhofadhya v. Hiramani Dasi . 3 B. L. R., Ap., 38
 - S. C. Onooroof Chundre Mookerjee v. Heera Moner Dossee . . . 11 W. R., 418

HARI DAS BAISAKH v. MOAZAM HOSSEIN
[8 B. L. R., Ap., 16: 15 W. R., 447]

Power to summon witnesses.—Settlement of issues.—Act VIII of 1859 conferred no authority upon a Judge to issue summonses to witnesses to attend on the settlement of issues. The written statements must be prepared with great cand deliberation, so as to dispense altogether with parol evidence at the settlement of issues. Anund Chunder Banerjee v. Woomesh Chunder Roy

[1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 15: 1 Hyde, 147

The subsequent Codes, however, expressly provide for the attendance of witnesses at a settlement of issues: see section 159, Civil Procedure Code, 1882.

8. Discretion of Court to summon witnesses.—A Judge's discretion in not compelling the attendance of witnesses named by one of the parties, must be exercised on reasonable grounds distinctly stated in the judgment. OZEER MAHOMED V. BYDNATH DOSS CHOWDIES

[5 W. R., Act X, 6

HARA CHAND PORAMANICK v. KRISHTO MONER GIRRE 1 W. R., 298

MATUNGUNEE DABEA v. KALEE DABEA

See NERM CHUND DEV v. ANUND COOMAE ROY CHOWDHEY . . . 7 W. R., 147

9. Selection of witnesses by Court.—It is not right for the lower Court to select five out of twenty witnesses tendered for examination. It is the bounden duty of the Judge to receive all the evidence tendered, unless the object of summoning a large number of witnesses clearly appears to be to impede the adjudication of the case, or otherwise to obstruct the ends of justice. RAMDHAN MANDAL v. RAJBALLAB PARAMANIK

6 B. L. R., Ap., 10

20. Power to refuse to summon witness.—A Court has no power to refuse to summon witnesses when expressly requested by a party to do so unless the witnesses are required to be summoned in such a manner, or in such numbers, as

WITNESS-CIVIL CASES-continued.

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES-continued.

Discretion of Court to summon witnesses —continued.

clearly indicates a vexatious desire of obstructing the course of justice. RAM PHUL PANDRY v. WAHED ALI KHAN 14 W. R., 66

- non witnesses.—Reasons for refusing application to enforce attendance.—It is not incumbent on a Court to give detailed legal reasons for its refusal to comply with an application to enforce the attendance of a party to a suit as a witness. SIDDHESSUELEE DEBIA v. DENOBUNDHOO KOONDOO . 6 W. R., 65
- 12. Preliminaries to summoning witness.—Materiality of evidence.—Before the Court makes an order compelling the attendance of a party to the suit, it must be satisfied that his evidence will be material. GOPAL CHUNDER HAZRAH v. MOHESH CHUNDER BANEEJER . 21 W. R., 44
- 13. Summoning plaintiff as witness.—Reasons for summons.—Duty of Court.—Materiality of evidence.—A Court is bound, before summoning a plaintiff to give evidence, to record the reasons of its being satisfied that the evidence of the plaintiff is essential to the defendant's case. Where, however, the Court does not give reasons for being satisfied that the presence of the plaintiff is necessary, it does not follow that the defendants had failed to satisfy the Court that there was sufficient ground for the application. Makoond Adit v. Suttoorehun Adit

[17 W. R., 507

14. — Application to summon witnesses.—Witnesses declared unnecessary by Court.
—Where on a case coming on for hearing before a Court to which it had been remanded, the Judge observed that the evidence of witnesses would be unnecessary, the declaration was held to have sufficiently justified the plaintiffs in making no further application for a summons on their witnesses. Ram Jewun Singh v. Radha Peeshad Singh

[16 W. R., 109

Undertaking to bring witnesses .- Practice .- On the 12th October 1879 the plaintiff applied to the Court for subponus to his witnesses. The Court refused to grant them, on the ground that the plaintiff had himself originally undertaken to bring his witnesses. (The Court had fixed the 28th October 1879 for the final hearing of the plaintiff's case.) Held that the plaintiff's failure to bring his witnesses was no sufficient reason for depriving him (the plaintiff) of his right to have subpænas issued, if he found himself unable to bring his witnesses or to detain them till they could be examined, although it might possibly be, under certain circumstances, a reason for not waiting for them, if the plaintiff's case had been in other respects finished before they could be examined, PANDURANG ANPAI v. KESHAVJI JADHAV-. I. L. R., 6 Bom., 742

WITNESS-CIVIL CASES-continued.

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES-continued.

16. Time for summoning witnesses.—Duty of Court.—The Civil Procedure Code neither expressly nor impliedly declares that witnesses must be summoned before the day fixed for the first hearing of the suit. So long as the hearing merely stands adjourned, and so long as the party who wishes to summon witnesses has not closed his case, the Court is bound to summon them, unless it appears that the application is made so late that the witnesses cannot be reasonably expected to attend in time to be examined before that party's case closes. INDEO CHUNDER BABOO v. DUNIDO

[9 W. R., 530

17. Ground for refusal to summon witnesses.—Obligation of Court to assist party.—Delay in giving names of intended witnesses.—Where an appellant delayed to give in the names of his witnesses, but would yet have been within reasonable time to secure their attendance on the day of hearing if summonses had been sent through different peons by the railway,—Held that the lower Appellate Court was bound to have directed the issue of the summonses, and to have given every assistance to the party asking for them, all additional expenses being paid by such party. Pearer Mohun Mookerses v. Madhub Chunder Ghosal

[9 W. R., 489

Omission of proper steps to obtain attendance of witnesses.—
Where some of the witnesses (defendants) in a suit had been examined, and plaintiff petitioned the Court to have the remaining defendants examined as witnesses, he was held not to have taken the necessary steps required by law to enforce their attendance, because he did not make any special application to the Court, or show sufficient grounds in support of his petition. RAM TUHUL THAKOOE v. OODIT NARAIN SINGH

Procedure under Dekkan Agriculturists Act, XVII of 1879, s. 7 .-Right of defendant to call witnesses.—The plaintiff sued, under section 3, clause (w) of Act XVII of 1879, for money due on a bond dated the 8th September 1877. The defendant, though duly summoned, did not appear on the day fixed in the summons, which was for the final disposal of the suit. The Court, therefore, proceeded with it ex parte. The defendant, being subsequently summoned and examined as a witness under section 7 of the Act, admitted the bond sued upon, but pleaded part-payment of the plaintiff's claim. He then applied to the Court that his witnesses should be summoned, and that their evidence be taken in support of his allegation. Subordinate Judge was of opinion that he (defendant) was not entitled to offer the evidence. On his referring the case to the High Court,-Held that it was his duty to summon the witnesses named by the defendant. Dulichand v. Dhondi

[I. L. R., 5 Bom., 184

20. Civil Procedure Code, 1877, s. 137.—Summons to produce documents.

WITNESS-CIVIL CASES-continued.

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES-continued.

Ground for refusal to summon witnesses — continued.

—In all cases in which parties apply for a summons to compel the attendance of witnesses, or a summons to produce documents, or apply to have a document sent for under section 137 of the Code of Civil Procedure, the Court ought not to refuse such application merely because in its opinion the witnesses cannot be present, or the documents cannot be produced, before the termination of the trial. KRISHNA CHURN BAISACK V. PECTAB CHUNDEE SUEMA

[I. L. R., 7 Calc., 560

Civil Procedure
Code, 1882, ss. 159 and 167.—Summoning witnesses.

—Delay in serving summonses.—Under section 159
of the Code of Civil Procedure (Act XIV of 1882),
parties are entitled to summonses for their witnesses
at any time before the final hearing, but if there has
been delay and want of diligence in consequence of
which witnesses, not having been served in good time,
are not present, the Court may properly refuse to adjourn the hearing. Kaji Ahmed v. Kaji Mahamad
[I. L. R., 9 Bom., 308

· Power to dismiss suit.—Dismissal of suit on ground of there not being time after filing of list to summon witnesses. - Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 149; 1877, s. 159.—The 20th of March 1877 having been fixed for the final hearing of a suit, the plaintiff, on the 17th of March, and the defendant on the 19th, filed their lists of witnesses to be summoned. Both lists were ordered merely to be put up with the record. When the suit came on for hearing, it was dismissed on the ground that, when the plaintiff filed his list there was not sufficient time left to summon the witnesses. Held that the Judge was not justified in dismissing the suit on this ground, unless he found that it would have been absolutely impossible to secure the attendance of the witnesses had the summonses been granted on the 17th instant. Section 149 of Act VIII of 1859, and section 159 of Act X of 1877, discussed. RAJENDRO NARAIN NEOGI C. KUMUD NARAIN BHUP

[3 C. L. R., 569

24. Issue of fresh subposas to witnesses.—Re-hearing of ex parte case under s. 119, Civil Procedure Code, 1859.—Quære.—Where, either under section 119, Code of Civil Procedure, or in the exercise of a power of review, a suit is restored to its original position, is the plaintiff bound to obtain and issue fresh subposas? BISHEN PEREASH SINGH v. RUTTUN GEER CHELA . 20 W. R., 3

WITNESS-CIVIL

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES-continued.

25. Service of subpoenas.— Liability for non-service.—After a list of witnesses has been filed and the tullubana paid, the Court's officers, not the applicant, are responsible for the service and return of notice. Mussitee Khanum v. Hookoom Bibee 15 W. R., 88

26. Form of summons.—Omission to state place of attendance.—A summons should state the place of attendance. Anonymous [7 Mad., Ap., 14

Anonymous . . . 7 Mad., Ap., 43
See Section 163, Civil Procedure Code, 1882.

27. Summoning public officer as a witness.—In fixing the time for the attendance of a public officer as a witness, or in granting an adjournment for that purpose the fullest consideration must be given to the exigencies of the public duties of the officer summoned. Anonymous 6 Mad, Ap., 6

Anonymous case . . 6 Mad., Ap., 10

See, however, Anonymous case [5 Mad., Ap., 15

3. EXPENSES OF WITNESSES.

29. Right to be paid expenses.

-Omission to apply for expenses before giving evidence.—A witness is entitled to be paid his expenses by the party at whose instance he has been summoned, although he has not applied for them before giving his evidence. LONDON, BOMBAY, AND MEDITEREANEAN BANK, v. MAHOMED IBRAHIM PARKAR.

I. L. R., 4 Bom., 619

- -Suitable expenses.

 -Persons of runk and wealth.—People of rank and wealth when summoned as witnesses to a distance from their place of residence, are entitled to travelling and other expenses suitable to their circumstances. Chunder Seehue Deb v. Jadub Chunder Settle 19 W. R., 78
- 31. Payment of expenses into Court.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 151.—Under section 151, Act VIII of 1859 (extended to Revenue Courts by section 67, Act X of 1859), the defendant was not bound to pay into Court the costs of sumnoning and defraying the expenses of the witnesses, until the Court had fixed what was reasonable. MORUN MUNDUE v. BRIJ BHOOKUN SINGH

[9 W. R., 128

WITNESS-CIVIL CASES-c

- 3. EXPENSES OF WITNESSES-continued.
- 32. Power to order evidence to be taken.—Omission to tender expenses.—Evidence of tender of expenses.—Where there was no proof that a defaulting witness's expenses were tendered to him by the party at whose instance he was summoned, the Court on appeal declined to order that witness's evidence to be taken or to take it itself. Ishen Chunder Sen v. Onath Nath Deb. Cowell v. Ishen Chunder Sen v. 18 W. R., 16
- Amount of expenses.—Compensation for loss of time.—Act VIII of 1859 made
 no provision for compensation to witnesses for loss of
 time. NAWAB NAZIM OF BENGAL v. PROSONO NABAIN DEB 2 Hyde, 236
- 34. Provision for expenses.—
 Suit for expenses.—Cause of action.—No action for the expenses of a witness will lie. Explanation of the manner of providing for the payment of such expenses. DE SARAN v. HURRISH CHUNDER BISWAS

 [5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 6

4. ABSCONDING WITNESSES.

- Duty of parties.

 —Issue of attachment.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 168.—Where witnesses do not appear on summons, it is for the parties to move the Court, not for the Court to proceed, suo motu, to further the production of the witnesses, though the Court may issue attachment under section 168, Code of Civil Procedure, if it is shown that the witnesses are absconding or keeping out of the way.

 BACHMAN V. LALL BEHARRE PANDEY

 13 W. R., 324
- 37. Order for arrest of witness. Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 168.—Proceedings against witnesses absent who have been summoned.— Where a lower Appellate Court, by the terms of its order on a petition for the apprehension of witnesses, under section 168, Code of Civil Procedure, undertook to see that proper orders should be passed, it was bound to pass such orders as might, in its judicial discretion, be necessary under that section. MOHADEB SHAHA T. SHEO SUHOY GEBE . 9 W. R., 353
- 38. Witness making default in appearing.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 168.—Ground for issue of warrant.—Section 168 of the Civil Procedure Code required that there should appear to the Court to be satisfactory ground for believing that the default on the part of witnesses summoned to give evidence was without lawful excuse

WITNESS-CIVIL CASES-continued.

4. ABSCONDING WITNESSES-continued.

Order for arrest of witness-continued.

before issuing a warrant for the arrest of such witnesses. But it was not necessary for this purpose to institute a formal investigation and come to a determination on the evidence adduced. PERIYANNA CHETTY v. GOVINDA GOUNDEN . 5 Mad., 104

- 39. Issue of proclamation against absent witness.—Materiality of evidence.—Ground for non-attendance.—A Court was held to be not bound to issue a proclamation against absent witnesses in a case where it was not satisfied that the witnesses were material, or that they had really absconded to avoid attendance. Bhoobun Moyee Dossee v. Kishoree Dossee 8 W. R., 235
- 40. Application for process against absconding witness.—Ground for not granting application.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, ss. 159, 168.—On application being made under sections 159 and 168 of Act VIII of 1859 for issue of process against an absconding witness, the Court if satisfied (as it was bound to be) that the witness had absconded, and that he was a material witness ought to grant the application unless the applicant had placed himself in such a position by his conduct that it would be inequitable to grant it. RAJOO SINGII v. LALLA BALGOBIND LAL 1 W. R., 26
- 41. Notice of proclamation.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, ss. 159 and 168.—Service of proclamation.—The proclamation issuable under section 159, Act VIII of 1859, could not be legally affixed to the mal cutcherry of a defaulting witness. Before the provisions of that section can come into play, personal service of summons must be attempted. In the absence of process of legal service, the Magistrate's order of imprisonment for contempt, under section 174 of the Penal Code, and section 168 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, was quashed. QUEEN v. HURYNATH CHOWDHRY

42. Discretion of Court as to issue of proclamation.—Proclamation against absent witness.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 159.—Section 159, Code of Civil Procedure, gives a Civil Court a discretion as to the issue of proclamation and subsequent orders for attachment; but such Court is bound to exercise a reasonable discretion. POBAN CHUNDEE GHOSE v. GOPEE NATH SINGH

[8 W. R., 505

of proclamation.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 151.

—A Court was not authorised to issue the proclamation and attachment mentioned in section 159, Code of Civil Procedure, unless it was proved to its satisfaction that the evidence of the witness was material, and that he was avoiding the summons; and after these circumstances have been shown, it was a matter of discretion to issue the proclamation and attachment, and after issue to let the case stand over.

KALEE DASS CHUCKERBUTTY v. ESHAN CHUNDER CHATTERIER

WITNESS-CIVIL CASES-continued.

4. ABSCONDING WITNESSES-continued.

45. — Fine for avoiding service of summons.—Act XIX of 1853, s. 28.—Act X of 1861.—Section 28 of Act XIX of 1853 having been repealed by Act X of 1861, a Judge had no jurisdiction, under Act VIII of 1859, to inflict a fine for the purpose of punishing a witness who absconded, or kept out of the way, to avoid service of summons. IN BE GAJADHAR PRASAD NARAYAN SINGH

[1 B. L. R., A. C., 186

S. C. GUJADHUR PERSHAD NARAIN SINGH v. JUG-DEO NARAIN . . . 10 W. R., 233

5. SWEARING OR AFFIRMATION OF WITNESSES.

46. Objection to take oath.—
Member of Church of England.—Stat. 17 and 18
Vict., c. 125.—A member of the Church of England is not exempt by law from taking an oath in a Court of Justice in India, although he may entertain sincere objections against taking an oath on the Rible, and is willing to make an affirmation binding upon his conscience. The English Statute 17 and 18 Victoria, Cap. 125, does not apply to India. Valu Mudali v. Sowers

Where a Mahomedan witness stated that he had no objection to oaths in general, but that he was suffering from a disease which disqualited him from taking an oath on the Koran until purification,—Held that the witness must be sworn in the regular way or not at all. Anonymous Case . . . 1 Mad., 99, note

6. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES.

(a) GENERALLY.

48. — Selection of witness.—Duty of parties.—It is not the business of a Court to determine what witnesses shall be examined. The parties must select their own witnesses, and call upon the Court to examine such of them as they may offer for examination, and it is their own fault if they do not take the necessary steps to have the witnesses examined, or to compel them to be present for examination at the proper time. MORNO MOYEE DEBBE D. BHEEM KOOMAR CHOWDHEY . 6 W. R., 231

DEEN DYAL SINGH v. DANEE ROY
[13 W. R., 185

WITNESS-CIVIL CASES-continued.

6. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES-continued.

(a) GENERALLY—continued.

49. Right to have witnesses examined.—Ground for refusing to hear witness.—Opinion of Court as to materiality of evidence.—Every party to a suit is entitled to have all the witnesses whom he desires to call, and is ready at the trial to produce, heard by the Court, whatever opinion the Court may form by anticipation as to the probable value of the evidence when it shall be given. LOOLOO SINGH v. RAJENDUE LAHA

[8 W. R., 364

Poban Chunder Ghose v. Gopeenath Singh [8 W. R., 505

CHOWDHEY KHOORGO ROY v. SHIB TOHUL ROY [17 W. R., 172

- 50. Ground of special appeal.—Omission of Court to examine witness.

 —As a general rule, all the witnesses brought forward by a party ought to be examined. But when an objection is made in special appeal that the Judge below has omitted to examine certain witnesses, it ought to be shown that the evidence of those witnesses would have been material to the case. NILKANTH SURMAH v. SOOSELA DEBIA. . 6 W. R., 324

CHUNDER NATH SEIN v. ANUNDMOYRE DOSSEE [11 W. R., 289

QUEEN v. TOTABAM . . 11 W. R., Cr., 15

- 52. Refusal to examine witness.—Ground for refusal.—Omission from list of witnesses.—The fact of a witness not having been named in the plaintiff's list of witnesses is no ground for refusing to examine him when produced at the proper time. RAKHAL DOSS MUNDUL v. PROTAF CHUNDER HAZRAH 12 W. R., 455
- 7 Refusal of verbal request of vakil.— Ground of special appeal.— Where a lower Appellate Court's refusal to examine witnesses in a suit for damages for assault is made a ground of special appeal, it is not sufficient to put in an affidavit to the effect that a verbal request of the vakil to examine the witnesses was refused by the Judge. RAMESSUR BHUTTACHARIER v. SHIB NABAIN CHUCKEBBUTTY . 14 W. R., 419
- nesses to facts already in evidence.—Tender of large number of witnesses.—Ground for remand.—In a suit for possession of zemindari and other estates claimed by the plaintiff as son and heir of the deceased zemindar, the defendants denied the title of the plaintiff, alleging that he was a spurious and supposititious child,

WITNESS-CIVIL CASES-continued.

6. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES-continued.

(a) GENERALLY—continued.

Refusal to examine witness-continued.

and tendered fifty-eight witnesses to prove that fact. The Zillah Court having taken the depositions of thirty of these witnesses refused to permit the remaining twenty-eight to be examined, on the ground that as they were going to prove the facts deposed to by those already examined, it was unnecessary to take their depositions, and ultimately decided in favour of the plaintiff. The defendants appealed to the Sudder Court, which refused to examine the witnesses rejected by the Zillah Court, and affirmed the decree of that Court. On appeal to Her Majesty in Council, the Judicial Committee remitted the case back to the Sudder Court, being of opinion, that the refusal by that Court to permit the examination of the witnesses tendered was irregular, and that no decision could be come to upon the merits under such circumstances. JESWUNT SINGJEE UBBY SING-JEE v. JET SINGJEE UBBY SINGJEE

[2 Moore's I. A., 424: 6 W. R., P. C., 46

- 65. Ground for remand.—A lower Court having allowed some of the witnesses of the plaintiff to depart without taking their evidence, the plaintiff objected to its taking the evidence of more of the defendant's witnesses than of his own. Upon this the Court allowed some of the defendant's witnesses to leave the Court without examining them. The case on coming up to the High Court was remanded for examination of all the remaining witnesses and a fresh decision. Gopen OJHA v. HUR GOBIND SINGH 12 W. R., 229
- Application to re-examine after consent to allow evidence in one suit to be evidence in others .- Five suits having been brought to recover a balance of accounts from defendants, who were alleged to be partners of a trading concern, and as such liable, certain witnesses were examined in four of the cases in which the plaintiff in one of the suits was not a party, and at his request the evidence taken in those cases was allowed to be used as evidence in his case, and then the witnesses were discharged. Two days after this he applied to have the witnesses re-examined, giving no reason for his application, which was refused. Held that the refusal was justified in the absence of any new reason for the re-examination. SRRENATH Rox v. Goluck Chunder Sein . 15 W. R., 348
- beath before delivering legal judgment.—Obligation to hear witnesses again.—Consent of parties.—A suit was dismissed by a Deputy Collector, who died before recording a legal judgment, whereupon it was made over by the lower Appellate Court for trial to the deceased officer's successor, who decided the case in favour of the plaintiff upon the evidence as it stood on the record without any objection by either party. Held that it was not the duty of the second Deputy Collector to remand the witnesses or to take additional evidence, unless requested to do so by the parties. Gour Chunder Sen v. Manick Ram

[13 W. R., 76

WITNESS-CIVIL CASES—continued.

6. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.

(a) GENERALLY—continued.

58. — Recall of witness.—Witness for plaintiff recalled for defendant.—Leave of Court.—When a witness has been examined on behalf of the plaintiff he cannot be recalled as a witness for the defendant without leave obtained at the end of the first examination. MACKINTOSH v. NOBINMONEY DOSSEE . 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 160

Examination of witnesses by Appellate Court.—Courts should in all cases exercise the powers with which they have been entrusted by the law in the examination of witnesses, if they see that they are not properly examined through the incompetency of those who have the management of the suits. If the Munsif fails to take proper evidence, the Appellate Court should not decide the case on such evidence as there is, but having the power to call for further evidence under section 355, Act VIII of 1859, it should take proper means for making the evidence complete. RAMGATI v. IMITABI BANEE . 1B. L. R., S. N., 20

60. Mode of taking evidence.— Observations on the improper manner in which the evidence in cases is generally taken in the subordinate Courts, and in which it was taken in this case. Phul Kuar c. Surjan Pandry

[I. L. R., 4 All., 249

· Irregularity in examination of witness. - Witness for plaintiff examined in absence of defendant or his pleaders.-Irregularity.-Objection not taken in time. - Evidence Act, s. 167. -The examination of a material witness of the plaintiff, in the absence of the defendant, his vakil having been removed, and no other vakil then acting for him, is such an irregularity as, if objected to at the proper time, would be fatal to the reception of such evidence. But where no objection was urged during the trial, or until an appeal was interposed, the Judicial Committee held that the objection came too late and could not be sustained, as, notwithstanding such irregularity and miscarriage, that fact did not taint the whole proceedings so as to prevent the plaintiff recovering upon the other evidence which was sufficient to establish his case. But although the other evidence rendered the evidence improperly admitted immaterial, the Judicial Committee, as there had been an irregularity in the Court below in affirming the judgment, refused to give the costs of the appeal. BOMMARAUZE BAHA-DUR v. RANGASAMY MUDALY

[6 Moore's I. A., 232

Evidence given without cross-examination and without opportunity of cross-examination.—Evidence given when a party never had the opportunity either to examine or to cross-examine the witnesses, or to rebut their testimony by fresh evidence, is not legally admissible for or against him, unless he consents that it should be so used. Gorachand Sierae v. Ram Nahain Chowdhey 9 W. R., 587

WITNESS-CIVIL CASES-continued.

6. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.

(a) GENERALLY—continued.

witness.—Contradiction of witness to collateral questions.—Right to call evidence to contradict.—
The rule limiting the right to call evidence to contradict witnesses on collateral questions excludes all evidence of facts which are incapable of affording any reasonable presumption or inference as to the principal matter in dispute, the test being whether the fact is one which the party proposing to contradict would have been allowed himself to prove in evidence. Gulam Alli bin Kazi Ismail v. Aga Khan . . . 6 Bom., O. C., 93

of signatures.—In a suit for arrears of rent for 1273 at an enhanced rate, plaintiff relied upon an agreement said to have been executed by defendant in that year. The Assistant Collector found that the agreement had not been executed by defendant. On appeal, the Judge called an expert who proved that the signatures of the attesting witnesses were not all genuine, and the decision of the Assistant Collector was affirmed. Held that the Judge was wrong in calling for and acting upon the evidence of the expert. BINDESSUREE DUTT SINGH v. DOMA SINGH

65. Consent to be bound by particular witness.—Evidence not legally admissible.—An à priori consent to abide by the testimony of a certain witness cannot bind the consenting party to hearsay testimony, but only to such evidence as is legally admissible,—i.e., evidence as to such facts as the witness can directly speak to. LUCKERMONEE DOSSEE v. SHUNKURREE DOSSEE

[2 W. R., 252

MUNNOO SINGH v. AMRUT LALL . 5 W. R., 234

in particular manner.—Where the plaintiff rested her claim solely on the deposition of the defendant to be taken by his placing his hand on a particular text of the Koran, and the defendant not being examined in that way but in the usual way, did not prove the claim,—Held that the Court was not right in allowing the plaintiff to examine further witnesses and to reopen her case. MAHOMED SALEH n. MUELAMOONISSA 10 W. R., 284

Plaintiff agreeing to be bound by defendant's evidence.—Statements obviously untrue.—Where the defendant on examination makes statements which amount to nothing and are manifestly untrue, the plaintiff cannot be bound by them, even though he had agreed to be bound by what the defendant said. Goordooss Roy v. Greedhue Sein . 11 W.R., 110

68. Subsequent refusal.—Duty of Court.—Where a defendant, after asking the lower Appellate Court to summon plaintiff as a witness, and consenting to abide by his deposition, had again petitioned the Court that the plaintiff

WITNESS-CIVIL CASES-continued. 6. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES-continued.

(a) GENERALLY -continued.

Evidence of experts -continued.

(6243)

should not be examined,—Held that defendant should not have been bound solely and absolutely by the plaintiff's deposition, but that the other evidence on the record should also have been considered. Jug-DEO SINGH v. MOLAZIM HOSSEIN . 13 W. R., 108

(b) Cross-Examination.

69. Right to cross-examine.-Witness called by the Court .- A witness called by the Court is liable to be cross-examined by any of the parties to a suit. TARINI CHARAN CHOWDHRY v. Saroda Sundari Dasi

[3 B. L. R., A. C., 145: 11 W. R., 468

Witness called by Court .- A party summoned by the Court to give evidence is not only required to give answers to the questions put to him by the Court, but the opposite party has a right to cross-examine him. The statement of any person examined is not admissible unless the opposite party has had the opportunity of crossexamining him. GOOROODOSS ROY v. GREEDHUR . 11 W. R., 110

SHURFURAZ MOLLAH v. DHUNOO [16 W. R., 257

Co-defendant separately represented .- One co-defendant, whose interests are separately represented, may cross-examine another. NARASIMMA v. KISTNAMA . 1 Mad., 456

Recall of wits.—Omission to give opportunity for cross-examination.—A Court of first instance decreed a case ex parte in favour of the plaintiff, and at a rehearing did not recall the plaintiff's witnesses, whom, therefore, the defendant had no opportunity to crossexamine, and again gave a decree for the plaintiff. The lower Appellate Court rejected the evidence of plaintiff's witnesses, and reversed the decree. Held that the Court of first instance should have recalled the plaintiff's witnesses, and given the defendant an opportunity of cross-examination. RAM BAKS LALL v. Kishori Mohan Shaha

[3 B. L. R., A. C., 273: 12 W. R., 130

- Refusal to allow cross-examination.—Act VIII of 1859, s. 170.— A defendant failed to appear when ordered to attend under section 170, Act VIII of 1859. The Judge did not at once pass judgment against him, but called the plaintiff's witnesses, and refused to allow the defendant's vakil, who was present, to crossexamine them. Held that the Judge ought to have allowed the defendant's vakil to cross-examine the plaintiff's witnesses. PAKAKTAR V. JAKRIBAM BHAKAT . . 2 B. L. R., Ap., 12

 Refusal of witness to answer questions on cross-examination.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 169.—" Lawful excuse."—

WITNESS-CIVIL CASES-continued. 6. EXAMINATION OF

(b) Cross-Examination-

Refusal of witness to answer question on cross-examination -continued.

A party to a suit tendering himself as a witness, and declining without lawful excuse to answer questions put on cross-examination, was liable to be dealt with under section 169 of the Civil Procedure Code. "Without lawful excuse" means such an excuse as would in law justify the refusal to give evidence. LEKH RAJ v. PALEE RAM

[1 N. W., 162: Ed. 1873, 241

7. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE.

Credibility of witnesses .-Power to set aside decision on evidence. - The credibility of witnesses is a matter altogether for the Court of first instance and the Court which hears a regular appeal; and if these Courts are satisfied that the witnesses are not to be believed, their decision cannot be set aside by the High Court on special appeal, even though upon a general view of the case it should think that, if it had tried the case originally, it might have come to a different conclusion. GOUREE PER-SHAD KOONDOO v. PRANNATH SURMAH

[10 W. R., 365

Mode of testing credibility.—Several witnesses to same facts.—In examining evidence, with a view to test whether several witnesses who bear testimony to the same facts are worthy of credit, it is important to see whether they give their evidence in the same words, or whether they substantially agree, not, indeed, concurring in all the minute particulars of what passed, but with that agreement in substance, and that variation in unimportant details, which are usually found in witnesses intending to speak the truth, and not tutored to tell a particular story. NANA NARAIN RAO v. HURBER PUNTH BHAO

[Marsh., 436: 9 Moore's I. A., 96

Witnesses called to support case giving evidence contrary to it .- A party who calls a witness to give evidence on his behalf is not necessarily bound by his evidence; but if the evidence is at variance with the truth of his case (e.g., if a witness called to prove execution of a document swears that it was not executed and has the means of knowing the fact), it throws a suspicion on the case which renders the clearest testimony necessary to establish its truth. FUZEELUN BEEBEE v. OMDAH . 10 W. R., 469 BEEBEE .

Credit on matters of witnesses supporting a false case.-Although it does not necessarily follow that where a witness gives evidence on a particular fact in a case and that fact is found against his evidence, he is to be entirely disbelieved on the other parts of the case he has spoken to, yet where witnesses who were not merely giving an opinion upon an isolated fact in the case, but came into Court to prove the whole case

WITNESS-CIVIL CASES -continued.

7. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE—continued.

Credibility of witnesses -continued.

made by the plaintiffs, and that a very special case, and it is shown to be a case false in its material features, much reliance cannot be placed on their evidence as to any particular questions in the case. HABREBOOLLAH v. GOUHUR ALLY KHAN

[18 W. R., P. C., 523

- 79. Ground for refusal to consider evidence.—Non-production of best evidence.—
 The principle that a plaintiff is bound to produce the best evidence in his power was held not to justify a Judge in omitting to consider the weight and legal effect of the remaining witnesses, when plaintiff had failed to produce the most important witness. LATORE MISTERE v. AGAMUDDEE NUSHYO [14 W. R., 482]
- 80. Mode of weighing evidence.—Consideration of motives for bringing a suit.

 Where the evidence in support of a case is doubtful, the Court, in weighing that evidence, may properly take into consideration the motives imputed to the plaintiff as having induced him to sue. BIRCH v. FURZIND ALI 3 N. W., 303
- 81. Estimating value of evidence.—Witness swearing affirmatively to fact.—In estimating the value of evidence, the testimony of a person who swears positively that a certain conversation took place, is of more value than that of one who says that it did not. Chowdhey Deby Persad v. Chowdhry Dowlut Singh

[6 W. R., P. C., 55: 3 Moore's I. A., 347

- evidence unnecessarily and unjustifiably.—Held by NORMAN, J., that the Judge was not at liberty to reject, as matters which he could wholly leave out of consideration, any of the evidence before him in a case where the witnesses were unimpeached in their general character and uncontradicted by any testimony on the other side, and where there was no improbability in the facts which they related, and that the probative force arising from concurrent testimony was the compound ratio of the probabilities of the testimonies taken singly. RADHA KANT DEB v. KHEMA DOSSEE . 7 W. R., 105
- Evidence of witnesses found unreliable in criminal case.—A Judge was held to have done wrong in throwing out the evidence of witnesses tendered by the defendant in a civil action merely because they have been found untrustworthy when examined with reference to a charge of breach of trust against the same defendant in a criminal case. LALL CHAND ROY v. BRINDABUN CHUNDER ROY 13 W. R., 226

WITNESS-CIVIL CASES-continued.

7. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE—continued.

Estimating value of evidence—continued.

85. Evidence of person who has been convicted of perjury or other offence.—The evidence of a person who has been convicted of a criminal offence can hardly be entitled to the credit that would be given to the testimony of a person against whom no such imputation can be brought. Doongun Rai v. Doorga Rai

[2 N. W., 97

Evidence of truth of witness.—The observation that the evidence of a witness proves too much is not rebutted by the suggestion that it cannot be supposed that the witness was suborned, for, if he was possessed of common shrewdness, he would not have overdone the thing and then have given rise to such an objection. SOOBIAH ROW V. COTAGHERY BOOCHIAH

[5 W. R., P. C., 127: 2 Moore's I. A., 113

89. Ground for discrediting witness.—A bare allegation by a defendant in his written statement, without any proof in support of it, that a certain person is his inveterate enemy, is not sufficient to discredit that person's testimony. KASINATH SHAHA v. DWARKANATH . 9 B. L. R., 215: 17 W. R., 550

8. PRIVILEGES OF WITNESSES.

90. — Exemption from appearance in Court.—Natives of rank, Prejudices of, to appear in Court.—The prejudices of natives of rank to appear as witnesses in a Court of Justice will not be allowed to relax the rule that the best evidence must be produced of which the case is susceptible. RAM MOHUN MOOKERJEE v. NURSING DEB. 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 63: W. R., F. B., 54

DEB v. RAM MOHUN MOOKERJEE
[Marsh., 176: 1 Hay, 379

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—continued. 8. PRIVILEGES OF WITNESSES-continued. Exemption from appearance in Courtcontinued. See Manickbam v. Ramyad Ram 7**2 W. R., 6**3 RADHA KISTO SINGH DEO v. GUDADHUR BAN-8 W. R., 453 KALEE CHUNDER CHOWDHEY V. SURUT SOON-DURRE DEBIA 18 W. B., 45 91. Exemption from suit in respect of evidence.—Action for damages.— False evidence.—Witnesses cannot be sued for damages in respect of evidence given by them in a judicial proceeding. If their evidence be false, they should be proceeded against by an indictment for perjury. GUNESH DUTT SING v. MUGNEERAM CHOWDHRY [11 B. L. R., P. C., 321: 17 W. R., 283 WITNESS-CRIMINAL CASES. Col. 1. PERSON COMPETENT TO BE WITNESS . 6248 2. SUMMONING WITNESSES . 6250 3. AVOIDING SERVICE . 6259 4. SWEARING, OR AFFIRMATION OF WIT-. 6259 NESSES . 5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES 6259 . 6259 (a) GENERALLY (b) Examination by Court . 6267 (c) CROSS-EXAMINATION . 6267 6. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF EVI-. 6272 See CASES UNDER ACCOMPLICE. See Cases under Approvers. See Cases under Commission-Crimi-NAL CASES. See Cases under Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, ss. 288, 289. See CASES UNDER EVIDENCE-CRIMINAL See Cases under Evidence Act, 1872, s. See Cases under False Evidence. See HOLIDAY . 8 B. L. R., Ap., 12 See JUDGE-DUTY OF JUDGE. [L. R., 3 I. A., 259 See JUDGE-QUALIFICATIONS AND DISQUA-LIFICATIONS . I. L. R., 2 Calc., 23

See MAGISTRATE, DUTY OF-

See OATHS ACT, 1873, S. 13.

See PARDANASHIN WOMEN.

[I. L. R., 8 All., 672

[1 B. L. R., S. N., 5

I. L. R., 4 Calc., 20 24 W. R., 375

[14 B. L. R., 54, 294, 295, note

> See RECOGNISANCE TO KEEP PEACE—FOR-FEITURE OF RECOGNISANCES.

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 865

Person appearing as, Statement of—

See CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE, 1882, s. 164 (1872, s. 122).

[I. L. R., 2 Bom., 643 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 15

1. PERSON COMPETENT TO BE WITNESS.

1. Judge.—Competent witness.—A Judge is a competent witness, and can give evidence in a case being tried before himself, even though he laid the complaint, acting as a public officer, provided that he has no personal or pecuniary interest in the subject of the charge, and he is not precluded thereby from dealing judicially with the evidence of which his own forms a part. QUEEN v. MUKTA SING. 6 B. L. R., A. Cr., 15:13 W. R., Cr., 60

See ROUSSEAU v. PINTO . 7 W. R., 190

Magistrate.—Evidence Act. s. 121.—Power of Sessions Judge to compel Magistrate to give evidence.—Privilege of witness.—A Sessions Judge finding, in the course of a trial, as regards the examination of the accused person taken by the committing Subordinate Magistrate, that the provisions of section 346 of Act X of 1872 had not been fully complied with, summoned the committing Magistrate and took his evidence that the accused person duly made the statement recorded. The Magistrate of the district objected to this proceeding of the Sessions Judge, contending that it was "contrary to law." The Sessions Judge referred the question, whether or not his proceeding was contrary to law, to the High Court. Per STUART, C. J., PEARSON, J., OLDFIELD, J., and STRAIGHT, J .- That the privilege given by section 121 of Act I of 1872 is the privilege of the witness,-i.e., of the Judge or Magistrate of whom the question is asked: if he waives such privilege, or does not object to answer such question, it does not lie in the mouth of any other person to assert the privilege: the reference, the objection not having been taken by the Subordinate Magistrate but by the Magistrate of the district, should be answered accordingly. Per SPANKIE, J.—That a Sessions Judge, while trying a case, cannot compel a committing Magistrate to answer questions as to his own conduct in Court as such Magistrate. EMPERSS OF INDIA v. CHIDDA KHAN . I. L. R., 3 All., 573

Judge trying calc.

—Magistrate witness of facts.—In a case in which a Deputy Magistrate took an active part in the capture of parties charged with having been members of an unlawful assembly,—parties whom he himself tried on that charge,—it was held 'hat he was bound to state to the accused, so far as he could, what were the facts he himself observed, and to which he himself could bear testimony; and the prisoner in such

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued. 1. PERSON COMPETENT TO BE WITNESS—continued.

Magistrate-continued.

situation had a right, if he thought it desirable, to cross-examine the Judge, whose evidence should be recorded, and form part of the record in the case. The proper course, however, for the Deputy Magistrate to have taken in this case would have been to decline to try the case, and to ask that it should be undertaken by some other Judge. In THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF HUEBO CHUNDER PAUL

[20 W. R., Cr., 76

- Conviction, Illegality of.—A Magistrate cannot himself be a witness in a case in which he is the sole judge of law and fact. Per Markey, J.—Where in such a case he has given his evidence and convicted the accused, his having so acted makes the conviction bad. Per Prinser, J.—The conviction is not absolutely bad. It is open to the Court to uphold the conviction, if it is of opinion that, after rejecting the Magistrate's evidence, there is other evidence sufficient, if believed, to support the conviction. EMPERSS V.

 DONNELLY . . . I. L. R., 2 Calc., 405
- Magistrate sitting on Bench in Appellate Court.—Liability to be examined as witness.—It is undesirable that Magistrates, whose decisions are under appeal, or who have been engaged in promoting the prosecution as police officers concerned in a case, should sit on the Bench beside or converse privately in Court with the Judge who is engaged in trying the prisoner's appeal. If the Appellate Court wishes to ascertain any facts relating to the case from the Magistrate who convicted the accused, he should examine the Magistrate on oath or solemn affirmation in the same manner as an ordinary witness. Reg. v. Kashinath Dinkar 8 Bom., Cr., 126

See s. 121 OF THE EVIDENCE ACT, 1872.

7. —— Prisoner.—Tendering pardon to prisoner.—Procedure as to tendering a pardon to a prisoner before examining him as a witness, discussed. QUEEN v. GAGALU

[6 B. L. R., Ap., 50: 12 W. R., Cr., 80

- 8. Co-defendants, Examination of, as witnesses.—Where there is no community of interest, any one of a number of prisoners jointly indicted may be called as a witness either for or against his co-defendants. QUEEN v. ASHRUFF SHEIK 6 W. R., Cr., 91
- 9. Prisoners tried together jointly.—Examination of one as witness against another.—Where two prisoners are tried together for different offences committed in the same

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued. 1. PERSON COMPETENT TO BE WITNESS —continued.

Prisoner-continued.

transaction, it is improper and illegal to examine one prisoner as a witness against the other. IN THE MATTER OF DAVID 5 C. L. R., 574

- 10. Person brought up with accused and not discharged.—A person apprehended by the police and brought before the Magistrate with the accused, is, though not discharged by the Magistrate, a competent witness against the accused, provided he be not charged along with the accused. Reg. v. Narayan Sundar
 - [5 Bom., Cr., 1
- 11. Evidence of woman on charge of adultery.—A person may call the woman with whom he is accused of having had sexual intercourse as a witness on his behalf. IN RE BECHOO [6 W. R., Cr., 92]

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES.

- 12. Dispensing with personal attendance of witnesses.—Deposition.—Trial before Sessions Court.—It is only in extreme cases of delay or expense that the personal attendance of a witness before the Court of Session should be dispensed with, and the evidence given by him before the committing Magistrate referred to. EMPRESS v. MULU I. L. R., 2 All., 646
- 13. Application to enforce attendance of witnesses.—Witnesses for defence.—Examination of accused.—In a case under Chapter XV, Code of Criminal Procedure, 1861, it was incumbent on the accused either to produce their witnesses or to apply beforehand for a summons to enforce the attendance of any witness who was not likely to appear without a summons; it was not necessary in such cases to record the examination of the accused with the same formalities as in cases under Chapters XII and XIV. Queen v. Chedre Koonjra

 [14 W. R., Cr., 76
- 14. Discharge of witness from attendance.—It is incumbent upon a Court when it discharges a witness from the duty of attendance before the trial is ended to ascertain from the accused whether he has, or is likely to have, any need of the witness's testimony; and if he has such need, then to take such steps for insuring the presence of the witness at the required time as may be necessary. Khurruckdhare Singh v. Pershader Mundul [22 W. R., Cr., 44]
- Discretion of Court as to summoning witnesses.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 192.—Discretion of Magistrate as to examining witnesses.—It is entirely within the discretion of a Magistrate conducting a trial in a warrant case to admit evidence on behalf of either side at any stage of the trial, section 192, Act X of 1872, applying to such a case; but the Magistrate, in

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.
2. SUMMONING WITNESSES—continued.

(6251)

Discretion of Court as to summoning witnesses—continued.

exercising the discretion conferred on him by this section, ought to have good reason for allowing witnesses on the part of the prosecution to be interposed in the midst of the case of the accused QUEEN v. KASSY SINGH. QUEEN v. HULKOREE SINGH

---- Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses .- Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 359.—Adjournment for appearance of witnesses for defence .- Certain persons were charged before the Magistrate with rioting, and being called upon for their defence; named several witnesses, and summonses on the following morning were issued for their appearance, but they were not found. The accused then applied for further time for the appearance of the witnesses. This the Magistrate refused to grant, and convicted the accused. Held, per JACKSON, J., that this being a warrant case it was the duty of the Magistrate to summon the witnesses that might be offered by the accused, and that he might at his discretion have adjourned the case. Held, further, per JACKSON, J., that the meaning of section 359 of the Criminal Procedure Code is, that if among the persons named by the accused as witnesses, the Magistrate considers that any witness is included for the purpose of vexation and delay, he is to exercise his judgment and enquire whether such witness is material; but that the section is not intended to enable the Magistrate to enquire into what the defence of the accused person is to be, and to consider whether, on learning the nature of the defence, he is absolutely to abstain from summoning the whole of the witnesses cited by the accused; and further, that in the present case there was not any purpose of vexation or delay, and that by the refusal to grant further time the accused had been probably prejudiced in their defence. EMPRESS ON THE PROSE-CUTION OF DENONATH GHATTACK v. RAJCOOMAR . I. L. R., 3 Calc., 573

S. C. In the matter of the petition of Rajcoomar Singh . 2 C. L. R., 62

17. Obligation to summon witnesses.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, Ch. XIV.—In a case tried under the provisions of Chapter XIV of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the accused were entitled to have their witnesses summoned, and a Magistrate had no power to refuse to summon them. QUEEN v. DOORGAGUTTY

111 W. R., Cr., 55

18. Discretion of Magistrate.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 262.—Held by BAYLEY, J. (MARKBY, J., dubitante), that a Magistrate had a discretion, under section 262 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to summon a witness when he was likely to give material evidence on behalf of the accused. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF AMERIC CHAND NOHATTA. QUEEN v. AMERIC CHAND NOHATTA. 13 W. R., Cr., 63

WITNESS-CRIMINAL CASES-continued.

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES-continued.

Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses—continued.

cattle.—Act III of 1857, s. 13.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 262.—Summoning witnesses.—In a case of forcibly rescuing cattle under section 13, Act III of 1857, in which the accused did not summon any witness, it was held that, even if the accused wanted them summoned, the Magistrate, under section 262 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, need not have summoned them, unless persuaded that they were likely to give material evidence and that they would not attend voluntarily. Arbar Tagudgeer v. Punchoo Biswas . 10 W. R., Cr., 42

20. Duty of parties.
—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, ss. 261, 262.—
Attendance of witnesses.—In a case under Chapter
XV of the Code of Criminal Procedure, it was expected that parties would bring their own witnesses with
them. If they required the attendance of any witness they should apply to the Magistrate to cause
his attendance; and where they did not so apply, it
was sufficient if the Magistrate recorded in his judgment the substance of the defendant's answer.
BAGDEE MANJEE v. MOHINDEO NARAIN

[10 W. R., Cr., 16

21. Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 186.—In the case of a charge of an offence triable by the Court of Sessions alone, the Magistrate was bound, under section 186 of the Criminal Procedure Code, to summon the complainant's witnesses. QUEEN v. ZAKIE ALLY

[8 W. R., Cr., 4

22. Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 375.—Accused person, Right of.—An accused person is entitled to have examined as a witness any person named in his list of witnesses delivered to the Magistrate: and the Magistrate should take measures to enforce the attendance of such person. Queen v. Ishan Dutt

[6 B. L. R., Ap., 88: 15 W. R., Cr., 34

Criminal Procedure Code (Act XXV of 1861), ss. 188, 207, 227, and 228.—Arrest and detention of witnesses.—Section 207 of the Criminal Procedure Code gave no power to the Magistrate to call up and examine witnesses for the defence whose names have been given in a list, under section 227, when the prisoners reserve their defence for the Court of Session; but under section 228 he was bound to summon them to give evidence before the Court of Session. In the matter of Mahesh Chandra Banerjee. Queen v. Kali Siekar

[4 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 13 W. R., Cr., 1

24. - Credibility of witnesses.—It is the Magistrate's duty to summon witnesses for the accused who can speak to the facts of the case, and he ought not to determine beforehand what credit he will give to their evidence. In

WITNESS-CRIMINAL CASES-continued.
2. SUMMONING WITNESSES-continued.

Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses—continued.

THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF MAHIMA CHANDRA SHAH

[4 B. L. R., Ap., 78: 15 W. R., Cr., 15

Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, ss. 186, 262.—Section 186 of the Code of Criminal Procedure referred to cases under Chapter XII, which were triable by the Court of Session, and not to cases under Chapter XV, which were triable by a Magistrate. To the latter cases section 262 applied. Boiddonath Baniav. Bheedoo Doss. 9 W. R., Cr., 3

Right of accused to have witnesses summoned.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 363.—Under section 363, Code of Criminal Procedure, p prisoner was entitled, as a matter of right, to have any witnesses named in the list which he delivered to the Magistrate, summoned and examined. QUEEN v. PEOSUNNO COOMAR MOITRO
[23 W. R., Cr., 56

27. Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 253.—Under section 253 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, it was imperative on the Magistrate to summon the witnesses named by the prisoner. QUEEN v. MUDSOODDEEN

[2 N. W., 148

Summoning witnesses for accused .- Criminal Procedure Code (Act XXV of 1861), s. 253.—Per AINSLIE, J.—In a trial under Chapter XIV of the Criminal Procedure Code, the Magistrate was not bound, under section 253, to summon any witness whom the accused might require. It was only discretionary with him to do so, and in the circumstances of the present case, he exercised his discretion rightly in refusing to summon the witnesses asked for. Per PAUL, J. (differing).-The right of an accused to have witnesses for his defence summoned during the pendency of the trial is an ordinary and natural right, and this right was not taken away, but affirmed, by section 253; the Magistrate was bound to summon the witnesses, though it was discretionary with him to adjourn the trial. In the present case, treating it as a matter of discretion only, the Magistrate was wrong in refusing to summon the witnesses required. QUEEN v. BHOLANATH MOOKERJEE

[7 B. L. R., 564: 16 W. R., Cr., 28

Magistrate.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, ss. 253, 262, 263.—Section 253 of the Criminal Procedure Code did not apply to cases triable under Chapter XV of that Code; and sections 262 and 263 were applicable when the offence was not punishable with more than six months' imprisonment; and it was in the discretion of the Magistrate to summon the witnesses for the defence, if he considered their evidence essential to the just decision of the case, and incumbent on him to summon them only if it appeared to him that they were likely to give materia

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES—continued,

Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses—continued.

evidence on behalf of either party, and that they would not voluntarily appear for the purpose of being examined at the time and place appointed for the hearing of the complaint. QUEEN v. MOHUREE

[2 N. W., 393

Majistrate.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, ss. 227, 228.—Where a prisoner, under section 227, Code of Criminal Procedure, gave in a list of the witnesses he wished to summon, after his case had been committed, the Magistrate was bound to exercise his discretion upon the point, and to state whether he would summon the witnesses or not, and he ought to state his reasons for not doing so. If he thought the witnesses were included in the list for the purpose of delay, he should proceed under section 228 of the Code. Queen v. Rajcoomar Mookershe

[16 W. R., Cr., 14

31. Discretion of Magistrate.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, ss. 215, 862.—It was not incumbent on a Magistrate to summon every person named as a witness by the complainant. Section 215, explanation 3 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, must be read with section 362, which vested a discretionary power in the Magistrate. Jeldhari Singh v. Shunkue Doyal [23 W. R., Cr., 9]

See, however, EMPRESS v. HEMATULLA
[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 389

EMPRESS OF INDIA v. KASHI

[I. L. R., 2 All., 447

QUEEN v. PURASURAMA NAIKAR

I. L. R., 4 Mad., 329

Anonymous Case

8 Mad., Ap., 5

32. Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, Ch. XII'.—In a case of an offence (such as hurt, under section 323, Penal Code) punishable with imprisonment exceeding six months, and therefore falling under Chapter XIV of the Code of Criminal Procedure, a Magistrate was bound to summon all the witnesses required by the accused.

QUEEN v. BOOLAKEE . 14 W. R., Cr., 81

Criminal Procedure Code, 1869, s. 131.—Claims to stolen property.
—Petitioner was charged with the theft of certain money found in his house and acquitted. Proclamation having been made for claimants to come in and claim the property, no one appeared, whereupon petitioner preferred his claim and asked the Assistant Magistrate to summon certain witnesses, but the Assistant Magistrate refused to do so, and disallowed his claim, the Magistrate on appeal declining to interfere. On reference by the Judge, the High Court held that the Assistant Magistrate was bound to summon the witnesses named by the petitioner, set aside that officer's order, and directed him to dispose of the case after taking due steps for securing the

WITNESS-CRIMINAL CASES-continued.
2. SUMMONING WITNESSES-continued.

Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses—continued.

attendance of the witnesses in question. SOOKHAN SAHOO v. GOVERNMENT . . . 18 W. R., Cr., 5

[9 B. L. R., Ap., 45

SHAMASUNKUE MOZOOMDAR v. ANUNDMOYEE DASSYA . . . 18 W. R., Cr., 64

Ground for postponement of case.—A Magistrate was held to be right,
under the circumstances, in not postponing the case
for the purpose of summoning witnesses for one
of the parties. In the matter of the pertition of
Gabinda Chandra Grose. 9 B. L. R., Ap., 39

Non-attendance of witnesses.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 269.

—Ground for adjournment of trial.—In a trial held under Chapter XV of the Criminal Procedure Code, it was not an irregularity to adjourn the trial, under section 269, for the purpose of allowing the accused to secure the attendance of his witnesses. As a general rule, a prisoner should have his witnesses present on the day of trial. Queen v. Dinoo Roy

[16 W. R., Cr., 21

Majistrate to summon prisoner's witnesses.—Cruminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1872), s. 359.—A Magistrate was not at liberty to refuse to summon a witness tendered by an accused person, except on the grounds specified in section 359 of the Criminal Procedure ('ode; and if he did refuse, he was bound to proceed under that section. The fact that the accused declines to examine a witness is no reason for refusing to summon him to meet fresh evidence given subsequent to the defence being closed. In the Matter of the Petition of Drela Mahton v. Sied Dyal Koeri I. L. R., 6 Calc., 714

S. C. In the matter of Deela Manton [8 C. L. R., 70

[0 0. 1. 1., 10

Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 359.—Witness for the defence.—
Failure to attend.—Refusal to re-summon.—On the 30th March 1881 an accused person on his trial before a Magistrate asked that a certain witness might be summoned on his behalf. The Magistrate ordered a summons to be issued for the attendance of such witness on the 18th April, to which day the further hearing of the case was adjourned. There was some delay in the service of the summons, and such witness did not attend on that day. The Magistrate refused an application by the accused for

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.
2. SUMMONING WITNESSES—continued.

Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses—continued.

the issue of a second summons to such witness, with reference to section 359 of Act X of 1872, on the ground that such application was not made in "good faith." Held that the provisions of section 359 of Act X of 1872 were clearly inapplicable to the case as it stood before the Magistrate on the 18th April, and he was bound to make a further attempt—the first attempt seemed to have been nominal merely—to secure the attendance of the absent witness, EMPRESS D. RUKN-UD-DIN . I. L. R., 4 All., 5

Witness for defence. -Refusal by Magistrate to summon witness under Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 216 .- Witness summoned by Sessions Court .- Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, 8s. 291, 540.—Upon the committal of certain persons for trial before the Sessions Court for offences under the Penal Code, each of the prisoners, under section 211 of the Criminal Procedure Code, gave in a written list of the persons whom he wished to be summoned to give evidence at the trial. On each of these lists the name of a particular person was entered, who objected under section 216 to being summoned, on the ground that the summons was desired for vexatious purposes only, and that there were no reasonable grounds for believing that any evidence he could give would be material. Upon this objection, the committing Magistrate passed an order requiring the prisoners to satisfy him that there were reasonable grounds for believing that the objector's evidence was material, and, having heard arguments on both sides, passed an order refusing to issue the summons. The only ground stated by the Magistrate for this order was that he thought the reasons assigned for the application to have the objector summoned were insufficient. Subsequent to the order, and before the trial in the Sessions Court had begun, the Sessions Judge, upon an application filed on behalf of the prisoner, passed an order directing that the objector should be summoned to give evidence. The order assigned no reasons, and was passed in the absence of the objector or of any person representing him, and without notice to show cause being issued to him. The objector applied to the High Court for revision of the order on the ground that the Sessions Judge had no jurisdiction to make it. Held that when a Magistrate refuses, under section 216 of the Criminal Procedure Code, to summon a witness included in the list of the accused, he must record his reasons for such refusal, and such reasons must show that the evidence of such witness is not material; that the ground stated by the Magistrate, viz., that the reasons assigned for the application to have the objector summoned were insufficient. did not show that the evidence was not material: that the Sessions Judge had jurisdiction to make the order complained of; and that, even if he had not, it would not, under the circumstances, be desirable to interfere with his order in revision. Per STRAIGHT, J., that section 540 is not the only provision of the Criminal Procedure Code which confers on a Sessions Judge powers of the kind exercised by him in this

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.
2. SUMMONING WITNESSES—continued.

Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses—continued.

case. Under section 291, though the summoning of witnesses by an accused through the medium of the Sessions Judge is not a matter of right, yet the Judge has an inherent power, if he thinks proper to exercise it, to sanction the summoning of other witnesses than those named in the list delivered to the committing Magistrate. In the matter of the Petition of the Rajah of Kantit

[I. L. R., 8 All., 668

Refusal to summon witnesses not declared material unless expenses are paid.—A prisoner who was about to be committed to the Sessions Court presented to the Magistrate a list of witnesses whom he desired to have summoned to give evidence on his behalf at the trial, and on being asked by the Magistrate why he desired to summon the witnesses, the prisoner declined to state his reason. Held that the Magistrate was at liberty to decline to summon the persons named in the list on the prisoner declining to satisfy him that they were material witnesses; but the Magistrate ought to have fixed the amount which he considered necessary to defray the cost of the attendance of the persons named, and intimated to the prisoner his readiness to issue summonses on that amount being deposited. The High Court called for the record for the purpose of seeing whether any of the persons named in the list were likely to be able to give material evidence. SUBHABAYA MUDALI v. QUEEN . 4 Mad., 81

- Omission to take steps to summon witnesses.—A complainant in a case who mentioned the names of several witnesses on his behalf was requested to produce them on a certain date. Instead of doing that he produced only two witnesses, who were examined. Held that, as the complainant did not apply to the Magistrate to issue summonses on the other witnesses, or ask him to proceed under section 262, Code of Criminal Procedure, 1861, the Magistrate was not wrong in law in deciding the case on the evidence which was before him. Queen v. Notobue Bera . 15 W. R., Cr., 87
- Refusal to summon witness for accused.—Participation in charge.
 —Illegal conviction.—A refusal to summon witnesses cited by an accused, on the ground of their being implicated in the charge, vitiates the trial and conviction.

 RAM SHAHAI CHOWDHY v. SANKER BAHADUR. 6 B. L. R., Ap., 65:15 W. R., Cr., 7
- Refusal to summon witnesses named for the defence.—Where the Subordinate Magistrate convicted certain persons without allowing them a proper opportunity for the summoning and attendance of witnesses named for the defence, the High Court quashed the conviction and directed the Subordinate Magistrate to re-hear the case. Anonymous . . . 5 Mad., Ap., 27
- 44. Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 362.—Warrant case.—Refusal of

WITNESS-CRIMINAL CASES-continued; 2. SUMMONING

Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses—continued.

Magistrate to summon witness named by accused.— Error or defect in proceedings.—Where the Magistrate trying an offence rejected an application by the accused person that a certain person might be examined on his behalf either in Court or by commission, without recording his reasons for refusing to summon such person, as required by section 362 of the Criminal Procedure Code,—Held that the conviction of the accused person must be set aside, and the case be reopened by such Magistrate, and the application by the accused for the examination of such person be disposed of according to law. In the MATTER OF THE PETITION OF SAT NARAIN SINGH

· Right of accused. -Compelling attendance of witnesses .- Evidence. -Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1882), s. 257.—Certain witnesses who had been summoned for the accused failed to appear on the day of trial, and the Deputy Magistrate refused to adjourn the hearing, or to issue fresh processes for the attendance of the defendant's witnesses, on the ground that they were all friends of the accused who would come to Court if the accused desired it. The prisoners were convicted. Held, the conviction must be set aside: the Magistrate having once granted processes he was bound to assist the accused in entorcing the attendance of his witnesses. QUEEN-EMPRESS v. DHA-. I. L. R., 10 Calc., 931 NANJOI CHOWDHRY

46. Non-attendance of witness, Enquiry into reasons for.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 221.—It was held that an enquiry should be made into the excuse given by a person for his non-attendance as a witness before enforcing a fine for such non-attendance, in order that the Sessions Judge, or other authority, might fairly exercise the discretion given him by section 221 of the Criminal Procedure Code. Queen v. Ameer Khan. IN BE BHUGWAN DOSS . 2 N. W., 113

47. Mode of summoning witnesses.—Recognisances to appear.—A Subordinate Magistrate cannot bind over witnesses by recognisances to appear before himself. The proper course to enforce attendance is by summons, and, if that fails, by warrant. ANONYMOUS

See Anonymous . . . 4 Mad., Ap., 17
VENKATAPPAH v. PAPAMMAH . 5 Mad., 132

Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 191.—Warrant to enforce attendance of witnesses.—A Magistrate was not bound, under section 191 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to enforce the attendance of witnesses by warrant except upon proof of due service of summons. In the matter of the petition of Abdoor Ruhman 7 W. R., Cr., 87

QUEEN v. SUTHERLAND. QUEEN v. NABAIN SINGH . . . 14 W. R., Cr., 20

WITNESS-CRIMINAL CASES-continued.

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES-continued,

Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses—continued.

to witnesses out of jurisdiction.—Magistrates may, under the Criminal Procedure Code, issue summonses for service upon witnesses beyond the limits of their districts. (COLLETT, J., dissenting.) ANONYMOUS CASE 3 Mad., Ap., 5

50.

Mons.—Affixing summons to door of house.—
Service of summons on a witness by affixing it to the door of his house was held to be no evidence of his having received it, in a charge brought against him of disobeying the summons. ANONYMOUS

[6 Mad., Ap., 29

Service of summons.—The mere showing to a witness of a summons issued under section 186 of the Criminal Procedure Code 1861, is not sufficient service. Either the original should be left with the witness, or it should be exhibited to him, and a copy of it delivered or tendered. Reg v. Karsanlal Danatram

[5 Bom., Cr., 20

3. AVOIDING SERVICE.

52. Warrant for apprehension of witness.—Committal of witness in default of appearance.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 188.
—Section 188 only empowers a Magistrate to issue a warrant for the apprehension of a witness when he has reason to believe that the witness will not attend to give evidence without being compelled to do so, and it does not empower a Magistrate to commit a witness. In the matter of Mahesh Chandra Banerjee. Queen v. Kali Sirkar

[4 B. L. R., Ap., 1:13 W. R., Cr., 1

4. SWEARING OR AFFIRMATION OF WITNESSES.

5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES.

(a) GENERALLY.

Fower of Court to dispense with examination of witnesses.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 362.—Section 362 of the Code of Criminal Procedure did not give a Magistrate discretion to dispense with the examination of witnesses summoned by the prosecution. QUEEN v. PARABURAMA NAIKAR . I. R., 4 Mad., 329

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.
5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.

(a) GENERALLY—continued.

Power of Court to dispense with examination of witnesses-continued.

Commitment without examining witnesses.—Where a Magistrate committed a person charged with perjury in a trial before himself to the Sessions without examining the witnesses for the prosecution,—Held that the commitment was illegal. QUEEN v. CHINNA VEDAGIEI CHETTI. I. I. R., 4 Mad., 227

QUEEN v. SEEENATH MOOKHOPADHYA

[7 W. R., Cr., 45

DINONATH GOPE v. SARODA MOOKHOPADHYA
[7 W. R., Cr., 47

ference of High Court.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 363.—Where it was not shown that there were any witnesses forthcoming for examination other than those whom the Sessions Judge did examine, the Court refused, with reference to section 363, Code of Criminal Procedure, to interfere with the Sessions Judge's proceedings. Queen v. Jumdem Singh...... 12 W. R., Cr., 73

57. Duty of defence as to calling witnesses.—Inference from failure to call witnesses.—A prisoner or his counsel is at liberty to offer evidence or not as he thinks proper, and no inference unfavourable to him can be drawn because he takes one course rather than another. Hurry Churn Churkerbutty v. Empress

[I. L. R., 10 Calc., 140: 13 C. L. R., 358

calling witnesses.—Inferences to be drawn on failure to call witnesses.—Misdarcetion.—It is prima facie the duty of the prosecution to call all the witnesses who prove their connection with the transactions connected with the prosecution, and who must be able to give important information. If such witnesses are not called without sufficient reason being shown, the Court may properly draw an inference adverse to the prosecution. The only thing that can relieve the prosecution from calling such witnesses, is the reasonable belief that, if called, they would not speak the truth. No such corresponding inference can be drawn against an accused. In the matter of the Petition of Dhunno Kazi. Empers v. Dhunno Kazi. I. L. R., 8 Calc., 121

S. C. DHUNNO KAZI v. EMPRESS [10 C. L. R., 151

oitnesses examined before Magistrate.—Where a Sessions Judge gave it as a sufficient reason for the non-production of certain witnesses in Court on the part of the prosecution, that they had been examined by the committing Magistrate against the express wish of the police officer in charge of the prosecution,—Held that that was not a valid ground for the non-production of the witnesses in the Sessions Court. In conducting a case for the prosecution, all

WITNESS-CRIMINAL CASES—continued.
5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.

(a) GENERALLY—continued.

Duty of prosecution as to calling witnesses—continued.

the persons who are alleged or known to have knowledge of the facts ought to be brought before the Court and examined. QUEEN-EMPRESS v. RAM SAHAI LAIL I. I. R., 10 Calc., 1070

Trial in Sessions Court .- Non-production of material witnesses for Crown. - Duty of public prosecutor. - It is the duty of the Public Prosecutor at a trial before the Court of Session to call and examine all material witnesses sent up to the Court on behalf of the prosecution, and the Judge is bound to hear all the evidence upon the charge. The Public Prosecutor is not bound to call any witnesses who will not, in his opinion, speak the truth or support the points he desires to establish by their evidence; but in such circumstances he should explain to the Court that this is his reason for not calling those witnesses, and he should offer to put them in the box for cross-examination by the accused at their discretion. In the absence of any such explanation, or of other reasonable grounds apparent on the face of the proceedings, inferences unfavourable to the prosecution must be drawn from the non-production of its witnesses. QUEEN-EM-. I. L. R., 7 All., 904 PRESS v. TULLA

81.

Revival of prosecution.—Presidency Magistrates Act (IV of 1877), s. 87, expl. 2.—A "revival of a prosecution," as mentioned in explanation 2 of section 87 of Act IV of 1877, is not a continuation of the original prosecution from which the accused has been discharged. On the revival of the prosecution, all the witnesses on whose evidence the prosecution intend to rely must be examined before the Magistrate; and if any of them were examined at the time of the original prosecution, they must be examined de novo. EMPRESS v. CHUNDER NATH DUTT

[I. L. R., 5 Calc., 121: 4 C. L. R., 305

Witnesses for prosecution.

Witness examined by prosecution after defence.—
It is irregular to allow a witness to be examined on behalf of the prosecution after the prisoner has made his defence, when the witness is not one to contradict any new case set up by the prisoner. Queen v. Chotey Lal. 3 N. W., 271

Queen v. Shamkishore Holdar [13 W. R., Cr., 36

63. — Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 372.—Recalling witness for prosecution.—Under section 372 of the Code of Criminal

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.
5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.

(a) GENERALLY-continued.

Witnesses for prosecution—continued.

Procedure an accused should be called upon to enter upon his defence and to produce his evidence when the case for the presecution has been brought to a close. Where, therefore, one witness for the presecution was recalled after the prisoner had made his defence, and the prisoner had no opportunity of calling evidence with reference to the evidence of that witness, the High Court quashed the conviction and ordered a new trial. Queen v. Assanoollah [13 W. R., Cr., 15

64. Witnesses for defence.— Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 372.—Duty of Court as to witnesses for defence.—Under section 372 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the accused should be asked, at the end of the case for the prosecution, to produce his evidence, and it is at that point the duty of the Court of Session to ascertain who the witnesses are whom the prisoner desires to examine in his defence. Queen v. Moorum

[12 W. R., Cr., 22

Omission to examine witnesses for accused.—The Court quashed the sentence which was passed upon a prisoner who had not been asked if he had any witness to call, although he was tried at the same time with others who had been so asked. BHUGWAN v. DOYAL GOPE

[10 W. R., Cr., 7

cedure Code (Act XXV of 1861), s. 266.—Witnesses attending coluntarity.—In cases coming under Chapter XXV of Act XXV of 1861, to which section 266 applied, and not section 252, the Magistrate was not obliged to call on the accused to produce his witnesses, but he was bound to hear them if they attended voluntarily, as by section 266, read with section 262, they were supposed to do. IN RE BHIKA ROY 7 B. L. R., 568, note

S. C. BHIKHA ROY v. DHOTUN ROY [10 W. R., Cr., 36

67. Obligation of Magistrate to hear witnesses.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 266.—Section 266 of the Code of Criminal Procedure only required the Magistrate to hear such witnesses as the accused shall produce in his defence. Anonymous . . 4 Mad., Ap., 29

QUEEN v. AMEER CHAND NOHATTA
[13 W. R., Cr., 63

68. Refusal of Court to allow witness for defence to be examined.—Illegal conviction.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act XXV of 1861), s. 266.—Conviction set aside on the ground of the Magistrate's irregularity in refusing, in a trial before him under Chapter XV of the Criminal Procedure Code, to allow the examination of a witness who had been tendered on behalf of the accused. QUBEN v. MAHIMA CHANDBA CHUCKERBUTTY

[4 B. L. R., Ap., 77: 12 W. R., Cr., 77

WITNESS-CRIMINAL CASES-continued.
5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES-continued.

(a) GENERALLY—continued.

witness.—Obligation to record evidence of witnesse.—If a person is before the Court as a witness his evidence must be recorded as the law directs; if he is not a witness, and is not examined as such, the Judge has no right to allude to his having made any statement. Queen v. Phoolichand alias Pholicel Ahie 8 W. R., Cr., 11

Note of deposition.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 195.—A separate note of each witness's deposition was required to be taken by section 195 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1861, which was not satisfied by a statement that a witness "deposes as last witness." Reg. v. Byha valad Suejim . . . 1 Bom., 91

72. Examination in absence of accused.—Where witnesses are not examined in the presence of the accused, the conviction will be quashed. QUEEN v. LALLA CHOWBEY

[2 N. W., 49 Queen v. Ramnath . . . 7 W. R., Cr., 45

Anonymous. . . 3 Mad., Ap., 34

QUEEN v. RAJCOOMAR SINGH. 8 W. R., Cr., 17

QUEEN v. RAMDHUN SINGH . 11 W. R., Cr., 22

QUEEN v. RAM DASS BOISTUB
[11 W. R., Cr., 35

QUEEN v. RUSSICK DOSS . 24 W. R., Cr., 76
ALI MEAH v. MAGISTRATE OF CHITTAGONG

[25 W. R., Cr., 14

73. Evidence not taken in presence of accused. Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 194.—When the accused has been arrested, the evidence of a witness for the prosecution ought, under section 194 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to be taken in the presence of the accused. QUEEN v. HOSSAIN ALI CHOWDHEY

[8 W. R., Cr., 74]

74. Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 327.—Evidence taken in absence of accused.—Under section 327, Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, the witnesses for the prosecution should be examined in the presence of the accused when practicable, notwithstanding that their statements have been previously recorded in his absence. QUEEN v. BOCHA CHOWKEEDAB

22 W. R., Cr., 33

75. Evidence taken in absence of accused.—Warrant cases.—It is not irregular in a warrant case for a Deputy Magistrate

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.
5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.

(a) GENERALLY—continued. Mode of examination—continued.

to take the evidence of the complainant and certain witnesses on behalf of the prosecution in the absence of the accused. All that the accused has a right to expect after the charge has been framed is that the complainant and witnesses who had been examined

expect after the charge has been framed is that the complainant and witnesses who had been examined in his presence before the charge was framed should be recalled for the purposes of cross-examination.

QUEEN v. KASSY SINGH. QUEEN v. HULKORER SINGH

-Depositions taken in absence of accused.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 327.—Section 327 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, which permitted the depositions of a witness to be taken in the absence of an accused person who had absconded, did not apply to a deposition taken before that Code was passed. Where section 327 did apply, it was necessary to show that when the former deposition was taken the accused had absconded and after due pursuit could not be arrested. QUEEN v. ETWAREE DHABEE . 21 W. R., Cr., 12

77. Duty of committing Magistrate.—Examination on oath in absence of accused.—Statements of witnesses.—The Magistrate to whom a complaint was made, examined certain persons on oath in the absence of the accused, merely for the purpose of ascertaining whether there was any, and what, case against the prisoners; and he did not take down in writing the statements of the persons so examined. Held that the Magistrate was wrong in examining the witnesses on oath in the absence of the accused, or for the purpose of finding out whether there was a case; but that, having done so, he was not bound to take down their statements in writing. In the matter of the pertition of Asgue Hossein. Empress v. Asgue Hossein

[I. L. R., 6 Calc., 774 S. C. In Re Asgur Hossein . 8 C. L. R., 124

- Examination of. in absence of accused .- Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 327.- Power to quash commitment.-An accused who was charged with murder not being found, the witnesses were examined under section 327 of Act X of 1872 in his absence. The accused was subsequently arrested and committed on the strength of the evidence taken in his absence. Before the Sessions Court he pleaded not guilty. Held that the prisoner having been put upon his trial and having pleaded, the commitment could not be quashed. Held, further, that if, in the course of a trial, the Sessions Judge should be of opinion that the prosecution has not laid a proper basis for the reception of evidence in the absence of the accused, his proper course is to adjourn the trial under section 264 of the Criminal Procedure Code, and then under section 351 summon such witnesses ar he may deem material. Semble,-The mere absence of questions in the record of a prisoner's statement does not render it inadmissible. In the matter of Empress v. Sagam-, 12 C. L. R., 120

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.

5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.

(a) GENERALLY—continued.

Mode of examination-continued.

79.

Reading deposition of witness.—In every Sessions trial, no matter how often the case has been before the Court, the witnesses must be examined de novo in the same manner as if the case were entirely new and the witnesses had not been examined before. To read to a witness his deposition on a former trial is not an examination of the witness in the presence of the accused. Queen v. Kyamut W. R., 1864, Cr., 1 Queen v. Affazuddeen W. R., 1864, Cr., 13

QUEEN v. AFFAZUDDEEN W. R., 1864, Cr., 18 QUEEN v. KANYE SHEIKH

[W. R., 1864, Cr., 38 Queen v. Kalundae Doss 2 N. W., 100

See also QUEEN v. MOHUN BANFOR
[22 W. R., Cr, 38]

Reading depositions instead of examining witnesses de novo.—The High Court refused to interfere when the evidence of witnesses given on a previous trial was read over and used in a subsequent trial at the express request of the prisoners, instead of the witnesses being examined de novo Puemessue Singh v. Soeoop Audhi Karee 13 W. R., Cr., 40

Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 288 .- Trial before Court of Session .- Evidence given before committing Magistrate used at trial to contradict witnesses .- Section 288 of the Criminal Procedure Code was never intended to be used so as to enable a Court trying a cause to take a witness's deposition bodily from the committing Magistrate's record, and to treat it as evidence before the Court itself. Queen v. Amanulla, 12 B. L. R., Ap., 15, referred to. A Judge is bound to put to the witnesses whom he proposes to contradict by their statements made before the committing Magistrate, the whole or such portions of their depositions as he intends to rely upon in his decision, so as to afford them an opportunity of explaining their meaning, or denying that they had made any such statements, and so forth. In a case in which the Sessions Court had neglected to apply the above rules, STRAIGHT, J., quashed the conviction. QUEEN-EMPRESS v. DAN . I. L. R., 7 All., 862 SAHAI

82. Witness offering hearsay evidence.—Duty of Court.—The moment a witness commences giving evidence which is inadmissible—e.g., hearsay evidence—he should be stopped by the Court. It is not safe to rely on a subsequent exhortation to the jury to reject the hearsay evidence, and to decide on the legal evidence alone, QUBEN v. PITTAMBUR SIEDAB. 7 W. R., Cr., 25

QUEEN v. KALI CHUEN GANGOOLY
[7 W. R., Cr., 2]

83. — Refreshing memory of witness.—Ground for inspecting document to refresh memory of witness.—Right to inspect docu-

WITNESS-CRIMINAL CASES-continued.
5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.

(a) GENERALLY—continued.

Refreshing memory of witness—continued. ments.—Per FIELD, J.—The grounds upon which the opposite party is permitted to inspect a writing, and to refresh the memory of a witness, are threefold: (i) to secure the full benefit of the witness's recollection as to the whole of the facts; (ii) to check the use of improper documents; (iii) to compare his oral testimony with his written statement. Per FIELD, J .- The opposite party has a right to look at any particular writing before or at the moment when the witness uses it to refresh his memory in order to answer a particular question; but if he then neglects to exercise his right, he cannot continue to retain the right throughout the whole of the subsequent examination of the witness. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF JHUBBOO MAHTON. EMPRESS v. JHUBBOO MAHTON

[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 739

S. C. JHUBBOO MAHTON v. EMPRESS

[12 C. L. R., 233

84. Memorandum made by police officer.—Criminal Procedure Code,

1872, s. 119.—In giving evidence a police officer may refresh his memory by referring to documents in which he has, under, section 119 of Act X of 1872, reduced into writing statements of persons examined by him during an investigation, but the documents themselves cannot be used as evidence, and a Judge should not read such documents to a jury in order to point out discrepancies between the evidence and previous statements of the witnesses. ROGHUNI SINGH R EMPRESS I. L. R., 9 Calc., 455: 11 C. L. R., 569

Memorandum made by police afficer.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1872), ss. 119 and 126.—A prisoner on his trial is not entitled to insist that a memorandum made by a police officer under the provisions of section 119 of the Code of Criminal Procedure shall, in the course of the examination of such officer, be referred to by the latter for the purpose of refreshing his memory. Reg. v. Uttamchand Kapurchand, 11 · Bom., 123, distinguished .In the matter of the pertition of Kali Churn Chunari . Empress v. Kali Churn Chunari . I. L. R., 8 Calc., 154

S. C. IN THE MATTER OF KALI CHURN CHUNARI [10 C. L. R., 51

Medical witness, Evidence of.—Experts.—Examination of medical witness examined before Magistrate.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 323.—The evidence of a medical man who has seen, and has made a post-mortem examination of the corpse of the person touching whose death the inquiry is, is admissible, firstly, to prove the nature of injuries which he observed; and, secondly, as evidence of the opinion of an expert as to the manner in which those injuries were inflicted, and as to the cause of death. A medical man who has not seen the corpse is only in a position to give evidence of his opinion as an expert. The proper mode of eliciting

WITNESS-CRIMINAL CASES—continued.
5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued

(a) GENERALLY-continued.

Refreshing memory of witness—continued. such evidence is to put to the witness hypothetically the facts which the evidence of the other witnesses attempts to prove, and to ask the witness's opinion on those facts. Section 323 of Act X of 1872 did not in any way preclude the Judge at a Sessions trial from calling and examining the medical witness who had been examined before the Magistrate, and in every case in which the deposition taken by the Magistrate is essentially deficient or requires further elucidation, such witness should be called and examined by the Sessions Judge. A medical man in giving evidence may refresh his memory by referring to a report which he has made of his post-mortem examination, but the report itself cannot be treated as evidence, and no facts can be taken therefrom. ROGHUNI SINGH v. EMPRESS

[I. L. R., 9 Calc., 455: 11 C. L. R., 569

87. Treatment by Court of witnesses for defence.—When a prisoner makes a distinct defence, and calls witnesses to prove it, instead of dismissing the witnesses at once on their saying they know nothing in the prisoner's favour, a Judge should put a few questions to them in detail to see if there is any truth in the prisoner's statement or any part of it. QUEEN v. BHUGNER PUTWA

[11 W. R., Cr., 9

(b) EXAMINATION BY COURT.

· Examination of witness by Judge.- Evidence Act, s. 138 .- Duty of Court in examining witnesses.—At a trial before a Sessions Court, the Judge, on the examination-in-chief of the witnesses for the prosecution being finished, questioned the witnesses at considerable length upon the points to which he must have known that the crossexamination would certainly and properly be directed. Held that such a course of procedure was irregular, and opposed to the provisions of section 138 of the Evidence Act It is not the province of the Court to examine the witnesses, unless the pleaders on either side have omitted to put some material question or questions; and the Court should, as a general rule, leave the witnesses to the pleaders to be dealt with as laid down in section 138 of the Act. Noon Bux KAZI v. EMPRESS

[I. L. R., 6 Calc., 279: 7 C. L. R., 385

(c) Cross-Examination.

 WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.
5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.

(c) CROSS-EXAMINATION-continued.

Right to cross-examine.—
Right of accused to cross-examine witnesses.—The right of an accused party to cross-examine witnesses is limited to a right to cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution called against him. If he wishes to avail himself of evidence which has been given, or which can be given, by a witness called for another of the parties accused, he must call him as his own witness. QUEEN v. SUREOOPCHUNDER PAUL

[12 W. R., Cr., 75

91. Evidence Act, s. 165.—Witness called by the Court.—Witnesses summoned on behalf of the prosecution, and not called, ought to be placed in the box for cross-examination, in order that the defence may have the opportunity of exercising this right, and à fortiori, if such a witness is called and examined by the Court under section 165 of the Evidence Act, the prisoner should be allowed to cross-examine. In the matter of EMPRESS v. GRISH CHUNDER TALUEDAR

[I. L. R., 5 Calc., 614: 5 C. L. R., 364

Hostile witness.

-Evidence Act, s. 154.—The mere fact that at a Sessions trial a witness tells a different story from that told by him before the Magistrate does not necessarily make him hostile. The proper inference to be drawn from contradictions going to the whole texture of the story is not that the witness is hostile to this side or to that, but that the witness is one who ought not to be believed unless supported by other satisfactory evidence.

KALACHAND SIRKAR v. QUEEN-EMPRESS

I. L. R., 13 Calc., 53

93. Medical witness.

-As to cross-examination by accused of medical witness called in a professional capacity, see QUEEN v. ISHAN DUTT

[6 B. L. R., Ap., 88:15 W. R., Cr., 34

Evidence Act (II of 1855), s. 34.— Cross-examination on previous statements reduced to writing.—The complainant's pleader was held to be at liberty before the Deputy Magistrate to cross-examine the witnesses for the defence on points respecting which they had made statements before the Joint Magistrate, and he might do so as regards previous statements which were reduced to writing, without showing the writing. Section 34, Act II of 1855, explained. TUKHEYA RAI V. TUPBER KOBR

855, s. 23.—Cross-examination on previous statements reduced to writing.—A witness when under examination-in-chief before the Court of Sessions should not have his attention directed to his deposition before the Magistrate. He might, under section 23, Act II of 1855, be cross-examined as to previous statements made by him in writing, when his attention might be drawn to the parts of the former writing which were to be used for the purpose of contradicting him. Queen v. Ramohunder Siegar

[13 W. R., Cr., 18

WITNESS-CRIMINAL CASES—continued.
5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.

(c) CROSS-BXAMINATION-continued.

Right to recall witnesses for cross-examination.—Cross-examination of, by accused.—Witnesses for defence.—Record of evidence.—The charge having been read to the accused person, he stated his defence to the same, upon which the Magistrate, the witnesses for the prosecution being in attendance, called upon the accused to crossexamine them. The accused refused to do so until he had examined the witnesses for the defence who were not in attendance. The Magistrate then discharged the witnesses for the prosecution and adjourned the trial for the production of the witnesses for the defence. Held per SPANKIE, J., that the accused was not entitled to have the witnesses for the prosecution summoned, in order that they might be cross-examined by the accused on the date fixed for the examination of the witnesses for the defence. Held, also, per SPANKIE, J., that the Magistrate was empowered to record both oral and documentary evidence after the witnesses for the defence had been examined. EMPRESS OF INDIA v. BALDEO SAHAI [I. L. R., 2 All., 253

98. Cross-examination after reading depositions.—Irregularity in examination.—Criminal Procedure Code, ss. 286, 288.—At a trial before a Sessions Court, the attorney who appeared for the prisoner suggested to the Court that, to expedite the trial, certain depositions of witnesses for the prosecution, taken before the Magistrate, should he read, and that he should be allowed to cross-examine the witnesses thereupon: to this course the Government Prosecutor and the Court consented. Held that this procedure was illegal, but that, inasmuch as it had not occasioned a failure of justice, a new trial should not be granted. Stra-

. I. L. R., 9 Mad., 83

BA v. QUEEN-EMPRESS

Cross-examination of witness after his examination by the Court.-Evidence Act (I of 1872), s. 155 .- The principle that parties cannot, without the leave of the Court, cross-examine a witness whom, the parties having already examined or declined to examine, the Court itself has examined, applies equally whether it is intended to direct the cross-examination to the witness's statements of fact, or to circumstances touching his credibility, for any question meant to impair his credit tends (or is designed) to get rid of the effect of each and every answer, just as much as one that may bring out an inconsistency or contradiction, section 155 of Act I of 1872. REG. v. SAKHARAM MUKUNDJI 11 Bom., 166

10. Recalling witnesses for cross-examination.—Refusal to recall witness.—

WITNESS-CRIMINAL CASES—continued.
5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.

(c) CROSS-EXAMINATION—continued.

Recalling witnesses for cross-examination—continued.

When the charge had been framed and the defendant put on his defence, he had a right, under section 218 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, to have the prosecutor's witnesses recalled for the purpose of cross-examination. The claim to recall the witnesses for the prosecution was very different from the request made by the accused person to summon a witness under section 362, Act X of 1872. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF BELLILIOS. BELLILIOS V. QUEEN ON THE PROSECUTION OF KALI DOSS BANERJEE

[19 W. R., Cr., 58

101. Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 252.—A Magistrate could not refuse to allow witnesses whom he allowed to be cross-examined by the accused previous to the preparation of a charge to be recalled and cross-examined after the accused had been put upon his defence, under section 252 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, treating them as witnesses for the prosecution. IN THE MATTER OF THAROOR DYAL SEN

[17 W. R., Cr., 51

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF NOBIN CHAND BANERJEE . 25 W. R., Cr., 32

Warrant cases.

-Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, Ch. XVII.—In the trial of warrant cases the accused may, after the charge is drawn up and the witnesses for the defence have been examined, recall and cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution. Talluei Venkayya v. Queen . . . I. L. R., 4 Mad., 180

• Right of accused to cross-examine witness.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1879, s. 218.—An accused person had, under section 218 of Act X of 1872, the right to recall and cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution at any time while he was engaged on his defence and before his trial was concluded. He is not precluded from asserting and exercising the right, by reason of his having cross-examined them before he was put on his defence, or by reason of his not having, suo motu, expressed his wish to do so at the time he was called upon to enter on his defence, and when the witnesses were in attendance in the Court and did not require to be re-summoned. Queen v. Lall Singh

[6 N. W., 270

104. Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 218.—Recall of witnesses for prosecution.—Under section 218 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, a Magistrate is not competent to refuse to recall the witnesses for the prosecution to be cross-examined by the accused, and it is not necessary for the accused to show that he has reasonable grounds for his application. Queen n. Aminupolin 21 W. R., Cr., 29

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued. 5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.

(c) CROSS-EXAMINATION -continued.

Recalling witnesses for cross-examination-continued.

- Cross-examination previous to framing of charge. - Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 218. - An accused person was held to be not deprived of the right given him by section 218, Act X of 1872, to recall and cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution after the charge had been drawn up against him, by reason of the witnesses having been cross-examined before the charge was framed. A Magistrate should not of his own motion discharge the witnesses for the prosecution until the accused person has exercised or waived the right of cross-examination given him by the section. it becomes necessary to adjourn the hearing the Magistrate should in all cases enquire of the accused if he desires to exercise his right of recalling the witnesses for the prosecution, or consents to the discharge of all or any of them. If the accused consents to their discharge, and they are discharged accordingly, he is not entitled to have them re-summoned as a matter of right. Where it became necessary to adjourn the hearing, and the Magistrate did not call upon the accused to exercise his right under the section, and there was no sufficient proof that the accused consented to the discharge of the witnesses for the prosecution, it was held that the accused was entified to have the witnesses, whom he desired to cross-examine at the further hearing, re-summoned. Quere.-If the Magistrate before granting an adjournment called upon the accused to exercise his right of recalling the witnesses for the prosecution, and the accused refused to do so at that time, whether the Magistrate would thereupon be at liberty to discharge the witnesses. QUEEN v. LALL MAHOMED . . 6 N. W.,1284

106. Right of accused to cross-examine witnesses.—Examination of accused.—Discretion of Magistrate.—An accused should be allowed, at preliminary inquiries before a Magistrate, to cross-examine the witnesses; but whether the accused himself shall be examined upon the matter of the charge by the Magistrate is left entirely to the discretion of the Magistrate and such discretion should not be exercised when the Magistrate thinks that the evidence for the prosecution does not disclose any proper subject of criminal charge against the prisoner. Queen v. Shama Sun-Kur Biswas . . . 10 W. R., Cr., 25

cused to recall witnesses for prosecution.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1872), ss. 217, 218.—Reading sections 217 and 218 of the Criminal Procedure Code together, it appears that, if an accused person desires to recall and cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution, the time at which he should express such desire is when the charge is read over to him and he is called upon to make his defence; and although it is in the discretion of the Magistrate to recall the witnesses at a subsequent stage of the case,

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.
5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.

(c) CROSS-EXAMINATION—continued.

Recalling witnesses for cross-examination-continued.

the accused has no right to insist upon the witnesses being recalled. FAIZ ALI v. KOROMDI

[I. L. R., 7 Calc., 28

S. C. IN THE MATTER OF FAIZ ALI

[8 C. L. R., 325

 Refusal to allow accused to recall witnesses for prosecution .- Waiver of right by accused .- Where certain accused persons, who were convicted of using criminal force, had not been allowed to recall and cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution, because the trying officer believed that such witnesses could only be recalled immediately after the framing of the charge, -Held that accused persons always had a right to recall prosecution witnesses, which ceased only when they themselves waived it; that Magistrates could waive all inconvenience to witnesses by asking accused persons, on the drawing up of charges, whether they required the further attendance of the witnesses; and that the conviction must be set aside because the accused had not enjoyed the protection provided by the law. QUEEN v. RAM KISHAN HALWAI [25 W. R., Cr., 48

6. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF

EVIDENCE.

110. — Weight of evidence.—Single witness.—Evidence of fact.—The evidence of one witness, if reliable, is sufficient to prove a fact.

Zalem Missee v. Kundun Kooer [11 W. R., 194

BALINDUR NARAIN v. KALLA MESSOO KOOS
[18 W. R., 341]

Prosonno Naeain Deb v. Romonee Dossee [10 W. R., 236

of witnesses.—Effect of discrepancies.—Discrepancies in the evidence of witnesses are not the less destructive of their testimony because a greater sagacity on the part of the witnesses would have avoided them. Reg. v. Kalu Patil 11 Bom., 148

112. — Consideration of evidence.

—Assumption of bad character of prisoner.—A

Judge cannot properly weigh evidence which starts

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued. 6. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE—continued.

Consideration of evidence-continued.

with an assumption of the general bad character of the prisoners. QUEEN v. KALU MAL [7 W. R., Cr., 103]

of evidence of medical witness.—In trying a prisoner charged with giving false evidence, a Sessions Judge rejected facts which were proved by the evidence of certain witnesses, because a medical officer gave it as his opinion that what the witnesses deposed to could not be true. Held that it was not the proper way to try a case to rely on mere theories of medical men or skilled witnesses of any sort against facts positively proved. QUEEN v. AHMED ALLY

[11 W. R., Cr., 25

WORKMAN.

See ACT XIII OF 1859.

[2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 32 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 100 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 96 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 379

WRITTEN STATEMENT.

See Admission — Admissions in Statements and Pleadings.

[B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 904 1 B. L. R., A. C., 133 9 W. R., 83, 130, 290 22 W. R., 220 16 W. R., 257

See FALSE EVIDENCE—GENERALLY.
[I. L. R., 6 All., 626]

 Form and contents of written statement.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 123 .- Defendant's written statement .- Variance between pleading and proof.-Section 123 of the Civil Procedure Code contemplated that a defendant should, in his written statement, set forth the case he intends to make at the trial. The rule followed in Eshenchunder Singh v. Shamachurn Bhutto, 11 Moore's I. A., 7; Mohummud Zahoor Ali Khan v. Rutta Koer, 11 Moore's I. A., 468; and Narainee Dossee v. Nurrohurry Mohuto, Marsh., 70, that a plaintiff must be held to the state of facts and equities alleged and pleaded by him in his plaint, or involved in or consistent therewith, applies also to the case made on the pleadings by a defendant. Therefore, where the defendant in a suit in ejectment averred in his written statement that the land in dispute was in fact his, but had previously to 1865 been engroached on by the plaintiff, who, in 1865, was about to erect a building thereon, and that the defendant then, in order to avoid litigation, compromised the dispute by payment to the plaintiff of a sum of money, and purchased the land, and had since then remained in possession of it,-Held that the only defence open to the defendant was that of purchase, and that he could not be allowed at the trial to prove a case of continuous user and possesWRITTEN STATEMENT. — Form and contents of written statement—continued. sion adverse to the plaintiff commencing before 1865. CHOVA KABA v. ISA BIN KHALIFA

[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 209

- 3. Offer without prejudice.—Irrelevant matter.—Act VIII of 1859, s. 124.—An offer without prejudice should be omitted from the pleadings. In a suit where the written statement of the plaintiff contained letters relative to an offer made by the defendants without prejudice, the Court ordered, on the application of the defendant, that the paragraphs of the written statement relating to the offer should be struck out. HALFOED v. EAST INDIAN RAILWAY COMPANY

[12 B. L. R., Ap., 19

4.— Irrelevant matter.—Application to take written statement off the file.—Where there was in a written statement matter irrelevant and improper, the Court, on application, ordered it to be taken off the file, with leave to file a fresh one in a week. Written statements should set out the boná fide nature of the defence, and nothing else. KASUBLAL DEY 7. TREMEARNE

[3 B. L. R., Ap., 12

Taking off the file for irrelevancy.—Relevant matter.—Tender of written statement.—The Court has jurisdiction to take a written statement off the file, for irrelevancy, until it is "tendered," which is when it is produced at the hearing of the suit. "Relevancy" is to be judged by what the defendant believed to be material to his case, and not whether it did in fact disclose a good defence to the action. Keshavii Naik v. Nasarvanji Ardeesie Wadia. 10 Bom., 425

6. — Court fee on written statement.—Code of Civil Procedure (Act VIII of 1859), s. 120.—Act X of 1877, s. 110.—Court Fees Act (VII of 1870), s. 19.—A written statement of his case, tendered by a party to a suit at any time before or at the first hearing of the suit, is not liable to any court fee, and may be written on plain paper (section 110 of Act X of 1877). A written statement called for by the Court after the first hearing, is also exempt from stamp duty (section 19 of Act VII of 1870). NAGU V. YEKNATH

[I. L. R., 5 Bom., 400

CHERAG ALI v. KADIR MAHOMED

[12 C. L. R., 367

 WRITTEN STATEMENT. — Verification of written statement—continued.

8. — Application to verify.—Notice.—Practice.—Where an application is made that a written statement be verified by a person other than the plaintiff or defendant, it is always desirable that notice be given to the other side, although not absolutely necessary. FINLAY, CAMPBELL, & Co., v. STEELE

[1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 39

9. Application to verify by agent.—Notice.—The Court will allow a written statement to be verified by the constituted attorney of the party without notice to the other side. Overned, Gueney, & Co., v. Sterle

[1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 40

Time for filing.—Under the Code of Civil Procedure a plaintiff cannot file a written statement after having seen that of the defendants, and by way of rejeinder thereto. JADUB RAM DEB alias JADUB CHUNDER DEB v. RAM LOCHUN MUDUCK

[5 W. R., 56

fying written statement on behalf of plaintiff:—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, ss. 28, 123.—The plaintiff in a suit went on a pilgrimage after he had been ordered to file a written statement, but without having filed it. Not having returned when the case was on the board, his son applied, under sections 28 and 123 of Act VIII of 1859, for leave to verify and file a statement, alleging himself to be interested as a reversioner. The application was refused. Held that a third party will not be allowed to verify and file a written statement for a plaintiff who has culpably neglected to file one himself. Denomoye Dosses v. Tarrachuern Coondoo Chowdern

[Bourke, O. C., 153

- 12. Admission of written statements.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, ss. 120, 122. —The admission of written statements of the parties on various dates, unless expressly called for by the Court, was held to be contrary to the provisions of sections 120 and 122 of the Civil Procedure Code, 1859. ALI NUKEE alias EMDAD ALI v. TORAB ALI alias MIEZA NAWAB . W. R., 1864, 44
- 14. Defendant neglecting to put in statement.—Adjournment of case.—Costs.—In the event of a defendant neglecting to furnish a written statement, the Court will examine him as to the grounds of his defence; and should it appear desirable that a written statement should be, put in, the case will be adjourned for that purpose at the expense of the defaulting party. RAMBUTTON v. OBIENTAL INLAND STEAM NAVIGATION COMPANY

[2 Hyde, 89

WRITTEN STATEMENT-contin

15. — Additional written statement.—Practice.—Act VIII of 1859, s. 122.—An application by the defendant for leave to file an additional written statement allowed on condition of the defendant paying the whole costs and furnishing a copy of the additional statement to the plaintiff free of charge. Distinction made between such an application by a plaintiff and one made by a defendant. DASMANI DASI S. SEINATH GHOSE

[3 B. L. R., Ap., 11

statements, Filing of.—Supplemental written statements cannot be filed after the parties have entered upon their case at the hearing. MUNCHERSHAW BEZONJI v. NEW DHURUMSEY SPINNING & WEAVING COMPANY . I. L. R., 4 Bom., 576

18. Statement explaining plaint.—A written statement which was in explanation of the plaint and not starting a new case was allowed to be put in by the plaintiff after evidence was taken, and the defendant not being prejudiced by its admission.

DHOOLEE RAM DOSS

Statement explained explaining the property of the put in by the plaintiff after projudiced by its admission.

LALL MAROMED v.

22 W. R., 377

- 20. Raising question not raised in written statement.—Omission to raise equitable defence in written statement.—A defendant is not precluded from availing himself of any equity which might arise out of the facts proved at the trial, merely because he has not raised that equity on the face of his written statement. Gour Chunder Biswas v. Greesh Chunder Biswas

[7 W. R., 120

WRONGFUL CONFINEMENT.

1. — What amounts to imprisonment.—Suit for damages.—The detaining of a

WRONGFUL CONFINEMENT. - What amounts to imprisonment-continued.

person in a particular place, or the compelling him to go in a particular direction by force of an exterior will overpowering or suppressing in any way his own voluntary action, is an imprisonment on the part of the person exercising that exterior will. Paran Kusam Narasaya Pantulu v. Stuart

[2 Mad., 396

- 2. Nature of confinement.—
 Penal Code (Act XLV of 1860), s. 346.—In an order to render a person liable under section 346 of the Penal Code, it must be shown that the wrongful confinement was of such a nature as to indicate an intention that the person confined should not be discovered. In the matter of the petition of Seenath Banerjee. Empress v. Sreenath Banerjee. I. L. R., 9 Calc., 221
- 3. Unlawful commitment by person in authority.—Illegal arrest.—Penal Code, s. 220.—Presumption of malice.—Proof of an unlawful commitment to confinement will not of itself warrant the legal inference of malice. Knowledge that such commitment is contrary to law is a question of fact and not of law, and must be proved in order to satisfy the requirements of section 220 of the Penal Code. Reg. v. Narayan Babaji

 [9 Bom., 346
- 4. Obtaining arrest of wrong person.—Liability of person setting Court in motion.—Where a wrong person is arrested and imprisoned under a decree to which he is no party, the person setting the Court in motion is not liable for such arrest and imprisonment if he did not obtain the process fraudulently or improperly. BILEMA CHARLU v. DONTI MURTI . 8 Mad., 38
- 5. Illegal arrest.—Malice.—Four persons, two of them police constables and two village officers, were convicted of wrongful confinement and abetment thereof. The defendants, the village officers, maliciously directed the arrest of certain persons for resisting the detention of certain pigs found trespassing. Held, a good conviction.

 ANONYMOUS 5 Mad., Ap., 24

WRONGFUL CONVERSION.

See Damages—Measure and Assessment of Damages—Torts.

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 116 5 Bom., O. C., 140

See ONUS PROBANDI-WRONGFUL CON-VERSION . . . 7 W. R., 286

WRONGFUL DISMISSAL.

See CASES UNDER MASTER AND SERVANT.

See Master and Servant.

[7 B. L. R., 688

WRONGFUL DETENTION.

pector.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 124.—

WRONGFUL DETENTION—continued.

Per GLOVER, J.—Where a Sub-Inspector of Police is charged with having detained prisoners for more than twenty-four hours, it is not necessary for the Crown to prove that he detained them with a guilty knowledge, as section 124, Act X of 1872, imperatively lays down that accused persons are on no account to be detained beyond that time except under special order of the Magistrate, which was not obtained in this case. Quent v. BASOGRAM DASS

[19 W. R., Cr., 36

WRONGFUL DISTRAINT.

See BENGAL RENT ACT, VIII OF 1869, 8 27.

[3 B. L. R., Ap., 74

6 W. R., Act X, 7, 33

9 W. R., 162

Marsh., 264

15 W. R., 451

3 B. L. R., A. C., 261

7 W. R., 41

See Bengal Rent Act, VIII of 1869, s. 100.
[Marsh., 470
3 W. R., Act X, 189

See PRIVATE DEFENCE, RIGHT OF[23 W. R., Cr., 40

See RIOTING . . 8 Mad., Ap., 11

See TRESPASS-GENERAL CASES.

[23 W. R., Cr., 40

- 1. —— Cutting and carrying away crops.—Persons put in possession in execution of decree.—Certain putnidars who, in execution of a decree for khas possession, had been put in nominal possession of their lands, instead of ousting the ryots allowed them to cultivate, and when they had cultivated cut and carried away their crops. Held that the act of the putnidars was an abuse of the law of distraint, and rendered them liable for damages. Added Mohun Chuckerbutty v. Thakoor Monre Dabee . . . 10 W. R., 70
- Crops, Seizure of.—Act X of 1859, ss. 142 and 143.—Trespass.—Certain sublessees sued in the Collector's Court the zemindar and others employed by him for the value of crops seized and carried away, under a certificate, as was alleged by the defendants, granted to them by the Collector, but which they failed to produce. Held that sections 142 and 143 of Act X of 1859 applied to the case. Section 143, Act X of 1859, contemplated not only the case of a person who professes to follow the provisions of the law, though he has no power to distrain, but also the case of a person who. under colour of the Act, does distrain, but does not do so according to the provisions of the Act. Such persons were considered by that section as trespassers. and were liable to the penalty of trespass, in addition to damages which may be awarded against them by the Revenue Court. RADHA MOHAN NASKAR v. JADUNATH DASS

' [3 B. L. R., A. C., 261: 12 W. R., 68

3. ——— Person acting without authority.—Act X of 1859, s. 143.—Trespasser.— Section 143, Act X of 1859, did not apply when a

WRONGFUL DISTRAINT.—Person acting without authority—continued.

distrainer acted without the authority of the superior holder. In such a case he was a mere trespasser. ROWSHUN v. BHOLANATH DOSS

[5 W. R., Act X, 67

4. Persons removing property under rent law.—Procedure.—Penal Code, s. 379.—Persons removing property under the provisions of the rent law relating to distraint ought not to be proceeded against under the criminal law, but the parties aggrieved by a wrongful distraint should have recourse to the remedy provided by Bengal Act VIII of 1869. IN THE MATTER OF AGHANI. AGHANI v. BRAGI HALWAI

[8 C. L. R., 204

5. Right to sue to set aside wrongful distraint.—Right of landlord against trespasser.—A landlord whose tenant's crops have been wrongfully distrained by a stranger, has a right to sue to set aside such wrongful distraint. Horro Narain v. Shoodha Krishto Berah

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 890: 4 C. L. R., 32

- 6. Right to damages for wrongful distraint.—Beng. Act VIII of 1869, ss. 69, 78.—Liability to suit for damages.—When on the one hand a ryot institutes a suit to contest the demand of a distrainer, the Court has no option but must adjudicate upon the demand. If, on the other hand, the distrainer has distrained "otherwise than according to the provisions of the Rent Act." he has done so at his peril, and rendered himself liable to an action for damages by the owner of the distrained property. Tariner Kant Lahiree Chowdiry v. Rajkishore Tontry 24 W. R., 334
- 7. Right to damages.—Act X of 1859, s. 142.—Suit to contest distraint.—Onus of proof.—Damages.—In a suit to contest the demand of a distrainer, the landlord is only required to prove the fact of tenancy and the amount of jumma: if thereupon the tenant pleads payment and payment is denied, the onus is on the tenant to prove his allegation. Before a tenant can obtain any decree for damages on the ground of illegal distraint, he must prove what loss he has actually sustained.

 OOJAN DEWAN O. PRANNATH MUNDUL 8 W. R., 220
- 8. Onus of proof.—Suit for damages for wrongful distraint.—Act X of 1859, s. 143. —In order to maintain a suit under section 143, Act X of 1859, it was necessary for the plaintiff to prove that the defendant in making the distress was acting not only without right, but without anything to justify him in supposing that he had a right to distrain—a mere trespasser without any reasonable foundation for the claim set up. RAYE KUMUL DOSSEE v. JHOROO MOLLAH

See JOYLOLL SHEIKH v. BEOJONATH PAUL CHOW-

WRONGFUL DISTRAINT.—Suit on account of property damaged by wrongful distrainer—continued.

brought under section 142, Act X of 1859, on account of property damaged or destroyed by neglect of a distrainer, the Court was not competent to award damages for vexatious distraint. Such damages were properly awarded by the Collector under section 138, in a suit to contest the distrainer's demand. Non-koo Ram v. Woojogur Roy. 5 W. R., Act X. 68

10. Procedure.—Beng. Act VIII of 1869, s. 101.—Proceedings against persons wrongfully distraining.—When proceedings are taken before a Munsif, under Bengal Act VIII of 1869, section 101, he is bound, first, to inquire whether an offence has been committed, and if he is satisfied that it has, the only order he can make against the offenders (not being tenants) is that they shall pay the value of the crops distrained. PREM CHAND LAHA v. ADDOITO DOSS . 20 W. R., 445

WRONGFUL LOSS,

See MISCHIEF . I. L. R., 3 Calc., 573 [I. L. R., 12 Calc., 660

WRONGFUL POSSESSION.

Trespasser.—Sums paid during wrongful possession, Right to recover.—Where a person has wrongfully taken possession of an estate and held it adversely to the true owner, and has, during his possession, paid certain sums for Government revenue on the supposition that he was the lawful owner (being however, in reality, nothing more than a trespasser and wrong-doer), he is not entitled to recover, as against the true owner, any sums so paid, even though such payments may have enured to the benefit of the true owner, but must be content to bear the burden of his own wrong. TILUCK CHAND v. SOUDAMINI DASI

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 566: 3 C. L. R., 456

WRONGFUL SEIZURE IN EXECU-

See CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE, 1882, s. 244
(ACT XXIII OF 1861, s. 11)—QUESTIONS
IN EXECUTION OF DECREE.

[3 N. W., 187 2 Agra, 105 5 Mad., 185

12 B. L. R., 201, 203, note; 207, note; 208, note

208, note 12 W. R., 85 3 B. L. R., A. C., 413

See DAMAGES—MEASURE AND ASSESSMENT OF DAMAGES—TORTS . 3 Agra, 202 [3 B. L. R., A. C., 413

I. L. R., 3 Bom., 74 7 Mad., 235

See Execution of Decree—Liability for Wrongful Execution.

[3 B. L. R., A. C., 413 12 B. L. R., 208, note I. L. R., 3 Bom., 74

WRONGFUL SEIZURE IN EXECU-TION-continued.

(6281)

See Cases under Sale in Execution or DECREE-WRONGFUL SALES.

WRONGFUL RESTRAINT.

See Mischief . I. L. R., 12 Calc., 55

– Penal Code, ss. 339, 340, 342. -Police officer, Conduct of .- In a case of a police officer charged under Penal Code, section 342, where there was no malice, no intention of doing an act of the nature spoken of in section 339 or 340, and no voluntary obstruction or restraint, though there was probably excessive and mistaken exercise of powers not civilly excusable in a police officer, the facts were held not to amount to the criminal offence of wrongful restraint. In the matter of the petition of . 24 W. R., Cr., 51 BUDROOL HOSSEIN

- **s. 339.**—Refusal to let person go until he gave bail .- Where a police officer refused to let a person go home until he had given bail, he was held to have been guilty of wrongful restraint under section 339 of the Penal Code. SHEO SHUBN SAHAI v. MAHOMED FAZIL KHAN

10 W. R., Cr., 20

- Restraint and taking money on false plea .- Where the accused prevented the complainants from proceeding in a certain direction with their carts and exacted from them a sum of money on a false plea,-Held, the accused were guilty of wrongful restraint and not theft. JOWAHIE SHAH v. GRIDHAREE CHOWDHRY

10 W. R., Cr., 35

YEAR, AGRICULTURAL-

See N.:W. P. RENT ACT (ACT XVIII OF 1873), s. 94 . I. L. R., 1 All., 512

ZANZIBAR.

See CONSUL'S COURT AT ZANZIBAR. [I. L. R., 3 Bom., 58

ZEMINDAR.

See GRANT-CONSTRUCTION OF GRANTS. [I. L. R., 9 Mad., 307 L. R., 13 I. A., 32

See GRANT-POWER TO GRANT. [B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 75, 774

- Duty of .- Ancient tanks .- Negligence.-Statutory powers.-Liability for damage occasioned by owerflow of tanks .- The public duty of maintaining ancient tanks, and of constructing new ones, was originally undertaken by the Government of India, and upon the settlement of the country has, in many instances, devolved upon zemindars.

ZEMINDAR.—Duty of—continued.

Such zemindars have no power to do away with these tanks, in the maintenance of which large numbers of people are interested, but are charged under Indian law, by reason of their tenure, with the duty of preserving and repairing them. The rights and liabilities of such zemindars with regard to these tanks are analogous to those of persons or corporations on whom statutory powers have been conferred, and statutory duties imposed. A zemindar, if the banks of any such tank in his possession are washed away by an extraordinary flood without negligence on his part, is not liable for damage occasioned thereby. MADRAS RAILWAY COMPANY v. ZEMIN-DAR OF CARVETINAGARAM

[14 B. L. R., 209 : 22 W. R., 279 L. R., 1 I. A., 364

S. C. in lower Courts. MADRAS RAILWAY COM-PANY v. ZEMINDAR OF KAVETINUGGUR.

[5 Mad., 139

and after remand . 6 Mad., 180

- Kabuliat between Government and-

See Specific Performance — Specific PERFORMANCE NOT ALLOWED. [I. L. R., 3 Calc., 464

ZEMINDAR, POWER OF-

1. — Power to grant lease.—Lease granted for longer term than zemindar's engagement with Government.—Operation of Act XVI of 1842. -A lease granted by a zemindar for a longer period than the term of his own engagement with the Government was not absolutely void for the excess, but only voidable, and might be confirmed. Semble. -that Act XVI of 1842 applied to agricultural leases, not to bona fide leases for other purposes. NITA RAM v. NANUCK DASS

[1 N. W., Part III, 47: Ed. 1873, 103

- Hindu law .- Authority to grant leases as manager and owner.-Under the Hindu law the granting of a lease, though for a term, is an act within the scope of a zemindar's authority as manager and owner of the zemindari, and is, as such, binding on his successor, unless, in the circumstances in which it was made, it was otherwise than bona fide. RAMANADAN v. SRI-. I. L. R., 2 Mad., 80 NIVASA MURTHI
- Power to alter boundaries. -Effect of arrangement altering boundary without sanction of Government .- Zemindars have no authority, without the sanction of Government, to alter the boundaries of their permanently-settled estates, and to transfer villages from one zemindari to Such an arrangement is of no binding effect, except between the parties who have made it. v. Muddunmohun W. R., 1864, 355 RAMCHUNDER BANERJEE TEWAREE .
- Power to charge estate with personal debts .- A decree for possession of certain land with wasilat obtained by a zemindar of an estate, as such cannot be pledged by him as security for a

ZEMINDAR, POWER OF. - Power to charge estate with personal debts-con-

personal debt, nor for such a debt can the estate be made liable, nor his successor be held responsible. NIMAYE CHURN SEIN v. RAMMONER BERBER

710 W. R., 152

ZEMINDAR, RIGHTS OF-

See JURISDICTION OF CIVIL COURT-RENT AND REVENUE SUITS, N.-W. P.

[I. L. R., 1 All., 338 See MADRAS REGULATION XXV of 1802.

[14 B. L. R., 115

See MEASUREMENT OF LANDS.

. [3 B. L. R., Ap., 27 See RIGHT OF OCCUPANCY-TRANSFER OF . I. L. R., 3 Calc., 774

- Nature of zemindari estate. -Power to deal with estate. - A zemindar's estate is analogous to an estate-tail as it originally stood upon the statute de donis. The zemindar is the owner of the zemindari, but can neither encumber nor alienate beyond the period of his own life. CHINTALAPATI CHINNA SIMHADRIRAJ v. ZEMIN-. 2 Mad., 128 DAR OF VIZIANAGRAM .
- Collections of rent.—Claim to intermediate tenures .- Onus of proof .- A zemindar has, as such, a prima facie right to the gross collections from all the mouzahs within his zemindari. It is for parties setting up an intermediate tenure to prove their grant. PRAHLAD SEN v. DURGAPRASAD TEWARI

[2 B. L. R., P. C., 111: 12 W. R., P. C., 6 12 Moore's I. A., 286

3. Right to rent. Payment of revenue by zemindar. The right of a zemindar to exact from a tenant payment of rent for a certain piece of land, in no way depends on whether he does or does not pay revenue for that land. JOTENDRO MOHUN TAGORE v. AYMUN BEEBEE

[1 C. L. R., 366

4. — Liability for rent.—Cisharer in talook .- Held that a zemindar, by becoming a co-sharer in the talook, does not lose his right to the joint responsibility of all the other co-sharers for the due payment of the rent; he only occomes bound to make an allowance for that portion which he as a co-sharer ought to pay. GOBINDO COOMAR CHOWDHRY v. MANSON

[15 B. L. R., 56 : 23 W. R., 152

Compensation.—Compensation to putnidar for loss sustained by erection of works by railway company .- A zemindar who receives his rents in full is not entitled to participate in compensation received by his putnidar for loss suffered by the latter in consequence of works being crected on land included in the putni. MAHARAJAH OF BURDWAN v. WOOMA SOONDUREE DOSSEE

710 W. R., 12

— Sale in execution of decree.— Custom. - Charge on sale-proceeds - Where a sale ZEMINDAR, RIGHTS OF.—Sale in execution of decree-continued.

took place in execution of a decree, and it was proved by custom that the zemindar's right extended to one fourth of the sale-proceeds in cases of involuntary sale, -Held that the zemindar had a right to recover the fourth share of the proceeds of sale from the judgment creditor, who in truth reserved the sale price. The zemindar's right attached to the sale-proceeds, and was a prior charge upon the proceeds. BYJ NATH PERSHAD v. MAHOMED FUZL HOSSEIN

[2 Agra, Part II, 204

· Custom .- Right to share of sale-proceeds,-Calculation of amount-Zemindari huq.—Where by custom the zemindar is entitled to a quarter share of the sale-proceeds as his huq zemindari, he is entitled to recover it on the occasion of sales, either absolute or originally conditional, but subsequently becoming absolute by foreclosure, from the vendor and the purchaser, and the latter cannot be discharged from his liability by proving that he has paid all, including zemindar's dues, to the former, it being incumbent on him to see that the zemindar is satisfied in respect of his dues. Held, further, that, under the circumstances, the plaintiffs, the zemindars, were entitled to one fourth from R450, the principal amount repayable, and not from the amount ascertained at the time of foreclosure to be due to the mortgagee, including interest, inasmuch as the deed made no provision for payment of any sum as interest. HEERA RAM v. DRO NABAIN SINGH . Agra, F.B., 63 : Ed. 1874, 48

Sale in execution of house in mohalla .- Wajib-ul-urz .- Liability of auction-purchaser .- Right of zemindar to huq-ichaharam.—The zemindars of a certain mohalla claimed from the purchaser of a house situated in such mohalla, which had been sold in execution of a decree, one fourth of the sale-proceeds of such house, such purchaser being the holder of such decree. Such suit was based upon the terms of the wajib-ul-urz. That document stated, inter alia, that, when a house in such mohalla was sold, a cess called chaharam was received by such zemindars "according to the understanding arrived at between the seller and the zemindars." Held that such zemindars were not entitled under the terms of the wajib-ul-urz to one fourth of the sale-proceeds; that the decree-holder, because he happened to have become the auctionpurchaser, could not be regarded as the "seller," and it was only the "seller" who was liable; that the terms of the wajib-ul-urz were applicable only to private and voluntary sales and not to executionsales; and that, under these circumstances, the suit must be dismissed. BENI MADIIO v. ZAHURUL-HAQ [I. L. R., 3 All., 797

 Sale of zemindar's right,— Right as tenant in another house .- Where a zemindar's right is sold by auction, it does not follow that, by the sale of the zemindari right, he forfeits his tenantright which he had in another putti in respect of a house. RAM BUKSH SINGH v. PURDUMUN KISHORB

2 Agra, Part II,

ZEMINDAR AND RYOT.

See Cases under Bengal Rent Act, 1869.

See Cases under Landlord and Tenant. See Cases under Madbas Rent Recovery Act. 1865.

See CASES UNDER RIGHT OF OCCUPANCY.

ZEMINDARI DAKS.

1. Beng. Act VIII of 1862.—
Effect of Act on liability of putnidars.—Bengal Act
VIII of 1862 did not relieve putnidars from their
liability under the old laws of paying the zemindari
dak charges. BISSONATH SIECAE v. SHUENOMOYEE
[4 W. R., 6

2. Liability of putmidar.—Where the terms of a putnee lease did not make the putneedar liable for the maintenance of the zemindari daks, it was held that the putnidar was not liable for a tax which was imposed on the

ZEMINDARI DAKS.—Beng. Act VIII of 1862—continued.

zemindar by Bengal Act VIII of 1862. RAKHAL Doss Mookerjee v. Shurno Moyee

[e w

3. Liability of putnidar.—The provision in a pottah that if any item is laid upon the zemindar over and above the sudder jumma, the putnidar shall bear a rateable proportion of it, held not to include the charges connected with the zemindari dak. ROHINEE KANT ROY 2. TRIPOGRA SOONDUREE DASSIA 8 W. R., 45

SARODA SOONDERY DEBIA v. WOOMA CHURN SIECAE. . 3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 17

ZEMINDARI DUES AND CESSES, SUIT FOR—

See SMALL CAUSE COURT, MORUSSIL— JUBISDICTION—CESS.
[I. L. R., 1 All., 444

APPENDIX.

ABATEMENT OF SUIT.

- Appeal.—Civil Procedure Code, 1882, s. 366.—Application by legal representative to carry on appeal.—The appellant's father having died during the pendency of an appeal lodged by. him, a notice was served upon the appellant's two adult brothers; but they having failed to apply within sixty days, the appellant, who was a minor, applied several months afterwards to be put on the record in his deceased father's place as his legal representative, which was done. The Assistant Judge who heard the appeal was of opinion that, in consequence of the omission on the part of the brothers of the appellant to apply, the appeal abated, and he passed an order accordingly. Held that the application having been made by the minor son within the time limited by law, the order of abatement made by the Judge was wrong. Although the complete legal representation vested in the minor son and his two brothers, section 366 of the Civil Procedure Code (Act XIV of 1882) only required an application to be made by a person claiming to be the legal representative, in order to prevent an order of abatement being made. If neither of the brothers was willing to have his name placed on the record, the respondent was entitled to have them made defendants, so that they might be bound by the decree. The minor. son could then proceed alone with the appeal. BHI-KAJI RAMCHANDRA v. PURSHOTAM

I. L. R., 10 Bom., 220

ABETMENT.

Penal Code, s. 107.—Act I of 1879 (Stamp Act), s. 61.—Abetment of making an unstamped receipt.—A debtor, having paid a sum of money to his creditor, accepted from the latter an unstamped receipt promising to affix a stamp thereto. Held that this did not constitute abetment, within the meaning of section 107 of the Penal Code, of the offence of making an unstamped receipt. Empress v. Bahadur Singh, Weekly Notes, All., 1885, p. 30, distinguished. Empress v. Janki, I. L. R., 7 Bom., 82; and Empress v. Bhairon, Weekly Notes, All., 1884, p. 37, referred to. Queen-Empress v. MITTHU LAL . . . I. L. R., 8 All., 18

ACCOMPLICE,

See CASES UNDER APPROVER.

1. — Corroboration.—Evidence of accomplices.—It is an established rule of practice that an accomplice must be corroborated by independent evidence as to the identity of every person whom he impeaches. Reg. v. Malapai, 11 Bom., 196; and Reg. v. Nanku, 1. L. R., 1 Bom., 475, followed. QUEEN-EMPRESS v. KRISHNABHAT

[I. L. R., 10 Bom., 319

ACCOMPLICE.—Corroboration—continued.

2. Evidence of accomplices.—Observations on the necessity of requiring corroboration, in material particulars, of the evidence of an accomplice. Queen-Empress v. Ram Saran, I. L. R., 8 All., 306, referred to. Queen-Empress v. IMDAD KHAN. I. L. R., 8 All., 120

Evidence of accomplices .- Act I of 1872, ss. 114 (b), 133.-1 law in India, as expressed in section 133 and section 114 of the Evidence Act, and which is in no respect different from the law of England on the subject, is that a conviction based on the uncorroborated testimony of an accomplice is not illegal,-that is, it is not unlawful; but experience shows that it is unsafe, and hence it is the practice of the Judges, both in England and in India, when sitting alone, to guard their minds carefully against acting upon such evidence when uncorroborated, and, when trying a case with a jury, to warn the jury that such a course is unsafe. There must be some correboration independent of the accomplice, or of a co-confessing prisoner, to show that the party accused was actually engaged directly in the commission of the crime charged against him. A second accomplice does not improve the position of the first, and, if there are two, it is necessary that both should be corroborated. The accomplice must be corroborated not only as to one but as to all of the persons affected by the evidence, and corroboration of his evidence as to one prisoner does not entitle his evidence against another to be accept-R. v. Webb, 6 C. & P., ed without corroboration. 595; R. v. Dyke, 8 C. & P., 261; R. v. Addis, 6 P. P., 388; and R. v. Wilkes, 7 C. & P., 272, referred to. The possession of property taken from a murdered person is not adequate corroboration of the evidence of an accomplice charging such person in possession with participation in the murder, though it would no doubt be corroboration of evidence that the prisoner participated in a robbery, or that he had dishonestly received stoler, property. In the trial of R., S., and M. upon a charge of murder, the evidence for the prosecution consisted of (i) the confession of P., who was jointly tried with them for the same offence; (ii) the evidence of an accomplice; (iii) the evidence of witnesses who deposed to the discovery in R.'s house of property belonging to the deceased; and (iv) the evidence of witnesses who deposed that, on the day when the deceased was last seen alive, all the prisoners were seen together near the place where the body was afterwards found. Held that there was no sufficient corroboration of the statements of the accomplice or of the co-confessing prisoner P. QUEEN-EMPRESS v. RAM SARAN [I. L. R., 8 All., 306

ACCUMULATIONS.

See HINDU LAW—WIDOW—INTEREST IN
ESTATE OF HUSBAND — BY INHERITANCE . I. L. R., 10 Bom., 478

ACT-1842-IV.

See MADRAS BOAT RULES.
[I. L. R., 9 Mad., 431

See Magistrate, Jurisdiction of— Powers of Magistrates. [I. L. R., 9 Mad., 431

ACT-1856-XV.

See HINDU LAW-MARRIAGE-VALIDITY OR OTHERWISE OF MARRIAGE.

[I. L. R., 8 All., 143

----- 1861-IX.

See Mahomedan Law-Guardian.
[I. L. R., 8 All., 322

—— 1868—VI (N.-W. P. MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENT).

> See N.-W. P. AND OUDH MUNICIPALITIES ACT, 1883, SS. 69, 71. [I. L. R., 8 All., 776

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	of Digest,	
A			

Column

Ą

Abad Ali Pradham v. Jamiruddin Mahomed Abadi Begam v. Asa Ram v. Inam Begam	13 C. L. R., 160 I. L. R., 2 All., 162 I. L. R., 1 All., 521 .	1228, 1234 · 1253 3718, 3722
Abadi Husain v. Jurawan Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 866 .	5203
Abaji bin Sankroji v. Niloji bin Baloji .	2 Bom., 262: 2nd Ed., 342	2849
Abas v. Ibrahimji	5 Bom., A. C., 119 .	340, 752
Abas Ali Zenul Aradin v. Ghulam Muhammad	1 Bom., 36	1344
Abasi v. Dunne	I. L. R., 1 All., 598 .	3688
Abasu Begum v. Umda Khanum	J. L. R., 8 Calc., 724 .	4727
Abba Haji Ishmail v. Abba Thara	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 253 .	3316
Abbakku v. Ammu Shettati	4 Mad., 137	3372
Abbas Ali, In the matter of	18 W. R., Cr., 17	1951
Abbas Ali Chowdhry v. Illim Meah	14 W. R., Cr., 46	4101
Abbas Ali Khan v. Yadeem Ramy Reddy .	3 Moore's I A., 156 .	1776
Abbas Aly v. Nund Coomar Ghose	7 W. R., 123	4006
Abbasee Begum v. Rajroop Kooer	1 C. L. R., 368	1932
Abbilakh Singh v. Khub Lall	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1100 .	5513
Abboo Bibee v. Collector of Backergunge .	1 W. R., 255	2882
Abbott v. Abbott and Crump	4 B. L. R., O. C., 51 .	1556
	5 B. L. R., 382	415
v. Crump	5 B. L. R., 109	4330
Abdool, In re	8 W. R., Cr., 32	1041
v. Lucky Narain Mundul	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 132 .	4 09 6
Abdoola bin Shaik Ally v. Stephens	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 17 .	4608
Abdool Adood v. Mahomed Makmil	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 562 .	3697
Abdool Ali. In the matter of the petition of	15 B. L. R., 197	2947, 2955,
	•	5936
v. Abdoor Rahman	21 W. R., 429	1842, 4456 671
v. Abidunnissa Khatoon .	W. R., 1864, Mis., 41 .	3828 ,
v. Ashruffun	25 W. R., 215	877
•	2 W. R., 287	997, 1492,
v. Mozuffur Hossein Chowdhry	16 W. R., P. C., 22 ·.	2083, 4647
	14 W. R., 493	1755, 1761
v. Mullick Sudderoodeen Ahmed v. Ramgutty	12 W. R., 128	5108
	23 W. R., 73, 239	2947, 2955
v. Yar Ali Khan Chowdhry	8 W. R., 467	2947, 2955 2927
alias Shongoo a V	9 W. R., 153	3287, 4161
alias Shoageea v. Kurrumnissa	Ø 17. 16., 100	0501, 3101

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Abdools Rohoman Moosan v. The "Thames"	Bourke, Ad., 21	5646
Abdool Azeez v. Dorab Ally Khan	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 356	5491
v. Hamid Hossein	17 W. R., 113: 10 B. L. R., 45	5613
v. Shumsunnissa	11 W. R., 263	5751
Abdool Azim Chowdhry v. Mahomed Kabeer	11 W. R., 281	1426
Abdool Aziz Biswas v. Radha Kant Kobiraj	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 226 21 W. R., 163	4774
Abdool Baree v. Nittyanund Koondoo		215, 3804
Abdool Bari. In the matter of the petition of	25 W. R., Cr., 50	4736, 4737 5353
	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 631 : 8 C. L.	
Abdool Futteh Moulvie v. Zabunnessa Khatun .	R., 242.	3699
Abdool Ghunnee v. Goodree Rai	2 Agra, Pt. II, 192	1389, 2860
Abdool Guffoor. In the matter of	10 C. L. R., 54	1853
Abdool Guffoor Khan Chowdhry v. Golam Nujuf	16 W. R., 298	5050
Abdool Gunnee v. Bhutto Sheikh	22 W. R., 350	1656, 1756
Whenedo Chander Pour	9 W. R., 375	4436, 5830 2982
Wishanund Done alian Kahul Dam Dam	2 Hay, 409	5029
v. Gour Monee Debia . v. Kherode Chunder Roy v. Kishanund Doss alias Kebul Ram Doss v. Poorno Chunder Roy	2 Hay, 524	2982
of Toolino Changer Hoy	11 B. L. R., 203: 19 W. R.,	2002
Abdool Gunney v. Zamoorudoonissa Khanum	171: L. R., I. A., Sup	1439
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Vol., 165.	2200
Abdool Gunny v. Commissioner of the Sunderbuns	2 W. R., 239	4208
Abdool Hakim v. Doorgaproshad Banerjee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 4	1096
Abdool Hamed v. Promothonath Bose	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 218 .	2808
Abdool Hekim v. Aseentoollah	25 W. R., 94	3490, 3496
Abdool Hossein v. Lall Chand Mohtan	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 36: 13 C.	1160, 1189,
	L. R., 323	3802
v. Luteefoonnissa	11 W. R., 235	3748
Abdool Hossein Khan v. Gobind Chandra Shaha	11 W. R., 404	3723
Abdool Huq v. Ambur Ali	8 W. R., 422	5784
Abded Hue Chardhan a Idad	W. R., 1864, 184	3983
Abdool Hug Chowdhry v. Idrak	21 W. R., Cr., 57	490
Abdool Hyd v. Lalla Nowah Roy	1 W. R., 204	860 5457 5409
Abdool Hye v. Macrae	23 W. R., 1	5457, 5493, 6015
· v. Mitterjeet Singh.	23 W. R., 348	1252
v. Nawab Raj .	9 W. R., 196	5452
v. Ram Churn Singh	11 W. R., 445	2897
Abdool Jaleel v. Kalee Coomar Dutt	6 W. R., Mis., 3	4535
Abdool Jubbar v. Kalee Churn Dutt	7 W. R., 81	5176
Abdool Jubbar Chowdhry v. Collector of Mymensingh	11 W. R., 65	3649
Abdool Juleel v. Kanchun Dossee	24 W. R., 143	3473
Abdool Kadir v. Abin Mirdha	24 W. R., 290	6234
Abdool Kadir Khan v. Magistrate of Purneah .	*11 B. L. R., Ap., 8: 20 W.R., Cr., 23.	6029
Abdool Khalek v. Poran Bibee	25 W. R., 542	3 669
Abdool Khan v. Upendro Chunder Bhuttacharjee	14 W. R., 278	3956
Abdool Khyrat v. Jumalooddeen Hossein	10 W. R., 244	4913
Abdool Kureem v. Awlad	18 W. R., 141	755
v. Jaun Ali	18 W. R., 56	54 0 5
v. Mea Jan	6 W. R., 288	2704
v. Munsoor Ali	12 W. R., 396	2981
v. Omer Chand Lahata	24 W. R., 461	3046
v. Ooghun Lal	6 W. R., Mis., 119 .	221, 5730
v. Suffur Ali	11 W. R., 118	1740

16 W. R., 27	Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Abdoollah. In the matter of the petition of	Abdeel Kuuseen Biguree a Cumphell	8 W R 172	150 9917
303. 304. 305. 306. 307.	•		
R., 50: L. R., 3 I. A., 221 5115, 512 70: R., 414 1. 19: R. 19: R. 14: R. 19:	Abdoollah. In the matter of the petition of .) 303.	
T. W. R. 414 2709 1918 2709		[I. L. R., 2 Calc., 131 : 26 W.]	
20 W. R., 51 1918 18 W. R., 286 4399, 447 18 W. R., 286 4399, 447 18 W. R., 192 3249	Resent Hospain	7 - 777 73 47 4	
V No. Shokoor Ali	v		
V. Shokoor Ali	v. Shaha Mujeesooddeen	. 15 W. R., 286	4399, 4476
Abdool khan v. Ameerun 1		. 16 W. R., 27	
Abdool Luteef. In the matter of the petition of w. Mahomed Kamil w. Mahomed Kamil 22 W. R., 369	V. Shokoor All		1
22 W. R., 369 5791 1716, 307 307 3747 304 304 304 307 3747 304 304 307 3747 304 304 307 307 3747 304 304 307 3747 304 304 307 3747 304 304 307 3747 304 304 307 3747 304 304 307 3747 304 307 3747 304 307			4971
Abdool Mannah v. Baroda Kant Banerjee Abdool Oahab Chowdhry v. Elias Banoo Abdool Oahab Chowdhry v. Elias Banoo Abdool Rohoman v. Dataram Bashee Abdool Rohoman v. Dataram Bashee Abdool Rohoman v. Dataram Bashee Abdool Shukman v. Abdool Sobhan Abdool Shukkoar v. Raheeun-con-nissa Abdool Wahad v. Drummoud Abdoor Ruhman Abdoor Ruhman In the matter of the petition of Abdoor Ruhman In the matter of the petition of V. Kisto Lal Ghose v. Gunnesh Lall V. Kisto Lal Ghose V. K. L. R., 950 V. L. R., 425 V. R., 202 V.			4356, 5519
Abdool Oahab Chowdhry v. Elias Banoo		15 W R 394	
Abdool Reza v. Jebunnissa Bibee			
Abdool Ruhman v. Abdool Sobhan Abdool Settar v. Makhum Kooer Abdool Shukkoar v. Raheem-oon-nissa Abdool Shukkoar v. Raheem-oon-nissa Abdool Soban. In the matter of the petition of Abdool Wahad v. Drummond I. L. R., 8 Calc., 63 2 W. R., Act X, 48 5322 7 W. R., Cr., 37 2 W. R., Cr., 37 2 W. R., 244 2 W. R., Act X, 48 5322 7 W. R., Cr., 37 2 W. R., Cr., 37 2 W. R., Cr., 37 2 W. R., Act X, 48 5322 7 W. R., Cr., 37 2 W. R., Act X, 48 5322 7 W. R., Cr., 37 2 W. R., Att, 48 2 M. R., 444 2 W. R., 24 2 W. R., Att, 48 2 M. R., 444 2 M. R., 140 2 Marsh., 6: 1 Hay, 28 2 M. R., 444 2 M. R., 140 2 M. R., 225 2 M. R., 444 2 M. R., 140 2 Marsh., 6: 1 Hay, 28 2 M. R., 444 2 M. R., 140 2 Marsh., 6: 1 Hay, 28 2 M. R., 444 2 M. R., 140 2 Marsh., 6: 1 Hay, 28 2 M. R., 444 2 M. R., 140 2 Marsh., 6: 1 Hay, 28 2 M. R., 444 2 M. R., 140 2 Marsh., 6: 1 Hay, 28 2 M. R., 444 2 M. R., 140 2 Marsh., 6: 1 Hay, 28 2 M. R., 444 2 M. R., 140 2 Marsh., 6: 1 Hay, 28 2 M. R., 444 2 M. R., 140 2 Marsh., 6: 1 Hay, 28 2 M. R., 444 2 M. R., 140 2 Marsh., 6: 1 Hay, 28 2 M. R., 444 2 M. R., 140 2 Marsh., 6: 1 Hay, 28 2 M. R., 44 2 M. R., 140 2 M. R., 141 2 Marsh., 6: 1 Hay, 28 2 M. R., 444 2 M. R., 140 2 Marsh., 6: 1 Hay, 28 2 M. R., 44 2 M. R., 140 2 M. R., 141 2 Marsh., 6: 1 Hay, 28 2 M. R., 44 2 M. R., 140 2 M. R., 140 2 M. R., 140 2 M. R., 140 2 M. R., 141 2 M. R., 140 2 M. R., 141 2 M. R., 140 2 M. R., 141 2 M. R., 140 2 M.	Abdool Reza v. Jebunnissa Bibee	. 16 W. R., 34	
Abdool Settar v. Makhum Kooer Abdool Shukkoar v. Raheem-oon-nissa Abdool Shukkoar v. Raheem-oon-nissa Abdool Shukkoar v. Raheem-oon-nissa Abdool Shukkoar v. Raheem-oon-nissa Abdool Wahad v. Drummond Abdool Soban. In the matter of the petition of I. L. R., 8 Calc., 63 2 W. R., Act X, 48 7 W. R., Cr., 37 2 W. R., Act X, 48 2 898, 62 2 80 W. R., 225 3 W. R., 444 3 5363 4 8000000000000000000000000000000000000		. W. R., 1864, 367	
Abdool Shukkoar v. Raheem-oon-nissa Abdool Soban. In the matter of the petition of Abdool Soban. In the matter of the petition of Abdool Wahad v. Drummond v. Gunnesh Lall v. Kisto Lal Ghose v. Kisto Lal Ghose v. Kisto Lal Ghose Abdooz Salam v. Imraloonissa Bebee Abdool Soban v. Brahma Deo Narain Abdooz Salam v. Imraloonissa Bebee Abdul Ahiji Abdsatar v. Ahmed Abdul Ahii v. Ashruffan v. Tarachand Ghose Abdul Ali v. Ashruffan v. Tarachand Ghose Abdul Ali Ishnailji. In re Abdul Ali Ishnailji. In re Abdul Ali Ishnailji. In re Abdul Azim v. Khondkar Ahmed Ali Abdul Azim v. Khondkar Ahmed Ali Abdul Gafoor v. Nurbanu Abdul Gafoor v. Nurbanu Abdul Gafoor v. Nurbanu Abdul Gani v. Krishnaji Bhikaji Abdul Ganne Kasam v. Hussen Miya Rahimtula			
Abdool Wahad v. Drummond		. 6 N. W., 94	
Abdoor Ruhman. In the matter of the petition of v. Gunnesh Lall v. Gunnesh Lall v. Kisto Lal Ghose v. Marshn, 62. 1 Hay, 28 v. Marshn, 62. 1 L. R., 4 Calc., 94: 2 C. L. R., 485. 7 B. L. R., Ap., 30, note: 14 v. R., 62. 1530 Abdul Ali v. Ashruffan v. Tarachand Ghose v. Tarachand V. Tarachand V. Tarachand V. Tarachand V. Tarachand V. Tarachand		. I. L. R., 8 Calc., 63	
23 W. R., 444 2576 3955		7 W R Cr 37	
W. R., 225. 3 3 3 3 3 4 W. R., 140			2576
Abdoos Sobhan v. Brahma Deo Narain Abdoos Sobhan v. Imraloonissa Bebee	v. Kisto Lal Ghose	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 598: 6	3955
Abdula Haji Abdaatar v. Ahmed Abdula Haji Abdaatar v. Ahmed Abdul Ahmed. In the matter of Abdul Ali v. Ashruffan v. Tarachand Ghose Abdul Ali Ishnailji. In re Abdul Allarakhi v. Abdul Bacha Abdul Azin v. Khondkar Ahmed Ali Abdul Bari v. Rash Behari Pal Abdul Cadur Haji Mahomed v. Official Assignee v. Turner Abdul Ganor v. Nurbanu Abdul Ganor v. Nurbanu Abdul Ganor v. Nurbanu Abdul Ganor v. Hussen Miya Rahimtula Abdul Guffoor, In the matter of Abdul Guffoor, In the matter of I. L. R., 10 Bom., 1 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 94: 2 C. L. R., 485. 7 B. L. R., Ap., 30, note: 14 W. R., 62. 6 B. L. R., 292 3147, 317 3290, 33 3290, 33 3447, 317 3290, 33 3648, 37 2608 3715 2868 2073, 366 3853 2282, 363 3735 2282, 364 3735 2282, 364 3735 2282, 364 3735 2282, 364 3735 228	Abdoos Sobhan v. Brahma Deo Narain		4951
Abdul Ahmed. In the matter of \begin{cases} I. L. R., 10 Bom., 1 \\ 2282, 236 3632, 62 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 94: 2 C. L. R., 485. Abdul Ali v. Ashruffan \begin{cases} I. L. R., Ap., 30, note: 14 \\ W. R., 62. Abdul Ali Ishu.ailji. In re \\ Abdul Azim v. Khondkar Ahmed Ali \\ Abdul Azim v. Khondkar Ahmed Ali \\ Abdul Aziz v. Wali Khan \\ Abdul Bari v. Rash Behari Pal \\ Abdul Cadur Haji Mahomed v. Official Assignee \\ I. L. R., 9 Bom., 158 \\ I. L. R., 9 Bo	Abdooz Salam v. Imraloonissa Bebee	. Marsh., 6: 1 Hay, 28	5786
Abdul Ahmed. In the matter of Abdul Ali v. Ashruffan v. Tarachand Ghose Abdul Ali Ishu.ailji. In re Abdul Azin v. Khondkar Ahmed Ali Abdul Azin v. Khondkar Ahmed Ali Abdul Bari v. Rash Behari Pal Abdul Cadur Haji Mahomed v. Official Assignee v. Turner Abdul Gafoor v. Nurbanu Abdul Gani v. Krishnaji Bhikaji Abdul Ganne Kasam v. Hussen Miya Rahimtula Abdul Guffoor. In the matter of I. L. R., 4 Calc., 94: 2 C. L. R., 485. 7 B. L. R., Ap., 30, note: 14 V. R., 62. 6 B. L. R., 292 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 180 21 B. L. R., A. C., 63: 10 W. R., 356. I. L. R., 1 All., 338 2288, 363 3735 2282, 363 3735 2	Abdula Haji Abdustav a Abmad	1 L R 10 Bom 1	
Abdul Ahmed. In the matter of Abdul Ali v. Ashruffan	Abdula Haji Abusatai v. Alimeu	· 1. 2. 1., 10 Bom, 1	3632, 620
W. R., 62.	Abdul Ahmed. In the matter of	R., 485.	1530
v. Tarachand Ghose 6 B. L. R., 292 3290, 33 Abdul Ali Ishnailji. In re I. L. R., 7 Bom., 180 3648, 37 Abdul Allarakhi v. Abdul Bacha I. L. R., 6 Bom., 5 2608 Abdul Aziz v. Wali Khan I. L. R., 1 All., 338 2868 Abdul Bari v. Rash Behari Pal 6 C. L. R., 413 2868 Abdul Cadur Haji Mahomed v. Official Assignee I. L. R., 9 Bom., 158 2282, 363 3735 2282, 36 3735 L. L. R., 9 Bom., 158 10 Bom., 416 5351 Abdul Ganne Kasam v. Hussen Miya Rahimtula 10 Bom., 416 5351 Abdul Guffoor. In the matter of 10 C. L. R., 54 4622	Abdul Ali v. Ashruffan	7 B. L. R., Ap., 30, note: 14 W. R., 62.	2.0.
Abdul Allarakhi v. Abdul Bacha Abdul Allarakhi v. Abdul Bacha Abdul Azim v. Khondkar Ahmed Ali Abdul Aziz v. Wali Khan Abdul Bari v. Rash Behari Pal Abdul Cadur Haji Mahomed v. Official Assignee v. Turner Abdul Gafoor v. Nurbanu Abdul Ganne Kasam v. Hussen Miya Rahimtula Abdul Guffoor, In the matter of I. L. R., 6 Bom., 5 2 B. L. R., A. C., 63: 10 W. R., 356. I. L. R., 1 All., 338 3735 2282, 366 3735 2282, 366 3735 293, 3735 293, 3735 293, 3735 293, 3735 293, 3735 293, 3735 293, 3735 293, 3735 293, 3735 293, 3735 293, 3735 293, 3735 203, 3661 20400 20600 2070 2080	v. Tarachand Ghose	6 B. L. R., 292	3147, 3174 3290, 330
Abdul Azim v. Khondkar Ahmed Ali Abdul Azim v. Khondkar Ahmed Ali Abdul Aziz v. Wali Khan Abdul Bari v. Rash Behari Pal Abdul Cadur Haji Mahomed v. Official Assignee v. Turner I. L. R., 9 Bom, 158 L. L. R., 9 Bom, 158 I. L. R., 9 Bom, 158 L. L. R., 9 Bom, 158 2282, 363 3735 2282, 363 3735 2282, 364 Abdul Gafoor v. Nurbanu Abdul Gani v. Krishnaji Bhikaji Abdul Ganne Kasam v. Hussen Miya Rahimtula Abdul Guffoor, In the matter of Abdul Guffoor, In the matter of	Abdul Ali Ishmailji. In re		3648, 374
Abdul Aziz v. Wali Khan Abdul Bari v. Rash Behari Pal Abdul Cadur Haji Mahomed v. Official Assignee v. Turner I. L. R., 9 Bom, 158 L. L. R., 9 Bom, 158 L. L. R., 9 Bom, 158 1 B. L. R., 2 Bom, 158 2868 2073, 368 3853 2282, 363 3735 2282, 363 3735 2282, 363 3735 2282, 363 3735 288, 311 1 B. L. R., 9 Bom, 158 L. L. R., 9 Bom, 158 293, 373 293, 37			
Abdul Aziz v. Wali Khan Abdul Bari v. Rash Behari Pal Abdul Cadur Haji Mahomed v. Official Assignee v. Turner I. L. R., 9 Bom, 158 L. L. R., 9 Bom., 158 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 158 2282, 363 2282, 363 2282, 363 3735 2282, 363 3735 Abdul Gafoor v. Nurbanu Abdul Gani v. Krishnaji Bhikaji Abdul Ganne Kasam v. Hussen Miya Rahimtula Abdul Guffoor, In the matter of Abdul Guffoor, In the matter of	Abdul Azim v. Khondkar Ahmed Ali	R., 356.	1
Abdul Bari v. Rash Behari Pal	Abdul Aziz v. Wali Khan	. I. L. R., 1 All., 338	
Abdul Cadur Haji Mahomed v. Official Assignee I. L. R., 9 Bom, 158 { 2282, 36 3735 }	Abdul Bari v. Rash Behari Pal	. 6 C. L. R., 413 {	
	Abdul Cadur Haji Mahomed v. Official Assignee .	. I. L. R., 9 Bom. 158 . {	2282, 3632 3735
Abdul Gafoor v. Nurbanu	-	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 158	2282, 363
Abdul Gano v. Nurbanu	•		
Abdul Gani v. Krishnaji Bhikaji . 10 Bom., 416	Abdul Gafoor v. Nurbanu		4410
Abdul Ganne Kasam v. Hussen Miya Rahimtula . 10 Bom., 7 Abdul Guffoor. In the matter of . 10 C. L. R., 54	Abdul Gani v. Krishnaji Bhikaji	10 Bom., 416	5351
Abdul Gunnor. In the matter of	Abdul Ganne Kasam v. Hussen Miva Rahimtula.	. 10 Bom., 7	
	Abdul Gunnee v. Gour Monee Debia	9 W. R., 375	241

Name of Case.				Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Abdul Gunny v. Pogose			Ş	4 B. L. R., A. C., 1: 12 W. 3	• 3505
	•	•	l	R., 436. I. L. R., 12 Calc., 323	5286
Abdul Hakim v. Gunesh Dutt	•	•	٠	I. L. R., 3 All., 815	1513
Abdul Hamed Chowdry. In re	•	•	•	4 B. L. R., Ap., 96, note	5863
Abdul Hamid v. Dongaram Dey .	:	:	•	3 B. L. R., Ap., 133	1593, 492
v. Queen-Empress				I. L. R, 13 Calc, 349	2013
Abdul Hayai Khan v. Chunia Kuar .	•		•	I. L. R., 8 All., 377	1493, 186
Abdul Hye v. Banee Pershad	•	•	:	21 W. R., 228	2075
v. Mahomed Mozaffar Hossein	•		{	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 616: L.	1396
v. Nawab Raj	:			R., 11 I. A., 10. B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 911	5452
Abdul Jabel v. Khelatchandra Ghose .			{	1 B. L. R., A. C., 105: 10 }	3706, 453
Abdul Kadir v. Hurree Mohun .			1	W. R., 165. 6 N. W., 261	5978
v. Salima · · ·			.	I. L. R., 8 All., 149	3632, 3653,
Abdul Karim v. Abdul Huque Kazi		•	-	8 B. L. R., 205: 15 W. R., 21	5098 2 652, 5976
v. Bullen		:		I. L. R., 6 All., 385	6180
v. Manji Hansraj				I. L. R., 1 Bom., 295 . {	3133, 3226
	•	•	٠,		4145
Abdul Kawder v. Muhammad Mera .	•	•	•	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 410 .	5278 3501
Abdul Kureem v. Chukhun	•	•	•	5 C. L. R., 253	2515
Abdulla v. Muhammad	•	•		1 Bom., 177	1779
Abdulla Khan v. Upendra Chandra .	•	:		6 B. L. R., Ap., 53	3956
Abdulla Rawutan v. Pakkeri Mahomed Raw	utan		.	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 346	3045, 3068
v. Subbarayyar .		•		I. L. R., 2 Mad., 346	334
Abdulla Saiba v. Abdulla	•	•	•	1. L. R., 5 Bom., 8	5396
Abdut Nassia v. Doolal Doss	•	•	.	11 C. L. R., 303	5466 5196 51.6
Abdul Rahim v. Racha Rai	•	•	•	I. L. R., 1 All., 363	5126, 5142 4833
Abdul Rahiman v. Khaja Khaki Aruth	•	•	.	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 155	824
Abdul Rahman v. Muhammad Yar .	•	•		I. L. R., 4 All., 190	204
v. Yar Muhammad .				I. L. R., 3 All., 636	359, 5270
Abdul Razzak v. Amir Haidar			{	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 976 : L. R., \	4226
			(11 I. A., 121.	5760
Abdul Rohman v. Sofy Mikhayesh Saheba Abdul Sabhan Chowdhry v. Shibkisto Daw		•	.	24 W. R., 293 3 B. L. R., Ap., 15	4438
Abdul Samad v. Rajindro Kishor Singh	•	•		I. L. R., 2 All., 357	232, 4423
Abdul Vidona Jonas v. Harone Esmile				7 B. L. R., Ap., 21	4791
Abdul Wahab v. Chandia				I. L. R., 13 Calc, 305 .	3613
Abdul Wahid Khan v. Nuran Bibee .			{	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 597 : L. R., }	989, 3692
•			- 6	12 I. A., 91. I. L. R., 6 Calc., 666: 7 C. L.	
Abdur Ali v. Bhyea Lal Jha	•	•	3	R., 497.	4207
Abdur Rohoman v. Sakhin			}	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 558: 5 C. L.	3740
			(R., 21. 18 W. R., 477	570
Abdur Ruhman v. Digamburee Dossee Abed Hossein v. Assud Aly		:		18 W. R., 477	1913
v. Lalla Ramsaran			5	13 B. L. R., 516, note: 13)	704
Abedoonissa Khatoon v. Ameeroonissa Khat	oon		-	W. R., 426. 9 W. R., 257	3679, 3732
				17 W. R., 464	229
Abelakh Roy v. Guggun Bhuggut .	•	•		22 W. R., 269	301 4359
Abelakh Singh v. Sirram Singh .	•	•		15 W. R, Cr., 50	4359

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Aben Sha v. Cassiráo Baba Saheb Abhachari v. Rama Chandrayya Abhai Charan Ghose v. Dasmani Dasi Abhai Pandey v. Bhagwan Pandey	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 260 1 Mad., 393 6 F. L. R., 623 I. L. R., 3 All., 818	269 2274 1544, 2544 1164, 2871
Abhassi Begum v. Rajroop Koonwar	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 33: 2 C. L. R., 249.	2077, 2277
Abhaya Charan Dutt v. Haro Chandra Das Banik	4 B. L. R., Ap., 68 .	3236, 3293
Abhaya Chowdhry v. Brae	6 B. L. R., Ap., 148: 15 W.	1806, 4489,
Abhaya Churn Chuckerbutty v. Gour Mohun Dutt	R., Cr., 42. 24 W. R., 26	4732 3147, 3189
Abhaya Durga v. Hari Krishna Gope	1 B. L. R., S. N., 21: 10 W. R., 285.	3162
Abhay Chandra Roy Chowdhry v. Pyarimohan Guho Abhay Gobind Chowdhry v. Swarnamayi	5 B. L: R., 347 . B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 7	2373, 4321 3192
Abhiram Doss v. Sriram Doss	3 B. L. R., A. C., 421 712 W. R., 336.	2525, 4867
Abhoy Churn Pal v. Kally Pershad Chatterjee .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 949: 6 C. L. R., 260.	1431, 4204
Abhoy Nath Bose v. Chairman of the Municipal Com-	7 W. R., 92	504
mittee of Kishnagur. Abhram Ali v. Natha Jallam Abid Ali v. Munnoo Byas	5 Bom., A. C., 74	3830 1928, 5422
Abid Hossein v. Reazun	15 W. R., 302 I. L. R., 2 Calc., 327 : L. R., 7	513 1917, 5054
	4 I. A., 66. I. L. R., 11 Calc., 293	5386
Ablakhee Kooer v. Oodun Singh	15 W. R., 331	361
Ablakh Rai v. Ahmed Khau	I. L. R., 2 All., 437	3026
v. Udit Narain Rai	I. L. R., 2 All., 437 I. L. R., 1 All., 353	3029 52 00
Ablak Ram v. Mohendro Pershad Tewaree	1. L. R., 1 All., 353 20 W. R., 365	1435
Aboo Imam v. Benee Ram	5 N. W., 100	3520
Abool Hossein v. Raghu Nath Sahu	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 70	4839
Abool Mahomed v. Shib Doolaree Tewaree	11 W. R., 114	5482 6010
Aboo Mahomed v. Kishen Mohun Surma	6 W. R., 290	6019
v. Dale	2 Mad., 439	2051, 5685
Abraham. In re	6 Bom., A. C., 170	426
v. Abraham ·	1 W. R., P. C., 1: 9 Moore's } I. A., 195.	1148
v. Queen	1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 17:10 W.	395, 3559
Abubekar bin Hagada Hajisaba v. Maibibi	R., 350. 6 Bom., A. C., 77	991
Abu Hasan v. Ramzan Ali	I. L. R., 4 All., 381	5378
Abu Hasan v. Ramzan Ali	I. L. R., 5 All., 299	5615
Abul Munsoor v. Abdool Hamid alias Sabhan Miah .	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 98	3254
Achal Ram v. Udai Partab Addiya Dat Singh .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 511: L. R., 11 I. A., 51.	4224
Achamparambath Cheria Kunhammu v. Gantz	1 L. R., 3 Mad., 138	468
Achaya o. Kathayelu	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 253	3091 3696
Achina Bibee v. Ajeejoonissa Bibee Achobandil Kuari v. Mahabir Prasad	11 W. R., 45 . • I. L. R., 8 All., 641	6126
Achoo Bayaham v. Dhany Ram	4 Mad., 378	4809
Achul v. Gunga Pershad	2 Agra, 73	4932
Achul Mahta v. Rajun Mahta	I. L. R. 6 Calc., 812	3231
Achumande Agath Kunhi Pathumah v. Makachinde }	4 Mad., 478	4483

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Achumbah Paurey v. Ram Sahoy Paurey Achumbeet Tewaree v. Bhugwant Pandey Achumbit Jha v. Jewun Achumbit Misser v. Lalla Nund Ram Achumbit Shaha v. Rohimoonissa alias Bibee Noor Jan Achumbit Singh v. Kesho Lall v. Kunhya Lal Mohajun Achumbit Thakoor v. Choonee Lall Chowdhry Achunth Singh v. Kishen Pershad Singh Achurbur Panday v. Buckshee Ram Achuta v. Kali Achio Bibee v. Lallah Ram Chunder Lall Sahai Act XI of 1849 as amended by Bengal Act III of 1873.	W. R., 1864, 136 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 161. 11 C. L. R., 11 11 W. R., 544 24 W. R., 358 20 W. R., 128 7 W. R., 208 10 W. R., 27 W. R., 1864, 37 2 W. R., 38 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 545 23 W. R., 400	4291 3890 1994, 4436 39:00, 40:03 1372 39:03 183, 5771 39:19 4158 37:28 37:64 40:36
In the matter of	11 B. L. R., 250	3781 952
Act X of 1866. In the matter of	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 330 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 335 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 350 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 17	3146 945 951 951 9 5 3
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 94 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 123 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 257 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 296	943 945 953 953 936
Act XX of 1866. In the matter of	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 888	944 924 4757 4800
Act XXVIII of 1866. In the matter of Act XVIII of 1869. In the matter of	6 B. L. R., 576 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 420 3 C. L. R., 13 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 829: 3 C. L.	4764 6215 5843 5841
Act II of 1874. In the matter of	R., 597.	4969, 5115
Act I of 1879. In the matter of	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 340 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 92: 13 C. L. R., 164.	5848
Adamkhan v. Alarakhi Adamson v. Arumugam Adanky Ramachandra Row v. Indukuri Appalaraju Garu Adarji Dorabji v. Erakshah Dhanji Adarji Edulji v. Manikji Edulji	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 645 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 463 2 Mad., 451 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 272	6144 4272, 5260 2719 1134 5116
Addaita Charan Dey v. Peter Das	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 414 13 B. L. R., 417, note: 17 W.	53
Addoyto Chunder Dass v. Woojan Beebee	R., 383. 4 C. L. R., 154 11 W. R., 312 I. L. R., 1 Calc., 365	5258 2775 2430
Adhirani Narain Kumari v. Purikhit Rawtra	7 B. L. R., Ap., 15: 15 W. R., 426.	5918
v. Raghu Mahapatro Adi Deo Narain Singh v. Dukharan Singh . Adimulam v. Pir Ravuthan Adimulam Fillai v. Kovil Chinna Pillai . Adina Bibi v. Sububunnissa Bibi	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 50 I. L. R., 5 All., 532 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 424 2 Mad., 22 3 B. L. R., Ap., 142	4893 1417, 2451 3395 5698 3507

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Adinarayana Setti v. Minchin Adjin Mullah Moodeen v. Cruikshank Adjoodhia Gir v. Kashee Gir Adjoodhya Pershad v. Middleton, Cohen, & Co. Adjoodhya Singh v. Girdharee Adjudhia Prasad. In the matter of Administrator General of Bengal v. Ahmed Begg v. Anundo Chunder Bose v. Apcar v. Apcar	R., 107.	305 1477 2150, 2529 408 2976, 3482 2663, 2674 1479 4590 6211 1037
v. Kedar Nath Moitry v. Lala Dyaram Doss Administrator General of Madras v. Anandachari	4 C. L. R., 102 6 B. L. R., 688	3137 762 1149, 2439
v. Hawkins v. Lazar Admulam Pillai v. Kovil Chinna Pillai Adoo Mean v. Shibo Soondooree Adoremonee Dossee v. Premchund Mussaut Ador Mohun Chuckerbutty v. Thakoor Monee Dabee Adram v. Hurbullub Adrishappa v. Gurushidappa	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 267 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 244 2 Mad., 22 2 W. R., 295 9 W. R., 454 10 W. R., 70 2 N. W., 58 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 494: L. R., 6	131 6203, 6217 5088 35 1907 6278 963, 5278 1465, 2223,
Adur Monee Debia v. Koolo Chunder Chatterjee . Adurmonee Dossee v. Kaminee Soonduree Debia . Adurmoni Deyi v. Chowdhry Sib Narain Kur .	7 I. A., 162. 21 W. R., 140 3 W. R., Act X, 145 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 1	4134 4759 5921 2165 1019, 4061,
Adu Shikdar v. Queen-Empress Adut v. Naseeba Kooer Advocate General of Bengal (Officiating). In the	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 635	5994 2919 151
matter of the claim of. Advocate General of Bengal v. Surnomoyee	110. 1 W. R., P. C. 14: 9 Moore's	1579
Advocate General of Bombay v. Fatima Sultani Begam	1. A., 387. 9 Bom., 19	3668
v. Muhammad Husen Huseni.	4 Bom., O. C., 203	5171
v. Vishvanath Atmaram	1 Bom., Ap., 9	1579, 2538, 4244
Muhammad v. Muhammad Husen alias Aga Khan	12 Bom., 323	4862
Advyapa v. Rudrava Adyan Sing v. Queen-Empress Afa Khan v. Kishen Moonjoree Dossee	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 104 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 121 W. R., 1864, Act X, 17	2336 919, 1791 536
Afatoolla Sirdar v. Dwarka Nath Moitry	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 814: 4 C. L. R., 95.	5306
Afrannessa Chowdhrani v. Sharafutullah Chowdhry Afsar Mundul v. Ameen Mundul	9 C. L. R., 321 8 W. R., 502	790 3066 536 2, 1731,
f Afsurooddeen v . Shoroosshee Bula Dabee	Marsh., 558: 2 Hay, 664	1769
Aftabooddeen v. Shumsooddeen Mullick	18 W. R., 461	2881, 4311, 4404
Aftabooddeen Ahmed v. Mohinee Mohun Doss Aftabooddeen Mahomed v. Sanioollah Afzal v. Ram Kumar Bhudra	15 W. R., 48 23 W. R., 245 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 610	5348 190, 6038

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Afzal Ali v. Lala Gaurnarayan {	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 519: 6	5273
A C1	W. R., Act X, 59. I. L. R., 1 All., 725	304
Afrahamiana Damani a. Al Ali	I. L. R., 8 All., 35	2763
Afzoloonissa Begum v. Parbutty Koonwar.	2 W. R., Mis., 41	210
Aga Ali Khan v. Joydoyal Persaud	Bourke, O. C., 52	5897
Agabeg. In the matter of	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 340	2634
	12 C. L. R., 165	2667, 3290
Aga Kurboolie Mahomed v. Queen	3 Moore's I. A., 164	1897
	7 B. L. R., 50: 17 W. R., 234, }	
Aga Mahomed Ali Sheraji v . Judah	note.	2632
Aga Mahomed Hamadani v. Cohen	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 221	648, 3446
Aga Mahomed Rohim Sherazee v. Ally Mahomed Shoostry.	4 W. R., P. C., 106	130
	2 B. L. R., A. C., 73: 10 W. ?	
Aga Mohammed Jaffer Tehrani v . Nazirulla . $\}$	R., 385.	869, 912
Agar Chand v. Viraraghavalu Chetti	3 Mad., 172	1097
Aga Syed Abdool Hossani v. Lenaine	13 Moore's I. A., 69	826
Aga Syud Saduck v. Jackariah Mahomed	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 308	2682, 2741
Ager Šingh v. Boojhawun	4 N. W., 61	580
Aghani. In the matter of	1	6970
v. Bhagi Halwai	8 C. L. R., 204	6279
Aghore Chunder Mookerjee v. Woomasoonderee Debea .	7 W. R., 216	2887, 5682
Aghoreeram Sahoy v. Ramolee Sahoo	W. R., 1864, 309	4133
Aghore Lall Shamunt v. Gyananund Roy	6 W. R., Act X, 11	2889
Aghore Nath v. Shama Sundari	I. L. R., 5 All., 615	5442
Aghore Nath Neogy v. Prem Chand Neogy	7 C. L. R., 274	5284
Aghore Nath Roy v. Radhika Pershad Bose	14 W. R., 339	3769
Aghore Nauth Banerjee v. Calcutta Tramways Company.	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 232	1114
Aghori Ramasarag Singh v. Cochrane	5 B. L. R., Ap., 14	2188
Agnew v. Indian Carrying Company	2 Mad., 449	4609
v. Mathews	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 71: 1	6211
Agooree Hurrihur Churn v. Gungapersad Opadhya	Mad., 17.	2089
Agra and Masterman's Bank. In the matter of	W. R., 1864, 208	951, 2669
agra and masterman's Dana. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 350 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 352	953, 2669
v. Gungapershad	15 W. R., F. B., 5, note	5139
	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 265	428
v. Minto	6 B. L. R., Ap., 140	129
Agra Bank v. Abdul Rahiman	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 1	591
v. Cripps	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 455	798
Agra Savings Bank v. Sriram Mitter	I. L. R., 1 All., 388	820
Agrawal Singh v. Foujdar Singh	8 C. L. R., 346	1716
Agri Sarma Embrandri v. Vistun Embrandri	3 Mad., 198	107
Aguilar v. Woomesh Chunder Shaw	22 W. R., 209	1401, 2069
gum Misra v. Pullukdharee Misra	W. R., 1864, 187	1499
gur Mullick Mundul v. Debnath Chatterjee	24 W. R., 190	5681
Ahamudeen v. Grish Chunder Shamunt	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 350	1184
hed Hossein v. Lallaram Surun	11 W. R., 216	1072
Ahfutoonnissa v. Golack Chunder Sen	22 W. R., 77	2078
Ahiloji valad Khandoji v. Dongar Harichand Gujar .	5 Bom., A. C., 176	3212
Ahirunnissa Khatoon v. Komurunnissa Khatoon	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 100	227
Ahmad Ali v. Hafiza Bibi	I. L. R., 3 All., 514	3310
Ahmad Ata v. Mata Badal Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 844	187
Ahmad Bakhsh v. Gobindi	I. L. R., 2 All., 216	4769
Ahmad Hossein Khan v . Nihal-uddin-Khan . $\{$	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 945: 13 C. L.	337, 3373,
	R., 340: L. R., 10 I. A., 45 \	4968

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ahmad Khan v. Madho Das Ahmad-uddin Khan v. Majlis Rai v. Ahmed v. Gunaish Pershad Ahmedabad Municipality v. Mahamud Jamul Ahmed Ali v. Golam Gafar v. Nittyanund Roy Ahmed Ali Khan v. Ahmed v. Jawahir Singh v. Nubeea Ahmed Ally Mahomed v. Gladstone, Wyllie & Co. Ahmedbhai Habibhai v. Premchand Raichand Ahmedbhoy Hubibhoy v. Vulleebhoy Cassumbhoy	I. L. R., 7 All., 565 I. L. R., 3 All., 12 I. L. R., 5 All., 438 2 Agra, 9 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 146 3 B. L. R., Ap., 40: 11 W. R., 432. 24 W. R., 171 1 Agra, 101 1 Agra, 3 2 Agra, 239 7 W. R., 508 5 Bom., O. C., 83 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 572 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 703	6092 409, 5376 2920, 4906 5109 1541 1607 215 4549 3968 164 165 4271, 5485, 5943 2677, 4531 2025, 3087, 3736, 4663,
Ahmed Chowdhry v. Khatoon . Ahmedee Begum v. Dabee Persaud Ahmed Hossein v. Bandee v. Keramut v. Khadija v. Kurneedan Ahmed Mahomed v. Auhin Ahmed Mahomed Pattel v. Adjein Dooply . Ahmed Mirza Saheb v. Thomas Ahmed Mundul v. Sonaoollah . Ahmedoollah v. Ashruff Hossein . v. Gour Hurree Biswas . v. Hur Churn Pandah . v. Meah Khan Abmed Reza v. Aghori . v. Enayet Hossein . v. Khajurunnissa . v. Khujoorunissa . v. Khujoorunissa . v. Khujoorunnissa .	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 323 7 C. L. R. 537 18 W. R., 287 15 W. R., 91 8 W. R., 353 3 B. L. R., A. C., 28, note: 10 W. R., 369. 24 W. R., 60 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 95 1. L. R., 2 Calc., 323 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 162 8 W. R., 5 13 W. R., 447: 8 B. L. R., Ap., 73, note 15 W. R., 251 2 W. R., 236 14 W. R., 105 2 B. L. R., S. N., 15 W. R., 1864, 235 8 B. L. R., 93: 16 W. R., P. C., 13 W. R., 281 15 W. R., 281	5045 705, 4287 1892, 5422 139, 2826 4149, 5091 560, 563 3656, 4282 4324 3811 3339 1242 5788 4309, 4310, 4315 1700 3286, 3292, 5749 1138 2929 3317, 3318 1160 1934 2707
Ahmed Rezah v. Enaet Hossein Ahmed Sujad v. Taree Rai Ahmedulla v. Ashruff Hossein	1 W. R., Mis., 20 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 343 8 B. L. R., Ap., 73, note	3842 319 2880, 4309, 4310
Ahmed Unnissa Begam Sahiba v. Arundel Ahmed valad Nanhubhai v. Khasaji valad Karimbai Ahmeenooddeen Ahmed. In the matter of the petition of Ahmuddy v. Anund Mohun Mozoomdar Ahmud Hossein v. Mohioodeen Ahmud Ahmud Hossein Khan v. Mahomed Azeem Khan Ahmud Oollah v. Mithoo Lall Ahmuty v. Brodie Ahobalasoo Chetty v. Venkata Kristnamma	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 63 6 Bom., A. C., 149 6 W. R., Mis., 5 16 W. R., Cr., 75 16 W. R., 193 1 N. W., 51: Ed. 1873, 48: Agra, F. B., Ed, 1874, 175. 3 Agra, 186 W. R., 1864, Act X, 15 5 Mad., 275	3574 916 4444 974, 4629, 3669 449 2059 341 5703
Ahollya Bai Debia v. Luckhee Monee Debia v. Shama Churn Bose	6 W. R., 37 1 C. L. R., 473	2424 6085

Name of Case.		Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Ahsan Aly v. Sabokhee Bibee Ahsan Khan v. Gangaram Ahsanoolah v. Jusoda	· ·	10 W. R., 53 I. L. R., 3 All., 185 23 W. R., 72	. 1471 . 3180 . 3797
Ahsanoolah v. Kajee Aftabooddeen .	•	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 594 : 3 C. L. R., 382.	2692
v. Kisto Gobind Dass .	•	2 C. L. R., 592	. 5628
Ahsuna Begum v. Kheerun Singh Ain Ali v. Juggut Chunder Roy Chowdhry	, •	10 W. R., 360 25 W. R., 416	. 4848 . 5064
Aitchison v. Nilmonee Singh Deo .	•	20 W. R., 347	. 531
Aiyavu v. Queen-Empress	•	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 61 . 4 N. W., 110	. 719 . 2188 .
Ajgur Ali v. Asmut Ali	•	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 110: 10 C.	
Ajhola Kooeree v. Digambur Siugh		L. R., 87. 23 W. R., 206	87
Aji Ajam Tadallah Saib v. Gulam Hussain Ajimut Ali Khan v. Jowahir Singh .	Saib	4 Mad., 44	3671, 3686
Ajit Singh v. Bijai Bahadur Singh .	•	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 61 : L. R.,	2698 1508
Ajmuddin v. Mathuradas Govardhan Das	•	11 I. A., 211. 11 Bom., 206	3147
Ajmuddin Khan v. Zia-un-nissa .	•	12 Bom., 156	4066, 5653
Ajnas Kooer v. Luteefa	•	18 W. R., 21 I. L. R., 1 All., 501	272, 1038 852
	•	6 B. L. R., 509: 15 W. R.,	1743, 3562,
Ajodhya Prasad Sing v. Umrao Sing .	•	P. C., 1: 13 Moore's 1. A., 519.	4651
Ajonnissa Bibee v. Surja Kant Acharji	•	2 B. L. R., A. C., 181: 11 } W. R., 56.	5939
Ajoodhia Lall v. Gumani Lall	•	2 C. L. R., 134	4299, 4403, 6076
Ajoodhia Persad v. Emambandi Begum	•	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 725; 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 192: 7 W. R., 528.	5197
Ajoodhia Shookool v. Jewboodh Shookool	•	6 N. W., 46	1485
Ajoodhya Chowbey v. Daibee Singh Ajoodhya Dass. In the matter of		3 Agra, Rev., 5	1215, 6081 226
Ajoodhya Doss v. Doorga Dutt Singh		17 W. R., 101	3776
v. Lalljee Paurey v. Misrun		19 W. R., 218	3819
		15 W. R., 176	6238 1206
v. Sheo Pershun Singh	•	11 W. R., 175	435
Ajoodhya Persad v. Collector of Durbhung	ah	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 419: 11 } C. L. R., 550.	4303
Ajoodhya Pershad v. Gopal Dutt Misser	•	17 W. R., 271	5409 .
v. Bisheshur Sahai . v. Gobind Ram	•	6 N. W., 141	3188 2814
v. Gunga Pershad		I. L. R., 6 Calc., 249: 6 C.	165
· v. Kristo Dyal		L. R., 567.	4300
- v. Moracha Kooer		25 W. R., 254	4481
Ajoo Khan v. Kisto Pershad Lahoory		7 W. R., 428 8 W. R., 477	3726 4955, 4956
Ajudh Beharee Singh v. Ram Roy Tewari		18 W. R., 105	145
Ajudhia v. Baldeo Singh		I. L. R., 7 All., 674 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 462: L.	4547
Ajudhia Baksh v. Rakman Kuar .		R., 11 I. A., 1.	6215
Ajudhia Bakhsh Singh v. Arab Ali Khan Ajudhia Nath v. Anant Das		I. L. R., 7 All., 892 I. L. R., 3 All., 799	4559 26 66, 4250

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ajudhia Nath v. Sital		I. L. R., 3 All., 567	3022
Ajudhia Prasad v. Bakar Sajjad		I. L. R., 5 All., 400	1122
v. Balmukand		I. L. R., 8 All., 354	200
v Sheodin		I. L. R., 6 All., 403	5087
Ajuram Maniram v. Kusaji		4 Bom., A. C., 43	4902
Akashbutty Kooer v. Heera Ram Mundur .		24 W. R., 82	1663, 2692
Akbar v. Khan	. {	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 256: 8 C. L. R., 533.	1773, 3300, 5834
Akbar Ali. In the matter of the petition of		19 W. R., 148	5937
v. Jezuddin · · ·		I. L. R., 8 Calc., 399	5680
Akbar Gazee v. Nufeezun		8 W. R., 99	3493, 3514
Akbari Begam v. Wilayat Ali		I. L. R., 2 All., 908	4917
Akbar Khan v. Sheoratan		I. L. R., 1 All., 373	2870
Akbar Tagudgeer v. Punchoo Biswas	• •	10 W. R., Cr., 42	6252
Akber Beg v. Bunda Ali		2 N. W., 419	352
Akbur Ali v. Bhyea Lal Jha	. {	I. L. R. 6 Calc., 666: 7 C. L. R., 497.	303
v. Mahomed Faiz Buksh		15 W. R., 12	475, 4286
v. Mullick Mukhdoom Buksh .		25 W. R., 63	1488, 5782
Akbur Ali alias Aga Mirza v. Ameeroonissa		11 W. R., 225	3918
Akburally v. Hossan Ally	. {	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 101: 5 W. R., Mis., 29.	852, 5778
Akhe Ram v. Nand Kishore		I. L. R., 1 All., 236 . }	3921, 4758, 5375
Akhil Chandra Chowdhry v. Nayu		I. L. R., 10 Calc., 248	1745
Akhoy Chunder Bagchi v. Kalapahar Haji .	. {	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 406: L.	2136
	ť	R., 12 I. A., 198.	3706, 3714
Akhoy Ram Shahajee v. Ram Kant Roy	Sala Dan		1620, 2930
Akhoy Sunkur Chuckerbutty v. Indro Bhusan I	eo Roy	4 B. L. R., F. B., 58	3704
Akhtaroonnissa v. Shariutoollah Chowdhry		7 W. R., 268	208, 5979
Akhut Ramana v. Ahmed Yousaffji	• •	7 B. L. R., 81 : 15 W. R., 538	3284
Akilandammal v. Periasami Pillai		I. L. R., 1 Mad., 309	
v. Venkata Chala Mudali		6 Mad., 112	1383
Akima Bibee v. Azeem Sarung	•	9 W. R., 334	77, 84
Akjoo Bibee v. Koonjo Beharee Lall		19 W. R., 288	5762
Akkul Sahoo v. Abdool Guffoor		18 W. R., 15	5142
Akoba Dada v. Sakharam	• •	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 429	2522
Akool Sircar v. Partama		24 W. R, Cr., 29	264
Akora Suth v. Boreani	. {	2 B. L. R., A. C., 199: 11 W. R., 82.	2524
Akram Shere v. Laljee Singh		24 W. R., 16	573
Akul Gaza v. Ameenooddeen		5 C. L. R., 41	1647
"Alabama." In the matter of the		2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 139	5500
Alagaiya Tiruchittamballa v. Saminada Pillai		1 Mad., 264 · · ·	3057
Alagirisami Naiker v. Innasi Udayan .		I. L. R., 3 Mad., 127	3589, 5700
Alagu v. Abdoola		I. L. R., 8 Mad., 147	4893, 5970
Alaiahmad alias Boolaki v. Nusibun		24 W. R., 70 · · ·	32
Alai Ahmed v. Bodhoo Singh		14 W. R. 204 · · ·	J706
Alamelu v. Rangasami		I. L. R., 7 Mad., 588	2463
Alangamonjori Dabee v. Sonamoni Dabee .	. {	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 157: 9 C. L. R., 121.	2566
v	. {	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 637: 10 C. L. R., 459.	2566, 5876
Albert Mills Company. In re		9 Bom., 438	3782
Aldwell v. Ilahi Bakhsh		I. L. R., 5 All., 478	487
Aleb Ahmed Sajjadanusheen v. Nusseebun.	: :1	17 W. R., 563	782
na		•	

. Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of
		Digest.
Aleh Ahmed Shahazadanusheen v. Bany Singh	18 W. R., 277	1478
Aleh Ahmud v. Nehal Singh	21 W. R., 88	3377
Alfatun v. Rao Karan Singh	7 N. W., 362	5380
Alfutunnissa v. Goluck Chunder Sen	1 1 F D T D 0 70	88
		588, 1576,
Ali v. Gopal Dass	13 W. R., 420 }	2211, 2575,
Ali Abkar v. Abdul Latif Shusbu	12 Bom., 8	5749 274
All Almada Dames Dom	22 W. R., 455	5634
v. Oodhraj Ram	10 W. R., 79	5736
Ali Ashruf v. Chonga Gobind Roy	5 W. R., 220	5984
Ali Azim v. Ram Manick Roy	12 W. R., 195	5117, 5118
Ali Azim Khan v. Islam Khan	14 W. R., 483	4823
Aliba v. Nanu	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 218	3382
Ali Buksh v. Mudun Gopal	3 Agra, 369	. 4168
<u>-</u>	4 B. L. R., A. C., 31: 12 W.	
v. Samiruddin	R., 477.	1760, 5738
Ali Buksh Shah v. Nubee Buksh	9 W. R., 600	2890
Ali Bux v. Roop Kooer	2 N. W., 106	4211, 4459
Ali Hasan v. Dhiria	I. L. R., 4 All., 518	3936
v. Nandar Khan	2 N. W., 62	567
v. Ramdyal	3 N. W., 78	3212
Ali Hossein Khan v. Dwarka Dass	5 N. W., 134	1484
Ali Husain v. Nillakanden Nambudiri	1 Mad., 356	3766
Ali Khan v. Appadu	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 304	3590
Alikhan Daudkhan v. Mahomad Khan Shamsher Khan	[
Desmukh.	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 658, note	3973
Alikhan Umar Khan. Ex parte	4 Bom., A. C., 92	3452
Ali Mahomed v. Kenaram Ghose	8 W. R., 128	4932
Ali Mazhar. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 4 All., 152	5672
Alim Buksh Fakir v. Jhalo Bibi	T. T. D. 19 Calo 48	82, 4401
Ali Meah v. Magistrate of Chittagong	25 W. R., Cr., 14	6263
Alimelammah v. Arunachellam Pillai	3 Mad., 69	2093, 5268
Ali Moidin Ravuthan v. Elayachanidathil Kombi Achen	I. L. R., 5 Mad:, 239 .	1743, 4994
Alimooddeen v. Sabir Khan	8 W. R., 60	5319
v. Wuzeer Ali	23 W. R., 52	3230
Alimooddy Chowdhry v. Chunder Nath Sen	24 W. R., 227	5477
Alimoodeen Moallem v. Syfoora Bibee	6 W D Mis 195	3687
Alimuddi v. Kali Krishna Tagore	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 895	3807
Ali Muhammad v. Lalta Bakhsh	I T. R 1 All 655	3443
v. Taj Muhammad	1 T. D 1 All 909	3721, 3722
Ali Muhammad Khan v. Azizullah Khan	I. L. R., 6 All., 50	3659
v. Gur Prasad .	TT D E All 944	3525
Alimunessa Khatun v. Hassan Ali	8 C. L. R., 378	3698
Alimunnissa Khatoon v. Hossein Ali	6 C. L. R., 267	3115
v. Official Trustee of Bengal .	L. R., 8 I. A., 197	3326
Ali Nukee alias Emdad Ali v. Torah Ali alias Mirza)	1	
Nawab.	W. R., 1864, 44 · · ·	6275
Ali Nuquee v. Bhugwan Das	1 Agra, 213	3198
Ali Reza v. Tarasoonderee	2 W. R., 150	3963, 4013
Ali Saib v. Sauiyasiraz Pedda Balaiya Rasimhulu	2 Mad., 401 · · ·	3350
v. Sanyasiraz Peddabaliyara Simhulu	3 Mad., 5	3374, 3579
Ali Serang v. Beadon	I. L. R., 11 Calc 524	4039
Ali Shah v. Husain Bakhsh	I. L. R., 1 All., 588	3551
Alla Aiyappa v. Nundula Peraiya alias Perambhotlu	3 Mad., 82	360, 363
Alladinee Dossee v. Sreenath Chunder Bose	20 W. R., 258	4930
Alladmonee Dossia v. Joy Sunkur Roy	7 W. R., 408	5133
•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.	
Allahabad Trading Company. In re	1 N. W., Part 6, p. 101: Ed. 1873, 190.	923	
Allah Bakhsh v. Sada Sukh	I. L. R., 8 All., 182 . 25 W. R., 234 3 Bom., O. C., 150 .	3991 2993 1402	
Allarakhia Shivji v. Jehangir Hormasji Allee Shah v. Amanee Begum Alleh Ahmed v. Nuseebun	10 Bom., 391	. 388 4142 3165	
Allender v. Dwarkanath Roy Allen, Hayes, & Co., v. Anundo Chunder Mundle . Alliance Financial Corporation, Blaney's case. In re	15 W. R., 320	2978, 3000 6141 921	
Allij Hossein v. Muzhur Hossein Alli Khan v. Nasser Ali Allu Khan v. Roshan Khan	4 C. L. R., 577 1 W. R., 115 I. L. R., 4 All., 85	820 480 3954	
Allum Chunder Shaha v. Bhurut Baboo	5 W. R., Act X, 92 I. L. R., 4 Calc, 140: 2 C. L. R., 431.	4925 · 2588	
Allunni v. Kunjusha Allyat v. Juggut Chunder Roy Ally Bebanee v. Hyder Hoosein	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 264 5 W. R., 242 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 449	4986 1744, 5984 5583	
Ally Hossein v. Ally Hossein alias Chotey Mirza v. Dhunput Singh v. Joogulkishore	2 Agra, 96	4311 210 1881	
Ally Nuckee Khan v. Anley Almas Banee v. Mahomed Ruja	1 Hyde, 134 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 239: 6 C L. R., 553.	455 3230	
Alcoksoondery Goopto v. Horo Lal Roy Altaf Ali v. Lalji Mal Altaf Hossein v. Grish Chunder Roy	6 W. R., 287 I. L. R., 1 All., 518 15 W. R., 556	2022 3841 4051	
Altamonissa Bibee v. Sage Aluckmonee Debee v. Dino Nath Ghose	2 Agra, 87 11 W. R., 335 24 W. R., 421	1515 2024 4269	
Alukmonee Dabee v. Banee Madhub Chuckerbutty	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 677: 3 C. L. R., 473. W. R., 1864, Act X, 31	2521, 5373 3027, 3030	
Alum Chunder Shaw Chowdhry v. Moran Alum Manjee v. Ashad Ali Alunga Bebee v. Gooroo Churn Roy	16 W. R., 138 3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 3 2 Agra, 142	1172, 5353 821, 849 1038	
Alup Rai v. Sheo Dyal Alu Prasad v. Sukhan Alvar Chetti v. Vaidilanga Chetti Aman Ali Chowdhry v. Kasim Ali	I. L. R., 3 All., 610 1 Mad., 9 6 W. R., 316	2715, 5214 2211 5122	
Aman Chinaman. In the matter of	17 W. R., Cr., 58 2 B. L. R. Ap., 36: 11 W. R., 145.	5166 5078	
Amanat Begam v. Bhajan Lal	I. L. R., 8 All., 438 6 B. L. R., 569: 15 W. R.,	6087	
Amanatulla. In the matter of the petition of	136.	577 5408, 5920	
Amanee Begum v. Koorban Ali Aman Khan v. Hoseena Khatoon Amancollah v. Mahomed Nasir	3 Agra, 204 9 W. R., 548 22 W. R., 442	84 6132	
v. Ram Nidhee Ghose Aman Singh v. Jeygopal Singh	9 W. R., 392 3 Agra, 164	1646 4310, 4315	
Amanut Ali v. Bindhoo	13 W. R., 138	202, 224, 2696	
Amar Nath v. Thakurdas	22 W. R., 228	5179 1232 94, 2092	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column
		Digest.
Amarsi v. Dayal	. I. L. R., 9 Bom., 50	5858
	. I. L. R., 3 All., 139	3522
Amatal Fatima Khanum v. Taranath Chand .	. 24 W. R., 151	4270
Amatool Rasool v. Luteefun	. 19 W. R., 302	1927
Ambala Ramasawmy Iyengar v. Mahamadalli Ravutan	. 5 Mad., 330	5783
Ambala Vaveri Manakel Raman Somayajipad v. Nadu-	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 325 .	3213
vakat Krishna Poduval. Ambaram Harivallabhdas v. Himat Sing Kalianji)	
Ambalayana Padeiyatchi v. Sabramania Padeiyatchi	2 Bom., 109: 2nd Ed., 103 6 Mad., 262	748, 1867
Ambica Dasi v. Nadyar Chand Pal	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 172	774
Ambica Pershad Singh v. Surdhari Lall	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 851	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{174} \\ \textbf{3522} \end{array}$
Ambika Charan Dutt v. Nadir Hossein	2 B. L. R., A. C., 258	5790
Ambika Dat v. Sukhmani Kuar		2450
Ambika Debi v. Pranhari Das	4 B. L. R., F. B., 77	5323
Ambler v. Pushong	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 365 .	4496
Ambu v. Raman		3766
Ambur Ali v. Kali Chand Doss		6089
Ambu valad Appaji v. Khanu Sakharam	6 Bom., A. C., 19, note.	2838
Amedbhai Habibhai v. Premchand Raichand Ameena Begum v. Doordanah Khanum	5 Bom., O. C., 83	945, 2261
Ameena Bibee v. Zeifa Bibee	19 W. R., 44	1569
Ameen Ahmed v. Velaet Ali Khan	3 W R., 37 20 W. R., 422	3675
Ameena Khatoon v. Radhabenod Misser	7 Moore's I. A., 261	1918
Ameen Buksh v. Bhyro Mundul	22 W. R., 493	4638 5202
Ameen Chand v. Oomeid Singh	1 Agra, 114	3326
v. Mendhoo Khan	1 Agra, Rev., 53	368, 370
Ameen ooddeen Ahmed v. Khyroonissa	20 W. R., 60	1357
Ameenooddeen Khan v. Moozuffer Hossein Khan	3 Agra, Mis., 5	3525
Ameenooddeen Shaha v. Asgur Ali	8 W. R., 464	1757
Ameer Ahmud v. Zameer Ahmud		3824
Ameer Ali v. Ameeroonissa Begum	11 W. R., 11	5634
v. Bhabo Soonduree Debia		4538
a Mahamdaa Nath Dasa	15 W. R., 43	4174
4: Doomin	2 W. R., 271 W. R., 1864, 239	3397
v. Ram Bahadoor Singh	7 W D Q4	3705
- v. Sahib Singh	15 W. R., 530	773 3496
v. Sahib Singh	5 W. R., 249	1697
Ameer Ali Khan v. Kassim Ali Khan	13 W. R., 403	1544, 1938
Ameer Ali Khan v. Kassim Ali Khan Ameer Ali Sowdagur v. Imamooddeen	15 W. R, 145	2735
Ameer Begum v. Noor Begum	Agra, F. B., 1	997
Ameer Beparee v. Hurree Mohun Kurmokar	23 W. R., 87	5761
Ameer Bibee v. Tukronissa Begum	7 W. R., 332	5812
Ameer Buksh v. Yusoof Ali	22 W. R., 489	1754
Ameeree Begum v. Gobind Pandey		6251
Ameer Hossein v. Sheo Suhae	15 W. R., 35	640
	19 W. R., 338	5187
Ameer Khan. In re	6 B. L. R., 392	57, 518,
. In the matter of	6 B. L. R., 456	2093 3736
	6 B. L. R., 459: 17 W. R.,	
	Cr., 15.	518, 2093
	18 W. R., 407, note	285
Ameer Koolee Khan v. Russick Lall Singh	8 W. R., 495	563, 4423
Ameer Mahomed v. Brass	14 W. R., Cr., 36	981
Ameerooddeen v. Ram Chand Sahoo .	5 W. R., 53	4016
Americanism In the matter of	2 Agra, 187	4022
Ameeroonissa. In the matter of .	11 W. R., 297	3687

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ameeroonissa Begum v. Indurjeet Koonwar	5 W. R., Mis., 17	266
Ameeroonissa Bibee v. Woomarooddeen Mahomed Chowdhry	14 W. R., 49	6004
Ameeroniese Khatoon In the matter of	14 W. R, 94	4531
v. Dunne	14 W. R., 361	282
v. Komula Kant Rov	14 W. R., 117	5984 6152
v. Dunne v. Juggurnath Roy v. Komula Kant Roy v. Mahomed Muzaffer Hossein	10 W D 100	
Chowdhry. Ameeroonnissa v. Moradoonnissa		
v. Shib Suhai	6 Moore's I. A., 211 1 Agra, 271	28, 3654 3127
Ameeroonnissa Beebee v. Benode Ram Sein		471, 481
Ameeroonnissa Begum v. Gopal Sahoo	22 W. R., 134	5221
Ameeroonnissa Khanum v. Ashruffoonnissa	17 W. R., 259: 14 Moore's \ I. A., 433.	6056
Amagraannigaa Khataan a Aladaansissa Khataan	15 B. L. R., 67: 23 W. R.,	3676, 3747,
Ameeroonnissa Khatoon v. Abadoonnissa Khatoon	208 : L. R., 2 I. A., 87.	4648
v. Abedoonnissa Khatoon	16 W. R., 307	207, 1906
v. Juggernath Roy v. Wise	11 W. R., 113	1736
Ameerun. In the goods of	24 W. R., 435 15 W. R., 496	5812 1236
v. Cheraj Ali	0.4 TT D 0.40	5756
Ruhaamun	24 W. R., 343 2 Agra, Pt. II, 162	3657, 3694
v. Sunjeeda v. Wussehun	11 11 11 10 10 10 1	4315
Ameerun Bibee v. Shibpershad Thakoor	12 W. R., 11	2753
Ameerunissa Begum v. Indurjeet Koonwur	8 W. R., 199	3495
Ameerunuissa v. Chapman	6 W. R., Mis., 97 . 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 334 : 6 W.?	5116 4439
Ameerunnissa Khatoon v. Mahomed Hossein	R., 108. 2 C. L. R., 143	845
- v. Mozuffer Hossein Chowdhry	12 B. L. R., 65	799
Amina v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 277 .	5653
v. Ramzan Ali	W. R., 1864, Act X, 116	2915
Amina Bibi v. Khatija Bibi Aminooddowlah v. Roshun Ali Khan	1 Bom., 2nd Ed., 157	3682
Amin Sahib v. Ibram Sahib	5 Moore's I. A., 199 . 4 Mad., 112	3733
Amiraddi. In the matter of	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 45	110 4100, 4361
Amir Ali v. Induriit Koor	9 B. L. R., 460: 14 Moore's (280, 1221,
	I. A., 203.	1717, 4660
Amiran v. Asihun Amirchand Jamnadas v. Maggan Amthu	3 B. L. R., A. C., 190 .	2753
	4 Bom., A. C., 176	5825
Amir Hassan Khan v. Sheo Baksh Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 6: L. R., 11 I. A., 237.	5956
Amir Hossein v. Imambandi Begum	11 C. L. R., 443	3139, 4477
Amirjan v. Rivett-Carnac . Amir Saheb Hafizulla v. Jamshedji Rustamji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 350 .	1218
Amir Singh v. Moazzum Ali Khan	4 Bom., A. C., 41.	5771
Amirta v. Nund Kishore .	7 N. W., 58 2 Agra, 333	1172, 3025 541
Amirto Lal Bose v. Rajonee Kant Mitter	15 B. L. R., 10: 23 W. R.,	140, 2307,
Amiruddaula Muhamad Kakva Hussain Khan a Natari)	214 : L. R., 2 I A., 113.	3160, 3398
Cimvasa Charin.	6 Mad., 356	3679
Amiruddin. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 481 .	2757
v. Farid Sarkar	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 481	2757
v. Jiban Bibee	1 B. L. R., F. B., 101: 10 W.	194
Amirunnessa Khatoon v. Browne	R., F. B., 39.	5364, 5367
	TO CO MAD AND AUT	0000,0007

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Di gest.
Amirunnessa Khatoon v. Secretary of State for India in Council.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 63	5363, 5367
Amirunnissa v. Behary Lall	25 W. R., 529	3094
Amirunnissa Barkat v. Affiattunnissa	3 B. L. R., A. C., 404 : 12 W. R., 307.	678
Amirunnissa Begum v. Amir Khan	17 W. R., 119	4468
v. Umar Khan Amirunnissa Chowdharani v. Ahsanullah Chowdhri .	8 B. L. R., 540	3502
Amir Zama v. Nathu Mal	I. L. R., 8 All., 396 .	1225, 4972
Amitrav bin Yeshvantrav Deshmukh v. Anyaba Abaji I Deshmukh.	5 Bom., A. C., 50	5611 . 3368
Amjad Ali v. Kunku Shaw	9 B. L. R., Ap., 28: 17 W. }	000
v. Moniram Kalita	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 52 .	812, 2203, 2524
Amjad Ali Khan v. Gholam Hyder Khan	1 W. R., 313	540
Amjad Hossein v. Kharag Sen Sahu	4 B. L. R., A. C., 203: 13 W R., 299.	3723
Amjatt Ali v. Azhur Ali	21 W. R., 241	4195
Amjad Ali v. Ala Buksh Amjud Ali v. Ali Buksh Amjud Ali Khan v. Fazul Hossein Amjudee Begum v. Ahmed Hossein Amma v. Kunhunni	9 W. R., 537	4786
Amind Ali Khan a Fazul Hossein	2 W. R., 122	3292
Amindee Begum v. Ahmed Hossein	6 W. R., 314	5625 6072
Amma v. Kunhunni		4904
Ammallu Ammal v. Subhu Vadiyar		5700
Ammani Ammal v. Sellayi Ammal	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 426 .	1389
Ammiappa Mudali v. Mahomed Mustafa Saib	2 Mad., 443	2788
Ammu v. Ramakishna Sastri	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 226 .	1681, 3432 , 3443
Ammur Singh v. Murdun Singh	2 N. W., 31	2480, 4468
Ammutti v. Kunji Keyi	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 452 .	
Amolak Ram v. Sahib Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 550	2 60 5
Amra Nashya v. Gagan Shutar	2 B. L. R., Ap., 35: 11 W. R., 130.	3151, 5924
Amrita Kumari Debi v. Lukhi Narayan Chuckerbutty .	2 B. L. R., F. B., 28 .	2300
Amrithamal v. Ranganadha Pillai	3 Mad., 165	3286
Amrithnath Jha.v. Ahmed Reza	6 W. R., Cr., 61	45 0 5
Amrith Nath Jha v. Dhunput Singh	20 W. R., 253	910
Amrit Kooer v. Roop Kooer	2 N. W., 459: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 240.	6 00 5
Amrit Koonwar v. Luchmee Narain	1 Agra, 93	806
Amrit Lal v. Balbir	I. L. R., 6 All., 68	
v. Madho Das	I. L. R., 6 All., 292	
Amrit Lal Mansuk v. Maniklal Jetha	0 Bom., 375	3221
Amrit Misser v. Gurda Pardan	6 B. L. R., 232: 15 W. R.,	
Amrit Nath Chowdhry v. Gauri Nath Chowdhry .		2 230 , 2360
Amritnath Jha v. Roy Dhunput Singh	8 B. L. R., 44: 15 W. R., 503	761
Amritrav P. Kokdi v. Manaji J. Jagtap	Bom., A. C., 49.	4175, 5130
Amur Narain alias Nerput Suhaye v. Rughoo Bunsee	W. R., 234	4410
Koonwur.		
Amutool Russool v. Jhoomuck Singh	24 W. R., 345	4561
Anakaran Kasmi v. Saidamadath Avulla	2 Bom., 354: 2nd Ed., 334 L. R., 2 Mad., 79	4561 5806
		5806

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Anakattil Narayana Krishnan Karthavu v. Kocheri Pilo Pilo.	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 191 .	5683
Ananda Bibi v. Nownit Lal	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 315	2308
Ananda Chandra Bose v. Broughton	9 B. L. R., 423	$\begin{array}{c} 271 \\ 2553 \end{array}$
Ananda Krishna Bose v. Rajendra Narayan Deb	4 B. L. R., O. C., 231	
v. Verner · · · {	305.	2949
Ananda Lall Dass v. Radhamohun Shaw $$	2 B. L. R., F. B., 49: 11 } W. R., O. C., 1.	438
Ananda Mayi Dasi v . Patit Pabuni Dasi $oldsymbol{\cdot}$. $igg\{$	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 18: W. R., F. B., 118.	2887
Anandamayi Dast v . Purna Chandra Roy	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 506: 6 W. R., Mis., 69.	1870, 354
Ananda Raman Vathiar v. Paliyil Vittil Nanu Nayar .	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 9	5034
Anandayi alias Kunjara Natchiar v. Parvatavardani 7 Natchiar.	2 Mad., 206	2120
Anandayyan v. Devarajayyan	2 Mad., 17	1348
Anand Chandra Ghose $v.$ Prankristo Dutt \cdot .	3 B. L. R., O. C., 14: 11 W. R., O. C., 19.	2473
Anand Chaudra Mazumdar v. Gobardhan Khan .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 457: 5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 19.	5708
Anand Chandra Pal v. Panchilal Surma	5 B. L. R., 691 : 14 W. R., F. B., 33.	2631
Anand Coomari v. Ali Jamin	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 229	3390
Anandji Visram v. Nariad Spinning and Weaving Company.	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 320	922, 107
Anand Kumari v. Government	9 B. L. R., 16, note: 11 W.	1442
Anandlal Dass v. Mushun Ali	R., 180.) 2 B. L. R., A. C., 98, note .	1597
Anand Lall Bera. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 18: 13 C. L. R., 209.	6185
Azim Peon. v. Empress on the prosecution of	'l = = = ' ~ ~	6185
Anand Mayi Dasi v. Dharendra Chandra Mookerjee	8 B. L. R., 122: 14 Moore's I. A., 101: 16 W. R., P.	3389, 354 4470
A	(C., 19.	4023
Anandrav v. Ravji Anandrav Bapuji v. Shekh Baba	2 Bom., 214	5410
		2840, 327
Anandrav Bhikaji Phadke v. Shankar Daji Charya	. I. L. R., 7 Bom., 323 . {	4273
Anandray Chimuji v. Thakar Chand	. I. L. R., 5 Bom., 245 .	790 2110
Anandrav Sivaji v. Ganesh Eshvaut Bokil	7 Bom., Ap., 33	2338
TIMEBOW V. ISSUIDED	(I. L. R., 8 Calc., 769: 11 C.)	1418, 422
Anant Bahadur Singh v. Raghunath Kuar	} L. R., 149: L. R., 9 I. A., }	6052
Anant Das v. Ashburner & Co.	(† 41. . I. L. R., 1 All., 267	1114
Ananthachárri v. Ananthachárri	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 169 .	259
Anantha Naraiyanappaiyan alias Asyata Aiyan n.	? 2 Mad., 469	5656
Ganapaty Aiyan.)	5584, 568
Anantharama Ayyan v. Karuppanan Kalingarayen	. 5 Mad., 101	3158
Anantharama Patter v. Madhaya Paniker	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 264	198
Anantha Tirtha Chariar v. Nagamuthu Ambalagaren	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 200	2270
Ananthath Dev v. Mackintosh	. 6 B. L. R., 571	2609
Anant Ram Chandra Lotlikar. In re Anar Mollah v. Hills	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 197	5888 327
	. 10 W. R., 139	1

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Anarullah Shaikh v. Koylash Chunder Bose Anath Nath Dey v. Mackintosh Andarji Kalyanji v. Dulabh Jeevan Anderson v. Juggodumba Dabi v. McQueen v. Rajnarain Doss	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 118 8 B. L. R., 60 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 88 6 C. L. R., 282 7 W. R., Cr., 12 Cor., 3	2025, 5815 2474 3206 4688 1898 2705
v. Spence's Hotel Company v. Sreemunto Anderson, Wright & Co., v. Kalagarla Surjinarain Andi Konan v. Venkata Subbaiyad Andrew v. Larmour	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 378 Cor., 5 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 339 2 Mad., 387 2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 4: 1 Hay, 309.	930 2705 4870 3237 5325
v. Lyon Andrews v. Joakim Andy Chetty. In re Angelo v. Cargill Anisul Futwa v. Chando	2 Hay, 362 . 2 B. L. R., O. C., 148 . 2 Mad., 438 . 9 B. L. R., 417: 18 W. R., { Cr., 41. 8 B. L. R., Ap., 3	4207 6203 17 1221, 4108, 5284 318
Anjud Singh v. Depun Singh	3 B. L. R., Ap., 143: 14 W. R., 238, note.	6085
Annada Gobind Chowdhry v. Swarnamayi . Annagurubala Chetti v. Kristnaswami Nayakan . Annaji Apaji v. Ramji Jivaji . Annaji Appaji v. Kasi Atmaji . Annaji Rau v. Raghubai alias Sithubai . Annaji Waghuji v. Bapuchand Jethiram . Annamalai Chetti v. Cloete . v. Muthulinga Pillai . Annammah v. Mabbu Bali Reddy . Annapa v. Ganpati .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 7 1 Mad., 457 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 348 3 Bom., A. C., 124 6 Mad., 400 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 520 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 204 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 189 6 Mad., 360 8 Mad., 108 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 181	3192 1818 3491 3043 2498 1517 1242, 6075 3572 888, 5920 2142 4012, 4413
Annapurnabai. In re Anna Purna Dasi v. Joykisto Mookerjee Annasami v. Naranayen Annasvami v. Narranaiyan Annavunadavan v. Iyasawmy Pillai "Anne." In the matter of the Barque Annoda Churn Roy v. Kally Coomar Roy	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 630 . W. R., 1864, Act X, 107 2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 12 . 1 Mad., 144 6 Mad., 65 . 2 Hyde, 273 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 89: 2 C. L. R., 464.	4785 5886 1735 627 3916 441 5647
Annoda Persad Roy v. Dwarkanath Gangopadhya	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 754: 8 C. L. R., 321. 15 B. L. R., 60, note: 19 W.	29, 4598
Annoda Persaud Mookerjee v. Kristo Coomar Moitro Annodapershad Acharjee v. Shurbosoonderee Debia		545 1135
Annoda Pershad Mookerjee v. Troyluckhnath Paul Chowdry	13 W. R., 123	1907
Annoda Sundari Dabi v. Jugut Moni Dabi Annondomoyee Debee v. Luchmun Pershad Gogo . Annund Chunder Bose v. Broughton	6 C. L. R., 176 2 C. L. R., 264 17 W. R., 301	4667 4495 4590
Annundi Koomar v. Thakoor Panday {	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 31: 4 W. R., Mis., 21.	3159
Annundmoyee Dassee v. Annund Soondur Mozoomdar . Annundo Chunder Banerjee v. Shubhul Chundra Debea . Anonymous	13 W. R., 237	764 5437 3789 772, 4676 195

Name of Case.									Column of Digest.		
nonymous			_		_				1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 50	4520, 57	
		-							1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 58	271	
		•	•	•		-		•	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 68	5732	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 130	3024	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 135	2261	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 13	5754	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1 Ind. Jur., N. 8., 8	2650	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 46	714 2009	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 106	6178	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	W. R., F. B., 126	3286	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 5	5655, 56	
									3 W. R., Cr., 33	5 03, 5 0	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3 W. R., Cl., 55	2766	
									3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 7	5703, 57	
				_					4 W. R., Cr., 2	3629	
	-	•		-		-		•	9 W. R., 357	4518	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		12 W. R., Cr., 34.	2028	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	13 W. R., 353	5842	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	15 W D 997	1240	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	15 W. R., 237		
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	15 W. R., 506	959	
			•	•	•	•	•	•	18 W. R., 249	1354	
	•	•			•		•	•	18 W. R., 397	4742	
									23 W. R., 223	5659	
								(B. L. R., Sup. Vol, 886:9 W. ?	P#00	
 -	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	{	R., 175.	5703	
						_			3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 62	4919	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	;	4 B. L. R., F. B., 41: 12 W.		
								{	R., Cr., 59.	971	
										5843	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 767	5859	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 274		
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1 Mad., 99, note	6238	
		•	•	•	•	•		e	1 Mad., 127	1001	
		•		•		•		•	3 Mad., 341	5236	
		`•				•			3 Mad., 374	5660	
									3 Mad., Ap., 1	6193	
	-				_				3 Mad., Ap., 2	706	
	•	•	•	•			-		3 Mad., Ap., 2	4238	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3 Mad., Ap., 4	4238	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		6259	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3 Mad., Ap., 5	1255	
	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	3 Mad, Ap., 6	587	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3 Mad., Ap., 7 .		
	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	3 Mad., Ap., 9	1989, 55	
		•	•	•		•			3 Mad., Ap., 10	4666	
									3 Mad., Ap., 11	1669	
									3 Mad., Ap., 23	1669	
	-					_	_		3 Mad., Ap., 23	4 50 5	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3 Mad., Ap., 24	4054	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3 Mad., Ap., 25	99	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		5821	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3 Mad., Ap., 27	1962	
	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3 Mad., Ap., 29		
	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	3 Mad., Ap., 29	3606	
	•	•						•	3 Mad., Ap., 31	2598	
									3 Mad., Ap., 32	709	
									3 Mad., Ap., 33	4070	
		-		•	•	-	•		3 Mad., Ap., 34	1151, 62	
	-	•	•	•	•	•	•		4 Mad., Ap., 1	907	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 2	3606	
	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	a man uh., a	9000	

Name of Case.									Volume ar	d Page.	Column
											Digest.
Anonymous									4 Mad., Ap., 2	_	5600
		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 3	•	998, 41
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 3	• •	3738
		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 4	• •	2907
	•	٠,	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 5	• •	711
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mod An 6	•	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 6	• •	4359
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad Ap., 6 .	• •	4717, 62
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 7	• •	23
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 8	• •	982
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 9	• • •	3630
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 11	• • •	707
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 12	• •	4484
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 14 .	• •	5560
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 15 .	• •	1791
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 16 .	• • •	3863
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 17	• •	4717, 62
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 18.	• •	1967, 556
	•	•	•	•	•	•			4 Mad., Ap., 18 .		4718
	•	•	•	•	•	•			4 Mad., Ap., 19 .	٠.	5146
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		4 Mad., Ap, 20.	• •	4487
	•	•							4 Mad., Ap, 21 .		3625
	•	•							4 Mad., Ap., 22		343
	•	•							4 Mad., Ap., 23		3628
				•					4 Mad., Ap., 23 .		5543
							-		4 Mad., Ap., 24 .		4506
	٠.								4 Mad., Ap., 27		5550
				•			•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 28		955, 2005
		•			•		•		4 Mad., Ap., 29 .	•	6262
						-	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 30 .	•	5992
					•	-	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 31 .	•	3620
		-		-	•	-	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 32	• •	1257
	•	-	Ĭ		•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 34	• •	4098
		•	•		•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 36	• •	5578
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		• •	4734
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 38	• • •	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 39 .	• •	400
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 40	• • •	3615
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 41	٠ .	974
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		4 Mad., Ap., 42	• •	5884
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		4 Mad., Ap., 43	· • •	2778
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		4 Mad., Ap., 43		4433
	•	•	•	•	•	•			4 Mad., Ap., 44		4719
		•	•	•		•			4 Mad., Ap., 45		4718
		•	•	•					4 Mad., Ap., 47		5542
			•						4 Mad., Ap., 48		21
								-	_	·	4487, 448
	• .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 49	• • }	4490
	_				_	_			4 Mad., Ap., 51		1044
	•	•		-	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 52		1044
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 53	• •	5496
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		• • •	
	:	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 54	• • •	3627
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 55	• • •	955
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 56		2771
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 58		5822
	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 61		3621
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 62		118
		•	•		•	•			4 Mad., Ap., 62 .		395, 35
									4 Mad., Ap., 63 .		1001

		:	Name o	of Case.			,		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Anonymous									4 Mad., Ap., 64	3627
211101111111111111111111111111111111111	:	•	•	•	•	-			4 Mad., Ap., 65	6066
		-	·		•				4 Mad., Ap., 66	5544
		-		-					4 Mad., Ap., 67	4095
									4 Mad., Ap., 68	963
	•								4 Mad , Ap., 69	4621
									4 Mad., Ap., 69	4741
							•		5 Mad., 280	3332
			•	•	•	•	•	•	5 Mad., 371	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5 Mad., Ap., 1	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5 Mad., Ap., 2	
	•	• .	•	•	•	•	•	•	5 Mad., Ap., 3	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.•	5 Mad., Ap., 4	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• -	5 Mad., Ap, 4	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5 Mad., Ap., 5	2203
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5 Mad., Ap, 5	6000
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	" M. J A. O	9079
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	P Mr. 3 'A " O	OFF.
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5 Mad., Ap., 8	4500
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5 Mad., Ap., 10	
	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	5 Mad., Ap., 12	
	•	:	• •	•	•	•	•	:	5 Mad., Ap., 13	1120
	·	•	•	•	•	-				1951
	:	-	•	•	-		•		5 Mad., Ap., 15	1044,623
					·		-			3570
										1329
									5 Mad., Ap., 18	5576
									, o 25244,p.,	4099
		•			•	•	•			· 5146
			•		•	•		•	O 311111, 11-p-1, 11-	. 5567
		•			•	•	•	•	5 Mad., Ap., 22	. 5885
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1	• 5993
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5 Mad., Ap., 24	389
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5 Mad., Ap, 24	6277
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5 Mad., Ap., 25	· 2904 · 3567
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5 Mad., Ap., 26 5 Mad., Ap., 27	COFF
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5 Mad., Ap., 27	1046
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	F 37 3 1 00	1234
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1 1 1 00	3568
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1	3865
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	- 35 3 4 43	1535
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	- 34 3 A 00	5603
	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	5 Mad., Ap., 33	3628
	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	1	. 1153
	•	•	•	•	•				5 Mad., Ap., 35	5564
	i		-	·					5 Mad., Ap, 36	3567
		·	•						5 Mad, Ap., 36	5992
			•			•			5 Mad., Ap., 37	. 5992
		•								1329
										. 5294
			•	•			•			6190
	•			٠.						958
		•								3867
	•					,	•	•		. 3568
									5 Mad., Ap., 42	• 5553

		N	lame of	Case.			•		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
nanumaura	-		··						5 Mad., Ap., 43	3619
nonymous	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		5562
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5 Mad., Ap., 44	6089
	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	5 Mad., Ap., 44 · · ·	1240
		•	•		•	. •	•	•	5 Mad., Ap., 45 · ·	424
•	•		•	•	• •	•	•	•	6 Mad., 135 · ·	
	•			•	•		• •	•	6 Mad., Ap., 2 · · ·	3619
						•		•	6 Mad., Ap., 3	2904
					•		•		6 Mad., Ap., 3	5572
									6 Mad., Ap., 4 · · ·	4492
									6 Mad., Ap., 5 · · ·	5838
									6 Mad., Ap., 6	€235
									6 Mad., Ap., 7	1152
		•		•	•		-		6 Mad., Ap., 8	982
	•	•	•	•	•	•	-		6 Mad., Ap., 8	5146
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		6 Mad., Ap., 9	3631
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		4779
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 9 · · ·	1044, 62
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 10 · · ·	1789
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 11 · ·	
	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 11 · · ·	3567
	•			•	•	•	•		6 Mad., Ap., 12	735
			•			•			6 Mad., Ap., 12 . · ·	5830
									6 Mad., Ap., 13 · · ·	4497
									6 Mad., Ap., 14	• 1041
	•	•	-	•		-			6 Mad., Ap., 15	974
	•	•	•	•	•	•			6 Mad., Ap., 16	5567
,	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			3619
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 17 · · ·	3570, 51
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 18 · · ·	1327
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 19	3786
	•		•		•	•	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 20 · · ·	
							•		6 Mad., Ap., 22	5509
								•	6 Mad., Ap., 23 · · ·	3743
									6 Mad., Ap., 24	101, 5
									6 Mad., Ap., 24	123
			•						6 Mad., Ap., 25	1328
	-		•	•	•	•			6 Mad., Ap., 26	1325
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		6 Mad., Ap., 27	710
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 28 · · ·	125
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6 Mad Ap 29	625
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	U mau, Ap., 20	453
	•	•	•	•		• •	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 30 · · ·	357
		•				•	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 31 · · ·	
						•			6 Mad., Ap., 32 · · ·	258
									6 Mad., Ap., 34 · · ·	357
									6 Mad., Ap., 36 · · ·	584
		-	•				-	_	6 Mad., Ap., 37 · · ·	386
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		6 Mad., Ap., 37 · · ·	556
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 38	619
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 39	471
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0 Mad., Ap., 55	477
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 40 · · ·	556
	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 40 · · ·	
					•	•	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 41	361
	•						•		6 Mad., Ap., 41	3630, 5
			-	-					6 Mad., Ap, 42	623
	-	•	•	•	•	-	-		1 0 M 1 A 49	360
	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 44	104
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		515
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 45	151
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 47	
	•	•					•		6 Mad., Ap., 47	2778, 5

	Name of Case.							Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.	
Anonymous		_	_						6 Mad., Ap., 48	4355
		•							6 Mad., Ap., 49	957
									6 Mad., Ap., 50	3601
			•						7 Mad., 339	1252
									7 Mad., Ap., 1	235
									7 Mad., Ap., 2	3615
					•				7 Mad., Ap., 3	1044
					•				7 Mad., Ap., 4	3576
			•						7 Mad., Ap., 5	3616
		•				•			7 Mad., Ap., 5	5159
									7 Mad., Ap., 6	3627
									7 Mad., Ap., 7	3576
									7 Mad., Ap., 8	3630
		•						• -	7 Mad., Ap., 9	2030, 2033
									7 Mad., Ap., 10	3576
									7 Mad., Ap., 10, 11	1046
									7 Mad., Ap., 11	2581
		•	•					•	7 Mad., Ap., 12	<i>5</i> 508
						•			7 Mad., Ap., 13	. 99
			•						7 Mad., Ap., 13	955
					•				7 Mad., Ap., 14	1045, 6235
							•	.	7 Mad., Ap., 15	655
							•	.	7 Mad., Ap., 15	1022
			.,					.	7 Mad., Ap., 16	983
								.	7 Mad., Ap., 19	5992
<u> </u>									7 Mad., Ap., 22	5566, 5567
			~						7 Mad., Ap., 24	1988
									7 Mad., Ap., 25	1268
						•			7 Mad., Ap., 26	4121
					•		٠.		7 Mad., Ap., 27	5603
						•			7 Mad., Ap., 28	1304
					•				7 Mad., Ap., 28	1314
									7 Mad., Ap., 29	261
					•				7 Mad., Ap., 30	6193
					•				7 Mad., Ap., 31	100
									7 Mad., Ap., 31	978
									7 Mad., Ap., 32	3628
							•		7 Mad., Ap., 33	965
							•		7 Mad., Ap., 34	985
									7 Mad., Ap., 34	4531
									7 Mad., Ap., 35	5290
									7 Mad., Ap., 36	5836
					•				7 Wad., Ap., 37	3743
			•						7 Mad., Ap., 37	4435
									7 Mad , Ap., 39	3866
				•					7 Mad., Ap., 40	918
	-	•	-	•	-	-	-		# NF 1 A 41	150, 455,
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	7 Mad., Ap., 41 {	4433
		_			. •				7 Mad., Ap., 41	1669
		-			-		•		7 Mad., Ap., 42	263
		-	•	•			-		7 Mad., Ap., 43	1045, 6235
	-	•	•	•	·		•		7 Mad., Ap., 44	4354
		•	•	•	•	•	•		8 Mad., 112	5832
		:	•	•	•	•		•	8 Mad., Ap., 1	4703
		-	•	•	•	•	-		8 Mad., Ap., 2	5527
		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	8 Mad., Ap., 3	3602
		•	•	•	•	•	•		8 Mad., Ap., 5	981, 6254
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	-		1

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Anonymous	8 Mad., Ap., 6	975 2571 962 5577 4095 5290 3609, 5576 2897 6191 5840 1318 5496 5569 5716 6086 4741 5856 5884
Anoop Chund v. Shumbhoo Mull Anooragee Kooer v. Bhugobutty Kooer Anoragee Kooer v. Adoollah Khan Anpurnabai v. Sakharam Jagannath Anrudh Singh v. Sheo Prasad Anstruther. In the matter of Antaji Nilkanth v. Janardan Vasudev Antamma v. Kaveri Anthony v. Dupont Antu v. Ghulam Muhammad Khan Anunda Moyee Dassee v. Mackenzie	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 302. 2 N. W., 337 1 Mad., 140 1 C. L. R., 248 222 25 W. R., 148 26 W. R., 99 6 Bom., A. C., 256 1. L. R., 4 All., 481 11 B. L. R., Ap., 34 10 Bom., 358 1. L. R., 7 Mad., 575 1. L. R., 4 Mad., 217 1. L. R., 6 All., 110 W. R., 1864, 5	257 419 4247 1185 2792 2164, 3255 3448 5660 2914 2657 5819 2224 •5813, 5947 2866 1782
 Anunda Shaha Biswas alias Nyomuddin Sha Biswas v. Kema Bebee. Anund Chunder Banerjee v. Woomesh Chunder Roy Anund Chunder Chatterjee v. Rokho Tarun Chatterjee Anund Chunder Chowdhry v. Chunder Nath Roy. Anund Chunder Chuckerbutty. In the matter of . 	 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 548 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 15: 1 Hyde, 147. 2 W. R., 287 5 W. R., 205 14 W. R., 376 	886 2743, 6231 2843 3846
v. Rutnessur Doss Sen Anund Chunder Chund v. Gunee Gazee Anund Chunder Deghee v. Nubocoomar Chatterjee Anund Chunder Ghose v. Komul Narain Singh Anund Chunder Goopto v. Mohesh Chunder Mozoomda: Anund Chunder Mitter v. Baney Madhub Mitter. Anund Chunder Mookerjee v. Teetooram Chatterjea Anund Chunder Paul v. Punchee Lall Soor. Anund Chunder Pooshalee v. Mookta Keshee Debia Anund Chunder Roy v. Huronath Roy Anund Chunder Roy v. Sidhy Gopal Misser Anund Chunder Singh v. Gopal Chunder Dass Anund Coomar Gangooly v. Rakhal Chunder Roy	. 25 W. R., 50 . 25 W. R., 180 . 8 W. R., 192 . 2 W. R., 219	5760 1740 7 80, 2753 245 684 2309 2631 1507 1768 5734 179 77, 92,
Anund Coomar Mookerjee v. Bissonath Banerjee . Anundee Kooer v. Bachoo Singh v. Soonaet Kooer	. 17 W. R., 416 . 20 W. R., 476 . 9 W. R., Cr., 64	2533, 2545 3001 680 4487, 4492

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Anundee Kooer v. Sooneet Kooer	10 W. R., Cr., 40.	4737
Anundee Koonwar v. Khedoo Lall	14 Moore's I. A., 412: 18 W. R., 69.	2350
v. Takoor Pandey	4 W. R., Mis., 21.	2794
Anund Kunwar v. Court of Wards	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 764: 8 C. L. R., 381: L. R., 8 I. A., 14.	2482
Anundlal Sing Deo v. Dheeraj Gurrood Narayan Deo	5 Moore's I. A., 82	2061
Anund Lall Doss v. Jullodhur Shaw	10 B. L., R., 134: 17 W. R., 313: 14 Moore's I. A., 543.	438
Anundloll Doss v. Jointee Chunder Sen	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 93	3772
Anund Loll Mookerjee v . Kulika Persad Misser .	12 B. L. R., 489, note: 20 W. R., 59.	53 0 5
Anundmohey Dossee v. Doe.d. East India Company	8 Moore's I. A., 43: 4 W. R., { P. C., 51.	2052, 2260, 2538, 3065
Anund Mohim Ghuttuck v. Soorji Kanto Acharjee }	22 W. R., 538	1736
Anund Mohun Chatterjee v. Sutto Ram Mozoomdar . Anund Mohun Hajrah v. Shibo Soonduree Dabee	8 W. R., 124	5831 5620
Anund Mohun Mozoomdar v. Gobind Chunder Mozoom-	W. R., 1864, 173	2117
Anund Mohun Mullick v. Indro Monee Chowdrain .	16 W. R., 214	684, 1418
Anund Mohun Paul Chowdhry v. Ram Kishen Paul Chowdhry.	2 W. R., 297	6221, 62 23
v. Shamasoonduree	W. R., 1864, 352	2370
Anund Mohun Roy v. Chunder Monee Dasee	Marsh., 547: 2 Hay, 648 . Marsh., 169: 1 Hay, 374 .	3396 23 47
Anund Mohun Surma Mojoomdar v. Huro Chunder Bhuttacharjee.	5 W. R., Mis., 16	5620
Anund Moyee Chowdhrain v. Boykant Nath Roy. v. Chunder Monee Dossia	8 W. R., 193	$2454 \\ 1438$
v. Sheeb Chunder Roy .	2 W. R., P. C., 19:9 Moore's I. A., 287: Marsh., 455.	1687, 4647
Anund Moyee Dabi v. Grish Chunder Myti	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 772 : 9 C. L. R., 327.	3168
Anund Moyee Dassee v. Hameedonissa	Marsh., 85: 1 Hay, 152 .	1362
Anundmoyee Debia v. Khirodhur Haldar Anundmoyee Dossee v. Dhurundro Chunder Mookerjee	2 W. R., Act X, 46 1 W. R., 103	4932 3547
v. Mohendro Narain Dass .	15 W. R., 264	2518, 3009
v. Poorno Chunder Roy	9 Moore's I. A., 26	4643 2999
v. Raj Coomar Roy Anundmoyee Dossee v. Raye Monee Dassee .	23 W. R., 34	6108
Anundmoyee Dossia v. Kalee Coomar Rokheet .	6 W. R., 18	5145
Anund Moyee Goopto v. Gopal Chunder Banerjee	W. R., 1864, 310	2427 4484
Anund Moyi Dabia v. Shurnomoyi Anund Nath Roy. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 179 4 W. R., Cr., 12	4493
v. Junnejoy Biswas	8 W. R., 240	537
v. Thakoor Doss Mczoomdar.	2 Hay, 472	1739
Anundolall Chowdhry v. Hills	4 W. R., Act X, 33 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 283: 4 C. L.	1617
Anundo Lall Dass v. Boycaunt Ram Roy	R., 473.	1381
Anund Ray v. Munorut Singh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 677	2041 . 1458, 5240
Anund Roy v. Munorut Singh Anungo Mohun Deb Roy v. Muddun Mohun Mozoomdar.	1 C. L. R., 147	2692
Anunt Dass v. Kelly	1 N. W., Part 7, p. 107: Ed., 1873, 194.	1150, 3788
Anunt Manjhee v. Joy Chunder Chowdhry	12 W. R., 371	3804

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Anunto Doss Sein v. Ram Joy Sein	11 W. R., 435	247 4454 2211, 2575
Anuragee Kunwar v. Ramrachya Dass Anurup Chandra Mukhopadhya v. Hiramani Dasi	25 W. R., Cr., 16 3 B. L. R., Ap., 38	4499 32 0, 6231
Anusuyabai v. Sakharam Pandurang	1 Ind., Jur., N. S., 106	5024 6179 244
Apach Ojha v. Ram Dulari Koer	4 C. L. R., 18 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 64 .	161 3944
Anero e Rama	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 632 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 210 1 Ind., Jur., N. S., 237	2424, 5684 2736, 2747 2685, 5140
Appaii Bhivray v. Shivlall Khubchand	1 Ind., Jur., N. S., 237 2 N. W., 44 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 204	245 3099, 5975
Appana v. Nagia	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 512 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 106	5692 423
Appa Pillai v. Ranga Pillai Appa Rao v. Court of Wards Apparau v. Anunukonda Rangaya Nayudu	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 71 . I. L. R., 5 Mad., 236 . I. L. R., 7 Mad., 41 .	1109 1461 757
Appasami v. Aghilanda	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 113 . I. L. R., 9 Mad., 103 .	313 7 1001
	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 499 . I. L. R., 9 Mad., 279 . I. L. R., 7 Mad., 262 .	2246 4869 3586
	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 5 . I. L. R., 4 Mad., 367 .	410 2952
Appa valau Masiiinatii v. vitiioba valau Lukatam .	4 Mad., 145 6 Bom., A. C., 88 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 245	394 296 5291
Appavu Nayak v. Queen	2 Mad., 474	5689 3462
Appiah Chetty v. Chengadoo	4 Mad., 76 . 8 W. R., P. C., 1:11 Moore's } I. A., 75.	5899 2445
Appundy Ibram Sahib v. Sam	4 Mad., 297 6 Mad., 401	3263 61 77
Appuni alias Ayampally Raman Kumaran v. Ayanepalli Ekanatha Thavai Varikarnavan Shanguni. Apu Budgavda v. Narhari Annajee	T T D O D O1	3761, 6177 4763, 4794
Aradhun Dey v. Golam Hossein	8 W. R., 487	5076 5829
Aradhun Mundul v. Myan Khan Takadgeer . Arathoon v. Protap Chunder Dass	24 W. R., Cr., 7	464, 5995 1717
Arbuthnot v. Betts	6 B. L. R., 273: 14 W. R., 181 2 Mad., 88	4609, 6098 730
v. Norton v. Oolagappa Chetty Archakam Srinivasa Dikshatulu v. Udayagiry Anantha.	3 Moore's I. A., 435 5 Mad., 303	5298 2236, 6071
Charlu. Archer v. Watkins	4 Mad., 349	2849, 5094 2586, 3749
Ardaseer Curtsetjee v . Perozeboye $\left\{\right.$	4 W. R., P. C., 91: 6 Moore's I. A., 348.	2834, 2847, 509 5
Ardesar Hormasji Wadia v. Secretary of State for India ni Council.	9 Bom., 177	348 5101
Ardesar Jahangir Framji v . Avabai	9 Bom., 290	5101

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ardeshir Dhangibhai v. Collector of Surat	3 Bom., A. C., 116	296, 1691
Ardesir Jehangir v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 398	603
Ardesir Jehangir Framji v. Hirabai	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 474	4674
Ardesir Limji v. Sorabji Pestanji	1 Bom., 70	1205
Ardesir Nasarvanji v. Muse Natha Amiji	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 601	607
Aree Murdun Bhuggut v. Jannath Bhuggut	15 W. R., 328	67 4
Arfunnessa v. Peary Mohun Mookerjee	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 378: 25 \ W. R., 209.	4203
Arfunnissa Chowdhrain v. Rokibunnissa Chowdhrain	24 W. R., 444	3832
A 0' 1 TT TT 0' 1	14 W. R., 472	6221
Argoon Singh v. Huree Hur Singh	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 200	2729
Arjuna valad Bhiva v. Bhavan valad Nimbaji	4 Bom., A. C., 133	3430
	8 Bom., A. C., 19	1348, 4586
ziriapa zinyak ot ziato. zeomatji do oti	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 12	5387
Armugam v. Sabapathi Aroonachella Gramany v. Velliappa Gramany	8 Mad., 103	2950
	4 W. R., P. C., 66	5804
Arrathoon v. Cochrane	18 W. R., 439	2254
A 1 A 1 A ==	24 W. R., 335	2995
Zilub V. Memiui	2 Mad., 205	2719
Arulu Mastry v. Wakuthu Chinnayen	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 187	3739
	25 W. R., 81	4458
Arumugam Chetty v. Perriyannan Servai	1 Mad. 400	2561
Arumugam Mudali v. Ammi Ammal	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 338	3580
	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 318	2652
Arunachala v. Ayyu	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 39	2172
v. Munaivami •	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 348	4992
	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 328	807
v. Zemindarof Sivagiri	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 402	3170, 3297
Arunachala Pillai v. Ramasamya Pillai	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 27	4254
v. Vythialinga Mudaliyar	5 Mad., 212	6029
Arunachala Reddi. In the matter of the petition of	5 Mad., 269	779
Arunachella Mudaly v. Vencatachella Mudaly .	3 Mad., 188	848
Arunachella Pillai v. Appava Pillai	7 Mad 199	4043
	5 Mad., 215	3480, 3542
Arunachella Thudayan v. Veludayan	5 Mad., 287	5656
Arunachellum Chetty v . Gangatharam Aiyan	1 2 2 2 2 2	53, 1771,
v. Olagappah Chetty · · ·	4 Mad., 312 {	5823
Arun Chandra Mandal v. Ramanath Rakhit	1 B. L. R., S. N., 20	3236
Arundadhi v. Natesha	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 391	831
Arundadi Ammal v. Kuppammal	3 Mad., 283	2119
Arut Sahoo v. Prandhone Pykura	I. L. R. 10 Calc., 503	30 0 5
Aruth Soar v. Juggunnath Mohapattur	23 W. R., 460	3917
Arzan v. Rakhal Chunder Roy Chowdhry	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 214	3231, 5281
Asad Ali Mirdha v. Toyfan Bibi	13 C. L. R., 328	4472
Asansab Ravuthan v. Vamana Rau	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 223	3966
Asapal Singh v. Nunkoo Singh	3 Agra, 216	3955
Asdutdowlah Reza Hossein Khan v. Hamisadowlah	, ,	2647
Abed Khan. Aseemoonnissa v. Ameeronnissa	15 W. R., 285	4945
Asgar Ali Chowdhry v. Mahabhat Ali		1078
	8 W. R., 383	4172
Asgur v. Goluck Chunder Chowdhry	20 W. R., 373	4954
Asgur Ali v. Asgur Ali	23 W. R., 463	1206, 2696
v. Nugendro Chunder Ghose	25 W. R., 318	4932
v. Woomakant Mookerjee	15 W. R., 354	4793
Asgur Ali Shikdar v. Mothoora Nath Ghose	23 W. R., 56	5751
Asgur Beparee v. Hurree Mohun Mojoomdar	1 = 0 111 = 111	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Anunto Doss Sein v. Ram Joy Sein Anunto Ram Seal v. Brojo Bullub Seal Anuntram Agurwalla v. Nuthall	11 W. R., 435	2211, 2575
Anuragee Kunwar v. Ramrachya Dass Anurup Chandra Mukhopadhya v. Hiramani Dasi Anusuyabai v. Sakharam Pandurang	25 W. R., Cr., 16 3 B. L. R., Ap., 38 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 464	320, 6231 5024
Anwar Biswas. In re Anwar Jan Bibee v. Azmut Ali Apach Ojha v. Ram Dulari Koer	1 Ind., Jur., N. S., 106 15 W. R., 26 4 C. L. R., 18	244 161
Apaji Bhivrav v. Kavji Apaji Chintaman Devdhar v. Gangabai Apaya v. Rama	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 64 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 632 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 210	3944 2424, 5684 2736, 2747
Apcar v. Howah Bye Apee v. Heera Nund Appaji Bhivrav v. Shivlall Khubchand	1 Ind., Jur., N. S., 237 2 N. W., 44 1. L. R., 3 Bom., 204	2685, 5140 245 3099, 5975
Appa v. Nagia	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 512 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 106 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 71 I. I. B., 5 Mad., 71	5692 423 1109
Appa Rao v. Court of Wards Apparau v. Anumukonda Rangaya Nayudu Appasami v. Aghilanda v. Manikam v. Nagappa	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 236 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 41 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 113 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 103	1461 757 313 7 1001
	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 103 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 499 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 279 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 262	2246 4869 3586
v. Scott	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 5 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 367 4 Mad., 145	410 2952 394
Appa valad Kashinath v. Vithoba valad Tukaram Appavu Nayak v. Queen . Appavu Pillai v. Subraya Muppen	6 Bom., A. C., 88 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 245 2 Mad., 474	296 5291 5689
Appaya v. Collector of Vizagapatam	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 155 4 Mad., 76 8 W. R., P. C., 1:11 Moore's	3462 5899
Appundy Ibram Sahib v. Sam	I. A., 75. 4 Mad., 297 6 Mad., 401	
Appuni alias Ayampally Raman Kumaran v. Ayanepalli Ekanatha Thavai Varikarnavan Shanguni. Apu Budgavda v. Narhari Annajee	6 Mad., 401	3761,6177 4763,4794
Aradhun Dey v. Golam Hossein	8 W. R., 487	5076 5829 464, 5995
Arathoon v. Protap Chunder Dass	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 455: 10 C. L. R., 443.	1717
Arbuthnot v. Betts	6 B. L. R., 273: 14 W. R., 181 2 Mad., 88	4609, 6098 730 5298
v. Oolagappa Chetty . Archakam Srinivasa Dikshatulu v. Udayagiry Anantha.	5 Mad., 303	2236, 6071 2849, 5094
Charlu. Archer v. Watkins	8 B. L. R., 372	2586, 3749
Ardaseer Curtsetjee v. Perozeboye	4 W. R., P. C., 91 : 6 Moore's 1. A., 348.	283 4, 2847, 5095
Ardesar Hormasji Wadia v. Secretary of State for India ni Council.	9 Bom., 177	348
Ardesar Jahangir Framji v. Avabai	9 Bom., 290	5101

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Asgur Hossein. In re	8 C. L. R., 124	6264
In the matter of the petition of .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 774: 8 C.	1836, 6264
Asgur Mahomed v. Nuzeema Bibee	L. R., 124. 14 W. R., 272	5041
Ashabai v. Tyeb	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 390	2755
		2282, 2446,
v. Tyeb Haji Rahimtulla	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 115 .	2493, 3632,
Ashadoollah v. Shaeba Jhasors	2 Hay, 345	6048 368 4
Ashanoollah v. Kadir	25 W. R., 92	3799
v. Kisto Gobind Dass v. Ramdhone Bhuttacharjee Ashanulla v. Karoonamoyi Chowdhry Ashanulla Khan Bahadur v. Rajendra Chandra Raj	2 C. L. R., 592	1634
v. Ramdhone Bhuttacharjee	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 325	543
Ashanulla V. Karoonamoyi Chowdhry	4 C. L. R., 125	273, 285
Ashanullah v. Kali Kinkur Kur	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 464	5311 4313
Ashanullah Khan Pahadur v. Trilochun Bagchee	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 675 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 197	4100 5004
Ashburner v. Currie	13 W. R., 27	4743
v. Keshav valad Tuku Patil	4 Bom., A. C., 150	27 85, 4106
Asheerooddeen alias Kalla Miah v. Drobo Moyee	25 W. R., 557	3668
Ashgar Ali v. Delroos Banee Begum Ashgar Ali Shah v. Jhanda Mal	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 324 · ·	113, 4233
Ashidharee Chowdhry v. Juggessur Kumar	I. L. R., 2 All., 839	5054 633
Ashidhari Chowdhry v. Jagessur Kumar .	6 R L R An 32	633
Ashik Ali v. Mathura Kandu	I. L. R., 5 All., 187	3354, 5214
Ashootosh Chuckerbutty v. Teetoo Haldar		
Ashootosh Dutt v. Doorga Churn Chatterjee .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 584: 8 C. L. R., 23.	788, 3543
Ashraf Ali v. Jagannath	I. L. R., 6 All., 497	5238
Ashrof Ali v. Empress	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 281	1954
Ashruf v. Ramkishore Ghose	23 W. R., 288	4171, 5180
Ashruf Ali v. Ashad Ali	16 W. R., 260	3637, 3647
Ashruf Ali v. Ashad Ali v. Lutchmiput Singh v. Vilact Hossein Ashruff Hossein. In the matter of Ashruffoodowlah Ahmed Hossein	2 C. L. R., 223	5407 1612
Ashruff Hossein. In the matter of	10 W D C. 67	5518
Ashruffoodowlah Ahmed Hossein v. Hyder Hossein	7 W. R., P. C., 1:11 Moore's	9094
Khan.	I. A., 94.	3634
Ashruffunnissa v . Azeemun	1 W. R., 17	368 4 , 370 3
\cdot v . Lehareaux	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 272: 10 C.	198
Ashruffunnissa Begum v Khanum Jaun	L. R., 502.) 6 W, R., 285	3879, 5254
Ashruf Hossein v. Hazara Begum .	18 W R 396	5926
Ashrufoonissa v. Umung Mohun Deb Roy	5 W. R., Act X, 48	5926 4151
Ashrufoonissa Begum v. Stewart v	7 W. R., 303	13/7, 5504
v. Tourdduck Harris	9 W. R., 438	4916
v. Tosudduck Hossein Ashruf Sirdar v. Bhubo Soonduree	22 W. R., 260	2982 1680, 2024
Ashumande Agath Kunhi Pathumah v. Makachinde		
Agath Makachi.	4 Mad., 478	4198
Ashutosh Bose v. Huree Churn Nag	16 W. R., 305	2816
Ashutosh Dutt v. Doorga Churn Chatterjee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 438: 5 C. L.	
"Asia." In re the proceeds of the	R., 296: L. R, 6 I. A., 182. 5 5 Bom., O. C., 64.	2833
Asiatic Banking Corporation v . Viegas	8 Bom., O. C., 20.	131, 5904
Asima Krishna Deb v. Kumara Krishna Deb .	2 B. L. R., O. C., 11	2552
Askar v. Ram Manick Roy	5 B. L. R., Ap., 12: 13 W.	4560
Askar Mea v. Sabdar Mea	R., 344. I. L. R., 12 Calc., 137 .	4106
	•	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Askery Khan v. Ashrufunnissa	6 C. L. R., 112	3320
Aslem v. Kalla Durzi	2 C. L. R., 344	5231
Aslon v IImdutoonises	20 W. R., 297	26, 3695
Asma Bibee v. Ramkant Rov Chowdhry	19 W. R., 251	4758
Asmal Saleman v. Collector of Broach	I. L. R., 5 Bom, 135	342, 2859
Asma Bibee v. Ramkant Roy Chowdhry Asmal Saleman v. Collector of Broach Asman Singh v. Abeedoddeen	23 W. R., 460	535
v. Ajnas Koer	2 C. L. R., 406	1696
v. Doorga Roy	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 284: 7 C. L. R., 94.	5737
Asmedh Koonwar v. Tayler	W. R., 1864, 15	1962, 6015
Asmedh Koonwur v. Joykurun Lall	1 W. Ř., 349	3129
Asmed Kooer v. Indurjeet Kooer	9 W. R., 445	3834
Asmudh Kooer v. Joykurun Lall	5 W. R., 125	3336
Asmut Kooer v. Inderjeet Kooer	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1003 .	3834
Asmutoonissa Bebee v. Atta Hafiz	8 W. R., 468	135
Asmutoonnissa Bibee v. Khudemoonnissa Bibee	17 W. R., 278	5468
Asmutullah Dalal v. Kally Churn Mitter	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 56	3534 5020
Asrafannissa Begum v. Inaet Hossein	5 B. L. R., 316: 13 W. R., 439	5939
Assam Tea Company v. East Indian Railway Company.	Bourke, O. C., 39.	470 7 3 64 0, 4943 ,
Assamathem Nessa Bibec v. Lutchmeeput Singh .	I. L. R., 4 Cale, 142: 2 C. L. R., 223.	5407
Assanoollah v. Kalee Mohun Mookerjee .	18 W. R., 469	2053, 4969
· v. Obhoy Churn Roy	13 W. R., P. C., 24 13	541, 5348
· v. Saffer Ali	Moore's I. A., 317. 21 W. R., 135	2046
A 11 B T) (A11 OI 11	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 920 .	4150
77 (74) 7 4) "	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 932 .	2776
Assa Ram v. Kashmeeree Dass	Agra, F. B., 90: Ed. 1874,	5770
Assaram Burteah v . Commercial Transport Association.	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 113 .	1203, 1524, 2732
Assaruddee Khan v. Baloo Khan	1 W. R., Cr., 6	957
Assenoolla Joo v. Abdool Aziz	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 923 .	4524
Assur Ali v. Woolfutoonissa	13 W. R., 33	5 132
Asud Ali Khan v. Akbar Ali Khan	1 C. L., R., 364	1170
Asudooddeen Hyder v . Abdool Kureem	6 W. R., 110	5121
Asudoolah v. Akbur Ali	7. W. R., 60.	4464
Asudoollah v. Monohur Doss	22 W. R., 531	5325
Asu Mia v. Raju Mia	1 B. L. R., A. C., 34: 10 W. 7 R., 76.	3419, 3446
Atahur Ali v. Altaf Fatima	10 W. R., 370, note	3656
Atchama v. Ramanadha Baboo	7 W. R., P. C., 57: 4 Moore's	2135
Atchamma v. Subba Rayudu	I. A., 1. 5 Mad., 428 • •	3397
Athiappa v. Ayanna	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 300	4292
Athilakshmi Ammal v. Sivanananja Perumal Sethurayer		2318
Athur Ali Khan v. Sekundar Ali Khan	4 W. R., 28	5285
Athuroonissa v. Rughoonath Banerjee	W. R., 1864, 322	5431
Atmakuri Bhavana Setti v. Sanyasi Setti	3 Mad., 222	2810
Atmaram v. Balkishen	I. L. R., 5 All., 266 .	4289
v. Chundun Singh	2 Agra, 77 · · ·	1026
v. Madho Rao	I. L. R., 6 All., 276	2111
Atmaram Ballal Kagji v. Sadashiv Hari Mahajani .	2 Bom., 1	5744
Atmaram Gulabhai v. Amirchand Rupchand	3 Bom., A. C., 92.	5821 1901
Atmaram Kaliandas v. Fatma Begum	5 Bom., A. C., 67. I. L. R., 9 Calc., 838: 12 C.	1901
Atri Bai v. Arnopoorna Bai	L. R., 409.	5727
Atta Oollah Mundle v. Sukeecoddeen Turupdar	W. R., 1864, 271 .	4677

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Attapyee Mowlah v. Sukhawut Ally	Marsh., 102: W. R., F. B., 30: 1 Hay, 240.	2988
Attermoney Dossee v. Hurrydoss Dutt	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 74: 9 °C. L. R., 357.	5170, 5239
Attimoollah v. Saheboollah Attipakula Munappa v. Dasinani Chenchu Nayudu Attorney and Proctor. In the matter of an Attorney General v. Brodie Attorneys. In the matter of the petition of the Attorneys. In the matter of the petition of the Attorneys. V. Balunkes Doss Attulakshmi Ammal v. Sivanaranja Perumal Sethurays Aubinash Chunder Mookerjee v. Martin Auchterlony v. Bill	15 W. R., 149 1 L. R., 7 Mad., 138 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 305 4 Moore's I. A., 190 1 L. L. R., 1 Mad., 24 14 W. R., 357 ar 3 Mad., 75 1 L. R. 8 Calc., 832 4 Mad., 77	3016 3597 456 151, 5974 5297 4184 2264 5728, 5954 1110
Audh Beharee Singh v. Dost Mahomed	. 22 W. R., 185	1644 2305 3781
Aughore Nath Ghossal v. Roop Chand Mundul .	. 13 W. R., 97	4993 306, 6081,
Augopura Chowdhry v. Meah Bibee Augudh Nath v. Doorga Gir Augur Singh v. Mohinee Dutt Singh Augustin v. Augustin Auhin v. Ahmed Mahomed Auhindro Bhoosun Chatterjee v. Chunnoo Lall Johurry Aukhil Chunder v. Tripoora Soonduree Aukhil Chunder Chowdhry v. Delawar Hossein Aukhil Chunder Mookerjee v. Chunder Coomar Mitter v. Shib Narain Ghose Aukhil Chunder Sen Roy v. Mohiny Mohun Dass Aukhoy Coomar Chuckerbutty v. Mollah Nobee Nowaz Aukur Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Madhub Chunder Ghose. Aulock Monee Dossia v. Aulockmonee Debia Aunath Nath Deb v. Bistu Chunder Roy Aunjona Dasi v. Prahlad Chandra Ghose Aunungo Mohun Deb v. Unnoda Dossee	. 22 W. R., 525 . 6 C. L. R., 93 . 22 W. R., 414 . 15 W. R., 527 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 489 : 4 C. L. R., 491 . 13 W. R., 449	6085 3263 3024 1548 416 4013 80. 3855 3284, 4181 5308 5020 2798, 6074 6072 1774, 5833, 5835 6031 1715 2846 5003
Aurokiam. In the matter of	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 38	5149, 5150,
Auseemoonissa Khatoon v. Ameeroonissa Khatoon Aushootosh Chandra v. Taraprasanna Roy Autoo Misree v. Bidhoomookhee Dabee "Ava" and the "Brenhilda." In re the	22 W. R., 204	5152 1928 992 3539 3812
Avabai v. Jamasji Jamshedji v. Pestanji Nanabhai Avala v. Kuppu Avudh Beharee Singh v. Ram Raj Tewaree Avul Khadar v. Audhu Set Awadh Kuari v. Raktu Tiwari Awkinfee v. Meenay Ayesha Beebee v. Kanhye Mollah Ayetun v. Ramsebuck Poddar Aymel Islam v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co. Ayyasami v. Samiya Ayyavayyar v. Shastram Ayyar	3 Bom., A. C., 113 11 Bom., 87 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 77 18 W. R., 105 2 Mad., 423 I. L. R., 6 All., 109 8 W. R., 1 12 W. R., 146 12 W. R., 156 8 W. R., 501 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 82 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 506	4241 6197 5021 325 4438 227 668 4457 134 2997 3251 2703

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ayyavu Muppanar v. Niladatchi Ammal Azadee v. Ajmere Koonwer	1 Agra, 282 L. L. R., 12 Calc., 594 10 W. R., 306 24 W. R., Cr., 9 12 W. R., 38 2 Agra, Pt. II, 167 7 W. R., 48 10 B. L. R., 205:17 W. R., 393 17 W. R., Cr., 1 4 B. L. R., P. C., 1:13 W. R., P. C., 1 23 W. R., 93 I. L. R., 7 All., 343 10 C. L. R., 523 5 W. R., Act X, 58 11 B. L. R., 67 17 W. R., 270	5376 3401 3693 1533 671 3660 2844 4139 958
Azimudin v. Baldeo Azimudin Khan v. Zia-ul-nissa	I. L. R., 3 All., 554 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 309 6 Mad., 455 14 W. R., P. C., 17: 13 Moore's I. A., 404 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 163 8 C. L. R., 498 I. L. R., 7 All., 353 2 B. L. R., Ap., 47: 11 W. R., 343 11 Bom., 206 14 W. R., P. C., 14: 13 Moore's I. A., 395.	5274 4405, 6130 3683 2698, 3968 5307 5307 141, 3656 3537 3475, 3481, 3525 478
Azuddin Hossein v. Lloyd	8 W. R., 23	5215 2081, 3206, 3304 4895 3029
	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 228 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 69 10 Pom., 479 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 660 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 305 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 266 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 310 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 340 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 593 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 734 11 Bom., 182 3 Bom., A. C., 60	3033, 3047 3801, 3802, 3805 3263, 3285 2307 2410 2799, 4426 2074 3057, 4462, 5506 688 1518, 3980 687 4919

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of
Babaji Hari v. Rajaram Ballal	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 75 6 Bom., A. C., 70 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 95 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 666 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 157 2 Bom., 23 I. L. R., 6 Calc, 786: 8 C. L. R., 36.	4345 4390 1412 1469 2158 2453 4155 808, 838
Baban Mayacha v. Nagu Shravucha	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 19	1995, 2843,
Babashet bin Gobindshet v. Jirshet bin Yesshet Baboojan v. Mahomed Nurool Huq	5 Bom., A. C., 71. 10 W. R., 375	4976 2365 3732
Baboojan Jha.v. Byjnath Dutt Jha	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 474: 7 C. L. R., 539.	3844
Baboo Lall v. Luttoo Ram Baboolall Jha v. Juma Buksh Baboonath Jha v. Khugput Doss Babooram v. Gajadhur Singh	18 W. R., 233	4656 2343 3517 1469
Babshetti v. Venkataramana	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 154 . {	1092, 2968, 5746
Babulal v. Ishri Parsad Narain Singh Babun Mundle v. Shib Koomaree Burmonee	I. L. R., 2 All., 582 21 W. R., 404	5020 3
Babur Ali v. Dowlut Ali	15 B. L. R., 242: 19 W. R.,	5074
v. Gokul Lall	217. 24 W. R., 62 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 69 2 C. L. R , 362 W. R., 1864, 377	5938 5893 169 3165
Bacharam Mundul v. Peary Mohun Banerjee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 813: 12 C.	4205
Bachchu v. Madad Ali	L. R., 475. I. L. R., 2 All., 649	1495
Bachebi v. Makhan Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 55 . {	2192. 2283, 590 5
Bachha Jha v. Jugmon Jha Bachiraju v. Venkatappadu Bachman v. Bachman v. Lall Beharee Pandey	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 348 2 Mad., 402	2497 2308 6217 6236
Bachubai v. Shamji Jadowji	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 536 10 B. L. R., 45: 17 W. R.,	3870, 4322 3657, 4654,
Bachun Ali v. Dewan Ali Bachu Ramesam v. Nukala Bhanappa Bada v. Hussu Bhai	113: 14 Moore's I. A., 377. 11 W. R., 376 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 182 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 236	1424 3589 52 65
Badam v. Imrit	I. L. R., 3 All., 675 1 Agra, 266	491 7 303 7
Badami Kuar v. Dinu Rai	I. L. R., 8 All., 111	5963
Badamoo Koowar v. Wuzeer Singh	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 144: 5 W. R., 78.	2470, 2514
Badaranissa Bibee v. Mafiattala	7 B. L R., 442: 15 W. R.,	3646
Badaruth Tewary v. Jagarnath Dass Badaum Koowur v. Lalla Situl Pershad	555. 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 75 5 W. R., 126	2444 5359 1025
Badiya v. Queen	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 158 . }	713, 5576,
Badrinath v. Bhajan Lel	I. L. R., 5 All., 191 I. L. R., 5 All., 121	6192 2917, 3064 5199

		1	
Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Dadri Durand Truth	:4:	T T D 4 All 200	F.440
Badri Prasad. In the matter of the pet	ution of	I. L. R., 4 All., 359 I. L. R., 3 All., 700	5443 5815
v. Muhammad Yusuf		I. L. R., 1 All., 382	3248
	, ,	I. L. R., 2 All., 593 : L. R., 7	1
v. Murli Dhar	{	7 I. A., 51.	4025
v. Saran Lal		I. L. R., 4 All., 359	5443
Badri Roy v. Bhugwat Narain Dobey		I. L. R., 8 Calc., 649: 11 C. L. R., 186.	2467, 4310
Badrunnissa v. Muhammad Jan .		I. L. R., 2 All., 671	4411,5680
Badul Singh v. Chutterdharee Singh .		9 W. R., 558	878, 2349
Badurul Huq v. Court of Wards .		12 W. R., 498	3376
Bagaishree Dyal v. Government		2 Agra, 81	5254
Bagdee Manjee v. Mohindro Narain .		10 W. R., Cr., 16	463, 6252
Baggot. In re		Bourke, Ins., 5	2670
Baghelin v. Mathura Prasad	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	I. L. R., 4 All., 430	3149
Bagoo Jan v. Chowdhry Zuhoorul Huq		13 W. R., 69 {	1944, 3162
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1		5138
Bagram. In the matter of the petition	ot	19 W. R., Cr., 52	983 5930
v. Collector of Bhullooa .	• • • •	20 W. R., 10	1994, 4457
v. Khettranath Karformah	• • • •	W. R., 1864, 243 3 B. L. R., O. C., 18	4566
v. Moses		3 B. L. R., O. C., 18 1 Hyde, 284	2796 , 2828
		1 B. L. R., F. B., 91: 10 W. ?	
v. Wise	• • }	R., F. B., 46.	1881
Bagubai v. Nizmuddin		6 Bom., A. C., 205	5732
Bahadoor v. Shadee Ram	. 1	2 Agra 3	52
Bahadoor Ally v. Domun Singh .		7 W. R., 27	2744, 3556
Bahadoor Khan v. Kora Mull		1 Agra, 281	3022
Bahadur v. Nawab Jan		I. L. R., 3 All., 822	6087
Bahadur Ali v. Bhabo Soonduree Debia (Chowdhrain .	7 W. R., 425	236
Bahadur Singh v. Bhugwan Dass .		1 Agra, 23	243
v. Hura	• • •	3 N. W., 73	580
v. Jowahir Kuar .	{	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 887: L. R., 11 I A., 75.	5104
v. Lucho Koer .		I. L. R., 6 Calc., 406: 7 C. L. ?	5067
Bahal Singh v. Gauri	· · {	R., 251.	3182
v. Shibo Ram Singh	• • •	7 N. W., 284	382
	• • •	W. R., 1864, 76	3376
Bahar Shah v. Pero Shah Baharulla v. Majan	• • •	24 W. R., 385	4163
Bahooria Doohma Kowar v. Lalla Juwah	nr Lall Panrov	20 W. R., 52	1940
Bahori Lal v. Gauri Sahai	ar Bair Faulty	I. L. R., 8 All., 626	835
Bahraichi Chaudhri v. Surju Naik .		I. L. R., 4 All., 257	4887
Bahur Ali v. Sookea Bibee		13 W. R., 63	2082, 3117
Bai Amrit. In the matter of the petition	n of	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 380	1544, 4426
v. Bai Manik		12 Bom., 79	2077, 2317
Bai Anope v. Mulchand Girdhar .		I. L. R., 9 Bom., 355	309, 1443,
			4417, 4429
Bai Baiba v. Bai Daguba .		I. L. R., 6 Bom., 728	3858
Baichoo v. Howard . Baichoo Ram v. Dowlut Ram .		3 Agra, 15	3434, 5380 1602
Bai Daya v. Natha Gobindlal		2 N. W., 8	2421
Bai Devkore v. Amritram Jamiatram	• • •	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 279	2287
Bai Ganga v. Dullabh Parag	• • •	5 Bom., A. C., 179	3043
Bai Jadav v. Tribhuvandas Jagjivandas		9 Bom., 333	6060
Bai Jamna v. Bai Ichha		I. L. R., 10 Bom., 604	3187, 3270
v. Bai Jadav		I. L. R., 4 Bom., 168	3774
•	• • •	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bai Javer v. Hathi Sing	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 56 I. L. R., 7 All., 888 I. L. R., 1 All., 608 7 N. W., 185 2 N. W., 411 5 B. L. R., 514, note	4292 4837 2308 4801 5997 1405
Baijnath Sahai v. Desputty Singh	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 208: 25 W. R., 489.	4669
Baij Nath Sahay v. Rugho Nath Pershad Singh .	12 C. L. R., 186	480
Baij Nath Sahu v. Lala Sital Prasad	2 B. L. R., F. B., 1: 10 W.	2891, 5367
Baijoo Baul v. Gugun Misser	R., F. B., 66: 8 W. R., Cr., 61	$2006 \\ 1314$
Baijun Doobey v. Brij Bhookun Lall Awusti .	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 133: 24 W. 7	2519
Bai Kanku v. Bai Jadav	R., 306: L. R., 2 I. A, 275) I. L. R., 8 Bom., 15	2419
Baikanthanath Chatterjee v. Naziruddin	1 B. L. R., S. N., 11: 10 W.	351
Baikanthanath Kumar v. Chandra Mohan Chowdhry	R., 171. 1 B. L. R., A. C., 133: 10 W. R., 190.	138, 4194
Baikantha Nath Singh v. Dhiraj Mahatab Chand.	9 B. L. R., 87: 17 W. R.,	533 0
Bai Kashi v. Bai Jamna	447. J. L. R., 10 Bom., 449	3262
Bai Kesar v Bai Ganga	8 Bom., A. C., 31	2078, 2275
Bai Kesserbai v Naranji Walji	1. L. R., 4 Bom., 353	$459 \\ 1344$
Bai Khedu v. Dasu Lall	5 Bom., A. C., 123 3 C. L. R., 134	4508
Bai Kushal v. Lakhma Mana	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 452	2263
Bai Kuvar v. Vendidas Gangaram	8 Bom., A. C., 127	1898
Bai Lakshmi v. Lakhmidas Gopal Das	1 Bom., 13	2422
Bailur Krishna Raw v. Lakshmana Shanbhogue .	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 302 . }	413, 896, 1693 4424, 6075,
Bai Mahkor v. Bulakhi Chakee	1. L. R., 1 Bom., 538 .	6080
Bai Mancha v. Narotamdas Kashidas	6 Bom., A. C., 1	2342, 2371
Bai Maneckbai v. Bai Merbai	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 363 .	4239, 5879 , 6056
Bai Manekbai v. Manekji Kavasji	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 213 .	3463
Bainee v. Mahomed Ali Khan	2 Agra, 307	4925
Bainee Pershad v. Mahomed Ukber Hossein .	3 Agra, Rev., 3	1645
Bainee Singh v. Bhurth Singh	1 Agra, 162	2345 6099
Bai Premkuvar v. Bhika Kallianji	5 Bom., A. C., 209 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 380	6118, 6142
Bai Suraj v. Dalpatram Dayashankar	8 Bom., A. C., 83	5591
Bai Ude Kuvar v. Mulji Naran	3 Bom., A. C., 177 .	3130, 3131
Bai Ujam v. Valiji Rasulbhai	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 456 .	620
Baiva Khan Daud Khan v. Bhiku Sazba	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 475 . 2 Bom., 335: 2nd Ed., 317	3139 4902
Bai Vijkor v. Fakirbhai	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 152	1099
Baji Dev v. Sadashiv Bhaishankar	5 Bom., A. C., 158 .	1897
Bakar Halsana v. Dinobandhu Biswas	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 17 .	3865
Bakas Ram Sahoo v. Chummun Ram	7 W. R., 11	2843
Bakatram Badrinath. In the matter of the petition of Baker v. Sutherland	6 Bom., O. C., 131 8 B. L. R., 298: 16 W. R., 90	4758 1157
Bakhat Ram v. Wazir Ali	I. L. R., 1 All., 448	2861, 3821
	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 39: 13 C.	417, 5296
Bakhir Mohammed v. Doorga Churn Shaha Bakhshi Nand Kishore v. Malak Chand	L. R., 200. I. L. R., 7 All., 289	5466

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bakranath Mandal v. Binodram Sen	1 B. L. R., F. B., 25: 10 W. (1621, 3015,
	R., F. B., 33.	4149
Bakshiram Gangaram v. Darku Tukaram	10 Bom., 369	4023 4885,
Baksu Lakshman v. Govinda Kanji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 594	1825
Bakubai v. Manchhabai	2 Bom., 5	2304, 2334
Bakur Ali Chowdhry v. Ashkur Ali	5 W. R., 156	144
Balaji v. Ramasami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 284	5979
Balaji Anant Rajadiksha v. Ganesh Janardan Kamati .	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 499	1468
Balaji Baikaji Pinge v. Gopal bin Raghu Kuli	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 23	1188, 1639
Balaji Ganesh v. Khusalji	11 Bom., 24	3546
Balaji J. Rahalkar v. Narayan Bhat	3 Bom., A. C., 63	2055
Balaji Mahadev v. Krishuaji bin Chimnaji	6 Bom., A. C., 95	5822
Balaji Narayan Kolatkar v. Ram Chandra Ganesh }	11 Bom., 37 {	3941, 4828,
Kelkar.		5311
Balaji Narji v. Babu Deoli	5 Bom., A. C., 159	4185
Balaji Ramchandra v. Gajanan Babaji	11 Bom., 159	442, 5417
Balaji Ranchhoddas. In re	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 680 .	1888, 2793,
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		3140
Balaji Sitaram. In re	11 Bom., 34	5511, 5514
Balaji Sitaram Naik Salgavkar v. Bhikaji Soyare Pra-	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 164 . {	3036, 3039,
bhu Kanolekar.	1	4893
Balaji Vishvanath Joshi v. Dharma	2 Bom., 385: 2nd Ed., 363 .	4902, 5044
Bala Kadar v. Gulam Mohidin	I. L. R., 7 Bom, 424	5442
Balak Tewari v. Kansil Misr	I. L. R., 4 All., 491	246
Balam Das v. Dwarka Das	7 N. W., 88	1502, 5681
Balaram Chatruklal v. Magistrate of Igatpuri	I. L. R, 6 Bom., 672	4422
Balarami Reddi v. Pera Reddi	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 267	2218, 2289
Balaram Mullick v. Solano	8 B. L. R , 335	426
Balaram Nemchand v. Appa valad Dulu	9 Bom., 121	4822
Bala Thakoor v. Meghburn Singh	14 W. R., 269	1764, 3805
Bala valad Sankia v. Gabaji Balvant Kulkarni	2 Bom., 175: 2nd Ed., 168 .	1075,6123
Balbhadar v. Bisheshar	I. L. R., 8 All., 495	2407
Bal Chand v. Raghunath Das	I. L. R., 4 All., 155	794
Baldeo Das v. Gobind Shankar	I. L. R., 7 All., 914	82, 596
v. Sham Lal	I. L. R., 1 Ah., 77	2370
Baldeo Das Agarwalla v. Kaich	3 B. L. R., O. C., 80	4325
Baldeo Panday v. Gokul Rai	1. L. R., 1 All., 603	2716
v. Jhari Kuar	7 N. W., 334	4041
Baldeo Prasad v. Grish Chandra Bose	I. L. R., 2 All., 754	4261, 5245
Baldeo Sahai v. Bateshar Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 75	5040
Balfour & Co. v. Kilburn & Co.	1	6013
Balgobind v. Bhawani Deen Sahoo	1 Agra, Mis., 16	1925
v. Ramkumar	I. L. R., 6 All., 431	2484
Bal Gobind Muhtoon v. Lutafut Hossein	7 W. R., 142	5809
Balgobind Ram v. Hivusranee	2 W. R., 255	2481
Bal Gobind Tewaree v. Hureenath Pershad Sahoo .	16 W. R., 183 · · ·	4289
Balindur Narain v. Kalla Messoo Koos	18 W. R., 341	1570, 6272
Balkishen v. Jasoda Kuar	I. L. R., 7 All., 765	5759
v. Sita Ram	I. L. R., 7 All., 731	437, 240
Dallation Dominion of the	(I. L. R., 10 Calc., 305 : 13 C.	1470 070
Balkishen Das v. Run Bahadur Singh	L. R., 392 : L. R., 10 I. A., {	1478, 273
D 1 77' 1 - 24' 1	(162.	0000
Bal Kishen Mahapattur v. Bistoo Churn	22 W. R., 532	3870
Balkishoon v. Mahommed Tazam Allee	4 N. W., 90	796, 192
Balkissen Dass v. Luchmiput Singh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 91	185
Balkrishna v. Ganesh	11 Bom., 116, note .	2032, 3133
		3469

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Balkrishna v. Kisansing v. Lakshman v. Masuma Bibi	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 505, note I. L. R., 3 Bom., 219 I. L. R., 5 All., 142: L. R., 9 I. A, 182: 13 C. L. R.,	5665 5892 1251
v. Nagvekar Balkrishna Bhalchandra v. Gopal Raghunath Balkrishna Bhasakar Gupte. Ex parte Balkrishna Chimnaji v. Balaji Balkrishna Ganpatji. In the goods of	232. I. L. R., 6 Bom., 324 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 73 2 Bom., 96: 2nd Ed., 91 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 25 1 Bom., 114	1229 2499 178 2851 2678, 6201
Balkrishna Gopal v. Bal Joshi Sadashiv Joshi Balkrishna Govind Gadjil v. Narayan Sakharam Balkrishna Moreshvar Kunte v. Municipality of Mahad Balkrishna Pandurang v. Govind Saivaji Balkrishna Shaligram. In re the petition of Balkrishna Trimback v. Govind Pand Naik	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 663 8 Bom., A. C., 180	3455 4864 4248 3316 99 5843
Balkrishna Trimbak Tendulkar v. Savitribai Balkrishna Vasudev v. Madharav Narayan	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 297 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 54 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 73	2285, 2297 2892, 4417, 5341, 5367
Balkrishna Vithal v. Hari Shankar Ball v. Stowell Ballabh Das v. Sunder Das Ballabh Shankar v. Narain Singh Ballin v. Ballin	8 Bom., A. C., 64 I. L. R., 2 All., 322 I. L. R., 1 All., 429 I. L. R., 3 All., 173 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 218: 8 C.	4881 3313 2393 4982 6208
Ballin v. Ballin Ballodeb Lall Bhagat v. Anadi Mohapattro Bally Dobey v. Ganei Deo Balmakund v. Janki v. Sheojatan Lal Balmer, Lawrie, & Co., v. Huree Narain Poddar	L. R., 28. J. L. R., 10 Calc., 410 L. L. R., 9 Calc., 388 L. L. R., 3 All., 403 L. L. R., 6 All., 125 24 W. R., 292	212 2041 3852 5947, 5962 5981
Balmokoond Brojobasi. In the matter of the petition of Bal Mokoond Lall v. Jirjudhun Roy	18 W. R., Cr., 65. I. L. R., 9 Calc., 271: 11 C. L. R., 466.	4367 4252, 5364
Balmokund v. Lekhraj	3 N. W., 156, note	5665 438 2215, 2216, 2762, 4224
Balnia Kundu Duboye v. Adikunda Punda Baloji Tamaji Pothar v. Tamangonda bin Ghanasyam Gouda.	7 C. L. R., 560 6 Bom., A. C., 97	4163 4885
Baluk Doss v. Nimaye Chunder Sircar Balum Bhutt alias Ram Bhuth v. Bhoobun Lall Balund Khan v. Janee Balvantrav v. Jivanji Hormasji Balvantrav alias Tatiaji Bapaji v. Purshotam Sidheshvar Balvantrav Bhaskar v. Bayabai Balvantrav Oze v. Ganpatrav Jadhav	17 W. R., 511	5376 3334 3657 6131 3362, 3408 2132 5236
Balvant Santaram v. Babaji bin Sambhapa	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 602 . {	1718, 2385,
Balwant Rao v. Puran Mal Balwant Rao Bishwant Chandra Chor v. Puran Mal	I. L. R., 6 All., 1 L. R., 10 I. A., 90	4949, 5251 3171 3171
Chaube. Balwant Singh v. Daulat Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 315 I. L. R., 5 All., 591	883 635, 3153
Bam v. Survey Commissioner and the Collector of Rat-	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 134	609
Bama Churn Ghosal. In re	2 C. L. R., 553	2777, 3881

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bamakallee Dossee. In the matter of Bama Nath Chuckerbutty v. Romanath Roy Bamandas Banerjee v. Harolal Shaha Bamanji Manikji v. Naoraji Palanji Bama Soonderee Dabea Chowdhranee v. Bhugruttee Debea Chowdhranee. Bama Soonderee Dossee v. Radhika Chowdhrain Bamasoonderee Dossee v. Verner Bamasoondery v. Nilmoney Chunder Bamasoondery Debea v. Samasoondery Debea Bamasoondery Debia v. Rogers Bamasoonduree Dabee v. Tarineekant Lahooree	10 W. R., 4 12 W. R., F. B, 25 1 B. L. R., S. N., 10: 10 W. R., 140 1 Bom., 233 1 Hay, 495 1 W. R., 339 13 B. L. R., 189: 22 W. R., 136. Cor., 56 W. R., 1864, 13 7 W. R., 127 20 W. R., 415	667 •4143 1098 422, 1400 5986 4150 2950 3090 3371 1209 3829
Bama Soonduree Dabee Chowdhrain v. Kashee Kissore Roy Chowdhry. Bamasoonduree Debee v. Kaminee Bewa Bama Soonduree Debia v. Anundmoyee Debia Bama Soonduree Dossee v. Bama Soonduree Dossee	22 W. R., 245	4252 5682 1453 2487, 5786 2479, 4027
v. Kaloo Peadah	10 W. R., 395 25 W. R., 156 13 W. R., P. C., 11: 13 Moore's I. A., 248.	1641 814 1595, 4150 4754, 4764
Bama Soondury Debia v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co. Bamasundari Dasi v. Ramnarayan Mitter v. Bamasundari Dassi v. Krishna Chandra Dhur	9 W. R., 367 7 B. L. R., Ap., 59 8 B. L. R., Ap., 65 L. L. R., 10 Calc., 424	3342 5207, 5534 1214, 4526 4839 1213
Bamma Sundry Dossee v. Anundololl Doss Bamun Doss Mookerjee v. Brojo Kishore Mitter Mo- zoomdar. Bamundoss Mookerjea v. Omeish Chunder Rae Bamundoss Mookerjee v. Tarinee	6 W. R., 130 6 Moore's I. A., 289 7 Moore's I. A., 169	159 2693 2145
Banapa v. Sunderdas Jagjivandas Banarsi Das v. Bhikari Das v. Maharani Kuar Banchanidhi Mahanty. In re Banda Ali v. Banspat Singh Banda Hasan v. Abadi Begum	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 333 I. L. R., 3 All., 717 I. L. R., 5 All., 27 17 W. R., Cr., 6 I. L. R., 4 All., 352 I. L. R., 4 All., 180	1823 4891 1930 3882 1110 4887
Bandam Settah v. Bandam Maha Lakshmy Bandaru Swami Naidu v. Atchayamma Bandey Karim v. Romesh Chunder Bundopadhya.	4 Mad., 180	672, 687, 2308 2711 4982
Bandhan Singh v. Solhu	L. R., 145. J. L. R., 8 All., 191 J. L. R., 7 All., 342 J. L. R., 7 All., 342 W. R., 406, note.	191, 5951 6018 5455
Bandi Subbayya v. Madalapalli Subanna Bandy Ali v. Madhub Chunder Nag Banee Kant Ghose v. Haran Kisto Ghose Banee Krishun v. Koondun Lall Banee Lall v. Ram Bhurose Chowbey Banee Madhub Banerjee v. Bhagat Pal v. Joy Kishen Mookerjee v.	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 96 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 932 24 W. R., 405 2 N. W., 221 1 N. W., Ed., 1873, 63 20 W. R., 466 4 W. R., Act X, 16 11 W. R., 354	3137, 4905 5464 3181 4633 2979 1607, 1735 532, 5200 6040

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bance Madhub Bose v. Kalee Churn Singh	24 W. R., 387	5123
v. Soodha Madhub Bose	2 Hay, 333	2346
Banee Madhub Bukshee v. Radha Madhub Mozoomdar.	22 W. R., 196	3255, 5305
Banee Madhub Chatterjee v. Bholanath Banerjee	10 W. R., 164	5750
Banee Madhub Chowdhry v. Tara Prosunno Bose	21 W. R., 33 · · · ·	1626
Banee Madhub Dutt v. Nund Lall Mozoomdar	22 W. R., 123	4954, 4955 668, 1682,
Banee Madhub Ghose v . Thakoor Doss Mundul \cdot $\}$	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 588: 6 W. R., Act X, 71.	2207
v. Woomanath Roy Chowdhry	21 W. R., Cr., 26	4102, 5946
Banee Madhub Koondoo. In the matter of the petition of	21 W. R., Cr., 23	2029
Banee Madhub Lahoree v. Bipro Doss Dey	15 W. R., 69	3191
Banee Madhub Mookerjee v. Bhagobutty Churn Banerjee	8 W. R., 370	2357
v. Nilambur Banerjee	8 W. R., 376	182
Banee Madhub Roy. In the matter of the petition of .	13 W. R., 431 · · ·	4959
Banee Muhtoon v. Gopee Bhuggut	12 W. R., 285	1908
Banee Pershad v. Court of Wards	15 W. R., 192	2147
v. Lalla Joggessur Doss	11 W. R., 47	300
v. Lana Joggessur Doss v. Mahaboodhy v. Maun Singh	7 W. R., 292	2313 1697
v. Radha Pershad Singh	8 W. R., 67	5134
v. Reet Bhunjun Singh	10 W. R., 325	5393
Banee Ram v. Hossein Ali	11 W. R., 184	5141
Baneeram v. Newaz Bibee	8 W. R., 511	5121
	10 B. L. R., Ap., 2: 18 W. R.,	1
v. Ram Nath Shah	412.	568
Baneshur Doss v. Banee Madhub Dess	18 W. R., 256	1823
Baney Madhub Roy v. Hurry Mohun Roy	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 16	357
Baney Madhub Shaw. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 207: 10 C.) L. R., 389.	501
Bangaraiya v. Balabhadra Razu	2 Mad., 386	1568
Bani Madhub Mitter v. Matungini Dassi	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 104	3178
Bank of Bengal v. Currie	3 B. L. R., 396: 12 W. R., 432.	1026, 5708
v. Fagan	5 Moore's I. A. 27	4513
n Colom Agim	12 W. R., 185	4743
v. Golam Azim	5 Moore's I. A., 1	4513, 4648
	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 654: 5 C. ?	
v. Mendes · · · }	L. R., 586.	2050
v. Newton · · · ·	12 B. L. R., Ap., 1	2628
v. Nundo Lall Doss	12 B. L. R., 509	5426
v. Radha Kissen Mitter	3 Moore's I. A., 19	4617
Bank of Hindustan, China, and Japan, v . Eastern Fi- $f f$	3 B. L. R., P. C., 8: 12 W.	051
nancial Association.	R., P. C., 27: 13 Moore's }	951
v. Nundo Loll)	11 B. L. R., 301	2824, 4000
Sen.	11 15. 14. 10., 501	
v. Premchand		945, 2261,
Raichand	5 Bom., O. C., 83 · · }	4271,5485,
,	E1 T 1 T N C 107	5943
v. Sedgwick	1 Ind. Jur., N. S, 107	5825
	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 311	4006
v. Wilson .	1 B. L. R., O. C., 41	2704
Sank of India v. Ragho Narayan	4 Bom., A. C. 164	5460, 5468
	2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 17: 117	5947,6010,
Sanka Bihari Ghose. In the matter of	W. R., Cr., 26.	6181
•	·,,	

Name of Casc.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Banno v. Pir Muhammad	I. L. R., 2 All., 688	
Bannoo v. Kashee Ram	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 315	
Bans Bahadur Singh v. Mughla Begam	I. L. R., 2 All., 604	
Bansidhar v. Bu Ali Khan	I. L. R., 3 All., 260	
Bongi Lel a Monogr	I. L. R, 3 All., 340 7 N. W., 331	
Bansi Lal v. Mercer	4 B. L. R., Ap., 82: 13 W. R.,	
Banu v. Narayan Sahu	233.	5736
Banwari Lal Sahu v. Girdhari Singh	8 B. L. R., Ap., 23: 16 W., R., 275.	3776
Bany Madhob Mookerjee v. Sridhur Deb Ghuttuck	10 C. L. R., 555	
Bany Madhob Trivadi v. Ram Gopal Sircar	3 C. L. R., 523	
Bapirazu v. Kamarazu	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 26	
Bapooram Aham v. Gungaram Kacharee	17 W. R., Cr., 54	
Bapubhai Khushaldas v. Bai Suraj	8 Bom., A. C., 83	
Bapu bin Ishvar v. Lakshuman Baji	10 Bom., 19	
Bapu Daldi v. Queen	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 23	
Bapuji v. Pandurang	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 616	
Bapuji Apaji v. Senavaraji Marvadi	I. J. R., 2 Bom., 231	
Bapuji Auditram v. Umedbhai Hathesing	8 Bom., A. C., 245	
Bapuji Balal v. Satyabhamabai	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 490	
Bapuji Balvant v. Raghunath Vithal	6 Bom. A. C., 72	
Bapuji Jagjivay v. Magistrate of Kheda	4 Bom., A. C., 153	
Bapuji Pitambhar. Ex parte	3 Bom., A. C., 175	
Bapu Ram Parbhu v. Visaji Chando Saktanekar .	8 Bom., A. C., 182	
Bapurav Krishna v. Madhavrav Ramrav	5 Bom., A. C., 214	
Bapusa bin Sadashiv v. Ramji bin Gopalji	2 Bom., 220	
Baradakant Rai v. Bhagwan Das	I. L. R., 1 All., 344 2 B. L. R., P. C., 1:11 W. R.,	
Baradakant Roy v. Chundrakumar Roy	P. C., 1: 12 Moore's 1. A., 145.	4483
Baradakant Roy v. Commissioner of the Sunderbuns	2 B. L. R., P. C., 33:11 W. R., P. C., 14.	£916
Baranja v. Basdeo Misser	7 N. W., 241	
"Bardot", The, v. The "Augusta".	10 Bom., 110	
Barhamdeo Narain Singh v. Mackenzie	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1095 .	
Bari Babu v. Gulab Chand	I. L. R, 7 All., 454	
Barkat v. Daulat	I. L. R., 4 All., 187	
Barkat-ul-lah Khan v. Rennie	1. L. R., 1 All., 17	
Barlow v. Cochrane	2 B. L. R., O. C., 56	
v. Orde	18 W. R., 175	
	5 B. L. R., 1:13 W. R., P.	000F
	C., 41: 13 Moore's I. A.,	6207
T	277.	
Barmutollah. In re	. 10 B. L. R., 156, note .	
Barnet v. Skinner	. 2 W. R., 208	
Baroda Debia v. Sreeram Chowdhry	. 5 W. R., Mis., 21	
Baroda Kant Banerjee v. Koomodinee Kant Banerjee	. 17 W. R., 467	
Baroda Kant Bhuttacharjee v. Pearee Mohun Mookerje	e 23 W., R., 57	
Baroda Kanto Baroni a Lodhistach Noth	. 10 C. L. R., 99	
Baroda Kanto Beepari v. Jodhisteer Nath Barodakant Roy v. Karimuddi Moonshee	4 C T D 459	
	3 B. L. R., A., C., 343: 12	4468
Prankrishna Paroi	W. R., 192.	-20-20-00
v. Radha Churn Roy	13 W. R., 163 1 W. R., 29	
v. Sookmoy Mookerjee Baroda Kooery v. Ashruffunnissa	1 W. R., 25	
Zarous record of requirements	_ 11 . Avij A1	

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Baroda Prosad Mostafi v. Gora Chand Mostafi .	{	3 B. L. R., A. C., 295 : 12 W. 3 R., 160.	2855
Baroda Prosonno Chuckerbutty. In the matter of Baroda Soondree Dassee. In the matter of the petition	ί {	2 C. L. R., 348 B. L. R., Sup., Vol., 609 : 6 W.	490 4526
of. Baroda Sundari Dabia v. Fergusson		R., Mis., 114.	794, 1941
Baroo Mull v. Chujjoo Mull	•	4 N. W., 125	3160 4033
Barracho v. DeSouza	•	7 Mad., 72	378
Barrow v. Archer	•	Cor., 9	2615 4569
·	Ġ	1 Ind., Jur., O. S., 57: 1 7	
v. Pollock · · · · ·	ł	Hyde, 149.	1001
v. Stewart	•	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 226	1063
Barrut Chunder Doss v. Damjee Pittumber .	•	Bourke, O. C., 7: Cor., 150 .	355 2, 530
Barry v. Abdool Ali		W. R., 1864, Act X, 64 1 C. L. R., 80	6057
Barton v. Brijonath Surmah		3 W. R., 65	5375
Basa Mal v. Maharaj Singh		I. L. R., 8 All., 205	5391
Basant Lal v. Batul Bibi	•	I. L. R., 6 All., 23	3462
v. Najmunnissa Bibi		I. L. R., 6 All., 14	3484 4770
Basant Rai v. Kanauji Lall		I. L. R., 2 All., 455	3959
Basant Ram v. Kolahal	.	I. L. R., 1 All., 392	2577
Basapa. In re the petition of	\cdot	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 172 . {	1263, 2767 3604
v. Marya	\cdot	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 433 .	3463, 4464 5416
Basapa bin Murtiapa v. Lakshmapa bin Maritamapa	.	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 624	2914
Basappa v. Dundaya	•	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 540	5437
Basappah v. Yenkatappah	٠	4 Mad., 70	2859
Basaruddin Bhuiah v. Bahar Ali Basawa Gurbasawa v. Kalkapa	١.	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 8 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 489	4105 327, 4783
Basdeo v. Gopal		I. L. R., 8 All., 644	3349, 3402
Basdeo Singh v. Mata Din Singh	.	I. L. R., 4 All., 276	4012
Baser Mahomed v. Sudder Ghazee		7 W. R., 97	5074
Bashetiappa bin Baslingappa v. Shivlingappa bin Bal-	3	10 Bom., 268	2115, 2127
lappa. Bashiyagarula Nayadu. Ex parte	7	1 Mad., 254	5129
Basit Ali v. Man Singh		2 N. W., 140	2004
Baskarasami v. Sivasami		I. L. R., 8 Mad., 196	3587, 35∂5
Baso Koer v. Hurry Dass	{	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 495: 12 C.	2399, 5388
Basoo Mad Furash v. Huree Pandey		L. R., 292.	5826
Bassunt Lall Dass v. Pana Ali		3 C. L. R., 432	1638
Bastian v . Tireman		2 Mad., 383	5686
Bastiram v. Fattu		I. L. R., 8 All., 146	836
Basudeb Bhat v. Narayan Daji Damle Basudeb Surma Gossain. In the matter of the petition o		I. L. R., 7 Bom., 131	4838 5886
v. Nazirooddeen		I. L. R., 14 Calc., 834	5 886
Basu Dhul v. Kissen Chunder Geer Gossain		13 W. R., 200	2254
Basunt Kawal v. Forth		7 N. W., 47	1358
Basvantrav Kidingappa v. Mantappa Kidingappa .	- 1	1 Bom., Ap., 42	22 30 170 7, 5439,
Baswantapa Shidapa v. Ranu	ı	I., L. R., 8 Dom., 60 .	6158
Batahee Begum v. Khooshal		3 Agra, 221	1172 4192
	1		

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bata Krishna Naik v. Chintamani Naik Batasi v. Mahesh Bates v. Municipal Commissioners for the Town of Bellary.	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 262	235 4 578 7 3599
Bateshar Nath v. Faizulhasan Batool Bebee v. Jagut Narain Batool Nashyo v. Bhugloo Chowkeedar Battas Kuar v. Lachman Singh Baumgartner v. Stephenson Bavachi Packi v. Pierce, Leslie, & Co. Bavah Meah Saib v. Khajee Meah Saib Bawa Misser v. Bishen Prokash Narain Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 280 4 N. W., 172 10 W. R., Cr., 50 7 N. W., 117 3 Agra, 321 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 219 4 Mad., 218 10 W. R, 287 I. L. R. 6 All. 172	5083 565 973, 4749 2128 1399, 6055 229, 2652 2821 2528 407
Bawan Das v. Mulchand Bawani Sankara Pandit v. Ambabay Ammal Bayabai v. Bala urf Venkatesh Rama Kant Bayi Saiba v. Jijoyiamba Bayi Saiba Bayley v. Taruknauth Poramanic Bazayet Hossein v. Dooli Chund	I. L. R., 6 All., 173 1 Mad., 363 7 Bom., Ap., 1 3 Mad., 424 Bourke, O. C., 263 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 402: L. R., 5 I. A. 211.	2145, 2420 2120 2316, 2464 5647 3640
Bazloor Rahim v. Abdullah	2 B. L. R., S. N., 5:10 W. 7 R., 359. 13 B. L. R., Ap., 24: 21 W.	4006 1238
Beake & Co. v. Davis Beard v. Egerton Beattie v. Jetha Dungarsi Bebee v. Mahomed Ghousi Becharam Banerjee v. Thakurmani Debi	R., 397. I. L. R., 4 All., 530 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 179 5 Bom., O. C., 152 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 31 8 B. L. R., 53, note: 10 W. R., 114.	3179 421 1436, 2678 550 2842
Becharam Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of the petition of. Becharam Chowdhry v. Puhubnath Jha Becharam Doss v. Brojonath Pal Chowdhry	15 W. R., Cr., 67 2 B. L. R., Ap., 53 9 W. R., 369	4105 1362 2707, 3840
Becharam Dutta v. Abdul Wahed Becharam Ghorooee v. Boistubnath Bhooyan Becharam Mookerjee v. Issur Chunder Mookerjee Becharam Paul v. Bhugwan Chunder Ghose Bechar Bhagavan v. Bai Lakshmi Bechoo. In re Bechoo Singh v. Roy Sheo Sahoy Bechu Lal v. Oliullah Bechun v. Mahomed Yakoob Khan Beckwith v. Kishto Jeebun Buckshee v. Noorjumma	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 55 14 W. R., 177 W. R., 1864, 4 5 C. L. R., 522 1 Bom., 56 6 W. R., Cr., 92 1 N. W., 56: Ed. 1873, 111 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 338 3 W. R., 225 Marsh., 278: 2 Hay, 286 2 W. R., Act X, 11	3136, 3472, 3508 4105 5338 1489 2510 6250 4028 4251 3237 225, 2691 1390 3918
	3 W. R., 110 19 W. R., 315 11 W. R., 496 20 W. R., 369 2 Moore's I. A., 441 20 W. R., 421 17 W. R., 421 15 W. R., 427 8 W. R., 252 10 W. R., 291 10 W. R., 348	3655 5594 508 3687 4476 366 336, 1629 1745 760

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Beejoynath Chatterjee v. Luckhee Monee Dabee	12 W. R., 248	1405, 6105,
Beekun Koer v. Maharajah Bahadoor	Marsh., 66: 1 Hay, 134	6106 3117 556
Beelasmonee v. Nusseeboolah	Marsh., 410: 2 Hay, 510 5 W. R., P. C., 121: 2 Moore's	2096, 3408
Beema Shunkur v. Jamasjee Shaporjee	I. A., 23. 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 225 .	2125
Beemul Doss v. Shikhur Chand	24 W. R., 211	666
Beenarussee Doss. In the matter of Beenodeeny Dossee. In the matter of	Cor., 123 2 Hyde, 152 : Cor., 78 .	2650 910
Beepin Beharee Biswas v. Judoonath Hazrah	21 W. R., 367	5320
Beepin Chunder Shickdar v. Purreshnath Biswas .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 98	5476 4515
Beer v. National Bank of India Beer Chunder Jobraj v. Deputy Collector of Bhullooah .	19 W. R., 67	4175
Beer Chunder Joobraj v. Mohamed Asgur	W. R., 1864, 145 .	3177
v. Neelkissen Thakoor v. Ram Coomar Dhur	1 W. R., 177 6 W. R., Mis., 26	2227 2708
v. Ram Gothar Dhur v. Ram Gutty Dutt v. Shibjoy Thakoor Tarinee Churn Roy v. Umakant Sein	8 W. R., 209	3279, 4128
v. Shibjoy Thakoor	W. R., 1864, 8	4203, 5105
v. Tarinee Churn Roy	11 W. R., 46 W. R., 1864, 232 .	$6221 \\ 5105$
Beer Chunder Manick v. Hossein	17 W. R., 29	3002
Beer Chunder Manickhya v. Hurro Chunder Burman	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 211:12 C. L. R., 329.	559
Beer Chunder Manik v. Ramkishen Shaw . }	14 B. L. R., 370 : 23 W. R., 128.	1734
Beer Chunder Manikkya v. Raj Coomar Nobodcep (1. L. R., 9 Calc., 535 : 12 C. }	2411, 2802,
Chunder Deb Burmono	L. R., 465.	2895 8 7 2
Beer Chunder Manikya v. Ishan Chunder Burdhun v. Maymana Bibee	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 136 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 736	1882
Beer Chunder Roy v. Tumeezooddeen	12 W. R., 87	1731, 4289,
	Cor., 56	$\begin{array}{c} 6173 \\ 2603 \end{array}$
Beer Chund Gossai v. Hogg Beer Kishore Lall v. Kunbooly Lall	W. R., 1864, Act X, 109	1605
Beer Kishore Sahoy v. Government of Bengal	17 W. R., 497	4583
Beer Kishore Suhye Singh v. Hur Bullub Narain Singh.	5 W. R., 502 · . {	2155, 2188, 3747
Beer Narain Sircar v. Teencowrie Nundee	1 W. R., 316	2342, 2346
Beerooppo Setty v. Hursmull Ramchund	2 Hyde, 74	2682
Beer Persad v. Doorgapersad	W. R., 1864, 310 W. R., 1864, 215	2171 2188, 5770 [,]
	9 W. R., P. C., 15: 12 Moore's	2326, 2535
Beerpertab Sahee v. Rajender Pertab Sahee	I. A., 1.	· .
Beet Bhunjun Singh v. Jowhur Doss	4 W. R., Mis., 17 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	208 3143
Bego Jan v. Cherag Ali	5 W. R., 120	6061
Begoo Jaun v. Gashee Bebee	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 19	3332
Begum v. Doolee Chund	20 W. R., 93	3660 9909
Begum Bibee. In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., 168	2893 525 6
Behala Bibi. In the matter of the petition of .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 789: 8 C. L. R., 207.	4365
Beharee Lal v. Baboo	2 Agra, 80	2849
Beharee Lall v. Rajah Ram	6 N. W., 291	5436
Beharee Lall Dey v. Kaminee Soonduree Beharee Lall Doss v. Radhanath Doss .	14 W. R., 319	1815 4294
Beharee Lall Mookerjee v. Modhoo Soodun Chowdhry	8 W. R., 474	2977

· Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Beharee Lall Nack v. Sreeram Roy	20 W. R., 259	5753 298
Beharee Lall Roy v. Kalee Doss Chunder	8 W. R., 451	4206
Beharee Lal Roy v. Lall Chand Roy	25 W. R., 307	2370
Beharee Lall Sahoo v. Juggernath Pershad	1 Agra, 41	1507
Beharee Ram v. Shoobhudra	9 W. R., 455	3715, 420
	I. L. R., 3 All., 75	185
Behari Das v. Kalian Das	I. L. R., 8 All., 543	373
Behari Hadji, In the matter of	5 C. L. R., 238	1012 3850, 400
Behari Lal v. Beni Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 408	4235
v. Habiba Bibl.	I. L. R., 8 All., 267	1873
v. Salik Ram	I. L. R., 1 All., 676	3527
	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 110 : 4 C. L.	l .
Behari Lall Mookerjee v. Mungolanath Mookerjee	R., 371.	3155
Behari Lal Mullick v . Indramani Chowdhrani $oldsymbol{\cdot}$	13 B. L. R., 401 : 21 W. R., 285.	2112
Behari Mahton v. Queen-Empress	I. I. R, 11 Calc., 106	719, 462
	4 B. L. R., F. B., 46:12 W.	4202, 4725
Behari Patak v. Mahomed Hyat Khan	R., Cr., 60.	4732
Behary Koomaree v. Mohendro Nath Bose	2 W. R., 271	3397
	I. L R., 9 Calc., 446: 12 C. L.	2032, 3130
Behary Lall v. Goberdhun Lall	R., 431.	3133, 3135
D.L T. II D T. the method of	(3471
Behary Lall Bose. In the matter of	9 W. R., Cr, 69	1799
Behary Lall Mohurwar v. Madho Lall Shir Gyawal	13 B. L. R., 222: 21 W. R., 3	1419
Behary Lall Sandyal v. Juggo Mohun Gossain . }	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 1:2 C. L. R., 422.	4667
Behary Loll Doss v. Tej Narain	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 764	1817, 273
Behary Shaha v. Nubby Khan	9 W. R., Cr., 13	2004
Bejoy Chunder Banerjee v. Kally Prosonno Mookerjee .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 327	6005
Bejoy Gobind Deb v. Muddun Kam Pal	18 W. R., 454	5705
Bejoy Keot v. Goria Keot	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 437: 9 C. L. ?	2857
bejoy Keot v. Goria Keot.	R., 218.	
Bejoy Nath Chatterjee v. Bengal Coal Company	23 W. R, Cr., 45	4498
Bekon Singh v. Deen Dyal Lall	24 W. R., 47	3968, 539
Belaet Khan v. Rash Beharee Mookerjee	22 W. R., 549	1746
Belas Koer v. Bhowanee Buksh	Marsh., 641	2356
Belchambers v. Ashootosh Dhur	7 C. L. R. 308	5037
Belilios. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., Cr., 53 {	259, 5155 6270
		4628
v. Queen on the prosecution of Kalidass Baner-)	12 B. L. R., 249	259, 5155
jee.	19 W. R., Cr., 53	6270
Bell v. Gurudas Roy	1 B. L. R., A. C., 50	1450
Bellasis. In the goods of	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 139	3085
Belsaree Coomaree v. Soobrun Singh	2 W. R., Act X. 12	534
Bemola Dossee v. Mohun Dossee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 792: 6 C. L.	2376
Bemola Moyee Debea v. Kishtomonee Debea	R., 34. W. R., 1864, Mis., 10	670
Bemola Soondury Chowdhrain v. Punchanun Chowdhry	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 705	5061
Bemul Doss v. Ikbal Narain	25 W. R., 249	3221, 352
Benarsee Doss v. Khooshal Chund	2 Agra., Pt. II, 170	3222, 330
	1 Agra, 243	3707
v. Filooi Chuna		
v. Phool Chund Benarsi Das v. Bhikhari Dass	I. L. R., 3 All., 717	1773, 583

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bengal Act VI of 1866. In the matter of . Bengal Banking Corporation v. Makertich . Bengal Coal Company v. Dareembah Dabea . v. Elgin Cotton Company v. Hurdyal Marwaree v. Mahtab Chund Bahadoor	15 B. L. R., Ap., 14 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 315 Marsh., 105: 1 Hay, 181 2 N. W., 13 25 W. R., 245 12 W. R., 340	6026 4792 1696, 2700 2817, 5258 2059 2952
Bengal Indigo Company v. Koylash Chunder Doss v. Tarinee Pershad Ghose Beni Madhab Banerjee v. Jai Krishna Mookerjee .	10 W. R., 293 3. W. R., Act X, 149 7 B. L. R., 152: 12 W. R., 495	3205 1724 53, 6040
Beni Madhab Das v. Ramjoy Rokh	1 B. L. R., A. C., 213: 10 W. 7	. 52
Beni Madhab Ghose v. Ganga Gabind Mandal .	R., 316. 5 B. L. R., 345, note: 15 W. R., F. B., 3, note.	5139
Beni Madho v. Zahurul Haq Beni Narain v. Achraj Nath Beni Prasad v. Lachman Prasad Beni Ram v. Hidayat Hossein v. Nanhu Mal	I. L. R., 3 All., 797 I. L. R., 5 All., 607 I. L. R., 4 All., 131 7 N. W., 82 I. L. R., 7 All., 102: L. R.,	6284 4486 4952 4040 1694
Beni Ram Bhutt v. Ram Lal Dhukri	11 I. A., 181. I. L. R., 13 Calc., 189	191, 741,
Bennett v. Bennett Benode Beharce Mookerjee v. Beer Narain Roy Benode Behari Nundi v. Mohesh Chunder Ghose Benode Koomaree Debee v. Purdhan Gopal Sahee Benode Mohini Chowdhrain v. Sharat Chunder Dey Chowdhry. Benode Putnaik v. Doyanidhee Bullior Singh Benoderam Sen v. Brojendro Narain Roy Benodhee Lall Roy v. Dulloo Sircar Benodi Lal Ghose v. Tamizuddin Benud Behary Mullick. In the matter of Bepin Beharee Roy v. Issur Chunder Sen Bepin Beharee Sircar v. Nilmoni Singh Deo	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 354 5 W. R., Act X, 52 12 C. L. R., 331	54 88 23 0 5
Bepinbehari Bundopadhya v. Brojonath Mookhopadya .	I. L.R., 8 Calc., 357 .	5055
Bepin Behari Moduck v. Lalmohun Chattopadhya Bepin Behari Singh v. Government Bepin Behary Shaha v. Empress Bepin Chunder Shickdar v. Modhoo Sudun Chowdhuri Beputoolla v. Najim Sheikh Beresford. In the goods of v. Hurst Berkeley v. Chittur Kooar Berunja Koeree v. Hurpershad Lall Besharutoollah v. Ajoo	13 C. L. R., 110	192, 2459 5257 1269,1291 5476 1533,5910 1239 2587, 3081 4436 1715 5050
Beshoondyal Singh v. Sarub Narain Singh	14 W. R., 195	5050 4940
Bessessur Bhugut v . Murli Sahu	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 163: 11 ! } L. R., 409.	3433, 4977
Bessessur Chuckerbutty v. Woomachurn Roy Bessunessooree Dabea v. Tarasoonderee Brahminee	7 W. R., 44. Marsh., 201: 1 Hay, 577	1617, 4169 3837
Betts. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 83: 15 W. R. Cr., 6.	1790
v. Arbuthnot v. Bonsi Mundul v. Jamie Sheikh v. Mahomed Ismael Chowdhry	19 W. R., 65 25 W. R., 343 23 W. R., 271 25 W. R., 521	4609 5132 5174 3050 954, 3437

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bevis v . Turner	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 484 . 2 N. W., 439 2 B. L. R., A. C., 152 .	1207 678, 684 4923, 4925, 4937
Bhabosoonderee v. Bhugwan Chunder Mozoomdar Bhadeshwar Chowdhry v. Gourikant Nath Bhadreswari Chowdhrain. In the matter of the petition ?	W. R., 1864, Act X, 88. I. L. R., 8 Calc., 834 7 B. L. R., 329	4868 4428 1806, 4489
of. Bhadu Mahomed v. Radha Churn Bolia	4 B. L. R., A. C., 219	3717
Bhaee Chund v. Purtab Chund	5. W. R., P. C., 31: Moore's I A., 154.	3123
Bhae Koonwar v. Zahoor Ali Bhagabati Charan Bhuttacharjee v. Kali Kumar Chuttah	1 Agra, 258 10 C. L. R., 441	3705 5451
Bhagabati Dasi v. Kanai Lall Mitter	8 B. L. R., 225, ; 17 W. R., ; 433, note.	2430
Bhagabatt Prasad Sing v. Durg Bijai Sing Bhagabatti Debi v. Chowdry Bholanath Thakoor Bhagabuti Churn Bhuttacharjee Chowdhry. In the	8 B. L. R., 73: 16 W. R., 95. 9 B. L. R., 93: 15 W. R., 63.	3015 2190
matter of the petition of. Bhagabuti Churn Bhuttacharjee Chowdhry v. Bisheshwar Sen.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 367 .	5451
Bhagabut Khan v. Puddo Bewa Bhagain Megh Ranee Koer v. Gooroo Pershad Singh Bhagavatamma v. Pampana Gaud Bhagawan Das v. Balgobind Singh	3 N. W., 192 25 W. R., 68 2 Mad., 393 1 B. L. R., S. N., 9	2772 1843 2485 2233
Bhagawani Kunwar v. Lala Baijnath Prasad . {	2 B. L. R., A. C., 84: 10 W. R., 380.	5621
Bhagbat Panda v. Bamdeb Panda Bhagbut Chunder Dey v. Huro Gobind Pal	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 557 20 W. R., 269 18 W. R., Cr., 64 3 W. R., Mis., 20	5609 470 5495 4338
Bhagbutti Deyi v. Bholanath Thakoor {	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 104: 24 W. 7 R., 168: L. R., 2 I. A., 256.	49, 2506
Bhageeruth v. Mohun Bhageeruth Bishee v. Gokul Chunder Mundul Bhageeruth Moodee v. Jabur Jummah Khan Bhageeruttee Dabea v. Greesh Chunder Chowdhry Bhaggu Lal v. DeGruyther	2 Agra, 129 18 W. R., 58 18 W. R., 91 2 Hay, 541 I. L. R., 4 All., 74	4596 2856 2950 35 4320
Bhaghelin v. Mathura Prasad	I. L. R., 4 All., 430 . 20 W. R., 267 .	3988 12
Bhagirath v. Naubat Singh Bhagirath v. Ram Ghulam Bhagirath Adhikari v. Tarini Chandra Pakrasi Bhagirathi Panda v. Padala Gopaludu Bhagirathibai v. Baya	I. L. R., 2 All., 115 I. L. R., 4 All., 283 7 B. L. R., 35: 15 W. R., 413 I. L. R., 3 Mad., 121 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 264	3971 176 5982 3595 2310, 4519
v. Radhabai	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 298 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 293	2128, 2148 5344
Bhago Bibee v. Ramkant Roy Chowdry Bhagoti v. Chandan	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 386: L. R.,	365, 168 5
Bhagoutee Charun v. Shiva Pershad Bhagruth Doss v. Mahasoop Roy Bhagtidas Bhagvandas v. Oliver Bhagu Jetha v. Malek Bawasaheb Bhaguji v. Aniaba Bhagvan Dallabh v. Kala Shankar Bhagvandas v. Hathibhai Bhagvandas Kishordas v. Abdul Husein Mahomed Ali	12 I. A., 67. 1 Agra, Rev., 38 . 6 W. R., Act X, 34 . 9 Bom., 418 . I. L. R., 9 Bom., 318 . I. L. R., 5 Bom., 25 . I. L. R., 1 Bom., 641 . I. L. R., 4 Bom., 25 . I. L. R., 3 Bom., 49 .	4471 1654 1033, 4328 795 3285 2534 408 419, 5842
.,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bhagvandas Tejmal v. Rajmal alias Hiralal Lachiman- das.	10 Bom., 241	2116, 2216
Bhagvan Dayalji v. Balu	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 230	1888, 5893
Bhagvan Jayaram v. Vithoba Govind	4 Bom, A. C., 140	4799
Bhagvansang v. Bechardas	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 73	749
Bhagvatsangji Jalamsangji v. Partabsangji Ajjabhai	4 Bom., A. C., 105	2772, 5775
v.	4 Bom., A. C., 109	
Bhagwan Das v. Nathu Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 444	
Bhagwan Das Hurjivan. In re	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 511	2676
Bhagwan Doss v. Luchmee Narain	2 W. R., Mis., 19	
Bhagwanee Koonwar v. Parbutty Koonwar .	2 W. R., Mis., 13	92
Bhagwan Prasad v. Sheo Sahai	I. L. R., 2 All., 856	1938
Bhagwan Singh v. Khuda Bakhsh	I. L. R., 3 All., 397	4851 4201
v. Mahabir Singh v. Mitarjit Singh v. Murli Singh v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 5 All., 184 8 B. L. R., 382: 17 W. R., 169	1430
Wurli Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 459	5201
. Secretary of State for India	L. R., 2 I. A., 38	59
Bhagwanti v. Rudr Man Tewari	I. L. R., 2 All., 145	5200
Bhagwant Singh v. Tei Kuar	I. L. R., 8 All., 91	5065
Bhagwat Dassa v. Gouri Kunwar	7 C. L. R., 218	5388
Bhagwati Prasad Gir v. Bindeshri Gir .	I. L. R., 6 All., 106	4036
Bhaichand bin Khemchand v. Fulchand Harichand	8 Bom., A. C., 150	412, 3126
Bhairab Chandra Chuckerbutty v. Mahendra Chandra Chuckerbutty.	4 B. L. R., Ap., 59: 13 W. R., 118.	5739
Bhairab Chandra Madak v. Nadyar Chand Pal .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 357 12 W. R., 291.	1143, 1401, 1462
Bhairabchundra Chowdhri v. Alek Jan	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 268 .	5848
Bhairabnath Khettri v. Jumanram Dhandaria .	8 B. L. R., 415, note .	5989
- v. Kishori Mohun Shaw .	3 B. L. R., Ap., 1 · ·	4791
Bhairabnath Sye v. Mahesh Chandra Bhadury .	4 B. L. R., A. C., 162: 13 W. R., 168.	2112
Bhairo v. Parmeshri Dayal	I. L. R., 7 All., 516	6036
Bhairon Din Singh v. Ram Sahai	I. L. R., 3 All., 316 .	222, 5140
	1. L. R., 7 All., 23	3719
Bhairo Singh v. Bechoo	3 Agra, 393	4759
v. Udikaran Singh	3 B. L. R., Ap., 139	5076
Bhairow Deen v. Mookta Ram	1 Agra, 13	3020
Bhai Shankar v. Collector of Kaira	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 77	60 5
Bhaishankar Narbheram v. Harivallabh	1 Bom., 20	4247
Bhai Trimbakji v. Tomu valad Kutur	2 Bom., 200: 2nd Ed., 192	2795
Bhajan v. Mushtak Ahmad	I. L. R., 5 All., 324	6159
Bhajan Lal v. Moti	I. L. R., 9 All., 177	1175
Bhaju Sing v. Kaifnath Tewari	3 B. L. R., A. C., 332	1722, 6246
Bhala Nahana v. Parbhu Hari Bhally Mahomed Bukshee v. Nobin Chunder Roy	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 67	2116, 2513 780
Chowdhry.		
Bhalu Roy v. Jakhu Roy	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 667 .	4843
Bhama v. Mohur Singh	2 Agra, Rev., 2	1644
Bhandu valad Rajaram v. Damaji valad Jivaji	6 Bom., A. C., 59	4804
Bhanoomutty Chowdrain v. Premchand Neogee Bhanushankar Gopalram v. Raghunath Ram Mangalram	15 B. L. R., 28: 23 W. R., 96 2 Bom., 106: 2nd Ed., 101	4005
Bhaobunessury. In the matter of	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 869	$1487 \\ 3449$
v. Judobendra Narain Mullick	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 869	3449 3449
Bhao Narain Deo v. Court of Wards	15 W. R., 59	2891
	•	2229, 2440,
Bhaoni v. Maharaj Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 738	3279
Bhao Singh v. Het Ram	7 N. W., 17 .	5019

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bharada Dasi. In the matter of the petition of	8 B. L. R., Ap., 13	686
Bharat Chandra Aitch v. Gaur Mani Dasi {	2 B. L. R., A. C., 266, note: }	1598
Bharat Chandra Mazumdar v. Ramgunga Sen . {	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 362: 5 W. 7 R., 59.	5120
Bharat Chandra Sen. In re	8 B. L. R., 423, note: 14 W. R., Cr., 74.	4004
Bharat Lal Bhagat v. Gopal Saran Lal Bhagat . {	3 B. L. R, A. C., 1: 11 W. R., 286.	3913
Bharatsaugji Mansangji v. Navanaidharaya Mansukhram Bharmanguvda v: Rudrapgavda Bharotea Debea v. Kurrona Moyee Dassia	1 Bom, 186	3377 2513 3495, 3496
Bharrut Chunder Roy v. Kallydas Dey	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 574: 5 C. L. R., 545.	1189
Bharut Chunder Bose v. Dwarkanath Chowdry	15 W. R., 86	5151
Bharut Chunder Dutt v . Dengar Gope $\{$	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 691: 7 } W. R., 386.	5675
Bharut Chunder Roy v. Issur Chunder Sircar	2 W. R., Act X, 78 8 W. R., 141	3064 883
v. Nazir Ali Khan	10 W. R., 354	796, 839
Bharut Chunder Sein v. Oseemooddeen Bharut Chunder Sircar v. Gouree Parshad Roy	6 W. R., Act X, 56	524 2694
Bharuth Chunder Roy v. Bepin Beharee Chuckerbutty.	9 W. R., 495	2691, 3071
Bharut Roy v. Ganganarain Mohapattur	14 W. R, 211 {	1754, 2980, 3010
Bharut Singh v. Sadut Ali	6 W. R., Mis., 20	3492
Bhaskarappa v. Collector of North Kanara	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 452	2967
Bhaskar Trimbak Acharya v. Mahadeb Ramji Bhau Babaji Gholap v. Gopal	6 Bom., O. C., 1	2195, 2493 2913
Bhau Balaji v. Hari Nilkanthrav	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 377	4886, 5043
Bhau Nanaji Utpat v. Sundrabai	11 Bom., 249	2225
Bhauram Madan Gopal v. Ramnarayan Gopal Bhavanamma v. Ramasami	12 Bom., 208	5837, 5851 2062
	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 691	5862
Bhavani v. Hasan Miya	1 Bom., 45	4563
Bhavani Sadashiv v. Bhavani Manaji	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 194	5858 2850, 5591
•	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 292	1997, 5240,
Bhavani Shankar Shevakram v. Parsadri Kalidas	1 (5683 2639
Bhavan Mulji v. Kavasji Jasawala	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 542	1496, 5059,
Bhawabal Singh v. Rajendra Pratap Sahoy	5 B. L. R., 321 : 13 W.R., 157	5139
Bhawanee Deen Sahoo v. Mohun Sahoo	1 N. W., 188: Ed. 1873, 273 I. L. R., 3 All., 365	3837 1602
Bhawani v. Abdulla Khan v. Mahtab Kuar	I. L. R., 2 All., 171	2526
Bhawani Das v. Daulat Ram	I. L. R., 6 All., 388	787
Bhawani Ghulam v. Deo Raj Kuari	I. L. R., 5 All., 542 . }	2223, 2325, 2392
Bhawani Gir v. Dalmardan Gir	I. L. R., 3 All, 144	2920
Bhawani Kuar v. Ghulab Rai	I. L. R., 1 All., 348	5375
Bhawani Prasad v. Damru	I. L. R., 2 All., 354 I. L. R., 5 All., 197	3294 4558
Bhawani Prasad v. Damru Bhawani Prasad Singh v. Bisheshar Prasad Misr.	I. L. R., 3 All., 846	3324
Bhawan Lal v. Akeedun	1 W. R., 319	1395
Bhawun Doss v. Mahomed Hossein	13 W. R., P. C., 38: 13 Moore's I. A., 346.	480
Bhaygo Mutty Bibee v. Mahomed Wasil	25 W. R., 315	6112

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of
Bheeka v. Nund Kishore	1 Hay, 182. Agra, F. B., 158: Ed. 1874, 119 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 23 I. N. W., Ed. 1873, 236 15 W. R., 196 3 N. W., 35 2 Agra, 38 8 Mad., 38 7 Mad., 358 17 W. R., 429 1 W. R., 359 3 Agra, Rev., 12 8 W. R., 423 11 W. R., 369 1 Hay, 482	5012 1189 3817 4002 4471 6277 3205 3403 2300, 2480 1635, 1645 4848, 4853 5737
Bhekhan Dobey v. Rajroop Kooer Bhekhan Dobey v. Rajroop Kooer Bheknarain Singh v. Januk Singh Lhekraj Koeri v. Gendh Lal Tewari Bhenuck Singh v. Tugger Singh Bheree Dorayya v. Maddipatu Ramayya Bhichuk Singh v. Nageshar Nath Bhickaree Mullick. In re Bhikaji Ramchandra Oke v. Nijamali Khan Bhikaji v. Vaillabhdas Bhikaji v. Vaillabhdas Bhikaji Apaji v. Jagannath Vithal Bhikaji Ramchandra v. Purshotam Bhikaji Ramchandra v. Purshotam	1 Hay, 482 15 W. R., 106 1. L. R., 8 Calc., 912 1. L. R., 2 Calc., 438 1. L. R., 5 Calc., 878 10 W. R., 299 1. L. R., 3 Mad., 35 1. L. R., 2 All., 112 10 W. R., Cr., 50 1. L. R., 8 Bom., 525 2 Bom., 209 10 Bom., 351 1. L. R., 10 Bom., 220	402 5209 3304 2172 5472, 5941 1378 1115 5734 3618 2936 4821 2480, 3363 187, 6287
kar. Bhikaji Sabaji v. Bapu Saju Bhikaji Vithal Ambikar. Ex parte Bhikambhat v. Fernandez	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 489 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 550 4 Bom., A. C., 119 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 673	2410, 5391 921, 2608 208 166, 3130, 3458, 3467
Bhikaree Pandah v. Ajoodhya Pershad Bhika Roy. In re Bhikdharee Singh v. Kanhya Lall Bhikha v. Sakarlal Bhikham Das v. Pura . Bhikham Khan v. Ratan Kuar Bhikha Roy v. Dhotun Roy Bhikki Singh v. Nivath Singh Bhiknarain Singh v. Necot Koer Bhikoo Mollah v. Rashmonee Dossee Bhikoo Sahoo v. Teik Ali Khan .	I. L. R., 2 All., 141 I. L. R., 1 All., 512 10 W. R., Cr., 36 I. L. R., 7 All., 649 Marsh., 373: 2 Hay, 446 9 W. R., 357 9 W. R., 86	5080 6262 4829 3252, 4960 2259 2920, 4088 6262 5758 1752 4752, 5864 1758
Bhikun v. Elahi Khanum	8 B. L. R., Ap., 14, note: 11 W. R., 153.	686
Bhikun Singh v. Jumeela Koonwar	W. R., 1864, 62	1499
Bhiku Singh v. Government	8 B. L. R., 529, note : 13 B. L. R., 119, note : 10 W. R., 296.	5629
Bhiloo Mundul v. Motee Lall Ghose Mundul Bhimacharya bin Vyanakatacharya v. Fakirappa bin	9 W. R., 251	4173
Annandappa. Bhimaji Govind v. Rakmabai Bhimappa v. Mariappa Bhima valad Krishnappa v. Ningappa bin Shidappa Tuse Bhimavarapu Balaramaya v. Hodson Bhim Das v. Upendra Mohan Tagore.	4 Bom., A. C., 206 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 338 3 Bom., A. C., 128 5 Bom., A. C., 75 3 Mad., 370 9 B. L. R., Ap., 4	759 1497 5592 1032 5260 6204

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bhimji Gridhar v. Morgan Bhimrav Jivaji v. Bhimrav Govind Bhimul Doss alias Lall Baboo v. Choonee Lall Bhinji Bechar v. Bawaji Daji	3 Bom., O. C., 63 11 Bom., 194 I. L. R., 2 Calc., 379 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 448	4530 5670, 5916 2296 642
Bhinji Govindji v. Monohar Dass	5 B. L. R., Ap., 31: 14 W.	465
Bhinuk Chowdhree v. Collector of Jounpore .	2 Agra, 271	2841
Bhiro Chandra Mozoomdar v. Bamundas Mookerjee	3 B. L. R., A. C., 88: 11 } W. R., 461.	3836
Bhiroogee Misser v. Oolfut Ali Bhistoo Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Parbutty Dabea Bhisto Shankar Patil v. Ramchandrarav Jahagirdar Bhobanee Chunder Mitter v. MacNair Bhobani Mahto v. Shibnath Para Bhobosoondree Dasseah v. Issur Chunder Dutt Bhobosoonduri Dabee. In the matter of the petition of Bhobosundari Dasi v. Makhun Lal Dey Bhobo Sundari Debi v. Rakal Chunder Bose Bhobo Tarinee Dossee v. Prosonnomoye Dassee Bhobotarini Debi v. Sree Ram Paul Bhodo Mahomed v. Radha Churn Bolia Bhogeeruth Adhikaree v. Tarinee Chunder Pakrassee Bhogi Lal v. Chutter Singh Bhogilal v. Popatbhai Bhogoban Chunder Sen v. Badsa Ally Sha Bhogoboutty Koonwar v. Anuragee Koonwar Bhoiharinee Dabee v. Nilmonee Singh Deo Bahadoor Bhoiro Mundul. In the matter of the petition of	2 N. W., 311 Marsh., 274: 2 Hay, 213 8 Bom., A. C., 89 10 W. R., 464 1. L. R., 13 Calc., 113 11 B. L. R., 36: 18 W. R., 140 I. L. R., 6 Calc, 460 8 B. L. R., 123 1. L. R., 12 Calc., 583 13 B. L. R., 150, note 1. L. R., 9 Calc., 629 13 W. R., 332 14 W. R., 174 6 N. W., 323 1. L. R., 7 Bom., 125 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 103 23 W. R., 459 24 W. R., 422 14 W. R., 422	3991 1001 4986 3073 4789 701, 5802 4669 379 6035 5337 4528 3717 5982 3869 1228 4609 4527 900 4506
Bhoirub Chunder Doss v. Hafezunissa Khatoon . Bhojohuree Bunick v. Aka Golam Ali	2 C. L. R., 414	5616 3009
Bhoj raj v. Gulshan Ali	1. L. R., 4 All., 493 3 Agra, Mis., 1	3229, 3407 193, 43 42
Bhokteram v. Heera Kolita	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 184	1959
Bhola v. Gobind Dayal	I. L. R., 6 All., 186 I. L. R., 7 All., 894	$\begin{array}{c} 177 \\ 2871 \end{array}$
Bholabhai v. Adesang	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 75	5068
Bhola Dut v. Ahmed	3 Agra, 397	3243
Bholagir Guru Manjir v. Hormasji Sorabji	6 Born., A. C., 80	52 1420
Bholai v. Kali v. Rajah of Bansi	I. L. R., 8 All., 70 I. L. R., 4 All., 174	3029
Bholai Mandal v. Jarif Gazi	3 B. L. R., Ap., 93	4477
Bholanath v. Baij Nath v. Baldeo v. Bisheshur Tewaree v. Fateh Singh Bholanath Bose v. Komuruddin	2 Agra, 11	6156 4833
v. Baldeo v. Bisheshur Tewaree	I. L. R., 2 All., 198 2 Agra, Pt. II, 165	4266
v. Fatch Singh .	I. L. R., 6 All., 63	2728
Bholanath Bose v. Komuruddin	20 W. R., Cr., 53	4101
Dholanath Chatterjee v. Inder Chand Doogur	14 W. R., 373.	44 05 444 8
Bhola Nath Das. In the matter of the petition of Bhola Nath Dass. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 427 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 273	192
Bholanath Ghose v. Mothoor Mundle	7 C. L. R., 516	4501
Bholanath Ghossal v. Kedarnath Banerjee	19 W. R., 106	5316
Bhola Nath Khan v. Ram Chunder Sircar	7 W. R., 62	534
Bholanath Khettri v. Kaliprasad Agurwalla	8 B. L. R., 89	1823 2362
Bholanath Mahta v. Ajoodhia Persad Sookul Bholanath Mitter v. Kaloo	12 B. L. R., 336 : 20 W. R., 65 25 W. R., 222	2979

		Column
Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	of Digest.
Bholanath Mookerjee v. Grish Mohinee Debia Bholanath Mullick v. Heysham	15 W. R., 259 11 B. L. R., 230 : 17 W. R., 221	3561 2949
Bholanath Roy v. Nurendro Nath Roy	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 380: 12 C. L. R., 58.	573
v. Rakhal Dass Mukherji	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 69	2296
Bholanath Sandyal v. Gource Pershad Moitro	16 W. R., 310	4591
Bholanath Sirear v. Baharam Khan	10 W. R., 392 Bourke, O. C., 96	3074 6179
Bholan Misser v. Kanhiya Lal	7 N. W., 302	908
Bhola Singh v. Bulraj Singh	1 Agra, 233	4969
Bholi v. Imam Ali	I. L. R., 4 All., 179	3241 295
Bhooboona Alumbahi Koer v. Jobraj Singh	11 C. L. R., 277	2027, 3543
Bhoobun Chunder Burral v. Nagoree Dossia	15 W. R., 15	475, 1503
Bhoobun Chunder Sen v. Ram Soonder Surma Mo- zoomdar.	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 300	3329
Bhoobun Chunder Shaw. In the matter of	11 C. L. R., 464	4217
Bhoobun Dass Mundul v. Bilashmoney Dassee	1 C. L. R., 415	4899
Bhoobunessuree Chowdhrain v. Manson Bhoobunessuree Dabea v. Goureedoss Turkopunchanun .	22 W. R., 160	3828, 3839 2338
Bhoobunessuree Debia v. Chunder Monee Debia	21 W. R., 243	3535
Bhoobunessur Roy Chowdhry v. Juggessuree Chowdhrani	22 W. R., 413	474
Bhoobuneswar Dutt. In re	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 621: 2 C. }	4353
Bhoobun Joy Acharjea v. Anund Lall Chowdhry	22 W. R., 47	3910
Bhoobunjoy Acharjee v. Ram Narain Chowdhry	9 W. R., 449	5187
Bhoobun Mohinee Debie v. Poornoo Chunder Banerjee. Bhoobun Mohinee Debia v. Gobind Chunder Mojoomdar	17 W. R., 99 19 W. R., 82	85 1484
Bhoobunmohinee Dossee v. Dhonaye Karigur	15 W. R., 434	1600
Bhoobun Mohinee Dossee v. Kedarnath Bose	12 W. R., 141	1634
Bhoobun Mohini Debia v. Hurrish Chunder Chow-	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 23: 3 C. L. R., 339: L. R., 5 I. A.,	5504
dhry.	138.	0002
Bhoobun Mohun alias Prolad Sandyal v. Bhubo Soonderee Debia Chowdhrain.	8 W. R., 452	4937
Bhoobun Mohun Banerjea v. Thakoordoss Biswas	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 277:15 W. R., F. B., 18, note.	413
Bhoobun Mohun Bose. In the matter of	15 W. R., 571	2649
v. Chundernath Banerjee	17 W. R., 69	5698
Bhoobun Mohun Dey v. Dinonath Dey	1 Hyde, 122 10 B. L. R., Ap., 12: 18 W.)	1205
Bhoobun Mohun Mundul v. Nobin Chunder Bullub	R., 282.	852
v. Rash Beharee Paul Bhoobun Mohun Sircar v. Watson & Co	15 W. R., 84 W. R., 1864, 64	1567, 611 4 34
Bhoobun Moye Debia v . Ramkishore Acharjee .	3 W. R., P. C., 15: 10	2142, 2286
Bhoobun Moyee Debea v. Mooty	Moore's I. A., 279.	2528, 2536 214
Bhoobun Moyee Dossee v. Kishoree Dossee	6 W. R., 235	6237
v. Ruffick Mundle	17 W. R., 17	4402
Bhoobun Moyi Dabea v. Shurut Sundery Dabea	23 W. R., 343	1838 19 2
Bhoobun Singh v. Queen	9 W. R., Cr., 36	5598
Bhoocha v. Elahi Bux	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 574	3687
Bhoodun v. Jan Khan Bhoojunga Thakoor v. Luchmee Narain Sahee	13 W. R., 265 9 W. R., 80	679
Bhoolloo v . Ram Narain Mookerjee	W. R., 1864, 129	2885 5437
Bhoondur Mul v . Gunga Pershad	2 W. R., Mis., 50	209
•		

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Bhoopal Chunder Biswas v. Mahomed Mollah	6 W. R., 286	3297
Bhoopendra Narain Roy. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 539: 8 C. L. R., 30.	2462, 3566
v. Greesh Narain Roy .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 539: 8 C. L. R., 30.	2462, 3566
Bhoopendro Nath Chowdhry v. Kalee Prosunno Ghose .	24 W. R., 205	844, 2798
Bhoopnarain Chowbey v. Rughoonath Gobind Roy	18 W. R., 230	1358
Bhoop Narain Singh v. Keramut Ali	4 W. R., 60	5747 5285
Bhoop Singh v. Nursingh Rai	3 Agra, 144	4257, 4994
v. Phool Kower	3 Agra, Part II, 168	2457
v. Sunker Dutt Jha	6 W. R., Mis., 47	1880
Bhooputtee Roy v. Umbica Churn Banerjee	17 W. R., 198	527
Bhooputty Lall Tewaree v. Soochee Seekhur Mookerjee.	12 W. R., 255 6 W. R., 149	2943 2189
Bhoorum Koer v. Sahebmadee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 125	4177
Bhoot Nath Dey. In the matter of	4 C. L. R., 405	2923
Bhora Roy v. Abilack Roy	10 W. R., 476	3998
Bhowabul Singh v. Rajendro Protab Singh	13 W. R., 157	1496, 5059 5139
Bhowan Doss v. Mahomed Hossein {	13 Moore's I. A., 346: 13 W. }	480
Bhowanee Dutt v. Lokhoo Singh	.R., P. C., 38. W. R., 1864, 60	3723
Bhowanee Dutt Singh v. Beer Singh	2 N. W., 196	908, 3556
Bhowanee Koonwer v. Thakoor Dass	2 Agra, 277	5799
Bhowanee Pershad v . Oheedun	5 W. R., 177	2020
v. Purshunno Singh	11 W. R., 282	3717
Bhowna v. Roop Kishore	5 N. W., 89	2156, 2200
Bhowun Doss v. Mahomed Hossein	13 W. R., P. C., 38: 13 Moore's I. A., 346.	480
Bhoyrub Chunder Chowdhry v. Haradhun Ghose	Marsh., 561: 2 Hay, 666	6108
Bhoyrub Chunder Doss v. Chundi Churn Mitter	Bourke, O. C., 238	1214, 4521
v. Madhub Chunder Poramanick v. Wajedunnissa Khatoon	1 Hyde, 281	4254 1203, 5952
Bhoyrub Chunder Ghose v. Nubo Chunder Gooho .	5 W. R., 111	415
Bhoyrub Chunder Roy v. Madhub Chunder Sen • • •	6 C. L. R., 19	407
Bhoyrub Chunder Sein v. Anundmoye Chowdhrain .	Marsh., 494	6148
Bhoyrub Dass Johurry v. Doman Thakoor }	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 139: 4 C. L. R., 374.	10, 3464
Bhoyrubnath Khettry v. Doyal Chunder Laha	Bourke, O. C., 159	5484
Bhoyrubnath Sandyal v. Mutty Mundul	W. R., 1864, Act X, 100	1608
Bhoza Rughbur Singh v. Bhoza Raj Singh	3 N. W., 319	1897, 2695
Bhrigoo Roy v. Bhagruth Upadhya	W. R., 1864, Act X, 41	351
Bhuban Chandra Shome v . Ramdyal Shamanta $\;\;\cdot\;\;\;\;$ $\Big\{$	5 B. L. R., Ap., 62: 14 W. }	321
Bhubaneswari Debi v . Dinanath Sandyal $\left\{ ight.$	2 B. L. R., A. C., 320: 11 W.	841
v. Harisaran Surma Moitra . {	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 720: 8 C. L. }	1770
v. Mahendranath Chowdhry	13 B. L. R., Ap., 33	3509
v. Nilcomul Lahiri {	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 18: L. R.,]	2144
Bhuban Mohan Banerjee v. Elliott	12 I. A., 137.	4567
v. Sadu Charan Sirkar .	6 B. L. R., 339 : 15 W. R., O. }	843
(C., 5. 5 R T. R An 59	5751
Bhuban Mohan Chattopadhya v. Saudamini Debi Bhuban Pari v. Shamanand Dey	5 B. L. R., Ap., 59	2968
	2. 2., 2., 2. 0, 000 .	2000

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rhubo Soonderee Chowdhrain v. Kasheenath Acharjea . Bhubo Soonduree Debia v. Jynul Abdin Bhubo Tarinee Dossia v. Prosunno Moyee Dossia . Bhubotarinee Ghosany v. Juggernath Tewary Bhudeswar Chowdhrain v. Gauri Kant Nath Bhudressory Chowdhrain v. Goberdhun Majhee Bhugeeruth Berah v. Moneeram Banerjee Bhugeeruth Dass Koybnrto v. Chunder Churn Koyburto Bhugeeruth Shikdar v. Ramnarain Mundur Bhuggoban Chunder Ghose v. Shumbhoo Chunder Ghose Bhuggobutty v. Motee Chand Puteedundo Bhuggobutty v. Motee Chand Puteedundo Bhuggobutty Churn Haldar v. Niropunah Dabee Bhuggobutty Debia. In the matter of Bhuggobutty Dossee v. Kishen Nath Roy	22 W. R., 351	1663 4924 565, 4266 5690 315 4489 5306 2856 692 5771 3526 1488 531 685 271 2021
Bhuggobutty Goopta v. Saroda Soonduree Debea	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 337 11 W. R., 337 2 C. L. R., 545 I. L. R. 8 Calc., 275: 10 C.	3928 4191 825, 5922
Bhugirath Patoni v. Ram Lochun Deb	L. R., 159. Marsh., 48: 1 Hay, 114. 2 Agra, 170 W. R., 1864, 359	4964 1675 3274 5378 967
butty. Bhugoban Tantee v. Gobind Chunder Roy Bhugobat Singh v. Ramadhin Singh Bhugobut Churn Sein v. Siam Ali Bhugobutty v. Bhikramajeet Singh Bhugobutty Burmonee v. Gour Chunder Mundul Bhugobutty Churn Rajpaye v. Sharoda Pershad Sookul Bhugobutty Kooer v. Bholanath Thakoor v. Gunesh Dutt	12 W. R., Cr., 49	849, 5678 1867 971 5774 6173 5679 667, 682 4344
Bhugobutty Misrain v. Domun Misser Bhugun v. Rumjan Bhugwan v. Doyal Gope v. Purmeshree	15 W. R., 272 24 W. R., 365 24 W. R., 380 10 W. R., Cr., 7 5 N. W., 179 1. L. R., 9 Calc., 234: 11	6089 2343 2847 1264, 6262 181 3998
Bhugwan Bhagut v. Jug Mohun Roy	C. L. R., 355. 20 W. R., 308 8 W. R., 356 6 W. R., 286 7 W. R., 271 1. L. R., 4 Calc., 41: 2 C. L.	5181 2744, 5762 5684 5279
Bhugwan Chunder Dass v. Sudder Ally Bhugwan Chunder Dutt v. Mechoo Lall Chuckerbutty Bhugwan Chunder Ghose. In re v. Rajcoomar Goho Bhugwan Chunder Roy v. Rajchunder Roy	R., 357. 17 W. R., 372 6 W. R., Mis., 15 13 W. R., 303 9 W. R., 553	136 1933 302 3327
Bhugwan Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Jegur Khan v. Manick Bibee Bhugwan Dass v. Mahomed Jafer	22 W. R., 456	· 6110 4938 3887, 3964

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bhugwan Dass Marwari v. Nund Lall Sein	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 173 .	193, 29 2 3 7 3
Bhugwandeen Doobey v. Myna Baee	9 W. R., P. C., 23: 11 Moore's I. A., 487.	1690, 2492
Bhugwan Doss. In re	2 N., W. 113	5144. 6258
	Marsh., 191: 1 Hay, 437	3388
v. Behary Khan v. Hunnooman Pershad Sahoo	18 W. R., 184	1503
v. Nubee Buksh	7 W. R., 31	3918
v. Tekait Than Narain Deo . v. Upooch Singh	23 W. R., 309 10 W. R., 185	2711 472
Bhugwan Dutt Jha v. Sheo Mungul Singh	22 W. R., 256	1744
Bhugwan Dutt Misser v. Nowneedh Lall .	25 W. R., 153	582
Bhugwantajah v. Ojoodhya Persad	1 Hay, 31	4964
Bhujanga Rau v. Ramayamma	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 387 .	2494
Bhujang Mahadev v. Collector of Belgaum Rhujangrav bin Davalatrav Ghorpade v. Malojirav bin	11 Bom., 1	3271,3412 2322,2323
Davalatrav Ghorpade.	5 Bom., A. C., 161 .	2533
Bhujendro Bhusan Chatterjee v. Trigunanath Mookerjee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 761 .	1428
Bhujhawan Lal v. Sukhraj Rai	I. L. R., 2 All., 866	5619
Bhujjun Lall v. Gya Pershad	2 N. W., 446	2339
Bhujohuree Paul v. Huro Soonduree Debee Bhujohurree Paramanick v. Sharoda Soonduree Dossee	17 W., R., 530	3369
Bhujonanund Mytee v. Radha Churn Mytee	8 W. R., 197	83 1713, 2386
Bhujun Ram Tewaree v. Lalla Ajoodhya Pershad .	2 W. R., Mis., 29	220
Bhukan Bhaibava v. Bhaiji Prag	1 Bom., 19	5375, 6142
Bhulabhai Gullabhai v. Modji Desaiji	5 Bom., A. C., 48	1787
Bhuli Singh v. Nehmu Behu	4 B. L. R., A. C., 29: 10 W. R., 302.	3376
Bhupal v. Jag Ram	I. L. R., 2 All., 449	3890
Bhup Kuar v. Muhammadi Begam	I. L. R., 6 All., 37	3899
Bhurey Mal v. Nawal Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 259'	4558
Bhurruck Chunder Sahoo v. Golam Shuruff	10 W. R., 458	2943, 3671
Bhurrut Thakoor v. Murtaza Bhurth Singh v. Raghonath Suhai	21 W. R., 225	4301 3955, 6125
Bhurut Pandey v. Munthoora Koer	23 W. R., 421	1453
Bhusun Parin v. Denonath Banerjee	20 W. R., Cr., 15	5995
Bhutnath Dey v. Ahmed Hosain	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 417	3690
Bhutoo Ram v. Puhul Chowdhry	7 W. R., 36	3333
Bhya Bhoopnath Sahee v. Kunwan	7 W. R., 134 5 B. L. R., 293: 14 W. R., P. ?	838
Bhya Ram Singh v . Agar Singh	C., 1: 13 Moore's I. A., 373.	2 29 5
Bhyranpershaud v. Isharee	3 N. W., 313	1363
Bhyro Dutt v. Lekhranee Kooer	16 W. R., 123	1697, 6094
Bhyroo Lall. In the matter of the petition of Bhyroo Racot v. Ancoroodeb Dec Narain Singh	14 W. R., Cr., 75	960 1197
Bhyro Pershad v. Basisto Narain Pandey	Marsh., 608	2152, 5386
Bhyro Rai v. Golab Singh	3 Agra, 303	5636
Bhyro Singh v. Oodee Kurn Singh	12 W. R., 284	5 476
Bhyrub Bharuttee. In the matter of the petition of .	21 W. R., 340	6,0
Bhyrub Chunder v. Golap Coomary	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 517	167
Bhyrub Chunder Banerjee v. Ameeroodeen v. Chairman of the Howrah?	17 W. R., 173	3075
Municipality.	17 W. R., 215	503
Bhyrub Chunder Bundopadhya v. Soudamini Dabee	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 141	5416
Bhyrub Chunder Chunder v. Shama Soonderee Debia .	6 W. R., Act X, 68	5925
Bhyrub Chunder Dass v. Kalee Chunder Chuckerbutty. Bhyrub Chunder Deb. In the matter of the petition of.	16 W. R., 56	4782 3847
		00.24

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bhyrub Chunder Mojoomdar v. Huro Prosunno Bhutta- charjee.	17 W. R., 257	3837
v	17 W. R., 258	1620
Bhyrub Chunder Mudduck v. Nuddiar Chand Pal	12 W. R., 291	1143, 1401 1462
Bhyrub Chunder Surmah Chowdhry v. Madhubram 7 Surmah.	11 B. L. R., 423 : 20 W. R., 84	5141
Bhyrub Jha v. Hunoomaun Dutt Jha Bhyrub Lall Bhukut v. Abdool Hossein	5 W. R., 123 8 W. R., 93	383 900, 3264
Rhyrub Mundul v. Gungaram Banerjee	12 B. L. R., 290, note: 17 W. R., 408.	1183
Bhyrubnath Sandyal v. Hure Soonduree Dossee Bhyrubnath Shaha v. Kunhya Lall Roy Bhyrub Nath Tye v. Kally Chunder Chowdhry Bhyrub Roy v. Nobin Roy Bhyrub Seal v. Queen	W. R., 1864, Mis., 28 . 20 W. R., 131 16 W. R., 112 9 W. R., 601	2777 841 1737, 5129 1756
Bhyrub Seal v. Queen Bhyrub Sheet v. Khettur Mohun Gossain Bhyrub Singh v. Jhogru Patnee Bhyrub Sircar v. Sham Manjee	W. R., 1864, Cr., 27 5 W. R., 124 11 W. R., 506	5552 4911 5943
Bhyrub Sircar v. Sham Manjee Bhyunkuree Dabee v. Tarinee Churn Chuckerbutty Bibeejan v. Bhajul Singh Bibeejaun v. Mahomed Hadee	15 W. R., 70 7 W. R., 38 21 W., R., 438 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 40	4953 6125 6115 2824
Bichook Nath Pandey v. Ram Lochun Singh .	11 B. L. R., 135: 19 W. R., 271.	2720
Bichook Panday v. Narain Dutt Bichuk Aheer v. Auhuck Bhooneea Biddell v. Chutterdharee Lall	1 N. W., 26: Ed. 1873, 24 6 W. R., Cr., 5 12 W. R., 116	2977 5560 55 7
Biddomoye Dabee Dabee v. Sittaram	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 497: 3 C. L. ?	1129
v. Soobuldas Mullick	R., 398. I. L. R., 4 Calc., 497 : 3 C. L. R., 398.	1129
Biddyadhur Mohapatro v. Syamanund Dey .	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 385: 8 C. L.	4491
Bidhoo Beebee v. Keshub Chunder	R., 514. 9 W. R., 462	839
Bidhoo Bhooshun Mookerjee v. Issur Chunder Roy Chowdhry.	W. R., 1864, Mis., 4 .	672
Bidhoomokhee Dabee v. Sreenath Haldar Bidhoo Mookhee Dossee v. Beejoy Keshub Roy Bidhoomookhi Debi v. Nilmoney Sing Deo Bidhu Bhushun Basu v. Komaraddi Mundul Bidhumukhi Dabea Chowdhrain v. Kefyutullah Bidhumukhi Debi. In re	15 W. R., Cr., 4	6183 1921 4336 1190 3049, 5750 6183
Bidyamayi Debia Chowdhraiu v. Ramlal Misser	8 B. L. R., Ap., 80: 17 W.	3820
Bidya Soonduree Dossee. In the matter of the peti-	R., 148. 5 15 W. R., 267	2050
tion of. Bihans Kunwar v. Behari Lal	3 B. L. R., F. B., 15:11 W. R., F. B., 16: S. C. on appeal, 10 B. L. R., 159:18 W. R., 157: 14 Moore's I. A.,	486
Bihari Lal v. Kundan Lal	496. 7 N. W., 103	3870, 4851 2723 1054
Bihari Lal Nandi v. Trailakhomayi Barmani	3 B. L. R., A. C., 346 : 12 W. R., 223.	5125, 5145
Bihooram v. Allaho Kolita	1 B. L. R., S. N., 8	6263

Name of Case.

Volume and Page.

Bijadhur Bhugut v. Monohur Bhugut	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 11 . {
Bijadhur Rai v. Empress	2 C. L. R., 211
Bijai Bahadoor Singh v. Bhyron Bux Singh Bijai Ram v. Kullu	6 C. L. R., 21
Bijee Kooer v. Damodur Dass	5 N. W., 55
Bijjo Nath Sahoo v. Ramdour Roy	7 C. L. R., 369
Bijoi Kesal Roy v. Samasundari	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 172: 2 W. R., Mis., 30.
Bijoya Debia v. Bydonath Deb	24 W. R., 444
Bijoy Gobind Naik v. Kalee Prosunno Naik .	16 W. R., 294 .
Bijoy Keshub Roy v. Obhoy Churn Ghose	16 W. R., 198 .
Bijoy Kishen Mookerjee v. Joy Kishen Mookerjee	12 W. R., 430 .
Bikan Singh v. Parbutty Kooer Bika Singh v. Lachman Singh	22 W. R., 99
	(
Bikramajeet Lall v. Phoolbas Kooer	14 W. R., 340 }
Bikrmajit Singh v. Husaini Begam	I. L. R., 3 All., 643
Bikromajeet Mullo Ogalsundo Deb v. Court of Wards	21 W. R., 312 2 B. L. R., S. N., 15: 10 W. R., Cr., 61.
Bilash v. Makroo	R., Cr., 61.
Bilash Koonwar v. Bhawanee Buksh Narain .	W. R., 1864, 1 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 664 : L.
Bilasmoni Dasi v. Sheopershad Singh	9 I. A., 33: 11 C. L.
Bilaso v. Dina Nath	215. I. L. R., 3 All., 88
Bill. In re	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 390
Billings v. Uncovenanted Service Bank	I. L. R., 3 All., 781
Bimala Debi v. Tarasundari Debi	6 B. L. R., Ap., 101: 14 W. R., 480.
Bimaraz v. Papaya	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 46
Bimola v. Dangoo Kansaree	19 W. R., 189
Bimola Soonduree Dassee v. Kalee Kishen Mojoomdar Binayak Rai v. Luchmee Bai	22 W. R., 5 3 Agra, 179
Bindabasini Debi v. Patitparan Chattapadhya .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 267
Binda Bibee v. Lalla Gopeenath	,
Binda Bibi v. Lala Ramsaran Singh	1 B. L. R., S. N., 23
Bindabun Chunder Dutt v. Dwarkanath Sen	23 W. R., Cr., 15
Bindabun Chunder Shaha v. Janee Beebee	6 W. R., 312
Bindabun Chunder Sircar v. Mackintosh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1004,
v. Roberts	note.
Bindabun Chunder Sircar Chowdry v. Nobin Chunder Biswas.	17 W. G., 282
Bindachari v. Dracup	8 Bom., A. C., 202
Binda Dabee v. Gopee Soonderee Dossia .	6 W. R., Mis., 82 I. L. R., 7 All., 660
Binda Kuar v. Bhonda Das Binda Prasad v. Ahmad Ali	I. L. R., 1 All., 368
v. Madho Prasad	I. L. R., 2 All., 129
Bindeshri Chaubey v. Nandu	I. L. R., 3 All., 456
v	I. L. R., 4 All., 320
Bindessuree Dutt Singh v. Doma Singh .	9 W. R., 88
Bindessuree Pershad v. Gujadhur Pershad .	23 W. R., Cr., 1 .

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bindobaseeny Dabee v. Bissomoye Dassee Bindoomadhub Mitter v. Woomes Chunder Paul Bindrabun Chunder Chowdhry v. Issur Chunder Biswas	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 339 2 Hyde, 86	898 1214, 452 1 5175
Bindubashini Dasi v. Renny (Rainey)	7 B. L. R., Ap., 20: 15 W. R., 307.	3392, 4465
Binja Ram v. Rajmohun Roy	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 282	1771, 5855
Binode Beharee Roy v. Masseyk	15 W. R., 493	1771, 3016 3066
Binodee Laul Ghose v. Mackenzie	3 W. R., Act X, 157	1636
Binode Koomaree v. Purdhan Gopal {	Marsh., 80: W. R., F. B., 26: }	5071
Binode Lall Chatterjee v. Luckhee Monee Debia . Binode Lall Pakrashee v. Gireedhur Chuckerbutty .	1 Hay, 148.	3371 900
Binode Ram Sein v. Deputy Commissioner of the Son- thal Pergunnahs.	6 W. R., 129 : S. C. on review, 7 W. R., 178.	2038
Binoderam Sein v. Brohmo Moyee Debia Bipin Beharee Lukkun v. Ghasoo Bipinbehari Chowdry v. Ram Chandra Roy Bipin Behary Daw v. Sreedam Chunder Dey	1 W. R., 168 11 W. R., 16 5 B. L. R., 234: 14 W. R., 12 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 42	77 7 4191 4939 1835
Bippropershad Mytee v . Kenae Doyee $$	3 W. R., 165: S. C. after re- mand, 5 W. R., 82.	2240
Bipro Chunder Joobraj v. Ram Lochun Deb Bipro Doss Dey v. Sakermonee Dossee v. Secretary of State for India Biprodoss Dey v. Wollen	W. R., 1864, 121	186 1660 2679, 4628 4927
Biprodoss Gossain v. Chunder Sikur Buttacharjee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 718: 2 Ind. Jur, N. S., 248: 7 W. R., 521.	3479, 3480 3510
Bipro Pershad Mytee v. Kanye Deyee	1 W. R., 341	247, 3262
Bipropershad Mytee v. Kena Dayee	5 W. R., 82 : S. C. before remand, 3 W. R., 165.	2342
Bipro Protap Sahu v. Deo Narain Roy	3 W. R., Mis. 16 .	414, 420
Birajan Kooer v. Ramchurn Lall Mahata	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 719: 9 C. L.	218
Birajun Koer v. Luchmi Narain Mahata Birbal v. Tikaram Birch v. Furzind Ali v. Ratiram Bir Chandra Roy Mahapatter v. Bansi Dhar Roy Ma-	R., 203. I. L. R., 10 Calc., 392 I. L. R., 4 All., 11 3 N. W., 303 I. L. R., 4 All., 115 3 B. L. R., A. C., 214	2511 5086 2736, 6245 1481 326
hapatter.	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 383: 1 C. L.)	1734, 4964
Bir Chunder Manickya v. Hurrish Chunder Dass . v. Ishan Chunder Thakur . Bir Chunder Manikhya v. Ishan Chunder Tagore . Birchunder Manikya v. Mahomed Afsaroo Bireshuree Pershad. In re Biressur Banerjee v. Oncodachurn Banerjee . Biressur Panrey v. Jogendro Chunder Deb Birj Mohan Singh v. Collector of Allahabad Birjo Beharee Singh v. Wajid Hossein .	R., 585. 3 C. L. R., 417	4966 2061, 2894 2895, 4968 3915 4727 4468 4268, 4279 4079 4079 481
Birjobuttee v. Pertab Singh	3 W. R., P. C., 36 : 8 Moore's I. A., 168.	4645
Birjoo Singh v. Khub Lall Biroja Monee Barmonea v. Wooma Moyee Barmonea Biru v. Khandu Bisambhur Naik v. Sudasheeb Mahapattur	19 W. R., Cr., 66 7 W. R., 124 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 214 1 W. R., 96	6066 1890 2310 2157

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bisandas valad Magniram v. Lakmichand Kisanchand Biseshur Bhuttacharjee v. Ramkishore Bhuttacharjee Bishambhur Shaha v. Shib Chunder Shaha Bishan Chand v. Ahmad Khan Bishan Dial v. Manniram Bisharut Ally v. Seetul Misser Bishen Chand v. Asmaida Koer Bishen Chunder Sealah v. Gokool Chunder Lahata	6 Bom., A. C., 150	747 5267 1167 3148 3998 692 2272, 2560 1401
Bishen Chunder Surma Chowdhry v. Munmohinee Dabee Bishen Dayal v. Udit Narain Bishendyal Singh v. Khadeema	8 W. R., 501 I. L. R., 8 All., 486 Marsh., 213: 1 Hay, 584 W. R., 1864, 359 -1 W. R., 1 7 W. R., 112 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 244: L. R., 12 I. A., 7.	5417 2713, 3888 1786 5460 2985 4796
Bishenperkash Narain Singh v. Babooa Misser Bishen Perkash Narain Singh v. Bawa Misser Bishen Perkash Singh v. Ruttun Geer Chela Bishen Sahaye Singh v. Beer Kishore Singh Bisheshar Kuar v. Hari Singh Bisheshar Singh v. Laik Singh v. Sugundhi	8 W. R., 73 12 B. L. R., 430: 20 W. R., 137 20 W. R., 3 8 W. R., 296 1. L. R., 5 All., 42 1. L. R., 5 All., 257 1. L. R., 1 All., 366	3147, 3249 2151 313, 6234 4424, 6274 5969 3998 216 2443
Bisheshur v. Matagholam Bisheshur Bhuttacharjie v. Lamb Bisheshur Mookerjee v. Judoonath Bose Bisheshur Pershad v. Ram Churun Bisheshur Roy Chowdhry v. Bisheshur Bose Bisheswar Shaha v. Bishwambhur Sircar Bisheswar Chakravarti v. Shitul Chundra Chakravarti	2 N. W., 300 21 W. R., 22 W. R., 1864, 48 5 N. W., 25 8 W. R., 277 23 W. R., Cr., 65 5 W. R., Mis., 35 8 W. R., 13	1848 1709 3869 836 963 3834 2468
Bisheswari Debya v. Govind Persad Tewari Bishoka Dasia v. Anunto Lall Pain Bishnath. In the matter of the petition of Bishnath Chowdhry v. Radha Churn Gangooly	L. R., 3 I. A., 194: 26 W. R., 32. 4 C. L. R., 65 I. L. R., 1 All., 318 20 W. R., 465	474, 1501 375 4847 4202
Bishno Chunder Bhuttacharjee v. Shoshee Mohun Pal Chowdhry. Bishnoo Dass v. Mungul Dass . Bishnoo Pershad Bunnick v. Ram Coomar Deb . Bishonath Dey Roy v. Chunder Mohun Dutt Biswas Bishonath Doss v. Ahmed Ali . Bishonath Mookerjee v. Kisto Mohun Mookerjee Bishonath Neogy v. Huro Gobind Neogy Bishonath Rukhit v. Ramdhone Sircar Bishonath Sircar. In the matter of Bishoo Barik. In the matter of Bishooroop Kazrah v. Damonotee Debia	22 W. R., 277 24 W. R., 203 22 W. R., 2 23 W. R., 165 W. R., 1864, Mis., 19 7 W. R., 483 5 W. R., 27 11 W. R., 42 3 W. R., Cr., 63 16 W. R., Cr., 77 15 W. R., 537	5922, 5925 666 4860 701, 6031 219 3950 5089 3770 21 979, 1958
Bishto Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Woomanath Roy Chowdhry. Bishtoo Churn Bhoosun v. Kishen Gopal Misser . Bishtu Narayan Bandopadhya v. Ganga Narayan (Biswas. Bishumber Dey Poddar v. Hungsheshur Mookerjee . Bishunath v. Ilahi Baksh . •	15 W. R., 459	841 403 208 3304 2812 996

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bisnoo Chunder Eiswas v. Toyluck Nath Banerjee Bisokha Moyee Chowdhrain v. Sonatun Doss . Bisram Mahton v. Sahib-un-nissa . Bisram Singh v. Inderjeet Koonwar .	6 W. R., Mis., 28	3815 5409 5472 214 772, 4138
Bisram Singh alias Bishen Singh v. Inderjeet Koonwar	6 W. R., 2 {	5212
Bissambhur Banerjee v. Koylash Chunder Bose Bissambhur Shaha v. Shib Chunder Shaha Bissen Chand v. Rajendro Kishore Singh Bissen Doss Singh v. Sheo Pershad Singh Bissendoyal v. Schlaepfer Bissen Lall Dass v. Khyrunissa Begum Bisseshur Narain Mahtah. In the matter of the petition of.	23 W. R., 388	4208 3233 1698 3933 4799 1992 4491
Bisseshur Roy v. Hurpershad Singh .	11 W. R., Cr., 1	968 3241, 3355
Bissessur Bhugut v. Murli Sahu Bissessur Chatterjee. In the matter of the petition of . Bissessur Chuckerbutty v. Ramjoy Mozoomdar v. Seetul Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Woomachurn Roy v. Woomachurn Roy	L. R., 409. 16 W. R., Cr., 1 2 W. R., 326 9 W. R., 69 7 W. R., 44	3433, 4977 503 4160 2477 1617, 4169
Bissessur Doss v. Joykishore Doss	9 W. R., 122	1655 6031 1674
Bissessuree Debia v. Gobind Pershad Tewaree	21 W. R., 398	472, 474 1501
v. Sookram Doss Bissessuree Dossee v. Kalee Koomar Roy Bissessur Gir v. Sreekishen Shaha Chowdhry Bissessuri Dabeea v. Baroda Kanta Roy Chowdhry	15 W. R., 347	4716 33, 4471 3301, 3317 1428
Bissessuri Debia v. Gobind Prasad Tewari .	L. R., 3 I. A., 194: 26 W. R.,	474, 1501
Bissessur Kurmokar v. Juggobundoo Kurmokar .	14 W. R., 183	1171
Bissessur Lall Sahoo v. Luchmessur Singh	L. R., 6 l. A., 233: 5 C. L. R., 3	1897, 2347
v. Ramtuhul Singh	11 B. L. R., 121 : 19 W. R., 351.	54 92, 61 5 0
Bissessur Mullick v . Dhiraj Mahatab Chand . $\left\{ \right.$	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 967: 10 W. R., F. B., 8.	3493
Bissessur Nath v. Mohessur Bux Singh Bahadoor	11 B. L. R., 265: 18 W. R., 160: L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 34.	37, 1343
Bissessur Roy v. Kanchun Roy	11 W. R., 155	159
Bissessur Singh v. Gunput Singh	8 C. L. R., 113	5033 4002
v. Nim Chand Bose	12 W. R., 505	4346
Bisseswar Panday v . Bhagwan Das $\{$	3 B. L. R., A. C., 30: 12 W. R., 176.	54 8 7
Bissonosondery Dabee v. Burrodacaunt Roy Bissomoyee Dossee v. Mackintosh Bissonath Chatterjee v. Madhubmonee Dabee Bissonath Chunder v. Radhakristo Mundul	2 Moore's I. A., 127 2 Hay, 14 15 W. R., 511	4644 3012 1231 3550
Bissonath Deb v. Hurro Pershad Chowdry	2 W. R., Act X, 88	562, 2691
Bissonath Dinda v. Doyaram Jana	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 738: 5 C. L. R., 565.	6198
Bissonath Ruckitt v. Gunnesh Chunder Dey Bissonath Singh v. Brojonath Doss	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 203 6 W. R., 230	4263 4002

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bissonath Singh v. Rajchunder Roy Bissonath Sircar v. Shurnomoyee	W. R., 1864, 141	4820 6285 5751, 5 756 5762
Bissonath Surma v. Shoodhamookee	11 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 20 W.	332
Bissonath Surma Bhuttacharjee v. Moran Bissonath Chunder v. Bamasoondery Dossee Bissonauth Mojoomdhur v. Khettur Chunder Sen Bissonauth Roy v. Lall Bahadoor Singh Bissonauth Tewarry v. Koylashbany Narain Singh Bissoroop Dutt v. Binode Ram Sein Bissorup Gossamy v. Gorachand Gossamy Bissorup Gossamy v. Gorachand Gossamy Bissumbhur Poorkaet v. Bhuggobutty Churn Poorkaet Bissumbhur Shaha. In the matter of the petition of Bissumbhur Shi v. Bukto Bedarul Hossein Bissumbhur Sircar v. Soorodhuny Dossee Bissunden Narain Shahee v. Gungerkissen Shahee	R., 1.	481 2551 776 4155 1925 4936 5063 2981 5578 3301 2343, 3157 4404
Bissuram Koiree v. Empress Bistobehari Sahoy v. Lala Baijnath Prasad Bistoo Chunder Banerjee v. Nithoremonee Dabee Bistoo Pershad Burral v. Radha Soonder Nath v. Bistoo Pershad Chuckerbutty v. Ishan Chunder Roy Bistooprea Patmohadea v. Basoodeb Dul Bewartee Patnaik.	3 2 W. R., 252	149 2192 1148 2296 2438 1873 2227
Bistoopria Putmadaye v. Nund Dhul Bistuprya Patmadayi v. Basudeb Dhall Bewarti Pat- naik.	13 Moore's I. A., 602	4642 4642
Bisvanath Maiti v. Baidyanath Mandul	. I. L. R., 12 Calc., 199	2773, 5774
Biswanath v. Braja Mohan Chuckerbutty	{ 1 B. L. R., S. N., 1: 10 W. } R., 61.	4460
Biswanath Bhuttacharjee v. Collector of Mymensing. Biswanath Chandra v. Khantomani Dasi Biswanath Chunder v. Khantamani Dasi	7 B. L. R., Ap., 42:21 W. R., 69, note. 6 B. L. R., 747 7 B. L. R., 131	1175 2209 3363, 6186
Biswanath Mukhopadhya v. Gossain Dass Baramadak Biswanath Rakhit v. Ramdhan Sirkar	9 B. L. R., 76 3 B. L. R., Ap., 140 6 B. L. R., 375, note	700 3916 3770
Biswa Sonan Chunder Gossyamy v. Binauda Chunder Dibingar Adhikar Gossyamy. Bithal Das v. Harphul Bithul Bhut v. Lalla Rajkishore Bittan. In the matter of	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 416	788, 1941 4089 2053, 3256 2071 3968 3744, 5568 979, 1959 1459, 2740
Bizjie Bibes v. Monohur Doss	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 118 . 2 Mad., 102, note	2741, 441 730 2657 729 5712
Blaquiere v. Ramdhone Doss	. Bourke, O. C., 319 . }	4258

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Booha Gope Chowdhry v. Brajagabind Das Bodh Mull v. Gouree Sunkur Bodhassin Sinch a Bruge Lell Mandur	9 B. L. R., Ap., 26: 18 W. R., 259. 6 W. R., 16	2080
Bodhnarain Singh v. Rungo Lall Mundur Bodh Narayan Singh v. Umrao Singh	7 W. R., 190 6 B. L. R., 509 : 15 W. R., P. C., 1 : 13 Moore's I. A.,	1624 1743, 3562
Bodhrao Hunmont v. Nursing Rao	519. 6 Moore's I. A., 426	2454
Bodh Singh v. Lochun Singh	3 Agra, 220 12 B. L. R., 317: 19 W. R.,	81 146, 486
Bodi Ramayya v. Perma Janakiramudu Bodru Rohman. In the matter of	356. 5 Mad., 172	1763, 2363 4055
Bodry. In the matter of	3 C. L. R., 508 5 B. L. R., 309	$741,2645 \\ 2658$
Bodun Buroosh v. Abdul Gunny	19 W. R., 281	4919
Boevey. In re	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 635 .	3859
Boga Kolita v. Thoolessur Kayasta	24 W. R., 357	
Bohuroonissa Bibee v. Kureemoonissa Khatoon . Boiddonath Bania v. Bheedoo Doss	19 W. R., 18	1226
Boiddonath Shah v. Lalunnissa Bibee	9 W. R., Cr., 3	$6253 \\ 3288$
Boidonath Dey v. Ramkishore Dey	10 B. L. R., 326, note: 13 W. R., 166.	2089
Boidonath Maji Koyburto v. Aupurna Dabee .	10 C. L. R., 15	3037
Boidonath Mashanta v. Laidlay	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 433	1638
Boidonath Parooye v. Russick Lall Mitter	9 W. R., 274	5766
Boikuntonath Sett v. Russick Loll Burmono	10 W. R., 231	329, 482 3
Boikunto Paraki v. Surendro Nath Roy	1 W. R., 84.	9
Boileau v. Miller	10 C. L. R., 591	264 0
Boistub Churn Bhudro v. Tara Chand Banerjee .	11 W. R., 357	5328
Boistub Churn Digputty v. Gobind Pershad Tewaree Boistub Churn Doss v. Prem Chand Mitter	13 W. R., 203 4 W. R., 98	4757, 4758
Boistub Churn Sein v. Trahee Ram Sein	15 W. R., 32	517, 3350 4167, 5077
Boistub Doss Koondoo v. Huro Narain Haldar .	17 W. R, 408	5785, 6100
Bolakee Lal v. Chowdhry Bungsee Singh	7 W. R., 309	4890, 5426
Bolakee Lall v. Mahomed Hossein Khan	14 W. R., 63	988, 5977
v. Monjee Lall	17 W. R., 163	5134
v. Thakoor Pertam Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 928: 6 C. L. R., 370.	3914
Bolakee Sahoo v. Court of Wards	14 W. R., 34	1248, 2367
Bolakun. In re	6 W. R., Mis., 121 · .	279
Boley Dobey v. Sideswar Rao Baboo Roy Kur	4 B. L. R., Ap. 92: 14 W. R., 437, note.	2720
Bollye Satee v. Akram Ally Boloram Gooy v. Kameenee Dossee	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 961 4 W. R., 108	4249, 5183 1998
Bolye Chund Dutt v. Khetterpaul Bysack	11 B. L. R., 459	2507
Bolya Chund Singh a Mayland	W. R., 1864, Act X, 84	1390
Bolye Chund Singh v. Moulard	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 572	2741, 5990
-	20 W. R., 208 5 W. R. P. C. 61, 1 Moore's	2740
Bomanjee Muncherjee v . Hossain Abdoolla	5 W. R., P. C., 61:1 Moore's I. A., 494.	1752
Bombay, Baroda, and Central India Railway Co. v. Tapidas Govindbhai.	6 Bom., A. C., 116	1380
Bombay-Burmah Trading Corporation v. Dorabji Cursetji.	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 415	932
Bombay-Burmah Trading Corporation v. Mahomed {	10 B. L. R., 345 : 19 W. R.,	5 8, 136 9

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bombay-Burmah Trading Corporation v. Mahomed	I. L. R., 4 Cal., 116	1381
Bombay City Bank v. Moonjee Hurri Doss	Bourke, O. C., 274	588 6228
Bombay Coast and River Steam Navigation Co. v. Heleux.	4 Bom., O. C., 149	2804, 2833
Rombay Joint Stock Corporation. In the matter of Bombay Tramway Company v. Khairaj Tejpall	6 Bom., O. C., 22 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 119. 5 Moore's I. A., 298 6 Moore's I. A., 232 8 W. R., 182 24 W. R., 72	5641 3789 4641 2703, 6241 2390 320, 5194
Bonomalee Churn Mytee v . Hafizuddeen	N. R., 317.	4897, 6114
v. Shoroop Hootait	14 W. R., 60 {	4904, 6110 6174
Bonomalee Ghose v. Delu Sirdar Bonomalee Nag v. Koylash Chunder Dey	24 W. R., 118	3035 1695 3009
Bonomali Mozumdar v. Woomesh Chunder Bundopadhya	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 730: 9 C. L. R., 341.	5483
Bonomally Deo v. Ram Sodoy Chuckerbutty Bonomally Kesh v. Hungshessur Roy	17 W. R., 95	4747 80, 81
Bonomally Nawn v. Campbell	10 B. L. R., 193: 19 W. R., 20.	5712
Booa Russoolee v. Nawab Nazim of Bengal	11 W. R., 382	482, 4471 4981
Boodha Mirdha v. Khyrut Ali Boodhee v. Allee Hyder Boodhoo Jolaha v. Empress Boodhoo Rae v. Lal Beebee Boodhoo Roy v. Ramdyal Singh Boodhun v. Boodhun Singh v. Jan Khan Boodhun Singh v. Luteefun Boolakee Lall v. Radha Singh Bool Chand Jha v. Luthoo Moodee Bool Chand Kalta v. Janokee Bool Chand Kollta v. Janokee Bool Chand Kollta v. Janokee Bool Chand Kollta v. Janokee Boolee Singh v. Busunt Kooeree v. Hurobuns Narain Singh Boolinisharee Bibee v. Bukaoollah Booliroonnissa Bibee v. Kureemoonnissa Khatoon Boologam v. Swornam Boondi Roy v. Bunsee Thakoor Booniadi Lall v. Dewkee Nundun Lall	5 W. R., 269 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 271 2 C. L. R., 215 2 N. W., 126 18 W. R., Cr., 55 21 W. R., 156 13 W. R., 265 22 W. R., 535 22 W. R., 223 23 W. R., 387 24 W. R., 228 25 W. R., 386 8 W. R., 155 7 W. R., 212 17 W. R., 140 21 W. R., 230 1. L. R., 4 Mad., 330 24 W. R., 64 19 W. R., 223	4479 5918 5574 2331 973 6032 3693 699, 6032 2722 5343 2229 5096 1414, 2120 2141 3113, 4174 3695 901 2372 3446 481, 2354
Roomind Mathemy Wather Clair	I. L. R. 3 Calc., 375: 1 C. L. R., 455.	
Bootee Singh v. Moorut Singh	20 W. R., 478	5196 332 5334 6019
Bowles v. Bowles	Hyde, 51. I. L. R., 8 Bom., 571. 10 W. R., 240.	5879 4531 5658

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Boya Munigadu v. Queen	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 33 9 W. R., 292	1332 1438, 1628
Boydonath Bag v. Grish Chunder Roy	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 26 .	335, 1457
Boydonath Shaw v. Sumbhoo Ramnutee Boydonath Surmah v. Ojan Bibee Boykant Ram Sahoo v. Poorno Chunder Dass . Boykunt Nath Bhooya v. Ram Nath Bhooya . Boykuntnath Chatterjee v. Ameeroonissa Khatoon	25 W. R., 59	4295 1899 877, 4398 5733 3353 5346
Bradley v. Atkinson	I. L. R., 7 All., 596; and on	3051
v. Jameson	appeal, I. L. R., 7 All., 899 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 580: 11 C. L. R., 414. 4 W. R., Act X, 5	3623, 4096 5946 2930
— v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 338 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 92 4 B. L. R., F. B., 94 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 545 1 B. L. R., S. N., 1	5289 3545, 4886 4952, 5831 1112 3175
Braja Bhukan Lal Ahusti v. Bichan Dobi	9 B. L. R., 204, note: 14 W. R., 330.	2337
Braja Kishor Mitter Mozumdar v. Radha Gobind Dutt }	3 B. L. R., A. C., 435:12 W. R., 339.	2294
Braja Kishor Sen v. Kasim Ali . Braja Kishor Surma v. Kirti Chandra Surma	3 B. L. R., Ap., 78 7 B. L. R., 19: 15 W. R., 247	3810 371 7
Brajalal Roy v. Sayama Charan Bhutt	6 B. L. R., 523: 15 W. R.,	4266, 4935
Brajanath Baisakh v. Matilal Baisakh Brajanath Dey Sirkar v. Anandamayi Dasi Brajanath Dhar v. Bhabo Mohun Dhar Brajanath Kundu Chowdhry v. Gobindmani Dasi.	20. 3 B. L. R., O. C., 92 8 B. L. R., 208 6 B. L. R., Ap., 137 4 B. L. R., O. C., 83 8 B. L. R., 104: 14 Moore's	2195 2564, 4672 1240 1666, 1904
v. Khilat Chandra Ghose	I. A., 144: 16 W. R., P. C., 33.	3389, 3997 447 0
v. Lakhi Narayan Addi v. Lowther	7 B. L. R., 211 9 B. L. R., 121	600 5 15 9 1
Braja Nath Kundu Chowdry v. Stewart .	8 B. L. R., Ap., 51: 16 W. R., 216.	53
Brajanath Pal Chowdry v. Hiralal Pal .	1 B L. R., A. C., 87:10 W. R., 120.	9
Brajanath Pyne. In the matter of	3 B. L. R., O. C., 60: 12 W. R., 386, note.	4800
Brajaraj Kisori Dasi v. Mohammed Salem	1 B. L. R., A. C., 152 .	5380, 5394
Braja Sundari Debi v. Lachmi Kunwari . {	2 B. L. R., A. C., 155: 11 W. R., 13.	3165, 3384
Brajeshware Peshakar v. Budhanuddi .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 268: 7 C. L. R., 6.	1750, 4142
Brammamyi Bewa. In re	9 B. L. R., 109, note: 14 W. R., 252.	1591
Brammamayi Dasi v. Abhai Charan Chowdhry	7 B. L. R., 489; 16 W. R.,	2213, 3289
Bramamayi Dasi v. Jages Chandra Dutt Bramanund Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of Brammoye Dassee v. Kristo Mohun Mookerjee Branson v. Municipal Commissioners, Madras Brass v. Tiruvengada Pillai Breen v. Balfour	8 B. L. R., 400 8 C. L. R., 233 I. L. R., 2 Calc., 222 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 362 1 Mad., 247 Bourke, O. C., 120	3438 2565 1955 4975 3575 1216, 6227 632, 3309

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
"Brenhilda," The, v. British India S. N. Co. Bretton. In re the goods of	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 547 I. L. R., 7 Bom, 381 12 W. R., P. C., 33: 13 Moore's I. A., 104. 2 Hyde, 1 4 B. L. R., Ap., 49 5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 18 10 Bom., 54 3 Agra, 240 15 B. L. R., 145, note: 17 W. R., 422. 25 W. R., 316 L. R., 5 I. A., 1: 1 C. L. R.,	276 6064 2057, 5103 5108, 5633 2672 3084 5695 5662 5191 1674, 2519 1477, 1899 4342
Brij Indar Bahadur Singh v. Jankee Koer	318. I. L. R., 7 All., 775 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 742 14 W. R., 372 1 W. R., Mis., 1 23 W. R., 37 W. R., F. B., 33 20 W. R., 179 14 W. R., 391	5504 3709 5610 475 3536 1183 202 4006 1683, 1747 3506 5610
Brijoo Ram Misser v. Bhugwan Doss Brij Soondur Roy v. Kaimoonnissa Brindabun Bharotee v. Dhununjoy Narain Bhunjo Deo Brindabun Chandra Kurmokar v. Chundra Kurmokar Brindabun Chandra Shaw. In the matter of the petition of. Brindabun Chunder Chowdhry v. Brindabun Chunder Sircar Chowdhry. v. Ram Coomar Chow-	7 W. R., 180	4860 296, 299 293 2438, 5099 4801 5312
dhry. Brindabun Chunder Dass. In the matter of the petition of. Brindabun Chunder Roy v. Municipal Commissioners of Serampore. v. Tarachand Pandopadhya	19 W. R., Cr., 29	5542 502, 505 2847 4957
Brindabun Chunder Sircar Chowdhry v. Bhoopal Chunder Biswas. Brindabun Chunder Sircar Chowdhry v. Brindabun Chunder Dey Chowdhry. Brindabun Chunder Sirkar v. Dhununjoy Nushkur	17 W. R., 377	3419, 3425 4473 5312 539, 4977 6102 1647, 4910
Brindabun Dey v. Bisona Bibee Brindabun Dutt. In the matter of the petition of v. Beharee Mohun Sen v. Dwarkanath Sein Brindabun Ghose. In the goods of Brindabun Shaha. In the matter of Brinda Chowdhrain v. Radhica Chowdhrain Brinda Dabee Chowdhrain v. Pearee Lall Chowdhry	W. R., 107. 21 W. R., Cr., 24	4098 572 2924 1237 4725 4667 1414, 3397

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Brinda Debee Dossee v. Gopee Soonduree Dossia . British India Steam Navigation Co. v. Ibrahim Moosum v. Mahomed Esack	6 W. R., Mis., 82 8 W. R., 35 I. L. R., 3 Mad., 107	5475 596, 602 602, 3273
& Co. Brito v. Secretary of State for India Broadhead v. Broadhead	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 251 5 B. L. R., Ap., 9	3342 5499 1552
Brohmomoyee v. Kashi Chunder Sen	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 266: 10 C. } L. R., 91.	3852
Brohmo Moyee Chowdbrani v. Chittur Monee Chowdhrani.	8 W. R., 25	85
Brohmo Moyee Dabee v. Burkut Sirdar	12 W. R., F. B., 25	4953 231
Brojender Coomar v. Bromomoye Chowdhrani .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 885: 3 C. } L. R., 520.	5841
Brojender Narain Roy v. Kassessur Roy Brojendra Coomar Bhoomick v. Woopendra Narain ?	1 W. R., Mis., 15	3775
Singh. Brojendra Kumar Rai Chowdhuri. In the matter of ?	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 706	1623, 3015
the petition of.	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 515	2606, 5960
Brojendro Coomar Chowdhry v. Ram Coomar Holdar	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 515 13 W. R., 32	2606, 5960 2890
Brojendro Coomar Roy. In the matter of the petition	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 728: 7 } W. R., 529.	3151
v. Krishna Coomar Ghose	I. L. R., 7 Cals., 684: 9 C. 3	215, 3799
v. Madhub Chunder Ghose v. Rakhal Chunder Roy v. Rakhal Chunder Roy v. Chairman of the	L. R., 444.) I. L. R., 8 Calc., 343	3833, 4185 552
Diojendro Coomar May Chowdhry & Chairman of the	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 791 20 W. R., 223	147
v. Radha Gobindo Shah.	1 W. R., 235	4173
v. Wise	19 W. R., 130	5130
Brojendro Koomar Rai Chowdhry alias Dighoo Baboo. In the matter of the petition of.	17 W. R., Cr., 35	4730
Brojendro Kumar Roy Chowdhry v. Bungo Chunder Mundul.	12 C. L. R., 389	569, 5194
Roy Chowdhry.	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 300	1147
Brojendro Narain Roy v. Binode Ram Sein.	11 W. R., 269	3496
v. Busunto Coomar Ghose . Brojendur Roy Chowdhry v. Jugurnath Roy .	13 W. R., 300	88 5484
Brojeshwari Dasi v. Guroo Churn Das	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 735	249, 4345
Brojeswari Chowdhranee v. Tripoora Soondaree Debi .	3 C. L. R., 513	1929
Brojo Beharee Sahoy v. Kewalram	10 W. R., 5	3175
Brojo Behari Mitter v. Kedar Nath Mozumdar	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 580	5064
Brojo Coomar Mullick v. Mon Molfinee Debea Brojo Coomar Sen v. Eshan Chunder Das	16 W. R., 55	403, 5295
Brojo Gobind Shaha v. Goluck Chunder Shaha alias Goluck Shaha.	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 127	308 5841,5855
Brojokanth Chuckerbutty v. Panee Madhub Dischit .	23 W. R., 434	4271
Brojo Kishoree Chowdhrain v. Bilash Monee Chowdhrain.	5 W. R., 195	1984
Brojokishoree Chowdhrain v. Khema Soonduree Dossee .	7 W. R., 409	2752, 4042
Brojo Kishoree Dassee v. Sreenath Bose	9 W. R., 463 . · . }	1412, 1422 2111, 2296
Brojo Kishoree Dossia v. Mahomed Suleem	10 W. R., 151	4153 5380, 5394

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Brojokishore Gugendar Mohapattur v. Hureekishen Doss Brojo Kishore Nag v. Ram Dyal Bhudra Brojo Kishore Rakhit v. Bashi Mundul	10 W. R., 57 21 W. R., 133 21 W. R., 251 12 B. L. R., 456, note: 17	2201 3249 535
Brojokishore Roy v . Madhub Persad Misser . $\{$	W. R., 373.	2721
Brojo Kishore Sein v. Kassim Ali	11 W. R., 562 .	3810
Brojo Kishore Singh v. Bharut Singh Mohaputtur Brojo Kissoree Dossee v. Sreenath Bose	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 963 8 W. R , 241	293 4 2479
Brojolall Baj Pye v. Umritolall Baj Pye	Marsh., 163 .	171
Brojolall Mookerjee v. Aughor Lall Ghosa!	25 W. R., 71 .	5773
Brojolall Puramanick v. Ram Tadun Gossain Brojo Lall Roy v. Khettur Nath Mitter	10 W. R., 127 . 12 W. R., 55 .	3505 4985
Brojo Lall Sandyal v. Bhobo Soonduree Debia Chowdrain	17 W. R., 499 .	4140
Brojo Lal Singh v. Gour Charan Sen	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 111	3440
Brojo Misser v. Ahladi Misran	13 B. L. R., 376 : 21 W. R., 320 13 C. L. R., 139	579 3356
Brojo Mohun De Sircar v. Dengu	7 C. L. R., 141	540
Brojo Mohun Doss v. Hurro Loll Doss	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 700	6053
Erojomohun Ghose v Luchmun Singh	6 C. L. R., 58 W. R., 1864, 83	5210, 5533 2386
Brojomohun Mojoomdar v. Roodro Nath Surmah Mo-	15 W. R., 192	1457, 1899
jumdar.		2092
Brojomohun Mytee v. Radhakoomaree Brojo Mohun Mytee v. Swayne	W. R., 1864, 60 1 Hay, 297	4160 3792
Brojomohun Sutputty v. Shooda Monee Dabee	8 W. R., 79	4949
Brojo Mohun Thakoor. In the matter of the petition of	20 W. R., 424	545 0
v. Ameenooddeen	20 W. R., 424 15 W. R., 70	5450 2256
Brojonath Dey v. Shumboo Chunder Chatterjee	18 W. R., 25	5680
Brojonath Dutt v. Joykishen Mookerjee	4 W. R., 69	2973
Brojonath Ghose v. Koylash Chunder Banerjee	9 W. R., 593	2021
Brojonath Koondoo Chowdhry v. Gopeenath Shaha v. Jumeeroonissa Bibee .	17 W. R., 183 7 W. R., 218	1591 5 120
v. Unant Ram Dutt	17 W. R., 449	. 4
Brojo Nath Kundu v. Stewart	8 B. L. R., Ap., 51; 16 W.	1591
Brojonath Mitter. In the matter of	R., 216. 13 W. R., 301	2878
Brojonath Mookhopadhya v. Protap Chunder Thakoor •	22 W. R., 296	4897
Brojo Nath Pal v. Dasmony Dassee	2 C. L. R., 589	217 1351
Brojo Nath Paul Chowdhry v. Bimola Soonduree Dossia v. Sreegopal Paul Chowdhry	15 W. R., 298	2343
Brojonath Roy v. Gilmore	2 W. R., Act X, 48	2984
Project Service Lall Roy	5 W. R., 282	1509 4 901
Brojonath Sen v. Soorjakant Sen	25 W. R., 276	4027, 5311
Brojonath Surman Chuckerbutty v. Anund Moyee Debia	7 W. R., 237	763, 5170
Chowdhrain.	•	1656
Brojonath Tewaree v. Grant	22 W. R., 13	2980, 29 92
Brojonauth Mullick v. Weskins	z 1nd. Jur., N. S., 103 .	3048, 3053
Brojo Rajkishoree v. Bishonauth Dutt	W. R., 1864, 305	138, 4470
Brojo Soondery Debia v. Luchmee Koonwaree . {	15 B. L. R., 176, note: 20 } W. R., 95.	2241, 3165
Brojo Soondur Bhadooree v. Eshan Chunder Roy	15 W. R., 179	5740
Brojo Soonduree Debia v. Anund Moyee Debia	16 W. R., 302	2695
Brojo Soonduree Dossia v. Gilmore	13 W. R., 359	1084 4436

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Brojo Soondur Gossamee v. Juggut Chunder Dey Brojo Soondur Mitter v. Futick Chunder Roy v. Ram Chunder Roy	21 W. R., 199	4527 2741, 3360 536
Brojo Soondur Mitter Mozoomdar v. Kalee Kishore Chowdhry.	8 W. R., 496	1596
Brojo Sunder Gossami v. Koilash Chunder Kur Brojunggona Dassee v. Debranee Dassee Brojungona Dassee v. Shona Mookhee Dassee Bromanund Gossain v. Government Bromma v. Lullitnarain Deo Brommo Moyee Debia Chowdhrain v. Koomodinee Kant Banerjee,	11 C. L. R., 133	4178 1609 3522 3124, 4178 1748 51, 1432 4905
Brommo Roop Gossain v. Anund Moyee Debia Brommoroop Goswamee v. Prannath Chowdhry	7 W. R., 316 7 W. R., 17	3782 5674
Bromomoyee v. Anand Lall Roy	13 B. L. R., 225, note: 19 W. R., 419.	1413
Brooke v. Gibbon	19 W. R., 244	3300, 3340 4398 352
v. Surdial Brooks. Ex parte v. Pattammari Nanjappa Naiok Broughton v. Mercer v. Perhlad Sen	12 B. L. R., Ap., 13 1 Mad., 8	206 3472 6205
Broughton v. Mercer v. Perhlad Sen v.	1 Mad., 8	1476 3826
v. Pogose v. Spink Brown. In the matter of	25 W. R., 243	3110 2641, 2676
v. Cutts v. Pilot Brig "Kedgeree" v. Ram Komul Ghose	5 C. L. R., 487 1 Hyde, 253	5797 395 1579
Browne v. Woomesh Chunder Roy Brownlow. In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., 213	504 4528
Brozo Kishoro Patta Devu v. Varadhi Virapratapa Shri Raghunatha Devu.	7 Mad., 301	2121
Bruce v. Cronin Bruhm Purgash v. Dumree Lall	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 438 . 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 133 .	3811 3448
Brukowsky v. Thacker, Spink, & Co	6 B. L. R., 107	3791 4548
Bubboo Doubey v. Ishree	3 Agra, 74	1891 1 42
Bucha Gope v. Collector of Tirhoot	7 W. R., 102	3298 1125
Bucha Singh v. Mashook Ali Beg Buchi Ramayya v. Jagapathi	15 W. R., 572	4271, 5172 1082, 2192
Buchoo Chowbey v. Ghoorlait	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 304 . 4 N. W., 56	2514 5746
Buchraj Ram v. Ram Kishen Singh	11 C. L. R., 345 . 6 Mad., 265	90
Buckland v. Ashoo Chowdhrain	9 W. R., 326 17 W. R., 279 1 Hyde, 132	2889 5761
Buddoo Mull v. Maharoop Buddree Doss v. Doorga Pershad	6 N. W., 129 2 N. W., 284	5587 5704 3239
v. Hoare, Miller, & Co	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 170 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 678; 3 C. L.	4285 1083
Buddreenath v. Isree Pershaud .	R., 294. Cor., 114	4321

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Buddreenath Jha v. Amrit Sahoo Buddun Chunder Maduck v. Chunder Coomar Shaha Buddun Chunder Shaha v. Bepin Beharee Roy	10 W. R., 126	1427 1902 42
Buddun Sahu v. Rampertab Sahu Buddun Thakoor v. Shunker Doss	25 W. R., 511	337 1361, 3275
Buddu Ramaiya v. Venkaiya . Budh Singh Dudhuria v. Denendra Nath Sancul . Budhua Orawan Mahtoon v. Juggessur Doyal Singh	3 Mad., 263	825 4512 1754, 2589
Budhu Mahatoon. In the matter of the petition of Budhun v. Fuzloor Ruhman	8 W. R., 266 9 W. R., 362	168 2209
v. Hafezah	4 C. L. R., 70	1926 5 570
Budley v. Bukhtoo	3 N. W., 203	5649 3827
Budoobhoosun Bose v. Enaeth Moonshee	8 W. R., 1	3206 3253, 5271
Budree v. Lokemun Budree Doss v. Hunwant Singh Budree Lall v. Bhoosee Khan v. Kantee Lall	4 N. W., 69 25 W. R., 134 23 W. R., 260	531 1764 2165
Budree Nauth Bhutt v. Chunder Shekur Man Singh Budri Narain v. Sheo Koer	1 W. R., Mis., 3	5470 5536
Budrool Hossein. In the matter of the petition of Budrunnissa Chowdhrain v. Prosunno Kumar Bose	24 W. R., Cr., 51 6 B. L. R., F. B., 255 : 14 W.)	6281 39, 64
Budun Mollah v. Khettur Nath Chatterjee Buduroodeen v. Haniff Mullick	R., F. B., 25. 24 W. R., 441 5 W. R., 180	2996 4147
Budurroonissa Chowdhrain v. Chunder Coomar Dutt Buggee Ram v. Buldeo Singh	10 W. R., 455 2 N. W., 414	1586 4295
Bugghoonauth Newgy v. Sudhamoyee Dabea Bugola Moyee v. Bhowani Churn Paul	Marsh., 106: 1 Hay, 183 . 5 W. R., 119	881 2 266
Buhal Singh Chowdhry v. Beharilal	1 B. L. R., A. C., 206: 10 W. R., 318	330, 4951
Buhram Khan. In the matter of	10 W. R., Cr., 47	5563
Buhuns Koonwur v. Lalla Buhoree Lall	10 B. L. R., 159: 18 W. R., 157:14 Moore's I. A., 496,	486
Bujrungee Dutt Pattuck v. Moorad Ali	22 W. R., 7	5808 4300
Bujrungee Lall v. Velaet Hossein Khan Bukhooree Singh v. Government	5 W. R., 186 8 W. R., 207	5273
Bukhsoor v. Haruk Chand Sahoo	1 W. R., 114	5784
Bukhta v. Gunga	3 Agra, 161	2885 4015
Bukhtouree v. Meheen Lall Bukronath Chuckerbutty v. Nilmonee Singh Deo.	3 Agra, 96	4915 3479, 3509
Bukronath Singh v. Nilmoni Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 389 : 4 C. L. R., 583.	2040
Buksha Ali v. Tofee Ali	20 W. R 216	3705
Buksh Ali v. Ameerun Bebee	2 W. R., 208	3645 5060
v. Nittyanund Doss v. Ramtonoo Gun	5 W. R., 227 6 W. R., Act X, 64	1456
Buksh Ali Boohean v. Nubotara	13 W. R., 468	4788
Buksh Ali Sowdagur v. Essan Chunder Mitter .	W. R., F. B., 119 .	2515, 540 3
v. Joyanut Khan	11 W. R., 248	339, 6239
Bukshan v. Maldai Kooeri Bukshee v. Bungsheedhur	3 B. L. R., A. C., 423 . 6 W. R., Mis., 46	3688 2 27
Bukshee Kunnee Lall v. Thakoornath Sai	25 W. R., 80	5832
Bukshi Kam Pergash Lal v. Sheo Pergash Tewari	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 453 .	3247
Bukshoolian Chowdry v. Hur Chunder Chund .	16 W. R., 248	2762
Bukshun v. Doolhin	12 W. R., 337	3688
		9 u 2

e of Case.	Volume and	Column of Digest.
Bukshush Hossein v. Fuzeeloonissa	W. R., 1864, 189	515
Bulakee Lall v. Indurputtee Kowar	3 W. R., 41	2451, 4596
Bulakidas v. Keshav Lal	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 85	2307
Bulaki Singh v . Jaikishen Das Bulbhuddur Tewaree v . Ram Tewaree	7 N. W., 203	3995, 4897
Buldeb Surmah v. Taranath Surmah	1 Agra. 15	24 76 5 889
Buldeen v. Gelab Koonwer	Agra, F. B., 802: Ed. 1874, 77	3387
Buldee Singh v. Kanaha	1 N. W., 71 : Ed. 1873, 125 .	442
Buldeo v. Guj Singh	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 240 .	3479
Buldeo v. Guj Singh Buldeo v. Luchmun	5 N. W, 106	5732
Buldeo Dass v. Moonna Lall	1 N. W., 23, Ed. 1873, 21 .	1396
v. Nathoo Mull	2 Agra, 132	656, 1368
Buldeo Doss v. Buldeo Doss	3 N. W., 199	882
v. Howe	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 64: 6 C. L. R., 582.	1118
- v. Mool Kooer	2 N. W., 19	2824
Buldeo Doss Johurry v. Sreenauth Sein	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 114	3288
Buldeo Misser v. Ahmed Hossein	15 W. R., 143	4443, 5785
Buldeo Narayan v. Scrymgeour	6 B. L. R., 581	1219, 6047
Buldeo Pershad v. Golab Khan	6 N. W., 101	5780 3728, 4267
Buldeo Ram Tewaree v. Somessur Pauray	1 Agra, Rev., 30	2236
Buldeo Sehai v. Chadee Lall	2 N. W., 95	1465
Buldeo Singh v. Dhukrun Singh	Marsh. 632	6124
Buldeo Singh v. Dhukrun Singh v. Dwarka Dass	1 Agra, 169	1896, 5388
v. Mahabeer Singh	Marsh., 632	2470, 2529
Buldeo Sehai v. Chadee Lall Buldeo Singh v. Dhukrun Singh v. Dwarka Dass v. Mahabeer Singh r. Ram Surn Lall Bulkiram Nathuram v. Gujarat Mercantile Association	25 W. R., 234	5741
		5091
Bullee Aheer v. Nishan Singh. Bullee Singh v. Kanai Chowdhry Bullen v. Lalit Jha Bullock Brothers & Co. v. Toay Aung Bullock v. De Broton	3 C. L. R., 209	4171
Rullan a Lalit Tha	17 W. R, Cr., 2	977
Bullock Brothers & Co v. Took Anno	3 B L. R., Ap., 119	2982 593
Bulloo v. De Breton	24 W. R., 74	402
Bullov Bhuttacharjee v. Baburam Chattopadhya	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 169	5694
Bullubee Kant Bhuttacharjee v. Doorjo Dhun Shikdar .	7 W. R, 89	6003
Bul Mokund Lal v. Tirjoodhun Roy	11 C. L. R., 466	5364
Bulobhuddur Mahantee v. Mudhoosoodun Pandey	23 W. R., 433	3456
Bulobhuddur Singh v. Solano	5 W. R., 107	1378
Buloram Baboo v. Issur Chunder Baboo	23 W. R., 77	2769
Buloram Doss v. Jogendro Nath Mullick	19 W. R., 349	1490
Buloram Paul v. Suroop Chunder Gooho Bulwant Singh v. Gokaram Prasad	21 W. R. 256	3074
Bulwant Rai. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 1 All., 433	3922
Bulwant Singh v. Chittan Singh	6 N. W., 124	255, 5921 4868
Bunarsee Doss v. Gholam Hossein	13 W. R., P. C., 29: 13)	4324
Bunda Ali Khan v. Ameerun	Moore's I. A., 358.	
Bunday Ali Khan v. Ameerun Bunday Ali Khan v. Chotee Bibee	25 W. R., 493	485
Bundey Hossein v. Lalla Puriag Dutt	1 Agra, 273	3656 3713
Bundhoo. In the matter of the petition of	22 W R., Cr., 67	1306
Bundhoo Bhugut v. Mahomed Hossein	2 W. R., 70	684
Bundhoo Sookoolany v. Joy Prokash Singh	W. R., 1864, 367	5285, 5765
Buneead Singh v. Sudaseeb Dutt	2 W. R, Mis., 50	3842
Bungo Chunder Banerjee v. Chundernath Chuckerbutty	25 W. R., 47	4905
Bungo Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Nuzmoodeen Ahmed .	11 W. R., 156	1035
v. Ram Kanaye Bhawal .	10 W. R., 256	1614
Bungseedhur Ghose v. Tarinee Churn Singh	3 W. R., 195	3418

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Bungseedhur Khettry. In the matter of Bungseedhur Mullick v. Calcutta Auction Company Bungseeram Shaha v. Juggurnath Shaha	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 359 . 1 Hyde, 45 W. R., 1864, Act X, 11 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 739: 10 C.	2638 5796 1488 2830, 4044
Bungsee Singh v. Soodist Lall	L. R., 263.	1248, 4884
Bungshee Budden Dey v. Tarinee Churn Dey Bungshee Dhur Doss v. Bhugwan Doss v. Mahomed Khubeel	14 W. R., 375 24 W. R., 117 1 Hay, 369	4051 1568 5875
Bungsheedhur Mahata v. Mudhoo Soodun Chowdhry	23 W. R., 406 W. R., F. B., 11:1 Ind. Jur,	5765
Bungsheedhur Mundul v. Puddo Lochun Roy .	O. S., 5: Marsh., 38: 1 Hay, 90.	5731, 5169
Bungshee Dhur Thakoor v. Khettermonee Debia. Bungsho Dhur Biswas v. Mudhoo Mohuldar Bungsraj Bhookta v. Megh Lall Pooree Gossain Bunkabeharee Sein. In the matter of the petition of Bunkoo Lall Singh v. Bascomunissa Bibee Bunkut Nurseya v. Gowree Singh	11 W. R., 15 21 W. R., 383 20 W. R., 398 18 W. R., Cr., 23 7 W. R., 166 2 N. W., 369 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 605: 9 C. L.	5287 511 4473 5162 5120, 5135 1589
Bunnijan Bibi v. Mahammad Hossain	R., 90.	5674
Bunnomali Nundi v. Hurrydass Byragi	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 710: 11 C. L. R., 265.	3773
Bunnoo v. Ameerooddeen	23 W. R., 24 3 Agra, Rev., 9 3 Agra, 384	3374 3037 1601, 3278
Bunseedhur v . Heera Lall	1 N. W., Part VI, p. 74: Ed. 1873, 166.	6133
· v. Kuddey Lall Bunsee Dhur Ghose v. Bheem Lall Sahoo Bunseedhur Poddar v. Ramjee Morarjee	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 198 24 W. R., 219 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 243.	1488, 5692 2985 1031 321, 2390
Bunsee Lall v. Aoladh Ahsan	22 W. R., 552	5789
Bunsee Sahoo v. Kaleepershad Bunsee Singh v. Nuzuf Ali Beg Bunsheemohun Doss v. Huro Chunder Doss Chowdhry. Bunwaree Chand Thakoor v. Mudden Mohun Chuttoraj. Bunwaree Kishore v. Joychunder Gossain Bunwareelal v. Mahomed Hossein Khan v. Sungum Lal	13 W. R., 414 22 W. R., 328 W. R., 1864, Mis., 28 21 W. R., 41 2 W. R., 262 2 Hay, 150 7 W. R., 280 4 W. R., P. C. 128: 7 Moore's	5288 3516, 3829 410 1488, 2244 5361 3903 4792 1723, 4658
Bunwaree Lall v. Hetnarain Singh	I. A., 148.	
v. Kisto Behary Roy Bunwaree Lall Misser v. Radha Pershad Sing Bunwaree Lall Sahoo v. Moheshur Singh v. Sudhist Lall	1 W. R., 63 1 C. L. R., 136 Marsh., 544: 2 Hay, 644 25 W. R., 269	769 4495 2710, 4586 1147
Bunwaree Mohun Saha v. Prannath Saha . Bunwaree Singh v. Ramanoogra Singh . Bunwari Lall v. Chowdhry Drup Nath Singh . v. Forlong	2 W. R., 159 10 W. R., 14 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 179 9 W. R., 239	3331 3435 184 1766
Bunwari Lall Sahu v. Mohabir Pershad Singh .	12 B. L. R., 297 : L. R., 1 I. A., 89.	97
Bunwari Lal Roy v. Mahima Chandra Knuall .	4 B. L. R., Ap., 86:13 W. R., 267.	3018, 3394
Bunwarry Lall v. Furlong Bunwary Poddar. In the matter of the petition of Bura Boodho v. Koylash Chunder Nundee Buraik Chuttur Singh v. Greedharee Singh	8 W. R, 38 23 W. R., Cr., 55 6 W. R., 100 9 W. R., 337	4744 4854 5133 2151

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Burdakanth Roy v. Aluk Munjooree Dasiah Burhunnuddi Howladar v. Mohun Chunder Guha	4 Moore's I. A., 321 . 8 C. L. R., 508	1352, 1382 5982 2995
Burjore v. Bhagana	8 C. L. R., 511 . I. L. R., 10 Calc., 557 : L. R.,	1600, 2995 278, 2225
Burjorji Cursetji Panthaki v. Muncherji Kuverji. Burkinyoung v. Bhoobun Mohun Bonerjee Burkut Hossein v. Majidoonnissa Burmah Chowdhry v. Sreenund Singh Burmah Company v. Snadden	11 I. A., 7. I. L. R., 5 Bom., 143 Cor., 90 3 C. L. R., 208 12 W. R., 29 7 W. R., 120	139, 4793 4262 742, 2031 1644 1053
Burmamoye Dassee v. Dinobundhoo Ghose .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 564: 7 C. L. R. 583	3431
Burm Suroop Dass v. Khashee Jha Burn v. Achumbit Lall Burn & Co. v. Busho Moyee Dassee Burnee Khanum v. Modhoosoodun Doss Burney v. Eyre Burno Moyee Dossee v. Benode Mohinee Chowdhrain Burodacant Roy v. Commissioner of Soonderbuns Buroda Churn Bose v. Ajoodhya Ram Khan Buroda Debia v. Pran Kishen Singh Buroda Kant Banerjee v. Koomodinee Kant I anerjee Burodakanth Laha v. Gobind Chunder Gooho Buroda Kant Roy v. Chunder Coomar Roy v. Commissioner of the Soondurbuns v. Radha Churn Roy v. Ram Kishore Dutt v. Roy Churn Burnoshil v. Sib Sunkuree Dossee Burra Fukeer Doss Bera v. Fukeer Doss Bera	R., 583. 20 W. R., 471 20 W. R., 14 14 W. R., 85 3 W. R., Act X, 127 1 Hyde, 68 20 W. R., 387 12 Moore's I. A., 225 23 W. R., 286 2 W. R., 80 17 W. R., 80 17 W. R., 466 7 W. R., 50 23 W. R., 281 13 W. R., 180 13 W. R., 163 6 W. R., 99 21 W. R., 381 4 W. R., Act X, 35 20 W. R., 180	2252 1747 3032 1719 909 3967 5916 157 296, 1783 1432, 4905 5352 549 5106 1620, 2978 3516 1637 1622 5120 283
Burra Lall v. Court of Wards	16 W. R., 289 17 W. R., 484 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 161 : 5 W. R., P. C., 21 : 10 Moore's	5116 4631
Burroda Kant Roy v. Ram Tunnoo Bose	1. A., 413. 7 W. R., P. C., 51	1352, 138 2 5982
Burroda Soonduree Dossee v. Nobogopal Mullick v. Raj Bullub Sen Burtoo Singh v. Ram Purmessur Singh Buryar Singh v. Hunsee	W. R., 1864, 294	248 4876 1922 2290, 2291 2303
Busharutoolla v. Collector of Tipperah	8 W. R., 375	3563 543 4476
Buskin. In the matter of the petition of v. Ramkissen Seal Busrut Ally Chowdhry v. Eshan Chunder Roy Bussant Coomaree Dossee v. Collector of Jessore Busseerooddeen v. Shibpershad Chowdry Busseeroonissa Chowdhrain v. Leelanund Singh	3 Agra, 236	541, 4476 5178 4704 1112 3561 91 3374 4174
Bussun Lall Shookul v. Chundee Dass	R., 1.	4965
Bussunteram Marwary v. Kamaluddin Ahmed Bustee Narain Roy v. Sham Soonder Nundee Bustee Ram v. Newaz Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 421	3644 778 4988

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bustee Sahoo v. Jeonarain Singh .	24 W. R., 338 .	1757
Busunt Koomaree v. Kali Persad Singh	Marsh., 11: 1 Hay, 32	8705
Busunto Coomar Ghose. In the matter of the petition of	15 B. L. R., 351, note	88
Busunt Rai v. Gridharee Singh	3 Agra, 93 .	378 5 17 5
Butabee Begum v. Khooshal .	2 N. W., 24 . 3 Agra, 292 .	55 4
Butas Koonwar v. Jankee Pershad Butchenner v. Rayudu •	5 Mad., 285	6174
Buti Begam v. Nihal Chand	I. L. R. 5 All., 459	3462
Buti Singh v. Murat Singh	13 B. L. R., 284, note	5196
Butler v. Lloyd	12 B. L. R., Ap., 12	2651 2626
Butoolun v. Koolsoom .	25 W. R., 444	363 6 363 6
v. Ozeerun	25 W. R., 444 . 8 W. R., 300 .	4822
Butto Behary Banerjee. In the matter of	11 B. L. R., 20	4797
Buttokristo Doss v. Khettra Chandra Bhuttacharjee	6 B. L. R., Ap., 69	4807
Buzlool Hossein v. Gunput Chowdhry	25 W. R., 170 .	1136
Buzlool Rahman v. Prandhun Dutt	8 W. R., 222	5354 470 959
1		470, 852 2834, 4161
Buzloor Ruheem v. Shumsoonnissa Beguin	8 W. R., P. C., 3: 11 Moore's I. A., 551.	4866, 5096
Dubloot Italioom of Branchoom Bogain	1. A., 551.	5099, 5100
·		5873
Buzl Ruheem v. Lutafut Hossein	W. R., 1864, 171	1759, 3166
	1 W. R., P. C., 57: 8 Moore's)	6059
Buzl-ul-Ruheem v. Luteefutoonnissa	I. A., 379: 1 Ind. Jur.,	3645
Dun-un-reduced of Date-of-Goodinista	0. S., 1.	
Buzmoonissa v. Rowshan Jahan	10 W. R., 351 .	628
Buzrung Sahoy Singh v. Mantora Chowdhrain	22 W. R., 119 .	2085
Buzur Bibee v. Jackson	5 W. R., Mis., 14	1883 4161
Buzzul Ruhim v. Shumsheroonnissa Begum Byddonath Ojha v. Gopal Mal	W. R., F. B., 60 6 W. R., 170	3368
Bydnath Shaha v. Jadub Chunder Shaha	3 W. R., 208	6102
Bydonauth Sorbhon v. Kenooram Holdar .	1 W. R., 211 .	4198
Byji Nath Sahoo v. Ramdour Roy	7 C. L. R., 369	4171
Byjnath v. Chutter Singh	3 Agra, 181 .	$\begin{array}{c} 1585 \\ 273 \end{array}$
v. Graham Byjnath Dutt Jha v. Putsohee Dobain	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 740 20 W. R., 36	583 3
Byjnath Koonwar v. Saheb Koonwar	10 W/D 590	1624
	L. R., 1 I. A., 106 : 21 W. R.,	3908, 4313
Byjnath Lall v. Ramoodeen Chowdhry .	233.	3334, 3816
Byjnath Pershad v. Badhoo Singh	10 W. R., 486	5002
v. Kopilmon Singh	24 W. R., 95 · ·	3704, 3710
v. Mahomed Fuzl Hossein	2 Agra, Part II, 204	6284
Byjnath Pundit v. Kunhya Lall Pundit	9 W. R., 527	429
Byjnath Sahoo v. Bacharam	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 76: 5 W.	2573
	R., 86.	847, 1931
v. Doolar Chand Sahoo v. Rughoonath Pershad	24 W. R., 245 25 W. R., Cr., 16	4485
Byjnath Sahoy v. Wuzeer Narain	24 W. R., 427	5144
Byj Nath Singh v. Dooly Mahton	11 W. R., 215	371 3
v. Goburdhun Lall Mohasohree	24 W. R., 210	3917 1750 9556
v. Indurjeet Koer	8 W. R., 331 · ·	1759, 3556 2002
Byjnath Suhaye v. Brohmo Deo Narain .	14 W. R., 114 9 W. R., 255	3197
Byjonath Sahoy v. Doolhun Biswanath Kooer	24 W. R., 83	3952
A A	•	

A common designation of agencies of agencies and agreement of agencies of agen	The second secon	,
. Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bykant Monee Roy v. Kisto Soonderee Roy Bykant Nath Shaha v. Rajendro Narain Rai Bykunt Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Dhunput Singh	7 W. R., 392	2115, 2141 5444 2777
Bykunt Chunder Moostafee v. Khema Moyee Debia Bykunt Dhur Singh v. Lalla Bhugobut Sahoy	11 W. R., 77 9 W. R., 360 Marsh., 391: 2 Hay, 475	4914 483 3391
Bykunt Kyburto Doss v. Shushee Mohun Paul Chowdhry.	22 W. R., 526	1183, 4267
Bykunt Nath Acharjee v. Gooroo Churn Bose Bykuntnath Chowdhry v. Mohessuree	7 W. R., 247	5358 1210
Bykunt Nath Doss v. Bissonath Majhee Bykunt Nath Mookerjee v. Prionath Ghose	9 W. R., 268	3039 171 1883
Bykuntnath Mullick v. Joygopal Chatterjee Bykunt Nath Roy v. Grish Chunder Mookerjee Bykuntnath Roy Chowdhry v. Pogose	15 W. R., 96 5 W. R., 2	1415, 4136 3747, 3849
Bykunt Nath Sandyal v. Juggut Mohun Shaha	24 W. R., 240	5462 4936
Bykuntnath Sein v. Pearee Monee Dassee Bykuntnath Sen v. Goboollah Sikdar	1 W. R., 196 24 W. R., 391	5776 1680, 4901
Bykunt Ram Roy v. Shurfoonnissa Begum Bykuntram Shaha Roy. In the matter of the petition of		547 4101 4101
Byraddi Subbareddi v. Dassuppa Raju Byreddi Narakka v. Chinna Narayana Reddi	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 403 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 331	788 4281
C		
Caemmerer v. Birch	1 Mad., 8	206
Calanoor Soobramaneyan. In re the articles of clerk.) ship of	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 15 5 Mad., 93	455 3181
Calcutta and South-Eastern Railway Co. In the matter of the petition of.	8 W. R., 217	5532
Calcutta Docking Company v. Passmore	Bourke, O. C., 125: Cor., 151 1. L. R., 5 Calc., 888	428 944
Calcutta Landing and Shipping Co. v. Lawless Calcutta Steam Tug Association. In re	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 627	3196, 4598 953 2766
Calcutta Steam Tug Co. v. Hossein Ibrahim bin Johur Calder v. Halket	Bourke, O. C., 273 2 Moore's I. A., 293	2782 5896
Cally Chunder Chowdhry v. Monikurnika Chowdhrain . Cally Churn Mullick v. Bhuggobutty Churn Mullick }	W. R., 1864, 149 . 10 B. L. R., 231: 19 W. R. ?	33, 4471 3746
vv. Janova Dassee	110. 5 C. L. R., 108	3453
Cally Doss Sircar v. Janokeenauth Roy Cally Nath Bundopadhya v. Koonjo Behary Shaha	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 284	2469 224 3921
Cama v. Morgan Caminee Dossee v. Gourmoney Dossee	1 Bom., 205 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 359	397 5411
Campbell. In re	2 Hyde, 177 6 W. R., Act X, 8	2672 572
v. East Indian Railway Company	Marsh., 509 Bourke, A. O. C., 56 L. L. R., 12 Calc., 41	5406 3793 4401
v. Keith	1 Hyde, 287	4676 3015
		<u> </u>

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Cannammal Aiyar v. Vijaya Ragunada Ranga Samy	8 Mad., 114	2735, 5501
Singapulliar. Cannan v. Kylash Chunder Roy Chowdhry Cannon v. Bisso Nath Adhicaree	25 W. R., 117 5 C. L. R., 154	5178 39, 4252
Carapiet v. Panna Lal Seal	14 W. R., 152 · ·	420
v. Kalu Miya	10 Bom., 34	2948
v. Robinson	O. C., 399.	6 054
Carlisle v. Nuthmull Nowluckee	2 Hyde, 242 I. L. R., 9 Calc, 679: 13 C.	1051
	L. R., 120.	1059, 1112
Carlisles Nephews & Co. v. Ricknauth Bucktearmull Carmichael v. Brojonath Mullick	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 809 . 1 Hyde, 274	1686 5235
Carrau. In re	1 Hyde, 143	1339
Carrison v. Rodrigues	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 115 .	1000
Carruthers v. Menzies	Cor., 40 I. L. R., 5 All., 562 .	3315 4601
Carter v. Agra Savings Bank	2 N. W., 179	4681 4246
Carvalho v. Nurbibi	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 202 .	1203, 2715
Carwar Company. In re	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 640 .	945
Casement v. Fulton	3 Moore's I. A., 395 .	6197
Caspersz. In the matter of the petition of	9 B. L. R., 337	1534
v. Raneegunge Coal Company	18 W. R., Cr., 39	1534
Cassibai v. Ransordas Hansraj	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 5	1949
Cassim Azim Dooplay v. Cassim Mahomed Baroocha .	10 W. R., 349	5584 5713
Cassum Jooma v. Thucker Liladhur Kissowji Cavaly Vencata Narainapah v. Collector of Masulipatam.	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 570 10 W. R., P. C., 47:11 Moore's I. A., 619.	4157
Cazee Ali v. Musseentoollah	2 W. R., 285	3719
Chabildas Lallubhai v. Municipal Commissioners of Bombay.	8 Bom., O. C., 85	2619
Chadami Lal v. Muhammad Baksh	I. L. R , 1 All., 563	4415
Chadee Singh v. Beharee Tewaree	10 W. R., 91	327
Chahez v. Nund Kishore	4 N. W., 15	1171
Chairman of the Howrah Municipality v. Montanee Bewah.	24 W. R., Cr., 70	503
Chairman of the Municipal Commissioners for the Suburbs of Calcutta v. Aneesood-deen Meah.	12 B. L. R., Ap., 2: 20 W. R., Cr., 64.	1152
Chairman of the Naihati Municipality v. Kishori Lal Goswami.	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 171	507
Chairman of the Suburban Municipal Commissioners v. Umbica Churn Mookerjee.	15 W. R., Cr., 84	504
Chaita v. Purum Sookh	2 Agra, 256	3961
Chaitanya Chundra Huris Chandana Jagadevu v. Collector of Ganjam.	22 W. R., 187 : L. R., 1 I. A., 335.	3404
Chakowri Lall v. Moti Kurmi	13 C. L. R., 275	1024, 5148
Chaku v. Ishvar Bhudar	8 Bom., Cr., 124	3740 2600 2702
Chaku Modan Isana v. Dullabh Dwarka	9 Bom., 7	2699, 2703 2371
Chalakonda Alasani v. Chalakonda Ratna Chalam Chalayil Kandotha Nallakandiyil Parvadi v. Chalayil		
Kandotha Chathu Nambiar.	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 169	3762
Challa Papi Reddi v. Challa Koti Reddi alias Kotappa	7 Mad., 25	2152
Chalmers. In the goods of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 137 : 21 W. R., 246, note.	1236
Chamaili Kuar v. Ramprasad	I. L. R., 2 All., 267	2157

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chamaili Rani v. Ram Dai	I. L. R., 1 All., 552 9 W. R., 451 8 W. R., Cr., 85	1232 1605, 174 3 4725
Chamia. In the matter of Champabaty v. Jibun . Champat v. Shiba	7 C. L. R., 354 . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 213 I. L. R., 8 All., 393	587 306 24 95
Champat Rai v. Pitambar Das Champu Dai v. Uma Dai	I. L. R., 6 All., 16 11 C. L. R., 451	817 4477
Chamroo Pasban v. Puhlwan Roy Chanbasappa Bin Sangappa v. Mainba bin Mahadshet	16 W. R., 3 7 Bom., A. C., 138	3725 318, 558 4
Chanda Miah Sahib v. Lakshmana Aiyangar Chandan Kuar v. Tirkha Ram Chandhari Sital Pershad Singh v. Jhumah Singh	1. L. R., 1 Mad., 45 I. L. R., 3 All., 809 4 C. L. R., 27	3591 5978 203
Chand Hurree Maitee v. Norendro Narain Roy Chandi Charan Chatterji v. Chandra Kumar Ghose	19 W. R., 231 5 B. L. R., Ap. 70: 14 W. R.,	2344 150, 1221
Chardi Churn Shashmal a Durga Churn Mirdua	Cr., 23. I. L. R., 9 Calc., 260: 12 C.	4435 4652
Chandi Dasi v. Janakiram	L. R., 81. 1 B. L. R., S. N., 12	3186
Chandika Singh v. Phokar Singh Chandi Prasad Nandi v. Raghunath Dhar	I. L. R., 2 All., 906 3 B. L. R., Ap., 52	$3999 \\ 3182$
Chand Khan v. Empress	I. I. R., 9 Calc., 878 3 B. L. R., A. C., 296 : 12 W.	257 3716
Chandmal v. Bachraj	R., 162. I. L. R., 7 Bom., 474 .	3052
Chand Mean v. Khondkar Ashrutollah Chand Monee Dassee v. Obhoy Churn Mal	6 W. R., 89	4165 5753
Chandmoni Dasi v. Loke Nath Chatterjee Chandrabhagabhai v. Kashinath Vithal	6 C. L. R., 494 2 Bom., 341: 2nd Ed., 323	6, 531 2424, 4902
Chandrakanta De. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R, 6 Calc., 445: 7 C. L. R., 350.	2621, 4359
Chandra Kant Bhattacharjee v. Jadupati Chatterjee {	1 B. L. R., A. C., 177: 10 W. R., 224.	1875, 2891
Chandra Bhusan Gangopadhya v. Ramkanth Bauerji Chandrakant Bhattacharjee. In the matter of	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 108 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 495	3243 5558
v. Queen-Empress	İ. L. R., 12 Calc., 495 5 B. L. R., 103: 14 W. R., ?	5558 5005
Chandrakant Mookerjee v. Kartikcharan Chaile . Chandra Kanto Biswas. In the matter of	O. C., 38. 3 C. L. R., 558	5825 1889
Chandrakant Roy v. Pogose Chandra Kumar Banerjee v. Iswar Chandra Newgi	3 B. L. R., O. C., 83 6 B. L. R., 562: 14 W. R., 455	4071 3978
Chand Ram v. Brojo Gobind Doss Chandra Madhab Roy v. Khemamani Dasi	19 W. R., 14 1 B. L. R., S. N., 19	157, 916 1725
Chandramala Patti Mahadevi v. Muktamala Patti Mahadevi.	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 20	2113
Chandra Narayan Ghose v. Kasinath Roy Chowdhry Chandra Nath Biswas v. Biswanath Biswas	4 B. L. R., F. B., 43 6 B. L. R., 492, note	5079 4716
Chandranath Roy v . Ramjai Mazumdar $\left\{ \right.$	6 B. L. R., 303: 15 W. R., P. C., 7.	479, 2296
Chandra Nath Sirkar. In the matter of the petition of {	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 65: 8 C. L. R., 353.	1024
Chandra Sekhar Rai. In the matter of	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 77 I. L. R., 2 All., 899	4718 2182
Chandu v. Chathu Nambiar	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 381 .	1436 1569, 4053
v. Kombi Chandvasekharudu v. Bramhanna	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 208 . 4 Mad., 270	4427 2116
	•	

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chango valad Dudha Mahajan v. Kaluram Narayandas . Channu Lal Sahu v. Manu Lal	5 B. L. R., 220: 13 W. R., 343 5 Mad., 208 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 270 12 W. R., 52 25 W. R., 54 10 C. L. R., 430 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 397 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 397	840 5005 3586 3754 300, 3834 1750 4727, 4729 1288, 6025 1288, 6025
Charoo Khan v. Doorgamonee	9 W. R., 498 Cor., 123 8 B. L. R., 305 3 B. L. R., O. C., 146 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 956: 10 C.	5667 428 1071 4072 4570
Chathu v. Aku Chathunni v. Sankaram Chatoor Singh v. Heera Kooer	I. R., 577. I. L. R., 7 Mad., 26 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 238 5 W. R., 191 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 389: 7 C.	3234 3755 2979
Chattar Lal Singh v. Shewukram	L. R., 456.	5356 2267 4341, 5968 5953 4830
Chatternath Jha alias Jhingha Jha. In the matter of the petition of. Chatti Chalamanna v. Pandrangi Subbamma Chatturbhooj Meghji v. Dharamsi Narainji	6 B. L. R., 41: 14 W. R., B., 1. I. L. R., 7 Mad., 23 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 438 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 710: 9 C. L.	3714 2270 2389, 2529
Chaturi Singh v. Makund Lall	R., 240. I. L. R., 2 Bom., 230 I. L. R, 10 Bom., 91 2 N. W., 348 13 B L. R, 509: 22 W. R., 148: L. R., 1 I. A., 241.	2994 3209 3503 7 631, 702
Chedambaram Chetty v. Kadunalyavalangapuly Taver Chedambara Pillai v. Ratna Ammal Chedami Lal v. Amir Beg	3 Mad., 342 I. L. R., 3 Mad., 113 I. L. R., 7 All., 676 20 W. R., 19 I. L. R., 2 All., 682	4814 1913 5467 202 305, 1225
Cheet Banoo v. Ramkishen Singh Cheetha v. Miheen Lall Cheetun Lall v. Chutterdharee Lall	W. R., 1864, 102	1232 2503 2360 1500 3142
Cheigu Nangiah Gauri Nangiah v. Pidatala Vencatappah Chelikani Tirupati Ryaningaru v. Suraneni Vencata Gopala Narasimha Rau. Chella Pillai v. Srinivasa Pillai . Chellapilla Rau Pantulu v. Balarama Krishnama Pantulu Chellapperumal Pillai. Ex parte . Chellayamal v. Muttialamal . Chemminikara Muppil Nair v. Kiliyanat Ukona Menon	6 Mad., 278	2300 1143, 5673 157 4653 3755
Chena Pemaji v. Ghelabhai Narandas. Chenchamma v. Subbaya Chengalroya Naiker. In the goods of Chengaya v. Appasami Ayyar Chengulva Raya Mudali v. Thangatchi Ammal	I. L. R., 7 Born., 301 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 114 I. L. R., 1 Mad., 148	187, 432 2138 133 791 5676

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chenibash Shaha v. Kadum Mundul	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 97 1 Mad., 453	3311 3832 3733
Cherag Ali v. Kadir Mahomed	12 C. L. R., 367 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 198	627 4 3767
Cheriyarakel alias Arakel Kunhi Kuttyali v. Vayaka Parambath Imbichi Ammah. Cherukomen alias Govinden Nair v. Ismala	6 Mad., 416 6 Mad., 145	3250 3757, 6177
Cherukuri Venkanna v. Mantravathi Lakshmi Narayana Sastrulu. Chetter v. Queen	2 Mad., 327	2859 12 61
Chetti Gaundan v. Sundaram Pillai	2 Mad., 51	3378, 3885 4430
Chetty Colum Coomara Vencatachella Raddyar v. { Rungasawmey Iyengar.	4 W. R., P. C., 71: 8 Moore's 1. A., 319.	2083
Chetu Narayana Pillay v. Ayamperumal Ambalom .	4 Mad., 447	1033, 1401 5656
Cheyt Ram v. Chowdhry Nowbut Ram	5 W. R., P. C., 3: 7 Moore's. 1, A., 207.	4650
Chhabila Manchand v. Jadavbhai Chhab Nath v. Kamta Prasad Chhaganlal v. Bapubhai Chhaganlal Nagardas v. Jeshan Rav Dalsukhram Chhagan Lall Narbheram v. Jamnadas Mancharam Chhiddu v. Narpat Chidambaram Chettiar v. Gauri Nachiar	3 Bom., O C., 87	2357 2717 3384 5665 1880 2920 2452
Chidambara Nayinan v. Annapa Nayakkan Chidambara Pillai v. Kaman v. Manikka Chetti v. Ramasami Pillai Chidambara Setti v. Muttuvijeya Chidi Choubee v. Bhowany	I. A., 177. 1 Mad., 62 1 Mad., 189 J. Mad., 63 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 161 3 Mad., 260 1 W. R., Cr., 1 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 569	2943, 6135 196, 5727 3024 2754 4135 957 907, 4231
valu Naidu. Chimman Singh v. Subran Kuar	5 Mad., 100	1879, 3526 89
Chimnaji v. Ranu	I. L. R., 2 All., 902 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 19 6 Mad., 84	5841 430
Chim Hong & Co. or song and a co.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 736 : 3 C. L. R., 585.	597
Chinna Gaundan v. Kumara Gaundan Chinnaiya Chetty v. Naranapaiya Chinnaiya Nattan v. Muttusvami Pillai Chinnamal v. Tulukannatammal Chinnamallaiya v. Venkataramaiya	1 C. L. R., 436	1854 906, 5873 2129 1909 5821 2806 916 2126, 2129
Chinna Perumal Naicker v. Annammal Chinnappa Chetti v. Nadaraja Pillai Chinnaramakristna Ayyar v. Minatchi Ammal Chinna Rangaiyangar v. Subraya Mudali	7 Mad., 361 6 Mad., 1	5838 758, 5729 2288 109
	3 Mad., 338 6 Mad., 151	109 6075
l l		

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chinnasami Iyengar alias Streenivassa Raghavacharyar v. Gopalacharry. Chinnasami Pillai v. Krishna Pillai Chinna Sanyasi v. Suriya Chinna Subbaraya Mudali v. Kandasami Reddi Chinna Ummayi v. Tegarai Chetti Chinnaya v. Gurunatham Chinnaya Rau v. Ramaya Chinnaya Rawutan v. Chidambaram Chetti Chinniah v. Baubun Saib Chinniania v. Baubun Saib Chinniania v. Wandali v. Venkatachella Pillai Chintalapati Chinna Simhadriraj v. Zemindar of Vizianagram.	7 Mad., 392 I. L. R., 3 Mad., 104 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 196 I. L. R., 1 Mad., 59 I. L. R., 1 Mad., 168 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 169 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 137 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 212 5 Mad., 435 I. L. R., 1 Mad., 289 3 Mad., 320 2 Mad., 128	3307 4970 2405 2632 2221, 5264 3209 1030 4029 3852 3617 4990 1032, 6283
Chintaman Bhaskar v. Shivram Hari Chintamani Bapooha v. Digambar Mitter Chintamani Pal. In the matter of the petition of	9 Bom., 304 2 B L. R., S. N., 15 . 6 B. L. R., 126: 15 W. R., F. R. 1	2213, 3942 4828 1355 5139
v. Pyari Mohun Mookerjee Chintamani Sen v. Iswar Chandra Chintaman Narayan v. Madhavrav Venkatesh	F. B., 1. 6 B. L. R., 126: 15 W. R., F. B., 1. 3 B. L. R., Ap., 122 6 Bom., A. C., 29	5139 3249 2827
Chintaman Sing v. Uma Kunwar	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 505: 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 1: 6 W. R. Mis., 83	
Chintamonee Bapoolee v. Digumber Mitter Chintamonee Nye. In the matter of the petition of Chintamonee Sein v. Issur Chunder Chunder Chintamun Singh v. Nowlukho Konwari	10 W. R., 409 11 W. R., Cr., 2 12 W. R., 221 I. L. R., 1 Calc., 153: 24 W.	1796, 1804 3249 2222, 2232
Chintamun Singh Chowdhry v. Nowlakhu Kunwari Chinto Abaji Kulkarni v. Lakshmibai Chinto Joshi v. Krishnaji Narayan Chintu v. Dhondu Chiraghan v. Harbans Chiranji Lal v. Jamna Das Chithambara v. Muthaya	R., 255: L. R., 2 I. A., 263. 3 13 W. R., 469 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 375 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 214 11 Bom., 192, note 7 N. W., 213 7 N. W., 243 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 330	2447 2850 1863 1711 1672 292 5276
Chittrayil alias Kunath Ahmed Koya v. Irumanom Vittil Kanhamath Haji. Chitko Raghunath Rajadiksh v. Janaki Chitto v. Muzzur Hossain Chittra Coomary Bebee v. Ram Lall Mookerjee	3 Mad., 279 . 11 Bom., 199 . 2 Hyde, 212 . 18 W. R., 334 .	184, 5770 2137 3093, 6227 6096
Chittro Narain Singh Tekait v. Assistant Commissioner of Sonthal Pergunnahs. Chockalinga Mudali v. Subharaya Mudali	14 W. R., 203	2035, 3270 1116, 2404 2752, 5657
Chockalinga Pillai v. Viruthalam v. Vythealinga Pundara Sunnady. Choe Singh v. Bahadoor Singh Chogalal v. Trueman Chohagur v. Thakooree Singh Choitun Mohantee v. Bhikaree Mohantee Choitunno Paramanick v. Zumeerooddee Shaikh Chokkalingam Pillai v. Svamiyar Pillai Chokalingapeshana Naiker v. Achiyar Chooaram v. Ram Lapit Ram	6 Mad., 164 1 Agra, 55 . I. L. R., 7 Bom., 481 1 Agra, 123 17 W. R., 410 18 W. R., 27 1 Mad., 105 I. L. R., 1 Mad., 40 4 C. L. R., 97	5713 3063 4880 1883 3331 5502 5277 2082, 5268 1426, 6079 1867, 1905

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Chooa Sahoo v. Tripoora Dutt	13 W. R., 244	1926, 35 37
Choolhaie Telee. In the matter of .	2 C. L. R., 315	1957
Choolie Lall v. Kokil Singh	19 W. R., 248	1723, 4267
Choolun Singh v. Dhoorub Singh .	11 W. R., 171	1761
P. Roy Mohun Lall Mitter	Marsh., 183	54 85
Chooneelal v. Chitowla	2 Agra, 137	2976
Choonee Lall v. Keramut Ali	W. R., 1864, 282	1677
v. Kudhaira	6 N. W., 34	52 50
v. Puhulwan Singh	3 Agra, 270	3891
v. Pultoo Bhukut	6 W. R., Mis., 74	210
Choonee Mahtoon v. Chatoo Mahtoon .	25 W. R., 231	144
Choonee Mundur v. Chundee Lall Dass	14 W. R., 178	565, 4791
Choonee Sahoo v. Munnoo Lall	14 W. R., 334	5138, 5826 5434
Choonee Sookul v. Hur Pershad.	14 W. R., 225	4245
on the last to the	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 277 6 W. R., 19	183
Chooni Lai Misser v. Patroo Deo	1 B. L. R., O. C., 14	940
	0.4 0.50	1914
v. Gouree Shunker		2841, 5676
Ohaana u Busumtaa	1 A 1774	2313
Chooral Monee Bose v. Prosonno Coomar Mitter	1 W. R., 43	2290
Chooramoni Dey v. Howrah Mills Company.	I. I. R., 11 Calc., 696	2953
Chooramun Singh v. Dunraj Roy		1657
w Mahomed Ali	L. R., 9 I. A., 21	1463
v. Mahomed Ali v. Patoo Kooer v. Patoo Kooer v. Patoo Kooer v. V. Patoo Kooer v. V. Patoo Kooer v. V. V. Patoo Kooer v. V. V. Patoo Kooer v. V. V. Patoo Kooer v.	24 W. R., 68	3007
Chootoo Dhoon Bharbonia v. Abdool Meah	7 W R Cr 40	957
Chotalal Amritlal v. Bombay, Baroda, and Central India Railway Co.	5 Bom., A. C., 101	5831
Chota Lul Jamnadas v. Bulakidas Jetha	7 Bom., A. C., 109	1240
Chota Sadoo v. Bhoobun Chuckerbutty		1049
Chotay Lal v. Ram Dyal	9 W. R., Cr., 3	3480, 3508
Chotay Lall v. Chunnoo Lall	14 B. L. R., 235: 22 W. R., 496	2306, 2496
	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 744: 3 C.	
	L. R., 465: L. R., 6 I. A, 15.	2283
Choti Lal v. Kalka Parshad	7 N. W., 100	3904
v. Manick Chand	7 N. W., 115	1883
Chotoolall v. Miller	7 C. L. R., 267	1483, 2697
Olatta Miana a Tanal Miana	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 198: 6 C.	
Chottoo Misser v . Jemah Misser	L. R., 588.	2478
	3 Agra, 49 : Agra, F. B., Ed.	9090
Chotuck Pandoo v. Innayut Ali	1874, 131.	2928
Chotu v. Jitan	I. L. R., 3 All., 63	216, 5084
Chotun Bebee v. Ameer Chund		5095, 5100
Choudharee Sheoraj Singh v. Dwarka Doss	6 W. R., 105	3768
Choundawalee Bahoojee v. Girdhareejee	3 Agra, 226	2134, 2136
Chovakara v. Isa bin Khalifa	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 209	6274
Chovakara v. Isa bin Khalifa	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 259	3762
Chowdhari Nilkanth Prasad Singh v. Dignarayan Singh.	1 B. L. R., A. C., 30: 10 W. }	5060
Chowdhrani v. Tariny Kant Lahiry Chowdry . {	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 545: 11 C. L. R., 41.	471
Chowdhree Brij Lall v. Goor Suhai	Agra, F. B., 128: Ed. 1874, 95	4547
Chowdhree Nain Singh v. Jawahur Singh	1 N. W., 167: Ed. 1873, 246.	3828
Chowdhree Zuhoorul Huq v. Kurum Chand Singh .	24 W. R., Cr., 15	4508
	L. R., 3. I. A., 209: 26 W.	
Chowdhri Murtaza Hossein v. Bechunnissa }	R., 10.	387

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chowdhry Ajrawal Singh v. Chowdhry Bhugwan Singh.	2 Hay, 311	4472
Chowdhry Bholanath Thakoor v. Bhagabatti Debi Chowdhry Chatterpaul Singh v. Foujdar Roy	7 B. L. R., 93: 15 W. R., 63. Marsh., 405: 2 Hay, 509.	48, 2190 555, 558
Chowdhry Chuttarsal Singh v. Government	3 W. R., 57	1724, 2075
Chowdhry Deby Persad v. Chowdhry Dowlut Singh	I. A., 347.	1751, 6245
Chowdhry Goluck Chunder v. Chowdhry Ganga Narain .	11 B. L. R., 363	1487 1487
Chowdhry Goordutt Singh v. Gopal Dass	1 Agra, 33	4629
Chowdhry Hamedoollah v. Muteeconissa Bibee	15 W. R., 574	1885, 601
Chowdhry Heerasutoollah v. Brojo Soondur Roy	18 W. R., 77	2114 3473
Chowdhry Hureehur Singh v. Hridoy Narain	25 W. R., 310	1465, 382
Chowdhry Izharool Huq v. Bhoosee Mahtoon	25 W. R., 201	2994
Chowdhry Joogul Kishore Singh v. Poocha Singh	8 W. R., 413	3715
Chowdhry Junmejoy Mullick v . Russomoye Dassee	11 B. L. R., 418, note: 10 W. R., 209.	2199
Chowdhry Junmenjoy Mullick v. Bissambhar Panjah .	5 W. R., Mis., 45	3489
Chowdhry Khan v. Gour Jana	2 W. R., Act X, 40	3019
Chowdhry Khoorgo Roy v. Shib Tohul Roy	17 W. R., 172	6239
Chowdhry Kripa Sindhoo Doss v. Radha Churn Doss .	23 W. R., 234	673 161, 517
Chowdhry Mahomed Momin v. Lutafut Hossein Chowdhry Mahomed Zuhoorul Huq v. Mahomed Yakoob	23 W. R., 367	3797
Chowdhry Mohendro Narain Roy. In the matter of .	18 W. R., 512	3177
Chowdhry Nilkant Pershad Singh v. Ahlad Singh .	5 W. R. 287	1173
Chowdhry Padam Singh v . Koer Udaya Singh .	2 B. L. R., P. C., 101:12 W. R., P. C., 1:12 Moore's I. A., 350.	2110
Chowdhry Purladh Mahapattar v. Chowdhry Jonardon	6 W. R., Mis., 15	836, 314
Mahapattar.		4763
Chowdhry Roostum Ali v. Dhandoo	3 Agra, 157 W. R., 1864, Mis., 20	224
Chowdhry Sheo Nundun Proshad v. Chowdry Nil Kanth Prashad.	13 W. R., Cr., 44	4738
Chardbay Wahad Ali at Tumana	11 B. L. R., 149 : 18 W. R.,)	827
<u>-</u>	185.	5453
	6 W. R., Mis., 116 19 W. R., 87	3336
Chowdhry Wahid Ali v. Mullick Inayet Ali	6 B. L. R, 52: 14 W. R., 288	2794, 354
Chowdhry Zalim Singh v. Seetloo	2 N. W., 404	4310
Chowdhry Zuhoorul Huq v. Bagoo Jan	11 W. R., 532 · · ·	3162
Chowksi Himut Lal v. Chowksi Achrutlal	15 W. R., 329	2518, 539 5855
Chowrasee Kooer v. Bokhooree Singh	04 W/ D 950	4268
Christacharlu v. Karibasayya	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 399	1074
Christian v. Christian	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 651	1548
Chuckrodhuj Thakoor v. Beer Chunder Joobraj	1 W. R., 194	2440
Chuckur Lall Singh v. Poran Chunder Singh Chuckur Surun Narain Singh v. Collector of Sarun	17 W. R., 180	3564
Chuha Mal v. Hari Ram	J. L. R., 8 All., 548	324, 3
Chuhar Mal v. Mir		2724
Chujjoo Singh v. Nazir Hossein	2 Agra, 227	3213 3283
Chuj Mull v. Khyratee Chukowree Misser v. Numoodah Kooer	OANT DE	414
Chukradar Potti. In the matter of	8 C. L. R., 289	1960
Chulan Tewari v. Sukedad Khan	23 W. R., Cr., 9	4729
Chulkoo Dutt Jha v. Leelanund Singh	W. R., 1864, Mis., 34	5475

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chultan Mahton v. Tilukdari Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 175 . 3 C. L. R., 357 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 756 : 2 C.	693 3 69
Chumman Shah. In the matter of	R., 317.	1011
Chummun v. Om Koolsoom Chummun Mohunt v. Rajendra Sahoo	4 N. W., 175 13 W. R., 465 7 W. R., 119	533 3233, 3376 2485
Chumroo Singh v. Mullick Khyrut Ahmed Chumun Bhugut v. Mudun Mohan Chumun Chowdhry v. Ali Azim	18 W. R., 525 2 N. W., 186 9 W. R., 138	5287 3497 5829
Chumun Lall Chowdhry v. Domun Lall v. Rughoonundun Singh	9 W. R., 205	449
Chumutkar Mohinee Dossee v. Raj Rakhal Mitter Chunda v. Roop Singh	11 W. R., 194 22 W. R., 479 3 Agra, 281	3107 163 2002
Chundee Churn Chatterjee v. Bidoo Budden Banerjee	10 W. R. 48	2951
Chundee Churn Baul v. Eduljee Cowasjee Bijnee	I. L. R., 8 Cale., 678: 11 C. L. R., 225.	
Chundee Churn Paul v. Ramnarain Sen Chundee Churn Roy v. Ram Coomar Dutt	Cor., 8	3288 321
v. Shib Chunder Mundul .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 945: 6 C. L. R., 269.	3232
Chundee Deen v. Hussun Ali	3 N. W., 88 2 W. R., Mis., 38	5978 209
Chundee Roy v. Isree Pershad Narain Singh .	23 W. R., 274 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 41 : 6 C. L.	3183
Chunder Banerji v. Hurro Mohun Chuckerbutty	R., 393.	2129
Chunder Bhadoory v. Lukhee Debia Chowdhrain . Chunder Bhan Singh v. Jairam Geer Chunder Bhon Singh. In re	6 W. R., Mis., 51 5 N. W., 175 17 W. R., Cr., 10	759 497 21
Chunder Caunt Mookerjee v. Jodoonath Khan .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 468: 1 C.	599 0
Chunder Chowdhry v. Macnaghten	L. R., 470. 23 W. R., 386	1176
Chunder Churn Auggrodany v. Loodunram Deb Chunder Coomar Banerjee v. Azeemoodeen	25 W. R., 324	5127, 5782
Chunder Coomar Chatterjee v. Essen Chunder Chatterje	14 W. R., 100 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 222	1585 1194
Chunder Coomar Deo v. Sudakut Mahomed Khan	18 W. R., 436	4437
Chunder Coomar Dutt v. Joy Chund Dutt Mojoomdar Chunder Coomar Lahooree v. Gopee Kristo Gossamee	19 W. R., 213	1734, 1755 1906
Chunder Coomar Mitter v. Modhoosoodun Dey .	9 W. R., Cr., 50	1990
v. Sib Sundari Dassee .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 631: 11 C.	5092
Chunder Coomar Mookerji v. Koylash Chunder Sett Chunder Coomar Mookerjee v.	L. R., 22. I. L. R., 7 Calc., 665	4571
Chunder Coomar Mundul v. Nunnee Khanum .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 677	4571 5077
Chunder Coomar Poddar v. Chunder Kanta Ghose	322. I. L. R., 12 Calc., 521	4496
Chunder Coomar Roy v. Bhogobutty Prosonno Roy	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 235 : 1 C. L. R., 23.	3499
v. Bholanath Sircar	W. R., 1864, Act X, 110	1632
v. Burodakant Roy	W. R., 1864, 63	1991 91
v. Gocool Chunder Bhuttacharjee .	I L R 6 Calc 270	666, 4277
v. Gonesh Chunder Dass	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 283	4278 2523, 3823
v. Kadermonee Dossee	7 W. R., 247	5197
v. Kasheenauth Roy Chowdhry . v. Kubeeroodeen	5 W. R., Mis., 37 10 W. R., 332	3834 336

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Chunder Coomar Roy v. Omesh Chunder Mojoomdar v. Shurut Soonduree Debia v. Wilkins v. Zeemuntoollah Sirdar Chunder Doss v. Boshoon Lall Sookul Chundereshwur Narain Pershad v. Chuni Ahir	22 W. R., Cr., 78 6 W. R., Mis., 37	5946 3159, 3496 6153 1732 5793
Chunder Jaleah v. Ramchurn Mookerjee Chunder Kalee Ghose v. Shibnath Bhuttacharjee . Chunderkant Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of the ?	15 W. R., 212	1994, 4560 4269 4745, 5937
petition of. Chunderkant Bhuttacharjee v. Bindabun Chunder	7 W. R., 277	4745, 5937
Mookerjee. Chunder Kant Chowdhry v. Hurish Chunder Chowdhry v. Nund Lall Chowdhry . Chunder Kant Chuckerbutty. In re v. Bunkoo Beharee Chunder	1 W. R., 214 16 W. R., 277 9 W. R., Cr., 63 3 W. R., 177 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 6:4 W.	2772 5283 1040
· v. Dukheesnea Odea .	R., Act X. 41.	
- v. Elias - v. Mahomed Hossein - v. Pearee Mohun Dutt Chunderkant Ghose v. Showdaminee Debia Chunder Kant Mistree v. Brojonauth Bysack Chunder Kant Mitter v. Ram Narain Dey Sirkar	5 W. R., Act X, 29 6 W. R., Act X, 1 5 W. R., 209 9 W. R., 517 13 W. R., 109 8 W. R., 63	4931 1674 5768 1847 143, 3240
Chunderkant Mookerjee v. Ram Coomar Coondoo v. Ramcoomar Koondoo Chunder Kant Roy v. Gopeemee Debia v. Krishna Sunder Roy .	3 C. L. R., 505 13 B. L. R., 530 : 22 W. R., 138 6 W. R., 17 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 710	5980 703, 1214 1170 4805, 6140
· v. Peary Mohun Roy	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 21 : Marsh., 33 : 1 Hay, 69.	3364, 3396
Chunderkant Surmah v. Bissesur Surmah Chucker- butty.	7 W. R., 312	5436
v. Bunshee Deb Surmah. Chunder Komal Das v. Bissasurree Dassia. Chunder Koomar Gangooly v. Rajkishen Banerjee Chunder Koomar Mundul v. Bakur Ali Khan. Chunder Kulla Dossee v. Jotendra Mohun Tagore Chunder Lall v. Lalla Gunput Lall	6 W. R., 61	2312 3535 2488 2792, 2914 878 2199
Chunder Madhub Chuckerbutty v. Ram Coomar Chow- dhry.	B. J., R., Sup. Vol., 553 : 6 W. R., 184.	3181
Chunder Madhub Doss v. Ameer Ali. Chunder Madhub Ghose v. Juggut Chunder Sen Chunder Mohun Dutt v. Biswambhur Laha Chunder Mohun Mojoomdar v. Teetooram Bose Chunder Mohun Roy v. Bhubon Mohini Dabea	25 W. R., 119 4 C. L. R., 483 1 B. L. R., 0. C., 42 4 W. R., Act X, 18 I. L. R., 2 Calc., 389	1393 4488 11 196, 778 3145
Chunder Mohun Thakoor v. Amrito Chunder Thakoor Chunder Monee Chanda v. Brindabun Nath Chunder Monee Chowdhrain v. Chowdhrain	19 W. R., 343	1474 3047 38
Chowdry. v. Debender Nauth Roy	Marsh., 420: 2 Hay, 519 .	529
Chunder Monee Chowdhrain v. Raj Kishore Shaha Chunder Monee Dabee v. Mohesh Chunder Banerjee Chunder Monee Dassee v. Santo Monee Dassee . Chunder Monee Debia v. Kristo Chunder Mozoomdar v. Meharjan Bibee	5 W. R., 246 12 W. R., 460 1 W. R., 251 18 W. R., 375 22 W. R., 185	156 7 2745 5253 2334 3369

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chunder Monee Debia Chowdhoorayan v. Mun Mohinee	8 Moore's I. A., 477	4651
Chunder Monee Dossee v. Dhuroneedhur Lahory	7 W. R., 2	
v. Modhoo Dey v. Nilambur Mustofee	1 W. R., 107	2196, 4160
v. Modhoo Dey	23 W. R., 166	877 1756
Chundermonee Nya Bhoosun v. Sumbhoo Chunder	7 W. R., 43	8036
Chuckerbutty. Chunder Money Dossee v. Hurrydoss Mitter	5 C. L. R., 557	2504
Chunder Narain v . Farguharson \cdot \cdot \cdot	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 837	1328
Chunder Narain Ghose v. Kasheenath Roy Chowdhry .	12 W. R., F. B., 50	5079
Chunder Narain Mozoomdar v. Prithanund Asrum	12 W. R., 290	5071
Chunder Narain Sen v. Amirto Lall Sen	24 W. R., 292	4138
Chunder Narain Singh v. Brijo Bullub Gooyee	14 B. L. R., 254: 21 W. R., 391.	2788
v. Brojo Bullub Gooiee	21 W. R., 126 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 855	2788 2632
Chunder Nath Bhuttacharjee v. Brindabun Shaha	25 W. R., 39	6075
charjee.	22 W. R., 337	2985
Chunder Nath Chowdhry v. Tirthanund Thakoor .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 504 : 2 C. L. R., 147.	3327
Chunder Nath Deb. In the matter of	5 C. L. R., 372	264
v. Ganga Gobindo Singh	1 W. R., 94	1813
Chunder Nath Dhur v. Isurree Dossee	18 W. R., 531	1362
Chunder Nath Ghose v. Nundo Loll Chatterjee	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 81	1308
v. Shotooram Mojoomdar Chunder Nath Misser v. Gouree Komul Bhuttacharjee	12 W. R., 343	1634 843
a Sindan III.aa	18 W. R., 218	323, 3024
v. Sirdar Khan		3031
Chunder Nath Moitro v. Kristo Komul Singh	15 W. R., 357	2361
Chunder Nath Mullick v. Nilakant Banerjee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 690	3553 2928
Chunder Nath Nag Chowdhry v. Asanoollah Mundul Chunder Nath Nundi v. Hur Narain Deb	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 153	2880
Chunder Nath Roy v. Bheem Sirdar	W. R., 1864, Act X. 37	5594
v. Bhoyrub Chunder Surma Roy .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 250	4805
v. Gobind Nath Roy	11 B. L. R., 86: 18 W. R.,	2146, 2238
Chunder Nath Sein v. Anundomoyee Dossee	221. 11 W. R., 289	3397, 6239
Chundernath Sen. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 293	5946
	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 875 : 6 C. L. R., 379.	4111
Chundernath Surma v. Romanath Surma	1 W. R., 69	4899
Chunder Pershad Roy v. Shuvadra Kumari Shaheba .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 622	5333
Chunder Seekor Sookul v. Dhurm Nath Tewaree	1 C. L. R., 434	1270
Chunder Seekur Roy v. Gholam Shurreef alias Loopan Meeah.	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 146	5257
Chunder Sein v. Gujadhur Lall	1 N. W., 148: Ed. 1873, 230. 19 W. R., 78	3350 5482, 6235
Chunder Sekhur Mookerjee v. Collector of Midnapore	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 464: 1 C.) L. R., 384.	5805
Chunder Shekhur Thakoor v. Nitaloo	22 W. R., Cr., 29	5912
Chunder Shikur Mullick v. Sham Chand Mullick	18 W. R., 395	4664
Chunder Sikhur Biswas v. Ram Buksh Chetlungee	1 C. L. R., 545	4328
Chunder Sikur Bundopadhya v. Obhoy Churn Bagchi . Chunder Singh v. Nirto	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 8	507 3814

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chuadessuree v. Gheenah Pandey	24 W. R., 152	5695
Chundi Churn Bhuttacharjea. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 207	1308
v. Hem Chunder Banerjea	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 207	1308
Chundi Churn Mookerjee v. Empress	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 849: 12 C. L. R., 508.	3790
Chundo v. Alimooddeen	6 N. W., 28: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 305.	5 00, 3 70 7
Chundoo Vistee v. Sodagur Vistee	22 W. R., 457 9 W. R., 584	4055 2503
Chundra Bullee Debia v. Luckhee Debia Chowdhrain	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 25, 141: 5 W. R., P. C., 1: 10 Moore's	3124
Chundra Kaminy Debea v. Ramruttun Pattuck	1. A., 214. I. L. R., 12 Calc., 302 .	482
Chundra Kumar Guha v. Mungul Mollah	11 C. L. R., 387	3044
Chundra Money Dossee v. Motilal Mullick	5 C. L. R., 496	2559 2600
Chundro Marwari v. Mohamed Eshak Chundro Monee Debia v. Rash Behary Chowdhry	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 476	3609 683
Chundro Sheekhur Roy v. Nobin Soondur Roy	21 W. R., 24 2 W. R., 197	2281
Chundro Tara Debia v. Buksh Ali	11 W. R., 305	2351
Chundun v. Talib Ali	2 N. W., 41	1245, 5209
Chundun Singh v. Nirto	3 Agra, 11	1680
Chundy Persad Doss v. Kasseenath Doss	W. R., 1864, 346	5739
Chunee Mul Johary v. Brojo Nath Roy Chowdhry	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 967: 11 C.	78, 4802
	L. R., 315. I. L. R., 1 All., 360 .	6080
Chuni Kuar v. Udai Ram	I. L. R., 6 All., 73	4144
Chunilal Maniklalbhai v. Mahipatrav valad Khundu .	5 Bom., A. C., 33	2813
Chunilal Panalal v. Bomanji Mancherji	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 310	47 92
Chuni Pandey v. Birjo Pandey	13 C. L. R., 49	2842
Chuni Singh v. Hera Mahto	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 633: 9 C. L. R., 37.	1189, 1639
Chunni v. Thakur Das	I. L. R., 1 All., 126	3892
Chunni Lal v. Chamman Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 159	199
v. Debi Prasad	I. L. R., 3 All., 356	5443 2881
Churamun Singh v. Anoop Singh	11 C. L. R., 533	
Church v. Ramtanu Shaha	R., 547.	1591
Churn Manjee v. Ishan Chunder Dhur	9 C. L. R., 474	5034 3818
Churn Singh v. Rungoo Singh	15 W. R., 221	5441
Chutka Panda v. Goburdhone Dass	6 C. L. R., 85 · · · · · W. R., 1864, 223 · · · ·	3020
Chutraput Singh. In the matter of	5 C. L. R., 200 · · ·	4498
Chutterbhuj Bharti v. Janki Prosad Singh	4 C. L. R., 298 · · ·	2046, 4165
Chutterdharee Lall v. Rambelashee Koer	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 318:1 C. L. R., 347.	5977
· Chutterdharee Misser v. Nursingh Dutt Sookool	3 Agra, 371: Agra, F. B., }	4754, 5874
Chutter Dharee Singh v. Hurcoomaree	Ed. 1874, 163. 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 99	
v. Jutta Singh	4 W. R., 76. · · ·	3040
Chutter Lall Singh v. Government	9 W. R., 97	1343, 4447 5677
Chuttoonath Singh v. Sooboon Singh	Marsh., 21:1 Hay, 55	1041
Chuttoorbhooj Bharthee v. Macnaghten	15 W. R., Cr., 2	1048
Chutturdharee Singh v. Hurcoomaree.	1 Hay, 107	2487 4188
Chuttur Dharee Singh v. Sureer Hossein Chuttur Mull v. Chuttur Kishore Lall	1 W. R., 28	4548

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chuttur Singh v. Dhurrum Koonwur	1 N. W., Part II, p. 1: Ed. } 1873, 61. 4 W. R., P. C., 132: 7 Moore's }	5462
Chuturya Run Murdun Syn v. Purluhad Syn .	I. A., 18.	2321 2295, 2358
Chyet Narain Singh v. Bunwaree Singh	23 W. R., 395 }	2455, 4185
Chyet Ram v. Ramchunder Dutt Chytun Chunder Roy. In the matter of	Bourke, O. C., 101	2647 4502 4502
Chytun Churn Doss v. Collector of Sylhet	21 W. R., 75 8 W. R., 258	6195 2287, 4163
Chytunno Chunder Roy v. Kedar Nath Roy Chytun Singh v. Kayessur Koonwur	14 W. R., 99	527 6152
Civa Rau Nanaji v. Jevana Rau	2 Mad., 31 5 W. R., Cr., 53	1674 1720
v. Doorgamoney Dossee	2 Moore's I. A., 263 · .	138, 1576 5581
v. Rooplall Mullick	2 Moore's I. A., 263 .	138, 1576 5581
v. Ruthnavaloo Chetti	2 Mad., 296. Marsh., 75: 1 Hay, 137: W.	5607, 5614
Clarke v. Bindabun Chunder Sircar	R., F. B., 20: 1 Ind. Jur., So. S., 97.	1765, 4458
Clarton v. Shaw	9 B L. R., 245: 16 W. R., 414	1830
Cochrane. In the matter of the petition of . v. Gladstone, Wyllie, & Co	14 B. L. R., 330: 23 W. R., 310 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 337	$5941 \\ 2629$
v. Heera Lal Seal	7 W. R., 79	5116
v. Hurro Soondery Debia	4 W. R., P. C., 103: 6 Moore's 1. A., 494.	3417
Cockburn, In re	2 Hyde, 150 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 326	$\begin{array}{c} 2663 \\ 2656 \end{array}$
Cockerell v. Dickens	2 Moore's I. A., 353	2668, 4858
Congan v. Pogose	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 158 1 Hyde, 130	$\frac{4804}{2586}$
v. Bank of Bengal	I. L. R., 2 All., 598	1822
- v. Cassim Nana	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 264: 25 W.	1368
- v. McCarthy	R., 273. 14 W. R., 231 • • •	422, 5686
v	14 W. R., 441 · · ·	422
Collector of Agra v. Buljeeta	3 Agra, 368 : Agra, F. B, Ed.)	572 8
Collector of Ahmedabad v. Balabhai Kevaldas .	1874, 161. I. L. R., 4 Bom., 505	614
v. Samaldas Bechardas	9 Bom., 205.	607, 5088
Collector of Allahabad v. Suraj Baksh Collector of Bareilly v. Ghusee Ram	6 N. W., 333 1 Agra, 260	1683 4457
v. Nuraen Day	3 Agra, 349	81, 2141
Collector of Beerbhoom v. Mundakinee Debia .	W. R., 1864, 332	2840
v. Mundakinee Debee	1 W. R., Mis., 7 2 W. R., Mis., 17	2 840 313 2
v. Rajcoomaree Dassia . v. Sreehurry Chuckerbutty	2 W. R., 512	3490
Collector of Benares v. Sheo Prasad	I. L. R., 5 All., 487 .	2091
Collector of Bijnor v. Jafar Ali Khan	I. L. R., 3 All., 18	237
v. Munuvar Collector of Bograh v. Dwarkanath Biswas .	I. L. R., 3 All., 20 4 B. L. R., Ap, 80: 13 W. R., 194.	90 9 29 77
v. Krishna Indra Roy	2 B. L. R., A. C., 301 : 11 W. R., 191.	4935, 4955

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Collector of Bograh v. Shama Sunkur Mojoomdar	6 W. R., 230	3819
Collector of Broach v. Desai Raghu Nath	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 542	606, 3197 606, 3197
Collector of Cawnpore v. Kedari	I. L. R., 4 All., 19	5687, 5743
Collector of Chittagong v. Kala Bibi	15 B. L. R., 343: 24 W. R., 3	495
	149.	
Collector of Colaba v. Ganesh Mareshvar Mehendale Collector of Dacca v. Kalee Churn Poddar	10 Bom., 216	610, 3068 4480
Wishen Kishere Chatteries	W. R., 1864, 273	44, 5107
v. Kishen Kishore Chatterjee	2 W. R., 33	183, 1203
Collector of East Burdwan v. Imdad Ali	W. R., 1864, 358	1766
Collector of Fureedpore. In the matter of the petition of	12 B. L. R., 366	219
v. Goroodoss Roy v. Kalee Dass Hazarah	11 W. R., 425	5252
	17 W. R., 195	1737, 4455 4535
Collector of Futtehpore v. Yad Ali	I Agra, 88	5530
Collector of Godavery v. Addanki Ramanwa Pantulu .	L. R., 13 I. A., 147	3422
Collector of Hooghly v. Raj Kristo Mookerjee	22 W. R., 234	2948
v. Taraknath Mukhopadhya . {	7 B. L. R., 449: 16 W. R.,	
<u>-</u> - (63.)	4106, 4107 5631
Collector of Jessore v. Beckwith	5 W. R., 175 5 Bom., A. C., 23.	2061
Collector of Kistna v. Kalavagunta Chinnamragu.	5 Mad., 360	2101
Collector of Madras v. Ramanuja Chariyar	4 Mad., 429	5629
Collector of Madura v. Muttu Vijaya Ragunada Muttu 7	2 Mad., 206	2120
Ramalinga Sethupati.	1 B. L. R., P. C., 1:12 Moore's)	
	I. A., 397: 10 W. R., P. C.,	2120, 2412 4693
v. Veeracamoo Ummal	9 Moore's I. A., 446	1672, 2246
Collector of Maldah v. Sudurooddeen	1 W. R., 116	5103
Collector of Masulipatam v. Cavaly Vencata Narainapah {	2 W. R., P. C., 59 : 8 Moore's	1672
	I. A., 500. 2 W. R., P. C., 61: 8 Moore's ?	2048, 2509
	I. A., 529.	4154, 4693
Collector of Midnapore v. Ramdhone Dutt	Marsh., 65: 1 Hay, 133 .	5270
Collector of Monghyr v. Bhobany Pershad	25 W. R., 183	2945
v {	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 425: 5 C. L.	5049, 5392
v. Madar Buksh	R., 112.)	1592, 4925
Collector of Moorshedabad v. Anund Nath Roy	W. R., F. B., 112	2146, 2266
v. Dhunput Singh Baha- ?	15 B. L. R., 49: 23 W. R., 38	64
door.		1200
v. Rammohinee Dossee	1 Hay, 520 .	1200
v. Shibessuree Dabee . {	11 B. L. R., 86: 18 W. R., }	2146, 2238
Collector of Moradabad v. Muhammad Daim Khan .	I. L. R., 2 All., 196	4343, 5425
Collector of Nasik v. Shamji Dasrath Patil	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 209	4578
Collector of Noakally v. Paxwell	20 W. R., 78 · · ·	517
Collector of North Arcot v. Avva Krishnama Charivar .	7 Mad., 37 · · ·	4578
Collector of Poona v. Bhavanray Balkrishna	10 Bom., 192 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 585	5255, 6004 2954
v. Kashinath Khasgiwala	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 633:7 W. 3	
Collector of Pubna v. Romanath Tagore	R., 191.	2842
v. Surno Movee	17 W. R., 163	34
Collector of Rajshahye v. Doorga Soondery Debia.	2 W. R., 210 W. R., 1864, Act X, 6	1748
v. Hur Soondery Debia		5381

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Collector of Rajshahye v. Shama Soondree Debea . {	14 B. L. R., 219: 22 W. R., 324.	4 0
Collector of Ratnagiri v. Janardan Kamat v. Vyankatrav Narayan Surve	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 590 . 8 Bom., A. C., 1 .	212, 4343 1159, 2064
Collector of Rungpore. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 655 : 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 178 : 7 W. R., 273.	516
v. Prosunno Coomar Tagore v. Ramjadub Sein	5 W. R., 115 W. R., 1864, 243	3444, 4173 1994, 4457
Collector of Salem. In the matter of the petition of	7 Mad., 233	5884 2784, 2876
Collector of Sea Customs v. Punniar Chithambaram Collector of Shahabad v. Rambuddun Singh	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 89 . 10 W. R., 400	3876
Collector of Shahjahanpur v. Surjan Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 72	3538
Collector of South Arcot v. Thatha Charry	8 Mad., 40 8 Bom., A. C., 166 .	3446, 3469 4564
Collector of Surat v. Daji Jogi	10 Bom., 235	2109, 2115
v. Dhirshingji Vaghbaji	2 Bom., 253 : 2nd Ed., 239	1562
Collector of Sylhet v. Kali Kumar Dutt	7 B. L. R., 663:16 W. R., F. B., 10.	168, 5831
Collector of Tanjore v. Ramasamier	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 342 .	5833, 5849
Collector of Thana v. Bal Patel	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 110 .	610, 4353
v. Bhaskar Mahadev Sheth .	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 264 .	2051, 2103 5954
v. Dadabhai Bomanji	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 352 .	$611, 622 \\ 1224$
v. Gana Ramji Patil	7 Bom., A. C., 132 .	4141
v. Hari Sitaram	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 546 .	2065, 2255 3411
v. Krishna Nath Govind .	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 322 .	3410
Collector of Tipperah v. Doorga Persad Paray	W. R., 1864, 302	44 5744
v. Mafizunnissa Bibi	4 B. L. R., Ap., 46 5 B. L. R., 341, note: 14 W.	5139
	R., 84.	1195
Collector of Tirhoot. In the matter of	14 W. R., 390 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2120, 2141
v. Hurropershad Mohunt . v. Rajcoomar Deo Nundun Singh	10 W. R., 218	86
Collector of Trichinopoly v. Lekamani	14 B. L. R., 115 : 21 W. R., 358 : L. R., 1 I. A., 268, 282	2442, 3578 6071
Collector of 24-Pergunnahs v. Deb Nath Roy Chowdhry	21 W. R., 222	2354
v. Joynarain Bose . {	W. R., F. B., 17: 1 Ind. Jur.,	1360, 534 7
·	O. S., 101. W. R., F. B., 18: 1 Hay, 122.	6044
v. Nobin Chunder Ghose	3 W. R., 27	2947
- v. Wilkinson Collie v. Cochrane .	12 W. R., 444 1 B. L. R., O. C., 131	1204 2636
Collins. In the matter of the goods of	Bourke, O. C., 104	6217
v. Dewan Singh	2 N. W., 465 · · ·	5827
v. Maula Bakhsh	I. L. R., 2 All., 284 I. L. R., 1 All., 745	3517 239
v. Tarinee Churn Singh	3 W. R., 210	3147
Collision between the "Ava" and the "Brenhilda." In	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 568: 5 C.	1779, 18 43 3813
the matter of. Colonas v. Bulajan	L. R., 331. Marsh., 211: 1 Hay, 587	1913
a	2 B. L. R., A. C., 212:11 W.	293, 902
	R., 40.	1405, 4410 755, 758
Comalammal v. Rungaswamy Iyengar	4 Mad., 56	774

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Commercial Bank v. Modoosoodun Chowdhry Commissioner of the Soonderbunds v. Chunder Coomar Ghose. Commundun Mohideen Saib v. Oree Meerah Saib Companies Act (XIX of 1857). In the matter of. (X of 1866). In the matter of .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 17 3 W. R., 175 7 Mad., 271 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 330 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 355 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 350 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 352 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 17	1065 4290 4683 3146 945 943, 951 951, 2669 2069 953
nath Gossain's case.	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 94 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 123 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 123 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 257 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 888 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 317 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 296	943 945 953 953 944 924
_	3 B. L. R., Ap., 153: 12 W.	5295
Comptoir D'Escompte de Paris v. Currie & Co. In re	R., 413.	546
Constanting v. Drew	2 W. R., Act X, 82 1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 42: Ed.	3314
	1873, 100.	
Cook v. Jadub Chandra Nandi	2 B. L. R, O. C., 48 . 6 N. W., 181	5016 1935
Coomara Yettapa Naikar v. Venkateswara Yettia	5 Mad., 405	2417
Coomaree Dabee v. Mahtab Chund	W. R., 1864, 380	3821
Coomari Rodeshwar v. Manroop Koer	L. R., 13 I. A., 20 . 3 C. L. R., 543	1199, 150 5 159 2
Coomar Poresh Narain Roy v. Watson & Co.	13 B. L. R., 268 : 22 W. R.,	2647
Coombe v. Caw	257.	
Coonamull v. Surno Raur.	2 Ind. Jur.; N. S., 187	5277 2674
Cooverji Ludha v. Bhimji Girdhar	9 Bom., 1	644
Corash v. Gooroo Churn Ghose	18 W. R., 13	769
Coringa Oil Company v. Keogler	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 466	1113 1 3 82, 536 6
Cornell v. Oody Tara Chowdhrain Corporation of Calcutta v. Bheecunram Napit alias	8 W. R., 372	-
Bheecun Napit.	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 290	6025
Corporation of the Town of Calcutta v. Anderson	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 445	31 54, 4 0 48
Cosserat v. Sudaburt Pershad Sahoo	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 108	652 2391
	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 477:5 C. L.	597
Cossim Hossein Scortu v. Lee Phee Chuan .	R., 157.	2676
Costello. In the matter of Cotton v. Pegu Saw Mills Company	8 B. L. R., Ap., 57 9 W. R., 539	923
Coultroup v. Smith	1 Mad., 204	3541
Courjon v. Courjon	9 B. L. R., Ap., 10	4516, 60 20
Court of Wards. In the matter of the petition of.	7 W. R., 222	223 1787, 341 4
v. Burwaree Lall Thakoor	15 W. R., 102	4464
v. Coomar Ramaput Singh .	10 B. L. R., 294: 17, W. R., }	2517, 5403
	459: 14 Moore's I. A., 605.)	3590
Court of Wards v. Darmalinga	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 2	2354
v. Fouzdar Roy	25 W. R., 14	582
-		

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Court of Wards v. Gaya Prasad	. I. L. R., 2 All., 107 . {	293, 5413 5472
v. Kupulmun Singh	{ 10 B. L. R., 364: 19 W. R., }	2338, 356
v. Leelannud Singh	. 14 W. R., 298	3091
v	. 25 W. R., 157	4912
v. Lilanund Singh	{ 4 B. L. R., Ap., 30 : 13 W. R., }	4400
v. Mitterjeet Singh	. 23 W. R., 348	1252
v. Mohessur Roy	. 16 W. R., 76	2494 4 319
v. Nitta Kali Debee	3 B. L. R., A. C., 353: 12 W.	4853, 615
v. Radha Proshad Singh	R., 287.	44
v. Raj Kumar Deo Nandan Sing v. Roop Moonjuree Kooer	22 W. R., 238	2226
v. Roop Moonjuree Kooer	. 25 W. R., 260	5750
v. Rughoobur Dyal	. 7 W. R., 5	2338, 356 3566
on behalf of the Raja of Darbang In the matter of the petition of.	3ha. } 7 B. L. R., 730: 16 W. R., 191	267
Coverji Luddha v. Morarji Punja	. I. L. R., 9 Bom., 183 .	190, 64 5 223
Cowar Rajkumar Roy v. Kadambini Debi	. 4 B. L. R., F. B., 175 .	899
Cowasjee Nanabhoy v. Lalbhoy Vullubhoy	[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 468: 26 W.]	4331
Cowasji Beramji Lilaoovala. In re	T T D 7 D 15	3561
Cowasji Edalji. In the matter of	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 1	2672
Cowell v. Ishen Chunder Sen	. 18 W. R., 16	781, 623
v. Mohadeb Mundul	17 W. R., 182 1. L. R., 6 Calc., 70: 7 C. L.	5127, 531 467, 267
Cowie. In the matter of the petition of	\[\] R., 19.	6059
v. Dhurmsee Poonjabhoy v. Elias	. 2 Ind., Jur., N. S., 75	460 7 8 5 5
v. Elias	. 10 W. R., 43	1063
Cowulbas Koonwur v. Lall Bahadur Singh .	. 9 Moore's I. A., 39	2150 5649
Frawford v. Spooner Frawley v. Luchmee Ram	. 4 Moore's I. A., 179 1 Agra, 129	5642 2798
v. Maling	. 1 Agra, 129	303, 461
Criniva Sammal v. Vijayammal	. 2 Mad., 37	2215
Crosthwaite v. Hamilton	. I. L. R., 1 All., 87 8 B. L. R., Ap., 45: 16 W. R.,	4612, 571
Crowder v. Bhekdhari Singh	1 41.	1162
Crowdie v. Kullar Chowdhry	. 21 W. R., 307 22 W. R., 491	1776 3809
v. Inder Roy	18 W. R., 408	2608
v. Jhukree Dhanook	. 23 W. R., 387 • · ·	3044
v. Omrao Singh	. 22 W. R., 476	380 4 380 6
v. Ram Bhurose Chowdhry	. 22 W. R., 480	4194
v. Sree Misser	. 12 W. R., 4	4930
Cruise. In the matter of	. 14 W. R., Cr., 53 . 3 B. L. R., O. C., 101	4444 1554
Crump v. Crump Culliandoss Kirparam v. Cleveland	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 15	4560
Cumming v. Green	. 4 B. L. R., Ap., 75	4521
Curness v. Sheochurn Sahoo	. W. R., 1864	184, 3 ()
${ t Curreemunnissa}$ ${ t Begum}$ ${ t v}.$ ${ t Ahmed}$ ${ t Haleemoozoomar}$	n . 10 C. L. R., 293	3704

Name of Case,		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Currie v. Mutu Ramen Chetty		3 B. L. R., A. C., 126: 11 W.	304, 4772
Cursetji Rustomji Setna v. Williams		R., 520. I. L. R., 5 Bom., 313 .	5801 599
Cutenho v. Souza	•	1 Mad., 15	3028
Cutts v. Brown	{	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 328 : 7 C. L. R., 171.	1814, 579/ 2755
D			
Dabee v. Nukeesunnissa		W. R., 1864, Act X, 116 .	3129, 318
Dabee Bhuggut v. Beechun Raoot	•	W. R., 1864, Act X, 111	1583
Dabee Churn v. Jankee Pershad	•	3 Agra, 141	4611
Dabee Deen v. Doorga Pershad	•	3 N. W., 49	1647
Dabee Doss Neogee Chowdhry v. Gobind Mohun Gho Dabee Dut v. Enait Ali	80 •	10 W. R., 213	1344, 178
Dabee Dutt Misser v. Alikoonnissa	•	W. R., F. B., 77	4213
Dabee Golam Singh v. Chunder Kant Mookerjee .	•	3 W. R., 109	530
Dabee Lal v. Goolzar Rai	·	2 N. W., 394	1766
Dabee Matho v. Ram Mohun Mookhopadhya .		10 C. L. R., 433	1972
Dabee Misser v. Mungur Meah		2 C. L. R., 208	1683
Dabee Munnee Chowdhrain v . Faqueer Chunder Shal	а.	W. R., 1864, 293	5355
Dabee Pershad v. Bisheshur Pershad Singh .	•	6 N. W., 289	4540 2065
v. Joy Lall Chowdhry v. Man Khan v	•	12 W. R., 361	4008
Dahaa Baruhad Chattariaa u Baru Carra Charal	•	2 N. W., 444	1743, 176
Dabee Pershad Chatterjee v. Ram Coomar Ghosal Dabee Pershad Singh v. Delawur Ali	•	10 W. R., 443	1867
Dabee Rawoot v. Heramun Muhatoon	•	8 W. R., 223	3981
Dabee Singh v. Punchum Singh	:	2 Agra, Part II, 203	1588
Dabee Suhai v. Sheo Dass Rai		1 Agra, 285	4174
Dabi Din Rai v. Muhammad Ali		1. L. R., 3 All., 850	1486
Dabi Dutt Singh v. Gobind Pershad		25 W. R., 259	3980
Dabjee Sahoo v. Tumeezooddeen		10 W. R., 102	4192
Daboo Jha v. Luwa Jha	•	11 W. R., 223	4412 1808
Dabu. In the matter of .	•	6 C. L. R., 47	5940
Dacosta. In the matter of the petition of	•	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 432	4743
v. Currie	•	4 B. L. R., A. C., 50 5 W. R., Mis., 25	5940
v. Hall v. Kalee Pershad Singh	•	12 W. R., 260	449
Dadabhai. In the matter of the petition of	•	1 Bom., 16	4621
Dadabhai Hussanji v. Kuvarbai	:	10 Bom., 386	5715
Dadabhai Naoroji & Co. v. Sorabii Cowasii		3 Bom., O. C., 55	4519
Dadabhai Narsi v. Salleman Dassi		5 Bom., A. C., 127	2046
Dadabhai Narsidas v. Sub-Collector of Broach		7 Bom., A. C., 82 . {	609, 414 5811
	•	1	2672
Dadabhai Nasarvanji v. Manikji Shapurji Kaka	•	7 Bom., O. C., 22	1575, 181
Dada Honaji v. Babaji Jagushet Dadaji Bhikaji v. Rukhmabai	•	2 Bom., 38: 2nd Ed., 36 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 529	5097
v	•	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 301	5097
Dada valad Valli v. Bavasha valed Kosom	•	6 Bom., A. C., 9	288, 4413
Dadia Bibee. In re the claim of	•	2 Hyde, 267	3104
Dadibbai Jahangirii v. Ramiii hin Bhan		11 Bom., 162	1585, 205
Dadoba v. Krishna		I. L. R., 7 Bom., 34	2991, 342
Dadoo Mania. In the goods of		1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 59	2315, 252
Dado Ravji v. Dinanath Ravji Dadu valad Ansar v. Balgouda bin Shankarappa	•	2 Bom., 77: 2nd Ed., 72	4967
lodu voled Anger a Delman J. 1. Ct. 1	1	5 Bom., A. C., 39	4949

Nam · of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of
Dagai Dabee v. Mothura Nath Chattopadhya	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 854: 12 C. L. R., 530.	2261
Dagdu bin Daud Teli Pardeshi v. Shek Saheb valad ?	2 Bom., 369: 2nd Ed., 348 .	2084, 5013
Badruddin Kamble.		
Dagdusa v. Shamad	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 512	3300 356, 5959
Dagdusa Tilak Chand v. Bhukan Govind Shet Dahoo Munder v. Gopee Nund Jha	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 82 2 W. R., 79	5024
Daia Chand v. Sarfraz Ali	I. L. R., 1 All., 117	3215
· · · · ·	I. L. R., 1 All., 425	3214
Daim v. Asooha Bebee	2 N. W., 360	3632, 3712
Daimoddee Paik v. Kaim Taridar	I. L. R, 5 Calc., 300: 4 C. L. R., 419.	1816
Daitari Mohanti v. Jugo Bundhoo Mohanti	23 W. R., 293 .	1740, 1766 4192
Dajiba Anandrav v. Bombay, Baroda, and Central India Railway Company.	6 Bom., A. C., 235	1383
Dakhina Dabea v. Romesh Chunder Dutt	1 W. R., 142	549
Dakkal Ram v. Wazir Ali	I. L. R., 1 All., 448	5200 2285
Dal Chund v. Soonder	2 Agra, 173	5109
Daleep Singh v. Seith Roshum Lall	2 Agra, 152	1375
Dalgleish v. Jeebun Mahto alias Jhaw Mahto	25 W R., 230	2792, 5257
Dalip v. Ganpat	I. L. R., 8 All., 387	2321
Dalip v. Ganpat Dalip Singh v. Durga Prasad Dalmiah v. Radhapershad Singh Daloo Ram v. Shiva Pershad	I. L. R., 1 All., 442	4784, 4815
Dalmiah v. Radhapershad Singh	19 W. R., 188	5248 9641
Daloo Kam v. Shiva Pershad	2 Agra, 71	2641 204, 741
Dalpatbhai Bhagubhai v. Amarsang Khemabhai	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 553	2496
Dalpat Narotam v. Bhagvan Khushal	1. L. R, 9 Bom., 301 2 Bom., 323: 2nd Ed., 306 .	2276, 4902
Dalrymple v. Bhajan Saha	3 B. L. R., Ap., 54, note	2 990
Dalsang Bhavsang v. Collector of Kaira	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 367	5635
Dalsukhram Mahasukhram v. Lallubhai Moti Chand .	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 282	2260, 2432
Dalvi. Ex parte	3 Bom., O. C., 125	935
	4 Bom., A. C., 86	3507 4411
Damodar Das v. Gokal Chand	I L. R., 7 All., 79 I. L. R., 7 All., 763	3751
Damodardas Haridas v. Uttam Chand Savia Chand	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 214	786
Damodardas Maniklal v. Utamaram Maniklal	10 Bom., 414 · · ·	4402
Damodar Devchand v. Naro Mahadev	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 11	1463
Damodar Gordhan v. Devram Kanji	I. L. R., 1 Born., 367: 25 W.	694
	R., 261 : L. R., 3 I. A., 102. 5	
v. Ganesh Deoram	10 Bom., 37	693
Damodar Gungadhur v. Vamanrav Lakshman	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 435	4024,5860 2511,4418
Damodar Madhowji v. Purmanandas Jeewandas	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 155	1898
Damodar Parsotam v. Ishvar Jetha	1. L. R., 3 Bom., 89	432
Damodhar Bapuji Pachapurkar v. Zinga kom Kandlika.	7 Bom., A. C., 31	663
Damodhar Lakhmidas v. Gulabdas Lalbhai	9 Bom., 254	3474
Damodhar Madhavji v. Kahandas Narandas	8 Bom., O. C., 1	698, 4260
Dámodhar Timáji Gosávi v. Trimbak Sakhárám	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 370 .	238
Damodhar Tuljaram v. Lallu Khusaldas	8 Bom., A. C., 177	1363 2379
Damodhur Doss s. Bholanath	1 Bom., 182	2379 1926
Damodhur Doss v. Bholanath	2 N. W., 413	4487
	R., 514.	780
Damoodur Bhooshun v. Rugho Nath Pauja Damoodur Doss. In the matter of	12 W. R., 242	3084

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Damoodur Doss v. Choonee Bibee . v. Ritoo Singh . Damoodur Misser v. Roodurmar Misser v. Senabutty Misrain Damudar Dass v. Mahoram Pandah .	Cor., 120, 123: 2 Hyde, 216 24 W. R., 325 Marsh., 161 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 537: 10 C. L. R., 401. 13 C. L. R., 96	752 296 5262 2459, 2466 2468, 4310 1710
Damudar Roy v. Nimanund Chuckerbutty Damunulla Sirkar v. Mamudi Nashio . {	7 B L. R., Ap., 1:15 W. R., 365. 3 B. L. R., A. C., 178:11	5334 5189
Danaoollah v. Amanutoollah Danappa bin Subrav. In the matter of	W. R., 556. 16 W. R., 147 1 Bom., 134	3064 2073
Dandekar v. Dandekars Daniel v. Mohun Bibee Danmull v. British India Steam Navigation Company Danno v. Darbo	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 663 . 1 Agra, 104 . I. L. R., 12 Calc., 477 . I. L. R., 4 All., 243 .	383, 385 389 1366 3273, 3343 2305
Dantuluri Narayana Gajapati Razu Garu v. Surappa Razu. Dantuluri Rayapparaz v. Malapudi Rayudu	3 Mad., 113 2 Mad., 360	184, 5771 2264
Darbaree Sayal v. Fatu Dhalee . Darbha Venkamma v. Rama Subbarayadu . Darbba Venkatta Sastri v. Vurella Gangaia . Darbo v. Kesho Rai . Dariao Bishoon v. Dowluta . Darimba Debia v. Nilmonee Singh Deo .	23 W. R., 285 I. L. R., 1 Mad., 387 2 Mad., 305 I. L. R., 2 All., 356 5 N. W., 9 13 W. R., 266	1449 844, 1705 1911 4875 3048 4934
Darshan Singh v. Hanwanta Darsun Lall v. Jumuk Lall Darsu Pandey v. Bikarmajit Lal	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 372 I. L. R., 1 All., 274 I. L. R, 12 Calc., 522 I. L. R., 3 All., 125	5318, 5789 4 5766 5701 2811 4767 1311 2160 2405
Dasi v. Lalanmani	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 193 . 2 B. L. R., P. C., 64: 11 W.	340
v. Saudamini Dasi Dasmani Dasi v. Srinath Ghose Das Merces v. Cones	R., P. C., 27. 9 B. L. R., 192, note 3 B. L. R., Ap., 11 2 Hyde, 65.	497 . 6276 3785, 6203 5329, 5338,
Dassee v. Pitambur Panda	24 W. R., 129	5339
Dassorathy Huri Chunder Mahapattra v. Rama Krishna Jana. Data Hurrukman Pyne v. Oodoy Chand Pyne Dataram v. Ram Kristo Dataram Nath v. Eshan Chunder Law Datti Parisi Nayudu v. Datti Bangaru Nayudu Dattu v. Kasai Daud v. Nathu	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 526:13 C. L. R., 114. 6 W. R., 247 9 W. R., 594 11 W. R., 116 4 Mad., 204 1. L. R., 8 Bom., 535 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 112 3 B. L. R., A. C., 46: 11 W.	3030, 4410 778 5002 36 2320 5116 3331
Daud Ali v. Nadir Hossein Daudsha v. Ismalsha Daulatia. In the matter of Daur Sinha v. Rughnundun Sinha Davani Ammal v. Ratna Chetti David. In the matter of	R., 388. I. L. R., 3 Bom., 72 I. L. R., 3 All., 305 3 N. W., 101 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 417 5 C. L. R., 574	673 3698 2756, 5550 5677 3380 6250

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
David. In the matter of	6 C. L. R., 245	4748
v. Grish Chunder Guha	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 183:11 C.	1992, 5012 5667
v. Ram Dhun Chatterjee	L. R., 305. 6 W. B., Act X, 97	1660, 3018
Davidas Harjivandas v. Tyabally Abdulally	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 30	5717
Davies v. Debee Mahtoon	18 W. R., 376	2039
Davis v. Abdool Hamed	8 W. R., 55	2991, 3112
v. Koylash Chunder Ghose v. Middleton v	13 C. L. R., 333	4218
Davlata v. Ganesh Shastri	8 W. R., 282	429, 4237 848, 4145
Davlata bin Bhujanga v. Beru bin Yadoji	4 Bom., A. C., 197	3041
Davlatram Shriram v. Bulakidas Khemchand	6 Bom., O. C., 24	2578
Davlatsing v. Pandu	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 176	851, 1093
Dawd Ali v. Nadir Hossein	16 W. R., 153	1224, 5829
Day & Co. v. Justices for the Town of Calcutta Dayabhai Dipchand v. Dullabhram Dayaram	Bourke, O. C., 232 8 Bom., A. C., 133	650 5640
v. Maniklal Vrijbhukan	8 Bom., A. C., 123	5640
Dayabhai Tribhovandas v. Lakhmichand Pana Chand .	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 358	1070, 4415
Dayachand Nemchand v. Hemchand Dharam Chand .	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 515 . {	1229, 1243
20/102111 - 10211 - 10211 - 10211 - 10211 - 102111 - 10211 - 102111 - 102111 - 102111 - 102111 - 102111 - 102111	1 2 20, 2 2000, 010	1904, 6074
Dayal Chand Sahoy v. Nabin Chandra Adhikari	8 B.L. R., 180: 16 W. R., 235	579, 584 882, 2743
Dayal Chand Sanoy v. Nabin Chandra Adhikari	6 B. H. W., 180: 10 W. II., 230	4270, 4891
Dayal Jairaj v. Jivraj Ratansi	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 237	1528, 6136
w Whater Ladba	19 Rom 07	1679, 3225
		4327
Dayanand v. Bakhtawar Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 333	189, 609 1 3724
Dayum Khan v. Tunsookh Rai	18 W. R., 530	5104
	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 578 : 5 C. L. ?	
De Angelis & Co. v. Mayappa Setty	R., 57.	1371
Deans v. Richardson	3 N. W., 54	3890
Deanuth Biswas v. Issur Gine. Ex-parte Hur Chunder Gine.	14 W. R., 52	897
Deanut Mundul v. Bussunt Moyee Dassia	12 W. R., 190	5695
Deanutoollah v. Sidhee Nazir Ali Khan	10 W. R., 341	1875
De Assis v. Dos Angos	17 W. R., 188	1197
Debarsee v. Jouguet	6 N. W., 130	3794 2845
Deb Coomaree Dassee v. Gungadhur Dutt	22 W. R., 461	578
Debee Doss v. Lullit Mohun Shah Chowdhry	23 W. R., 195	1490
Debee Narain Singh v. Sree Kishen Sein	1 W. R., 321	2038
Debee Pershad v. Gujadhur Ram	20 W. R., 73	855
v. Umurth Nath Chowdhry Debeepershad Sahoo v. Gujadhurpershad Narain Singh .	8 W. R., 275	$\begin{array}{c} 282 \\ 1164 \end{array}$
Debee Pershad Singh v. Delawar Ali	25 W. R., 374	5734
Debendra Kumar Mandel v. Rup Lall Dass	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 546	436, 630
Debendra Nath Shaw v. Aubhoy Churn Bagchi . {	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 905; 12 C.	172, 377
TO 1 1 17 ' D	L. R., 525. 5 20 W. R., 30	2518
Debendro Narain Roy v. Coomar Chundernath Roy Debendro Nath Mullick v. Odit Churn Mullick	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 390	1357
Debi v. Madan Mohan Singh	2 B. L. R., A. C., 326	4214
Debia v. Brij Lal	3 N. W., 50	5187
Debia Chowdhrain v. Bimola Soonduree Debia	21 W. R., 422	1680
Debi Charan v. Pirbhu Din Ram Debi Churn Biswas. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 4 All., 388	1482 5150, 5151
arous source diswass. In the mutter of the Delition of a	4/LJ VV . 10 L/F., 4/LJ	0110V. 0110L

Name of Case.	Volu	ame and Page.	Column of Digest.
Debi Churn Boido v . Issur Chunder Manjee	I, L. R., 9 L. R., 34	Calc., 39: 11 C.	4177
Dali Dan u Tankanan Cinak	L. R., 34 L. L. R., 7		5673
Debi Das v. Lachman Singh v. Nur Ahmad	7 N. W., 1	.74	3263, 3274
Debi Dial Singh v. Ajaib Singh	. I. L. R., 3	All., 543	4874
Debi Dutt Sahoo v. Subodra Bibee	{ I. L. R., 2	Calc., 283: 25 W.	89
Debi Parshad v. Thakur Dial	' (R., 449.		2330
Debipershad v. Phul Koeri alias Ghina Koeri	. I. L. R., 1 . 12 W. R.,		2446
Debi Prasad v. Har Dayal	. I. L. R., 7		5204
v. Jafar Ali	. I. L. R., 3		3412,5084
v. Jafar Ali v. Rupu Debi Rai v. Gokal Prasad . Debi Saran Lal v. Debi Saran Upadhia	. I. L. R., 6		5861
Debi Rai v. Gokal Prasad	. I. L. R., 3	All, 585 .	844, 1704
	I. L. R., 6		4906
Debi Singh v. Bhup Singh	. I. L. R., 1		4441
v. Hanuman Upadhya	. I. L. R., 3	All., 747	567 7
Deb Kumari Bibee v. Ram Lall Mookerjee .	3 B. L. R., R., 66.	Ap., 107: 12 W.	3777, 5374
Debnarain Bose v. Comulmonee Dossee .	. 20 W. R.,	39	19 49
Deb Narain Bose v. Leisk	2 Hyde, 26		3104
Deb Narain Singh v. Ishan Chunder Malo .	. 13 Č. L. R.		3148
v. Rajmonee Koonwar.	. 3 W. R., 16		173, 371
Debnarayan Deb v. Kalidas Mitter	6 B. L. R., 397.	Ap., 70: 14 W. R.,	1756, 3815
Deb Nath Ghose v. Pachoo Mollah	6 W. R., C	Tv Ref 8	5694
Deb Nath Roy Chowdhry v. Gudadhur Dey.	18 W. R.,		333 7
v. Kally Kisto Roy Chow	lhry . 1 W. R., 2		4926
	I. L. R., 12	2 Calc., 294 .	5423
Deb Pershad v. Monga Koonwar	4 W. R., M		677
Deb Pooree Boistobee v. Kenoo Singh Roy .	20 W. R.,	357	3042
Debraj Roy v. Kartick Chunder Sircar .	W. R., 186	i4, 153 .	370
Debrance Dossee v. Brojunggona Dossee .	W. R. F. I		1609
v. Shital Kareegur		54, Act X, 10	539 1556
De Bretton v. De Bretton v. De Bretton De Courcy v. Meghnath Jha	I. L. R., 4		1551
D. Course v. M. alas Al. II	I. L. R., 4		1613
De Courcy v. Meghnath Jha	15 W. R.,	107	
the matter of.	¹¹¹ 7 B. L. R.,	305	6021
DoCumer I	I. L. R., 8	Mod 140 .	1259
Deedar Hossein v. Zuhooroonnissa	2 Moore's		3939, 4644
Deegendur Narain Ghose v. Hur Kishore Dutt	8 W. R., 8		3513
Deela Mahton. In the matter of	8 C. L. R.,		6255
Deela Mahton. In the matter of v. Sheo Dyal Koeri		Calc., 714 .	6255
Deela Singh v. Toofanee Singh	1 W. R., 30	07	2357
Deelun Chowdhry v. Jeetoo Kaijee	24 W. R.,		$\frac{4915}{2712}$
Deela Singh v. Toofanee Singh Deelun Chowdhry v. Jeetoo Kaijee Deen Doyal Lall v. Choa Singh v. Het Narayan Sing Deen doyal Poramanick v. Kylas Chunder Pal (. 25 W. R.,		2712 2712
v. Het Narayan Sing	I. L. R., 2	Calc., 41 .	
	how-(1. L. K., 1	Calc., 92: 24 W.	24 99
dhry.	R., 106.	4, Act X, 113	1642
Deen Dyal Agustee v. Watson			3252
Deen Dyal Burmo Doss v. Poran Doss .	9 W. R., 47	Calc., 198 : 1 C	
Deendyal Lal v. Jugdeep Narain Singh .	L. R. 49	: L. R., 4 I. A.	2390, 2396
	247.	* ;	_
Deen Dyal Lall v. Thukroo Koonwar	6 W. R., Ac	et X, 24 .	7
Deendyal Paramanick v. Juggeshur Roy .	Marsh., 252	2:2 Hay, 21	5320
v. Ram Coomar Chowdhry	. 9 W. R., 28	33	752

Name of Case.	Volume and 1	Column of Digest.
Deendyal Paramanick v. Ram Coomar Chowdhry . v. Suttish Chunder Roy .	. 10 W. R., 345	5114, 5919 1635
Deen Dyal Sahoe v. Radha Muddun Mohun Doss.	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 927: 9 W. R., 223.	211
Deen Dyal Singh v. Danee Roy	. 13 W. R., 185	2890, 6238
Deeno Moyee Dossee v. Doorga Pershad Mitter .	2 N. W., 338	3067 676
v. Tarachurn Coondoo Chowdhry	3 W.R., Mis., 7, note : Bourke, 3 A. O. C., 48.	676
Deep Chand v. Gouree Deep Narain Singh v. Lal Chutterput Singh	. 13 W. R., 98	5943 537 0
Deepo Dabea v. Gobindo Deb	11 B. L. R., 131, note: 16 W. R., 42.	2218
Deepo Debia v . Gobindo Deb	. 16 W. R. 42	2501, 3417
Degamber Mozumdar v. Kally Nath Roy	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 654: 9 C. L. R., 265.	30, 3149 3868
Degumber Dossee v. Kissen Dhur Nundy	. 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 35 .	4 90 2 973
Degumbery Dossee v. Anundnath Roy	. 8 W. R., Cr., 82 W. R., 1864, 43	4469
Degumbur Chatterjee v. Ram Prea Dabea	. Marsh., 517: 2 Hay, 583	352
Degumburee Dabea v. Shamasoonduree Debea	. W. R., 1864, Act X, 81	536
Degumburee Dabee v. Eshan Chunder Sein Degumbur Mitter v. Gobindo Chunder Haldar	. 9 W. R., 230	1144 1630
Delanney v. Bibeejan	Marsh., 354: 2 Hay, 402 22 W. R., 494	4944
Delauney v. Kofilooddeen	25 W. R., 35	3007
Delawur Ali v. Dabee Pershad .	11 W. R., 203	238, 4927
Delhi and London Bank v. Hunter	0.17.317.004	4615
v. Miller	3 N. W., 264	4422
v. Orchard	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 47 : L. R., 4 I. A., 127.	3132, 4981 5876
\cdot $oldsymbol{v}$. Wordie	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 249 : 25 W. R., 272.	2830
Delhi Bank Corporation v. Greenway	Bourke, O. C., 227	4613, 4615
Delroos Banoo Begum v. Ashgar Ally Khan .	15 B. L. R., 167 : 23 W. R., 7 453.	113, 1246 42 33
Delrus Banoo Begum v. Abdoor Ruhman	21 W. R., 368 .	164
DeMello v. Broughton	11 B. L. R., Ap., 6	2591
Dena Gazee v. Mohinee Mohun Doss	21 W. R., 157 .	1643
Dena Nath Banerjee v. Hari Dasi Dengoo Shaikh v. Adam Sircar	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 499	5773 4097, 5166
Denobundhoo Bhadooree v. Prankishen Surma	17 W. R., Cr., 37 20 W. R., 146	1629
Chowdhry v. Kristomonee Dossee	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 152	5012
Pal v. Shushi Mohun Pal Chowdhry	12 C. L. R., 60 .	5440
Sadhoo v. Khetternath Tewarry .	2 Hay, 20	4821
Denobundhu Ghose v. Nistarini Dossee	12 C. L. R., 50 .	1758
Deno Moyee Dossee v. Doorga Pershad Mitter .	3 W. R., Mis., 6.	2145
Denonath Chuckerbutty v. Lallit Coomar Gango-padhya.	Bourke, O. C., 153 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 633: 12 C. L. R., 146.	6275 3505
Denonath Gangooly v. Nursingh Proshad Doss .	14 B. L. R., 87 : 22 W. R., 90 {	3389, 3431
		4007
Denonath Ghattack v. Rajcoomar Singh Denonath Mullick. In re	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 573	6068 1574
	10 B. L. R., 80 and 82, note . 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 125:	1574
Denonath Ruckit v. Mutty Lal Paul	Hyde, 158.	434, 189 6
Denonath Shaw v. Hurry Narain Shaw Denonauth Sreemany v. Hogg	12 B. L. R., 349 1 Hyde, 141	2348, 3364 2823
	-	

Denoise Panoye v. Chinta Mones Chowdhry 18 W. R., 457 765 3923 3923 3924 3924 3924 3924 3924 3924 3924 3224 3225	Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
DeoDat v. Ram Autar	Deo Chand Sahoo v. Teeluck Singh .	14 W. R., 238	3923
Deo Dhary Singh v. Dowlut Ram 3 C. L. R., 189 672 100 572 100 573 100 572 100 573 100 10	Deo Dat v. Ram Autar	1. L. R., 8 All., 502 .	
Deojit v. Pitambar I. L. R., 1 All., 275 1116, 3886 4767 2004, 3128 2340, 2341 2524	Deo Dhary Singh v. Dowlut Ram .	3 C. L. R., 189	572
Deo Karun v. Mohamed Ali Shah 3 N. W., 328 2004, 3128 2004, 3128 2324, 2324 2524		I. L. R., 1 All., 275	
Deokee v. Sookhdeo 2 N. W., 361 2340, 2341 Deokee Nundun v. Oomrao Singh 2 Agra, 248 2812 Deokee Nundun Roy v. Kalee Pershad 8 W. R., 366 5024, 5063 Deoki Nandan v. Dhian Singh 1 L. R., 8 All., 467 3023 Deokishen v. Bansi 1 L. R., 8 All., 467 3023 Deokishen v. Bundi 1 L. R., 8 All., 172 5035, 5793 — v. Maheshar Shahai 1 L. R., 5 Cale., 252 : 4 C. L. Deolic Chand v. Nirban Singh 1 L. R., 5 Cale., 252 : 4 C. L. R., 150 1 L. R., 2 L. Deonarain Singh v. Nack Pershad 2 N. W., 217 1744, 3821 Deo Nath v. Peer Khan 3 Agra, 16 477 Deo Nundun Agha v. Deshputty Singh 8 C. L. R., 210, note 1162, 3383 Deopershad v. Lujoo Roy 4 B. L. R., 245, note 20 Deo Prosad Sing v. Pertab Kaires 1 L. R., 218 2006, 2496 Deo Prosad Sing v. Pertab Kaires 1 L. R., 218 2006, 2496 Deoraraic Koowar v. Indurject Koowar 12 W. R., 234 4473, 4992 Deoraraic Koowar v. Indurject Koowar 12 C. L. R., 234 4473, 4992 Deoraraic Koer v. Parusman Narain 12 C. L. R., 246 2080 Deeputy Commissioner of Beerbhoom v. Rungo Lall Deeputy Commissioner of Beerbhoom v. Rungo Lall Deputy Commissioner of Beerbhoom v. Rungo Lall Deputy Commissioner of Rae Bareli v. Rampal Singh 4 Bom., A. C., 145 5830 Desai Kalyanrai Hakumatrai Ex parte 2000 21 W. R., 435 2000 Deputy Commissioner of Rae Bareli v. Rampal Singh 5 Bom., A. C., 145 5830 Desai Kalyanrai Hakumatray v. Government of Bombay. 2000 21 W. R., 435 2000 Desai Maneklal Amrat Lal v. Desai Shivlal Bhogi Lal 1 L. R., 8 Bom., 426 3297 323			
Deokee Nundun v. Oomrao Singh Deokee Nundun Roy v. Kalee Pershad Sur. R. 864, Mis , 34 322 323 323 323 324 366 5024, 5063 5025, 5023 5025			2340, 2341
Deckee Nundun Roy v. Kalee Pershad W. R., 1864, Mis, 34 322 5024, 5063 5024, 5024, 5024, 5024, 5024, 5024, 5024, 5024, 5024, 5024, 5024, 5024, 5024, 5024, 5024, 502			
Deoki Nandan v. Dhian Singh I. L. R., 8 All., 467 3023 2337 242 243 243 243 244 245 24		W. R., 1864, Mis, 34.	
Deckishen v. Bansi	Dooki Naudan a Dhian Singh		
Deolie Chand v. Nirban Singh		I. L. R., 8 All., 172	
Deolie Chand v. Nirban Singh Deonarain Singh v. Naek Pershad 2 N. W., 217 1744, 3821 2 N. W., 217 1744, 3821 2 N. W., 217 162, 3383 2 N. W., 240 2 N. W.,		I. L. R. 5 All., 909	
Decole Chand v. Nirban Singh v. Naek Pershad 2 N. W., 217 1744, 3821 1744, 3821 2 N. W., 217 1744, 3821 2 N. W., 217 162, 3383 2 N. W., 210, note 1744, 3821 2 N. W., 210, note 20 N. W. K., 102. 2 N. W., 210, note 20 N. W. K., 102. 2 N. W., 210, note 20 N. W. K., 102. 2 N. W., 210, note 20 N. W. K., 102. 2 N. W., 210, note 20 N. W. K., 102. 2 N. W., 210, note 20 N. W. K., 102. 2 N. W., 210, note 20 N. W. K., 102. 2 N. W., 210, note 20 N. W. K., 102. 2 N. W., 210, note 20 N. W. K., 102. 2 N. W., 210, note 20 N. W. K., 102. 2 N. W., 214. 2 N. W., 214. 2 N. W., 216. 2 N. W., 216. 2 N. W., 217 2 N. W., 217 2 N. W., 217 2 N. W., 216. 2 N. W., 217 2 N. W., 216 2 N. W., 216 2 N. W., 240 2 N. W., 218 2 N. W., 234 2 N. W., 234 2 N. W., 234 2 N. W., 221 2 N. W., 234 2 N. W., 221 2 N. W., 234 2 N. W., 234 2 N. W., 234 2 N. W., 221 2 N. W., 234	v. Maheshar Shahai		
Deonarain Singh v. Naek Pershad 2 N. W., 217 1744, 3821 1744, 3821 2477 2477 2477 2477 2477 23883 2477 23883 2478 2478 2478 2478 2478 2478 2478 2478 2478 2478 2478 2478 2478 2478 2478 2478 2478 2498 2488	Deolie Chand v. Nirban Singh		3908
Deo Nundun Agha v. Deshputty Singh 8 C. L. R., 210, note 1162, 3383		2 N. W., 217	·
Deopershad v. Lujoo Roy 14 B. L. R., 245, note: 20			
Deo Prosad Sing v. Pertab Kairee L. R., 10 Calc., 86: 13 C. L. R., 218.		14 B. L. R., 245, note: 20)	
Deopattice Koonwar v. Dhamoo Lall 11 W. R., 240 2080	Deo Prosad Sing v. Pertab Kairee	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 86: 13 C.	3194
Deoranel Koowar v. Indurjeet Koowar 12 W. K., 234 4473, 4992			2080
Deo Sarun Singh v. Tulsi Kant 12 C. L. R., 221 4504 3411,5761 394 3411,5761 24 W. R., 300 394 395 394 394 394 395 394 394 395 394 395 394 395 394 395 39	Decranel Koowar v. Indurjeet Koowar	12 W. R., 234	
Deo Surun Poory v. Mahomed Ismail 24 W. R., 300 3411,5761 394			
DePenning v. Debendronath Moitro 9 W. R., 549 394		24 W. R., 300	
Deputy Commissioner of Nowgong v. Nothiram Bhuaya. 2039 1 Hay, 200, 21 W. R., 435 2000 21 W. R., 435 2000 21 W. R., 11 Calc., 237 : L. R., 12 I. A., 1. 3901 34 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 34 : 2039 1 Hay, 200, 21 W. R., 435 2000 21 W. R., 435 2000 21 W. R., 12 I. A., 1. 3901 R., 12 I. A., 1. 3901 34 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 34 : 2039 1 Hay, 200, 21 W. R., 435 2000 30		9 W. R., 549	394
Deputy Commissioner of Nowgong v. Nothiram Bhuaya. 21 W. R., 435		34: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 34:	2039
Deputy Commissioner of Rae Bareli v. Rampal Singh I. L. R., 11 Calc., 237: L. R., 12 I. A., 1. 3901	1		2000
Desai Himatsingji Joravarsingji v. Bhavabhai Kayabhai I. L. R., 4 Bom., 643 614, 1121 Desai Kalyanrai Hakumatrai. Ex parte 4 Bom., A. C., 145 5830 Desai Kalyanraya Hukamatraya v. Government of Bombay. 5 Bom., A. C., 1 2060 Desai Maneklal Amrat Lal v. Desai Shivlal Bhogi Lal I. L. R., 8 Bom., 426 3297 Desaji Lakhmaji v. Havanidas Narotamdas 8 Bom., A. C., 100 184, 289 Desalji Manaji v. Hemadalli Imam Haidarbaksha 10 Bom., 308 5683 Desaratulla v. Nazir Ali Khan 1 B. L. R., A. C., 216 5278, 6236 Deshan Hossein v. Khodija 8 W. R., 64 780 DeSilva v. Ameer Shaha 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 16: 6203 Bourke, O. C., 281. 480			3901
Desai Kalyanrai Hakumatrai. Ex parte 4 Bom., A. C., 145 5830 Desai Kalyanraya Hukamatraya v. Government of Bombay. 5 Bom., A. C., 1 2060 Desai Maneklal Amrat Lal v. Desai Shivlal Bhogi Lal I. L. R., 8 Bom., 426 3297 Desaji Lakhmaji v. Havanidas Narotamdas 8 Bom., A. C., 100 5771 Desalji Manaji v. Hemadalli Imam Haidarbaksha 10 Bom., 308 5683 Desaratulla v. Nazir Ali Khan 1 B. L. R., A. C., 216 5278, 6236 Deshan Hossein v. Khodija 8 W. R., 64 780 DeSilva v. Ameer Shaha 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 16: 6203 Bourke, O. C., 281. 4 Bom., A. C., 145 5830 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 16: 6203	Desai Himatsingji Joravarsingji v. Bhavabhai Kayabhai		
Desai Maneklal Amrat Lal v. Desai Shivlal Bhogi Lal I. L. R., 8 Bom., 426 3297 Desaji Lakhmaji v. I havanidas Narotamdas 8 Bom., A. C., 100 184, 289 Desalji Manaji v. Hemadalli Imam Haidarbaksha 10 Bom., 308 5683 Desaratulla v. Nazir Ali Khan 1 B. L. R., A. C., 216 5278, 6236 Deshan Hossein v. Khodija 8 W. R., 64 780 DeSilva v. Ameer Shaha 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 16: 6203 Bourke, O. C., 281. 426	Description of the service	4 Bom., A. C., 145 .	
Desaji Lakhmaji v. I havanidas Narotamdas 8 Bom., A. C., 100 184, 289 5771 5771 Desalji Manaji v. Hemadalli Imam Haidarbaksha 10 Bom., 308 5683 DeSaran v. Hurrish Chunder Biswas 5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 6 5278, 6236 Desaratulla v. Nazir Ali Khan 1 B. L. R., A. C., 216 1875 Deshan Hossein v. Khodija 8 W. R., 64 780 DeSilva v. Ameer Shaha 16 W. R., 303 5617 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 16: 6203 Bourke, O. C., 281. 480			
Desaji Lakhmaji v. Fhavanidas Narotamdas 8 Bom., A. C., 100 5771 Desalji Manaji v. Hemadalli Imam Haidarbaksha 10 Bom., 308 5683 DeSaran v. Hurrish Chunder Biswas 5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 6 5278, 6236 Desaratulla v. Nazir Ali Khan 1 B. L. R., A. C., 216 1875 Deshan Hossein v. Khodija 8 W. R., 64 780 DeSilva v. Ameer Shaha 16 W. R., 303 5617 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 16: 800rke, O. C., 281.	Desai Maneklal Amrat Lal v. Desai Shivlal Bhogi Lal	1	
DeSaran v. Hurrish Chunder Biswas . 5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 6 5278, 6236 Desaratulla v. Nazir Ali Khan . 1 B. L. R., A. C., 216 . 1875 Deshan Hossein v. Khodija . 8 W. R., 64 . 780 DeSilva v. Ameer Shaha . 16 W. R., 303 . 5617 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 16: 800rke, O. C., 281.	Desaji Lakhmaji v. I havanidas Narotamdas .	8 Bom., A. C., 100	
Desaratulla v. Nazir Ali Khan Deshan Hossein v. Khodija DeSilva v. Ameer Shaha v. DeSilva v. DeSilva v. DeSilva		10 Bom., 308	
Deshan Hossein v. Khodija DeSilva v. Ameer Shaha v. DeSilva . DeSilva		1 R. L. R., A. C., 216	
DeSilva v. Ameer Shaha			
7. Desilva			5617
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	v. DeSilva		6203
	v. Jehangeer		4725

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
DeSilva v. Raj Coomar Dutt	. 16 W. R., 153	1621, 5109
v. Teheranee DeSouza v. Coles	. 9 W. R., 374	3834 2809, 3093
	. 12 Bom., 184	1944
v. DeSouza v. Pestanji Dhanjibhai v. Rangalan	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 408	316, 3638
v. Rangalan v. Secretary of State for India	6 Mad., 257	4072 1944
_	. 12 B. L. R., 423	
Desputty Singh. In the matter of the petition of	(R., 489.	4669
v. Doolar Roy. In the matter of	. 1 C. L. R., 291	3146
Desraj v. Dhuni	. 7 N. W., 9	2881 5847
-	5 2 B. L. R., P. C., 85: 11 W. ?	1785, 1848
Devaji Gayaji v. Godabhai Godbhai	{ 2 B. L. R., P. C., 85 : 11 W. } R., P. C., 35.	4461, 4653
Devaji Gayaji v. Godadbhai Godebhai	· 2 Bom., 28: 2nd Ed., 27	1506
Devappa Setti v. Ramanadha Bhatt . Devarakonda Narasamma v. Devarakonda Kanaya	. 3 Mad., 109	758 1204, 5021
Devaran v. Ventesa Achariyar	1 Mad., 452	4247
Devasagayam Pitchamathoo v. Naiyagam	. 7 Mad., 284	1550
Deva Singh v. Ram Manchar	I. L. R., 2 All., 746	2181
Devgir Guru Sumbhagir. Ex parte	. 4 Bom., A. C., 91	4338 3459, 467 7
Devidas Jagjivan v. Pirjada Begam	. I. L. R., 8 Bom., 377	4776
Devi Dutt. In the matter of	. 7 C. L. R., 193	3603
Devkabai v. Jefferson Dayray Krishna v. Halambhai	. I. L. R., 10 Bom., 248	121 7 5050
Devkabai v. Jefferson Devrav Krishna v. Halambhai Devsi Ghela v. Jivaraj Mukundas	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 87 2 Bom., 430 : 2nd Ed., 406 .	6121
Devu v. Deyi	. I. L. R., 8 Mad., 353	2219
Dewan Ali v. Munsoor Ali	. 11 W. R., 259	3147
v. Sor os hibala Dabee	{ I. L. R., 8 Calc., 297: 10 C. } L. R., 111.	791
Dewan Munar Ali v. Ashurooddeen Mahomed .	. 5 W. R., 270	3711
Dewan Ramjewan Singh v. Collector of Shahabad	[14 B. L. R., 221, note: 18 W.]	65, 2877
Dewan Roy v. Riddell	R., 64.	5380
Dewanti Kunwar v. Dwarkanath	(8 B. L. R., 363, note: 10 W.)	2447
	(R., 273.	
Dewar & Co. Ex parte	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 411	2660 5252
Dhajah Roy v. Sukhawut Hossein	Marsh., 492	5268
Dham Mundul. In the matter of	. 6 C. L. R., 53	1290
Dhanak Singh v. Chain Sukh	. I. L. R., 8 All., 61 · ·	4090 759
-	\(\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc	
Dhan Bibee v. Haradhan Ram	(R., 4.	201
Dhanessuree v . Goodhur Sahoy	. 11 W. R., 421	3535 130, 2586
Dhanjibhai Bomanji Gugrat v . Navazbai \cdot .	. I. L. R., 2 Bom., 75 . {	4241
Dhanjibhai Kharsetji Ratnagar. In re	. 10 Bom., 327	2642 620 4
Dhanobar Ghose. In the matter of the petition of	{ 6 B. L. R., 483 : 15 W. R., }	256
Dhanookdharee Sahee v. Toomey	Cr., 33.	1744
<u>-</u>	6 2 B. L. R., Ap., 18: 11 W.?	1865, 3500
Dhanpat Singh v. Lilanand Singh	ξ R., 28.	1000, 9000
Dhanpat Singh Bahadoor v. Madhumati Debi .	8 B. L. R., 197, note: 18 W. R., 316.	498

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Dhanpat Singh Dogar v. Rahman Mandol {	2 B. L. R., A. C., 269: 11 W. R., 163.	555
Dhan Singh v. Basant Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 519	3144, 5964
Dhanuk Dhari Singh v . Gapi Singh \ldots . $\;$	6 B. L. R., Ap., 151: 15 W. R., 191.	3430
Dharam Chand v. Janki	I. L. R., 5 All., 389	2433, 5685
Dharamdas Bhavanidas. Ex parte	3 Bom., A. C., 104	168 5894
Dharamdas Santidas v. Vaman Govind	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 237 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 306	3521
	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 181 : L. R.,	471
Dharani Kant Chowdry v. Kristo Kumari Chowdhrani	13 I. A., 70.	2127
Dharma Dagu v. Ramkrishna Chimnaji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 80 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 660	3863
Dharmadas Ghose v. Nusseruddin	10 Bom., 311	995 2090
Dharma Vithal v. Govind Sadvalkar	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 99	3208, 3214
Dharm Singh v. Hurpershad Singh	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 38	4459, 6001 2342
Dharoo Sooklain v . Court of Wards	11 W. R., 336	1939
Dharram Singh v. Kishen Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 614 . }	1849, 2292 2477
Dhaunsee v. India General Steam Navigation Co.	1 Ind. Jar., O. S., 125: 1 }	592
	Hyde, 283.) Marsh., 572	238
Dheeraj Mahatab v. Mudoosoodun Mookerjee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 68	1390, 5750
Dheeraj Mahtab Chand v. Deno Nath Roy	Marsh., 340: 2 Hay, 445 .	5240
Dheeraj Raja Mahatab Chund v. Government of Bengal.	4 Moore's I. A., 466 .	3125, 4206 4648
Dheeraj Singh v. Deen Dyal Singh	11 W. R., 144	- 5617
Dheermoney Dossee v. Croft	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 20	5257
Dheput Singh v. Halal Khoory Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 279	3001 5355
v. Mothooranath Jah	W. R., 1864, 278	87
Dheraj Mahatab Chand v. Huro Mohun Acharjee	W. R., 1864, Mis., 1	2234
v. Modhoo Soodun Bonnerjee .	15 W. R., 162	3495
v. Pearee Dossee	6 W. R., Mis., 61 . {	201, 897 1919
Dheraj Mahatab Chunder v. Munmohinee Dossee	12 W. R., 517	1920
Dheraj Mahtab Chand v. Chittro Coomarce Bibee	16 W. R., 201	2951
v. Damooder Singh	W. R., 1864, 65 · · ·	4424
	17 W. R., 254	406 899, 1501
v. Hurdeo Narain Sahoo v. Moorleedhur Ghose	15 W. R., 67	3492, 3495
Dheraj Mahtab Chund v. Burodanath Mundul	18 W. R., 411	435
v. Radha Binode Chowdhry	8 W. R., 517	573 7 28 67
Dhian Rai v. Thakur Rai Dhirai Kuan a Bilmanaiit Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 25 I. L. R., 3 All., 787	1106
Dhiraj Kuar v. Bikramajit Singh	9 B. L. R., Ap., 13: 14 W.	4925, 4935
Dhiraj Mahatab Chand v . Makund Bullabh Bose .	R., 246.	3020, 3000
v. Surnomoyee Dossee . {	12 B. L. R., 414, note: 15 }	439
Dhiraj Mahtab Chand. In the matter of the petition of	2 B. L. R., A. C., 217	5422, 5942
v. Bacharam Hazra .	5 B. L. R., 162: 13 W. R., F. B., 74.	3457
(5 B. L. R., 611: 14 W. R.,	9490 9500
v. Bulram Singh Baboo .	P. C., 21: 13 Moore's I. A., 479.	3480, 3508
v. Debkumari Debi	7 B. L. R., Ap., 26	2692

V. Naduroonnissa Bebee 4 W. R., 82 .	Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Dhiraj Mahtab Chund v. Shagor Kundu 5 B. L. R., Ap., 91 5943	v. Naduroonnissa Bebee	4 W. R., 82	
Dhiraj Mahtab Chund v. Shagor Kundu 5 B. L. R., Ap., 91 1530 153	v. Ram Brahma Mullick .	W. R., 38.	3523
Dhonaye Mundul v. Arif Mundul Dhonadha Singh v. Suleemooddeen Hossein 24 W. R., 359 3474 3495 333, 3285 333, 3285 333, 3285 333, 3285 333, 3285 333, 3285 334, 3285 334, 3285 3474 3860 3860 3860 243 3860 244 344	Dhiraj Mahtab Chund v. Shagor Kundu	5 B. L. R., Ap., 91	
Dhondha Singh v. Suleemooddeen Hossein 24 W. R., 359 474 3995 3393 3287 3395 34666, 6112 3860 3280 3287 3286 3287	Dhondre Mundul a Asif Mundul	7 W. R., 356	-
Dhondha Rai v. Megho Rai Dhondiba Krishnaji Patel v. Ramchandra Bhagwat Dhondiba Krishnaji Patel v. Ramchandra Bhagwat Dhondiba Lakshuman v. Kusa General Bollondi Jagannath v. Collector of Salt Revenue I. L. R., 9 Bom., 28 243 338, 283 3860 243	Dhondhai Singh v Sulesmooddeen Hossein	0. 277 73 070	
Dhondiba Krishnaji Patel v. Ramchandra Bhagwat Dhondiba Lakshuman v. Kusa 6 8mm, A. C., 219 3860 243 3660 2469 3660 243 3660 243 3660 243 3660 243 3660 243 3660 243 3660 243 3660 243 3660 243 3660 243 3660 243 3660 243 3660 243 3660 243 3660 243 3660 243 3660 243 3660 243 3660 243 3660 2469 3660 2469 3660 2469 3660 2469 3660 2469 3660 2469 3660 2469 3660 2469 3660 2469 3660 2469 2469 2460 2			
Dhondid Jakshuman v. Kusa 6 Bom., A. C., 219 3860 Dhond Jagannath v. Collector of Salt Revenue I. L. R., 9 Bom., 28 243 Dhondo Ramchandra v. Balkrishna Gobind I. L. R., 8 Bom., 190 2512, 402, 6078 Dhondu Grava v. Gangabai I. L. R., 8 Bom., 20 2439, 5816 Dhondu Jagannath v. Narayan Ramchandra I. L. R., 8 Bom., 309 2310 Dhondu Jagannath v. Narayan Ramchandra I. L. R., 8 Bom., 309 2310 Dhondu Jagannath v. Narayan Ramchandra I. L. R., 8 Bom., 309 2310 Dhondu Mathuradas Naik v. Ramji valad Hanumanta Kakda. I. L. R., 8 Bom., 309 2499, 5816 Dhonendro Chunder Mookerjee v. Mutty Lall Mookerjee I. L. R., 2 I. A., 18. 5411 Dhonendro Chunder Mookerjee v. Mutty Lall Mookerjee I. L. R., 2 Calc., 336 418 Dhondu Jagannath v. Ram Lall Sahee 7 W. R., 408 1811 Dhooka Thakoor v. Ram Lall Sahee 7 W. R., 408 1811 Dhoolde Thakoor v. Ram Lall Sahee 7 W. R., 408 1811 Dhoolde Ghund v. Rajroop Kooer 15 W. R., 107 3006 Dhoolde Ghund v. Rajroop Kooer 15 W. R., 107 3006 Dhoolde Ghandor Singh v. Priag Singh 7 W. R., 314 5753, 5755 Dhoree Roy v. Buldeb Narain Singh 7 W. R., 1864, 345 3394 Dhumaingh v. Ganga Ram 1. L. R., 8 All., 214 3296 Dhundiram Santukram v. Chanda Nabai 2 Bom., 103 : 2nd Ed., 98 2602 Dhunderam Santukram v. Chanda Nabai 2 Agra, 325 602 Dhunderam Santukram v. Chanda Nabai 2 Agra, 325 602 Dhunnee Ram v. Amanut Hossein 2 Agra, 325 602 Dhunnee Ram v. Amanut Hossein 2 Agra, 325 602 Dhunnee Chowdhrain v. Brindabun Chunder Sircar 16 W. R., 54 3106 Dhunnonee Chowdhrain v. Brindabun Chunder Sircar 16 W. R., 54 3106 Dhunnonee Chowdhrain v. Brindabun Chunder Sircar 16 W. R., 54 3106 Dhunnonee Chowdhrain v. Brindabun Chunder Sircar 16 W. R., 54 3106 Dhunnonee Chowdhrain v. Brindabun Chunder Sircar 16 W. R., 54 3106 Dhunnonee Chowdhrain v. Brindabun Chunder Sircar 16 W. R., 54 3106 Dhunnonee Chowdhrain v. Brindabun Chunder Sircar 16 W. R., 54 3106 Dhunnonee	_		333, 3287
Dhondi Jagannath v. Collector of Salt Revenue I. L. R., 9 Bom., 28 243 Dhondo Ramchandra v. Balkrishna Gobind I. L. R., 8 Bom., 190 2512, 402 Dhondo Sakharam v. Govind Babaji I. L. R., 8 Bom., 369 2310 Dhondo Gurav v. Gangabai I. L. R., 8 Bom., 369 2499, 5818 Dhondu Mathuradas Naik v. Ramji valad Hanmanta Kakda. Ibomdu Mathuradas Naik v. Ramji valad Hanmanta Kakda. Dhonendro Chunder Mookerjee v. Mutty Lall Mookerjee Dhonendro Chunder Mookerjee v. Mutty Lall Mookerjee Cor., 57 6128 Dhonendro Chunder Mookerjee v. Mutty Loll Mookerjee Dhonessur Kooer v. Roy Gooder Sahoy I. L. R., 2 Calc., 336 6128 Dhoneldro Chunder Mookerjee v. Mutty Loll Mookerjee Dhoneldro v. Ram Lall Sahee 7 W. R., 408 1811 Dhoolee Chund v. Rajroop Kooer 15 W. R., 107 3 Agra, 18 3006 Dhoolib Bahadoor Singh v. Priag Singh 17 W. R., 314 5753, 5755 Dhoree Roy v. Buldeb Narain Singh V. R., 1864, 345 5394 Dhume Behara v. Sevenoaks I. L. R., 8 All., 214 3296 Dhum Singh v. Ganga Ram I. L. R., 8 All., 214 3296 Dhundiram Santukram v. Chanda Nabai 2 Bom., 103 : 2nd Ed., 98 2602 Dhungee Ram v. Amauut Hossein 2 Agra, 325 692 Dhunjeebhoy Byramjee Mehta v. Betham 2 Agra, 325 692 Dhunkristo Roy v. Huro Chunder Roy 5 W. R., 1860 3192 Dhunkristo Roy v. Huro Chunder Roy 5 W. R., 1860 3192 Dhunkristo Sein v. Erskine & Co. 5 W. R., 1860 3192 Dhunnooe Chowdhrain v. Golam Kasom 2 W. R., 345 5705 Dhunnoo Kazi. In the matter of the petition of 1 L. R., 201, note: 10 Dhunnoo v. Damodur Doss 2 W. R., 266 5705 Dhunnoo v. Damodur Doss 2 W. R., 266 5705 Dhunnoo v. Brown 21 W. R., 27, 25 4468 Dhunookdharee Lall v. Gunput Lall 5 W. R., 100 404 Dhunokdharee Lall v. Gunput Lall 5 W. R., 122 10 W. R., 123 10 Dhunokdharee Lall v. Gunput Lall 5 W. R., 126 5705 Dhunnot Singh. In the matter of the petition of 1 W. R., 126 5705 5705 Dhunnot Singh. In the matter of the petition of 1 W. R., 126 5705	-		4466, 6112
Dhondo Ramchandra v. Balkrishna Gobind I. L. R., 8 Bom., 190 2512, 402.	T) 11 T 11 C 11 C C 11 D		
Dhondo Sakharam v. Govind Babaji 1. L. R., 9 Bom., 20 2310 Dhondu Gurav v. Gangaba 1. L. R., 3 Bom., 369 2310 Dhondu Jagaunath v. Narayan Ramchandra 1. Bom., 47 249, 5818 Dhondu Mathuradas Naik v. Ramji valad Hanmanta 2. Bom., 47 249, 5818 Thondoder Chunder Mookerjee v. Mutty Lall Mookerjee 2. Mutty Loll Mookerjee 2. Cor., 57 2. Cor			
Dhondu Gurav v. Gangabai Chondu Jagannath v. Narayan Ramchandra Chondu Mathuradas Naik v. Ramji valad Hanmanta Kakda. Chondu Mathuradas Naik v. Ramji valad Hanmanta Chondurat Mookerjee v. Mutty Lall Mookerjee Monender Chunder Mookerjee v. Mutty Lall Mookerjee Cor., 57 Chondurat Mookerjee v. Mutty Lall Mookerjee Cor., 57 Chondurat Mookerjee v. Mutty Lall Mookerjee L. L. R., 2 Calc., 336 Cor., 57 Chondurat Mookerjee v. Mutty Lall Mookerjee L. L. R., 2 Calc., 336 Cor., 57 Chondurat Mookerjee v. Mutty Lall Mookerjee L. L. R., 2 Calc., 336 Chondurat Mookerjee v. Mutty Lall Mookerjee L. L. R., 2 Calc., 336 Chondurat Mookerjee v. Mutty Lall Mookerjee L. L. R., 2 Calc., 336 Chondurat Mookerjee v. Mutty Lall Mookerjee L. L. R., 2 Calc., 336 Chondurat Mookerjee v. Mutty Lall Mookerjee L. L. R., 2 Calc., 336 Chondurat Mookerjee v. Moorlee Chondurate v. Urbuck Singh			
Dhondu Mathuradas Naik v. Ramji valad Hanmanta Kakda.			
Dhonender Chunder Mookerjee v. Mutty Lall Mookerjee			2499, 5818
Cor., 57	4 Dom., A. C., 114		
Dhonendro Chunder Mookerjee v. Mutty Loll Mookerjee Cor., 57 6128 3181, 3481 3492 3181 Dhonessur Kooer v. Roy Gooder Sahoy I. L. R., 2 Calc., 336 \$181, 3481 3492 3181 Dhoolee Chund v. Rajroop Kooer 15 W. R., 107 3006	Dhonender Chunder Mookerjee v. Mutty Lall Mookerjee {	14 B. L. R., 276: 23 W. R., 3 6: L. R., 2 I. A., 18.	129, 1946
Dhonessur Kooer v. Roy Gooder Sahoy	Dhonendro Chunder Mookerjee v. Mutty Loll Mookerjee		6128
Dhooka Thakoor v. Ram Lall Sahee 7 W. R., 408 181	Dhonessur Kooer & Roy Gooder Suhov	I L R 2 Cala 336	3181, 3489
Dhoolee Chund v. Rajroop Kooer 15 W. R., 107 3006		7. 31. D. 400	3492
Dhoolhin Hurpaul Koonwaree v. Urbuck Singh 3 Agra, 18 37			
Dhoondh Bahadoor Singh v. Priag Singh Dhoree Roy v. Buldeb Narain Singh W. R., 1864, 345 379		1.0	
Dhoree Roy v. Buldeb Narain Singh W. R., 1864, 345 5394 3795			5753, 5755
Dhume Behara v. Sevenoaks I. L. R., 13 Calc., 80 3795 3296 3425, 4476 4989 5 3296 3425, 4476 3425, 4476 3426, 447	Dhoras Roy & Ruldah Namin Singh		5394
Dhundi v. Ram Lal	Dhumee Behara v. Sevenoaks		
Dhundiram Santukram v. Chanda Nabai 2 Bom., 103 : 2nd Ed., 98 2602		1. L. R., 8 All., 214	
Dhundiram Santukram v. Chanda Nabai 2 Bom., 103 : 2nd Ed., 98 2602	Dhundi v. Ram Lal	7 N. W., 149	
Dhune Ram v. Amanut Hossein	Dhundiram Santukram v. Chanda Nabai	2 Bom., 103: 2nd Ed., 98 .	1
V. Moorlee Dhur 2 Agra, 325 692	Dhunee Ram v. Amanut Hossein	2 Agra, Pt. II, 161	4166
Dhunjeebhoy Byramjee Mehta v. Betham 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 305 596	v. Moorlee Dhur	2 Agra, 325	1 .
Dhunkristo Roy v. Huro Chunder Roy 5 W. R., 197 5789	7)	1 2 Agra. 320	_
Dhunkristo Roy v. Huro Chunder Roy 5 W. R., 197 5789	Dhunjeedhoy Byramjee Menta v. Betham		
Dhunkristo Sein v. Erskine & Co. 16 W. R., 54 3106 Dhunmonee Chowdhrain v. Brindabun Chunder Sircar Chowdry. 7 W. R., 160 3192 Dhun Monee Chowdhrain v. Golam Kasom 23 W. R., 331 4474 Dhun Monee Debee v. Suttoorghun Seal 6 W. R., Act X, 100 4151 Dhunnesh Koeree v. Oolfut Hossein 21 W. R., 219 1882 Dhunno Kazi. In the matter of the petition of 1. L. R., 8 Calc., 121 6260 Dhunnoo v. Damodur Doss 2 N. W., 177 6076 Dhunnoo Chowdhry v. Bukshun 12 W. R., 266 5705 Dhunnoo Sowdagur v. Sunnoo Bibee 15 W. R., 106 404 Dhunookdharee Lall v. Gunput Lall 11 B. L. R., 201, note: 10 2361 Dhunput Singh. In the matter of the petition of 19 W. R., 122. 969	Dhunkristo Roy v. Huro Chunder Roy		1 .
Dhunmonee Chowdhrain v. Brindabun Chunder Sircar Chowdry.	Dhunkristo Sein v. Erskine & Co		, .
Dhun Monee Chowdhrain v. Golam Kasom 23 W. R., 331 4474 5794		1	3192
Dhun Monee Debee v. Suttoorghun Seal 23 W. R., 445 5794	Dhun Monee Chowdhrain v. Golam Kasom	23 W. R., 331	4474
Dhunesh Koeree v. Oolfut Hossein 21 W. R., 219 1882	v. Woomachurn Roy		
Dhunno Kazi. In the matter of the petition of . I. L. R., 8 Calc., 121 . 6260	Dhunash Kassas a Calfut Hannin	01 TIT TO 010	
v. Empress 10 C. L. R., 151 6260 Dhunnoo v. Damodur Doss 2 N. W., 177 6076 Dhunnoo Chowdhry v. Bukshun 12 W. R., 266 5705 Dhunnoo Sowdagur v. Sunnoo Bibee 15 W. R., 106 404 Dhunoo v. Brown 21 W. R., Cr., 25 4508 Dhunookdharee Lall v. Gunput Lall 11 B. L. R., 201, note: 10 W. R., 122 2361 Dhunput Singh. In the matter of the petition of 19 W. R., Cr., 30 969			
Dhunnoo v. Damodur Doss 2 N. W., 177 6076 Dhunnoo Chowdhry v. Bukshun 12 W. R., 266 5705 Dhunno Sowdagur v. Sunnoo Bibee 15 W. R., 106 404 Dhunoo v. Brown 21 W. R., Cr., 25 4508 Dhunookdharee Lall v. Gunput Lall 11 B. L. R., 201, note: 10 W. R., 122 2361 Dhunput Singh. In the matter of the petition of 19 W. R., Cr., 30 969			
Dhunnoo Chowdhry v. Bukshun 12 W. R., 266 5705	Dhunnoo v. Damodur Doss	2 N. W., 177	
Dhunno Sowdagur v. Sunnoo Bibee 15 W. R., 106 404 Dhunoo v. Brown 21 W. R., Cr., 25 4508 Dhunookdharee Lall v. Gunput Lall 11 B. L. R., 201, note: 10 2361 W. R., 122 19 W. R., Cr., 30 969	Dhunnoo Chowdhry v. Bukshun	12 W. R., 266	5705
Dhunookdharee Lall v. Gunput Lall	Dhunno Sowdagur v. Sunnoo Bibee		
Dhunput Singh. In the matter of the petition of . 19 W. R., 122. 19 W. R., Cr., 30			4508
		W. R., 122.	
. v. Doujah Sanco 4 W. K., 53			
	. v. Boojan Saheo	4 w. K., 55	5373

Dhunput Singh v. Denobhundu Saha v. Forbes v. Gooman Singh v. Gooman Singh v. R. 1804, Act X, 61 1.65 1.4 1.4 1.5 1.				
1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 354 568, 4 58	Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Colum of Digest.
1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 354 568, 4 58	Dhunnut Singh v. Denobhundu Saha	•	9 C. L. R., 279	1105
	v. Forbes	•		5614
1. A., 433 3.00 5	v. Gooman Singh	•		1588, 4394
Deliver Deli		{		1586, 1588
Description Second Secon	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_		3067
Description Color	v. Indurchunder Doogur .			5944
	Takani Dom			3319
## 20 W. R., 94	v. Deklaj 100y			4924
## 24 W. R., 72 776, in the control of the contro	v. Narain Pershad Singh .			4560, 4859
v. Sham Soonder Mitter	v. Prem Bibee			776, 558
## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	v. Russomoyee Chowdhrain .	•	10 W. R., 461	4211
L. R., 286. 3 18	v. Sham Soonder Mitter .	{	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 291: 4 C. L. R., 501.	5017
Dhunput Singh Dogare v. Golam Hadee 21 W. R., 387 2 Hyde, 106: Cor., 12 27 2 W. R., 489 6	v. Shoobhudra Kumari	{		1250
Dhunput Singh Dogare v. Golam Hadee 2 Hyde, 106: Cor., 12 270	v. Wooma Sunkuree Goopta .		21 W. R., 337	1881
S W. R., 152 S W. R., 329 S W. R., 329 S W. R., 329 S W. R., 329 S S W. R., 329 S S W. R., 329 S S S S W. R., 23 : 18 W. R., 26 S W. R., 329 S W. R., 320 S W. R., 321 S W. R., 211 S W. R., 211 S W. R., 277 S W. R., 277 S W. R., 277 S W. R., 266 S W. R., 267 S W. R., 2		•		2706
Dhunput Singh Roy v. Mudhomottee Debia	Dhunput Singh Doogur v. Government	•		670
Dhunput Singh Roy v. Mudhomottee Debia	v. Jowahur All	•		1813
13 B. L. R., 153, note: 15 W. R., 211. 17 W. R., 277 18 W. R., 211. 17 W. R., 277 19 W. R., 278 19 W. R., 188: Ed. 1873, 204. 28 B. L. R., 40 C., 76 19 W. R., 204. 28 W. R., 188: Ed. 1873, 204. 29 W. R., 1864, Act X, 25 41 W. R., Act X, 43 1610. 18 W. R., 25 41 W. R., Act X, 43 1610. 18 W. R., 25 41 W. R., Act X, 43 1610. 18 W. R., 189. 20 W. R., 400 29 W. R., 415 40 W. R		{	11 B. L. R., 23: 18 W. R.,	556 3515
Dhunputty Koer v. Lloyd 17 W. R., 277 32: 27 27 28 27 27 28 27 27		ξ	13 B. L. R., 153, note: 15 W. ?	5337
Dhun Rae v. Ramphul Rae 2 N. W., 109 15 B. L. R., 296 1		4		3286
Dhunraj v. Broughton 18 L. R., O. C., 76 28 296 19 204 204 204 204 204 204 204 204 204 204 205 205 206 207	Dhun Ragar Ramphul Rag	•		2772
1 B. L. R., O. C., 76 28 3790, 204.				128
1 N. W., 118: Ed. 1873, 204. 204.			1 B. L. R., O. C., 76	2818
2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 27 3 Agra, 300: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 155.	v. Secretary of State for India	{	1 N. W., 118: Ed. 1873, ?	3790, 442
Dhunraj Kooeree v. Roodur Pertab Singh Ed. 1874, 155. Dhunraj Koonwar v. Ooggur Narain Koonwar Dhun Singh v. Chunder Kant Mookerjee W. R., 1864, Act X, 25 414 Dhun Singh Roy v. Chunder Kant Mookerjee W. R., 1864, Act X, 43 1610, 1 Dhunsook Doss v. Hurry Baboo Bourke, O. C., 115 L. R., 9 Calc., 53: 11 C.	Ohunraj Giri Goswami v. Sripati Giri Goswami .			683, 449
Dhun Singh v. Chunder Kant Mookerjee W. R., 1864, Act X, 25 365		{		81
V Ram Suhai	Ohunraj Koonwar v. Ooggur Narain Koonwar .			4148
Dhun Singh Roy v. Chunder Kant Mookerjee				4149
Bourke, O. C., 115 752, 52				3658
Dhunum Kazee. In the matter of	Thun Singh Roy v. Chunder Kant Mookerjee	•		752, 513]
Dhunundro Chunder Mookerjee v. Laidlay			I. L. R. 9 Calc., 53 · 11 C. 2	
Dhunundro Chunder Mookerjee v. Laidlay	Ohunum Kazee. In the matter of	3	L. R., 169.	6164
17 W. R., 514 957, 957, 10 Bom., 4	Ohunundro Chunder Mookerjee v. Laidlay		20 W. R., 400	2996
Dhurandhardas Sakharam v. Bhau Govind	Ohununjoy Ghose v. Bemal Dhara Bagdee			4759
Dhurn Chem		•		957, 958
Dhurm Chand Sreemul v. Hurrish Chunder Doobey Dhurm Chand Shatea v. Rajmohishee Debee Sw. R., 145 Sw. R.,		-		4522 542
Dhurm Chund Shatea v. Rajmohishee Debee 5 W. R., 145 234 321, 2 236	Ohurn Chand Success of Humich Chandes Docher	.		4615
231, 2 236 247 247 248 2	hurm Chund Shates a Raimchighes Dahes		5 W. R. 145	2342
I. A., 229. 236 Ohurmdeo Narain Singh v. Nund Lall Singh 6 N. W., 257 476 Ohurm Narain Singh v. Bundhoo Ram 12 W. R., 75 12 W. R., 75 1515, 555 Ohurmo Narain Sahu v. Dassee 18 W. R., 440 136 Ohurmo Roy v. Muddoosoodun Prosad Chowdhry W. R., 1864, Act X, 117 387 Ohurmo Roy v. Arm Bull 18 W. R., 4 Calc., 380 2 C. 266	_	d	6 W. R., P. C., 43: 3 Moore's (321, 2141
Dhurmdeo Narain Singh v. Nund Lall Singh 6 N. W., 257 476 383		1		2361
12 W. R., 75 383	Dhurmdeo Narain Singh v. Nund Lall Singh .		6 N. W., 257	4767
Dhurmo Narain Sahu v. Dassee	Ohurm Narain Singh v. Bundhoo Ram	.		3833
Dhurm Roy v. Muddoosoodun Prosad Chowdhry . W. R., 1864, Act X, 117 . September 18 . L. R., 4 Calc., 380: 2 C.	Dhurmo Doss Koondoo v. Koylash Kaminee Dossia	•		1515, 5746
[] I. L. R., 4 Calc., 380: 2 C.]		•	W R 1864 Act X 117	3874
Dhuronidhur Sen v. Agra Bank.			I. L. R., 4 Calc., 380: 2 C. 2	
7 L. R., 283 : 3 C. L. R., 421. 1	Dhuronidhur Sen v. Agra Bank	31	L. R., 283: 3 C. L. R., 421.	26 0 9

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Dhuronidhur Sen v. Agra Bank	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 86: 4 C. L. R., 434.	2610, 3269
Dhurony Mojoomdar v. Bissambhur Mookerjee	2 W. R., Act X, 103 6 N. W., 296	5080 443
Dhurrum Singh v. Kissen Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 767: 9 C. L.	110, 111
Dhurum Rawoot v. Ram Nath Sahoo	R., 410. 2 Hay, 585 1 W. R., 103 2 Agra, 108 1. L. R., 6 All., 438 10 C. L. R., 14	2751 3389, 4470 5626 3483 5165
Dibeah v. Koond Luta	7 W. B., P. C., 44: 4 Moore's	2280
Dick v. Heseltine Digambur Chatterjee v. Ram Roodro Gungopadhya Digamburee Dabee v. Nundgopal Banerjee Digamburee Debia v. Eshan Chunder Sein v. Saroda Pershad Roy v. Sharoda Pershad Roy Digamburee Dossee v. Poornanand Dey Digambur Mitter v. Huropershad Roy Chowdhry	1 N. W., 196: Ed. 1873, 280 13 W. R., 39 1 W. R., Mis., 1 15 W. R., 372 3 W. R., Mis., 27 5 W. R., Mis., 46 7 W. R., 401 7 W. R., 126	524 1209 1074 1144, 5439 3204 1144 179 2975
Digdayi v. Bhatan Lal	5 B. L. R., 448, note: 11 W. R., 500.	2286
Digumburee Dabee. In the matter of the petition of Digumburee Dossee v . Baneemadhub Ghose	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 938. 15 W. R., 155	11 44 1393, 41 32
Digumber Roy Chowdhry v. Moti Lal Bundopadhya	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 563: 12 C.	22 90
Dijahur Dutt. In the matter of the petition of Dikshit v. Dikshit Dila Kuari v. Jagar Nath Kuari Dila Mondul v. Kally Sahee Dilbassee Koonwaree Mothee v. Gunga Pershad Dildar Hossein v. Mujeedunnissa Dildur v. Issur Chunder Roy Dilloo Singh v. Ootim Singh Dinabandhu v. Lokanadhasami Dinabandhu Chowdry v. Rajmohini Chowdrain Dinanath Abaji v. Sadashiv Hari Madhave	L. R., 204. I. L. R., 4 Calc., 647 2 Bom., 4 I. L. R., 6 All., 17 16 W. R., Cr., 63 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 278 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 629 21 W. R., 36 22 W. R., Cr., 9 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 322 8 B. L. R., Ap., 32 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 9 7 B. L. R., Ap., 45, note: 14	1305 5748 4545 1853 4956 784 583 4735 3025 1245 2842
Dinanath Das v. Gugan Chandra Sen	W. R., 274.	1626
Dinanath Mookerjee v. Debnath Mullick	5 B. L. R., Ap., 1:13 W. R., 307.	1775, 5696
Dindayal Paramanik v. Radha Kishori Debi .	8 B. L. R., 536: 17 W. R., 415	55 0
v. Surendranath Roy	3 B. L. R., A. C., 78, note: 10 W. R., 77.	320, 2932
Dindayal Shaha v. Ganesh Mahatun	6 B. L. R., 561, note: 12 W. R., 528, note.	3978
Dindoyal Poramanick v. Pran Kishen Paul Chowdhry {	Marsh., 394: W. R., F. B., 117: 2 Hay, 423.	6175
Dindyal Lall v. Ramruttun Neoghee Dindyal Paramanick v. Dino Bundhoo Chowdry Dinendra Nath Sannyal v. Chandra Kishore Munshi	16 W. R., 46	3779 494 6034
Dinendronath Sannial v. Ramkumar Ghose	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 107: 10 C. L. R., 281: L. R., 8 I. A., 65.	444, 5378
Dinendro Nath Shanial. In the matter of the petition of Dinkar Sitaram v. Ganesh Shivram	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 851 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 505	3622 2124

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Dinkishen Shatrah v. Gungadhur Mookerjee Dinkur Doyal v. Sheo Golam Singh Din Muhammad. In the matter of the petition of Dinobundhoo Chowdhry v. Dinonath Mookerjee v. Rajmohine Chowdry v. Rajmohini Chowdhrain Dinobundhoo Chutteraj v. Beharee Lal Mookerjee Dinobundhoo Dey v. Ramdhone Roy Dinobundhoo Ghose v. Drobomoyee Dossia Dinobundhoo Nundee v. Keshub Chunder Ghose Dinobundhoo Nundee v. Keshub Chunder Ghose Dinobundhoo Singh v. Macnaghten Dinobundhoo Singh v. Macnaghten Dinobundhoo Suhaye v. Court of Wards v. Furlong Dinomonee Banerjee v. Gyrutoollah Khan Dinomonee Banerjee v. Gyrutoollah Khan Dinomoney Dabea v. Doorgapersad Mozoomdar Lino Moyee Chowdhrain v. Rehling Dinomoyi Dabia Chowdhrain v. Anungo Moyi Dinomoyi Dabia Chowdhrain v. Anungo Moyi Dinomoyi Debi v. Roy Luchmiput Singh Dinonath Addy v. Weller Dino Nath Burooa. In the matter of Dinonath Butobyal v. Womachurn Roy Dino Nath Chuckerbutty v. Hurgobind Pal	2 Hay, 582	2488 4028, 5013 3648, 3741 1181, 3808 671, 2411 1245 194, 5729 5174 1177 3836 5440 3778 4457, 5983 1810, 4174 1186 6007 3117 2145 5041 1770, 3207 5708 1892 2924, 2925 1622
Dino Nath Das v. Bonomali Chatterji	R., 278. I. L. R., 7 Calc., 753: 10 C. L. R., 129. 7 W. R., Cr., 47 7 C. L. R., 138 14 W. R., 429	4838 977, 6260 1186 4814
Dinonath Mukerji v. Gopal Chunder Mukerji Dinonath Mullick. In the matter of the petition of v. Girija Prosonno Mookerjee Dinonath Panday v. Roghoonath Panday Dino Nath Rana v. Rubeebunnissa Bibee	8 C. L. R., 57: 9 C. L. R., 379. I. L. R., 12 Calc., 133 . I. L. R., 12 Calc., 133 . 5 W. R., Act X, 41 . 20 W. R., 270 .	1500, 2139 2289 4721 4721 3158 3366
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	14 B. L. R., 287: 21 W. R., 310. 2 Hay, 395. 18 W. R., 348 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 880: 11 C	842 4715 1810 4671
Dintarini Debi. In the matter of the petition of . v. Doibo Chunder Roy	L. R., 190. 1. L. R., 8 Calc., 880: 11 C. L. R., 190.	4671
Dipchand v. Gokaldas Dip Narain Rai v. Dipan Rai Dipsangji Jitsangji v. Fattesangji Jasvat Sangji Dirgaj Singh v. Debi Singh Dirganj Singh v. Foorsut Dirgopal Lall v. Bolakee Dirgpal Rai v. Bhondo Rai Dirg Paul Singh A. Gainda Koonwar Dissent v. Justices of the Peace for the Town of Calcutta. Ditta Harakman Singh. In re	I. R., 4 Bom., 363 I. L. R., 8 All., 185 5 Bom., A. C., 59 I. L. R., 1 All., 499 1 N. W., 99: Ed. 1873, 144 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 269 2 Agra, 341 1 Agra, Mis., 13 5 B. L. R., Ap., 24: 20 W. R., 349, note. 3 B. L. R., F. B., 45	1520 2731 4339 4003 5194 4482 1163 676 5721 447, 5536

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Dittia Hurruckman Singh v. Modhoosoodun Pyne. In	12 W. R., F. B., 16	447, 5536
the matter of. Divethi Varada Ayyangar v. Krishnasami Ayyangar Diwali v. Apaji Ganesh Diwan Singh v. Bharat Singh	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 117 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 342 I. L. R., 3 All., 206	1775, 4816 412 5273
Docowri Kazi. In the matter of the petition of .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 517: 6	5917
Dods v. Stewart	W. R., Act X, 53. 8 B. L. R., 340 : 17 W. R., 49	731, 1221
Doe d. Kullammal v. Kuppu Pillai	1 Mad., 85 {	2300, 2509 3233, 4458 5812
Doe d. Seeb Kristo v. East India Company Doibo Chunder Roy v. Woomamoyee Debia Dokhina Kally Debee v. Deno Nath Roy Chowdhry Dolee Chand v. Goor Dyal Singh	6 Moore's I. A., 267 19 W. R., 321	35, 2212 5761 475 3304
Dole Gobind Goopto v. Batoo alias Kisto Chunder Chuckerbutty.	22 W. R., 405	4229
Dole Gobind Singh v. Chamoo Singh Dol Gobindmony Debia v. Imdad Ali Doli Sati v. Ikram Ali	10 W. R., 312 5 W. R., 170	1756 537 7 1179
Dolsang Bhavsang v. Collector of Kaira Doma Roy v. Mellon	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 467 20 W. R., 416	2892 1648
Doma Sahu v. Nathai Khan	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 50 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 32	4012 99
Dom Kumar v. Soorjo Dutt Surmah	22 W. R., 259	5668 1194
4 Sudunkaslah	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 401 1 B. L. R., S. N., 25: 10 W.	3156, 5187
Domun Lall v. Pudmun Singh	R., 253. W. R., 1864, Act X, 129	4212
Domun Singh v. Bhuggobutty Debca	8 W. R., 215	4141
v. Kaseeram	5 W. R., P. C., 39: 1 Moore's I. A., 366.	1145
Donnelly. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 405 .	5150, 515 7 5158
Donunjoy Dey v. Dwarka Nath Singh Donunle v. Kodornoth Charles best better	5 W. R., 280	144 1681
Donzelle v. Kadernath Chuckerbutty	7 B. L. R., 720: 16 W. R., 186 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 51	4917
v. Tek Narain Singh	2 W. R., Act X, 103	2981 583
Donzelli v. Tekan Nodaf	2 C. L. R., 558	52 43
Doobni Unimai a Amanana	Ed. 1874, 172.	1292
Dookeeram Sircar v. Gowhur Mundul	10 W. R., 307	1188
Dookhchore Rai v. Hidayutoollah	20 W. R., 110	4829 3890, 3958
Dookhee Khan v. Rajessuree Ranee	15 W. R., 371	5705
Doolab Sircar v . Kristo Coomar Bukshee {	3 B. L. R., A. C., 407: 2 W. R., 303.	1706
Doolal Bibee v. Nada Shaha	13 W. R. 446	4823
Doolal Chunder Deb v. Goluck Monee Debia Doolal Chunder Dehimgur Gossamey v. Binaud Chunder alias Soda Shobhun Chunder Dehimgur Gossamey.	22 W. R., 360	5395 2 915
Doolar Chand Sahoo v. Lalla Bisheshur Dyal .	L. R., 6 I. A., 47: 3 C. L. R., }	5306
v. Lalla Chabeel Chand . {	561. L. R., 6 I. A., 47 : 3 C. L. R., 3	5306
v. Ram Sahoy Bhuggut	561. 3 24 W. R., 139	1365

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Doolar Chunder v. Damoodur Narain	3 W. R., 162	5358 4628 4258 4027
Doolee Chund v. Nirban Singh	R., 375. 20 W. R., 62 18 W. R., 473 24 W. R., 263 18 W. R., 238	5582
Doolhun Jankee Kooer v. Lall Beharee Roy Dooli Chand v. Birj Bhookun Lal Awasti Doollee Chand v. Wooma Sunkur Purshad	2 Agra, 50	1419, 3351 411, 2082 2214 2153
Doolubdass Pettamberdass v. Ramloll Thackoorseydass. Doolun Singh v. Torul Narain Singh. Dooly Chand v. Nirban Singh. Dooma Sahoo v. Joonarain Lall. Doomun v. Stevens.	4 W. R., Mis., 3	94 497
Doomun v. Stevens	17 W. R., 90 2 W. R., 12 21 W. R., 37 10 W. R., 439	464 4166 1540 3770 2921, 6246
Doorbijoy Mahtoon v. Prithee Narain Singh Doorga Charn Das v. Soshi Bhusan Guho Doorga Chunder Doss v. Mahomed Abbas Bhooyan Doorga Churn v. Kassy Chunder Moitree v. Koonjbeharee Pandey	14 W. R., 30	533 7 292 6 3800 2515, 499 2
Doorga Churn Bhuttacharjee v. Shoshee Bhoosun Mitter Doorga Churn Chatterjee v. Aushutosh Dutt v. Goluck Chunder Biswas	24 W. R., 70 23 W. R., 228	3055, 4586 2018 2604 1636 4571
Doorga Churn Dhur v. Kally Coomar Sen	R., 375.	878 3800 433 7
v. Nittokally Dossee Doorgachurn Dutt v. Kadumbinee Dossee Doorga Churn Giree. In the matter of Doorga Churn Mitter v. Hurse Mohun Gooho Doorga Churn Nittokally Dosseth For Chardham	R., 120.	5752 1988 417, 433 5622
Doorga Churn Nundee v. Debnath Roy Chowdhry Doorga Churn Paharee v. Chutoorbhooj Doss Doorga Churn Paul v. Pearee Mohun Doorga Churn Roy v. Dino Moyee Debia Doorga Churn Sett v. Shamanund Gossain	5 W. R., 200 9 W. R., 283 6 W. R., Mis., 14 12 W. R., 376	4027 4561 3132 879, 5755
Doorga Churn Shaha v. Ramnarain Doss	10 B. L. R., 327, note: 13 W. R., 172. 11 W. R., 23	2่ง89 5928
Doorga Churn Surma Chowdhry v. Najunooddeen Doorga Churn Surma Chowdhry v. Najunooddeen	46. 21 W. R., 397	1182 4212, 5330
Doorga Churn Surmah Chowdhry v. Neem Chand Sur- mah Chowdhry. Doorgachurn Thakoor v. Kallydoss Hazrah Doorga Dabce v. Issur Chunder Chatterjee.	24 W. R., 208	1755 375, 1452 4014

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Doorgadass Dutt. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 511:6 W. R., Mis., 65: 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 401.	5863
Doorga Dayee v. Poorun Dayee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 128: 5 W.	25]1
Doorgadoss Chatterjee v. Gooroo Churn Mistree	R., 141. 6 W. R., Act X, 81	3556
v. Nobin Mohun Ghosal	6 W. R., Act X, 63	547
Doorga Doss Chowdry v. Ramanauth Chowdry	8 Moore's I. A., 262 .	4639
Doorgadass Doss. In the matter of . Doorga Doss Roy Chowdhry v. Nurendro Coomar Dutt Chowdhry.	6 W. R., 232	3846 1736
Doorgadoss Sandyal v. Panchoo Ram Mundul	23 W. R., 271	5925
Doorga Dutt Singh v. Bunwaree Lall Sahoo	19 W. R., 34	2706
v. Jheengoor Jha	25 W. R., 33 18 W. R., 63	3779 781
v. Kalika Sookul	7 W. R., 46	777, 3210
Doorgakant Lahoory v. Radha Mohun Gooho Neogy	7 W. R., 51	4300
Doorgakant Mozoomdar v. Bisheshur Dutt Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, Act X, 44	330, 2927 2931, 2983
Doorga Koonwar v. Tejoo Koonwar	5 W. R., Mis., 53	2491
Doorga Kripa Roy v. Janoo Lathak	18 W. R., 465	3032 9979
Doorga Lall v. Lalla Hulwant Sahoy	15 W. R., 242 25 W. R., 306	2878 1167
Doorga Mahtoon v. Kanhye Lall Ajha	Marsh., 371: 2 Hay, 422	6109
Doorga Monee Dossee v. Benode Monee Dossee .	W. R., 1864, 164 .	5915 .
Doorga Moyee Dossea v. Kassissur Debea Chowdhrain	2 W. R., 266 4 W. R., Act X, 20	3330 1589
Doorga Narain Bose v. Brojo Kishore Ghose .	23 W. R., 172	2738
Doorganarain Sen v. Baney Madhab Mozumdar .	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 199	4601, 6139
v. Ram Lall Chhutar	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 330 .	578, 584 5965
Doorganath Roy v. Ram Chunder Sen	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 341, L. R., 4 I. A., 52.	2253
Doorganath Roy Chowdhry v. Roy Kalee Narain Roy	24 W. R., 212	4880
Doorganath Shah v. Kazim Fakir Doorganath Shoor v. Sookhmoy Biswas	9 W. R., 348	1653 512 1
Doorgapersad Bose v. Sreekisto Moonshee	2 W. R., 61, 62 W. R., 1864, Act X, 48	5304
v. Waters	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 191 .	2813
Doorga Persad Singh v. Doorga Konwari	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 190: 3 C. L.	1406, 2327
Doorgapersaud Mitter. In re	R., 31: L. R., 5, I. A., 149. Bourke, O. C., 59	5010 4 30, 5897
Doorgapersaud Roy Chowdhry v. Tarapersaud Roy Chowdhry.	4 W. R., P. C., 63: 8 Moore's 7 I. A., 308.	3122
Doorga Pershad v. Budree	6 N. W., 189	2838
v. Doochur Pershad	3 Agra, 188 13 B. L. R., 235: 21 W. R.,	2297, 3019 2448
v. Sheoraj Singh	214 : L. R., 1 I. A., 55. 5 N. W., 222	3869, 4937
v. Zorawur	2 N. W., 75	2004
Doorgapershad Chowdhry v. Rajendur Narain Roy	2 Hay, 121	5346
Doorga Pershad Doss v. Kalee Kinkur Roy v. Sheo Proshad Pandah	5 W. R., Act X, 88 7 C. L. R., 278	2930 2241
Doorga Pershad Mohapattur v. Radhamohun Mytee	15 W. R., 536	5172
Doorgapershad Pal Chowdhry v. Jogeshprokash Gongo- padhya.	4 W. R., Act X, 38	5337
Doorga Pershad Roy Chowdry v. Tara Pershad Roy Chowdhry.	3 W. R., P. C., 11: 10 Moore's I. A., 203.	3877

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Doorgapershad Singh v. Doorga Kooeree	20 W. R., 154 13 W. R., 10: 9 B. L. R., 306, note. 1 N. W., 34: Ed. 1873, 31 21 W. R., 145 I. L. R., 2 Calc., 474 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 96: 2 C. L. R., 371. 9 C. L. R., 479 3 C. L. R., 549 11 W. R., 134 8 W. R., 72 8 W. R., 72 8 W. R., 475 14 W. R., 212 8 W. R., 101 10 W. R., 391 8 W. R., 101 8 W. R., 391 8 W. R., 101	2034, 2327 324 796 1732 1187, 1583 1185, 1187 1231 5036 321, 3246, 3265 1636 5314 5922, 5930 3835 1480
Doorga Sundari Dossee v. Surendra Keshav Rai Doorga Tewaree v. Naipal Aheer Doorhyar Roy v. Dulsingar Singh Doorjodhun Doss v. Chooya Daye Doorjun v. Chaina Doorjun Singh v. Shibba	8 W. R., 101 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 686 2 N. W., 224 12 W. R., 367 1 W. R., 322 2 N. W., 43 3 N. W., 171	4946 2137 1396 2199, 5688 6040 4470 3283, 4494
Dooteeram Surma Dooree v. Luckeekant Gossamee .	12 W. R., 425	4500 2243
Dorab Ally Khan v. Abdool Azeez	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 229: 3 C. L. R., 358. I. L. R., 6 Calc., 356 . L. R., 5 I. A., 116: 2 C. L. R., 529.	1219 5491 5491
v. Executors of Moheeooddeen v. Moheeooddeen	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 806 I. L. R., 1 Calc., 55: 24 W.	5490
Dorappa v. Kundikuri Mallikarjunudu Dorasami Vajappavar v. Atiratra Dikshatar Dori Lal v. Umed Singh Dosabhai Kavasji v. Kherbadji Hormasji Dosibai v. Ishvardas Jagjivandas Dossee v. Fagan v. Pelaram Dossee v. Pitambur Pundah v. Ram Nidhee Koondoo v. Sheebanee Dabia v. Sreenibash Dey	R., 372. 3 Mad., 363 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 136 I. L. R., 6 All., 164 7 Bom., O. C., 180 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 561 2 Hay, 75 15 W. R., 261 21 W. R., 332 15 W. R., 183 5 W. R., 123 12 W. R., 74	5490 \$976 2405 4834 5720, 5837 2054 5358 1742 4437 4208 3255 5921, 5926
Dossee Monee Dossee v. Ram Chand Mohur Doss Monee Dossee v. Huronath Roy .	Bourke, A. O. C., 48: 3 W. R., Mis., 7, note. 7 W. R., 249 4 W. R., 2	1947, 2145 6094 2351 5074
Doss Money Dossee v. Jonmenjoy Mullick .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 363:1 C. L. R., 446.	3893, 5018
v. Prosonomoye Dossee Doss Ram Doss v. Mohendro Roy Decha Dost Mahomed Khan Chowdhry v. Soolochana Dabia Dost Muhammad v. Sanjad Ahmad Doucett v. Wise	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 18	2136, 2542 6097, 6112 1736 1122 183, 6227

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Doucett v. Wise v v v v Douglas v. Collector of Benares . Doulatram v. Tara	3 W. R., 157 4 W. R., Mis., 7 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 94 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 227 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 357 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 280 5 Moore's I. A., 271 1 Agra, 12	6152 6022 6020 6020 909 3090, 3957 5362 1163
Dowar Rai v. Boonda Dowlatram Harji v. Vitho Radhoji Dowlat Singh. In the matter of . Dowlat v. Netram .	Agra, F. B., 57: Ed. 1874, 43 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 188 6 C. L. R., 273 3 N. W., 42 12 B. L. R., 485, note: 15 W. ?	2482 5847 1288 4549
Dowlut Gazi Chowdhry v. Munwar	R., 341.	5411
Dowlet Knatoon v. Ali Jan	2 Agra, 59 14 B. L. R., 246, note: 22	3729
Dowlet Kooer v. Burmadeo Sahoy	W. R., 54.	2306
Dowlut Mundur v. Omrao Singh Rana Dowlut Rai v. Gya Misser. Dowlut Singh v. Kedar Singh Downes v. Richmond Doyal Chand Laha v. Bhoyrubnath Khettry	14 W. R., 336 2 Agra, 95 3 Agra, 25 1. L. R., 5 All., 258 Cor., 117	5589 53 7 455 7 2 655 3053
Doyal Chunder Ghose v. Dwarkanath Misser	Marsh., 148: W. F. B., 47:1 Ind. Jur., S., 41:	2914, 6221
Doyal Chund Mullick v. Keramut Ali .	1 Hay, 347. 12 W. R., 382	113
$oldsymbol{v}_ullet$	16 W. R., 116	3385, 3661
Doyal Mistree v. Kupoor Chund	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 318: 3 C.	3666, 3669 762, 5706
Doyamoyee Chowdhrain v. Mohima Chunder Roy.	L. R., 482. 12 W. R., 137 2 Hay, 220	1633 1594
Doyamoyee Chowdrainee v . Bhola Nath Ghose .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 592: 6	550
Doyamoyee Dabee v. Nilmonee Chuckerbutty	W. R., Act X, 77. 25 W. R., 70	3537
Doyamoyee Dossee v. Eshur Chunder Mutty Loll .	1 W. R., 89	3344
v. Luchmee Narain Shaha	1 W. R., 203 7 W. R., 457	877 3427
Doyanidhi Panda v. Kelai Panda Doyaram Luskuree v. Sookhanum	11 C. L. R., 395	4466
Doyle v. Allum Biswas	4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 1 .	4327 3201, 3317
v. Dwarkanath Chatterjee v. Edoo Gazee	8 W. R., 89	1357
v. Khooseeal Khan .	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 13	3201, 3317 3317
v. Khosal Mundle v. Mundaree Mundul .	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 8	5117
Doyne v. Khoodee Ram Mundul	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 10 5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 16	1376, 58 26 1692
Drabamayi Guptia v. Tarracharan Sen	7 B. L. R., 207: 16 W. R., 17	561, 585
Drapu Chowdhry v. Ishan Chunder Das	9 C. L. R., 231	758 6088
Drig Bijai Sing v. Gopal Dat Panday	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 218: 6 C. L.	4227
Drobo Misser v. Sreenebash Misser	R., 146 : L. R., 7 I. A., 17. }	2250
Drobo Moyee v. Tarachand Pal Drobomoyee Chowdhrain v. Shama Churn Chowdhry	18 W. R., 459	2343
Drobomoyee Dabee v. Bipin Mundul	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 246 10 W. R., 6	2144 5933
Drobo Moyee Dossee v. Juggessur Hati	1 W. R., 75	3746

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Drobo Moyee Gosmanee v. Dhurmo Doss Koondoo Drury v. Haradhun Bhuttacharjee Dubey Sahai v. Ganeshi Lal Dubo Misser v. Srinibas Misser . Dudsar Bibee v. Shakir Burkundaz Duff v. Fisher v. Sowdagur Sahoo Jotedar Dugappa Chetti v. Vidhia Purna Tirthasami Dugappa Sheti v. Venkatramnaya	10 W. R., 197 3 W. R., Mis., 8 I. L. R., 1 All., 34 5 B. L. R., 617 15 W. R., 168 8 B. L. R., Ap., 10 13 W. R., 255 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 263 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 493	1764 420 3152 2250 4985, 5003 4072 1646 2983 1470
Dukharam Bharti v. Luchmun Bharti Dukheena Mohun Roy v. Ameerooddeen Mahomed Dukhina Dossee v. Rash Beharee Mozoomdar	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 954 C. L. R., 49. 12 W. R., 247 6 W. R., 221	675 4250 2333
Dukhina Mohun Roy v. Kurreemoollah Dukhi Sahu v. Mahomed Bikhu	12 W. R., 243 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 284 : 13 C. L. R., 445.	1608 3303, 4746
Dukhoo v. Chundro Kant Chowdhry Dukhun Pahan. In the matter of the petition of Dulabh Vahuji v. Bansidhar Rai Dula Faqueer v. Bhagirat Sircar Dulali Bewa v. Bhuban Shaha Dular Chand v. Balram Das Dular Dat Rai v. Nijabat Hosein Dulari v. Mohan Singh Dulbood Singh v. Mahadeo Dutt Duleep Singh v. Sreekishoon Panday Dulia Kasam v. Abramji Sale Duli Chand. In the matter of the appeal of v. Dhondi v. Meher Chand Sahu v. Monohur Lall Upadhya	3 W. R., Cr., 68 24 W. R., Cr., 32 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 111 6 C. L. R., 276 3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 53 I. L. R., 1 All., 453 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 536 I. L. R., 3 All., 759 2 W. R., 10 4 N. W., 83 8 Bom., A. C., 11 9 B. L. R., 190 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 184 12 B. L. R., 439 2 C. L. R., 18 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 88: 11 C. L.	1671 969 3297, 4998 3617 977 4278 253 5432 3727 2153, 2943 611 497 6233 563 1664, 4860 569
v. Ramkishen Singh Duljeet Gorain v. Rewal Gorain Dullabhdas Devchand v. Lakshmandas Sarupchand Dullabh Jogi v. Narayan Lakhu Dullabh Shivlal v. Hope	R., 326. I. L. R., 7 Calc., 648 22 W. R., 435 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 88 4 Bom., A. C., 110 8 Bom., A. C., 213 3 B. L. R., A. C., 407: 12	1119, 5323 3826 3931 4975 5878
Dullab Sirkar v. Krishna Kumar Bakshi Dulli v. Bahadur v.	W. R., 303. 7 N. W., 55 7 N. W., 57	5379, 6133 3895 2701
Dulli Chand v. Meher Chand Sahoo	8 W. R., 138	528, 562 563, 6173
Dulloo v. Zainah Bebee Duloon Koonwar v. Sungum Singh Dulsook Rattachand v. Chugon Narrun	16 W. R., Cr., 17 7 W. R., 311 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 356 5 B. L. R., Ap., 44: 13 W.	5561 414 3531
Dumaine v. Uttam Singh	R., 462. 4 B. L. R., A. C., 27, note 13 W. R., 398	324 3887 6015
Dumree Shaikh v. Bissessur Lall Duncan. In the goods of Dundaya v. Chenbasapa Dunne v. Ameeroonissa Khatoon	13 W. R., 291 1 B. L. R., O. C., 3 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 427 13 W. R., 41	5198 3084 4842 283
v. Hem Chandra Chowdhry	4 B. L. R., F. B., 46: 12 W. R., Cr., 60.	4732

ma .	I.	
Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Dunne v. Legge Dunput Singh Bahadoor v. Rajessuree Dunput Singh Doogar v. Jugut Indur Bunwaree Gobind Deb.	1 Agra, 38	3769 1918 2577
Durand v. Durand	14 W. R., 416 2 B. L. R., A. C., 246	1545 3560
Durban Fakeer v. Nabinchandra Mazumdar .	1 B. L. R., S. N., 16: 10 W. R., 217.	6000
Durga v. Haidar Ali	I. L. R., 7 All., 167	3354
v. Jhinguri	I. L. R., 7 All., 511 . }	1699, 2862 5202
v. Mangal	7 N. W., 35	3283
Durga Bibee v. Janaki Pershad	10 B. L. R., 341 : 18 W. R., 331.	2289
Durga Bibi v. Chanchal Ram Durga Charan. In the matter of Durga Charan Das v. Sashi Bhusan Guho Durga Charan Mazumdar v. Mahomed Abbas Bhuya	I. L. R., 4 All., 81 I. L. R., 7 All., 290 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 275 6 B. L. R., 361	2251 4445 2926 3800 5928
Durga Churn Shaha a Nilmanay Daga	2 B. L. R., A. C., 165 I. L. R., 10 Calc , 134 : 13 C.	3855
Durga Churn Shaha v. Nilmoney Dass Durgadasi Dabi v. Judunauth Mookerjee	L. R., 369. 2 B. L. R., Ap., 26	680
Durga Narsin Misser v. Goburdhun Ghose	7	578, 583
Durgapersad v. Keshopersad Singh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 656: 11 0	2914, 625965 113 472 205, 3661
Durgapersaud Mitter. In re	Bourke, O. C., 59	430, 5897
Durgapershad v. Asa Jolaha	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 925: 6 C. L. R., 487.	5676
Durga Pershad v. Ghosita Goria Durga Pillai v. Srinivasa Pillai Durga Prasad. In the matter of the petition of v. Asa Ram v. Baldeo	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 284 . 3 Mad., 71 I. L. R., 4 All., 154	9, 3351 304 5947 3172 1108 316
v. Munsi	I. L. R., 6 All., 423 I. L. R., 1 All., 591	3720 4415
v. Ram Charan	I. L. R., 2 All., 785	162
v. Shambhu Nath	I. L. R., 8 All., 86 7 B. L. R., 159: 15 W. R.,)	3433, 3949
Durga Prasad Misser v. Brindabun Sookul [274.	53
Durga Prasad Singh v . Durga Kunwari $\{$	9 B. L. R., 306, note: 13 } W. R., 10.	2328
Durgaprosonno Ghose v. Kalidas Dut Durgaram Moniram v. Shripati	9 C. L. R., 449	5175, 5318 1517
Durgaram Roy v. Narsing Deb	2 B. L. R., A. C., 254 . {	321, 3246, 3265
Durga Singh v. Mathura Das	I. L. R., 6 All., 460	4851
Durga Sundari v. Brindabun Chandra Sirkar Chowdry .	2 B. L. R., Ap., 37: 11 W. }	5196
Durga Sundari Dasi v. Umdatannissa	9 B. L. R., 101: 18 W. R., 3	1591
Durga Sundari Devi v. Govinda Chundra Addy Dur Gopal Singh v. Kasheeram Panday Duriao Roy v. Doolla Roy Duriao Singh v. Uma Proshad	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 368 3 W. R., 3	222, 5440 3212 3505 4491
1		

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Durjan Mahton v. Wajid Hossein	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 135	3019, 3045
Durjan Singh v. Sibia	7 N. W., 329 }	5220, 5663 5685
Durpo Narain Mahatah v. Nuleeta Soonduree Doss .	11 W. R., 332	3911
Durryao Singh v. Dari Singh	13 B. L. R., 165 : L. R., 1 I. A., 1.	2221
Durshun Lall Sahoo v. Ashmutoonissa	19 W. R., 94	3173
Durshun Pandey v. Saminah Bebee	1 W. R., 114	5778
Dursun Bhugut v. Mahomed Ali	13 W. R., 438	5931
Dursun Lall Pandey v. Indur Chunder	6 W. R., 81	6127 6104
Dursun Sahoo v. Pryag Ram	7 Mad., 47	2372
T) 3/ 1 (0' 1	2 Agra, 163	1811
Durya v. Mohur Singh Duryao Singh v. Karun Singh	l Agra, 31	322
Dustur Husang Jamasji v. Fell	6 Bom., Cr., 30	4494
Dutt v. Cornelius	5 B. L. R., Ap., 79	5898
Dutto Singh. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 575 . {	382, 383 386
v. Dosad Bahadur Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 575 {	382, 383
Dutt Ram Misr. In the matter of the petition of	1 Agra, Cr., 29	386 5598
Dwarka Das v. Husain Bakhsh	I. L. R., 1 All., 564	3708
Dwarkadas Lalubhai v. Adam Ali Sultan Ali	3 Bom., A. C., 1	2687, 5790
v. Blackwell · · ·	9 Bom., 319	2675
Dwarka Dass v. Dwarka Dass	2 Agra, 308	1725
v. Rai Sita Ram	5 C. L. R., 430	1396, 4650
Dwarka Dass v. Dwarka Dass	2 Agra, 199	6119 4863
- v. Jankee Doss	6 Moore's I. A., 88	1727
Dwarka Lall Mitter. In the matter of	1 Agra, Rev., 22 6 Moore's I. A., 88 Bourke, O. C., 109	430
Dwarka Lall Mundur. v. Nirundro Narain Singh	22 W. R., 461	3833
Dwarka Manjhee. In the matter of	6 C. L. R., 427	264
Dwarka Nath v . Aloke Chunder Seal	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 641 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 72: 11 C.]	5306
v. Baburam Lasker {	L. R., 326.	1623
Dwarkanath Banerjee. In the matter of	6 C. L. R., 279	6030 3197
Dwarkanath Bhooya v. Ajoodhya Ram Khan	12 B. L. R., 189, note: 16)	į
Dwarkanath Bhooyea v. Gopenath Bhooyea . }	W. R., 10.	1166
Dwarkanath Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 93	1306
Dwarkanath Biswas v. Roy Dhunput Singh	17 W. R., 227	3263
v. Uddit Churn Addy	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 361	1539
Dwarkanath Bose v. Chundee Churn Mookerjee	1 W. R., 339	142, 1785 6115
Dwarkanath Bysack v. Burroda Persaud Bysak	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 443	6206
Dwarkanath Bysak v. Mahendranath Bysak	9 B. L. R., 198: 18 W. R., 305	
Dwarka Nath Chakravati v. Suvridra Nath Chowdhuri.	8 C. L. R., 407	5307
Dwarka Nath Chattopadhya v. Bhogoban Panda	7 C. L. R., 577	11820
Dwarka Nath Chowdhry v. Beejoy Gobind Bural •	10 W. R., 333	1626
v. Kishenlall Chowdhry	Marsh., 553: 2 Hay, 650 .	5129 5222
Dwarkanath Chuckerbutty v. Bhowanee Kishore	10 W. R., 414	5233 3801
Chuckerbutty.) v. Dhun Monee Chowdrain.	15 W. R., 524	5308
v. Daun Monee Chowdrain. v. Tara Soondery Burmo-	· ·	1
nee.	8 W. R., 517	1760
Dwarkanath Doss v. Manick Chunder Doss	3 W. R., 197	5301

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
	W. R., 102	5133 5312
" Maddan Makan Ohushan 2	W. R., 200	5766
butty.	W. R., 319	328, 839
	2 W. R., 457	4054
v. Gunga Dayi 8	B. L. R., Ap., 102	91 2 3401
Dwarka Nath Gupta v. Komolmoni Dasi	2 C. L. R., 548	1629
	B. L. R., Ap., 128: 12 W.	1448, 1473
V. Ramaiakanini Haidat	R., 99.	1491, 1868
v. Noder Chand Kamte 25	2 W. R., Cr., 35	1292 1359, 413 5
	W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 18 1 W. R., 89	5912
	()	3030, 5193
	6 W. R., 111	5199
1 -	4 W. R., 193	1622
	. L. R., 4 Calc., 925 : 4 C. L. R., 130.	5 19 7
	. L. R., 12 Calc., 629	2641, 2676
- 1 A	. L. R., 3 Calc., 58	2639
	B. L. R., O. C., 63:15 W. R., O. C., 18, note.	2663
a Dinah	5 B. L. R., 338, note	4619
v. Birch	5 B. L. R , 338, note	4619
	Sourke, O. C., 1	4613 549, 3013
v. Ramdhun Biswas	C. L. R., 233	3834
v. Sarat Kumari Dasi	B. L. R., 55	4777
Dwarkanath Moitro v. Kenny 5	W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 1	3315
	. L. R., 10 Bom., 207 3 W. R., 136	4341 441
	3 W. R., 136	4279, 5353
Dwarka Nath Rai v. Kali Chunder Rai I.	L. R., 13 Calc., 75	1175
	5 W. R., 461	43 5252
	W. R., 79 9 W. R., 81	5252 1449
	4 W. R., 329	284
Dwarkanath Roy Chowdhry v. Huronath Roy Chowdhry W	7. R., 1864, 238 . · ·	1765
	W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 1	842 5304
	2 W. R., 326	297
	ourke, O. C., 260	425
Dwarkanath Singh Roy v. Nubo Coomar Bose 20	0 W. R., 270	584
	W. R., Mis., 30	997, 1703 4539
	N. W., 281 N. W., 184	1488
	2 W. R., 38	2954
Dya Chand Oswal v. Mookteeda Dabee 13	3 W. R., 24	627
•	N. W., 20	4866 3998
Dyshukos Nundau San a Muddes Mutta Goonts SI.	. L. R., 1 Calc., 123 : 24 W.	5661, 5735
	R., 478. W. R., 174	3282
Dyashunker v. Mahomed Ameenooddeen Khan 3	Agra, 246	2737
Dyce Sombre a Fast India Company	W. R., P. C. 111: 7 Moore's	514

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
${f E}$		
Ead Ali Khan v. Wahad Ali Khan Eadara Virana v. Queen Eagleton v. East Indian Railway Company Eales v. Ruttonjee Eduljee Eastern Bengal Railway Co. v. Kalidas Dutt Eastern Financial Association v. Pestanji Cursetji Eastern Steam Tug Company. In re East India Bank. In the matter of v. Vullie Goolwany East India Company v. Ally v. Kamachee Boye Sahiba v. Odit Churn Paul v. Robertson East Indian Railway Co. v. Australasian Insurance Company.	23 W. R., 25	679 5511 1091 1082 983, 1265 922, 1067 5827 953 945 2573, 4071 59, 275 4635 57, 2322 1578, 3120 4063, 4636 2688
v. Bengal Coal Co. v. Hall v. Jordan	7 B. L. R., 3 Calc., 95 3 N. W., 104 4 B. L. R., O. C., 97: 14 W R., O. C., 11.	2825 5617 293, 4418 4707
East Indian Trading and Banking Co. In re Eathamakala Subbammah v. Raghiah Ebadutoollah v. Mahomed Ali	Bom., O. C., 113 7 Mad., 293 25 W. R., 114 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 531: 3 C.	934 633 5188 3091
Ebrahim v. Fuckhurnnisa Begum Ebrahim Saib v. Nagasami Gurukal Echamoyee v. Hurro Soonduree Dassee Edalji Shaporji v. Talsidas Sundardas Edathil Itti v. Kopashon Nayar Ede v. Kanto Nath Shaw Edmond v. Nierses	L. R., 311. I. L. R., 3 Mad., 9 12 W. R., 155. 2 Bom., 285: 2nd Ed., 270 1 Mad., 122 I. L. R., 3 Calc, 220 8 B. L. R., Ap., 42: 16 W. ?	5676 4139 370 3758, 3766 1072 1240, 2651 2653
Edoo v. Hefazut Hossein Edoo Khansamah. In the matter of the petition of	R., 84. 13 W. R., 358 24 W. R., Cr., 64 1 Moore's I. A., 46: 5 W. R.,	308, 4428 1155
Eduljee Framjee v. Abdoola Hajee Cherak Edulji Mancherji Wacha v. Vullebhoy Khanbhoy Eduljee Ruttonjee. In re Edulji Shapurji v. Tulsidas Sunderdas	P. C., 58. I. L. R., 7 Bom., 167 10 B. L. R., Ap., 27 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 234	1759 4294 2650 370
Edun v. Bechun	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 264:8 W. R., 175. 11 W. R., 345 Marsh., 409	5077 1723 4934
- v. Mahomed Siddik Edwards v. Muller Eeshooree Singh v. Hunter Efatoonnissa v. Khondkar Khoda Newaz Eglinton v. Koylashnath Mozoomdar Eidan v. Mazhar Husain Ejnash Kooer v. Amjud Ally	L. R., 9 Calc., 150: 11 C. L. R., 440. 5 B. L. R., 252 2 N. W., 53 21 W. R., 374 W. R., 1864, 303 I. L. R., 1 All., 483 2 W. R., 261	4850 910 5072 1717 1144 3651 3715

Elbal Ali Khan v. Kalee Pershad 3 W. R., 68 3335	Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Eknath bin Madoba. In the matter of	Ekbal Bahadoor v. Solano	2 W. R., 164	1357 1848
Eknath in Madoba. In the matter of Eknath S. Gownde v. Jaggannath S. Gownde v. Jaggannath S. Gownde v. Bigaynath Chattapadhya	Ekin Bibee v. Ashruf Ali	1 W. R., 152	
R., 11 28 28 L. R., P. C., 4: 11 W. R., P. C., 2: 12 Moore's I. A., 130 136 28 L. R., P. C., 4: 11 W. R., P. C., 2: 12 Moore's I. A., 136 1609 16		I. L. R., 9 Bom., 417 .	4339
Ekram v. Buhooran	Ekowri Singh v. Bijaynath Chattapadhya	R., 11.	3824
Ekram W. Buhooran	v. Hiralal Seal	P. C., 2: 12 Moore's I. A.,	41, 739
Ekrar Munul v. Holoulur Pai	Ekram Hossein v. Kirtee Chunder	2 W. R., Act X, 69 .	669
Elahee Buksh v. Hajoo	Ekram Mundul v. Holodhur Pal	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 271 .	
Elahee Buksh Chowdhry v. Roopun Telee			
Elahee Buksh Chowdhry v. Roopun Telee	v. Mohan	25 W. R., 9	3726
Elahi Buksh v. Marachow	v. Sheo Narain Singh		
Elam Paramanick v. Sojaitullah	Elahee Newoz Khan v. Suburunnissa	5 W. R., Cr., 14	
Elam Paramanick v. Sojaitullah	Elahi Buksh v. Marachow		742
Elavarisu Vanamamalai Ramanuja Jeeyarsvami v. Vanamamalai Ramanuja Jeeyarsvami Jeeya	Elam Paramanick v. Sojaitullah	1 B. L. R., A. C., 43: 10 W.	5662
Elayachanidathil Kombi Achen v. Kenatumkora Lakshmi Amma. Eldred. In the matter of	Elavarisu Vanamamalai Ramanuja Jeeyarsvami v. namamalai Ramanuja Jeeyar	7.0	4098
Eldred. In the matter of 1 Hyde, 173 2093 Elias v. Chuckerbutty 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 223 5537 — v. Habool Mooshee Mooshee 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 18: 3175 — v. Haboob Mooshee Mooshee Bourke, O. C., 382 322 — v. Jorawar Mull 24 W. R., 202 773, 4126 — v. Mahomed Peezeer W. R., 1864, 217 5105 — v. Titharam Roy W. R., 164 4203 Elijah v. Aratoon Brothers 14 W. R., 47 5232 Ellaiya v. Collector of Salem 3 Mad., 59 5103, 5108 Ellapa Nayak v. Anumati Goundan I. L. R., 7 Mad., 76 3222 Ellem v. Basheer I. L. R., 1 Calc., 184 24 W. R., 382. 5129 Elliott. In the goods of I. L. R., 4 Calc., 106: 2 C. 1558 Ellokassee Dossee v. Durponarain Bysack 12 B. L. R., 406: 19 W. R., 194: L. R., I. A., Sup. 4567 Vol., 175. I. L. R., 5 Calc., 59 6209 Emam Ali w. Sudderuddeen 9 W. R., Cr., 18 5165 Emam Ali Mestory v. Ator Ali Khan 22 W. R., 133 5316	Elayachanidathil Kombi Achen v. Kenatumkora Lak	sh- I. L. R., 5 Mad., 201	4272, 5048
— v. Habool Mooshee Mooshee 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 18: Bourke, O. C., 382. 3175 — v. Haboob Mooshee Mooshee Bourke, O. C., 350 4263 — v. Jorawar Mull 24 W. R., 202 773, 4126 — v. Mahomed Peezeer W. R., 1864, 217 5105 — v. Titharam Roy W. R., 164 4203 Elijah v. Aratoon Brothers 14 W. R., 47 5232 Ellaiya v. Collector of Salem 3 Mad., 59 5633 Ellapa Nayak v. Anumati Goundan I. L. R., 7 Mad., 76 3222 Ellem v. Basheer I. L. R., 1 Calc., 184 24 W. R., 382. 5129 Elliott. In the goods of I. L. R., 4 Calc., 106: 2 C. 1558 — v. Bhoobun Mohun Bonnerjee 194: L. R., 406: 19 W. R., 4567 Vol., 175. I. L. R., 5 Calc., 59 6209 Emam Ali v. Sudderuddeen 9 W. R., Cr., 18 5165 Emam Ali Mestory v. Ator Ali Khan 22 W. R., 133 5316			2093
Bourke, O. C., 382. S176			
— v. Jorawar Mull 24 W. R., 202 773, 4126 — v. Mahomed Peezeer W. R., 1864, 217 5105 — v. Titharam Roy W. R., 164 4203 Elijah v. Aratoon Brothers 14 W. R., 47 5232 Ellaiya v. Collector of Salem 3 Mad., 59 5103, 5108 Ellapa Nayak v. Anumati Goundan I. L. R., 7 Mad., 76 3222 Ellem v. Basheer I. L. R., 1 Calc., 184 24 W. R., 382. 5129 Elliott. In the goods of I. L. R., 4 Calc., 106: 2 C. 1558 L. R., 496. 12 B. L. R., 406: 19 W. R., 194: L. R., I. A., Sup. 4567 Vol., 175. I. L. R., 5 Calc., 59 6209 Emam Ali v. Sudderuddeen 9 W. R., Cr., 18 5165 Emam Ali Mestory v. Ator Ali Khan 22 W. R., 133 5316		Bourke, O. C., 382.	
— v. Mahomed Peezeer W. R., 1864, 217 5105 — v. Titharam Roy W. R., 164 4203 Elijah v. Aratoon Brothers 14 W. R., 47 5232 Ellaiya v. Collector of Salem 3 Mad., 59 5103, 5108 Ellapa Nayak v. Anumati Goundan I. L. R., 7 Mad., 76 3222 Ellem v. Basheer I. L. R., 1 Calc., 184 24 W. R., 382. 5129 Elliott. In the goods of I. L. R., 4 Calc., 106: 2 C. 1558 L. R., 496. 12 B. L. R., 406: 19 W. R., 194: L. R., I. A., Sup. 4567 Vol., 175. I. L. R., 5 Calc., 59 6209 Emam Ali v. Sudderuddeen 9 W. R., Cr., 18 5165 Emam Ali Mestory v. Ator Ali Khan 22 W. R., 133 5316	T 36 11		
Eliaja v. Aratoon Brothers	v. Mahomed Peezeer		
Ellaya v. Collector of Salem	— v. Titharam Roy		
Ellapa Nayak v. Anumati Goundan I. L. R., 7 Mad., 76 . 3222 Ellem v. Basheer	_		
Ellem v. Basheer			
W. R., 382. Elliott. In the goods of		I. L. R., 1 Calc., 184 24	
L. R., 496. 12 B. L. R., 406: 19 W. R., 194: L. R., I. A., Sup. 4567 Vol., 175. Ellokassee Dossee v. Durponarain Bysack Emam Ali v. Sudderuddeen 9 W. R., Cr., 18 5165 Emam Ali Mestory v. Ator Ali Khan 5316	Ellem v. Dasneer	W. R., 382.	5129
	Elliott. In the goods of	L. R., 496.	1558
Ellokassee Dossee v. Durponarain Bysack I. L. R., 5 Calc., 59 6209 Emam Ali v. Sudderuddeen 9 W. R., Cr., 18 5165 Emam Ali Mestory v. Ator Ali Khan 22 W. R., 133 5316	v. Bhoobun Mohun Bonnerjee	194: L. R., I. A., Sup.	4567
Emam Ali v. Sudderuddeen	Ellokassee Dossee v. Durponarain Bysack	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 59 .	6209
Eminin An intestory v. Ator Ali Khan	Emam Ali v. Sudderuddeen	9 W. R., Cr., 18	5165
Emambandee Begum v. Tek Bahadoor 17 W. K., Cr., 53 4484, 4490	Emam Ali Mestory v. Ator Ali Khan Emambandee Begum v. Tek Bahadoor	22 W. R., 133	5316 4484, 4490

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Emam Momtazoodeen Mahomed v. Rajcoomar Doss	\	14 B. L. R., 408 : 23 W. R., }	3920, 5018
Emamooddeen Sowdagur v. Abdool Soobhan .		7 W. R., 117	4536
Emamoodeen Showdaghur v. Futteh Ali		3 C. L. R., 447	5090
Emamuddin Khan v. Ram Kishore Kowar	•	5 B. L. R., Ap., 30	6089
Emamun v. Hardyal Singh	•	W. R., 1864, 301	5240
Emaral Sheikh v. Mohammadi Sheikh	•	24 W. R., Cr., 48	5912
Empress v. Abdool Karim	{	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 18: 3 C. L.	1263
v. Abdool Kurreem	{	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 10: 3 C. L. R., 81.	16, 1262
v. Abdul Hakim		I. L. R., 3 All., 253	1333
v. Abdul Hakim		I. L. R., 3 All., 279	4366
v. Abulla v. Abulla v. Abul Hasan v. Abul Ha		I. L. R., 4 Bom., 240	3617
v. Abul Hasan	•	I. L. R., 1 All., 497	1954 3628
v. Achi	•	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 161 . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 603: 3 C.	ł
v. Achiraj Lall	- {	L. R., 87.	1272
v. Ajudhia	•	I. L. R., 2 All., 644	5554
v. Alim Mundlo		11 C. L. R., 55	918
v. Allen	- {	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 83: 6 C. L. R., 463.	1262, 1312
v. Amar Nath		I, L. R., 5 All., 318	240, 1669
v. Amiruddeen	§	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 412: 1 C. L. R., 483.	4369
v. Anand Sarup		I. L. R., 3 All., 563	3608, 3609 1981
v. Annu Khan	•	I. L. R., 4 All., 293 I. L. R., 6 All., 83	5572
	6	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 954: 6 C.	
v. Anuntaram Singh	- [L. R, 297.	1012 1953
v. Arjun	•	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 184	148
	3	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 774: 8C. L.	1836, 6264
v. Asgur Hossein	ાં	R., 124.	1008, 1797
v. Ashgar Ali	•	I. L. R., 2 All., 260	5566
		I. L. R., 4 Calc., 483: 3 C.	
v. Ashootosh Chuckerbutty	- {	L. R., 270.	1023
v. Ashraf Ali		I. L. R., 6 All., 129	1671
v. Baban Khan		I. L. R., 2 Bom., 142 · ·	718
v. Babua	•	I. L. R., 6 All., 132	4726, 5539 1018
v. Babu Lal	•	I. L. R., 6 All., 509	5150
v. Bai Baya	•	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 126 .	3865
-	Ġ	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 366: 1 C.	5911
v. Baidanath Dass	Į.	L. R., 442.)	1272, 4361
v. Bakhshi Ram	•	I. L. R., 3 All., 201	1024
v. Bala Patel v. Baldeo	•	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 63 I. L. B., 3 All., 322	707, 1319
v. Baldeo Sahai	•	I. L. R., 2 All., 253 • • •	2590, 6269
v. Bal Gangadhar Tilak		I. L. R., 6 Bom., 285 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 207: 10 C.	913
v. Baney Madhub Shaw	રે	L. R., 389.	501, 502
v. Banni	• ,	I. L. R., 2 All., 349	2758
v. Behala Bibi	ž	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 789: 8 C. }	4365
v. Behari Lal Bose	•	6 C. L. R., 431	1854
		1	1

Name of Co	use.					Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Empress v. Berrill				•		I. L. R., 4 All., 141	2903, 5166
v. Bhagaban Bhivsan v. Bhagirath		•				I. L. R., 7 Bom., 379	100
v. Bhagirath .						I. L. R., 3 All., 383	4061
v. Bhagyanta Rayii						I. L. R., 7 Bom., 303	3631, 6 2
v. Bhagwan Devraj v. Bharon Singh v. Bhawani v. Bhawani						I. L. R., 4 Bom., 357	5511
v. Bhairon Singh						I. L. R., 3 All., 338	1013
v. Bhawani .		•	•	•		I. L. R., 1 All., 664, 675 .	1022
v						I. L. R., 2 Bom., 525	6161
v. Bhawani Prasad			•			I. L. R., 4 All., 182	1957
v. Bhoirub Chunder 1	Outt					10 C. L. R., 193	4362
v. Bhupal v. Bhup Singh .				•		I. L. R., 6 All., 380	2598
v. Bhup Singh .						I. L. R., 2 All., 570	1305
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						I. L. R., 2 All., 771	4749
v. Bhechynden .						6 C. L. R., 278	1795
v. Broiokant Roy Cho	wdhr	·v				I. L. R., 9 Calc., 637	4107
v. Brojonath Dey			_	_		I. L. R., 2 Calc., 425	502
			_		٠	1	1327, 3864
v. Budh Singh .	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 2 All., 101 .	55€1
					1	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 63: 1 C. L.	0002
					- 1	R., 161; and on appeal, I.	
v. Burah					- }	L. R., 4 Calc., 172: 3 C. L.	2900, 2901
		•	•	•	}	R., 197 : L. R., 5 I. A.,	2000, 2001
					- [178.	
70 1 11 1 70					``	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 59: 6 C. L.	
v. Butokisto Dass	•	•	•	•	ં	R., 374.	1222
v. Butto Kristo Doss					(I. L. R., 3 Calc., 497	4691
v. Chaitram .		•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 6 All., 103	
	•	•	•	•	,	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 65: 8 C. L.	1542, 1965
v. Chandra Nath Sirca	r	•	•		ે	R, 353.	1024
v. Charu Nayiah					•	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 354	1328
v. Chattar Singh	•	•	•	•	•	TTD OAD OO	2779, 4059
	•		•	•	•		1855
v. Chedi Rai		•	•	•	•	7 C. L., R., 142	5155
v. Chidda Khan	•	•	•	r	•	T T D 0 111	6248
	•	•	•	•	• .	I. L. R., 3 All., 573	0240
v. Chunder Nath Dutt		•		•	3	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 121: 4 C.	6261
v. Counsell						L. R., 305.	019
	•	•	•	•		I. L. R., 8 Calc., 896	913
v. Dabee Pershad		•		•	31	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 530: 7 C. L.	1016
v					•	R., 541.	1700
	•	•	•	,	•	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 532	1792
v. Dahia .	•		•	•	3	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 121: 8 C. L.	1966
v. Daji Narsu .					(R., 300.	1019 1094
v. Darba	'	•	•	•	•		1013, 1024
	,	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 1 All., 461	5562
v. Dedar Sircar					\{\)	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 384:1 C. L.	5541
v. Deoki Nandan Lal					(R., 95.	
. Th.C:1		•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 2 All., 806	908
v. Desilva		•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 479	1319
v. Dharam Das .		•	•	•	'.	I. L. R., 1 All., 635	1856
v. Dhononjoy Baraj .					{	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 540:1 C. L.	2755
						R, 478.	
		•	٠	•	•]	5 C. L. R., 366	4736
v. Dhunno Kazi	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 121	6260
v. Dhunum Kazee .					§	I. L. R., 9 Cale., 53: 11 C. L.?	2013, 6161
		-	-	-	1	R., 169.	6164
v. Diljour Misser .			•	•	-	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 225 I. L. R., 6 All., 482	4119
v. Din Ali							6189

Name of Case,		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Empress v. Dinonath Roy	{	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 166: 10 C. } L. R., 190. I. L. R., 2 Bom., 564	1324 264
v. Donnelly		I. L. R., 2 Calc., 405 . {	5150, 5157 5158, 6249
v. Dosabhoy Framji		I. L. R., 3 All., 214	654
v. Dossaji Gulam Husein	:	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 334 I. L. R., 2 Calc., 399	1838, 2105 5838, 5843
v. Dwarka Prasad		I. L. R., 6 All., 97 . {	735, 4354 4356
v. Ekrar Ali · · · ·		I. L. R., 6 Calc., 482	2010
v. Fateh	•	I. L. R., 5 All., 217 I. L. R., 2 All., 522	1301, 2012 1336
v. Futteh Jya Khan		I. L. R., 4 Calc., 570	5604
v. Gangadhur Bhunjo	- {	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 622 : 2 C. L. R., 179.	3631
v. Ganraj		I. L. R., 2 All., 444	1023
v. Gasper		I. L. R., 2 Calc., 278 I. L. R., 6 All., 42	3781 1974
- v. Gavadin · · · ·	•	I. L. R., 4 All., 148 . •	252
v. Gobardhan Das		I. L. R., 3 All., 62 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 18: 3 C. L.)	1315, 5517
v. Golam Mahomed	- [R., 44.	1263
v. Gonesh Dooley	[]	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 351 : 4 C. L. }	4060
v. Gopal Dhanuk	{	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 90: 8 C. L. 3 R., 471.	4431
v. Gowdapa		I. L. R., 2 Bom., 534	5157
v. Grish Chunder Nundi	{	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 87 : 8 C. L. R., 387.	1958
v. Grish Chunder Talukdar	\ \{\bar{\}}	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 614:5 C.	6268
		L. R., 364. 5 I. L. R., 3 All., 129	1536
v. Hait Ram · · · ·		I. L. R., 3 All., 404	1855
v. Halodhur Poroe v. Haradhan Tamuli. In the matter of .	•	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 383 3 C. L. R., 511	4371 260
v. Harai Mirdha		I. L. R., 3 Calc., 189	6166
v. Harakhnath Singh v. Haran Chunder Mitter v	•	I. L. R., 4 All., 27 6 C. L. R., 390	394, 1668 1791
v. Hari Kisto Biswas		5 C. L. R., 209	1010
v. Hari Lakshman		I. L. R., 10 Bom., 185 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 16	4355 1305, 5157
v. Hematulia		I. L. R., 3 Calc., 389	981,6425
v. Hurro Kole	•	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 288	2104 2624
v. Husen v. Idu Beg		I. L. R., 5 Bom., 262 I. L. R., 3 All., 776	1337
v. Ilahi Bakhsh	•	I. L. R., 2 All., 910	'3621 3625
v. Imambu v. Indarman		I. L. R., 3 All., 837	4117
v. Irad Ally		I. L. R., 4 Calc., 869	5527 1966, 5510
v. Irbasapa		I. L. R., 4 Bom., 479 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 847: 12 C.	502, 5160
v. Ishan Chundra De	{	L. R., 451.	255
v. Jafar M. Talab v. Jagannath v. Jagannath v. Jagannath		I. L. R., 5 Bom., 85 I. L. R., 3 All., 258	1265
v. Jallu		I. L. R., 4 All., 216	5854
v. Jamui	•	I. L. R., 5 All., 397	1959

		Name of	Case.					Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
-	v. Jamoona		•			•	{	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 620: 8 C. } L. R., 215. I. L. R., 4 All., 150	1954 918
	v. Jangbir v. Janki .	•	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 82	16, 5854
		·	•	•	•	•	§	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 625: 8 C.	4447
	v. Janokinath	Gupta		•	•	•	(L. R., 56.	723, 1267
	v. Jhubboo M	ahton	•	•	•	•	{	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 739: 12 C. L. R., 233.	1804, 6068 6266
	. v. Jogessur M	ochi		•		•		1 1, 11, 14, 1	1126, 1322
	• v. Jogessur M • v. Joy Hari K	or	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 356	$\begin{array}{c} 2625 \\ 1316 \end{array}$
	• v. Jualaprosad • v. Jubdur Kaz	l_	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 5 All., 62 · ·	5558
	· v. Jubdur Kaz		•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 718	251
	v. Judoonath	Gangoo	ıy	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 5 All., 221	2010
	v. Juwanand v . Jyadulla	•	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 436	251
	v. Kakde	•	•	•	•	:	·	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 298	1513
	v. Kalachand	Dass	•	•		·	{	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 14:6 C. L.	5537, 5541
	v. Kali Churn			•	•	-	l	R., 128. I. L. R., 8 Calc., 154	6266
	· v. Kallee .	·		•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 5 All., 233	148
	. v. Kallu	:	•	:	•	•		I. L. R., 3 All., 60	4369
	- v		:		•			I. L. R., 4 All., 366 • •	3618
	- v. Kampta Pr	asad				•		I. L. R., 1 All., 530	4692
	🕳 v. Kamta Pra	nad .				•		I. L. R., 4 All., 212	4721
	- v. Kanchan S	ingh	•			•		I. L. R., 1 All., 413	1304
	- v. Karan Sing	gh	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 1 All., 680	5158 95 959
	- v. Karim Bak	sh	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 2 All., 386 · ·	25, 253 981, 6254
	v. Kanchan S v. Karan Sing v. Karim Bak v. Kashi		•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 2 All., 447 I. L. R., 1 All., 625	1319
	- v. Kashmiri I	Lal	•	•	•	•		I. L. R., 7 Calc., 121: 8 C.	•
	- v. Kassim Kl	h an	•	•	•	•	Ž	L. R., 300. I. L. R., 8 Calc., 985: 11 C.	1966
	- v. Keshub Mo	ohajan	•	•	•	•	į	L L. R., 241.	2899
	· v. Ketabdi M	und ul	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 764 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 662: 10	1338
	- v. Khamir	•	•	•		•	}	(C. L. R., 8.	1306
	- v. Kherode C	hunder	Moz	ımdar	• •	•	Š	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 717:6 C.	4383
	v. Khimchand	l Naray	an					1 L. R., 3 Bom., 384 • •	2906
	- v. Khodai Sir	ıgh "		•	•	•	•	3 C. L. R., 527	5563
	- v. Khodai Sir - v. Khogayi - v. Kishna	-	•		•	•	•	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 122	1331
	- v. Kishna	•	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 2 All., 713	4365
	- v. Kola Lalan		•	•	•	• ′	}	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 214: 10 C. L. R., 155.	501, 5874
	- v. Kudrutools	h		•		•	Ì	[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 495: 2 C.]	1286, 1294
	- v. Kyte .					•		I. L. R., 9 Calc., 223: 11 C.	502
	-	lingh						T. L. R., 2 All., 398	5156, 5608
-	- v. Lachman S - v. Lakshman	Role	•	•	•	• •	•	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 124	1024
			•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 481 . {	972, 128
	- v. Lakshman	bakhar	aIII	•	•	•	•	D 0 A11 901	5522 4623, 604
	- v. Lalai .	•	•	•	•	•	•		15
	- v. Lingam Ra	ımanna	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 137	4357
	- v. Madho	•	•	•	•	•	•	1. 11. 11., 2 11, 200 ·	1

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Empress v. Mannoo Tamoolee. In re {	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 696 : 4 C. L.	1011
v. McLeod	R., 137. I. L. R., 3 All., 342	1512
v. Maganlal	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 622	1952
Mamilia S	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 124: 4 C.	2904
v. Maguire	L. R., 432.	
v. Mahadu	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 690	5571 1856
v. Mahindra Lal v. Mahomed Yashin v	I. L. R., 1 All., 638 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 101	5168
		5547, 6160
v. Mahuddi	6 C. L. R., 349 · · · {	6163
v. Malhari	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 731	5883
v. Malka	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 643	1277 253
v. Manga	I. L. R., 2 All., 340 I. L. R., 5 All., 553	1973, 2012
v. Mazhar Husain	I. L. R., 1 All., 637	5571
v. Megha v. Mhasnya Rama	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 280	5561
v. Mohan Lal	I. L. R., 4 All., 46	1006
	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 765	400
v. Moorga Chetty	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 338	914, 2910 5168
v. Muhammad Jafir	I. L. R., 3 All., 545 • • •	6161,6165
v. Mukhun Kumar	1 C. L. R., 275	1972
v. Mulu	I. L. R., 2 All., 646	1023, 6250
v. Mulua	I. L. R., 1 All., 599 · ·	4118
v. Municipal Corporation of the Town of [I. L. R., 3 Calc., 758: 2 C. L.	5509
Calcutta.	R., 520.	
v. Munshi Sheikh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 616	1014 2758
v. Murari	I. L. R., 4 All., 147 · · ·	5150
	I. L. R., 2 All., 336 I. L. R., 2 All., 53	255
v. Nana Rahim	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 140	5572
or Available and the second	(918, 515
v. Narotam Das	I. L. R., 6 All., 98 • • • 5	5515, 552
		5529 2000, 516
v. Nathu Khan	I. L. R., 4 All., 417	5540
v. Nawab	I. L. R., 2 All., 835	710, 196
v. Niaz Ali	I. L. R., 5 All., 17 · · · }	1978, 585
v. Nilambhar Babu	I. L. R., 2 All., 276	5147,588
v. Nipcha	I T. R. 4 Calc., 712	5530
	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 163: 7 C.	4 68 6
o. Mistai itaui	1 L. R., 197.	
v. Nobin Chunder Dutt	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 865: 4 C.	4739
	I. L. R., 243. I. L. R., 8 Calc., 560: 10 C.	346, 115
v. Nobin Chundra Banikya	L. R., 369.	5165, 594
v. Nobo Coomar Pal	I L. R., 6 Calc., 621	501
v. Nobogopal Bose	I. T. R., 6 Calc., 491 • :	6029
v. Nuddiar Chand Shaw	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 832 : 8 C. L.	501, 50
Mand Diland Silaw	R., 152.	
v. Nurul Huqq	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 757: 2 C. L. R., 408.	4741
v. O'Brien	I. L. R., 2 All., 766	1336
v. O Brien v. Padmanabh Pai	1 T. L. R., 2 Bom., 384 • •	5522
	2 7 D 4 AH 100	1016
v. Pancham	I. L. R., 4 All., 198 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 34	1016

		Name o	f Cas	c.				Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
	v. Panjab Sin	gh						I. L. R., 6 Calc., 579	1287
	v. Parahu	•	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 5 All., 598	1956
	v. Paramana	la	•	•	•	•		I. L. R., 10 Calc., 85: 13 C. L. R., 375.	2905
	v. Partab .					•		I. L. R., 1 All., 666 .	5539, 556
	v. ——		_	_				I. L. R., 6 All., 121	6190
	v Pemantle	•	:	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 971	5558 293 7
	v. Pitamber J	lina		•				I. L. R., 2 Bom., 61	1015
	v. Pitambur S						- 1	[I. L. R., 5 Calc., 566:5 C. L.]	148
	v. Pitam Rai								
	v. Popat Natl	hn .	•	•	•	•	•		1956
	v. Poreshollah	Sheil	kh.	•	•	•		I. L. R., 4 Bom., 287 7 C. L. R., 143	1315, 131 716
	v. Prankrishn				·	•	}	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 969: 11 C.	1339, 293
					•		,	L. R., 6.	-
	v. Prayag Sin v. Radha Kisl	gn nan	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 103	4096
	v. Radoinath	uau Shaha	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 5 All., 36	1956, 435
	v. Raghubar	-	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 195	715, 128
		٠· ،	•	•	•	•	Ġ	I. L. R., 2 All., 351 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 573: 1 C. L.)	4724 3867, 448
	v. Rajcoomar	Singh	•	•	•	•	્	R. 352: 2 C. L. R., 62.	5945, 625
	v. Rama Biray	oa.						I. L. R., 3 Bom., 12	1016, 102
	v. Ram Adhin					•		I. L. R., 2 All., 139	2757
	v. Ramanand	•	•	•		•		I. L. R., 3 All., 664	1513
	v. Ramanjiyy	ı.	•	•	•	•		I. L. R., 2 Mad., 5	1013, 203
	v. Rama Prem	12.	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 239	1297, 557
	v. Ram Chand	•	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 1 All., 664, 675	1022
	v. Ram Dayal v. Rameshar F	?ai	•	•	•	,	•	I. L. R., 3 All., 773	5572
	v. Ram Kuar	· ·	•	•	•	•	•	1. L. R., 1 All., 379	5881 5652
	v. Ram Lall	•	:	·	:	•	•	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 875	5653 4748
	v. Ram Lal Si	ngh				•	Ċ	I. L. R., 6 All., 40	5156
	v. Ram Partal		•					I. L. R., 6 All., 121	5558
	v. Ram Saran	•	•	•	•			I. L. R., 5 All., 7	1043
	v. Ram Singh	;	•	•	•	•		11 C. L. R., 642	4371
	v. Randhir Sir	igh	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 3 All., 597	1337
	v. Rango Time v. Riasat Ali o	iji <i>Jiao</i> T	?ah	M:	7:	D 1.	• .	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 402	5881
	zuma.	iiiiis 1	วลมน	Miya	ıııas	150@1u	Z- {	1. L. R., 7 Calc., 352 : 8 C. L. R., 572.	452, 201
	v. Rochia Moh	ato					{	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 42:8 C. L. ?	722, 1838
1	v. Rohimuddin						(R., 273. I. L. R., 5 Calc., 31 : 4 C. L.	2922
	v. Rukn-ud-dir		•	•	•	•	į	_R., 285.	1334
	z. Kukn-uu-un z. Sabsukh		•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 4 All., 5	6256 5512, 5515
		• 	•	•	•	•	• [1. L. K., 2 All., 555 . {	5521, 5528
	v. Sadanand K: v. Safatulla	risnna •]1	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 8 Born., 151	620 1337
	. Sagambur			_		•	•	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 815	918, 1010
	Ü	•	•	•	•	•			1800, 6264
	. Sahae Rai	•	•	•	•	•	{	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 623: 2 C. L. }	1334, 6161 6166
	. Sajiwan Lal	•	•				.	I. L. R., 5 All., 386	262
v	. Salik .	•	•	•		•	.	I. L. R., 1 All., 527	1954
v	. Salik Roy						(1. L. R., 6 Calc., 582 : 8 C. L. 7	1956
	•						- ()	R., 255.	

Name of Cas	e.			****	· Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
mpress v. Samiruddin				{	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 211: 10 C. L. R., 11. I. L. R., 2 All., 218	712, 17 2908
v. Seymour	:	•	•	:	I. L. R., 1 All., 630 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 657 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 403	1856 2009 4710
v. Shasti Churn Napit	•			{	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 331: 10 C. L. R., 290.	1670
v. Shibo Behara	•	•	•	{	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 584:8 C. L. R., 265. I. L. R., 6 Bom., 14	1958 261, 38
v. Shivram Gundo v. Sirsapa v.	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 15	1014, 12 4355
v. Sitaram Rai	•	•	•		I. L. R., 3 All., 181	5993 5851
v. Soddanund Mahanty v. Sreenath Banerjee					L. R., 365. 5 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 221	6277
v. Sreenath Kur		•	•	{	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 450: 10 C. } L. R., 421. I. L. R., 2 All., 694	2759 4378
v. Sri Lal	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 2 All., 405 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 624	1319 5563
v. Sunker Gope	•		•	{	I. L. R 6 Calc., 307: 7 C. L. }	2911
v. Surjanarain Dass		:	•	•	7 C. L. R., 168	2897 1304 391
v. Tegha Singh v. Thacoor Dyal Sing		:		•	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 473 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 320 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 523: 8 C. L.	4496
· Thompson · ·	•	•	•	{	R., 106. I. L. R., 2 All., 339	982 984
v. Tika Singh		•	•	•	1. L. R., 3 All., 251 1. L. R., 4 Calc., 366: 3 C.	1536, 43 15, 59
v. Troylukhonath Chowd	nry	•	•		L. R., 525. 5 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 667	254
v. Tucker		•	•	;	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 42 I. L. R., 8 Cale., 985: 11 C. \ L. R., 241.	6070 2899
v. Umi			•	•	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 126 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 178	16, 587 2937
v. Uttom Koondoo .	•	:		5	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 634 : 10 C. L. R., 466.	2757
v. Vaimbilee		•		•	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 826 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 19	718, 10 2029
v. Vyapoory Moodeliar		•	•	5	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 655: 8 C. L. R., 197.	1808 1008
v. Yakub Khan. upress on the prosecution of the Dinonath Roy.	e Banl	of B	engal	v. {	I. L. R., 5 All., 253 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 166: 10 C. L. R., 190.	1324
npress on the prosecution of Di Rajcoomar Singh.				5	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 573 . {	3867, 44 5945, 69
npress on the prosecution of a Brojo Nath Dey.				, }	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 425 . I. L. R., 6 Calc., 523: 8 C.)	50
mpress on the prosecution of Jo Thompson.	genaro	nath 1	oose v.	ł	L. R., 106.	98

OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
	•	
Empress on the prosecution of Malcolm v. Gasper .	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 278	3781
Empress on the prosecution of Michell v. Joggessur		1126, 1322
Mochi.	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 379	
Emrit Lall v. Kidd	Cor., 46: 2 Hyde, 117	2802
Emrit Lall Saligram v. Kidd	2 Hyde, 116	5581
Enset Ali v. Kuhur Roy	2 W. R., 289	4027 1126, 1393
Enaet Ali v. Kuhur Roy	1 W. R., 21 15 W. R., 258	3840
Enact Ali Khan v. Kumola Koonwar	1 11 W D 601	3260
Enact Hossein v. Khoobunnissa	11 W. R., 201 11 W. R., 320	3685
Enaet Hossein v. Khoobunnissa v. Kureemoonissa v. Nujeeboonissa Begum	3 W. R., 40	321, 3684
v. Nujechoonissa Begum	11 W. R., 138	406
	1	2080, 3633
v. Roshan Jahan	5 W. R., 4 · · · {	3634, 3747
Enactoollah v. Ameer Buksh alias Moheeoollah	25 W. R., 225	4997
Enactoollah Chowdhry v. Kishen Soondur Surma	8 W. R., 386	4460, 5811
Enamandaram Venkayya v. Venkata Narayana Reddi .	1 Mad., 75	3584
Enayet Ali v. Mahomed Zuhoorul Huq	22 W. R., 534	2708
Enayet Hossein. In the matter of the petition of	11 W. R., Cr., 1	1260
v. Ahmed Reza v. Deedar Bux	7 Moore's I. A., 238	3123 1754
v. Deedar Bux.	W. R., 1864, Act X, 97	28
v. Gholam Ally	2 Agra, 276 2 B. L. R., P. C., 75: 11 W.)	20
v. Gridhari Lall }	R., P. C., 29:12 Moore's	3435
v. Khoobunissa	I. A., 366. 9 W. R., 246	1761, 2743
	14 B. L. R., 155: 22 W. R.,	
v. Muddun Monee Shahoon	411.	1161
v. Ramzan Ali.	1 B. L. R., A. C., 172: 10 W.	3644
· ·	R., 216.	
v. Roushan Jehan	1 B. L. R., F. B., 1: 10 W.	267
•	R., F. B., 1.	304
Enayetoollah v. Meajan	16 W. R., 6	1038
Enayetoollah Meah v. Nubo Coomar Sircar	20 W. R., 207	1621
Enayutoollah v. Elahee Buksh	W. R., 1864, Act X, 42.	2, 2993
Endar Lala v. Lallu Hari	7 Bom., A. C., 111	2992
English. In the matter of	7 C. L. R., 378	2676
Equitable Coal Company v. Gonesh Chunder Banerjee .	9 C. L. R., 276	2053
Erad Ali v. Nusibun Nissa Bibee	4 C. L. R., 534	980
Erakshah Dhanjiseth v. Adarji Dorabji	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 535	1234
Erajabi v. Mayan	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 118	3156
Erambapalli Korapen Nayar v. Erambapalli Chenen	6 Mad., 411	3756
Nayar.	O Mau., all	3700
Eranjoli Illath Vishnu Nambudri v. Eranjoli Illath	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 3	1711, 3753
Krishnan Nambudri.)	1
Erasha Kaikhusru v. Jerbai	I. L. R., 4 Bom, 537	4242, 6202
Eravanni Revivarman v. Ittapu Revivarman	1. L. R., 1 Mad., 153	3759, 376
Erfoonissa Chowdhrain v. Rukeeboonissa		3835, 3842
Erskine. In the matter of	0.337 D 001	5926 3929
0	8 W. R., 232	1763, 2030
	0 W D 10	2035
v. Manick Singh Ghatwal v. Ram Coomar Roy	8 W. R., 220	4864, 4868
v. Trilochun Chatterjee	0 W 1) 510	5737
Erskine & Co. v. Gholam Khezur	9 W. R., 520	214
	I C IT AND UNC	,

	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Eshree Rai v. Bindoot Rai Esmail v. Shamjee Poonjani Esree Singh v. Bishesher Singh Essaji Adamji v. Bhimji Purshotam Essan Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Soorjo Lall Gossain Essan Chunder Dutt v. Prannauth Chowdhry Essen Chunder Manick v. Seebjoy Thakoor Esnf Ali Khan v. Shib Shankar Shakara	W. R., 1864, 58	of

Name of Case.

Volume and Page.

Column of Digest.

T T 1 01 11 01 12 771	23 W. R., 282	1000 9400
Faez Bux Chowdhry v. Sadut Ali Khan Faez Bux Chowdhry v. Fakiruddin Makomed Ahasan	9 B. L. R., 456 : 14 Moore's I.	1928, 3490
Chowdhry.	A., 234.	473
Fagan v. Chunder Kant Banerjee	7 W. R., 452	4583, 5829
v. Dossee	Marsh., 226	5358 65
Fahamidannissa Begum v. Secretary of State for India Fahimunnissa v. Ajudhia Prasad	I. L. R., 6 All., 170	172, 4080
Faiz Ali. In the matter of	8 C. L. R., 325	6272
v. Koromdi · · · · ·	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 28	6272
Faizullah v. Ramkamal Mitter	2 B. L. R., O. C., 7	4603 1000
Fajaleh Ali Miah v. Kamaruddin Bhuya Fakaruddin Mahomed Ahsan v. Official Trustee of Ben-	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 170	
gal.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 538 .	804
Fakeer Bux v. Chutturdharee Chowdhry	12 B. L. R., 513, note: 14 W. R., 209.	5425
Fakeer Chand Lall. In the matter of	20 W. R., 470	5 93 7
Fakeer Mahomed. In the matter of the petition of	24 W. R., Cr., 46	1153
Fakeer Rohoman v. Bhabo Soondery Debea	1 W. R., 232	532
Fakharooddeen Mahomed Ahsan v. Pogose	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 209 : 2 C. L. R., 573.	3326
70. 33. 36.3 3.41 3.60.3 5.60.4	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 178: 10	1400 9995
Fakharuddin Mahomed Ahsan v. Official Trustee of	C. L. R., 176 : L. R., 8 I. A.,	1480, 3325 3326
Bengal.	197.	
Faki v. Khotu	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 590 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 425	4783 3774
	, i	5131, 5132
Fakira v. Basapa Mahadan Shetti	8 Bom., A. C., 234 . }	5135
Fakira Dobey v. Gopi Lall	6 C. L. R., 66	2191
Fakirapa bin Satyapa v. Chanapa bin Chanmalapa	10 Bom., 162	2395 $5241, 5243$
Fakirappa v. Pandurangapa Fakir Bakhsh v. Sadat Ali	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 7	3965
Fakir Chand v. Fouzdar Misser	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 547 .	1876
v. Thakur Singh	7 B. L. R., 614: 15 W. R., 421	1408
Fakir Chand Bose v. Madan Mohun Ghose	4 B. L. R., F. B., 130: 13 W.	841
	R., F. B., 40. 2 B. L. R., A. C., 93: 10 W.	1505, 1590
Fakir Chand Ghose v. Hiralal Seal	R., 403.	3066
Fakir Chand Govindram v. Kahandas Bhagwandas .	3 Bom., A. C., 167	4773
Fakirchand Motichand v. Motichand Hurruckchand	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 438	2163, 2660 4831
Fakir Mahomed Khan v. Fazeluddeen Khan Fakir Muhammad v. Ghulam Husain	4 C. L. R., 256	3490, 3521
v. Tirumala Chariar	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 205	3059
Fakir Rawot v. Emambaksh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 35 : W.	3710
Takii Itawot o. Emambaksii	R., F. B., 143.	0,10
Fakiruddin Mohammed Ahasan v. Phillips	3 B. L. R., Ap., 53: 11 W. R., 3	2990
Fakiruddin Mohammed Asan Chowdhry v. Najumun-	2 B. L. R., A. C., 264: 11 W.	279
nissa Chowdhrain. Fakrudin. In re the petition of	R., 145. I. L. R., 9 Bom., 40	3607, 3738
Fakuruddeen Mahomed Assan v. Official Trustee of	•	1865
Bengal.	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 82	
Fakurudin Sahib v. Ackeni Sahib	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 197 .	111

Name of Case.			Volume and Page.	Colur of Diges
Falle v. MacEwen	•		I. L. R., 7 Calc., 1: 8 C. L. 3 R., 577.	40
Fanindra Deb Raikat v. Rajeswar Das		•	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 463 : L. R., 12 I. A., 72	2217,
Faqueeroonissa Begum v. Sufdar Ali .			1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 119 .	3/
Fareed Alum v. Sheo Charun Ram .	•	•	4 N. W., 37	5- 5-
Farid Ahmad v. Dulari Bibi . Farid-unnissa. In the matter of the petit	ion of	•	I. L. R., 6 All., 233 I. L. R., 5 All., 92	Ü
Farman Khan v. Bharat Chandra Shaha C	_		4 B. L. R., F. B., 134 : 13 W. 7	3
Farquharson v. Dwarkanauth Singh .	•	•	R., F. B., 21. 8 B. L. R., 504	1
v. Government of Bengal			14 Moore's I. A., 259: 16 W.	1
Farzand Ali v. Alimullah			R., P. C., 29. J. L. R., 1 All., 272	4541
v. Yusuf Ali	•	•	I. L. R., 2 All., 669	294
Farzhara Banu v. Azizunnissa Bibi .			B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 175:3 W. R., 72.	5
Fata Iyah Khan. In re	•		3 C. L. R., 599	5
Fatch Muhammad v. Gopaldas	•	•	I. L. R., 7 All., 424	846 1
Fateh Singh v. Sanwal Singh Fatesangji v. Desai Kalyanraya	•	•	I. L. R., 1 All., 751 4 Bom., A. C., 189	3377
Fathula v. Muniyappa	•	•	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 98	5
Fati Chand Sahu v. Lilamber Singh Das			9 B. L. R., 433 : 14 Moore's I. A., 129 : 16 W. R., P. C.,	4812,
Fatima Begam v. Sakina Begam .		_	26. I. L. R., 1 All., 51	2
V. Sukhram		•	I. L. R., 6 All., 341 .	1
	•	•	18 W. R., 128 9 C. L. R., 66	3663
Fatima Bibee v. Ariff Ismailjee Bham v. Ariff Sookanee	•		Marsh., 263: 2 Hay, 106	(
Fatima Khatun v. Collector of Tipperah	_		8 B. L. R., 4, note: 13 W. R.,	
v. Mahommed Jan Chowo	dhry		433. 1 B. L. R., P C., 21 : 10 W. R., P. C., 29 : 12 Moore's I.	. 1
Votimuniana Romana u Homana Ali			A., 65.	4
Fatimunissa Begum v. Hamza Ali Fatma v. Essa.	:	•	6 C. L. R., 391 1. L. R., 7 Bom., 266 .	4
Fatma Bibee v. Collector of Surat .	•	•	8 Bom., A. C., 79	8
Fatma Bibi v. Advocate General of Bomb	ay.	•	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 42 . 2 Bom., 307 : 2nd Ed., 291	3
Fatma kom Nubi Saheb v. Darya Saheb	•	•	10 Bom., 187 · ·	527 0
Fatteh Bahadur v. Janki Bibi			4 B. L. R., Ap., 55: 13 W. R.,	4
			13 B. L. R., Ap., 37: 21 W.	4
Fatteh Singh v. Lachmi Kooer.	•	•	R., 105.	
Fazal Haq v. Maha Chand	•	:	18 W. R., 330 I. L. R., 1 All., 557 .	5
Fazal Imam v. Metta Singh	•	•	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 549 .	3
Fazal Muhammad v. Phul Kuar	•	•	I. L. R., 2 All., 192	3 5
Fazel Biswas v. Jamadar Sheik Fazulun-nissa Begum v. Mulo	•		I. L. R., 13 Calc., 231 I. L. R., 6 All., 250	
Feda Hossein. In the matter of the peti	tion of	•	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 431	2 6
	MOH OI	•		2
v. Empress	•	•	10 C. L. R., 184 9 W. R., 459	ĵ
o remailentement	•	•		•
v. Khajooroonnissa v. Syedoonissa Feddah Hossein. In the matter of the p			I Ind. Jur., N. S., 80 .	2

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Fegredo v. Mahomed Moddessur v. Mahomed Mudessur Fehrsen v. Simpson	10 W. R., 267	1783, 2986 443, 3670 6215
Felani Hariani. In the matter of Fenn. In the matter of the estate of .	16 W. R., Cr., 12 3 B. L. R., Ap., 3	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 128 \end{array}$
Fenwick. In the matter of the petition of .	6 B. L. R., Ap., 47:14 W. R., Cr., 72.	2947
Fergusson v. Government	15 W. R., 455 9 W. R., 158	4541 5128
Fernandez v. Alves	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 382	6198
v. Fernandez v. Vasudev Shanbog	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 12 3 Bom., A. C., 82	1565 3318
Ferrier v. Ram Kalpa Ghose	23 W. R., 403	5842
Fida Ali v. Muzaffar Ali	I. L R., 5 All., 65	3718
Fida Hossein v. Gholam Jilani	7 N. W., 346	2882 48
Fidaye Shikdar v. Ozeerooddeen	I. L. R., 7 All., 38 7 W. R., 87	3252, 4523
Financial Association of India and China v. Pranjivandas Harjivandas.	3 Bom., O. C., 25	1896, 2626
Finlay, Campbell, & Co. v. Steele	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 39	6275
Finlay, Muir, & Co. v. Gopee Kristo Gossamee Fireengee Singh v. Ahmed Hossein	24 W. R., 404	3015 1351
Firingee Sahoo v. Sham Manjhee	8 W. R., 373	4469
Fischer v. Fischer	6 Mad., 393	1819
v. Kamala Naicker	3 W. R., P. C., 33: 8 Moore's 1. A., 170.	
Fisher v. Nundoo Coomar Mundle . v. Pearse	Marsh., 625 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 1	2736, 4660 1588 1982
Fitzpatrick v. Gowan	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 420 6 W. R., Act X, 64	567
v. Seeta Roy	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 170	1640
v. Wallace	2 B. L. R., A. C., 317: 11 W. R., 231.	3045, 5182
Fleming v. Koegler	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 237 3 C. L. R., 297.	1059
Fleming Spinning and Weaving Co. In re	4 B. L. R., O. C., 92 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 299	5536 949
	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 494	950
Flowest v. Kootoob Hossein	2 Agra, 274	1588
Foggo v. Loudon	Agra, F. B., 100: Ed. 1874, 75	5787
Fondclair v. Vinaitheethan Chetty	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 139 5 Mad 251	3085 5809
Foolbashee Kowar v. Arzun Sahoo	5 Mad., 251	1434, 4316
Fool Chund Johurry v. Ramkristo Bose		3986
Foolee Bibee v. Bassiruddy Mirdha	12 W. R., F. B., 25	4143
Forbes v. Ameeroonissa Begum	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 117: 5 W. R., P. C., 47: 10 Moore's I. A., 340.	4015, 4631
v. Bhujloo Roy	6 C. L. R., 301	545
v. Dyanutoollah	10 W. R., 415	5133
v. Girish Chandra Bhuttacharjee .	6 B. L. R., Ap., 3:14 W. R., Cr., 31.	3864
v. Lutchmeput Singh	10 B. L. R., 139: 17 W. R., 197: 14 Moore's I. A., 330	5314
v. Mahomed Hossein	12 B. L. R., 210: 20 W. R.,	1991, 4211 4648, 5351
v. Mahomed Kasseem	1 W. R., 238	1382

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Forbes v. Mahomed Taki	5 B. L. R., 529: 14 W. R., P. C., 28: 13 Moore's I. A., 438.	.36, 2035
v. Mean Jan v. Nund Commar Mundul	3 W. R., 69 2 W. R., Act X, 35	4206 1605
v. Protap Singh Doogur	7 W. R., 409 .	5301, 531 1 5338
v. Purtap Singh Doogur v. Ram Lall Biswas v. Sree Lal Jha v. Tullockchand Manockchand	7 W. R., 400 22 W. R., 51 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 365 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 386	3351 5192 542 1086
Fornaro v. Ramnarain Sookdeb .	14 B. L. R., 180: 23 W. R.,	1088, 5720
Forester v. Secretary of State	12 B. L. R., 120: 18 W. R., 349: L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 10.	58, 5111 550 7
v. ·	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 161 : L. R., 4 I. A., 137.	2696
Fort Gloucester Mills Co. In the matter of Foschola v. Huro Chunder Bose Foujdar Khan v. Bainee Doobey Foulkes v. Rajabrathna Mudali	Bourke, O. C., 260 8 W. R., 284 3 Agra, 398 6 Mad., 175	6062 1614 5436 3064
Fowle v . Fowle	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 260: 3 C.	1546, 1555
Fox. In re Framjiee Cowasjee v. Thompson Framji Besanji Dastur v. Hormasji Pestanji Framji Bomanji v. Hormasji Barjorji Framji Hormasji v. Commissioner of Customs Framji Kavasji v. Hormasji Kavasji Framji Rustamji v. Ratansha Pestanji Franks v. Nuneh Mal	L. R., 484. I. L. R., 13 Calc., 67 3 Moore's I. A., 422 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 258 3 Bom., O. C., 49 7 Bom., A. C., 89 1 Bom., 220 9 Bom., 49 7 N. W., 79	2665 4046 5486 3090, 5875 2703, 5497 2807 208, 796 3501, 3517
Freck v. Harley	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 418: 7 C. L. 7 R., 237.	<i>5</i> 718
Freeman v. Fairlie . French v. Baranashee Banerjee . Frewin v. Paul	1 Moore's I. A., 305 8 W. R., 29 12 W. R., 532	2962, 6000 3919 181 7
Fuckoruddeen Mahomed Ahsan v. Mohima Chunder Chowdhry.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 529	3331
Fuggoo Daye v. Ranah Daye Fukeer Ahmed v. Issur Chunder Das Fukeer Chunder Shaha v. Nobodeep Chunder Shaha Fukeerdass Pooroheet v. Gopal Mookerjee Fukeeroodeen Adam Shah. In the matter of the petition	4 W. R., Mis., 3 . 20 W. R., 481 . W. R., 1864, 59 . 12 W. R., 107 .	2276 1377 4301 6106
of.	11 W. R., 413 .	3085
Fukeerooddeen Mahomed Ahsun Chowdhry v. Kurreem Buksh Chowdhry. Fukeeroonissa Begum v. Chunder Monee Dossee . Fukheerooddeen v. Kalachand Sirdar . Fukurooddeen Mahomed Ahsan Chowdhry v. Annund.	5 W. R., 43 12 W. R., 538 1 W. R., 287	473 5072 5121
nath Roy.	9 W. R., 370	5138
Fuller v. Bishoon Kooer Fulli Bibi v. Bassirudi Midha Fultoo Bibee v. Bhurrut Lall Bhukut Fulvahu v. Goculdas Vallabhdas Funeendro Deb Roy Kut v. Jogendro Deb Fureedoonissa v. Ruhomut	3 N. W., 25 4 B. L. R., F. B., 54 10 W. R., 299 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 275 23 W. R., 220 4 W. R., 37	1034, 1106 1750, 4143 3670 10, 5170 277 2021

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Fureedunnissa v. Ram Onogra Singh	21 W. R., 19	184 5 4928 3374 4928
Furookh Hossein v. Fuzul Hossein	1 N. W., 209: Ed. 1873, }	1379
Furzund Ali v. Abdool Rahim v. Ahmed Hossein v. Jaffree Bebee Furzund Ali Khao v. Aka Ali Mahomed	4 W. R., 30 1 Agra, 267 5 N. W., 118 3 C. L. R., 194	4821 5986 4989 644. 2594
Furzund Hossein v. Janu Bibee Fusseebun v. Ashrufoonnissa	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 588 . 23 W. R., 463	3647 2692
Futeek Parooee v. Mohender Nath Mozoomdar .	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 385 : 25 W. R., 226.	1378, 5771
Futeh Ali alias Nanna Meah v. Gregory Futteh Ali v. Asgur Ali	6 W. R., Mis., 13 17 W. R., 11	3 911, 5 42 5 5279
v. Gunganath Roy	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 113: 10 C. L. R., 20.	1122,5672
v. Kureem Ali Futteh Ali Shah v. Fuzeeluttunnissa Bebee v. Mahomed Mukeem Oodeen Futteh Bahadoor v. Gibbon	10 W. H., 61 W. R., 1864, 131 W. R., 1864, 131 22 W. R., Cr. 74	. 7 56 3 686 3 686 4 736
Futtehma Begum v. Mahomed Ausur Futteh Mahomed. In the matter of the petition of Futtehoollah v. Oomdanissa Bibee Futteh Ram v. Monohur Lall	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 309 21 W. R., Cr, 30 14 W. R., 69 3 Agra, 39	2713, 5759 4355 4899 3191
Futtehsangji Jaswantsangji v. Deasai Kullianrai Hakoomutraiji.	13 B. L. R., 254: 10 Bom., 281: L. R., 1 I. A., 24: 21 W. R., 178.	3118, 3377 3408
Futteh Singh v. Gango Futtick Chunder Banerjee v. Golam Ali Chowdhry Futtick Chunder Chatterjee v. Juggut Mohinee Dabi Futtick Chund Sahoo v. Makund Jha Fuzal Ali v. Bia Bibi Chowdhrain Fuzeehun v. Kajo Fuzeelun v. Keramut Hossein	4 W. R., 4	376 3876 2333 3103 1504 2072 3516
Fuzcelun Beebee v . Omdah Beebee	10 W. R., 469 {	3632, 4902 6244
	11 B L. R., 60, note: 10 W	459, 4246
Fuzeelutoonissa v. Hocrmutoonissa . Fuzl Hossein v. Tussuduck Ali Khan Fuzl Moula v. Gholam Shurruff . Fuzlon v. Abdoollah . Fuzl-ood-deen v. Imteaz-oon-nissa . Fuzlool Ruhman v. Ali Kureem . Fuzloonnissa v. Nawabunnissa . Fuzloor Ruhman v. Altaf Hossen .	Marsh., 218: 1 Hay, 559 13 W. R., 395 12 W. R., 505 7 W. R., 169 3 Agra, 152 5 W. R., 163 2 Hay, 479	3644 182 667 2929 3015 4014 3702 3503
Fuzludeen Kuan v. Fakir Mahomed Khan .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 541 . I. L. R., 5 Calc., 336 : 4 C. L. R., 257.	4804, 4831
Fuzul Ali v. Jumna Doss Fuzulutoonissa v. Chutter Dharee Singh Fuzzle Ali. In the matter of the petition of Fuzzoo Khan v. Issur Chunder Sircar Fuzzul Hossei v. Amjud Ali Khan v. Enayet Ali Khan Fyaz-ood-deen v. Pudmee	1 Agra, 229 6 W. R., Mis., 43 19 W. R., Cr., 8 Marsh., 30 17 W. R., 523 2 W. R., 268 4 N. W., 68	1139 3519 4435 195 4232 5782 4245, 5172

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Fyazooddeen Bhooya v. Shumsunnissa Beebee Fyez Ali v. Omedee Singh Fyezoollah v. Kazim Hossein Fyezoonnissa v. Sadutoollah Fyz-ood-deen v. Giraudh Singh	2 W. R., 508 1 W. R., 265 4 W. R., 29 2 W. R., 3 N. W., 1	3797, 5436 1786 3895 4827 3778
G		
G—v. G	8 Bom., O. C., 48 9 B. L. R., Ap., 39	1549 4491, 6255
Gabind Chandra v. Collector of Dacca	3 B. L. R., Ap., 57: 11 W. R., 491.	3438
Gabind Chandra Biswas v. Hem Chandra Barder . Gabind Chandra Dutt v. Ganga Dhye Gabind Prasad Tewari v. Udai Chand Rana	6 B. L. R., Ap., 115 7 B. L. R., 333 6 B. L. R., 320	3616 4410 1428
Gabindsundari Debi v. Jagadamba Debi {	6 B. L. R., 168: 15 W. R., P. C., 5.	4653
Gabriel v. Mordakai Gadadhar Moitry v. Ganga Prasad Moitry Gadgeppa Desai v. Apaji Jivanrao Gaetree Dabea v. Thakoor Doss Gahan v. Owen v. — v. —	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 148 4 B. L. R., O. C., 89 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 237 W. R., 1864, Act X, 78 Bourke, O. C., 17: Cor., 149 Cor., 11	5901 354 2204 4935 2687 5532
Gajadhar Persad v. Widows of Emam Ali Beg	15 B. L. R., 221: L. R., 2 I., A., 205.	4636
Gajadhar Prasad v . Ganesh Tewari	7 B. L. R., 149: 15 W. 3.,	208, 404
Gajadhar Prasad Narayan Singh. In re Gajapathi v. Alagia	1 B. L. R., A. C., 186 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 89	5731, 6238 6122
Gajapathi Nilamani v. Gajapathi Radhamani .	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 290: 1 C. L. R., 97: L. R., 4 I. A., 212.	2316, 2464
Gajapathi Radhika Patta Mahadebi Guru v. Gajapathi Hari Krishna Debi Guru.	6 B. L. R., 202: 14 W. R., P. C., 33: 13 Moore's I. A., 497.	988
Gajapati Radhika Patta Mahadevi Guru v. Gajapati Radhamani Mahadevi Guru.	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 96 .	3553
Gajapaty Hari Krishna Devi Garu v. Gajapaty Radhika Patta Maha Devi Garu.	2 Mad., 369	988
Gajapaty Nilamani Patta Maha Devi Garu v. Gajapaty Radhika Patta Negha Deva Garu.	2 Mad., 369	988
Gajendranarayan Roy v. Hemangini Dasi	4 B. L. R., Ap., 27: 13 W. R., 35.	5977
Gajjo Koer v. Ally Ahmed	6 B. L. R., Ap., 62: 14 W. R., 474.	1743
Gale v. Maharanee Sreemutty Gale v. Chedi Jha Gambhirmal v. Chejmal v. Chejmal Jodhmal Gamble. Ex parte v. Abdur Rahiman bin Alli v. Bholagir	15 W. R., 133 2 Hay, 591 10 Bom., 406 11 Bom., 151 2 Bom., 150: 2nd Ed., 142 12 Bom., 257 2 Bom., 150: 2nd Ed., 147	2995 2983 5768 228, 5919 2627 11, 3449 2628, 2658
v. Bholagir Mangir	1 Bom., 251	2658, 2670 4287
Gamirullah Sarkar v. Abdul Sheikh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 408 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 540 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 222	5911 786, 3544 111

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gandharee Debea v. Sonatun Panday Gandharp Singh v. Sahib Singh Gane Bhive Parab v. Kane Bhive Ganeemut Hossein v. Rungoo Sahoo Ganendra Mohan Tagore v. Upendra Mohan Tagore Ganendro Mohun Tagore v. Juttendro Mohun Tagore	10 W. R., 215 I. L. R., 7 All., 184 4 Bom., A. C., 169 3 C. L. R., 8 4 B. L. R., 0. C., 103 12 B. L. R., 1 14 P. L. R., 60: 22 W. R., 377: L. R., 1 I. A., 387 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 270: L. R.,	
Ganesa Ratnamaiyar v. Gopala Ratnamaiyar Ganesh Bhikaji Juvekar v. Bhikaji Krishna Juvekar Ganesh Chandra Chowdhry v. Ram Coomar Chowdhry Ganeshee Lall v. Toolaram	7 I. A., 173. I. L. R., 10 Bom., 398 . 3 B. L. R., A. C., 265 . 3 Agra, 376 : Agra, F. B., Ed.	2123 4897 4883 3239
Ganesh Hathi v. Mehta Vyankatram Harjivan Ganeshi Lall v. Luchman Dass . Ganesh Kooer v. Deedar Buksh Ganesh Krishna v. Madhavrao Ravji . Ganesh Krishna v. Madhavrao Ravji . Ganesh Iall Tewari v. Shamnarain Ganesh Pandurang Agte v. Gangadhur Ramkrishna Ganesh Pershad v. Sheo Churun Lall . Ganesh Rai v. Kalka Prasad Ganesh Ram Chandra Date v. Shankar Ramchandra Ganesh Ravji v. Govind Gopal . Ganesh Sadashiv. In the matter of the petition of Ganesh Shivram v. Abdula Beg . Ganesh Sundari Debi. In the matter of Ganesh Sundari Debi. In the matter of Ganes Sing v. Ramgopal Sing . Ganeswar Sing v. Durga Dutt Ganga v. Murli Dhar Gangabai v. Kalapa Dari Mukrya v. Sitaram v. Vamanaji Datar	1874, 167. I. L. R., 8 Bom., 188 5 N. W., 31 5 N. W., 128 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 75 8 B. L. R., 83:17 W. R., 80 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 213 6 Bom., A. C., 244 6 N. W., 197 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 395 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 395 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 461 5 Bom., A. C., 117 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 538 3 B. L. R., P. C., 44:12 W. R., P. C., 38. 5 B. L. R., 418 5 B. L. R., 48 5 B. L. R., 49, 55 7 B. L. R., 651 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 419 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 419 1 L. R., 1 All., 170 2 Bom., 301	620, 5687 3716 3997 3345 75, 2004 5376 244 484, 1912 4978 420 1523 3141 851 1379, 1560 1725 2094, 3851 107 4654 844, 1705 2990, 3062, 4474, 5110 2423 2156
Ganga Bisheshar v. Pirthi Pal	I. L. R., 2 All., 635 3 B. L. R., A. C., 208: 12 W. R., 97. 5 Mad., 111	2157 3399 2510
Ganga Dass Adhikaree. In re. Ganga Dayal. In the matter of the petition of Gangadhar v. Zahurriya Gangadhar v. Sivarama Gangadhar Bhivrav v. Datto Krishnaji Gangadhar Raghunath v. Chimnaji Keshav Damle Gangadhar Sakharam v. Mahadu Santajy Gangadhar Shivkarn v. Collector of Ahmednagar Gangadhar Sirkar v. Kasinath Biswas Ganga Din v. Dhurandhar Singh v. Kushali Gangagobinda v. Kaliprasanna Naik	11 B. L. R., Ap., 23, note: 14 W. R., 281. 1. L. R., 4 All., 375 1. L. R., 8 All., 446 1. L. R., 8 Mad., 246 1. L. R., 9 Bom., 368 5 Bom., A. C., 95 1. L. R., 8 Bom., 20 1. L. R., 1 Bom., 628 9 B. L. R., 128 1. L. R., 5 All., 495 1. L. R., 7 All., 702 1 B. L. R., 7 All., 702 1 B. L. R., S. N., 13: 10 W. 3 R., 206.	4340 3883 2864, 3274 3932 2654 3748 350, 1521 905 5803 5199 5417 372

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ganga Gobind Mandal v. Bani Madhab Ghose .	3. B. L. R., A.C., 172:11 W.	4004
Ganga Gobind Sen v. Gobind Chandra Roy .	R., 548. 4 B. L. R., Ap., 39	1179
	I. L. R., 1 All., 46	2497
Ganga Mulik v. Bayaji	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 669	4020, 4186
Ganganarain Sircar v. Brindabun Chunder Kur Chowdhry.	1	. 2240
Ganga Narayan Das v. Saroda Mohun Roy Chowdhry	3 B. L. R. A. C., 230:12 W. R., 30.	1753
Ganga Pershad Sahu v. Gopal Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 136: L. R., 11 I. A., 234.	5469
v. Maharani Bibi	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 379 : L. R., 12 I. A., 47.	2079
Gangappa v. Kapinappa	5 Mad., 128 I. L. R., 7 All., 256	5662
Ganga Prasad v. Chandrawati	I. L. R., 7 All., 256	2863
v. Gajahdhar Prasad v	1. L. R., 2 All., 651 I. L. R., 1 All., 611	245 3889
	0 1) T 1) 400 10 TIT TO)	۱.
v. Mawji Lal	P. C., 30.	629
Ganga Prasad Gosain. In the goods of	4 B. L. R., Ap., 43	3747
Gangaram v. Bansi	1. L. R., 2 All., 431	4833 .
Gangaram v. Bansi v. Beni Ram v. Chandan Singh v. Data Ram Gangaram Velgi v. Parbhu Dyaram c. Data Ram	I. L. R., 7 All., 148	2869 629
v. Orangan Singu	I. L. R., 8 All., 82	191, 6229
Gangaram Velgi v. Parbhu Dyaram	9 Bom., 272	423
Ganga Sahai v. Hira Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 809	365
v. Kishen Sahai	I. L. R., 6 All., 262 I. L. R., 8 All., 194	603 4 3990
v. Lachman Singh Gangathara Pandithar v. Rathabai Ammal	I. L. R., 6 Mad, 237	5447
Gangbai v. Thavar Mulla	1 Bom., 71	3735
Ganges Manufacturing Company v. Sourujmull .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 669 : 5 C. L. R., 533.	1714
Ganges Steam Navigation Company. In the matter of .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 394	952
v	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180	3146, 3200
Gangii Vithal a Sitaram Shuidhan	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 181 . 9 Bom., 33	3236 4440
Gangoo Singh. In the matter of	2 C. L. R., 389	000
Gangubai kom Sidhappa v. Ramanna bin Bhimanna	2 C. L. R., 389	2265
Gangulu v. Ancha Bapulu	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 73	2170
Gankim Swee v. Ralli Brothers	1. 11. 10., 10 (010., 101. 11. 10.,	1088
Gannu Lal v. Ram Sahai	I. L. R., 7 All., 197	3832
Gannu Lal v. Ram Sahai Ganpat Bajashet v. Khandu Changshet Ganpatgir Bholagir v. Ganpatgir Ganpatji v. Saadat Ali Ganpatlal Anupram v. Sampatram Ghelabhai	4 Bom., A. C., 69	4828, 6144
Gaupatgir Bholagir v. Ganpatgir	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 230	1426, 1441 5383
Ganpatji v. Saadat Ali Ganpatlal Anupram v. Sampatram Ghelabhai	I. L. R., 2 All., 787	421
Ganpat Manikji Patil. In the matter of the petition of.	6 Bom., O. C., 64	4757
Ganpat Moroba v. Lakshmibai	5 Bom., O. C., 128 . {	1700, 2509 2540
Ganpat Pandurang v. Adarji Dadabhai	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 312 . {	2500, 3439 4773
Ganpat Pataya v. Collector of Kanara	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 7	4343
Ganpat Rai v. Sadupi	I. L. R., 1 All., 446	3919
Ganpatram Lakhmiram v. Jaichand Talakchand	4 Bom., A. C., 105, 109.	2772, 5775
Gannatray Panahadii a Pri Sami	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 133, note 7 Bom., A. C., 79	2851 2795
Ganpatrav Ranchodji v. Bai Suraj	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 112	5111
- Canosa Daji Dilat		

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ganpatrav Vireshvar v. Vithoba Khandappa Ganraj Dubey v. Sheozore Singh	4 Bom., A. C., 130 I. L. R., 2 All., 898	2133 2392 2378, 4996
Gan Savant Bal Savant v. Narayan Dhond Savant .	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 467 . {	5047
Ganubhai v. Srinivasa Pilla Ganu bin Hanmantrav v. Moro Ganesh Gapinath v. Abbas Hossein Gapi Nath Nandi v. Shib Chandra Nandi Garbhu Bhagat v. Runglal Sing Garden Reach Spinning and Manufacturing Company v. Empress of India Cotton Mills Company. Gardiner v. Fell	4 Mad., 84 10 Bom., 429 7 B. L. R., 434, note 6 B. L. R., Ap., 74 7 B. L. Ap., 33 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 551 1 Moore's I. A., 299	1393 4284 589, 3637 364 6157 4523 2962
Gardner. Ex parte	6 Bom., O. C., 138 5 B. L. R., 342, note	564 7 5139
Garibulla Paramanick v. Fakir Mahomed Kolu .	1 B. L. R., S. N., 13:10 W. R., 203.	5669
Garland v. Rai Mohun Hazrah "Garland;" The, v. "Dragon," The Garuda Reddi o. Gudi Janakayya Garu Gash v. McLean	1 W. R., 15 1 Hyde, 275 1 Mad., 124 2 N. W., 403	4928 2833 2697, 4947 3199
Gasper. In the goods of $\{$	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 733 : 2 C. L. R., 436.	1238
Gasper (falsely called Gonsalves) v. Gonsalves	13 B. L. R., 109	1547, 3785
Gatharam Mistree v. Moohita Kochin Atteah Domoonee 🥈	14 B. L. R., 298: 23 W. R.,	5101
Gaura v. Gayadin	1. L. R., 4 All., 355	682
Gaurchandra Chuckerbutty v . Krishna Mohan Sing	2 B. L. R., S. N., 4: 10 W. R., Cr., 46.	4367
Gaur Chandra Roy v. Rash Behari Dutt	1 B. L. R., S. N., 1: 10 W. R., 43.	158
Gaurevallaba Ramchandra Vellia Bomaya Nayik v. Virappa Chetti.	2 Mad., 174	1684, 1828
Gaur Hari Das v. Madan Mohan Biswas	3 B. L. R., A. C., 16: 11 W. R., 330.	3309
Gaur Hari Das Adhikari v. Hayagrib Das	6 B. L. R., 371	3769
Gaur Hari Dutt v. Radha Gobind Shaha {	7 B. L. R., 343, note: 12 W. R., 54.	413
Gaurhari Gui v . Peari Dasi $lacksquare$ $lacksquare$	B L. R., Sup. Vol., 48: W. R., F. B., 165.	2207
Gaurhari Singh v . Behari Raut $\left. \cdot \right.$	3 B. L. R., Ap., 138: 12 W. R., 277.	5180
Gauri v. Chandramani Gauri Anantha Parathesi alias Satthappayyan v. Kali-	I. L. R., 1 All., 262 3 Mad., 213	2259 2914
appa Setti. Gauri Baijnathprasad v. Budhu Sing Gauri Dat v. Gur Sahai Gaurinath Mookerjee v. Madhumani Peshkar	2 B. L. R., S. N., 16 I. L. R., 2 All., 41 9 B. L. R., Ap., 37	5002 2483 2987
Gauri Prasad Koondoo v . Reily • • • $\left\{ ight.$	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 112: 12 C. } L. R., 41.	3844
Gauri Sahai. In the matter of the petition of	1. L. R., 6 All., 114	5524 5610, 5736 2317
Gauri Shankar v. Mumtaz Ali Khan	I. L. R., 2 All., 411	1096
Gaur Lal Sirkar v. Rameswar Bhumik	I. L. R., 3 All., 276 6 B. L. R , Ap., 92	3345 5192
Gaur Mohan Chowdhry v. Madan Mohan Chowdhry	6 B. L. R., 352: 15 W. R.,	3375

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gaur Mohan Dass v. Ramrup Mazoomdar Gaur Mohan Sing. In the matter of the petition of	{ 1 B. L. R., A. C., 42: 10 W. } R., 84. 8 B. L. R., Ap., 11	4757 1960
Gaur Narayan Mazumdar v. Brajanath Kundu Chow-		3953
dhry. Gavdapa v. Shibasangyada	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 133, note	2851
Compdet a Vatab and alica	. I. L. R., 6 All., 578 .	2873
Gayadeen v. Ramsahai	. 2 Agra, Pt. II, 181	4548
GayaJati v. Ramjiawan Ram	. I. L. R., 8 All., 569	3070 1887
Gayadeen v. Ramsahai GayaJati v. Ramjiawan Ram Gaya Parshad v. Bhup Singh Gaya Prasad v. Gaya Prasad v. Salik Prasad v. Sikri Gayaram Mazumdar v. Madhusudan	I. L. R., 1 All., 180	3935
Gaya Frasad v. Gaya Frasad	I. L. R., 3 All., 682	3935
v. Sikri	. I. L. R., 4 All., 23	3460
	I. L. R., 4 All., 23 4 B. L. R., Ap., 73	4824
Gayasoodeen v. Khuda Buksh	. 1 N. W., 87 : Ed. 1873, 139 •	2974
Gazee v . Hameed Buksh	. 16 W. R., 160	349, 351
	. 25 W. R., 352 · · ·	6154 34 02
Gedroo Sircar v. Beharee Lall Rudra	. 20 W. R., 165	3858, 4273
Geereeballa Dabee v. Chunder Kant Mookerjee .	. I. L. R., 11 Calc., 213 . {	4526
Geetum Sing v. Buldeo Kahar	. 4 N. W., 76	1539
Gehanaji bin Kes Patil v. Ganpati bin Lakshuman	. I. L. R., 2 Bom., 469	52 61
	[L. R., 13 I. A., 100 : I. L. R.,]	2245
Gendapuri v. Chhatarpuri) 9 All., 1.	
Gend Lall Tewari v. Denonath Ram Tewari .	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 673	1693 5658
Gendu Malhari v. Govind Atmaram	10 Bom., 409	9090
General Manager, Raj Durbungha v. Ramput Singh	14. Moore's I. A., 605: 17 W. R., 459: 10 B. L. R., 294.	2517, 5404
Gengu Reddi v. Asal Reddi	1 Mad., 12	5631
Gentle v. Bank of Hindostan, China and Japan .	. 1 Ind. Jur., N S., 245	3104
v. Thomson	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 69 · · ·	591
George. In the goods of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 138: 15 W.	1236
-	R., 457, note.	1554
v. George	11 B. L. R., Ap., 2	6202
v. Hamilton, Brown, & Co.		5142
v. Ram Ruttun	4 N. W., 74	225
Gerender Coomar Dutt v. Juggadumba Dabee	. I. L. R., 5 Calc., 126 · · ·	3466, 5914
CT 111 () TT 111	3 N. W., 51: Agra, F. B.,	5877
Ghalib Ali v. Khilloo	Ed. 1874, 243.	410
Ghamshamlal v. Bhansali	. I. L. R., 5 Bom., 249	431
Ghanasham Das Goorsamull v. Joharimull Kedarinath	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 431	3878
Ghannoo Singh v. Ram Gobind Singh	. 13 W. R., 231	4142
Ghansam Singh v. Chukowree Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 320	3520
Characa a Installa	2 Agra, 226	,2476
Ghasee Khan v. Kulloo	2 Agra, 226	5000
Ghaseeram. In re	. 12 B. L. R., Ap., 4 · ·	917
Ghasi Ram v. Nuraj Begam	I. L. R., 1 All., 31 · · ·	3091 5789
Ghasi Singh v. Budh Singh	7 N. W., 193 15 B. L. R., Ap., 10.	267 0
Ghasseram. In the matter of	15 B. L. R., Ap., 10. 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 241	3315
	0 Ind Inc N S 205 .	1451
Ghasseram Misser v. Williamson	8 W. R., 24	208
		208 1695, 3981 1165

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ghazi v. Kadir Baksh	I. L. R., 1 All., 212 .	5456
Ghazidin v. Fakir Bakhsh	I. L. R., 7 All., 73	205, 804
Ghelabhai Bhikaridas v. Pranjivan Ichharam Ghella Pema v. Gumtibai	11 Bom., 218 8 Bom., O. C., 140	1940 4775, 5349 132, 3087
Ghinaram Dobey v. Ram Monaruth Ram Dobey	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 566, note: 7 C. L. R., 580.	3431
Ghirdharee Singh v. Koolahul Singh	6 W. R., P. C., 1; 2 Moore's I. A., 344.	2226, 2502 4397, 4646
Ghisa v. Didari	7 N. W., 257 24 W. R., 389	2865 4173
Gholab Khan. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 83: 15 W. R., 171.	3882
	7 B. L. R., 179: 16 W. R., Cr., 15.	3 88 2
Gholab Khoonwarree Bebee v. Eshur Chunder Chow-dhry.	2 W. R., P. C., 47: 8 Moore's I. A., 447.	1703
Gholam Ali v. Asgur Ali	11 W. R., 105	330
Gholam Ali Chowdhry v. Collector of Backergunge Gholam Ally v. Nundaya	2 C. L. R., 39 Marsh., 264: 2 Hay, 108	47 544
Gholam Durbesh Chowdhry v. Sham Kishore Roy	W. R., 1864, 378	3182
Gholam Hossein v. Abdool Kadir .	5 N. W., 11	3726
v. Hur Gobind Dass v. Okhoy Coomar Ghose	1 W. R., 19	5650
v. Okhoy Coomar Ghose	3 W. R., Act X, 169	5782
Gholam Hyder v. Poorno Chunder Roy	3 W. R., Act X, 147	5189 410
Gholam Mahomed v. Indra Chand Jahuri	7 B. L. R., 318: 15 W. R., 34	194
Gholam Mahomed Akbur v. Koonj Beharee Lal .	5 W. R., Mis., 27 . B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 974: 10	
Gholam Mohamed v. Asmut Ali Khan Chowdhry.	W. R., F. B., 14.	2932
v. Calcutta Club	Cor., 67	4532
Gholam Mukhdoom v. Ashuck Jan Bibee	25 W. R., 86	5355
Gholam Murteza Chowdhry v. Goluck Chunder Roy	3 W. R., 191	1700 2166
Gholam Nujjuff v. Toossoodduck Hossein	1 W. R., 126	3166 4017
Gholam Nuzuf v. Emanum	9 W. R., 275 1 B. L. R., O. C., 130	2675
Gholam Singh v. Bejoyram Protab Singh	W. R., 1864, 317	6079
Gholam Sobhan alias Saboo Meah v. Mahomed Rouf	20 W. R., 286	670
Ghoogly Sahoo v. Chundee Pershad Misser	21 W. R., 246	3817
Ghoolam Moortoozah Khan v. Government	9 Moore's I. A., 456 .	4066, 4650
Ghooroo Singh v. Dabee Dyal	2 Agra, 280	4543
Ghosee Lall v. Gaind Lall Ghotae Mundle v. Kajroo	3 Agra, 184	3992
Ghotae Mundle v. Kajroo	16 W. R., 227	5171
Ghufoorun Bebee v. Mustukedeh	2 Agra, 300 1 Mad., 281	3412, 36 6 0 3730
Ghulam Muhammad Naiamut Khan v. Dale Ghulam Mustafa v. Hurmat	I. L. R., 2 All., 854	3685
Ghumundee Singh. In the matter of the petition of	23 W. R., Cr., 30.	4750
Ghunshyam Chobey v. Kasheenath Shanteekaree.	3 W. R., Act X, 4 .	1621
Ghunshyam Singh v. Runjeet Singh	4 W. R., Act X, 39	1178
v. Tara Proshad Coondoo	I. L. R. 8 Calc., 465: 10 C. L. R., 447.	1664
Ghuntham Doss v. Mooltan Mull	2 N. W., 229	166, 3845
Ghurbin Bind v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R, 10 Calc., 1097.	1792
Ghurreeb Hossein Chowdhry v. Useemoonnissa Khatoon	1 Hay, 528	1742, 2020
Ghursobhit Ahir v. Ramdut Singh .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 923 : 6 C. L. R., 537.	5040
Gibbon v Abdur Rahman Khan .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 411 .	2854, 6044
v. Sheo Purshun Misser .	17 W. R., 236	1907

Name of Case.	. Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gilby v. Sabhu Pillai Gillanders, Arbuthnot and Company v. Obhoy Churn	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 100	99
Nundy.	23 W. R., 139	1525
Gilmore v. Rose	6 N. W., 311	5500
v. Sreemunt Bhoomick	W. R., 1864, Act X, 77 W. R., 1864, Act X, 72	5185 5176
Girdar Das Vallaba Das. In the goods of	1 Mad., 234	132
Girdhar v. Kalya	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 83	2839
Girdhar v. Kalya Girdhar Dalpat v. Haribhai Narayan	7 Bom., A. C., 3	4852
Girdharee v. Dilsookh Rai	5 N. W., 221	907
Girdharee Lall v. Deanut Ali	14 B. L. R., 187 : 22 W. R.,	3727
v. Kantoo Lall	56 : L. R., 1 I. A., 321.	2164
v. Oomrao Singh	3 Agra, 249	1680
Girdharee Lall Singh v. Modhoo Roy	18 W. R., 323	1810 4267
Girdharee Lall Singh Pasban v. Chundee Porshad Girdharee Sahoo v. Hera Lall Seal	21 W. R., 277	4253
Girdharee Singh v. Collis	8 W. R., 497 :	3894
v. Koolahul Singh {	6 W. R., P. C., 1:2 Moore's }	2226, 2502
T 11 TZ	I. A., 344.	4397, 4646
v. Lalloo Koenwur	3 W. R., Mis., 23 24 W. R., 462	$1684 \\ 3724$
v. Rojun Singh	3 B. L. R., A. C., 161: 11 W. }	4196
Girdhari v. Sheo Raj	I. L. R., 1 All., 431	2827
Girdhari Das v. Powlett	I. L. R., 2 All., 690 .	4253
Girdhari Singh v . Hurdeo Narain Singh \ldots . $\left\{ ight.$	L. R., 3 I. A., 230: 26 W.	5479
Girdharlal v. Bai Shiv	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 309	4945
Girdharlal Dayaldas v. Jaganath Girdharbhai	10 Bom., 182 {	4257, 440 4430
Girdhar Manordas v. Dayabhai Kalabhai	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 174	5003
Girdhar Nagjishet v. Ganpat Maroba	11 Bom., 129 {	311, 150 5818
Girdhar Naran v. Umar Aju	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 326 .	5834
Girdhar Parjaram v. Daji Dalabhram	7 Bom., A. C., 4	6144
Giree Dharee Sahoo v. Buksun	1 W. R., 361	1456
Giree Dharee Singh v. Kalika Sookul	7 W. R., 46 19 W. R., 291	777, 3210 4781
Gireejabutty Kooerree v. Monjee Lal	20 W. R., 477	3563
Gireewar Singh v. Muddun Lall Dass	16 W. R., 252	2091
Girendro Nath Tagore. In the matter of the petition of	14 B. L. R., 334, note •	800 800
Giriapa v. Jakana	10 W. R., 455	2246, 447
Giridhara Doss Manakji Tadahayi Birzi Mohan Doss v. Suraneni Lakshmi Venkamma Row.		3181
Giri Dharee Singh v. Ramkishore Narain Singh .	1 C. L. R., 252	, 3490
Gividhayi Lall Down Gamenant of Pangal	1 B. L. R., P. C., 44: 10 W.	1671, 228
Giridhair Lan Roy v. Government of Dengal		2301
Giridhari Mondul. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 435: 10 C. } L. R., 46.	1958
v. Uchit Jha		1958
Girija Bhusan Holdar v. Akhay Nikari	W. R., 105.	3148
Girija Singh v. Giridhari Singh	1 B. L. R., A. C., 14: 10 W. R., 65.	4827

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of . Digest.
Giriowa v. Bhimaji	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 58	2125
Girish Chandra Bose v. Kali Krishna Haldar .	B. L. R, Sup. Vol., 538:6	1603
Girish Chandra Dass v. Gillanders, Arbuthnot, & Co.	W. R., Act X, 57. 2 B. L. R., O. C., 140.	3790
Girish Chandra Dutt v. Buzul-ul-Huq	3 B. L. R., A. C., 68: 11 W.	4760
Girish Chandra Lahury v. Fakir Chand	R., 412. B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 503: 6	4745, 5618
Girish Chandra Roy Chowdhry v. Amina Khatun .	W. R., Mis., 72. 3 B. L. R., Ap., 125	1775, 4137
v. Rajchandra Roy	2 B. L. R., A. C., 1	4940.
Girish Chunder Nundee. In the matter of the petition of Girjanund Oopadhya v. Chunder Binode Oopadhya .	26 W. R., Cr., 8	715 3526
Girjoji Bhikaji Sonar v. Keshavera Ravji Patil Henge .	2 Bom., 211	4021
Gir Prasad v. Bansi	I. L. R., 2 All., 431	4833 3871
Gisborne & Co. v. Radhanath Das	2 N. W., 306 5 W. R., 253	3386
v. Subal Bowri	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 284: 10 C. L. R., 219.	5842, 5843
Gladstone. In the goods of	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 168	1239
v. Joakim	Cor., 148	5231
~ 1	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 203 4 C. L. R., 106	5826 1368
Gladstone, Wyllie, & Co. v. Harrison	24 W. R., 50	638, 2834
v. Woomesh Chunder Chatterjee	25 W. R., 96	2646
Glascott v. Gopal Sheikh	9 W. R., 254	4246 547, 1644
Gobabjan v. Moshiatoolah	1 C. L. R., 537	1173
Gobardhan v. Balmukand	7 N. W., 349	3428
Gobardhan Barmono v. Mano Bibi	3 B. L. R., O. C., 126: S. C.) on appeal, 5 B. L. R., 76:	278
Gobardhan Das v. Gokal Das	14 W. R., O. C., 34.) I. L. R., 2 All., 633	3993
Columbano Done or Nittennal Mallin	I. L. R., 7 All., 366	1874
Goberdhone Doss v. Nittanund Mullick Goberdhun v. Girwar	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 41 3 Agra, 92	1373 81
v. Gokal Chand	7 N. W., 31.	5203
Gobin Dass v. Ranchore alias Rughobeer	3 N. W., 324	2203
Gobind Chandra Sarma Mazumdar v. Anand Mohan Sarma Mazumdar.	2 B. L. R., A. C., 313	2145,3418
Gobind Chunder Addya v. Afzul Rabbani	I L. R., 9 Calc., 426: 12 C.	4974
Gobind Chunder Aooch v. Bamundoss Mookerjee.	. L. R., 29. 22 W. R., 481	5460, 5468
Gobind Chunder Bagchee v. Kripamoyee Dabee	11 W. R., 338	3367
Gobind Chunder Bagdee v. Gobind Ghose Mundul .	7 W. R., 171 · · ·	4953, 5811
Gobind Chunder Banerjee v. Wise	12 W. R., 19	2744, 3905 4164
Gobind Chunder Bose v. Alimooddeen	11 W. R., 160	5314
Gobind Chunder Burmono v. Allabux	2 Hay, 347	520
Gobind Chunder Chowdhry v. Johurulnissa Bibee Gobind Chunder Chunder v. Kristo Kanto Dutt	18 W. R., 156	3523
Gobind Chunder Dutt v. Hendry	14 B. L. R., Ap., 12 : 24 W. ?	3009 150
v. Hurronath Roy	R., 15. 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 52: 5 W.	1589
v. Kherode Chunder Mitter	R., Act X, 10. 10 B. L. R., Ap., 12	5581
Gobind Chunder Ghose. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., 61	4423
v. Anundo Chunder Sircar .	18 W. R., Cr., 54.	4491

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gobind Chunder Ghose v. Bykunt Nath Ghose	19 W. R., 61	4423 1173
Gobind Chunder Goopto v. Raj Kishore Sein	14 W. R., 365	3712 50g1
Gobind Chunder Koondoo v. Taruck Chunder Bose	L. R., 35.	5061
Gobind Chunder Lahory v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co.	7 W. R., 163	6109
Gobind Chunder Moitra. In re	L. R., 217.	1266
Gobind Chunder Mojoomdar v. Bissumbhurree Dossee . v. Dulmeer Khan .	2 W. R., Act X, 5 23 W. R., 125 14 B. L. R., 337: 22 W. R., \	532, 5206 2504, 3397 .2346, 4858
Gobind Chunder Mookerjee v. Doorgapersad Baboo	248. B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 626: 2)	6135
v. Kalla Gajee {	Ind. Jur., N. S., 119.	513 513
v. Kola Gazi	7 W. R., 185	5463, 547 9
Gobind Chunder Paul Chowdhry v. Ramkishen Paul Chowdhry.	2 W. R., 297	6221, 6223
Gobind Chunder Roy. In the matter of	16 W. R., Cr., 70	5561
v. Sib Nath Shaw	10 W. R., 36	4822 4525
Gobind Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Chunder Chow-	19 W. R., 94	3377
dhry.	22 W. R., 421	5308
Gobind Chunder Sen v. Administrator General of Sengal.	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 17: 1 W. R., P. C., 43: 9 Moore's I. A., 140.	4585
Gobind Chunder Shaha v. Abdool Gunny	6 W. R., 41	1992 .
v. Ashruf Ali Meah	8 W. R., 490 · · ·	3283 512
Gobind Chund Juttee v . Mun Mohan Jha	17 W. R., 400	2745
v	14 W. R., 43	3045
Gobind Chundra Gangopadhya v. Sherajunnissa Bibi .	13 C. L. R., 1	5367
Gobind Chundra Sen v. Joy Chundra Dass	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 327	5343 3161
Gobind Coomar Chowdhry v. Huro Chunder Chowdhry	7 W. R., 134	4246
Gobind Dass v. Jaykishen Dass	I. L. R., 7 All., 775	3709
Gobind Hari Walekar v. Shidram bin Shidmurti	7 Bom., A. C., 37	1866, 188
Gobind Koomar Chowdhry. In the matter of the	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 714: 2)	5929
petition of.	Ind. Jur., N. S., 199: 7 W. R., 520.	0020
Gobind Kumar Chowdhry v. Hargopal Nag .	3 B. L. R., Ap., 72: 11 W. R., 537.	2570, 333 4397, 582
v. Huro Chandra Nag	5 B. L. R., Ap., 61: 11 W. R., 571: 21 W. R.,	1627
Gobind Lall Seal v. Chand Hurry Maity	442, note. I. L. R., 9 Calc., 172	5332
v. Debendronath Mullick	[I. L. R., 5 Calc., 679 : 5 C.]	3405
	L. R., 527. I. L. R., 6 Calc., 311: 7 C.	3406
v. Debendro Nath Mullick	L. R., 181. I. L. R., 7 Calc., 372: 9 C. L.)	1540
v. Knight	R., 390. B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 48: W.	2207
Gobindmani Dasi v. Shamlal Bysak	R., F. B., 165.	
Gobind Mohun Chuckerbutty v. Sheriff	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 169: 8 C. L. R., 357.	4400,501

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gobind Monee v. Rajendro Kishore Chowdhry Gobind Monee Debia v. Dino Buudhoo Shaha Gobindmonee Dossee v. Sham Loll Bysack Gobind Muhtoo v. Goopee Bhuggut Gobind Nath Roy v. Luchmee Koomarce	15 W. R., 18	539, 540 3015 2485, 4156 1746, 1768 1198, 3385
Gobindnath Sandyal v. Ramcoomar Ghose	6 W. R., 21 {	210, 3261 5618
Gobind Nath Sein v. Gobind Chunder Sein	9 W. R., 115	5924 2342, 4476 6004
Gobind Nath Shaha Chowdhuri v. Reily Gobind Nauth Rai v. Anund Nauth Rai Gobindnauth Sundyal v. Romanauth Thakoor Gobindo Chunder Moulick v. Mudhoosudun Moulick .	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 1 5 W. R., Cr., 79 1 Hay, 501	4212 4492 4965 5753
Gobindo Coomar Chowdhry v. Manson	15 B. L. R., 56: 23 W. R.,	547, 3184 3337, 6283
Gobindo Hureekar v. Woomesh Chunder Roy	152. W. R., F. B., 176	2293
Gobindo Mahapatro v. Gopeenath Pundit	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 581: 6)	4412
Gobindo Nath Roy v. Ram Kanay Chowdhry	W. R., 211. 24 W. R., 183	2142
Gobindonath Roy Chowdhry v. Kishen Kant Roy.	10 W. R., 254	1422
Gobind Pandey v. Heemut Bahadoor	6 W. R., 42	3129
Gobindpershad v. Ruddell	5 N. W., 160	3105 1327
Gobind Proshad Talookdar v. Mohesh Chunder Surma Ghuttuck.	I. L. R., 2 All., 465 15 B. L. R., 35: 23 W. R., 117.	2290
Gobind Puramanick v. Gooroo Churn Dutt	3 W. R., 71	52
Gobind Ram v. Bhupal Singh	10 C. L. R., 76	5304
Gobind Row v. Girdharee Sahoo	24 W. R., 355	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
Gobind Saran v. Dharup Nath	I. L. R., 8 All., 614 . }	2477
Gobind Shunkerjee v. Girdharee Sing Gobind Singh v. Kallu	1 N. W., 90 : Ed. 1873, 142 . I. L. R., 2 All., 778 .	4756 6093
v. Munno Ram Doss	19 W. R., 414 {	4051, 5433 5434
v. Soonder Pal	1 . T. TT 188	5617
v. Zalim Singh	I. L R., 6 All., 33	445
Gobind Sooboodhee. In the matter of the petition of .	15 W. R., Cr , 56	4735
Gobind Sookool v. Gokool Bhukut	23 W. R., 304 3 B. L. R., P. C., 25	4935, 569 7 299
Gobinlall Seal v. Kinoo Koyal	Marsh., 400.	2931
Goburdhun v. Sadhoo	1 W. R., 244	2772, 5774
Goburdhun Doss v. Munnoo Lall	15 W. R., 95 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 52: 8 C. L.)	1427 2174
Goburdhun Majhee v. Banee Chunder Doss	R, 277.	5678
Goburdhun Nath v. Ovoop Roy	9 W D . 105	9500
Gocoolanund Dass v. Wooma Daee	15 B. L. R., 405: 23 W. R.,	2134, 2304
Gocool Chunder v. Ali Mahomed Gocool Chunder Gossamee v. Administrator General of	10 W. R., 6. I. L. R., 5 Calc., 726: 5 C. L.	5078 867, 4529
Bengal. Gocool Coomar v. Bhichook Singh	R. 569. 22 W. R., 1.	5615
**	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 327:)	1
Gocool Dass Soonderjee. In the matter of	Bourke, O. C., 240.	424, 2027
Goculdas Jagmohandas v. Lakhmidas Khimji	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 402	705
Godadhar Dass v. Dhunput Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 585: 9 C. L. R., 227.	2952

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Godayi Joardar v. Mears	10 W. R., 50 6 Mad., 85	327 3771, 3772
Godby. In re	1 Hyde, 196	6200 5665
Gogaram v. Kartick Chunder Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1022: 9 W. R., 514.	827, 2860
Gogon Manji v. Gobind Chunder Khan	1 C. L. Ř., 241	2933 2933
Gogun Chand Dut v. Huri Mohun Dut	12 C. L. R., 539	5613
Gogun Chunder Dutt v. Janokee	20 W. R., 235	1095 1073
Gogun Chunder Ghose v. Dhuronidhur Mandul .	R., 257. I. L. R., 6 Calc., 247 : 7 C. L.	
v. Empress	R., 74.	1803
Gogun Chunder Sirkar v. Laidlay	5 C. L. R., 189	3830 5140
Gogun Pramanick v. Surnomoyee	19 W. R., Cr., 39	5149
Gokalbhai Mulchand v. Jhaver Chaturbhuj .	8 Bom., A. C., 61.	3380 45=0
Gokal Singh v. Mannu Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 772	4550 570
Gokhla Nund v. Lalljee Sahoo	21 W. R., 11	570
Gokhul Chund Chatterjee v. Mosahroo Kandoo	21 W. R., 5	5696
Gokool Dass v. Gungeshur Singh	3 N. W., 164	827, 2860
Gokool Kishen Sen v. Issur Chunder Roy	18 W. R., 12	1167
Gokool Kristo Sen v. David	23 W. R., 443	4181
Gokool Pershad v. Etwari Mahto	20 W. R., 138	4254, 4261
v. Rajendur Kishore Singh	W. R., 1864, Act X, 4	536
Gokool Pershad Discheet v. Brojomonee Debia .	24 W. R., 259	5171
Gokoolram Deb v. Ramsoondur Surmah	9 W. R., 292	896
Gokul Chunder v. Bureek Begum · · ·	Marsh., 344: 2 Hay, 325 .	4409, 5253
Gokuldas v. Ganeshlal • • • •	I. L. R, 4 Bom., 416	5586
Gokul Dass Gopal Dass v. Murli	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 602 : 2 C. L.	
	R., 156.	2708, 4029
Gokuldass v. Kriparam	13 B. L. R., 205	3898, 499 5
Gokulpershad v. Rughonath	3 Agra, 245	5627
Golabchand Baboo v. Prosunno Kumari Debya	11 B. L. R., 332 : 20 W. R., 8	2253
Golab Chand Nowluckha v. Jeebun Coomarce Bibee	24 W. R., 437	1355
Golab Koonwar v. Collector of Benares	7 W. R., P. C., 47: 4 Moore's ?	2001
distribution of control of Both of	I. A., 246.	2291, 230 3
Golab Koonwer v. Shib Sahai	2 Agra, 54	2484
		539
Golabolee v. Kootooboollah Sircar	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 527	5122
Golaboo v. Ramdyal Singh	8 W. R., 304	6224
Golab Singh v. Cheda Singh	3 Agra, 135. 10 B. L. R., 1: 14 Moore's I.	2209, 2301
v. Rao Kurun Singh		4155, 4885
	A., 176, 187. 8 B. L. R., 528, note	5627
Golack Chandra Chowdhry v. Ali Mollah	6 B. L. R., 165 : 14 W. R., 265	3724
Golakram Deb v. Brindaban Deb	7 N. W., 188	3871
Golam v. Wajida Bibi	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 861: 12 C.	
Golam Abed v. Toolseeram Bera .	L. R., 411.	22
	W. R., 1864, 225	6135
Golam Ahea v. Digumbur Singh	5 W. R., Act X, 9	5074
Golam Ahmed v. Sham Soondur Roy	Marsh., 239: 1 Hay, 500	1196, 2704
Golam Ahmed Shah v. Behary Lal	7 W. R., 375	3447
Golam Ahyah v. Sham Soonder Koonwaree	1 W. R., 56	4147
Golam Ali v. Gopal Lall Tagore	9 W. R., 65	3018, 4148
v. Gopal Lall Thakoor .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Golam Ali v. Kali Krishna Thakur	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 479 : 8 C. L. R., 517.	3017
v. Kally Kishen Thakoor	12 B. L. R., 167: 18 W. R., 299.	4636
v. Mahomed Zahur Alum v. Sowlutoonissa Bibee	6 B. L. R., Ap., 76 W. R., 1864, 242	2854 3670
Golam Ali Chowdhry v. Bhosai	25 W. R., 227	302 5 4399
v. Futtick Chunder v. Gopal Lall Tagore	9 W. R., 401	39
Golam Ali Khan v. Agurjeet Roy	17 W. R., 343	3712 365
Golam Ali Mundul v. Golap Soondery Dossee .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 612: 10 C. L. R., 499.	5195
Golam Ally v. Gopal Lall Thakoor	9 W. R., 65 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 294: 4 C.	1663
Golam Arab v. Curreembux Shaikjee	L. R, 477.	5241 6068
Golam Arfin. In the matter of	4 B. L. R., Ap., 47 5 B. L. R., 68: 13 W. R., 273	815, 5448
Golam Ashgar v. ———————————————————————————————————	2 B. L. R., Ap., 24 13 W. R., 435	3495 4201, 4554
Golam Chunder Dey v. Nuddiar Chand Adheekaree	16 W. R., 1 1 B. L. R., S. N., 3: 10 W.	576
Golam Dustagir Khan v. Jogai Singh	R., 86.	4003
Golamee v. Paelan	18 W. R., 298	518, 3236 2437
Golam Esha v. Hurrish Chunder Mookerjee . Golam Ghous v. Fureed Alum	W. R, 1864, Mis., 29	5124 2916
Golam Guffoor v. Ekram Hossein Chowdhry .	10 W. R., 358	4344, 5822
Golam Hossein v. Alla Rukhee Beebee	3 N. W., 62: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 248.	5242
v. Ram Doyal Ghose Golami Sahu v. Chutter Bhooj Patuck	12 W. R., 152 3 C. L. R., 261	2775 3475
Golam Jelanee Chowdhry v. Nuleet Chunder Singh Golam Jilani v. Imdad Husain	11 W. R., 503	$1452 \\ 6120$
Golam Khejur v. Erskine & Co	11 W. R., 445	3800
Golam Koodsee Chowdhry v. Jokoorunnissa Khatoon v. Rashu Chunder Ghose	19 W. R., 238	2023 66
Golam Lall v. Beni Prosad	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 27 : 4 C. L. R., 29.	1684
Golam Mahomed Akbur v. Radha Kishen Mohunt Golam Mahomed Shaha v. Mookta Keshee Debee	9 W. R., 287 7 W. R., 161	4933 1436
v. Sharoda Pershad Mullick	19 W. R., 91	4891
Golam Mostofa v. Goburdhun Mulla	8 C. L. R., 441	4765 3697, 5769
Golam Mustafa Khan v. Sheo Soonduree Burmonee	10 W. R., 187	4033 2350
Golam Nabi v. Biswanath Kar	3 B. L. R., Ap., 85:12 W. }	3403
Golam Narotam v. Secretary of State for India .	I. L. R, 8 Bom., 596	605
Golam Panja v. Hurrish Chunder Ghose Golam Rahman v. Fatima Bibi	17 W. R., 552 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 232	5188 64 8, 6088
Golam Reza Chowdhry v. Chandoo Meah Lushkur Golam Russool v. Abdool Ruheem	15 W. R., 45 15 W. R., 19	6001 471
Golam Russool Khan v. Kishen Mohun Shaha .	23 W. R., 156	808
Golam Sobhan v. Muddun Mohun Paul Golam Yabeya v. Shama Sundari Kuari	18 W. R., 257	4236 448
Laboja o Shama Sunuan Ikuan	R., 142.	- 3 -3-0

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Golam Yassin v. Official Trustee of Bengal	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 887 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 314: 2 C. L. R., 412, note. I. L. R., 5 Calc., 314	6057 1771, 1773 5834 558, 3149 3155
Golap Coomary Dassee v. Sundur Narain Deo. In the ?	4 C. L. R., 36	770
matter of. Golaum Mohamed v. Calcutta Club Golaupmonee Dossee v. Prosonomoye Dossee Golla Chinna Guruvuppa Naidu v. Kali Appiah Naidu Golla Nagabhu Shanam v. Kanakala Gangayya Goloke Chunder Chowdhry v. Magistrate of Chittagong Goloke Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Kallee Kinkur Chuckerbutty.	Cor., 67	4532 4337 4820, 4828 4326 137, 1003 5268
Golokemoney Dabia v . Mohesh Chunder Mosa . $\{$	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 547: 1 C. L. R., 149.	574
Goloke Nath Sen Biswas v. Ram Kant Dey Sircar Goluckbur v. Bishonath Geeree Goluck Chandra Mytee v. Harapriah Debi Goluck Chandra Pal v. Kali Charan De Goluck Chunder v. Ram Huree Goluck Chunder Bose v. Ohilla Dayee	3 C. L. R., 444	557 759 786 4362, 4490 1435 2429 2252
Goluck Chunder Chowdhry v. Ali Mollah	11 W: R., 378	4460
v. Kanto Pershad Hazaree .	15 W. R., 317	4586
erbutty. v. Tarinee Churn Chuck-	4 W. R., 49	5282
Goluck Chunder Chuckerbutty o. Teeluck Chunder Shah Goluck Chunder Doss v. Gopal Kishen Sen Goluck Chunder Dutt v. Anunt Kishore Gossain v. Court of Wards v. Meah Raja Mijee v. Mohun Loll Sookul	2 Hay, 49	1171 1458 4902 5779 580 4016, 4529
Goluck Chunder Gooho v. Mohim Chunder Ghose Goluck Chunder Kool v. Dookhee Ram Goluck Chunder Kur. In re	13 W. R., 95	2602 1759 3880
Goluck Chunder Masanta v. Nundo Coomar Roy .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 699: 3 C. L. R., 450.	4478, 6005
Goluck Chunder Mussunt v. Gunga Narain Mussunt Goluck Chunder Mytee. In the matter of	20 W. R., 111 : 18 W. R., 111 11 W. R., Cr., 9	1487 4493 3
Goluck Chunder Paul v. Mahomed Rohim	9 W. R., 316	2199, 2516
Goluck Chunder Roy v. Juggernath Roy Chowdhry	Marsh., 146: W. R., F. B., 47: 1 Hay, 346.	2972
Goluck Chunder Sein v. Collector of Backergunge Goluck Chunder Sen v. Puresh Mahomed Goluck Chunder Sircar v. Ishan Chunder Deb Goluck Kishore Acharjee v. Kesha Majhee Goluck Kishore Acharjee Chowdhry v. Nund Mohun	17 W. R., Cr., 33 2 W. R., 139 25 W. R., 284 23 W. R., 437 15 W. R., 23	4485 1719 4905 6095 3806 1810, 4791
Dey Sircar. 3 Goluckmonee Dabea v. Assimoodeen	1 W. R., 56	4589
v. Nabungo Moonjuree Dabea	W. R., F. B., 14	3984

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Goluckmonee Debia v. Rammonee Bose v. Ram Soondur Chuckerbutty Goluck Monee Dossee v. Huro Chunder Ghose Goluck Narain Dutt v. Bisto Prea Dossee Golucknath Dutt v. Seetaram Gower Golucknath Ghose v. Sreenath Bose Goluck Rana v. Nubo Soonduree Dossee Goluk Chunder Mahinta v. Surbomangala Dabi Goluk Chundra Dass v. Bhagmut Dass Goluknath Misser v. Lalla Prem Lal Gomain Sircar v. Prannath Goopto	. 12 W. R., 21 . 9 W. R., 82 . 8 W. R., 62 . 1 W. R., 140 . (W. R., F. B., 53:1 Ind. Jur., } . O. S., 66:1 Hay, 378 24 W. R., 209 . 21 W. R., 344 . (I. L. R., 6 Calc., 711:8 C.) . L. R., 189 . 11 C. L. R., 106 . I. L. R., 3 Calc., 307 . 1 W. R., 14	1737 3919 5351 230 3144, 4338 4213 3020 1905 479 3929 2085
Goma Mahad Patil v. Gokaldas Khimji Gomanee Lall v. Jeewun Ram Gomes v. Carter Gomez v. Young	. I. L. R., 3 Bom., 74 2 Agra, 33	1385, 1932 4595 2602 5824
Gonda v. Pyari Doss Gossain Gonda Kooer v. Oodey Singh Gonesh Chunder Pal v. Shodanund Surma Gonesh Chunder Roy v. Nil Komul Roy Gonesh Doss v. Gondour Koorim	13 W. R., Cr., 19 14 B. L. R., 159 1 L. R., 12 Calc., 138 2 W. R., 264 1 L. R., 9 Calc., 147: 12 C.	3738 48 4001 2300 3355
Gonesh Junonee Debia v. Bireshur Dhul Gonesh Lall v. Sheo Golam Singh Gonesh Pandey v. Dabee Doyal Singh Goneshpershad v. Saligram Goodrich v. Venkanna "Good Success." In the matter of the Goodur Sahoy v. Dhunessur Koer Goodur Suhaye v. Achebur Lall	L. R., 418. 25 W. R., 176 5 C. L. R., 211 5 C. L. R., 36 6 N. W., 278 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 104 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 303 7 C. L. R., 117 13 W. R., 120	2349 3319 2397 1136 1699 637 328, 1895 5132
Googlee Sahoo v. Prem Lall Sahoo Goolabee v. Ramtahal Rai Goolam Hoosein Noor Mahomed v. Fatmabai	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 148 1 N. W., 191: Ed. 1873, 275 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 391	4271, 5726 5779 1716 1200, 2091
Gooljehan v. Bunno Goolzar Khan. In the matter of Gooman Singh v. Makhun Singh ———— v. Tripool Singh Goonath Mundle v. Troylocko Chuckerbutty	1 Agra, 252 9 W. R., Cr., 30 2 N. W., 145 8 W. R., 437	4902 5552 1489 3711 973
Goonjessur Koonwar v. Luchmee Narain Singh Goonomonee Debia v. Ram Komul Sandle . Goono Monee Dossee v. Bhabosoonduree Dossee v. Burrodakant Roy .	9 W. R., Cr., 15 20 W. R., 418 . 17 W. R., 144 . 18 W. R., 258 . 18 W. R., 191	438 80, 4401 93 4205
Goonomonee Dossia v. Parbutty Dossia Goopee Kissen Gossain. In the matter of Goope Nath Dutt v. Preo Nath Sircar Goorbin Bhagat v. Fureed Alum Goor Buksh v. Choonnoo Lall	10 W. R., 326 24 W. R., Cr., 79 6 W. R., Act X, 7 3 Agra, 401 1 Agra, Rev., 70	244, 3996 504 544 1607 531
Goor Chunder Puteetundo v. Joogul Chunder aliae Shama Churn Ghose. Goordass v. Manohur Dass Goordial v. Ramdut	5 1 W. R., 80	351 4565 2992, 5182
Goordyal v. Hunskoonwer Goordyal Roy v. Deka Noonya Gooroochurn Bose v. Bykunt Nath Acharjee	2 Agra, 176 22 W. R., 446 5 W. R., Mis., 38	3991 581, 5130 1450

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gooroo Churn Coomar v. Khetter Mohun Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 79	535
Gooroo Churn Dey v. Ramdhone Paul	7 W. R., 28	371
Gooroo Churn Goon v. Gungagobind Chatterjee .	8 W. R. 269	4104, 5280
Gooroo Churn Mullick v. Ram Dutt	2 W. R., 43	1360
Gooroo Churn Nag v. Gobind Chunder Gooho	24 W. R., 352	5257
Gooroo Churn Poddar v. Hafeeza Bibee	7 W. R., 366	4129, 5633
Commonly on Cointern Chandhain	3 W. R., 159	4246
D 11	7 Moore's I. A., 1	4641
O (1) (1)	24 W. R., 111	5036
Goorgo Churn Sirear v. Brijanath Dhur	13 W. B., 188	3367
v. Goluckmonee Dossee	3 W. R., 43	3853
Gooroo Churn Soor v. Sree Churn Ghose	15 W. R., 308	5288
	9 W. R., 547	4822
	10 W D (). ##	4737
Goorgo Dass Roy. In the matter of the petition of .	10 377 1) 000	327
Gooroo Doss Akhoolee v. Poran Mundul	12 W. R., 363	4393
	6 W. R., Mis., 61	4848
Gooroodoss Dutt v. Dwarkanath Manna	22 W. R., 525	2697
v. Uma Churn Roy	13 W. R., 83	3495
Connectors Character Stricter dhun Den	W. R., 1864, Act X, 39	6109
Gooroodoss Ghose v. Sristeedhur Dey	1 F W D A A V OO	1610
Gooroo Doss Mundul v. Durbaree	18 W. R., 407	285
Gooroo Doss Roy. In the matter of		3842
v. Anund Moyee Debia. v. Bunsheedhur Sein	15 W. R., 203	3843
v. Bunsneednur Sein	15 W. R., 61	219
v. Collector of Furreedpore	19 W. R., 170: 20 W. R., 262	213
v. Bishtoo Churn Bhuttacharjee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 628: 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 112: 7 W.	535
v. Gholam Mowlah	R., 186 Marsh., 24: 1 Hay, 103	271
v. Greedhur Sein	11 W. R., 110 {	780, 6242 6243
v. Huronath Roy v.	W. R., 1864, 61	5786
v	2 W. R., 246	4173
1).	7 W. R., 423	5008
v. Issur Chunder Bose	22 W. R., 246 ·	. 3019
v. Punchanun Bose	9 W. R., 337	5728
	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 628: 2)	
v. Ram Narain Mitter	Ind. Jur., N. S., 112: 7 W.	535
	R., 186.	
v. Ramrunginee Dossee	20 W. R., 54	596
v. Ram Runginee Dossia	17 W. R., 136	200
v. Sonamonee Dossia	20 W. R., 345	3245
Gooroo Doyal Singh v. Durbaree Lall Tewaree	7 W. R., 18	1777
Gooroo Dutt v. Sooroo	16 W. R., 264	5003
Gooroo Dyal Chuckerbutty v. Keshub Bibee	20 W. R., 409	5612
Gooroodyal Mundur v. Gopal Singh	24 W. R., 272	3840
Gooroodyal Singh. In the matter of the petition of .	9 W. R., 333 · · ·	4393
Gooroogobindo Chowdhry v. Hureemadhub Roy	Marsh., 398: 2 Hay, 401 .	2292, 2294
-	Marsh., 398: 2 Hay, 401 3 W. R., P. C., 14: 8 Moore's 1. A., 164, 165, 166.	4638
Gooroopersad Khoond v. Juggut Chunder . {		4000
Gooroo Persad Roy v. Juggobundoo Mozoomdar	W. R., F. B., 15: 1 Hay, 228	2793, 4168
Gooroopersaud Janah v. Bippropersaud Berrah	Marsh., 292: 2 Hay, 152 .	4002
Gooroopersaud Sircar v. Philippe	Marsh., 366 · 2 Hay, 451 .	3077
Gooroopershad Chuckerbutty v. Bani Nath Chuckerbutty	2 C. L. R., 216	1716, 534
Gooroo Pershad Dutt v. Sreenath Banerjee	15 W. R., 314	4907
Gooroo Pershad Mookerjee v. Kalee Pershad Mookerjee	5 W. R., 121	4190
Gooroo Pershad Roy v. Bykunto Chunder Roy	6 W. R., 82	4459, 456
THOUSE OF STREET AND A TO STREET OF	1	1

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gooroo Pershad Roy v. Debee Pershad Tewaree v. Nimaye Chand Pulshani v. Ram Lochun Pauray	6 W. R., 58 3 W. R., Act X, 5 13 W. R., 241	2346 538 2063
Gooroo Prosunno Banerjee v. Gugun Chunder Dutt v. Gregopal Pal Chowdhry Gooroo Prosunno Singh v. Nil Madhub Singh	20 W. R., 383	4267 3007 4153
Gooroo Swamy Perria Woodia Tawer v. Anga Mootoo Natchair.	6 W. R., P. C., 50: 3 Moore's I. A., 278.	4658
Gooroova Butten v. Narrainasawmy	8 Mad., 13, note	2532 6126 414, 5392
Goor Sahai v. Hur Sahai	4 N. W., 137 3 Agra, 202 5 W. R., 54	1384 2369
Gooseen Sree Choundawalee Bahoojee v. Girdhareejee Goosery Cotton Mills Company v. Steel	3 Agra, 226 2 Hyde, 238	$2245 \\ 923 \\ 2481$
Gooshaeen Teekumjee v. Pursotum Lalljee . Gopal v. MacNagthen	3 Agra, 238 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 751 I. L. R., 1 All., 624	1189 5710
v. Narayan bin Tukaji v. Uchabal	1 Bom., 31 I. L. R., 3 All., 51 .	1751 5082 3311
Gopala v. Paramma	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 583 L. R., 13 I A., 155: I. L. R., 10 Mad., 73.	4657
Gopalaratnamayyar v. Bupala Narasimma Nayudu Gopalasami v. Chinnasami	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 399 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 458	5237 2331 4716
Gopalasami Pillai v. Chokalingam Pillai Gopalasawmy Mudelly v. Mukkee Gopalier	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 418 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 320 7 Mad., 312	2170 3591
Gopala Setty v. Damodara Setty Gopalayyan v. Raghupati Ayyan alias Aiyavayyan v. Raghupatiayyan alias Ayyavayyan	4 Mad., 173 3 Mad., 217 7 Mad., 250	3489 5027 2133
Gopal Burnawar. In the matter of	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 13 5 B. L. R., 194: 13 W. R.,	4362, 4500 5691
Gopal Chandra Dey v. Pemu Bibi	275. 1 B. L. R., A. C., 76: 10 W. R., 104.	838, 3326
Gopal Chandra Lahiri v. Solomon Gopal Chandra Roy v. Nabin Chandra Bhandari Gopal Chunder v. Jugut Indur Bunwaree Gobind	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 62 3 B. L. R., Ap., 34 8 W. R., 200	3154, 5136 5004 837
Gopal Chunder Bose v. Mothoor Mohun Banerjee Gopal Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Oodoy Lall Dey	3 W. R., Act X, 132	1617 1481, 51 73
Gopal Chunder Daghoria v. Kenaram Daghoria Gopal Chunder Ghose v. Dhurundhur Roy	10 W. R., 115	5326 2298 1201
v. Raj Chunder Dutt v. Tincowree Mundul. Gopal Chunder Gooho v. Juggodumba Dossia	2 W. R., Mis., 9	224 5762 1179, 4906
Gopal Chunder Hazrah v. Mohesh Chunder Banerjee Gopal Chunder Lahoory v. Roy Kishore Lahoory .	21 W. R., 44	6232 55
Gopal Chunder Mitter v. Mohesh Chunder Boral .	 L. R., 9 Calc., 230: 11 C. L. R., 363. I. L. R., 9 Calc., 778: 13 C. 	3241, 3355 3433
Gopal Chunder Mukerjee v. Juddoo Lall Mullick. Gopal Chunder Mundle. In the matter of	L. R., 146. 10 C. L. R., 57	5281 261
Gopal Chunder Nath Coondoo v. Haridas Chini Gopal Chunder Roy v. Arman Shaikh v. Brojendro Coomar Roy	5 W. R., 402	674, 2293 5708 369

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gopal Chunder Roy v. Brojo Behary Mitter v. Gooroo Dass Roy v. Oodhub Chunder Mullick Gopal Chunder Shaha v. Bhabo Tarinee Dossee v. Madhub Chunder Shaha v. Sinaes Gopal Chunder Sircar v. Adhiraj Aftab Chand Mahtab v. Kurnodhar Moochee Gopal Chunder Sirdar. In the matter of the petition of v. Foolmoni Bewa Gopal Dai v. Chunni Lall Gopal Das v. Ali v. Gopinath Sircar	9 C. L. R., 293	
v. Sectaram v. Sectaram v. Than Singh Gopal Doss v Doomee Chowdhry Gopal Govind v. Ganeshdas Tejmal Gopal Gurain v. Gurain Gopal Hanmant v. Sakharam Govind Gopal Hanmant Deshka v. Kondo Kashinath Gopal Inder Narain Roy v. Jagarnath Gurg Gopaliyan v. Mahasangavastha Aiya Gopal Jayachand v. Hargovind Khushal Gopal Kashi v. Ramabai Saheb Patvardhan Gopal Khundee Rao v. Deokee Nundun	12 C. H. R., 38 3 Agra, 268 1. L. R., 4 All., 184 W. R., 1864, 226 8 Bom., A. C., 97 7 W. R., 299 1. L. R., 4 Bom., 254 1. L. R., 9 Bom., 328 5 W. R., P. C., 129:2 Moore's } 1. A., 311 5 Mad., 425 5 Fom., A. C., 83 12 Bom., 17 6 N. W., 172	4990 2574, 4261 4467, 5267 4820 3491 2837 2101, 2850 4985 5982 3594 4433 3224, 3860 4277 5752, 5772 5785
Gopal Kishen Sircar v. Ram Narain Koondoo Gopal Kishore Shoor v. Mudun Mohun Holdar Gopal Kisto Mookerjee v. Mudhoosoodun Paul Chowdhry Gopal Kisto Mookerjee v. Mudhoosoodun Paul Chowdhry Gopal Lall v. Mahomed Hadee	17 W. R., 175	3392 5328 5081 5668 203 778 1598 1661 1390, 5750 4639 3395 1616, 1769 4772 2020
Gopal Narain Mozoomdar v. Muddomutty Gooptee Gopal Narhar Safray v. Hanmant Ganesh Safray Gopalnath v. Abdool Ghanee Gopalnath Tewaree v. Bhooyah Oranoo Gopal Pal Chowdhry v. Tarinee Pershad Ghose Gopal Pandey v. Parsotam Das. Gopal Paul Chowdry v. Grish Chunder Pandey	14 B. L. R., 21 . I. L. R., 3 Bom., 273 1 Agra, 120 . 6 W. R., 137 . 9 W. R., 89 . I. L. R., 5 All., 121 14 W. R., 269 .	88, 1945 2234, 4134 2382, 3209 2128, 2148 4502, 5984 5595 4864 5199 8

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gopal Pershad v. Ramanoogra Singh	8 W. R., 201	1924
Gopal Prasad v. Nandarani	1 B. L. R., A. C., 192: 10 W. R., 252.	4770
Gopal Ram v. Rangayyangar	T T D C M. 3 114	110 2773, 3052 2232
Gopalrav Myral. In re	8 Bom., O. C., 236 . {	2105, 5296
Gopal Roy v. Tekaet Roy	8 W. R., 333	5580 5774
0 100 17 100	TT D 0 D 070	2234, 2382
•	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 273 . {	4134
Gopal Sahi v. Ojoodhea Pershad	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 107	5137 3711,4298
Gopal Sahoo v. Gunga Pershad Sahoo	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 530	3915
Gopal Sahu Deo v. Joyram Tewary	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 620: 9 C. L.	2690, 3485
Gopal Shridhar Dikshit Patvardhan v. Naro Vinayak ?	R., 402.	
Dikshit Patvardhan.	7 Bom., Ap., 24	2121
Gopal Singh v. Court of Wards	7 W. R., 430	5929
v. Dhungazee	3 W. R., 206	2339 221
v. Dhungazee	I. L. R., 2 All., 352 I. L. R., 12 Calc, 37	301, 5791
v. Kanhya Lall Sahebzada	2 B. L. R., Ap., 14: 11 W.	3398
	R., 9.	
v. Roy Bunwaree Lall Sahoo	5 C. L. R., 181	5475 · 795
Gopal Singh Deb v. Gopal Chunder Chuckerbutty. Gopal Singh Moorah v. Sunkuree Paharin.	23 W. R., 458	1589
Gopal Sitaram Gune v. Desai	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 674	3444
Gopal Surnokar v. Goyaram Sircar	13 W. R., 273	5678
Gopalu v. Venkatadoss	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 552	3582
Gopal Yadavrav Keshar v. Krishnappa bin Mahadappa Gopanund Jha v. Lalla Gobind Pershad	7 Bom., A. C., 60	3942 4169
Gopaul v. Ram Suroop Lall	1 Agra, Rev., 51	1570
Gopaul Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Nilmoney Mitter .	I. L, R., 10 Calc., 374 .	4147
Gopaul Chunder Lahiry v. Solomon	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 767 .	3154, 5136
Gopaul Chunder Manna v. Gour Monee Dossee	6 W. R., 52 }	1499, 219 7 2515
Gopaul Doss v. Greedharee Doss	6 W. R., 13	5583
Gopaul Lal Thakoor v. Budurooddeen	7 W. R., 28	2994
Gopaul Mullick v. Anundo Chunder Chatterjee . {	14 B. L. R., 205, note: 51 W.	3055
Gopee Bullub Roy v. Goluck Proshad	R., 363. V. R., 1864, 135	877
Gopee Bundhoo Shantra Mohapattur v. Kalee Pudo Banerjee.	23 W. R., 338	3930
Gopee Chand Setia v. Bhoobun Mohun Sen	23 W. R., 401 · · ·	5279
Gopee Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Ram Komul Ghose Gopee Kishen Goshamee v. Brindabun Chunder Sircar (Chowdhry.	W. R., 1864, 179. 12 W.R., P.C., 36: 13 Moore's I. A., 37.	1579 3199
Goopeekishen Gossain v. Hem Chunder Gossain	13 W. R., 322	1159
v	16 W. R., 38	6175
v. Ryland	9 W. R., 279	503, 506
v. — · · · ·	9 W. R., 562	4255 50 5
	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 807: 10 C. ?	
Gopeekishen Gossamy v. Thakoordass Gossamy . {	L. R., 439.	3375
Gopee Kissen Gossamee v. Brindabun Chunder Sircar . Gopeekiste Gossamee v. Born Comul Mistry	19 W. R., 41	2694
Gopeekisto Gossamee v. Ram Comul Mistry	maisii., 212	5311

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gopeekrist Gosain v. Gungapersaud Gosain .	6 Moore's I. A., 53 .	475, 477 2343
Gopee Lall v. Bhugwan Doss	12 W. R., 7	1434, 2346
Gopee Loll v. Chundraolee Buhoojee	11 B. L. R., 391: 19 W. R.,	2134, 2136
Gopee Mohun Banerjee v. Sreekanto Bose Gopee Mohun Mojoomdar v. Mackintosh	12: L.R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 131 5 13 W. R., 103	2245, 2737 5707 5829
Gopee Mohun Mczoomdar v. Hills	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 789 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 358 11 W. R., 530	4964 1727 5659
Gopee Mohun Thakoor v. Radhanath	1 W. R., 68 5 W. R., P. C., 72	5175 5234
Gopeenath Acharjee v. Achcha Bibee	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 553: 9 C. L.	542 0
Gopeenath Chowdhry v. Gooroodoss Surma.	R., 395. 18 W. R., 472	2242
Gopeenath Dobey v. Roy Luchmeeput Singh .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 542: 1 C.	5470
Gopee Nath Doss v. Dyanidhee Soondura Mohapattur	L. R., 349. 5 7 W. R., 485	4458
Gopeenath Ghose v. Kedarnath Chuckerbutty .	23 W. R., 426	5696 1639 2033
Gopeenath Jannah v. Jetoo Mollah Gopee Nath Mookerjee v. Boddhumunt Mal	18 W. R., 272	1632, 2933 288
Gopeenath Mookerjee v. Kally Doss Mullick	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 225	2195; 2608
Gopeenath Mudduck. In the matter of	9 W. R., 476	1655, 1660 4433
Gopeenath Mudduck. In the matter of	23 W. R., 42	1680
Gopeenath Paul v. George	6 W. R., 7 6 W. R., Mis., 106	5739 201, 3175
Gopeenath Roy v. Gopeenath Chatterjee	14 W. R., 249	1234
Gopeenath Singh v. Anund Moyee Debia	8 W. R., 167	1747, 1768 6240
Gopee Ojha v. Hur Gobind Singh Gopee Sing v. Kisha Lall	12 W. R., 229	5427
Gopee Thakooraee v. Sheo Sevuk Misser	5 N. W., 95	1995 22 83, 2483
Gopi Chand v. Sujan Kuar Gopikrishna Gossami v. Nilcomul Banerjee	I. L. R., 8 All., 646 13 B. L. R., 461 : 22 W. R., 79	2810
Gopi Mohun Dass v. Tincouri Gupta	1 C. L. R., 254	
Gopi Mohun Mullick v. Taramoni Chowdhrani .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 7: 4 C. L. R., 309.	1440, 4096
Gopi Mohun Muzoomdar v. Hills	5 C. L. R., 33	1661
Gopinath v. Kuldip Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 566 . 11 C. L. R., 51	4854, 5510 1179
Gopi Nath Chatterjee v. Modhu Sudun Dey .	11 0. 11. 11., 01	489, 3360
Gopi Nath Chobey v . Bhugwat Pershad	I. L. R 10 Calc., 697 .	4247, 5 038 5 069
Gopinath Shaha. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 141	1334
Gopi Wasudev v. Markande Narayan Bhat	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 30	5398 1662
Gorachand v. Gudadhur Chatterjee Gora Chand Dutt v. Lokenath Dutt	7 W. R., 470 8 W. R., 334	3201, 3378
Gorachand Goswami v. Raghu Mandal	3 B. L. R., Ap., 121: 12 W.	762
	R., 169. 12 B. L. R., 261 : 20 W. R., \	5734
Gorachand Misser v. Boykanto Narain Singh .	421.	
Gora Chand Mustafi v. Madan Mohan Sikdar .	13 B. L. R., 279, note: 11 W. R., 94.	5193, 5199
Gorachand Sirkar v. Ramnarain Chowdhry	9 W. R., 587 · ·	6241 5712
Gorachund Chunder Bose v. Charroo Chunder Ghose Goraki Kanaji v. Nathu bin Appaji	Bourke, O. C., 3: Cor., 93 1 Bom., 135	3958
Gordhandes Girdharbhai v. Prankor	6 Bom., A. C., 263 .	3711

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gordhan Pema v. Kasandas Balmukundas	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 179	5664
Gordon v. Aboo Mahomed Khan	5 W. R., P. C., 68	3125
	25 W. R., 53	1984
v. Gopee Soonduree Dossee	3 B. L. R., O. C., 100	1547
v. Gordon		1548
v. Gordon and DeSaran	3 B. L. R., O. C., 136	
v	3 B. L. R., Ap. 13	1554
Gordon, Stuart, & Co. v. Beejoy Gobind Chowdhry	8 W. R., 291	135
v. Executive Engineer of the	i	2020
Calcutta and Jessore Road Division.	7 W. R., 426	1053
v. Mohatab Chunder · · ·	Marsh., 490: 2 Hay, 565	6, 2951
v. Taylor v. Tikaitnee Seobas Kowaree Goreehoollah Sirkar v. Boyd	W. R., F. B., 9	3030
Tikaitnee Seobas Kowaree	W. R., 1864, 370	2063
Goreeboollah Sirkar v. Boyd	2 W. R., 190	143
Goree Monee Dossee v. Juggut Indro Narain Chowdry	11 Moore's I. A., 1	4631
		1724
Goriboolla Gazee v. Gooroodoss Roy	2 W. R., Act X, 99	209
Goruppa bin Rachappa. In the matter of	1 Bom., 90	4279
Gosaien Shiva Ram v. Rugho Rai	2 Agra, 44	1
Gosain Rambharti Jagrupbharti v. Surajbharti Haribharti	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 682	2339, 4134
Gosain Tota Ram v. Rukiniballab	3 B. L. R., P. C., 34: 12 W. R.,	4653, 4677
•	P. C., 32:12 Moore's I. A., 77	
Goshaien Jugoopooree v. Bishen Dyal Chund	2 Agra, 32	4986
Goshain Girdhariji v. Durga Devi	I. L. R., 2 All., 119	350
Goshain Gobind Pershad v. Fatima	2 W. R., 5	3237
Goshain Jugroop Geer v. Chingun Lal	2 N. W., 46	903, 4445
Goshain Luchmun Pershad Pooree v. Pohoop Narain }		4095, 4731
Pooree.	24 W. R., Cr., 30 . {	5945
Gosmiah v. Taffuzzul Hossein	4 B. L. R., Ap., 32	486
Gossain Dass Chunder v. Issur Chunder Nath	I. L. L., 3 Calc., 224	4478,6005
Gossaindoss Ghose v. Gooroodoss Chuckerbutty	16 W. R., 198	5243
Gossain Doss Koondoo v. Siroo Koomaree Debia	12 B. L. R., 219: 19 W. R., 192	3368, 4173
Gossain Dowlut Geer v. Bissessur Geer	19 W. R., 215	2244
v.	22 W. R., 207	4911
Gossain Dulmir Puri v. Tekait Hetnarain	6 C. L. R., 467	218, 4714
Gossain Gopal Dutt v. Court of Wards	21 W. R., 418	3496
Gossain Gunga Dutt Bharutee v. Dabee Dass Baboo .	25 W. R., 118	4262, 4401
		209
Gossain Jhunmi Pooree v. Anund Moyee Dossee .	3 W. R., Mis., 9	200
Gossain Luchmee Narain Pooree v. Tekait Het Narain Singh.	18 W. R., 322	2714
Gossain Money Puree v. Guru Pershad Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 146	1936, 2605
Gossain Mungul Doss v. Roy Dhunput Singh	25 W. R., 152	5337
Gossain Munraj Pooree v. Deen Doyal Lall	20 W. R., 20	4347
Gossain Sheo Suhaye Geer v. Mohadeo Suhaye	6 W. R., 294	4209
Gossain Umur Narain Pooree v. Arurut Lall alias	7 W. R., 301	547
Baboo Jan. Gossami Sri 108 Sri Gridhariji Maharaj Tickait v.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 814	889, 3096
Purushotum Gossami.		
Gossie Doss v. Narain Doss	W. R., 1864, 287	5123
Gossyen Luchmee Narain Poori v. Bicram Singh	4 C. L. R., 294	3953
Gosto Behary Pal v. Johur Lall Pal	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 836 : 4 C. L. R., 164.	4525
Gosvami Shri Purushotamji Maharaj v. Robb	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 398	1105, 6011
Goura v. Kekree Singh	4 N. W., 60	679
Goura Chowdhrain v. Chummun Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 340	2333
	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 219: 4 C. L.)	
Gourah Koeri v. Gajadhur Pershad }	R., 398.	67 4
Gour Beharee Ram Bhuggut v. Sheoruttun Koonwar .	10 W. R., 243	6105
	20 11 a 2019 M2U	0100

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gour Chunder Bhuttacharjee v. Sodoy Chunder Nundee Gour Chunder Biswas v. Chunder Coomar Roy . {	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 241 : 6 C. L. R., 591. 17 W. R., 30 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 291 : 10 C. L. R., 236. 7 W. R., 120 6 W. R., 217 8 W. R., 256 13 W. R., 76 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 229 22 W. R., 437 15 B. L. R., 49, 12 11 C. L. R., 166 1 Agra, 149	4619 171, 376 5477 2359, 6276 5345 2837, 5650 6240 3088 4280 5915 4808 3005 2313
Gouree Byjnath Pershad v. Lochun Kooer	22 W. R., 102 4 W. R., Act X, 49 W. R., F. B., 70 : Marsh., 265 : 2 Hay, 107. 5 W. R., 106 14 W. R., 369 I. L. R., 1 Calc., 359 : 25 W. R., 141.	684 5155 907 5303 5303 5332 1756
Gouree Narain Mozoomdar v. Modhosoodun Dutt. Goureenath v. Collector of Monghyr Goureenath Mookerjee v. Modhoomonee Peshakur Goureenath Roy v. Ramgutty Chunder Gouree Pershad Koondoo v. Prannath Surmah Gouree Singh v. Fuzl Hossein Gouree Sunkur. In the matter of	2 W. R., Act X, 1 7 W. R., 5	2338, 3565 3566 2987 1645 6244 3918 239
Gouree Sunkur Roy v. Anund Mohun Moitro Gouree Sunkur Surmah v. Tirtho Monee Gouree Sunkur Tribedee v. Aman Ali Chowdhry Gour Gopaul Dutt v. Bissonath Ghose Gour Hari Mal v. Amirunnessa Khatoon Gour Hari Sanyal v. Prem Nath Sanyal	9 W. R., 487 12 W. R., 452 21 W. R., 309 Cor., 41 11 C. L. R., 9 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 738: 12 C. L. R., 395.	4302 1177 3498 4254, 5484 1682 4520
Gour Huree Chowdhry v. Pran Huree Laha Gour Huree Doss v. Hyagrib Doss Gour Hurree Doss v. Mutteeoolah Gouri Kant Roy v. Giridhar Roy Gouriprosad Moitra v. Bhola Nath Sanyal	21 W. R., 42 14 W. R., 425 1 W. R., 99 4 B. L. R., A. C., 8: 12 W. R., 456. 8 C. L. R., 487 1. L. R., 4 Calc., 839: L. R., ?	3770 5080 4829 853 4222
Gouri Sunker v. Maharaja of Bulrampore Gourkishen Singh v. Sahay Fukeer Chund Gour Kishore Chowdhry v. Mahomed Hassim Chowdhry Gour Kishore Chunder v. Bonomalee Chowdhry Gour Kishore Dutt v. Akbur Gour Kishore Dutt Chowdhry v. Ozeer Ali Gour Kishore Shaha v. Chunder Kishore Dutt Mojoomdar.	6 I. A., 1. 7 W. R., 364 10 W. R., 191 22 W. R., 117 22 W. R., 489 24 W. R., 99 25 W. R., 45	3815 825 9 322 629 6121
Gour Lall Singh v. Mohesh Narain Ghose Gour Mohan Bandopadhya v. Tara Chand Bandopadhya	14 W. R., 484 3 B. L. R., Ap., 17: 11 W.} R., 567.	144, 2356 1940, 3496

Property Commence of the Comme			
•			Column
Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	of Digost
•			Digest.
•	-		
Gour Mohun Ghose v. Mohindro Nath Chatterjee		13 W. R., Cr., 78	5579
	•	22 W. R., Cr., 81	1268, 4491
	•	an tit in fine	3074
Gour Mohun Roy v. Anund Mundul	•		4
v. Radha Romun Singh	•	21 W. R, 372	3489
Gour Mohun Shaha v. Gour Mohun Ghose		5 W. R., Mis., 11	3144
Gour Mohun Surmah v. Juggernath Acharjee .	•	14 W. R., 446	1746, 1782
Gourmonee v. Huree Kishore Roy	•	10 W. R., 338	1689
Gour Monee Debea v. Krishna Chunder Sannyal .	•	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 397	3499
Gourmonee Debee v. Neelmadhub Gooho	•	5 W. R., Mis., 3	
Gourmonee Debia v. Abdool Gunnee	•	10 Moore's I. A., 59	4642
Gourmonee Dossee v. Jogutindronarain		18 W. R., 319	1941
Gour Monee Moorain v. Shun Kuree Paharinee Debia		13 W. R., 459	3403
Gourmoney Debia v. Abdool Gunny		8 Moore's I. A., 268	4639
Gour Mookh Singh v. Lalla Gour Sunkur		1 W. R., Mis., 11	5477
Gour Paroy v. Wooma Soonduree Debia		12 W. R., 472	1845, 4192
Gour Pershad Doss v. Sookdeb Ram Deb	.	12 W. R , 279	1426, 4438
Gour Pershad Lal v. Lalla Nund Lal		7 W. R., 439	306
Gour Pershad Mookerjee v. Kalce Pershad Mookerjee		5 W. R., 121	4316
Gourpershad Narain v. Sheopershad Ram	•	5 W. R., 103 · · ·	2084
Gour Pershad Surmah v. Anjub Ali	•	24 W. R., 294	5132
Gour Ram Chunder v. Sonatun Doss	•	25 W. R., 320	2955
	•	1	5287
Gour Sahoy Singh v . Sheo Sahoy Singh	,	15 W. R., 94 7 B. L. R., 289, note : 16 W.	1
Goursimull v. Dhansuk Das	3		2578
0 0 1 D 77:4 77 4 37 1 4	(R., 10, note.	682
Gour Soondur Paray v. Kristo Kant Mahaton	•	15 W. R., 108	275
Gour Surn Dass. In the matter of the petition of	•	19 W. R., 305	257
Gour Surun Dass. In the matter of the petition of	•	8 W. R., Cr., 83	413
Gour Surun Dass v. Ram Surun Bhukut	•	8 W. R., 253 · · ·	303
Gour Surun Doss v. Kanhya Singh	•	2 W. R., 237	1
Gour Surun Doss v. Scrondey	•	15 W. R., 144 · · ·	4135
Goury Churn Mookerjee v. Jogendronath Mookerjee		W. R., 1864, 289	5817
Gouse v. Sundara		I. L. R., 8 Mad., 394	3587
Goutiere v. Charriol	(1 N. W., Part 2, p. 32: Ed.)	393, 427
Goudele v. Charrioi	ì	1873, 91.	·
v. Robert	•	2 N. W., 353 •	3768
Goverdhan Sinha v. Queen-Empress		I. L. R., 11 Calc., 570	1570
Government v. Abdool Huq		3 Agra, Cr., 1	1972
v. Anundee Kooer		10 W. R., Cr., 40	4737
		4 B. L. R., F. B., 46: 12 W.	4732
v. Behari Lall Brajabasi	ાં		4/32
v. Choonee Lall	•	2 Agra, Cr., 1	4094
v. Doulat	•	2 Agra, Cr., 3	460
	•	Z Agra, Or., O	1763, 4281
v. Fergusson · · ·		9 W. R., 158	5128
U			4490, 4494
v. Gholam Mahomed		1 Agra, Cr., 33 · ·	4500
		\ ''	655, 6027
v. Girdharee Lall	•	1 Agra, Cr., 24 · · ·	18
v. Gopæl Singh	•	1 Agra, Cr., 21	(1071 9996
v. Greedharee Lall Roy		4 W. R., 13	1671, 2286
of Greenaree Ban Iwy	•		2301
v. Holasce Singh		1 Agra, Cr., 17 · · ·	1223, 2762
v. Hungessur Sein		1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 11	3629
- v. Hushumtoonissa Bibee		2 W. R., Act X, 106	4936
- v. Jungli Beldar		17 W. R., Cr., 7	
v. Kalika Misser		1 Agra, Cr., 3	1338
v. Kangalee Muduk		18 W. R., Cr., 7	6189
c. Karamut Khan	•	1 Agra, Cr., 1	4448
	•		

Government v. Karimdad	-	
Government v . Karimdad \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 496: 7 C.	1958, 3603
	L. R., 467.	
v. Kesree	1 Agra, Cr., 37	2599
v. Lalawun Singh · · · ·	1 Agra, Cr., 31	5549
v. Lalji Sahu	1 B. L. R., S. N., 23	1203
v. Lall Mohun Nauth · · ·	2 Hay, 136	2061
v. Mahomed Buksh · · ·	1 Agra, Cr., 23 · · ·	4094, 4360
v. Monohur Deo · · · ·	W. R., 1864, 39	1249, 1341 2034
1	18 W. R., Cr., 21	4100
	and the first terms of the first	1805
v. Mudun Dass	6 W. R., Cr., 52	5880
v. Nowlia	7 W. R., 465	5628
v. Pokharun Singh	20 W. R., 117	5370
v. Radhay Singh	18 W. R., Cr., 3	5564
v. Radhoochurn Ash	8 W. R., 343	640
v. Raj Kishen Singh	9 W. R., 426	640, 1432
Dumagayymy	5 W. R., Rec. Ref., 1	712
v. Ramasawmy v. Ram Charun Misr	2 Agra, 74	5212
v. Rhoop Narain Singh	2 W. R., 162	3376, 3438
v. Sham Soonder	1 Agra, Cr., 34	4094
v. Sreeputtee Roy	17 W. R., Cr., 59	4500
		4097, 4435
	17 W. R., Cr., 37 · · {	5166
v. Tabira · · · · ·	7 W. R., 513	40
v. Thacoor Doss	1 Agra, Cr., 13	715
Government of Bengal. In re	7 B. L. R., 244, note	5947
Government of Bengan 222	7 B. L. R., 250, note: 15 W.	5947
(R., Cr., 60.	
In the matter of the petition of	9 B. L. R., 342 · · ·	21, 5146
v. Akatoollah · · ·	15 W. R., Cr., 21	5494
v. Gokool Chunder Chowdhry	24 W. R., Cr., 41	250, 5510
v. Haneef Fakeer	23 W. R., Cr., 50	252
v. Heera Lall Doss	17 W. R., Cr., 39	3604, 4854
v. Jafur Hossein Khan	5 Moore's I. A., 467	5103, 5501
v. Kazimuddin	18 W. R., Cr., 3	6160, 6163
v. Mahaddi	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 371	251
v. Parmeshur Mullick .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1029	2581
v. Sheo Gholam Lalla	24 W. R., Cr., 67	
v. Shurruffutoonissa .	3 W. R., P. C., 31: 8 Moore's	3445
	I. A., 225.	21
v. Surwar Jan · · ·	18 W. R., Cr., 33 . I. L. R., 5 Calc., 453 : 5 C. L.	
v. Whittard	1. D. 10., 5 Calc., 455: 5 C. 11.	3812
,	R., 307.	251
Government of Bombay. Ex parte	11 Bom., 117 1. L. R., 9 Bom., 478	390
v. Dadyama Basapa · ·	1	2877, 5590
v. Damodhar Parmanandas .	5 Bom., A. C., 202	5595
v. Desai Kullianrai Hakoo-		9064 4509
	14 Moore's I. A., 551	2064, 4503
mutrai.	I. L. R., 4 Bonn., 320	586
v. Ganga v. Gasvami Shri Girdharlalji	9 Bom., 222	
		2858, 2959
v. Haribhai Monbhai	12 Bom., Ap., 225 ·	2969
a Danmalainaii Amagainaii	9 Bom., 242	2798
v. Ranmalsingji Amarsingji .	I. L. R., 5 Bom, 405	983
v Shidapa	12 Bom., Ap., 275	2858, 296

Govinda Babaji v. Naiku Joti Govinda Chundra Sikdar v. Ayjunddin Sha Biswas Govinda Dass v. Dulall Dass Govinda Muneya Tiruyan v. Bapu 5 Mad., 200 5677 10 C. L. R., 408. 5678 5678 6787 6797	Name of Oase.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Govinda P. Jesha Premaji I. L. R., 7 Bom., 73 3897, 4 377 600	•		070
Govinda Dass v. Dulall Dass	Govinda v. Jesha Premaji Govinda Babaji v. Najku Joti	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 73 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 78	252 3897, 4126 3775 3004
Govinda Muneya Tiruyan v. Bapu 5 Mad., 200 5677 Govindan v. Kannaran 1 L. R., 3 Mad., 200 3757	-		3612
Second Nath Sandyal v. Nubo Coomar Banerjee Chowdhry Chowdhry v. Anund Chunder Chowdhry Chowdhry v. Anund Chunder Second Pershad v. Rampurkash Singh Sovind Purshotam Kolatkar v. Sub-Collector and Deputy Conservator of Forests of Colaba. Govind Ragunath v. Govind Jagoji L. L. R., 188 Second Ragunath v. Govind Jagoji L. L. R., 188 Second Ragunath v. Govind Jagoji L. L. R., 188 Second Ragunath v. Ragho Deshmukh L. R., 3 Calc., 339 : 1 C. L. R., 429 L. L. R., 3 Calc., 339 : 1 C. L. R., 429 L. L. R., 5 Bom., 246 Second Vaman v. Sakharam Ramchandra L. L. R., 5 Bom., 246 Second Vinayak Gadre v. Collector of Ratnagiri Govind Vinayak Gadre v. Collector of Ratnagiri Gowhur Ali Khan v. Ahmed Khan Second Vinayak Gadre v. Collector of Ratnagiri Second Vinayak Gadre v. Sakheena Khanum Second Vinayak Gadre v. Sakheena Khanum Second Vinayak Gadre v. Saroo Coomare	Govinda Muneya Tiruyan v. Bapu Govindan v. Kannaran Govinda Nair v. Kesava Govindan Nambiar v. Krishnan Nambiar Govindannayar. In the matter of the petition of Govindan Pillai v. Chidambara Pillai Govindappah v. Kindappah Sastrulu Govindappah v. Kyatadoo Govindappah v. Kyatadoo Govindappa Nayak. In re Govindarajulu v. Lakshuman Govindas v. Dayabhai Govind Bhaichand v. Kalnak Govind Chunder Addy v. Auloo Beebee Govind Chunder Goswami v. Rungunmoney Govind Hari Valekar v. Bank of India Govindji Khimji v. Lakhmidas Nathubhoy	5 Mad., 200 I. L. R., 1 Mad., 351 I. L. R., 3 Mad., 81 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 146 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 224 3 Mad., 99 6 Mad., 131 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 36 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 37 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 22 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 592 I. W. R., 49 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 60: 6 C. L. R., 345 4 Bom., A. C., 164 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 318	5672 3757 4950 6083 5524 3366 668 4629 3573 6077 3440 1744, 2930 3464 5460, 5468 2212 2032, 3133
Govindo Prosad Roy Chowdhry v. Anund Chunder Chowdhry.	Govind Lakshuman v . Narayan Moreshvar		3469
Govind Ram Balwary v. Bathoola Sabooya Govindrav Deshmukh v. Ragho Deshmukh Govind Shanbhog v. Appaya Govind Vaman v. Sakharam Ramchandra Govind Vinayak Gadre v. Collector of Ratnagiri Gowhur Ali Khan v. Ahmed Khan v. Sakheena Khanum Gowhur Hossein v. Ram Coomar Chowdhry Gowra Bebee v. Kissen Misser Gowra Coomaree v. Saroo Coomaree Gowra Kumari v. Bengal Coal Company Gowree Boyjo Nath Pershad v. Jodha Singh Gowree Kumul Bhuttacharjee v. Surut Chunder Biswas. Gowree Nath Sahoy v. Fukeer Chand Gowree Sunkur v. Bholee Pershad L. R., 429 1. L. R., 8 Bom., 543 1. L. R., 5 Bom., 246 1. L. R., 5 Bom., 246 1. L. R., 5 Bom., 246 1. L. R., 5 Bom., 242 1. L. R., 5 Bom., 246 1. L. R., 5 Bom., 242 1. L. R., 5 Bom., 246 1. L. R., 5 Bom., 248 1. L. R., 5 Bom., 246 1. L. R., 6 Bom., 246 1. L. R., 5 Bom., 246 1. L. R., 6 Bom., 246 1. L. R., 5 Bom., 248 1. L. R., 6 Bom., 240 1. L. R., 5 Bom., 246 1. L. R., 6 Bom., 240 1. L. R., 5 Bom., 248 1. L. R., 5 Bom., 248 1. L. R., 6 Bom., 248 1. L. R., 5 Bom., 248 1. L. R., 5 Bom., 248 1. L. R., 6 Bom., 24 1. L. R., 5 Bom., 248 1. L. R., 6 Bom., 24 1. L. R., 5 Bom., 24 1. L. R., 6 Bom., 24 1. L. R., 507 1. L. R., 507 1. L. R., 507 1. L. R., 507 1. L. R	Govindo Prosad Roy Chowdhry v. Anund Chunder Chowdhry. Govind Pershad v. Rampurkash Singh Govind Purshotam Kolatkar v. Sub-Collector and Deputy Conservator of Forests of Colaba. Govind Ragunath v. Govind Jagoji Govind Ramchandra Gokhle v. Ahmed	8 W. R., 206	46 1812 897 3022 6032 6108
Govind Shanbhog v. Appaya	Govind Ram Marwary v. Mathoora Sabooya .		2575
	Govind Shanbhog v. Appaya Govind Vaman v. Sakharam Ramchandra Govind Vinayak Gadre v. Collector of Ratnagiri Gowhur Ali Khan v. Ahmed Khan v. Sakheena Khanum Gowhur Hossein v. Ram Coomar Chowdhry Gowra Bebee v. Kissen Misser Gowra Coomaree v. Saroo Coomaree Gowra Kumari v. Bengal Coal Company	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 246 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 42 6 Bom., A. C., 101 20 W. R., 214 15 W. R., 507 8 W. R., 481 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 224 19 W. R., 252. 5 B. L. R., 667, note: 13, W. R., 129 12 B L. R., 282, note: 13 W. R., 129 19 W. R., 416 22 W. R., 408 18 W. R., 347 17 W. R., 211 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1087	3506 1718, 1910 611 3645 298, 4139 554 3219 3431, 5076 5076 3118, 3431 5438 5382, 6153 5470 3904 5093 3264, 4040

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Goya Pershad Aubustee v. Tarinee Kant Lahoree	23 W. R., 149	1735
Graham v. Hille	10 Bom,, 60	596
v. Ker. Dods & Co.	3 B. L. R., Ap., 4	2621
•. Lopez	1 W. R., 141	3556, 5776
Graham & Co. v. Mervanji Nusservanji	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 539	732 6089
v. Bangsi Deo	6 B. L. R., 652: 15 W. R.,	2039
v. Byjnath Tewaree	21 W. R., 279	1845
v. Modhoo Soodun Singh	10 W. R., 79	5749
v. Ram Tonoo Bhoomick	10 W. R., 83	554, 5661
Grant, Smith & Co. v. Juggobundoo Shaw	Bourke, A. O. C., 17: 2 2	4585, 4603
v. Steel	Hyde, 301.) 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 60	5295
	1 1nd. 5 dr., 14. 5., 60	395, 592
Grasemann v. Gardner	3 W. R., Rec. Ref., 2 . }	3559
v. Littlepage	3 W. R., Rec. Ref., 1	1356
Fray v. Anundmohun Moitro	W. R., 1864, 108	1992
v. Chick	Cor., 136	2672
Freat Eastern Hotel Co. v. Collector of Allahabad .	2 Agra, Ex. O. C., 1	1577, 3027
v. Secretary of State for India.	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 219	6019
Freat Indian Peninsula Railway Co. v. Nowroji Pestanji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 390 .	2618
Khushaldas.	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 371	4599
Freaves v. Bhagvan Tulsi	4 Bom., A. C., 93	4690
Freedharee Doss v. Nundkishore Dutt	Marsh., 573: 2 Hay, 633	2248, 3262
v. Nundkishore Doss {	11 Moore's I. A., 405: 8 W. R., P. C., 25.	3262
Freedharee Singh v. Fooljhuree Kooer	24 W. R., 173	685, 1681
v. Indro Kooer	17 W. R., 237	3395
v. Indro Kooer	Marsh., 37	· 67
reeja Bhoosun Mitter v. Kishen Kishore Ghose	7 W. R., 221 8 W. R., 136	1916 200
	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 12: 9 C. L.	
reeman Singh v. Wahari Lall Singh v	R., 249. I. L. R., 4 Calc., 897 : 4 C. ?	1417
reender Chunder Ghose v. Mackintosh	L. R., 193.	3169, 6138
reene v. Delanney	14 W. R., Cr., 27	1509 131, 1945
reenway v. Hogg	Bourke, A. O. C., 111: Cor., § 97: 2 Hyde, 3.	6201, 6220
v	Bourke, A. O. C., 139	888
reenwood v. Holquette	12 B. L. R., 42: 20 W. R.,	1127, 1129
reesh Chunder Bonnerjee v. Collins	467. 2 Hyde, 79	2805, 4069
reesh Chunder Bonnerjee v. Conns. lunkar. lunkar.	10 W. R., 173	4140
reesh Chunder Chowdhry v. Bhugruttee Dabea Chow-	1 Hay, 495	5986
dhranee.		4103
reesh Chunder Chuckerbutty. In the matter of reesh Chunder Doss. In the matter of	15 W. R., Cr., 36	4505
reach Chundon Lobeann A. J D.L.	8 W. R., 333	1138
Freesh Chunder Lahoree v. Kasheessuree Debia	8 W. R., 26	5929
		1770, 2080
v. Ram Lall Sircar	1 W. R., 144 {	2146
Freesh Chunder Pottar v. Lookhooda Moyee Dabee	1 W. R., 55 · · · ·	5484
	7 W. R., 349	6088

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Greesh Chunder Roy v. Sumbhoo Chunder Roy	5 W. R., P. C., 98	2414
Greesh Chunder Singh v. Gourmohun Banerjee	7 W. R., 49	881
v. Prankishen Bhuttacharjee .	7 W. R., 157	3143 5804
Gregory v. Cochrane		291, 911
v Dooley Chand	14 W. R., O. C., 17	1761, 6228
v. Dulsook Roy · · · ·	Cor., 9	2705
v. Essuff Coonjee · · · ·	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 244	429
v. Gourdoss Shaha	8 W. R., 490	3283 3132
v. Juggut Chunder Bannerjee	5 W. R., Mis., 17	3468
v. Joychunder Bannerjee	10 W. R., 368	4934
Gridhari Das v. Jagan Nath	I. L. R., 3 All., 115	5839
	1 B. L. R., P. C., 44: 10 W.	1671, 2286
Gridhari Lall Roy v. Government of Bengal . {	R., P. C., 31.	2301
v. Sundar Bibi	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 496: 6	183
C. Dubdai 2:01	W. R., 187. 15 B. L. R., 264: 23 W. R., }	ļ
Gridhari Lall Sahoo v. Gowrunbutty	365.	2164
	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 435: 10 C.	5510
Gridhari Mondul. In the matter of the petition of	L. R., 46.	5513
17.14.11	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 435: 10 C.)	5513
- v. Uchit Jha · · · }	L. R., 46.	
Gridhari Singh v. Hurdeo Narain Sahu	21 W. R., 263	267
Gridhar Ranchoddas v. Hakamchand Revachand	8 Boin., A. C., 75	6136
Grija Kant Lahory Chowdhry v. Hurish Chunder	19 W. R., 114	4180
Chowdhry.		2571, 4490
Grijamonee v. Ishur Chunder	W. R., 1864, Cr., 2	4494, 4500
Grish Chandra v. Kashisauri Debi	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 145	6039
	3 B. L. R., A. C., 68: 11 W.	4760
Grish Chandra Dutt v. Buzul-ul-Huq.	R., 412.	2,00
Grish Chandra Ghose v. Iswar Chandra Mookerjee	3 B. L. R., A. C., 337: 12	146, 1628
	W. R., 226.	2631
Grish Chandra Roy v. Prasanna Kumar China Grish Chandra Roy Chowdhry v. Amina Khatun	4dB. L. R., O. C., 94 3 B. L. R., Ap., 121	327
Grish Chunder Banerjee v. Bhanoo Motee Chowdhrain .	11 W. R., 329	3479, 6173
v. Gunga Doorga	25 W. R., 60	5343
v. Hemlota Debi	11 C. L. R., 359	6196
Grish Chunder Chooramonee. In the matter of the pe-?	20 W. R., 56	4868
tition of.		
v. Brojonath Bhuttacharjee .	20 W. R., 56	4868 4757, 4758
Grish Chunder Chowdhry v. Kristo Soondur Sandyal .	14 W. R., 277 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 243: 7 C.	
Grish Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Biseswari Debia . }	L. R., 420.	5377
v. Jibaneswari Dabia	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 243: 7 C. L. R., 420.	5377
Grish Chunder Das v. Brojo Jibun Bose	8 C. L. R., 4	2102, 5446
Grish Chunder Ghose v. Kalee Tara	25 W. R., 395	5307
v. Ramtonoo Eiswas	12 W. R., 449	1587, 1626
Grish Chunder Guha v. Gour Chunder, Dass	12 C. L. R., 161	2718
Grish Chunder Kur v. Kristo Chunder Ghose	18 W. R., 123	5703
Grish Chunder Lahoory v. Koomaree Dabea	1 W. R., Mis., 23	2237, 5611
Grish Chunder Lahoree v. Bhuggobutty Debia .	14 W. R., P. C., 7: 13 Moore's I. A., 419.	4234
v. Shama Churn Sandyal.	15 W. R., 437	139
o. Shama Churh Sanuval •	1 -0 111 -115 -01	

	The second secon	
Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Grish Chunder Mitter. In the goods of {	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 483: 7 C. 3 L. R., 593.	3086
v. Jhaku }	12 B. L. R., 488, note 17 W. R., 352.	5305
Grish Chunder Mookerjee v. Miller	3 C. L. R., 17	2076, 3854
v. Ramessuree Dabee	22 W. R., 308	4890
Grish Chunder Mundul v. Doorga Doss Grish Chunder Nundee. In the matter of the petition of	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 494	5321, 5699 4446
Grish Chunder Roy v. Bhugwan Chunder Roy	13 W. R., 191	1500, 1503
	W. R., 1864, 262	4473 5629
v. Boydonath Dey v. Gobind Singh v	17 W. R., 88	5682
Grish Chunder Sein v . Gudadhur Ghose $\left\{\right.$	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 869 : 6 C. L } R., 498.	1664
Grish Chunder Sen v. Eastern Pengal Jute Manufacturing Company.	10 W. R., 492	562
Grish Chunder Singh v. Auna Dassee	17 W. R., 46	5683
Grob v. Palmer	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 395	4071
Groom v. Wilson	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 539	4526 48, 401
Grose v. Amirtamayi Dasi	4 B. L. R., O. C., 1: 12 W. R., O. C., 13.	696, 6022 618 6
Gubboy v. Miller	I. L. R., 6 Cale., 833: 7C. L. R., 29: 9 C. L. R., 385.	2640
Gubdoo Mull v. Hoolasee	2 Agra, 247	1633
Gudadhur Banerjee v. Government	6 W. R., 326	2034
v. Kanye Dekhooria	8 W. R., 191	4166 2980
v. Khettermohun Surmah v. Monmohinee Dossea	7 W. R., 460	248,878
v. Monmohinee Dossea	3 W. R., Act X, 145	1628
v. Premomoyee Debia	10 W. R., 286	307
Cudadhur Chattariae at Nundlall Maskeriae	15 W. R., 3	1749 5932
Gudadhur Chatterjee v. Nundlall Mookerjee v. Rajkristo Roy	13 W. R., 73	4285
Gudadhur Chowdry v. Shama Churn Mitter	16 W. R., 8	1145
Gudadhur Dutt v. Shushee Monee Dossia	21 W. R., 7	4910 4104
Gudadhur Kamila v. Baidanath Jana	24 W. R., Cr., 6 6 W. R., 155	5985
Gudadhur Lall v. Ram Jhan Gunderee	10 W. R., 212	3006
Gudadhur Paul Chowdhry v. Bhyrub Chunder Bhatta-	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 918	1847
charji. Gujadhur Pershad Narain Singh v. Jugdeo Narain .	10 W. R., 233	5731, 6238
Gudadhur Purshad Tewaree v. Soonder Koomaree .	6 Moore's I. A., 201	4644
Guddalu Ruthna Mudaliyar v. Kunnattur Arumuga Mudaliyar.	7 Mad., 189	1828
Gudi Janakayya Garu v. Garuda Reddi	1 Mad., 124	2697, 4947
Gudri Lal v. Jagannath Ram	I. L. R., 8 All., 117	2832
Guduri Jagannadham v. Rapaka Ramanna	7 Mad., 348	4810 5060
Gugun Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Ajmul Ali Gugunfur Ali v. Mahomed Yaseen	20 W. R., 334	4783
Gujadhur Pauree. v. Naik Pauree	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 528	1484
Gujadhur Pershad v. Abdoollah	11 W. R., 220 . · · ·	3691
Oriodhun Pouched Namin Sind a Salah Basi	3 Agra, 27	4325 4037
Gujadhur Pershad Narain Singh v. Saheb Roy Gujadhur Pershaud v. Narain Singh	5 W. R., Mis., 41	2840
Gujadhur Singh v. Nund Ram	1 Agra, 244	52
Gujar v. Barve	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 673	3175, 3450
	1	ı

Name of Cage.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gujendro Mohun Shaha v. Eastern Bengal Railway Co.	17 W. R., 240	1062
Gujendro Narain Boy. In the matter of	18 W. R., 145	5708 5864
v. Collector of Midnapore .	23 W. R., 197	522
Gujerat Trading Company v. Trikamji Velji	3 Bom., O. C., 45	1094, 5875
Gujju Lall v. Fatteh Lall	L. R., 439.	4963
Gujmull v. Chahee Kowar	L. R., 2 I. A., 34 10 W. R., 355	4649 4434
v. Bijai Singh	6 N. W., 114	5781
Gulabchand Manickchand v. Dhondi valad Bhau	11 Bom., 64	3545, 3546 4265
Gulab Dai v. Jiwan Ram	I. L. R., 2 All., 318	4205 753
Gulabdas v. Lakshman Narhar	I. L. R., 3 Bom, 221	3453, 3455
Gulabdas Jugjivandas v. Collector of Surat Gulabhai Mondas v. Dayabhai Govardhandas	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 186	2750
Gulabi Singh v. Rai Normal Chund	10 Bom., 51 6 N. W., 342	1502, 5682 332, 533
Gulab Narotam v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 596	605
Gulao Rai v. Indar Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 54	5203
Gulab Rai v. Indar Singh v. Mangli Lal v.	I. L. R., 6 All., 71	6080
	I. L. R., 7 All., 42	189, 5949
Gulab Singh. In the matter of the petition of .	I. L. R., 6 All., 45	5526
v. Amar Singh v. Debi Prosad v. Lachman Das v. Pemian	I. L. R., 2 All., 237 I. L. R., 6 All., 45	3240 5526
v. Lachman Das	I. L. R., 1 All., 748	197
v. Pemian	I. L. R., 5 All., 342	1904
Gulam Alli bin Kazi Ismail v. Aga Khan	6 Bom., O. C., 93	6242
Gulam Husen Mahamed v. Musa Miya Hamad Ali	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 260 .	237, 31 54 5137
Gulam Hussain Saib v. Aji Ajam Tadallah Saib .	4 Mad., 44	3671, 3686
Gulam Jafar v. Masludin	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 238	3678
Gulam Mohidin v. Collector of Ahmedabad Gulam Muhammad Sharif-ud-daulah. In re	12 Bom., Ap., 276	2858, 2960 5509
Gulam Rahumtulla Sahib v. Mahommed Akbar Sahib	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 439 8 Mad., 63	366 7
Gulawad Chandabhai v. Rahimtulla Jamalhhai	4 Bom., A. C., 76	849
Gule v. Maharanee Sreemutty	4 Bom., A. C., 76 15 W. R., 133	3832
Gulibai v Jagannath Galvankar	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 659	2024
Gulzar Ali v. Fida Ali	I. L. R., 6 All., 24	1712
Gulzari Lal v. Collector of Bareilly	I. L. R., 1 All., 596 · · ·	4343
Gulzari Lal v. Jadaun Rai	I. L. R., 2 All., 63 I. L. R., 2 All., 799	1244 6079
Gulzari Lai v. Jadaun Rai ———————————————————————————————————	2 Agra. 30	2776
Guman Gallubhai v. Sorabji Barjorji	2 Agra, 30	1811
Gumani v. Hardwar Pandey	I. L. R., 3 All., 698	445
v. Ram Charan	I. L. R., 1 All., 555	5815
v. Ram Padarath Lai	I. L. R., 2 All., 838	4886
Gumani Kazi v. Harihar Mookerjee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 15: W. R., F. B., 115: Marsh.,	1438, 2975
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	527. D., 110. Maish., 5	4151, 4169
Gumna Dambershet v. Bhiku Hariba	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 125 .	633, 3308 3309, 3531
Gunamanee v. Haree Datta ,	18 W. R., Cr., 6	957
Gunamani Dasi v. Prankishori Dasi {-	5 B. L. R., 223: 13 W. R., 1 F. B., 69.	821, 849
Gundam Venkatasami v. Chinnam Purushottama		1717, 5259
Gunda Reddi Narayana Reddi v. Kristna Doss Bala Mukunda Doss,	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 87	3586

Gundo Anandrav v. Krishnarav Govind	Name of Case. Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gunesh Lall Tewaree v. Bindoo Bashinee	Gundo Mahadev v. Rambhat bin Bhaubhat . 1 Bom., 39 Gundo Shiddheshvar v. Mardan Saheb . 10 Bom., 419 Gunee Biswas v. Sree Gopal Paul Chowdhry . 8 W. R., 395 Gunee Mahomed v. Baharoollah . 13 W. R., 240 Gunesh Chunder Gangooly. In the matter of . 13 W. R., 456 Gunesh Chunder Shah v. Ram Dhunee Dossee . 22 W. R., 283 Gunesh Doss v. Gondour Koormi . L. R., 9 Calc., 147 L. R., 418. . L. R., 418. Gunesh Dutt v. Bulwunt Singh . 14 W. R., 175 — v. Lall Muttee Kooer . 17 W. R., 11 Gunesh Dutt Singh v. Moheshur Singh . 6 Moore's I. A., 164 — v. Mungreeram Chowdhry . 19 W. R., 186 — v. Munguy Ram Chowdhry . 21 W. R., 288 Guneshee v. Chotay Lal . 3 N. W., 117 Guneshee Lal v. Zaraut Ali . 2 N. W., 343 . N. W., 343	: 12 533
	Gunesh Lall Tewaree v. Bindoo Bashinee Gunesh Persad v. Wilson W. R., 1864, Act X, 8 Gunesh Pershad. In re 3 N. W., 200 1 N. W., 134: Ed. 18 Gunesh Ram Surmah v. Rohinee Dassee Guneshur Singh v. Doorga Dutt Gunga Baee v. Hogg Gunga Baksh v. Wali Baksh Gunga Bishen v. Ram Guti Rai Gungabishen Bhugut v. Roghoonath Ojha Gunga Bishen Chund v. Dhiraj Mahtab Chand Bahadur. Gunga Bishen Sahu. In re Gunga Bishen Sahu. In re Gunga Bishen Sahu. In re Gunga Bishun Tewarry v. Roy Mohun Lall Mitter Gunga Bishun Tewarry v. Roy Mohun Lall Mitter Gunga Deen v. Luchmun Pershad Gunga Deen Chowdhry v. Hur Sahai Singh Gunga Deen Rawut v. Modhoo Sudun Gungadhur Chatterjee v. Soorjo Nauth Chatterjee Gungadhur Chatterjee v. Soorjo Nauth Chatterjee Gungadhur Roy v. Wooma Soonderee Dossee Gungadhur Sahoo. In the matter of the petition of Gungadhur Seal v. Raddamoney Dossee Gunga Dhur Shikdar v. Ayimuddin Shah Biswas	873, 218

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gunga Doss Dutt v. Ramnarain Ghose	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 6252) Ind. Jur., N. S., 111: 7 W. R., 183.	2890
Gungagobind Bose v. Dhunnee Gunga Gobind Gooptoo v. Makhun Lall Hattee Gungagobind Mundul v. Ashootosh Dhur v. Bonomalee Paul v. Collector of 24-Pergunnahs	1 W. R., 60 9 W. R., 362 21 W. R., 256 14 W. R., 54 7 W. R., P. C., 21: 11) Moore's I. A., 345.	2203 838, 4523 1140 2648 299, 300 3446, 4196
Gungagobind Roy v. Kala Chand Surma Gangooly	20 W. R., 455	535, 537 5077
Gunga Gobind Sen v. Gobind Chunder Dass .	11 B. L. R., Ap., 31: 19 W. R., 347.	548
Gunga Gobind Singh v. Joy Gopaul Panda Gunga Gotti Pal v. Ram Sunder Dutt Gunga Hurry Dhobey v. Tripp	10 W. R., 165 8 C. L. R., 157 1 W. R., 31 14 B. L. R., 307: 23 W. R.,	1455 451 5075
Gungahurry Nundee v. Raghubram Nundee .	131.	6 031, 614 3
Gungamala Chowdhrain v. Madhub Chunder Roy Gungamonee v. Luteefoonissa Chowdhrain Gungamonee Chowdrain v. Gopal Chunder Roy Gunga Monce Dossee v. Issur Chunder Shaha Gungamoyee Dossee v. Shib Sunkur Bhuttacharjee Gunga Narain Behutta v. Collector of Midnapore Gunga Narain Bose v. Cornell Gunga Narain Chatterjee v. Radhakrishna Dutt Gunga Narain Chowdhry v. Kofa Pali v. Radhika Mohun Roy Gunganarain Dass v. Punchanunee Dassee Gunga Narain Ghose v. Ram Chand Bose Gunga Narain Ghose v. Ram Chand Bose Gunga Narain Gupta v. Annada Moyee Burrooanee Gunga Narain Mitter v. Gour Soonder Chowdry Gunganarain Moytro v. Gudadhur Chowdhry Gunganarain Paul v. Umesh Chunder Bose Gunga Narain Sen v. Hurish Chunder Changdars Gunga Narain Sen v. Hurish Chunder Changdars Gunga Narain Sircar v. Azeezoonissa Beebee Gunga Narayan Chowdhry v. Phul Mohamed Sirkar Gungapersad v. Agra and Masterman's Bank v. Gogun Singh	131. 10 W. R., 413 7 W. R., 196 19 W. R., 214 17 W. R., 465 3 C. L. R., 430 6 W. R., 47 10 W. R., 442 25 W. R., 322 11 W. R., 377 21 W. R., 115 W. R., 1864, 163 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 409 12 B. L. R., 48: 20 W. R., 311 12 C. L. R., 404 15 W. R., 184 W. R., 184 C. L. R., 336 5 W. R., 184 6 C. L. R., 336 5 W. R., Mis., 18 2 B. L. R., Ap., 45 5 B. L. R., 340, note I. L. R., 3 Calc., 322	4129 5347 166 5781 3482 5431 97 4759 1603 47, 1747 4980 1031 169 1895 4490 5676 2233 5132 3952 239 3495 5139 4795
Gungapersaud Singh v . Ramloll Singh	Marsh., 185: W. R., F. B., 59: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 118: 1 Hay, 452.	1662
Gungapershad v. Ajoodhia Pershad	Agra, F. B., 31: Ed. 1874, }	4591
v. Buldeo Singh	3 Agra, 310	4996 1729 328 2
v. Phool Singh	10 B. L. R., 368, note: 10 W. R., 106.	2077
v. Rampershad	Agra, F. B., 31: Ed. 1874, }	4591
Gunga Pershad Bhoomick v. Debi Sundari Dabea Gunga Pershad Dutt v. Lokenath Nundee	20 W. R., 177 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 227 12 W. R., 179	3770 3504 4129

Name of Case.,	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Gungapershad Kur v. Shumbhoonath Burman Gungapershad Roy v. Enayet Zahera	22 W. R., 393	2489 3985 3562 1744 3842 2173
Gungaprosad v. Ajudhya Pershad Singh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 131: 9 C. L. R., 417.	2175
Gunga Rai v. Sakeena Begum Gungaram v. Gunga Dhur v. Hoolasee v. Khem Narain Pooree v. Moola v. Ujoodhyaram Myte Gungaram Chowdry v. Huree Nath Chowdry Gungaram Dutt v. Chowdhry Jumnajoy Mullick Gungaram Ghose Sirdar v. Kalipodo Ghose Gungaram Sadhookhan v. Panch Cowree Poramanick Gunga Ram Sirdar v. Biressur Banerjee	5 N. W., 72 1 Agra, 198 2 N. W., 88 11 W. R., 250 2 N. W., 200 2 W. R., 158 15 W. R., 436 1 C. L. R., 144 1 L. R., 11 Calc., 661 25 W. R., 366 6 W. R., Act X, 32	3871, 5779 4331 3771 4973 4542 1583 3374 4858, 6173 4832 4444, 4987 3010
Gungaram Sirkar v. Sreenath Banerjee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 915: 6 C. L. R., 16.	1184
Gungoo Mull v. Bunseedhur	1 N. W., Part VI, p. 79: Ed. 1873, 170.	2366
Gungowa Kome Malupa v. Erawa Kome Jogapa . Guni Khan v. Koonjo Behary Sein	13 Moore's I. A., 433 3 C. L. R., 414	4632 822
Guni Mahomed v. Moran	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 96 : 2 C. L. R., 371.	1185, 1187
Gunnes Chunder Hazra v. Rampria Debca	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 53	1439, 1630
Gunnesh Dutt Singh v. Mugneeram Chowdhry .	11 B. L. R., 321 : 17 W. R., 283.	3769, 6247
Gunnesh Pattro v. Ram Nidhee Koondoo Gunno Singh v. Muddun Mohun Singh	22 W. R., 361	$\begin{array}{c} 2793 \\ 447 \end{array}$
Gunoo Singh v. Latafut Hossain	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 336: 1 C. L. R., 91.	3893
Gunowree Bhooea. In re Gunput Narain Singh. In the matter of v. Rajun Kocer Gunput Roy v. Ram Deour Roy Gunput Singh v. Gunga Pershad Gunsam Doss v. Mooltan Mull Guntham Doss v. Mooltan Mull	6 W. R., Cr., 70	1154 2607, 5805 2607, 5805 300 2509 3845 166
Gupikishen Goswami v. Brindabun Chandra Sirkar Chowdhry.	3 B. L. R., P. C., 37: 12 W. R., P. C., 36: 13 Moore's	3199, 3202
Gupinath Roy v. Dinabandhu Nandi	I. A., 37. 3 B. L. R., Ap., 62	, 1913
Gupinath Singh v. Sheo Sahai Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 72: I W. R, 315.	3918
Guracharya v. Collector of Belgaum	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 529 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 431	3180 688
Guravi v. Guravi Gur Dayal. In the matter of the petition of v. Kaunsila	3 Bom., A. C., 170 I. L. R., 2 All., 205 I. L. R., 5 All., 367	2365 5522 • 2436
Gurdial Mal v. Jauhri Mal	I. L. R., 7 All., 820	3996, 4781
Gurdial Mundar v . Teknarayan Singh \cdot .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 166: 2 W. R., 215.	3716

Name of Case.	Vρlume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gurdi Misree v. Maheswar Buksh Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 13: Marsh., 527: W. R., F. B., 116.	210
Gureeb Doss v. Mungul Doss	14 W. R., 383 7 W. R., 41	675 544, 5734 3696, 3713
Gureebullah Sirkar v. Mohun Lall Shaha	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 127: 8 C. L. R., 409.	573, 5873
Gurivi Chetty v. Aiyappa Naidu Gurivi Reddi v. Chinnamma Gur Parshad v. Umrao Singh Gur Sahai v. Ram Dial Guru Churn Chuckerbutty v. Kali Kissen Tagore Guru Churn Sen v. Kalinath Dass Biswas	7 N. W., 218	3350 2512 2951, 4463 5429 2073, 3857 1294
Gurudas Akhuli v. Gobin Naik	Ind. Jur., N. S., 421 6 { W. R., Mis., 98.	3506, 3526
Gurudas Bose. In re	7 B. L. R., Ap., 23 3 B. L. R., A. C., 258	2651 1845, 5759
Gurudas Dhar v. Bijaya Gobind Baral	1 B. L. R., A. C., 108: 10 W. R., 71.	1165
Gurudas Nag v. Matilal Nag	6 B. L. R., Ap., 16: 14 W. R., 468	1416
Gurudas Pyne v. Ram Narain Sahu	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 860; L. R., 11 I. A., 59.	3357
Gurudas Rai v. Stephens	13 B. L. R., Ap., 44: 21 W. R., 195.	2695
Guru Gobind Shaha Mandal v. Anand Lal Ghose Ma- zumdar.	5 B. L. R., 15: 13 W. R., F. B., 49.	2293
Gurumurtti Nayudu v. Pappa Nayudu	1 Mad., 164	1767, 5142 5782
Gurunath Nilkanth v. Krishnaji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 462 .	884, 2193
Gurupadapa Basapa v. Virbhadrapa Irasangapa	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 459 .	3130, 3133 3470, 3471
Gurupadava v. Putapa Gurupathappa v. Narasingappa Guru Pershad Singh v. Gossain Munraj Puri	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 599 I. L, R., 7 Mad., 174 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 733	688 376 3853
Guruprasad Roy v. Dhanpat Singh	5 B. L. R., Ap., 46: 14 W. R., 20.	4853, 6150
v. Nafardas Roy	3 B. L. R., A. C., 121: 11 W. R., 497.	2268, 2494
Guru Prasad Sahu v. Binda Bibi	9 B. L. R., 180: 16 W. R.,	438
Guruprashad Roy v. Ras Mohun Mukhopadhya	1 C. L. R., 431 . I. L. R., 5 Mad., 37 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 37	1485, 4900 1116, 2170 2116, 2170
Gurusami Sastrial v. Ganapathia Pillai	I. L. R., 5 M , 337 2 Mad., 450 .	4157, 5798 6124
Dyamangavda.	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 531	4388
Guthrie v . Abul Mazaffar	7 B. L. R., 630: 15 W. R., P. C., 50: 14 Moore's I A., 53.	1559, 4654
v. Lister	6 W. R., P. C., 59: 11 Moore's I. A., 129.	1031
Guttee Koiburto v . Bhukut Koiburto Guz Behary Singh v . Washun .	22 W. R., 457 W. R., 1864, 302	1732 3157

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Guzerat Spinning and Weaving Company v. Girdarlal Dalpatram. Guzeree Lall. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 425	924 5925 2183
Gyamonee v. Radha Romon	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 592 2 N. W., 83 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 208: 8 C.	212 634
Gyan Chunder Roy v. Protap Chunder Doss .	L. R., 267.	1957, 5509
Gyan Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Kalee Churn Roy Chowdhry.	7 W. R., 48 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 318: 8 C. 7	3185
Gyan Chunder Sen v. Durga Churn Sen	L. R., 415.	335, 4308
Gyanee Ram v. Palee Ram	2 N. W., 73. I. L. R., 9 Calc., 50: 11 C.)	1216, 2573
Gyanendro Chunder Lahiri v. Kalapahar Haji	L. R., 297.	2136
Gyanendro Nath Roy v. Lobongomunjuri Dabi .	11 C. L. R., 198 1 N. W., Part VI, p. 73	2480
Gyan Singh v. Peetum Singh	Ed. 1873, 165.	2053
Gya Persad alias Lal Persad v. Heet Narain Gyaram Chuckerbutty v. Buroda Dabee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 93 . 20 W. R., 484	3401 3906
Gyaram Dutt v. Gooroochurn Chatterjee	2 W. R., Act X, 59	1614
Gyaram Mundul v. Gyaram Naik	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 22: \(\) Marsh., 28: 1 Hay, 65.	4167
Gyaram Seal v. Issur Chunder Chuckerbutty Gyasooddeen Hyder v. Fatima Begum	2 W. R., 158 1 Agra, 238	4274, 5778 3683
. H		
Habeeboollah v. Gouhur Ally Khan	18 W. R., 523	3633, 6245 4043 4865
Habib Sobhan v. Mahendra Nath Roy !	2 B. L. R., Ap., 32: 11 W. \ R., 129.	5933
Habibullah v. Achaibar Pandey	I. L. R., 4 All., 145 I. L. R., 7 All., 447	3226 2874
v. Nakched Rai	I. L. R., 5 All., 447 .	4768, 47 69 4834
v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 937 .	1979
Habib-un-nissa v. Barkat Ali	I. L. R., 8 All., 275	3719 29 2
Hadayat Alva Lall Singh	1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 38: Ed.	5198
Hadoo Sirdar v. Jadoo Monee Dossae	1873, 96. 17 W. R., 46	5618
Hafeez-oor-rahman v. Khadim Hossein	4 N. W., 106	3736 302
Hafiza v. Azhur Hossein	13 W. R., 328	301, 5769
Hafiz Ahmad v. Sobha Ram	I. L. R., 6 All., 488	609 3 31 28
Hafiz Ameer Ahmed v. Hafiz Nuzal Ali	I Agra, 46	3126
Hafiz-un-nissa v. Mahadeo Prasad	I. L. R., 4 All., 116	529 7 5540
Haidar Ali. In the matter of the petition of Haidri Bai v. East Indian Railway Company	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 520 I. L. R., 1 All., 687	5540 553 7
Haim Raj v. Ram Bux	1 Agra, 115	2810
Haimun Chull Singh v. Gunsheam Singh	5 W. R., P. C., 69 4 W. R., P. C., 99: 6 Moore's	2119, 6002
Haines v . East India Company	I. A., 467.	1668

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hait Ram v. Durga Prasad Hait Singh v. Dabee Singh Hajarimal v. Krishnarav Hajee Ali v. Ali Nukee Haji v. Atharaman Hajon Manick v. Bursingh	I. L. R., 5 All., 609 2 N. W., 308 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 647 12 W. R., 240 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 512 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 17 4 B. L. R., A. C., 16: 12 W.	6053, 6137 2347 1522 1478 3254, 5480 2000
Hajra Begum v. Hossein Ali Khan	R., 498. 22 W. R., 8	3096, 3671 6137
Hakeemoonuissa v. Buldeo	3 Agra, 309 I. L. R., 8 Calc, 823 : 10 C.	4438
Hakma Manii v. Meman Avab Haii	L. R., 603. 7 Bom., O. C., 19	3697 · 2498, 2719
Haladhar Dey v. Ambika Charan Bose Hala Khoory Bibee v. Basoo Koshye Haldane. In re Halford v. East Indian Railway Company v.	5 B. L. R., Ap., 80 17 W. R., 512 6 W. R., Cr., 77 12 B. L. R., Ap., 19 14 B. L. R., 1	5898 5682 5652 6274 4706
Halimbhai Karimbhai v. Shanker Sai Halimoozzumah v. Municipal Commissioners of Hooghly Hall v. Joakim Halodhar Shaha v. Harogobind Das Koiburto	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 381 13 W. R., 340 12 B. L. R., 34 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 105	362 2783 5720 827 5729
Ham v. Bengal Eastern Railway Co. Hamabai v. Bamanji Nasarvanji Hamed Búx v. Bindrabun Hameeda Bibee v. Noor Bibee	7 W. R., 81 2 Hyde, 228 7 Bom., A. C., 64 2 N. W., 37 9 W. R., 394	3791 4665 631, 4825 686, 1487
Hameedoollah. In the matter of the petition of . {	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 499: 7 C. L. R., 471.	5675
v. Genda Lall	17 W. R., 171 I. L. R., 6 Calc. 499 : 7 C. L. R., 471.	
Hameer Mahomed Chowdry v. Fool Mahomed Chow-	W. R., F. B., 25 16 W. R., 311	$1362 \\ 5752$
dry. Hamida alias Kajoo v. Bhudhun	20 W. R., 238	1872
Hamid Alee v. Affeeooddeen	1 B. L. R., S. N., 14 : 10 W. R., 213.	330, 2932
Hamid Ali v. Imtiazan Hamid Hossein v. Gervain Hamidooddeen v. Bhadoo Sahae Hamidoodeen Ahmed. In the matter of the petition?	I. L. R., 2 All., 71 15 W. R., 496 18 W. R., 345	3647 5282 840
of.	24 W. R., Cr., 37 .	5539
Hamidoolla v. Faizunnissa	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 327: 10 C. L. R., 291.	3647
Hamilton v. Land Mortgage Bank of India v. Rughoonundun Singh Hamir Singh v. Zakia Haninabalu Sannappa v. Cook Hanlon v. India Branch Railway Company Hanmantmal Motichand v. Rambabai Haumantram Sadhuram v. Bowles Hanmantrav Khanderav v. Bhavanrav Bajirav Hanmantrav Pandurang Joglekar v. Subaji Girmaji	I. L. R., 5 All., 456 20 W. R., 70 . I. L. R., 1 All., 57 6 Mad., 346 . 1 Hyde, 197 . I. L. R., 3 Bom., 198 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 561 10 Bom., 299 . I. L. R., 8 Bom., 257	6107 1172 3641 133 5584 3220, 3221 3179, 3313 4387 3463
Hanooman Pershad v. Surubject Singh "Hanover." In the matter of the	4 N. W., 167 Bourke, Ad., 15	3413 56 45

Name of Caso.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hansji Chhiba v. Valabh Chhiba	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 297 .	3365, 3369 3370
Hansraj Malji. In re	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 411 . 7 N. W., 145	2660 1708
Hanuman Dutt Roy v. Kishen Kishor Narayan Singh	8 B. L. R, 358	2387
Hanuman Kamat v. Dowlut Mundar	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 528 .	2177
Hanuman Parshad v. Kaulesar Pandey	I. L. R., 1 All., 301 . 6 N. W., 371	1616 1616
Hanuman Prasad v. Ajodhya Prasad	1 B. L. R., F. B., 7:10 W. R., F. B., 5.	214
Henuman Rai v. Udit Narain Rai	I. L. R., 7 All., 917 .	4537
Hanuman Singh v. Nanak Chand	I. L. R., 6 All., 193 .	2184 2217
Hanumantamma v. Rami Reddi	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 272 . I. L. R., 5 Mad., 232 .	801
Hanumantha v. Hanumayya Hanuman Tiwari v. Chirai	I. L. R., 2 All., 164 .	2130
Hanumayya v. Roupell	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 64 .	3601
Hara Chand Poramanick v. Krishto Monee Giree	1 W. R., 298 2 B. L. R., S. N., 14: 10 W.	6231
Haradhan Dat v. Radhanath Shaha	R., 398.	354
Haradhone Shamunto v. Goluck Chunder Shamunto	25 W. R., 79	5451
Haradhun Chuckerbutty v. Protap Narain Chowdhry	14 W. R., 401	756 3818
Haradhun Dutt v. Joykisto Banerjee	17 W. R., 414	1183
Haradhun Mookerjee v. Chunder Mohun Roy .	Marsh., 205: W. R., F. B., 66: 1 Hay, 577.	5115
Haradhun Mudduck v. Dinobundhoo Mojoomdar .	25 W. R., 319	3032
Haradhun Nag v. Issur Chunder Bose	6 W. R., 222 2 W. R., Mis., 21	1415, 3397 2694
Haradhun Sandyal v. Rash Monec Dassia Harajai Kumara Venkata Perumalraj v. Kanniappah	4 Mad., 149	3588, 4053
Harak Narain Singh v. Luchmi Bux Roy	5 C. L. R., 287	4497
Haramohini Chowdhrain v. Dhanmani Chowdhrain	1 B. L. R., A. C., 138: 10 W. R., 62.	3824
Haranath Gupta v. Jagannath Roy Chowdhry .	9 B. L. R., 89, note: 11 W. R., 87.	533 0
Haran Chandra Mookerjee v . Nandogopal Muttylall .	13 B. L. R., 142: 22 W. R., 71.	4256, 5284
Haran Chandra Pal v. Mukta Sundari Chowdhrain	1 B. L. R., A. C., 81: 10 W. R., 113.	5176, 5199
Haran Chunder Bhooree v. Russick Chunder Neogy	20 W. R., 63	5768, 5836
Haran Chunder Ghose v. Dinobundhoo Bose .	14 B. L. R., 408 : 23 W. R., }	3920, 5018 1588
Haran Chunder Roy. In the matter of the petition of	10 W. R., 421	4739
Haran Mandal. In re	2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 1: 10 W. R., Cr., 31.	1969
Haran Sheikh v. Ramdhun Biswas	24 W. R., Cr., 21 W. R., 1864, Act X, 122	5912 2888
Haranund Mozoomdar v. Prosunno Chunder Biswas	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 763; 12 C. L.	4035
Haraprasad Roy Chowdhry v. Digamber Mitter .	R., 556. 2 B. L. R., S. N., 15	1355
Harasatoollah v. Brojonath Ghose	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 720 : 1 C. L. R., 517.	4960, 5373
Harasundari Baistabi v. Jayadurga Baistabi .	4 B. L. R., Ap., 36: 13 W. R., 112.	3851, 4053
Harasundari Dasi v. Kistomaui Chowdhrain .	5 B. L. R., Ap., 37: 13 W. R., 257.	5314
Hara Sundari Debi v. Dukhinessur Malia	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 250	181,1000

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Harbhai v. Gumani	I. L. R., 2 All., 493 4 Bom., A. C., 67 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 259 : 4 C. L. R., 23. 12 C. L. R., 104	6054 4387 162, 5471 2177
Hardatrai Shrikisandas v. Victoria Finance and Bullion Association. Har Dayal v. Chadami Lal	3 Bom., O. C., 60 I. L. R., 7 All., 194	763 1904
Hardayal Mandal v. Tirthanand Thakur	4 B. L. R., Ap., 28: 13 W.)	5934
Hardeo. In the matter of	R., 34. I. L. R., 1 All., 139	5148
Hardeo Bux v. Jawahir Singh	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 522: L. R.,)	270, 4221
v	4 I. A., 178. L. R., 6 I. A., 161	4222
Hardeo Das v. Hukam Singh v. Zaman Khan Hardial v. Doorga Prasad	I. L. R., 2 All., 320 I. L. R., 8 All., 639	1495 5979 5514
Hardi Narain Sahu v. Ruder Perkash Misser	I. L. R, 6 All., 105 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 626: L.)	2400, 3853
Haree Bundhoo Santra. In re	R., 11 I. A., 26. 5 W. R., Cr., 55	5886 559, 1211
Bengal. Harendra Narayan. In the goods of	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 357 .	3347 48, 2190 2885 2417
Hargopal Das v. Ram Golam Sahi	5 B. L. R., 135: 13 W. R.	4317, 5368
Hargopal Premsukdas v. Abdul Khan Haji Muhammad Hargovan v. Hira Haribhai	381. 9 Rom., 429	2803, 3301 1886
Hari v. Lakshman	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 614 . {	1520, 392 5 4012
v. Maruti Haribhai v. Ardesir Ukadji Hari Bhanji v. Secretary of State for India Haribhat v. Damodarbhat Hari Bhikaji v. Naro Vishvanath Hari bin Joti v. Narayan Acharya Haridas v. Ghansham Narain	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 741	3370 416 5219 2306 5968 1584, 3043 4081, 4165
Haridas Baisakh v. Moazam Hossein	8 B. L. R., Ap., 16: 15 W. ?	909, 6231
Haridas Purshotam v. Gamble	R., 447.	1206, 1578 2019, 2686
Haridas Sanyal v. Pran Nath Sanyal . Hari Dhangar v. Biru Darsa Hari Govind Joshi v. Ram Chandra Pandurang Joshi Harihara v. Subramanya Harihar Dat v. Sheo Prasad	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 566 9 Bom., A. C., 135 9 Bom., 64	4904 2456, 4306 1846 5374 5699 3722
Harihar Mukhopadhya v. Madhab Chandra Babu	8 B. L. R., 566: 20 W. R., 459: 14 Moore's I. A.,	4202, 5106
Harihur Pershad Narain Singh v. Buddu Pershad Harika Ramayyar v. Sindu Tirtasami Hari Kishore Dutt v. Collector of Dacca Harilal Amthabhai v. Abhesing Meru	13 C. L. R., 254	5118 5088 35 409, 5455

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hari Mahadaji v. Balambhat Raghunath	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 233 .	2500, 3381 3954
Hari Mahadaji Joshi v. Vasudev Moreshvar Joshi	2 Bom., 344: 2nd Ed. 325	3126, 3157
Hari Mohan Bysak v. Krishna Mohan Bysak	9 B. L. R., Ap., 1:17 W. R., 442.	2575
Harimohan Malo. In the matter of	1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 20: 10 W. R., Cr., 5.	4103
Hari Mohan Sirkar v. Moncrieff	9 B. L. R., Ap., 14: 15 W	4926
Hari Mohun Thakur v. Kissen Sundari Hari Narayan Brahme v. Ganpatrav Daji	R., 464, note. I. L. R., 11 Calc., 52 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 272	4146, 4508 4307, 5042
Harinarayan Maiti v. Ajodhya Ram Shi	1 B. L. R., S. N., 17: 10 W.	3272
Harinath Das v. Asmut Ali	R., 308. 6 B. L. R., Ap., 118: 15 W. R., 171.	532
Hari Prasad Jha v. Maddan Mohan Thakur .	8 B. L. R., Ap., 72: 17 W. R., 217.	4308
Hari Ram v. Denaput Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 167: 11 C. L R., 339.	6157
v. Jitan Ram	3 E. L. R., A. C., 426: 12 W. R., 378.	3849, 3850
v. Raj Coomar Opadhya	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 759 .	4194
Hariram Birbhan. In re	11 Bom., 170	4738 3941, 4827
Hari Ramchandra v. Mahadaji Vishnu	8 Bom., A. C., 50 . 10 Bom., 204	3180
Hari Ravji Chiplunkar v. Shapurji Hormasji Shet	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 461 .	3932, 6100
Hari Sadashiv Dikshit v. Bapubulvant	5 Bom., A. C., 78 .	840
Harischandana Deva v. Ramanna Chandri .	1 Mad., 355	5103
Haris Chandra Dutt v. Jagadamba Dasi	8 B. L. R., 190, note: 16 W. R., 61.	3185
Haris Chandra Gupto v. Shashi Mala Gupti .	6 B. L. R., 721 : 15 W. R., 163.	901, 5932
Harish Chandra Chuckerbutty v. Tarachand Shaha	2 B. L. R., Ap., 4	1761
Hari Singh v. Baldeo Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 905 .	5668
v. Danish Mahomed v. Empress	20 W. R., Cr., 46	1535
	3 C. L. R., 49	6069 5675
Hari Trimbak v. Abasaheb Harivalabdas Kalliandas v. Utamchand Manekchand	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 321 . I. L. R., 4 Bom., 1 .	382
		1049, 5296
Harivallabhdas Kalliandas v. Utamchand Manikchand	7 Bom., O. C., 172 .	6184
	8 Bom., O. C., 135	5297, 5580
Hari Vasudov v Mahai ali Annati	8 Bom., O. C., 236 .	5296, 5580 2991
Hari Vasudev v. Mahaj a'i Appaji Hari Vishnu v. Gopal bin Ragji	5 Bom., A. C., 85 6 Bom., A. C., 181	202
Hari Yemaji v. Parshram Gundo	11 Bom., 23	1631
Harjas v. Kanhya .	I. L. R., 7 All., 118 •	3714
v. Radha Kishan .	I. L. R., 8 All., 256	4083
Harjiban Das v. Bhagwan Das	7 B. L. R., 102:16 W. R., O. C., 16; and on appea, 7. B. L. R., 535:16 W. R., O. C.,	2808, 4620
Harjivan Anandram v. Naran Haribhai .	16. 4 Bom., A. C., 31	2261
Harkisandas Narandas v. Bai Ichha	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 155	4773, 4774 4819
	3 B. L. R., Ap., 82: 12 W.	5634
Harlal Tewari v. Collector of Bhaugulpore. Harmukhgauri v. Harisukhprasad	R., 6. I. L. R., 7 Bom., 191 .	3297, 3378
	1. 11. 10., # AVMI., 1VL .	0, 00.0

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Harnamgir Guru Dhanpatgir v. Spiers	2 Bom., 213: 2nd Ed., 204 .	4821
	4 N. W., 116	1147
Harnath v. Haree Singh Haro Chand Poramanick v. Krishto Mohun Giree	1 W. R., 297	228
Haro Chunder Turkochooramonee v. Issur Chunder Roy	6 W. R., 296	2751
Haro Das v. Gobind Bhuttacharjee	3 B. L. R., Ap., 123	5194
Harodyal Bose v. Kristo Gobind Sein	17 W. R., 70	5811
Haro Jemadar v. Jadub Chunder Holdar	3 W. R., Mis., 24	5472
Haromohun Mookerjee v. Shoonoyanee Mohunt Thakoo- raney.	Marsh., 469: 2 Hay, 591 .	236
Haronarayan v. Manik Singh	7 B. L. R., Ap., 61	1767
Haroo Chowdhry v. Joyessur Nundee	6 W. R., Act X, 46	4149
	3 B. L. R., A. C., 114: 11 W. 7	
Haro Sanker Sandyal v. Tarak Chandra Bhuttacharjee	R., 488.	1895, 561
Harpal Singh v. Bal Gobind	I. L. R., 7 All., 586	5181, 518
Har Prasad v. Bhagwan Das	I. L. R., 4 All., 196	3934
v. Jafar Ali	I. L. R., 7 All., 345	3449, 595
Harrak Singh v. Tulsi Ram Sahu	5 B. L. R., 47: 13 W. R.,	1610, 161
-	216.	3096
Harrendro Coomar Chowdhry v. Taramoni Chowdhrain	7 C. L. R., 272	4689
Harrington v. Gonesh Roy	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 440	3179
Harris v. Britain	15 W. R., 534 · · ·	2103
v. Harris	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 285	2588
v. Koylas Chunder Bandopadia	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 285	2588
Haminan or Dalki and Landon Dank	T T D 4 All 497	1132, 335
Harrison v. Delhi and London Bank	I. L. R., 4 All., 437 . {	4263, 432
v. Hope	9 B. L. R., Ap., 43	3199, 558
Har Sahai v. Chunni Kuar	I. L. R., 4 All., 14	4481, 484
Har Sahai Mal v. Maharaj Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 294	5083
Harsaran Singh v. Muhammad Raza	I. L. R., 4 All., 91	5967
Har Shankar Parshad. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 1 All., 178	1933
Harsukh v. Meghraj	I. L. R., 2 All., 345	1481
Hart v. Buskin	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 192	4704
v. Tara Prasanna Mukherji	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 718	5429
v. Thomas	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 192	4705
Haru. In re	18 W. R., Cr., 18	971
Harvey v. Brice	4. W. R., Cr., 26	4491, 4504
Hasam Ibrahim v. Mancharam Kaliandas	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 137	5008
Hasam Kasam v. Goma Jadavji	5 Bom., O. C., 140	1381
-		2237,364
Hasan Ali v. Mehdi Husain	I. L. R., 1 All., 533 . {	3690
v. Maherban	I. L. R., 2 All., 625	3730
Hasha v. Ragho	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 165	6140
Hashim a Aminat Cinah	W. R., 1864, 217	3963
Hashum Ali v. Ramdharee Singh	7 W. R., 82	4017
Hasmat Rai v. Sunder Das	T T D 11 Colo 200	2178
77 11 77 01 1	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 51	734
Hason Arra Begum v. Jawadoonnissa Satooda Khan-)		
dan.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 29	3917, 5417
Hassaji v. East Indian Railway Company	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 388	3343
Hassan Ali v. Naga Mal	I. L. R., 1 All., 283	2134
Hassan Allee v. Budderooddeen	1 W. R., 141	2883
Hassanbhoy Visram. Exparte	1. L. R., 8 Bom., 323	4287
Hassan Raza Khan. In the matter of the petition of .	7 N. W., 211	4749
Hassonbhoy v. Cowasji Jehangir	I. L. R., 7 Born., 1	1042
Hassun Aly v. Naib Ahmed	11 W. R., 146	4955
Hastie. In the matter of	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 451	433, 2652
Hastings. In re	9 Bom., 154	6183

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hathi Ram v. Gobind Ram Hathising Sobhai v. Kuverji Javher Hatim v. Empress	3 Agra, 131 1. L. R., 10 Bom., 105 12 C. L. R., 86	4126, 4264 4839 1810
Hattee Lall Bhuggut v. Radha Muddun Mohun Doss	{ B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 927: }	211
Havildar Roy. In the matter of the petition of .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 96: 11 C L. R., 423.	258
v. Jagu Mean	{ I. L. R., 9 Calc., 96 : 11 C. } L. R., 423.	258
Hawabi v. Ibrahim Sali Bhay Dapti	5 B. L. R., 305	4743 4743
Hay v. Gordon	10 B. L. R., 301: 18 W. R., 480: L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 106.	1552, 3351 4655
Hayatkha v. Abdulakha Hayatunnissa Bibee v. Asirooddeen Hazara Begum v. Collector of Burdwan Hazari v. Chundi Churn Chuckerbutty v. Chunni Lal Hazari Khan v. Ramdhone Chaki Hazari Lal v. Jadaun Singh v. Kheru Rai Hazari Mull Nahatta v. Sobagh Mull Duddha Hazari Ram v. Shankar Dial Hazi Arjun Mullick v. Farutulia Hazi Arjun Mullick v. Farutulia Hazira Begum v. Hossein Ali Khan Hearn v. Bapu Saju Naikin Hearsey v. Secretary of State for India Hearsey v. Girdharee Lal Heckford v. Galstin Heerachand Banerjee v. Banee Madhub Chatterjee Heera Dass v. Hurmoo Singh Heera Kooree v. Noor Ali	6 Bom., A. C., 151 18 W. R., 304 23 W. R., 378 16 W. R., Cr., 72 I. L. R., 8 All., 259 7 C. L. R., 345 I. L. R., 5 All., 76 I. L. R., 3 All., 576 9 B. L. R., 1 I. L. R., 3 All., 576 9 C. L. R., 295 1. L. R., 6 Calc., 31:6 C. L. R., 516 5 B. L. R., 341, note I. L. R., 1 Bom., 505 6 N. W., 47 3 N. W., 338 Cor., 134:2 Hyde, 274 10 W. R., 164 1 N. W., Part II, p. 17: Ed. 1873, 77. 3 Agra, Rev., 1	5613 5683 4391 4533 4617 1877 705, 3325 5961 2578 5213 488 5017 5139 3316 2817 3751 3102 5750 5983 534 2860
Heera Lall v. Bishen Suhave	2 N. W., 85	5617
v. Kousillah	2 Agra, 42	2428 3727
Heeralall Banerjee v. Buroda Kant Roy	13 W. R., 467	495 7 4934
Heera Lall Chowdhry v. Bistoo Lall Chowdhry	(449. . 22 W. R., 288	57 80
W. Janokeenath Mookerjee Heeralall Chuckerbutty v. Mohesh Chunder Ghosaul Heera Lall Ghose v. Kaleedass Mookerjee	16 W. R., 222	5361 287 5760 5136
Heera Lall Kooer v. Purmessur Kooer Heera Lall Mookerjee v. Roy Dhunput Singh	23 W. R., 323 15 W. R., 401 24 W. R., 282	5279, 6072 844
Heera Lall Mookhopadhya v. Dhunput Singh .	{ I. L. R., 4 Calc., 500: 3 C. } L. R., 554.	1109
Heera Lall Pal v. Neel Monee Pal	. 20 W. R., 383 · · ·	3041
Heera Lall Poramanic v. Barikunnissa Bibee Heera Lall Roy v. Bidyadhur Roy	{ I. L. R., 3 Calc., 501 : 1 C. } L. R., 596 21 W. R., 343	4203 2344
	. 2111.20,020	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Heeralall Rukhit v. Ram Surun Lall Heera Lall Samunt v. Oozeer Ali Heera Lall Seal v. Carapiet v. Joheer Mollah Heera Lall Thakoor v. Gridharee Lall Heera Lall Mookerjee v. Digumburee Kuloonee Heera Loll Saha v. Jadub Chunder Chenchkey	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 835 21 W. R., 347 13 W. R., 431 20 W. R., 273 8 W. R., 450 14 W. R., 431 Cor., 66 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 8 : W. R.,	2680 4611 11, 5535 1733 3816 4946 4524
Heera Loll Seal v. Gungadhur Senaputty Heera Loll Seal v. Poran Matteah Heera Loll Shaha v. Jadub Chunder Chenchkey Heeramonee v. Gunganarain Roy	F. B., 19: Marsh., 60: 1 Hay, 229. 6 W. R., Act X, 84 Cor., 119	572 4154, 4469 3040
Heeramonee Brahminee v. Nuffaree Brahminee Heera Monee Dabee v. Koonj Behary Holdar	1 Hay, 292 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., Ap. 8: 2 W. R., 207.	2284 5106 4206
Heera Monce Debia v. Lokenath Mundul Heeramonee Dossee v. Promothonath Ghose	2 W. R., 135 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 233 : 8 W.	1998
Heeramun Roy v. Jhuboo Singh v. Tahoour Enam Heera Nath v. Shumshere Sahee	R., 32.) 22 W. R., 511	571 769 1743
Heeranath Kooeree v. Burm Narain Singh .	15 W. R., 375: 9 B. L. R., 274.	1724
Heeranund Sahoo v. Khubeeroonissa. v. Ozeerun v.	15 W. R., 516 6 W· R., 151	5287 3376 3377
Heeraram v. Deo Narain Singh Heeraram Bhuttacharjee v. Ashruf Ali Heera Rawut v. Racha Rawut Heera Singh v. Akbur Ali v. Burzar Singh v. Ragho Nath Suhai	Agra, F. B., 63 : Ed, 1874, 48 9 W. R., 103 22 W. R., 115 24 W. R., 382 1 Agra, 256 3 Agra, 30	6284 1209, 4152 5078 549 2138 3955, 6125
Heeroo v . Dhoree	2 N. W., 129: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 204.	5181
Heerun v. Mariun Heirs of Husen Beg Bai v. Akubai Heit Singh v. Thakoor Singh Helalooddeen v. Chowdhry Abdool Suttar Hema Kooeree v. Ajoodhya Pershad Hemalee v. Kumla Kaut Banerjee Hemanginee Dossee v. Jogendro Narain Roy	14 W. R., 87 2 Bom., 357 : 2nd Ed., 337 4 N. W., 57 9 W. R., 351 24 W. R., 474 16 W. R., 133 12 W. R., 236	2702, 3290 3291 1032 76 4815 2420, 5684 2929 484
Hemangini Dasi v. Nobin Chand Ghose .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 788: 11 C.	3170
v. Ramnidhi Kundu	L. R., 370. 1 B. L. R., S. N., 10: 10 W.	777
Hema Pandey v. Gujadhur Roy Hemayetoollah Chowdry v. Nil Kanth Mullick Hem Chand Kuher v. Vohora Raji Haji Hem Chunder v. Ahmed Reza Hem Chunder Chatterjee v. Poorno Chunder Roy	R., 158. 24 W. R., 108 17 W. R., 139 1. L. R., 7 Bom., 515 Marsh., 332: 2 Hay, 429 3 W. R., Act X, 162	4172 1187, 2386 3208 317 1610, 1630
Hem Chunder Chowdhry v. Brojosoondury Debee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 89: 10 C. L. R., 272.	3515, 3516
Hem Chunder Chunder v. Prankristo Chunder Hem Chunder Ghose v. Radha Pershad Paleet	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 403 23 W. R., 440	418 3052

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hem Chunder Soor v. Kally Churn Dass }	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 528: 12 C. L. R., 287.	1826
Hem Chundra Chowdhari v. Chand Akund	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 115	5195
Hemendro Coomar Mullick v. Rajendro Lall Moonshee	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 353: 1 C. L.	1116
Hemlota Dabee. In the matter of the petition of .	R., 488. 5 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 226	6196
Hem Lotta v. Sreedhone Borooa	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 771	2887
Hemmomoyee Dayee v. Watson & Co.	14 W. R., 297	764
Hem Nath Dutt v. Ashgur Sindar	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 894 1 Hyde, 111	5195 2274, 3746
Hempammal v. Hanuman	2 Mad., 472	3306
Hemraj Chowdhry v. Asoodun	5 W. R., Mis., 43	5620
Hendry v. Mutty Lall Dhur	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 395	3641, 5406
Hera Roy v. Gujadhur Pershad Narain Singh Her Chund Singh v. Ram Singh	24 W. R., 286	5772 6033
Ierrikosima v. Stephenson	1 Agra, 298	4905
lethan Singh v. Lokraj Singh	3 W. R., 184	3982
v. Nurkoo Singh	3 W. R., 184	3982 1264
Het Lall Roy. In the matter of the petition of . Het Narain Singh v. Gossain Luchmee Narain Pooree .	22 W. R., Cr., 75 23 W. R., 256	5472
- 1	3 W. R., P. C., 51: 7 Moore's	995
v. Modnarain Singh · · }	I. A., 311.	สูสบ
v. Ramdein Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 871: 12 C. }	24 99
v. Rampershad Singh	7 C. L. R., 404	5090
Iewson. In the goods of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 770: 4 C. L. R., 42.	3088
Ieysham v. Bholanath Mullick	11 B. L. R., 230: 17 W. R., 221	2949
licks v . Hicks ,	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 756	1550 114, 367
Iidaitoonnissa v . Afzul Hossein	2 N. W., 420 }	3667
Iidayetoollah v. Inderjeet Tewaree	2 Agra, 282	1178, 118
	(1188
Hidayut Ali v. Maeraj Begum	3 N. W., 202	3142 996
v. Rai Jan Khanum	2 Agra, 204	3702
Iiddut Ali v. Kobeemalla Meeajee	6 W. R., Act X, 9	2928
High Court's Criminal Procedure Act (X of 1875). In	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 891: 11 C. L.	561
the matter of.	R., 357. I. L. R., 8 Calc., 254: 10 C. L.	F045
Iill. Ex parte	R., 33.	5847
v. Khowaj Sheikh Mundul	Marsh., 554: 2 Hay, 663	1582
Hills v. Aradhun Mundul	10 W. R., 389 · · ·	1171 1618
v. Besharuth Meer	1 W. R., 10 12 W. R., 533	1374
v. Clark	14 B. L. R., 367 : 23 W. R., 63	2814
- v. Huro Lal Sen	3 W. R., Act X, 135	1617
v. Ishore Ghose	Marsh., 151: 1 Hay, 350	1648, 5787
v. Jendar Mundul	W. R., F. B., 131 1 W. R., 3	1649, 5193 4147
v. Magistrate of Nuddea	11 W. R., 1	3203, 327
v. Osman Biswas	25 W. R., 35 · · ·	4905
v. Panch Couree Sheikh	1 W. R., 3	1633
v. Shokhee Monee Dossee	10 W. R., 59	12, 5217 3626
4 Sroobiiroo Pow		
- v. Sreehuree Roy	15 W. R., 545	2988

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Himalaya Bank v. Simla Bank	. I. L. R., 8 All., 23	4838
Himayat Hussain v. Jai Devi	I. L. R., 5 All., 589	1873
Himmat Bahadur. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 429:	244
Himmat Lal v. Shivajirav	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 593	5240
Himmat Singh v. Sewa Ram Himmatsingh Becharsing v. Ganpatsing	· I. L. R., 3 All., 157	4768
Himmatsingh Becharsing v. Ganpatsing	. 12 Bom., 94	2419 5754, 576
-		3637, 369
Himmut Bahadoor v. Shahebzadi Begum	· 14 W. R., 125 }	3700
v. Solano	· 17 W. R., 428	1916
	15 W. R., 549	2063, 510
Himmut Bahadur v. Shahebzadi Begum	13 B. L. R., 182 : 21 W. R., 113 : L. R., 1 I. A., 23.	3637
Himmut Single a Collector of Diinaun		3279, 563
Himmut Singh v. Collector of Bijnour	. 2 Agra, 258	6188
Himnauth Bose. In the matter of	. 1 Hyde, 111	2274, 374
Himunchull v. Maharaj Singh	. 1 Agra, 210	2291, 230 3102
Hinde v. Baudry	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 13 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 52	892
Hinde & Co. v. Ponnath Brayan	. I. L. R., 4 Mad., 359	4967
Hinde & Co. v. Ponnath Brayan Hinganghat Mill Company v. Rekchand	. I. L. R., 8 Bom., 310	5859
iingan Khan o. Ganga i cishad	I. L. R., 1 All., 293	1485 3290, 329
Hingun Lall v. Debee Pershad Hingun Sowdagur v. Boistum Churn Ojah Hingolita v. Stuart	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 5	1376, 569
Hippolite v. Stuart Hira v. Kallu	. I. L. R., 12 Calc., 522	3788, 603
Hira v. Kallu	. I. L. R., 7 All., 916	3707
Hira v. Kallu v. Unas Ali Khan Hirabai v. Garbai	I. L. R., 3 All., 827	4539
Hirabai v. Garbai	. 12 Bom., 294	2226 4041
Hira Chand Babaji v. Bhaskar Ababhat Shende	. 1. L. R., 1 All., 455 2 Bom., 198	3942
Hira Chand Banerjee v. Shama Charan Chatterjee		
	W. R., 275.	4215
Hirada Basappa v. Gadigi Muddapa	. 6 Mad., 142	3318 199, 760
771 4 17 15	. I. L. R., 7 All., 538 6 Mad., 197	342, 330
Hiralal v. Badri Das	I. L. R., 2 All., 792	3474
v. Bhairon	. I. L. R., 5 All., 602	1168
v. Datadin	. I. L. R., 4 All., 135	1772
v. Ganesh Prasad	. I. L. R., 2 All., 415 I. L. R., 4 All., 406	4075 1779
v. Karim-un-nisa	I. L. R., 4 All., 406	5488, 548
v. Ramjas	. I. L. R., 6 All., 57	4548
Hiralal Chatterjee v. Gourmoney Debi	. I. L. R., 13 Calc., 326	830
Hiralal Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of Hiralal Mullick v. Matilal Mullick		4946
Hira Lal Pal v. Hills	. 5 B. L. R., 682	4859 1738
Hiralal Seal v. Carapiet	9 B. L. R., Ap., 17	5981
v. Schiller	. 7 B. L. R., Ap., 91	2665
Hiranath Koer v. Ram Narayan Singh	. 9 B. L. R., 274: 17 W. R., 316	2328, 309
Hiran Miya. In the matter of	. 1 C. L R., 21	1016
Hira Singh v. Ganga Sahai	{ I. L. R., 6 All., 322 : L. R., }	365
v. Makund Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 406	4080
Hirbai v. Gorbai	. 12 Bom., 294	3087
v. Jan Mahomed Khalakdina	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 229	6050
indej bedee v. Desnesnur Persnad	2 Agra, Pt. II, 175	3253

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hirdhamun Jha v. Jinghoor Jha	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 711	239, 5726
Hirdy Narain v. Allaoollah	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 72: 2 C L. R., 580.	3970
Hirji Jina v. Narran Mulji	12 Bom., 129 {	1475, 1489 3092
Hisam-ood-deen Khan v. Khaleefa Unwar-ool-lah Hobeebo Sobair v. Hossein Ali Hodgson v. Rupchand Hazarimul Hoffman v. Framjee Hogg v. Denonath Koondoo v. Dinonath Sreemanee v. Greenway v. Greenway	2 N. W., 400 5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 14 6 Bom., O. C., 39 Cor., 7 11 W. R., 566 8 W. R., 447 2 Hyde, 3 Cor., 97: Bourke, A. O. C., 111	5246 1766, 4754 1730 910 4184 3833 1945, 6220 6201, 6220
Holee Ram Doss v. Mihee Ram Gogooee	6 W. R., 39	3147
Hollick. In the matter of	2. B. L. R., A. C., 109: 10 W. R., 447.	421
Holloway v. Asman Roy	10 W. R., 325 I. L. R., 5 All., 71	5078 1548
v. Muddun Mohun Lall .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 446: 10 C. L. R., 381.	1163
v. Wahid Ali	12 B. L. R., 191, note: 16 W. R., 140.	1165
Holmes. In the matter of	Hyde, 99	1339 2147, 4242 2427 597, 656 656
Honooman Dutt Roy v. Bhagbut Kishen Honooman Singh v. Fell Hooba Khan v. Munsub Ali Hookum Bebee v. Mahomed Moosa Khan Hoolash Koer v. Kassee Proshad Hoolas Kooeree v. Sufeehun Hoolas Koonwar v. Man Singh	15 W. R., F. B., 6 3 Agra, 148 3 N. W., 37 6 W. R., Mis., 13 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 369 8 W. R., 379	2387 295 5188 3832 87, 2452 241, 3911 2457
Hoolassee Ram v . Pursotum Lol {	3 Agra, 37 . 3 N. W., 63: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 250.	3040
Hoorul Munder v. Hurruck Dutt Khowas	22 W. R., 429	1626
Hootaboo Ravah v. Loom Ravah	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 440, note: 7 C. L. R., 221.	524, 2857
Hori Dasi Dabi v. Secretary of State for India . {	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 228: 4 C. L. R., 77.	6207, 6208
Horidas Mal v. Mahomed Jaki	I. L. R., 11 Calc. 434 15 B. L. R., 273, note : 21 W.	1995
Horil Pattuck v. Bhowaneeram	R., 308.	3175
Hori Narain Ghose v. Administrator General of Bengal Horish Chunder Roy v. Broj Soondur Mozoomdar Hormasji. Ex parte Hormasji Cursetji Ashburner v. Bomanji Cursetji	3 C. L. R., 446	3322 896 637, 2833 4522
Ashburner. Hormasji Dorabji Vania v. Burjorji Jamsetji Vania Hormasji Karsetji v. Pedder Hormasji Sorabji v. Bholagir Guru Manjir	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 155 12 Bom., 199 6 Bom., A. C., 80	824 620, 2619 52
Hormasji Temulji v. Mankuvarbai	12 Bom., 262	459, 1199 6138
Horokishen Banerjee v. Joykishen Mookerjee Horomohun Mookerjee v. Ram Coomar Mitter Horro Narain v. Shoodha Kristo Berah	1 W. R., 299 1 W. R., 225 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 890: 4 C. L. R., 32.	8 5311 3019, 6279

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Horro Soondery Chowdhrain. In the matter of t petition of. Hossain Buksh Putooah v. Baroo Beparee	the I. L. R., 4 Calc., 20: 3 C. L. 7 R., 93. 5 W. R., 49	4236 248
Hossain Bibi v. Peri Khanun Hossain Ibrahim bin Johur v. Mutty Loll Hossain Khan v. Dinnobundhoo Pundah	1 B. L. R., O. C., 45 Cor., 5: 2 Hyde, 107 1 W. R., 35	622 7 268 5 3121
Hossein v. Bakir Ali v. Dickenson Hosseina v. Jhamun Singh	W. R., 1864, 302 2 B. L. R., S. N., 3 25 W. R., 323	2837, 5650 5687 3797
Hosseina Bibi v. Smith	13 B. L. R., 440 : 22 W. R.,)	5616
Hossein Ali. In the matter of	15. 13 W. R., 295 12 W. R., 344 10 W. R., 372	572, 3192 3671 5210
Hossein Ally v. Donzelle	J. L. R., 5 Calc., 906: 6 C. L. ?	568
Hossein Aly v. Ashotosh Gangooly Hossein Beg. v. Jeewa Ram	(R., 239. . 3 C. L. R., 30 . 5 N. W., 139	1889 5272
Hossein Buksh v. Ameena Khatoon	. 16 W. R., 280	$\begin{array}{c} 2772 \\ 3446 \end{array}$
v. Empress .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 96: 6 C. R., 521.	1266, 1854
v. Musund Hossein v. Tussuduck Hossein	18 W. R., 260	52 42 319 7
Hossein Buksh Khan v. Roy Dhunput Sing Hosseinee v. Dickinson	21 W. R., 245	5359 5687
Hosseinee Jan v. Mukhdoomun	2 W. R., 44 W. R., 1864, 117	1780 3241, 3721
Hosseinee Khanum v. Lallun v. Rubia Khanum v. Tijun Lall	5 W. R., Act X, 14	539
Hosseini Begum. In the matter of	14 W. R., 293 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 434 .	1500, 3729 3687
Hosseinooddeen Chowdhry v. Tajunnissa Khatoon	W. R., 1864, 199	3653
Hothor Lalong v. Hindoo Singh Mouz	10 W. R., Cr., 49	960 2 05, 669
Hoti Lall v. Hardeo	I. L. R., 5 All., 212 . L. R., 1 I. A., 76 .	1567, 603 3
Howard v. Nicoll	1 Bom., Ap., 85	3101
v. Wilson	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 231 : 2 C. L. R., 488.	370, 3090 3093
Howard Brothers. In the matter of	11 B. L. R., 254	2656
Harrish Dealing Co. a. " Ican Louis " The	13 B. L. R., Ap., 9 .	2668 2834
Howrah Docking Co. v. "Jean Louis," The Hoymobutty Debia Chowdhrain v. Koroona Moye Debia Chowdhrain.	Cor., 113 : 2 Hyde, 255 8 W. R., 41	415
Hoymobutty Dossee v. Sreekissen Nundy	. 14 W. R., 58	288, 470 426, 3042
Hubeebool Hossein v. Allender	. 14 W. R., 44	463, 5477
v. Land Mortgage Bank Hubeeboollah Khan v. Gowher Aly Khan .	. 14 W. R., 44	463, 5477 1871
Hubeebul Hossein v. Muneeram Hubeebunissa v. Cox	. 24 W. R., 357	4267 6154
Hubibul Hossein v. Mahomed Reza	{ I. L. R., 8 Calc., 192: 10 C. } L. R., 385.	1228, 4430
Hub Lal v. Kanhia Lal	. I. L. R., 7 All., 365	5456 6153
Hublal Sukul v. Ram Goti Dey Roy	. 11 C. L. R., 581	4512
Hufazuttoolah Chowdry v. Humeedhur Rohman . Hughes v. Hughes	. 6 W. R., Mis., 123	5535 2 586

Name of Con-	Volume and Page,	Column
Name of Case.	volume and rage.	of Digest.
Hughes v. Municipal Commissioners of Howrah v. Secretary of State for India Hukeem-oon-nisa v. Bhooria Hukm Singh v. Zanki Lal	. 19 W. R., 339	506 3792 5192 5056 1829
Hukumchand v. Hiralal Hukum Chand Tekaram v. Bhugvantra Hulasi v. Maiku	. 1 Bom., 94	3130 3487
v. Sheo Prasad Hulkhory Lall v. Sheo Churn Lall Hullodhur Bangal v. Hogg Hullodhur Mookerjee v. Ram Nath Mookerjee Hullodhur Roy Chowdry v. Juddonath Mookerjee	I. L. R., 6 All, 455 24 W. R., 109 1 W. R., 189 . Marsh., 35: 1 Hay, 71 . 14 W. R., 162	1473, 3721 2515 632 2453 1919 178
Hulodhur Santal v. Gonesh Santal Hulodhur Sen v. Gooroodass Roy v. Seetul Chunder Bhoomick Hulse v. Luchmun Dass Humeda v. Budlun	6 W. R., 60 20 W. R., 126 23 W. R., 85 1 Agra, Mis., 1 17 W. R., 525	1159, 1177 6114 5483 3659
Humeeda alias Khajoo v. Amatool Mehdee Begum Humeed-ool-lah Khan v. Pran Sookh . Humutoollah Chowdhry v. Heerun Hunooman Chowbay v. Bindhoo Toraba .	. 17 W. R., 106	4653, 6097 1588, 5631 179 4298, 5984
Hunooman Doss v. Koomeroonissa Begum	{ W. R., F. B., 40:1 Ind. Jur., } O. S., 42.	1204, 2981
Hunooman Doss alias Nonnah Baboo v. Bipro Churn Roy.	. 1 Hay, 426	1984 5331
Hunooman Persaud Pandey v. Mundraj Koonweree	6 Moore's I. A., 393: 18 W. R., 81, note.	1500, 2083 2740, 4017 4158
Hunooman Singh v. Crowdie v. Suddolall	W. R., 1864, 285	4005 1162 243
Hunsa Kooer v. Sheogobind Raoot	. 24 W. R., 431	1735, 5753
Hunsbutti Kerain v. Ishri Dut Koer	{ R., 511.	1406, 2191
Hunsraj Singh v. Choka Singh	7 W. R., 211	3706 3706 6215
Hunt Mounet & Co. In re	. 1 Bom., 251 {	2658, 2670 4287
Hurbans Sahye v. Thakoor Purshad	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 209	5143
Hurbullubh Narain Singh v. Genda Maharaj .	{ 12 B. L. R., 473, note : 20 W. } R., 257.	2722
Hurbuns Lall v. Sheo Narain Siugh	21 W. R., 391	3468 162, 5472
Hurbuns Sahay. In the matter of the petition of	L. R., 10 Calc., 108: 13 C. (L. R., 285.)	3092
v. Thakoor Persad	{ L. R., 285.	5052
Hurchunder Ghose v. Wooma Soonduree Dossee . Hurchunder Gine. Ex parte Hurchundra Kulal v. Jafer Ali Hurchund Singh v. Roopa Kooer	23 W. R., 170 114 W. R., 52 20 W. R., Cr., 72 4 N. W., 171	303, 4767 897 6192 5117
Hurchurn Doss v. Hazareemull . Hurchurun Lal v. Toorab Khan	1 Ind. Jur., S. O., 12 2 N. W., 176	370, 4404 3824 202
Hurdeo v. Guneshee Lall	1 Agra, 36	4257

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hurdeo Narain Sahoo v. Gridharee Singh Hurdeo Narain Sahu v. Gridhari Singh Hurdeo Narain Singh v. Fuzla Hossein Hurdwar Singh v. Luchmun Singh Hur Dyal Nag v. Roy Kristo Bhoomick	19 W. R., 227 13 B. L. R., 103 1 W. R., 270 3 Agra, 41 24 W. R., 107	5479 267 3906 2444 4153
Hurdyal Oopudhya v. Mahomed Naeem	1 N. W., Part II, p. 19: Ed. \(\) 1873, 79.	1640, 6016
Hurdyal Singh v. Heera Lall v. Kunhya Lall Huree Bungsho Banerjee v. Ramessur Banerjee Hureebuns Burhal v. Joykishen Mookerjee Huree Chund v. Brij Komar Singh Huree Churn Bose v. Meharoonissa Bibee Hureedyal Gooho Mojoomdar v. Gobind Chunder Pal Hureehur Mahtoon. In the matter of Hureehur Mojoomdar v. Churn Majhee Hureehur Mookerjee. In the matter of v. Biressur Banerjee v. Jodoonath Ghose v. Judoonath Ghose v. Mollah Abdoolbur	16 W. R., 107 19 W. R., 247 6 W. R., Mis., 38 6 W. R., 92 1 Agra, Rev., 35 7 W. R., 318 17 W. R., 387 14 W. R., 167 22 W. R., 355 20 W. R., 202 6 W. R., Act X, 17 7 W. R., 114 10 W. R., 153 17 W. R., 209	143, 3714 5770 3479 2972 5257 5319 1169 1224 1782 900, 5934 5186 5194, 5202 5749 3334, 3833 900, 5922
- v. Nobin Chunder Doss	20 W. R., 202	5934
- v. Rajkishen Mookerjee v. Teencowree Dossee Hureehur Pershad Doss Puhraj v. Gocoolanund Doss ?	23 W. R., 236 6 W. R., 170 17 W. R., 129	2270 3368 2415
Mohapattur. Hureehur Singh v. Dabee Sahoy Huree Kishen v. Asmedh Koonwar v. Nursing Narain Hureekishen Roy v. Shaikh Baboo Huree Kishore Ghose v. Komodinee Kant Banerjee Huree Kishore Roy v. Hur Kishore Adhikaree v. Kaleekishore Sen v. Kaleekishore Sen Huree Madhub Lahiree v. Hem Chunder Gossamee Huree Mohun Ghosaul v. Government Huree Mohun Mojoomdar v. Asgur Beharee Huree Mohun Molick v. Kalinath Roy Huree Mohun Poddar v. Gureeboollah Mullick Huree Narain Chatterjee v. Wooma Churn Mookerjee	W. R., 1864, 260 W. R., 1864, 57 6 W. R., Act X, 63 1 W. R., 5 10 W. R., 41 28 W. R., 134 8 W. R., 114 17 W. R., 445 22 W. R., 522 2 N. W., 226 23 W. R., 324 2 W. R., 324 2 W. R., Act X, 25 25 W. R., Cr., 15 22 W. R., 417 19 W. R., 169	3960 3314 2885 1609 547 3337, 5616 207, 1450 1454 5380 3277 5751 3000 4729 4192 5316 2058, 2059
Hureenarain Gossain v. Shumbhoonath Mundul	1 W. R., 6	4905
Huree Narain Roy v. Doorga Churn Deghooria Huree Narain Singh v. Beljeet Jha Hureenath Koondoo v. Modhoo Soodun Saha Huree Nath Shaha v. Issen Chunder Shaha Huree Pandey v. Bassoo	17 W. R., 449 24 W. R., 125 19 W. R., 122 24 W. R., 316 11 W. R., 257 W. R., F. B., 29 : 1 Ind. Jur.,	4210 3808 219 4911 307
Huree Persad Malee v. Koonjo Behary Shaha . }	O. S., 20: Marsh., 99:1	2792, 2915 5682
Huree Pershad Mundul v. Nundkishore Singh Huree Ram v. Hur Pershad Singh Huree Ram v. Hur Pershad Singh Huree Sunkur Mookerjee v. Jogendro Coomar Mookerjee v.	Hay, 238. 17 W. R., 479 20 W. R., 80 20 W. R., 397 19 W. R., 66 22 W. R., 220	5140 5410 5410 3779 3779

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hurendro Narain Singh Chowdhry. In the matter of	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 762	4492
the petition of. Hurendur Kishore v. Kadernath Mitter	10 W. R., 188	4210
Hur Gobind v. Hurkho	1 Agra, 116	5773
Hurhur Singh v. Ooma Kooer	16 W. R., 93	4167
Huridas Nandi v. Jadunath Dutt	5 B. L. R., Ap., 66: 14 W. R., 79	5282
Huri Moti Moddock v. Denonath Malo	19 W. R., Cr., 47	5995
Huri Pershad. In the matter of the petition of	24 W. R., Cr., 60	261, 5151
Huri Ram v. Bhikaree Roy	25 W. R., 20	4199
Huris Chundra Das v. Bolai Audhicaree	16 W. R., Cr., 75	4626, 5994
Hurish Chunder Bhuttacharjee v. Nufur Chunder Kooer Hurish Chunder Chowdhry v. Chunder Monee Debia	9 W. R., 461	2352 5504
v. Ram Chunder Chowdhry.	10 W D 500	1586
Hurish Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Hurree Bewah	20 W. R., 16	582
Hurish Chunder Dam v. Gungadhur Bhuddro	25 W. R., 181	5180
Hurish Chunder Dass v. Goureepershad Chatterjee .	16 W. R., 163	2352
Hurish Chunder Koondoo v. Bamakalee Debia	5 W. R., 194	1374
v. Mohinee Mohun Mitter .	9 W. R., 582	2 98 2
Hurish Chunder Mitter. In the matter of the petition of.	10 B. L. R., 79: 18 W. R., 209	1574
Hurish Chunder Mookerjee v. Mokhoda Debia	17 W. R., 565	2352
Hurish Chunder Mullick v. Prosuno Coomar Banerjee .	22 W. R., 303	135, 178 6
Hurish Chunder Paul v. Radha Nath Sein	11 W. R., 328	139
Hurish Chunder Roy v. Poorna Soonduree Debee	18 W. R., 36	329, 4939
v. Purna Soonduree Debia	18 W. R., 125	4939
The state of the s	14 W. R., 466	540 2008
Hurish Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Sree Kalee Mookerjee Hurish Chunder Shaha v. Hurish Chunder Paul	22 W. R., 274	3008 4895
Hurish Chunder Sircar v. Azimooddeen Shaha	W D D D 100	5276
Hurish Chunder Surma v. Brojonath Chuckerbutty	13 W. R., 96	3340, 5245
Hurish Chunder Talaputtar v. O'Brien	14 W. R., 248	5692, 5709
Hurivallab Das Kallian Das v. Uttam Chand Manik Chand.	8 Bom., O. C., 236	2105
Hurjivan Virji v. Jamsetji Nowroji	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 63	4818
Hurkhoo Singh v. Ram Kishen	6 W. R., Mis., 44	5977
	12 B. L. R., 293, note: 16 W.)	1181
Hurkishor Das Bhooya v. Joogul Kishor Saha Roy	R., 281.	
Hur Kishore Audhicary v. Sudoy Chunder Nundee .	17 W. R., 80	1908, 5922
Hurkishore Malo v. Bharoti Jelyani	24 W. R., Cr., 61	3738
Hurkoo Singh v. Ram Kishen .	6 W. R., Mis., 44	3540 4012
Hurlal Por a Scorni Narain Por	3 N. W., 176	5984
Hurlal Roy v. Sooruj Narain Roy Hurmungal Singh v. Athul Singh	3 W. R., 7 4 N. W., 15	5690, 5745
Hurmutjan Bibi v. Podma Lochun Doss	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 33	2954
Hurmut-ool-nissa Begum v. Allahdia Khan	17 W. R., P. C., 108	3694
Hurmuzi Begum v. Hirdaynarain .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 921 : 6 C. L. R., 133.	3377
Hur Narain v. Shiam Soonder	1 N. W., 211 : Ed. 1873, 264 : } Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 188	2918
	3 N. W. 112	2919
Hurnath Chatterjee v. Futtick Chunder Sumadar	18 W. R., 512	167, 3458
Hurnath De Khashkil v. Joygopal De Sarkar .	16 W. R., Cr., 75	974, 4626
Huro Chunder Chowdhry v. Gobind Chunder Moitro	15 W. R, 178 .	6103
v. Gobind Chunder Moitree	17 W. R., 255 .	5769
Huro Chunder Chowdhry v. Kishen Coomar Chowdhry	5 W. R., 27	68
Huro Chunder Doss Chowdhry v. Ram Coomar Chowdhry	2 W. R., 254	194
Huro Chunder Goho v. Dunn	5 W. R., Act X, 55	5192

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Huro Chunder Roy v. Monee Mohinee Dossee	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 6	3200
v. Surnamoyi	I. L. R., 13 Cale., 266	3155
Huro Churn Narain Singh v. Roochee Dobey	6 W. R., Act X, 30	555
Huro Doss v. Gobind Bhuttacharjee	12 W. R., 304	5194 5020
Hurodoot Narain Singh v. Beer Narain Singh	21 W R., 30	2388
Huro Gobind Bhukut v. Degumbree Debia .	LATTE D. OLD	2707
Huro Gobind Biswas v. Dumountee Dabee	13 W. R., 304	5319
Hurogobind Doss v. Kalachand Shaha	6 W. R., Act X, 26	5635
Hurogobind Pal v. Huro Soondaree Chowdhrain	18 W. R., 316	5129
Hurokrishno Doss v. Motee Chand Baboo	8 W. R., 260	763
Huro Lall Biswas v. Huro Chunder Roy	12 W. R., 89	1380
Huro Lall Doss v. Soojawut Ali	8 W. R., 197	825
Huro Lall Saha v. Tirthanund Thakoor	11 B. L. R., 437, note: 13)	5077
(W. R., 417.	
Huro Mohun Mookerjee v. Goluck Chunder Sirkar .	12 W. R., 255	1636
v. Kadarnath Doss v. Lalun Monee Dassee	5 W. R., Act X, 25	5925
v. Lalun Monee Dassee	1 W. R., 5	6040
v. Mohendronath Ghose	16 W. R., 135	5169
v. Ram Coomar Mitter . v. Thakoor Doss Mundul .	1 W. R., 225	3011
Huro Mohun Roy v. Khettromonee Dossee	1 W. R., 112 12 W. R., 372	1653 5672
Huro Monee v. Kristo Indro Shaha	12 W. R., 372 17 W. R., 220	1224
Huromonee alias Huromonee Debia v. Ramkishore	6 W. R., Mis., 124	1218
Huromonee Debia v. Tumeezoodeen Chowdhry	7 W. R., 181	3747, 5064
Huromonee Dossee. In the matter of	17 W. R., 48	5705
Huromonee Gooptia v. Gobind Coomar Chowdhry .	5 W. R., 51	509, 3121
Huromoni Dasi v. Panchoo Ram Biswas	11 C. L. R., 514	2365
Huro Narain Giree v. Durga Churn Giree	15 W. R., 319	5319
Huro Nath Dass v. Roma Nath Surma	25 W. R., 321	1696
Huronath Pattur v. Nitto Paramanick	22 W. R., 517	1100
Huronath Rai v. Indoo Bhoosun Deb Rai	8 W. R., 135	3395
Huro Nath Roy. In the matter of the petition of .	7 W. R., 482	894 4394
v. Ameer Biswas	1 W. R., 231	4.594 5985
Di. 1. D. 1 D. 1.	3 W. R., Act X, 26	1596
v. Chittramoney Dossee	3 W. R., Act X, 122	4150
v. Gooroodoss Řov	7 W. R., 423	5008
a Indra Bhassin Dah Bay	6 W. R., Mis., 33	3822
v. Jogendur Chunder Roy	6 W. R., 218	3394
v. Kasheenath Roy	W. R., 1864, 338 .	353
Wumala Kant Chualrashutter	5 W. R., Act X, 56	1604
v. Mirnomoyee Dabee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 56 .	1637
v. Miruomoyee Dabee	7 W. R., 4	5382
v. Nistarini Chowdhrain	13 C. L. R., 14	385
Huronath Roy Chowdhry v. Golucknath Chowdhry .	19 W. R., 18	550, 551
-	7 W. R., 249	2974
Huro Nath Sircar v. Preo Nath Sircar Huro Nauth Roy v. Indoobooshun Deb Roy	2 Hay 202	135 855
Huro Pershad Bhuttacharjee v. Bhyrub Chunder Mo- joomdar.	8 W. R., 391	3061, 5632
Huropershad Chowdhry v. Shamapershad Roy Chowdhry	6 W. R., Act X, 107	5632
Huro Pershad Chuckerbutty v. Sreedam Chunder Chow- dhry.	20 W. R., 16	582

Name of Case.

Volume and Page.

	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 255 : 12 C.)
Huro Pershad Roy v. Gopal Das Dutt }	L. R., 129 : L. R., 9 I. A., 82.
v. Ram Lochun Mundul	6 W. R., 148 .
Huro Pershad Roy Chowdhry v. Fool Kishoree Dossee .	16 W. R., 308
v. Manick Lushkur	12 W. R., 343
v. Ram Chunder Baboo . v. Ramessur Missry Malia	7 W. R., 360
Huropershad Roy Chowdhry v. Shamapershad Roy Chowdhry.	5 W. R., Mis., 52.
Chowdrain.	13 W. R., 47
Huro Pershad Roy Chowdhry v. Wooma Tara Debee Huro Prasad Roy Chowdhry v. Chundee Churn Boy- ragee.	15 W. R., 194
Huroprosad Roy v. Kaliprosad Roy	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 290 . I. L. R., 7 Calc., 263: 8 C.
Huro Prosad Roy v. Womatara Debee	L. R., 449.
Huro Prosunno Bhuttacharjee v. Bhyrub Chunder Mo-	17 W. R., 257
Huro Soonduree Boistobee v. Joy Doorga Boistobee .	13 W. R., 112
Hurosoonduree Chowdhrain v. Anund Mohun Ghose Chowdhry.	7 W. R., 459
Huro Soonduree Chowdhrain v. Anundnath Roy Chowdhry.	3 W. R., 8
Huro Soonduree Chowdhrain v. Bungshee Mohun Doss. Huro Soonduree Debee v. Sreedhur Bhuttacharjee	8 W. R., 332
Huro Soonduree Debia v. Brojo Gobind Shaha	4 W. R., Mis., 12
v. Doorgadoss Bhuttacharjee . v. Punchooram Mundul .	16 W. R., 215
v. Ramdhun Bhuttacharjee v. Stevenson .	7 W. R., 276 5 W. R., Mis., 13
v. Unno Poorna Debia	11 W. R., 550
Hurosoondurce Dossee v. Bungsecmohun Doss	3 W. R., Mis., 28
	11 W. R., 325
Hurpershad. In the matter of the petition of	24 W. R., Cr., 60
v. Khowanee	5 N. W., 18
v. Lalu .	1874, 246.
v. Mata Buksh	3 Agra, 225
v. Oodit Narain Hurpershad Roy v. Badree Narain Gir	1 Agra, Rev., 60
Hur Proshaud Roy v. Enayet Hossein	2 C. L. R., 471
Hurpurshad v. Sheo Dyal	L. R., 3 I. A., 259: 26 W. R., 55.
Hurradhun Mookerjee v. Mothooranath Mookerjee	7 W. R., P. C., 71: 4 Moore's
Hurrak Singh v. Toolsee Ram Sahoo	I. A., 414. 11 W. R., 84
Hurran Chunder Gangooly v. Shib Chunder Mitter	2 Hyde, 237
Hurree Dyal Chukee v. Birjessurree Dossee Hurreehur Mookerjee v. Ooma Moyee Dossee	Marsh., 604
Hurree Mohun Thakoor v. Andrews	W. R., 1864, 30

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hurree Narain Mookerjea. In the matter of Hurreenath Doss v. Kalee Pershad Roy Hurrender Lal Sahoo v. Rajender Pertab Sahee Hurri Mohun Acharjee v. Okhoy Kumar Bose Hurri Mohun Bagchi v. Grish Chunder Bandopadhya Hurri Mohuu Mullick v. Goburdhun Dass Hurri Narain Roy Chowdhry v. Joy Durga Dassi Hurrinath Muzoomdar v. Moran & Co.	2 C. L. R., 518 . 22 W. R., 474 . 1 W. R., 260 . W. R., 1864, Act X, 14 1 C. L. R., 152 . 3 C. L. R., 459 . 2 C. L. R., 17 . W. R., 1864, Act X, 127	6164 2720 83 1635 1162 2814 5736 401
Hurri Prasad v. Jaumua Prasad	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 453: 7 L. R., 491.	4 95
Hurriprosad Dutta. In the matter of the petition of Hurris Chunder Nag v. Abbas Ali	25 W. R., Cr., 61 5 W. R., 204	5151 3157 241 1971
Hurrish Chunder Chowdhry v. Kalisunderi Debi .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 482: 12 C. L. R., 511: L. R., 10 I. A., 4.	241, 1871 1929, 3095
Hurrish Chunder Chowdhry v. Rajender Kishore Roy Chowdhry.	18 W. R., 293	2215
Hurrish Chunder Koond v. Gopal Barooye Hurrish Chunder Koondoo v. Alexander	3 W. R., Act X, 158 . Marsh., 479 I. L. R., 3 Calc. 712 .	2841 5176, 5185 1877
Hurri Sunkur Mookerjee v. Muktaram Patro .	15 B. L. R., 238 : 24 W. R., 154.	5075
Hurro Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Ram Kissore Chucker-	W. R., 1864, 142	5143
Hurro Chunder Mookerjee v. Hullodhur Mookerjee Hurro Chunder Paul. In the matter of the petition of Hurro Chunder Roy v. Lallchund Banerjee v. Obboy Churn Sircar	W. R., 1864, 166	51 2767, 6249 880 4933
Hurro Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Sooradhoonee Dabea {	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 985: 9 W. R., 402.	3189, 3193
Hurro Coomaree Dossee v. Tarini Churn Bysack .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 766 .	3169
Hurro Durga Chowdhrain v. Sharrat Soondery Debia	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 674: 3 C. L. R., 417.	2700, 3834
· v. Surut Sundari Debi	I. L. R., 8 Calc, 332: L. R., 9 I. A., 1.	1200, 1480 3834
Hurro Gobind Bhukut v. Digumburee Hurro Gobind Biswas. In the matter of Hurro Gobind Raha v. Ramrutno Dey Hurro Kisto Doss v. Ramanundo Doss	9 W. R., 217 7 W. R., 246 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 67 22 W. R., 274	3844 3847 5183, 5596 683
Hurro Lall Shaha. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 570: 10 C. L. R., 409.	4668
Hurromohun Audhikaree v. Auluck Monee Dossee Hurro Mohun Gaen v. Bonomalee Mitter Hurro Mohun Mookerjee v. Brojokishore Roy v. Chintamonee Roy Hurromonee Dossea v. Onookool Chunder Mookerjee	1 W. R., 252	2198 5259 1662 3010 4033, 6105
Hurro Narain Singh v. Bykunt Narain Singh .	14 W. R., 51	4471
Hurro Nath Bhunjo v. Chunni Lall Ghose	L. R., 161.	1940, 3520
Hurronath Bhuttacharjee v. Harvey	25 W. R., 23	4455, 6085 3283, 3348 176 5598
Hurronath Mullick v. Nittanund Mullick Hurronath Roy v. Gobind Chunder Dutt v.	10 B. L. R., 263	1835 1594 1594, 1663
	15 B. L. R., 120: 23 W. R., 352: L. R., 2. I. A., 193.	1594

Name of Case.

Volume and Page.

Hurronath Roy v. Gooroo Doss Biswas .	3 W. R., Act X, 19
v. Krishna Coomar Bukshee	L. R., 13 I. A., 123 : I. L. R., 14 Calc., 147.
36.3 . 3.1.36.31.1	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 618: 7
v. Maheroolah Mollah	W. K., 21.
Hurropersaud Roy v. Shamapersaud Roy .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 654: 1 C. L. R., 499: L. R., 5 I. A., 31.
Hurro Pershad Ghosal v. Hurro Monee Debee	8 W. R., 210.
	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 201: 7 C.)
Hurropershad Roy v. Bhupendro Narain Dutt	11. 11., 10.
v. Gopal Dass Dutt	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 258
Hurro Proshad Roy v	R., 450.
Hurro Soondari Debia v. Keshub Chunder Acharjee	5 C. L. R., 257
Hurrosoondary Dassee v. Jugobundhoo Dutt .	I. L. R, 6 Calc., 203: 7 C.
	L. R., 61. Marsh., 138: W. R, F. B.,)
Hurro Soonderee Dabea v. Kally Mohun	46: 1 Hay, 301.
Hurro Soondery v. Muddun Mohun Dutt	W. R., 1864, Act X, 34
Hurro Soondery Chowdhrain. In the matter of .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 20: 3 C. L. R., 93.
Hurro Soondery Debea Chowdrance v. Rajessurce Debe	2 W. R., 321
Hurro Soondery Debia v. Ameena Begum	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 188: 5 W.
	R., Act X, 72. 14 B. L. R., Ap., 11: 23 W.
Hurrosoondery Dossee v. Callypudo Dutt	R., 136-
Hurrosoondree Debiah v. Pran Kishen Singh .	6 Moore's I. A., 491
Hurrosoondree Dibiah v.	7 Moore's I. A., 16
Hurro Sonduree v. Sonaton Doss Hurro Sunduree Dossee v. Chunder Mohinee Dossee	3 W. R., 200
Hurrosundari Dabi v. Brojohari Das Manji .	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 86
Hurro Sundari Dabia. In the matter of the petition	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 17: 6 C. L.
of.	R., 303. 1. L. R., 6 Calc., 17: 6 C. L.
Hurro Sundari Dabia v. Chunder Kant Bhuttacharje	R., 303.
Hurro Sunderi Dossee v. Gopee Sunderi Dossee .	10 C. L. R., 559
Hurruck Chund Golicha. In re	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 605 : 6 C. L. R., 382.
Hurruck Singh. In the matter of	11 W. R., 107
Hurry Charan Bose v. Subaydar Sheikh	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 161
	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 140
Hurry Churn Chuckerbutty v. Empress	L. R., 358.
Hurry Churn Dass v. Nimai Chand Keyal	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 138: 13)
•	C. L. R., 207.
Hurry Doss Bonerjee. In the goods of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 87 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 86
Hurrydoss Dutt v. Uppoornah Dossee	6 Moore's I, A., 433
Hurry Doyal Guho v. Din Doyal Guho	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 479: 13 C.
	L. R., 93. B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 623: 7
Hurryhur Mookerjee v. Mohesh Chunder Banerjee	W. R., 176.
Hurry Kissen Doss v. Lall Soonder Doss .	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 32
Hurry Kisto Roy v. Motee Lall Nundee Hurrymohun Bagchi v. Giris Chunder Bundopadhya	14 W. R., 36
Hurry Mohun Mozoomdar v. Dwarka Nath Sein .	18 W. R., 42
-	

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Indromonee Burmonee v. Suroop Chunder Paul .	12 B. L. R., 291, note: 15 W. R., 395.	1180
Indromoni Chowdhrani v. Behari Lal Mullick .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 770 : 6 C. L. R., 183 : L. R., 7 I. A., 24.	2112, 2114
Indro Narain Misser v. Kristo Chunder Mahto Indubansi Kunwar v. Gribhirun Kunwar	14 W. R., 362	1920 3417
Indur Chandra Dugar v. Lachmi Bibi	3 B. L. R., A. C., 289 . 7 B. L. R., 682 : 15 W. R., 501	1341, 2577
Indur Chunder Baboo v. Oozeer Ali Khan Indur Chunder Doogar v. Gopal Chand Satia	7 W. R., 338 11 W. R., 230	5729 1880
Indur Chundra Doogur v. Ruttun Koomaree Bibee	11 W. R., 100 7 W. R., 376	239, 2630 5314
Indurject v. Sewaram alias Munecram	5 N. W., 16	1926
Indurjeet Kooer v. Chundeemun Misser v. Jumna Doss	16 W. R., 99 14 W. R., 164	$\begin{array}{c} 2244 \\ 45 \end{array}$
v. Jumna Doss v. Luchmee Kooer v. Luchmun Singh	14 W. R., 349	5286 439
Indurjeet Koonwar v. Brij Bilas Lali	24 W. R., 56 3 W. R., 130	1925
Indurjeet Singh v. Radhey Singh	6 W. R., Mis., 76 21 W. R., 269	1923, 3536 3817
Inglis v. Ram Singh Inhabitants of Mahalingpore v. Anderson	W. R., F. B., 159	4399
Innes. In the goods of	7 B. L. R., 452, note 8 B. L. R., Ap., 43: 16 W.	2784, 285 3 1237
Intizam Ali Khan v. Narain Singh	R., 253. I. L. R., 5 All., 316 .	5476
Iradat Khan v. Dabee Dyal Irfan Biswas v. Jinnut Bibee	1 Agra, 180	3432
Irshad Ali v. Radhu Shah	25 W. R., Cr., 63 13 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 21 W. \	982 5751
Irtaza Hossein v. Hurry Pershad Singh	R., 188. 7 W. R., 241	3142
Irvine v. Thacharakavil Mana Vikraven Tiramelpad of Nilambur.	7 Mad., 235	1386
Irvine v. Union Bank of Australia Isac Mahomed v. Bai Fatma	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 280 .	943
Isak Mahamad v. Bai Khatija	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 487 . I. L. R., 6 Bom., 96 .	1074 4799
Isan Chandra Singh v. Haran Sirdar	3 B. L. R., A. C., 135: 11 W. R., 525.	1727, 5709
Isan Chunder Banerjee v. Luchun Gope	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 699: 5 C. L. R., 539.	5120, 5707
Ishana Dabia v. Grija Kant Lahiry Chowdhry Ishan Chandra v. Sujan Bibi	3 C. L. R., 572	3216, 3490
Ishan Chandra Das v. Rukimuddin Sowdagar	7 B. L. R., 14: 15 W. R., 331 2 B. L. R., A. C., 326, note	$\begin{array}{c} 4788 \\ 4137 \end{array}$
Ishan Chandra Ghose v. Harish Chandra Banerjee	9 B. L. R., 218, note: 12 W. R., 369.	777
Isban Chandra Mookerjee v. Loke Nath Roy	6 B. L. R., Ap., 12: 14 W.	168, 6089
Ishan Chandra Roy v. Ashanulla	8 B. L. R., 537, note: 16 W. R., 79.	550
Ishan Chunder Bandopadhya v. Indronarain Gossami	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 788: 12 C. L. R., 391.	824
Ishan Chunder Banerjee v. Juggut Chunder Doss Ishan Chunder Behara v. Ramlochun Behara	13 W. R., 44 9 W. R., 79	3983 1765 4454
Ishan Chunder Chattopadhya v. Shama Churn Dutt	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 41: 12 C.	3033
Ishan Chunder Chowdh ry n. Bhyruh Chunder Chowdhry	L. R., 414. 5 W. R., 21	1783
v. Chunder Kant Roy	13 C. L. R., 55	3 3541
v. Sharoda Goopteah	8 W. R., 267	6111

Name of Case	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ishan Chunder Ghose. In the matter of	15 W. R., 352	5521 579
Ishan Chunder Mitter v. Buksh Ali Soudagur Ishan Chunder Mojoomdar v. Sreekant Nath	Marsh., 614	2515, 5403 4711
Ishan Chunder Roy. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 707: 8 C. L. R., 52.	3463
v. Ashanoollah Khan	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 817	1934 3801
v. Tarruck Churder Banerjee	18 W. R., 238	2792
Ishan Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Bhyrub Chunder Doss Ishan Chunder Sein v. Kenaram Ghose	21 W. R., 25	1608, 5081 4933
Ishaneswary Dasee v. Gopaldas Dutt Ishankishor Acharjee Chowdhry v. Haris Chandra {	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 723 13 B. L. R., Ap., 42: 21 W.	5457, 5462
Chowdhry.	R., 381.	2126 4891, 5852
Ishar Das v. Masud Khan Ishen Chunder Chowdhry v. Bhyrub Chunder Chowdhry	I. L. R., 6 All., 70 5 W. R., 21	2295
Ishen Chunder Kurmokar v. Hurrydoyal Kurmokar Ishen Chunder Sen v. Onath Nath Deb	3 C. L. R, 263	1305, 5157 781, 6236
Ishen Chunder Shaha. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., Cr., 34 W. R., 1864, 3	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{74} \\ \textbf{4601} \end{array}$
Ishen Chunder Singh v. Shama Churn Ishera Yarn Mills Company v. Abdool Kurreem	Bourke, O. C., 276	4211
Ishore Ghose v . Hills $\left\{\right\}^{\perp}$	W. R., F. B., 148: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 25: 1 Hay, 350.	5184, 5788
Ishree Dyal v. Banyadee Tewaree	4 N. W., 7 2 N. W., 243	2882 2987
Ishri v. Gopal Saran	I. L. R., 6 All., 351	562 5 4 99 3
Ishri Dat v. Harnarain Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 334 I. L. R., 7 All., 871	5518
Ishri Singh v. Baldeo Singh	I. L. R., 10 Cale., 792 : L. R., 11 I. A., 135.	4225
Ishur Chunder Biswas v. Bissumbhur Biswas	W. R., 1864, 107	4174 1326
Ishur Chunder Kurmokar v. Seetuldoss Mitter Ishur Chunder Mundul v. Sham Chunder Doss	17 W. R., Cr., 47 W. R., 1864, 312	1438
Ishur Chunder Surmah v . Doyamoye Debea .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 864: 11 C. L. R., 135.	3086
Ishur Dutt Mundul v. Shib Pershad Thakoor	15 W. R., 290 3 Moore's I. A., 100 .	5581 5370
Ishuree Persad Narain Singh v. Lal Chutterput Singh . Ishur Kant Bhadooree. In the matter of	24 W. R., 233	3881
Ishvargar Budhgar v. Candasama Amarsang	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 548 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 902: 6 C. L.	268 1161
Ishwar Chunder Dutt v. Ramkrishna Dass Ishwardas Gulabchand v. G. I. P. Railway Company	R., 421. I. L. R., 3 Bom., 120	4708
Ishwardas Jagjivandas v. Dosibai	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 316 .	367, 1692 3459
Iskri Ishree v. Bakhshi	I. L. R., 6 All., 96	959
Ismail Beari v. Abdul Kader Beari	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 319 13 B. L. R., 91: 21 W. R., 7	2269 $213, 2822$
Ismail Hadjee Hubbeeb v. Mahomed Hadjee Joosub	303.	4713 2282, 3087
Ismail Haji Abdulla. In re	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 452 .	3632, 4663
Ismail Khan v. Bhondoo	1 N. W., 26: Ed. 1873, 24 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1007: 9 \	1644 1933
Ismail Kooer. In the matter of the petition of .	W. R., 448.	3143, 4423
Ismail Saheb v. Arumuga Chetti Ismail valad Ahmed Barucha v. Kassam valad Azam ?	1 Mad., 427	796
Dupli. Streepershad Rae v. Toolseeram	3 Agra, 352	1188

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Discort
	•	Digest.
7 1 1 1 Diament	IN W 41. E3 1079 90	4583, 6177
Imambundee v. Bhugwandass	1 N. W., 41: Ed. 1873, 38 .	5279
Imambundee Begum v. Sheo Dyal Ram	14 W. R., 199	
Imami Begam v. Gobind Prasad	I. L. R., 4 All., 318	4888
Imamooddeen Sowdagur v. Abdool Sobhan	5 N. W., 170	3712
Imam Saheb v. Kasim Saheb	11 Bom., 104	3694
Imamuddin v. Shah Jan Bibi	6 B. L. R., 167, note	3726
Imamun v. Hurdyal Singh	5 W. R., 277	5239
v. Mahomed Majeedoollah	3 Agra, 88	5101
v. Nunnoo.	17 W. R., 193	683
Imam-un-nissa Bibi v. Liakat Husain	I. L. R., 3 All., 424	1879, 5460
Imandi Khan. In the matter of	8 C. L. R., 399	4110
Imbichi Koya v. Kakkunnat Upakki	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 391	3245
Imbichi Patama. Ex parte	1 Mad., 129	218
Tondad Alia Koothy Bogum	6 W. R., P. C., 24: 3 Moore's ?	2017, 3121
Imdad Ali v. Koothy Begum {	I. A., 1.	
v. Nijabat Ali	I. L. R., 6 All., 457	3344
Imdad Ali Khan v. Collector of Farakhabad	I. L. R., 7 All., 817	2947
Imdad Hossein v. Alikoonnissa	W. R., F. B., 77	4213
v. Hosseinee Buksh	2 N. W., 327	3657
v. Mahomed Ali Khan	23 W. R., 150	108, 3671
v. Stack	12 W. R., 454	2932
Imdad Husain v. Mannu Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 509 .	3994
v. Tasadduk Husain	I. L. R., 6 All., 335	4786
Immedy Kaunga Ramaya Gaundan v. Ramaswami Ambalam.		350
Imperatrix v. Abdulla	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 240 .	3617
70.1 771	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 142	718
v. Bhagwan Deoraj	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 357	5511
v. Bhawani	1. L. R., 2 Bom., 525	6161.
. D	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 564	264
v. Dongaji Andaji	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 334	1838, 2105
v. Gowdapa	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 534	5157
v. Irbasapa	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 479	1966, 5510
v. Irbasapa	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 298	1513
	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 384	2906
		972, 1281
v. Lakshman Sakharam'.	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 481 . {	5522
v. Padmananbh Pai	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 384	5522
This 1 T'		1015
Danak Makha	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 61 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 287	1315, 1316
		1297, 5576
. O'	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 239 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 15	1277, 4355
V. Sirsapa	•	1
Imperial Banking and Trading Company v. Atmaram Madhavji.	2 Bom., 260: 2nd Ed., 246 .	1065
v. Pranjivan	2 Bom 272: 2nd Ed., 258 .	1066, 2736
Das Harjivan Das.	·	
Imrit Konwur v. Roop Narain Singh	6 C. L. R., 76	2148, 2507
Imrit Kooer v. Lalla Debee Pershad Singh	18 W. R., 200	143
Imrit Lall Thakoor v. Nuckshed Suhaye	10 W. R., 100	2774
Imrit Nath Jha v. Roy Dhunput Sing {	3 B. L. R., 241: 18 W. R., 288.	4035
Imrit Singh v. Koylashoo Koer	11 W. R., 558	2771, 4823
Imtiaz Begam v. Liakat-un-nissa Begam	I. L. R., 6 All., 630	4393
v	I. L. R., 7 All., 886	4393
Ina Sheikh v. Queen-Empress	J. L. R., 11 Calc., 161	5883
Inayat Khan v. Rahmat Bibi	I. L. R., 2 All., 97	5071,5701
Inayut Ali v. Furzund Ali	2 Agra, 21	4848
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Indar Chandra Dugar v. Brindabun Bhara	8 B. L. R., 251: 15 W. R., F. B., 21. I. L. R., 4 All., 532 I. L. R., 7 All., 487 I. L. R., 7 All., 553 3 B. L. R., P. C., 1: 12 W. R., P. C., 41: 13 Moore's I. A., 141.	180, 2927 4745 2202 3041 4083
Inderchund Dogare v. Nundeeram Singh Inder Chunder Baboo v. Hurnauth Chowdhry Inder Chunder Banerji v. Eshan Chunder Roy Inder Coomar Doss v. Doolal Chunder Doss Inderjeet Kooar v. Ismudh Kooar	Cor., 3 . 1 Hay, 257 . 1 Hay, 474 . 18 W. R., 258 5 W. R., P. C., 14: 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 141: 10 Moore's I. A., 329.	.386, 3272 5751 520 2343 991, 2455
Inder Jeet Kooer v. Pootee Begum Inder Narain Chowdhry v. Mahomed Nazirooddeen	19 W. R., 197 1 W. R., 234 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 474: 8 C. L.	3549 3711 1118, 5816
Inderpershad Singh v. Campbell Indian Carrying Co. v. McCarthy v.	R., 501. Cor., 116 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 61 .	2102 2819
Indian Companies Act, 1866. In the matter of .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 330 . 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 335 . 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 350 . 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 17 . 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180 . 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 123 . 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180 . 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 257 . 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 296 . I. L. R., 5 Calc., 888 . I. L. R., 8 Calc., 317 .	945 943, 951 951 953 943 945 953 953 936 944 924
Indian Peninsular, London, and China Bank v. Scott Indian Registration Act, 1866. In the matter of	5 Bom., O. C., 167 2 B. L. R., O. C., 151: 11 W. R., O. C., 24. 3 B. L. R., O. C., 60: 12 W. R., 386, note. 6 B. L. R., 576	2626 4757 4800 4764
Indrabati Kunwari v. Mahadeo Chowdhry Indrabutty Koonwaree v. Holloway Indra Chand Aswal v. Kaleedoss Mitter	1 B. L. R., S. N., 6 9 W. R., 168 24 W. R., 439 3 B. L. R., A. C., 181 : 11 W. ?	2773, 4316 3044 5840
1ndra Chand Dugar v. Gopal Chandra Shetia .	R., 557.	
Indra Chandra Babu v. Agra and Masterman's Bank	1 B. L. R., S. N., 2 2 B. L. R., A. C., 61: 10 W.	5581
Indra Chandra Dogar v. Tarachand Dogar	R., 353.	2630
Indrajit Koonwari v. Chokowri Sahu . Indrasa v. Sadu Indrobee Thaba v. Queen Indro Bhoosun Deb v. Goluck Chunder Chuckerbutty Indrobhoosun Deb Roy v. Kenny Indrobur v. Queen Indro Chunder Baboo v. Dunlop Indro Coomar Doss v. Mohima Mohun Roy Indro Kooer v. Abdool Burkut Indro Lochun Ghose v. Grish Chunder Roy Chowdhry	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 505, note 1 W. R., Cr., 5 2 W. R., 350 3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 9 1 W. R. Cr., 5 9 W. R., 530 10 W. R., 264 15 W. R., 159 14 W. R., 146 10 W. R., 134	891 1468 3629 1613, 175 3196 1531 6233 5581 1928 2507 5783

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Hurry Mohun Paramanick. In the matter of the petition of. Hurry Mohun Rai v. Gonesh (hunder Doss Hurry Mohun Roy v. Nyantara Hurrymohun Shaha v. Shonatun Shaha Hurry Sankur Patwari v. Radha Chowdhoory Hurry Sunkur Mookerjee v. Kali Coomar Mookerjee Hur Sahai v. Jawala Hursahai Singh v. Lootf Ali Khan Hur Sahal v. Mahomed Daim Khan	14 B. L. R., 418, note: 15 \\ W. R., 486. \\ 1. L. R., 10 Calc., 823 \\ . 25 W. R., 474 \\ 1. L. R., 1 Calc., 275 \\ . 25 W. R., 346 \\ W. R., 1864, 265 \\ . 2 Agra, 31 \\ 8: L. R., 2 I. A., 28 \\ 2 Agra, 64 \\ . \\ . \\ . \\ . \\ . \\ . \\ . \	4884 2237 2412 2495 3809 1712 4547 44
Hur Sahoy Lall v. Bhuttun Singh	20 W. R., 55 21 W. R., 244 2 N. W., 415 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 528 : 9 C. L. \	3563 3497 1449, 424 5 2899
Hur Sookha v. Pooran Hurst. Ex parte v. Mussoorie Bank v. Watson	R., 93. 2 Agra, 115 1 Mad., 270 1. L. R., 1 All., 762 2 Bom., 423: 2nd Ed., 400	2847, 5095 5644 2587, 3081 4597
Hur Suhaye Misser v. Deen Dyal Singh Huruck Chand Golecha v. Tilock Chand Singh Huruck Lall Shaha v. Sreenibash Kurmokar Huruck Roy v. Zuhooree Mul Huruck Singh v. Toolsee Ram Sahoo	7 W. R., 275 18 W. R., 512 15 W. R., 428 22 W. R., 468 12 W. R., 458	4158 1474 3836 3535, 35 37 3096
Husain Ali v. Matukman . Husain Ali Khan v. Hafiz Ali Khan . Husain Bakhsh v. Madgo . Husain Begam v. Zia-ul-nisa Begam . Husaini Begam v. Mulo . Husain Shah v. Gopal Rai	I. L. R., 6 All., 39 I. L. R., 3 All., 600 I. L. R., 1 All., 525 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 467 I. L. R., 5 All, 84	5238 3345 3517 3689 4774, 4798
Husain Shah v. Gopal Rai Huseena v. Husmutconissa Hushmut Ali v. Seetaram Husmut Ali v. Ouree Thakoor Husrutoollah v. Aboo Mahomed Abdool Kader	I. L. R., 2 All., 428 7 W. R., 495 1 Agra, 336 20 W. R., 232 6 W. R., 39	5085 3619 1104 1632 3143
Hussain v. Sanjivi Hussain Bibee v. Hussain Sherif Hussaini Bibi v. Mohsin Khan Hussen Bhamjee v. Hicks Hussun Ali Chowdhry v. Manoowar Ali	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 345 4 Mad., 23	957 3669 178, 386 421 4907
Hutton. In re Hutuman Sahib v. Husain Sahib Hyder Ali v. Elahee Bux Maloom	16 W. R., 134 3 W. R., Rec. Ref., 5 1 Mad., 152. I. L. R., 8 Calc., 1011: 10 C.	5125 3851, 4742 5820 1132
v. Jafar Ali v. Omrit Chowdhry	L. R., 606. J. L. R., 1 Calc., 183: 24 W. R., 222. W. R., 1864, Act X, 42. 13 B. L. R., 276, note: 17 W.	57 7 4931
Hyder Buksh v. Bhubindro Deb Cowar v. Hossein Buksh. Hyder Hossein v. Mahomed Hossein. Hyder Bara c. Collector of Dansel	R., 179. 4 W. R., 103 17 W. R., 185: 14 Moore's I. A., 401.	5192 4014 3696, 4651
Hyder Reza v. Collector of Purneah Hyes v. Moneerooddeen Ahung	22 W. R., 490	85 320, 5194

Name of Case.

Volume and Page.

Column of Digest.

Ibhram v. Suleman	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 146 .	3680, 3685
Ibin Hossein v. Haidar	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 109	2837, 5651
Ibrahim Azim v. Cruickshank	7 B. L. R., 653: 16 W. R., 203.	304, 466,
	{ 203.	1527 11, 3448
Ibrahim bin Mahasin v. Abdur Rahiman bin Alli	12 Bom., 257	2777
Ibrahim Fatte Ali v. Chandra Bhau valad Bapuji	7 Bom., A. C., 130 .	5315
Ibrahim Malla v . Mohesh Chunder Bagchi	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 683	
Ibrahim Mulla v. Enayetur Ruhman	4 B. L. R., A. C., 13: 12 W. 7 R., 460.	3647
Ibrahim Saib v. Muni Mir Udin Saib	6 Mad., 26	3711
Ibrahim valad Ladli Miya v. Parvata valad Hari .	8 Bom., A. C., 163 .	1776
Ibrai Byari v. Kaundinya	2 Mad., 363	5270
Ichamoyee Chowdhrance v. Prosunno Nath Chowdhr	y I. L. R., 9 Calc., 557	387
Ichha Ram Kalidas v. Govind Ram Bhowanishankar	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 653	4831 3138
Ichhashankar v. Killa	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 87	3207, 3219
Icvara Das v. Richardson	. 2 Mad., 84	47
Idan v. Nund Kishore	. 25 W. R., 390	3496
Idoo v. Besharoolla	2 W. R., Mis., 10	3688
Idu v. Amiran	7 B. L. R., 643	3649
Iftikarunissa Begum v. Amjad Ali Khan	12 W. R., 39	1765, 1767
Ijjutoolah Khan v. Ram Churn Gangooly Ikram Ali v. Baboo Lall	1 N. W., 178: Ed. 1873, 257	1658
Ikbal Begam v. Gobind Prasad	I. L. R., 3 All., 77	6123
Ikbai Degam v. Gobina Frasau	1. L. R., 4 All., 384	4846
Ikrom Ali Khon a Ludwo	2 Agra, 113	5626
v. Sham Sundar Ikram Ali Khan v. Ludwa Ikram Hossein v. Ramlochun Dutt	23 W. R., 29	771
Ikramoollah v. Sheo Pershad	2 Agra, 340	2895,5221
Ikram Singh v. Intizam Ali	I. L. R., 6 All., 260 .	3428
Ilahi Baksh v. Imam Bakhsh	I. L. R., 1 All., 324 .	4894
v, Sita	I. L. R., 5 All., 462	5664
Habi Par In the matter of the patition of	5 B. L. R., Ap., 75	172,372 5931
Ilahi Bax. In the matter of the petition of		2415, 2435
Ilata Shavatri v. Ilata Narayanna Nambudri	1 Mad., 372 · ·	
Illoor Kristniah v. Great Indian Peninsular Railwa	ry I. L. R., 2 Mad., 310 .	4 706
Company.		4706
v. Madras Railway Company	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 310	3482
Imam Ali v. Dasaundhi Ram	1. L. R., 1 All., 508 . 22 W. R., 262	3967
v. Oograh Singh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 468: 10 C.	
v. Poresh Mundul	L. R., 396.	
Imam Ali Khan v. Abdool Ali Khan	2 Agra, 28	3728
Impanam at W1 17	7 N. W., 251	4989
v. Lalta Buksh	7 N. W., 343	1248, 3974
	7 W. R., P. C., 67:4 Moore's	43
Imam Bandi v. Hur Gobind Ghose	I. A., 403.	
Twombandi Dames - Van 1	L. R., 18 I. A., 160: I. R.,	478, 3423
Imambandi Begum v. Kumleswari Pershad.	14 Calc., 109.	6009
v. Mahomed Tukee Khan	8 W. R., 27	901
Imam Buksh. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 599 .	3688 533
v. Hoob Ali	3 Agra, Rev., 8	3688
v. Thacko Bibee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 599	542
Imam Buksh Mundul v. Mohim Mundul .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 280 .	U TH

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Isreepershad Singh v. Nasib Kooer	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1017. 1 N. W., 142: Ed. 1873, 224	24 6 9 36, 134 7
Isri Dut Koer v. Hansbutti Koerain	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 324: 13 C. L. R., 418: L. R., 10 I. A 150.	1416, 2191 2494
Isri Singh v. Ganga. Issan Chunder Doss v. Chundro. Bodinee Issen Meah v. Kalaram Chunder Naw Isser Chunder Ghose v. Bhoobun Mohun Banerjee v. Peari Mohun Palit Isser Chunder Sein v. D'Cruz Issur Chunder Ahiree v. Syam Khan Chowdhry Issur Chunder Banerjee v. Suttyo Dyal Banerjee Issur Chunder Doss v. Juggut Chunder Shaha Issur Chunder Gangooly v. Mohini Mohun Doss Issur Chunder Ghosal v. Mooktoram Panda Issur Chunder Ghose v. Russeek Lal Mundul	150. 1. L. R., 2 All., 876 7 W. R., 361 2 C. L. R., 476 Bourke, O. C., 313 16 W. R., Cr., 39 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 233 11 W. R., 95 12 W. R., 270 1. L. R., 9 Calc., 79 17 W. R., 74 9 W. R., 606 11 W. R., 576	1345 828 4115 1094 981, 1255 2819 159 2950 3287, 3361 897 1160 772
Issur Chunder Gupto v. Nobo Kristo Gupto Issur Chunder Mookerjee v. Munmohun Chowdhry	7 C. L. R., 407 12 W. R., 308	2073 1476, 5624
v. Puddo Lochun Goopto Issur Chunder Mundle v. Rohim Sheikh Issur Chunder Mundul v. Heirs of Golam Ali	5 W. R., Mis., 18 . 25 W. R., Cr., 65 . 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 249	3791 1287, 3863 5530
Issur Chunder Nath. In the matter of the petition of {	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 883: 11 C. L. 7 R., 235	4111
v. Kali Churn Nath .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 883 : 11 C. L. R., 235.	4111
Issur Chunder Poddar v. Shoshee Dhur Sen Issur Chunder Roy v. Juggessar Ghose Issur Chunder Sein v. Aushotosh Chatterjee. v. Bipeen Beharee Roy	18 W. R., 289 17·W. R., 184 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 283 16 W. R., 132	5927 1430 5914 4267
v. Bipeen Beharee Roy v. Kalee Doss Hajrah v. Kenaram Ghose v. Ranee Dossee	3 W. R., 95 14 W. R., 463 2 W. R., 125	40 3922 2338
Issur Chunder Shaha v. Nisar Hossein Issur Dutt Singh v. Alluck Misser Issuree Dossee v. Lall Beharee Holdar Issureenund Dutt Jha v. Parbutty Churn Jha	W. R., 1864, 351	3721 3824 4828 3192, 3334
Issurepersaud v. Urjoonloll Issuridutt Singh v. Ibrahim	2 Hyde, 248	3223, 32 26 33 7 0
Issurree Dassee v. Abdool Khalak	R., 46. 2 Bom., 189: 2nd Ed., 181	3475 4147
Iswar Chandra Chuckerbutty v. Bistu Chandra Chucker- butty.	3 B. L. R., Ap., 97:12 W. R., 32.	5317, 6104
Iswar Chandra Das v. Jugal Kishor Chuckerbutty	4 B. L. R., Ap., 33: 17 W. R., 473, note: 21 W. R., 281 note.	156, 1 57 3557
v. Nittianand Das	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 490:6 W. R., Act X, 70.	1605
Iswar Chandra Dutt v. Iswar Chandra Ghose Iswar Chandra Karmakar v. Sitaldas Mitter Iswar Chandra Koer v. Umesh Chunder Pal Iswar Chandra Mitter v. Shama Sundari	9 B. L. R., Ap., 19 8 B. L. R., Ap., 62 8 B. L. R., 19 3 B. L. R., A. C., 150, note .	1194 1326 970 3348
Iswar Chandra Sen v. Bepin Behari Roy Iswar Pershad Gurgo v. Jai Narain Giri Itcha Moyee Dossee v. Bama Soonduree Dossee	8 B. L. R., 188, note: 16 W. R., 132. I. L. R., 12 Calc., 169 25 W. R., 73	584 5250 5672
g whice or mining constitution months	20, 10	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Itcharam Dayaram v. Raiji Jaga Ittiachan v. Velappen Ittuni Pannikkar v. Irani Nambudripad Iyahvien v. Chithambarien Izzatulla v. Bhikari Molla Izzuttoolnissa Beebee v. Husna Koour	11 Bom., 41	2214, 3941 3759 110 5703 3720 2006
Jaafar Mohindin Sahib v. Aji Mohindin Sahib Jackammal v. Palneappa Chetty Jada Ankamma v. Nadimpalle Rama Jadab Chundra Toi Poramanik v. Dinanath Das Jadav Rughnath v. Raiji Himmat Jaddoo Nath Dutt v. Empress Jadoo Nath Dutt v. Empress Jadoo Lall v. Radhakissen Mitter Jadoobur Singh v. Beharee Singh Jadoomony Dabee v. Hafez Mahomed Ali Khan Jadoonath Chowdhry v. Radhamonee Dossee Jadoo Nath Gossain v. Nobokissen Chatterjee Jadoonath Mookerji v. Tarachand Mookerji Jadoo Nath Roy v. Saleem Ahmed Khan Jadoonundun Singh v. Fanjdar Khan Jadoonundun Singh v. Fanjdar Khan Jadoo Rai v. Mahomed Tuquee Jadoo Shat v. Kadumbinee Dassee Jadoo Singh v. Ranee Jadowji Gopal v. Jetha Shamji Jadow Mulji v. Chhagan Raichand Jadu v. Hifazat Hossein Jadubanund Roy v. Bejoy Gobind Chowdhry Jadubar Mookerjee. In the matter of Jadub Chunder Chechky v. Heera Lall Saha Jadub Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Bhubo Soonduree Dabee Jadub Chunder Ghose v. Benodbehary Ghose v. Motee Lall Ghose Jadub Chunder Haldar v. Etwaree Lushkur v. Etburry Lushkur v. Ishoree Lushkur	5 N. W., 113 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 333 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 306 5 B. L. R., Ap., 15 7 W. R., 511 5 C. L. R., 359 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 21 Bourke, O. C., 384. 20 W. R., 331 1 Hyde, 214 1 Hyde, 214 1 Hyde, 214 Marsh., 498: 2 Hay, 599 3 W. R., Act X, 160 W. R., 1864. Act X, 74	3667 5129 3221 785 4782 1962 3506 4924 1868, 3844 4959 819 1210 4160 3048 2987 1183 2152 2580, 2644 2074 308, 4428 412 983 5811 2789 2298 2353 1638, 1656 3808 1655 1657
Jadub Chunder Sandyal v. Bhyrub Chunder Sandyal Jadub Churn Thakoor v. Bholanath Singh Roy Jadubindu Odhikaree v. Lokenauth Geree Jadub Mundul v. Bishoo Sirdar Jadub Nath v. Ram Sundur Surma Jadub Ram Deb v. Ram Lochun Mudduck v. Ramlochun Mudduck Jadu Dass v. Sutherland	19 W. R., 344 5 W. R., Mis., 51 Marsh., 303: 2 Hay, 160 15 W. R., 369 7 W. R., 174 5 W. R., 56 19 W. R., 189 1. L. R., 4 Calc., 556: 3 C. L. R., 223.	3367 224 696 4756 4193 5357, 6275 5128 1184
Jadu Lal v. Ram Gholam	I. L. R., 1 All., 316 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 136 7 B. L. R., Ap., 28	5040 5281 877

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Janokee Dossee v. Kisto Komul Singh Janokee Nath Chowdhry v. Brojendro Coomar Roy	Marsh., 1:1 Hay, 20 25 W. R., 65	235 7 4229
Chowdhry. Janokee Nath Dutt v. Mahomed Israil Janokeenath Mookerjee v. Joykishen Mookerjee Redhamehyn Christeriae	22 W. R., 285	3060 1454 5338
v. Radhamohun Chatterjee v. Raj Kisto Singh	20 W. R., 130	3822 1475, 4262
Janokey Doss v. Brindabun Doss	3 Moore's I. A., 175 . {	5973
Janokey Nath Roy. In the matter of the petition of Janoki Debi v. Gopal Acharjia Goswami	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 466 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 766: 13 C	254 2247
Janokinath Gupta. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 628: 8 C. L. R., 56.	4417
Janokineth Mookerjee v. Ram Runjun Chuckerbutty	I. L. R, 4 Calc., 949	4045
Janokinath Mukhopadhya v. Mothuranath Mukhopadhya.	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 580: 12 C. L. R., 215.	2459, 2513
Janoki Singh Roy v. Kaloo Mundul	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 889: 9 W. R., 178.	430
Janoky Bullubh Sen v. Johiruddin Mahomed Abu Ali Soher Chowdhry.	I L. R., 10 Calc., 67	5427
Janoo Mundur v. Brijo Singh v. Hucha Mundur	22 W. R., 548	1584 4763, 4825
Janssen v. Dundas	1 Hyde, 269	909
Jantoo v. Radha Canto Doss	Cor., 13	$\begin{array}{c} 2670 \\ 1248 \end{array}$
Jaraoo v. Fakeera	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 515 3 Agra, 133	3367
Jardine v. Tarini Mohan Sen	8 B. L. R., Ap., 44	5206
Jardine, Skinner, & Co., v. Dhun Kishen Sein	13 W. R., 82	5144
v. Money	14 W. R., 412	5024 1201, 5719
v. Dwarkanath Chuckerbutty v. Money v. Mathoram	Bourke, A. O. C., 43	4588
v. Sarut Soondari Debi .	L. R., 5 I. A., 164: 3 C. L }	5186
v. Shama Soonduree Debia	R. 140. 10 W. R., 60	2707
· v.	13 W. R., 196	2752
Jarfan Khan v. Jabbar Meah	24 W. R., 215	1433, 4407
Jariutool Butool v. Hoseinee Begum	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 383 10 Moore's I. A., 196	3722 280
v. Hosseinee Begum	10 W. R., P. C., 10: 11 Moore's I. A., 194.	3703, 4649
Jasimuddin v. Mansur Ali	6 B. L. R., Ap., 149: 15 W.]	5301
"Jason." In the matter of the steam-ship Jassim Binsaff v. Esau Ahmed	1 Mad., 270 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 13	564 4 6126
Jassoda Koer v. Land Mortgage Bank of India . [I. L. R., 8 Calc., 916: 11 C. }	1193, 1882
Jaswant Singh v. Dip Singh	L R., 348.	816
Jateeram Surmah v. Mungloo Surmah	8 W. R., 60	2331
Jatha Naik v. Venktapa	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 14	5406
Jatinga Valley Tea Company v. Chera Tea Company Jatiram Talookdar v. Dassram Kolita	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 45	236, 3556 1114, 5020
Jaun v. Mahomed Hadee	3 C. L. R., 574	2824
Jaun Ali v. Jan Ali	9 W. R., 149	1604, 1647
Jaun Beebee v. Beparee Jaundha Kuar. In the matter of the petition of	3 W. R., 93	3646
Javali Ramasami v. Sathambakam Theruven Gadasami	I. L. R., 4 All., 159 I. L. R., 1 Mad., 340	3100 1131

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Javani Bhai v. Jiva Bhai Java Ramji v. Jadavji Natha v. Jadavji Nathu Javermal Hirachand v. Umaji Hayabati Javherbai v. Haribhai Jawahar Singh v. Mul Raj Jawahir Lal v. Naraindas Jawahir Singh v. Guyan Singh v. Jadu Nath Jawahra v. Ækbar Husain Jayanti Lakshmaya v. Yerubandi Pedda Appadu Jaykali Debi v. Shibnath Chatterjee Jay Mangal Singh. In the petition of v. Lal Rung Pal Sing v. Mohan Ram Marwari Jaynarayan Singh v. Matilal Jha Jayram Gir v. Shiuraj Koer	2 Mad., 462 1 Bom., 224 2 Bom., 150: 2nd Ed., 142 1. L. R., 9 Bom., 179 1. L. R., 5 Bom., 575 1. L. R., 8 All., 449 1. L. R., 1 All., 644 3 Agra, 78 1. L. R., 7 All., 439 1. L. R., 7 All., 178 1. L. R., 7 Mad., 111 2 B. L. R., 0. C., 1 3 B. L. R., A. C., 82: 11 W. R., 433 4 B: L. R., Ap., 53 8 B. L. R., 130, note; and 319, note: 12 W. R., 397. 1 B. L. R., A. C., 21 2 B. L. R., P. C., 98: 11 W. R., P. C., 41.	2125, 2544 424, 2627 2627 798 5409, 5472 176, 369 3456 2156 1942 4272, 5226 5354 4672 379 3229 170, 376 3018 1401
Jeannissa Ladli Begam Saheb v. Manikji Kharsetji Jechand Khusal v. Aba Jeeatoollah Paramanick v. Jugodindro Narain Roy Jeeawan v. Goolab Khan Jeeb Nath Singh v. Kurcemun Bibee Jeebraj Singh v. Inderjeet Mahtoon	7 Bom., O C., 36 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 132 22 W. R., 12 1 N. W., 97: Ed. 1873, 147 7 W. R., 172 12 B. L. R., 115, note: 18 }	3305 423 692 772 509 6075
Jeebunissa v. Umul Chunder Chacklanuvis Jeebun Kissen Roy v. Dwarkanath Roy Chowdhry Jeebunkisto Roy v. Shib Chunder Dass Jeebun Kristo Gossamee v. Romanath Gossamee Jeebun Mundul v. Nadyar Chand Roy Jeebun Singh Burmono v. Collector of Backergunge Jeetnath Sahee Deo v. Lokenath Sahee Deo	W. R., 109. 18 W. R., 151 W. R., 1864, 363 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1027 23 W. R., 297 25 W. R., 461 2 W. R., 77 19 W. R., 239 Marsh., 47: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 7	477, 4154 236, 4895 1310 2472 54 1719 2224
Jeetoo v. Beetun Jeetoshur Dhurn Deb v. Foosee Singh Jeetram Dutt v. Doorgadass Chatterjee Jeetun Lall v. Sheo Churn Jeewanram v. Roonta Jeewan Ram v. Isree Jeewun Punda v. Sona Jehan Bebee v. Saivuk Ram Jehan Buksh. In the matter of Jehandar Khan v. Munnoo Jehangir Baksh. In the matter of the petition of	85:1 Hay, 112. 1 Hay, 515 22 W. R., 430 2 W. R., 214 1 Agra, 240 6 N. W., 153 1 W. R., Ed. 1873, 66 1 Agra, F. B., 109: Ed. 1874, 82. 16 W. R., Cr., 42 15 W. R., Cr., 87 1 Agra, 248 7 B. L. R., 24:11 W. R., 480	6109 4981 1140 588 2211 1418 908 2529 4880 5567 4739 3112 3715
v. Lala Bhikari Lall. Jehangir Gustadji v. Joosup Haji Ahmed Jehangir Rastamji Modi v. Shamji Ladha Jehan Kadr v. Afsar Bahu Begum v. Assur Bahu Jehan Khan v. Mandy	7 B. L. R., 24, note	3717 3715 950 935 1028 1027 3736

Name of Case.	appeared the or any	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jaita bin Kalu v. Babu bin Raghu Jaitha Bhima v. Abdul Vyad Oosman .	: :	3 Bom., A. C., 81 I. L. R. 10 Bom., 634	12 1529
Jakaria v. Kasim Jaker Ali Chowdhry v. Rajchunder Sen		I. L. R., 1 Bom., 496 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 831, note .	2678 369 7
Jalaluddeen Mahomed v. Shohorullah .	. {	15 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 22 W. R., 422.	1242
Jalam Punja v. Khoda Javra Jaleeram v. Chunder Coomaree Dossee		8 Bom., A. C., 29 12 B. L. R., Ap., 7	5236 4296
Jalha v. Koylash Chunder Dey	: :	10 W. R., 407	2928
Jalil Munshi v. Farnam Hossein Jallaloodden v. Burne		6 W. R., Cr., 55	95 7 4924
Jallidar Singh v. Ram Lal	. {	R., 99. I. L. R., 4 Calc., 723	2397
Jalu Namdar v. Beicha Namdar	: :	3 B. L. R., A. C., 394	4763, 4825
Jamaitunnissa v. Lutfunnissa Jamalooddeen Hossein v. Ramadhin Misser	: :	I. L. R., 7 All., 606	235, 5023 3804
Jamal Saheb v. Murgaya Swami		25 W. R., 136	3805 2256, 3423
Jamal walad Ahmed v. Jamal walad Jallal		I. L. R., 1 Bom., 633	3638, 3698
Jameela v. Luchmun Panday		4 C. L. R., 74	5961 4288
Jameelah Khatoon v. Pagul Ram James Sirdar. In the matter of the petition of	•	1 W. R., 251	3711 4624
Jamilan v. Latif Hossein	• •	8 B. L. R., 160: 16 W. R., ?	3726
		F. B., 13. 13 B. L. R., 278, note: 12	5193, 5198
Jamir Gazi v. Goneye Mundul	• {	W. R., 110. 2 Bom., 10: 2nd Ed., 11	2303
Jamiyatram Himatram v. Gujarat Trading Comp	any .	6 Bom., A. C., 185	$\frac{165}{2236}$
Jamiyatram Ramchandra v. Parbhudas Hathi Jamna v. Machul Sahu	• •	9 From., 116	2427
Jamnabai v. Raychand Nahalchand		I. L. R, 7 Bom., 225 . {	1460, 2118 2129, 2141
Jamnadar Savaklal's case. In re		3 Bom., O. C., 113	934 5698
Jamnadas v. Bai Shivkor	•	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 572 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 294	3499, 4388
Jamnadas Shankarlal v. Atmaram Harjivan	٠.	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 133 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 620 : 8 C. L.)	50, 2616
Jamoona. In the matter of the petition of .	. {	R., 215.	1954 3623
Jamoti v. Gadalo Kamar	: :	1 C. L. R., 89	666
Jameetji Jijibhai v. Sonabai Jamuna Bhai Ammal v. Sadagopa Edintara	Maha)	2 Bom., 130: 2nd Ed., 133 .	6049
Desika Swamiar	Mana {	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 56	2104, 5447
Janab Ali v. Chandi Charan Dey	. {	5 B. L. R., 334, note: 11 W. R., 202.	5139
Janadhana Embrandri v. Palakil Kesava Embrandanaki v. Kesavalu	dri .	3 Mad., 198. I. L. R., 8 Mad., 207	107 3459
Janaki Ammal v. Kamalathammal	: :	7 Mad., 263	1702
Janakiammal v. Vithenadien Janaki Ballav Sen v. Hafiz Mahomed Ali Khan		5 Mad., 191	5665 670
Jan Ali v. Atawur Ruhmun		9 C. L. R., 433 8 W. R., 338	5231 2976
v. Gooroo Doss Roy	,	1 B. L. R., A. C., 56: 10 W.	5336
•	. {	R., 154. 6 B. L. R., 154: 14 W. R.,	
v. Khondkar Abdur Kuhma	. !	420.	338, 1423

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jan Ali v. Ram Nath Mundul	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 32:9 C. L. } R., 433.	112, 5231
Jan Ali Chowdhry v. Ishan Chunder Sein	16 W. R., 149	557
v. Nittya Nund Bose	B. L. R. Sup. Vol., 972: 10 W. R., F. B., 12,	563
v. Tarini Churn Rukheet	21 W. R., 107	557 147
Janan Chowdhry v. Doolar Chowdhry Janardan Vithal v. Anant Mahadev	18 W. R., 347 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 373	3454, 4307
Janardhan Pandurang v. Gopal Pandurang .	5 Bom., A. C., 145	2338 3332, 3382
Janee Khanum v. Amatool Fatima Khatoon .	8 W. R., 51	3659, 5041
Janeswar Das v. Gulzari Lal	5 B. L. R., 666, note: 11 W. R., 216.	5076
Janger Mahomed v. Mahomed Arjad	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 509: 5 C. L. R., 370.	3724
Jankee v. Jhanjoo	. 2 N. W., 407 5 W. R., Mis., 19	6094 842
Jankee Bullub Sen. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 716	5944
Jankee Dass v. Mahomed	. 1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 16: Ed. 1873, 76	1182
Jankee Kooer v. Lekranee Kooer	. W. R., 1864, 285.	3238, 5213 3933
Jankee Pershad v. Ajoodhya Doss Jankeeram Doss v. Chundrabutty Debia	. 25 W. R., 257	75 9
Jankeeram Mohunt v. Haradhun Banerjee .	. W. R., 1864, 38	3278
Janki v. Dharam Chand	. I. L. R., 4 All., 177 .	83
— v. Girjadat	. I. L. R., 7 All., 482	4553
Jankibai v. Atmaram Baburav	. 8 Bom., A. C., 241	1206, 1692 4528
Janki Das v. Badri Nath	. I. L. R., 2 All., 698	4052, 5396
v. East Indian Railway Company	. I. L. R., 6 All., 634	407 1482
Jankiprasad v. Baldeo Narain	I. L. R., 3 All., 216	3217
v. Ghulam Ali v. Mantangui Debia	I. L. R., 5 All., 201 I. L. R., 7 All., 577	3938, 4837
Janki Singh v. Ablak Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 393	812
Janki Singh v. Ablak Singh Janki Tewari v. Gayan Tewari Jan Koer. In the matter of	I. L. R., 3 All., 427	179
Jan Koer. In the matter of	. 1 C. L. R., 402 .	197
Jan Koonwar v. Ram Ruttun Neoghy	. 18 W. R., 500 .	50 200 7
Jan Mahomed v. Queen-Empress Janmajay Mazumdar v. Keshab Lall Ghose.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 584	2476
Jan Muhammad v. Ilahi Bakhsh	. 2 B. L. R., A. C., 134 . I. L. R., 1 All., 290	487
Jannoba v. Girish Chandra Chuckerbutty	7 B. L. R., Ap., 44: 15 W. R., 335.	1628
Jannobee Chowdhrain v. Collector of Mymensing .	. 8 W. R., 287	46, 175 7
v. Dwarkanath Roy Chowdhry v. Gendoo Turrufdar	. 7 W. R., 455	
Jannokeo Doss v. King on the prosecution of Bindabun Doss.		5973
Janoji v. Janoji	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 185 .	1519, 4028
Janoji Banaji v. Vyankatesh Shrinivas	. 2 Bom., 393: 2nd Ed., 371	803
Janokee Beebee v. Habeebul Hossein	W. R., 1864, 272 · ·	769 4209
Janokee Bibee v. Luchmun Pershad .	17 W. R., 137	4302
Janokee Bullub Chuckerbutty v. Nobin Chunder Roy Chowdhry.	2 W. R., Act X, 33 .	1587
Janokee Bullub Sein v. Dukhina Mohun Chowdhry	7 W. R., 519	5944
Tomahaa Chamidhaanaa aa 15 1 44 05 11	. 1 Hay, 555	67,403 4404
Janokee Debea v. Gopal Acharjea	. I. L. R., 2 Calc., 365 .	2128, 224

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jaita bin Kalu v. Babu bin Raghu Jaitha Bhima v. Abdul Vyad Oosman Jakaria v. Kasim Jaker Ali Chowdhry v. Rajchunder Sen Jalaluddeen Mahomed v. Shohorullah Jalam Punja v. Khoda Javra Jaleeram v. Chunder Coomaree Dossee Jalha v. Koylash Chunder Dey Jalil Munshi v. Farnam Hossein Jallaloodden v. Burne Jallidar Singh v. Ram Lal Jalu Namdar v. Beicha Namdar Jamaitunnissa v. Lutfunnissa Jamalooddeen Hossein v. Ramadhin Misser v. Ramadheen Misser Jamal Saheb v. Murgaya Swami Jamal walad Ahmed v. Janal walad Jallal Jameela v. Luchmun Panday v. Mahomed Hossein Jameelah Khatoon v. Pagul Ram James Sirdar. In the matter of the petition of Jamilan v. Latif Hossein Jamiyatram v. Bai Jamna Jamiyatram Himatram v. Gujarat Trading Company Jamiyatram Ramchandra v. Parbhudas Hathi Jamna v. Machul Sahu Jamnabai v. Raychand Nahalchand Jamnadar Savaklal's case. In re Jamnadas v. Bai Shivkor v. Lalitaram	3 Bom., A. C., 81 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 634 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 496 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 831, note 15 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 22 W. R., 422. 8 Bom., A. C., 29 12 B. L. R., Ap., 7 10 W. R., 407 6 W. R., Cr., 55 15 B. L. R., 261, note: 18 W. R., 99. I. L. R., 4 Calc., 723 3 B. L. R., A. C., 394 I. L. R., 7 All., 606 24 W. R., 331 25 W. R., 136 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 34 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 633 4 C. L. R., 74 Marsh., 251: 2 Hay, 111 1 W. R., 251 1 C. L. R., 62 8 B. L. R., 160: 16 W. R., F. B., 13 13 B. L. R., 278, note: 12 W. R., 110 2 Bom., 10: 2nd Ed., 11 6 Bom., A. C., 185 9 Fom., 116 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 225 3 Bom., 0 C., 113 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 572	12 1529 2678 3697 1242 5236 4296 2928 957 4924 2397 4763, 4825 235, 5023 3804 3805 2256, 3423 3638, 3698 5961 4288 3711 4624 3726 5193, 5198 2303 165 2236 2427 1460, 2118 2129, 2141 934 5698
Jamnadas Shankarlal v. Atmaram Harjivan	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 294 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 133 I. L. R. 6 Cola 620 . 8 C. I	3499, 4388 50, 2616
Jamoona. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 620 : 8 C. L. 3 R., 215.	1954
Jamoti v. Gadalo Kamar Jamsedji Kavasji v. Motibai Jamsetji Jijibhai v. Sonabai Jamuna Bhai Ammal v. Sadagopa Edintara Maha)	1 C. L. R., 89	3623 666 6049 2194, 5447
Desika Swamiar Janab Ali v. Chandi Charan Dey	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 56	5139
Janadhana Embrandri v. Palakil Kesava Embrandri Janaki v. Kesavalu	R., 202. 3 Mad., 198. 1. L. R., 8 Mad., 207 7 Mad., 263 5 Mad., 191 1. L. R., 13 Calc., 47 9 C. L. R., 433 8 W. R., 338 1 B. L. R., A. C., 56: 10 W. R., 154. 6 B. L. R., 154: 14 W. R., 420.	107 3459 1702 5665 670 5231 2976 5336

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jan Ali v. Ram Nath Mundul	{	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 32 : 9 C. L.	112, 5231
Jan Ali Chowdhry v. Ishan Chunder Sein	•	16 W. R., 149	557
	}	B. L. R. Sup. Vol., 972: 10 W. R., F. B., 12,	563
v. Tarini Churn Rukheet .		21 W. R., 107	557
Janan Chowdhry v. Doolar Chowdhry Janardan Vithal v. Anant Mahadev Janardhan Pandurang v. Gopal Pandurang .	•	18 W. R., 347 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 373 5 Bom., A. C., 145	147 3454, 4307 2338
Janee Khanum v. Amatool Fatima Khatoon .	•	8 W. R., 51	3332, 3382
_	•	5 B. L. R. 666, note: 11 W. }	3659, 504
Janeswar Das v. Gulzari Lal	į	R., 216. I. L. R., 5 Calc., 509: 5 C. L.)	5076
Janger Mahomed v. Mahomed Arjad	· {	R., 370.	3724
Jankee v. Jhanjoo	•	2 N. W., 407 5 W. R., Mis., 19.	6094 842
Jankee Bullub Sen. In the matter of the petition of		B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 716	5944
Jankee Dass v. Mahomed	•	1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 16 : Ed. 1873, 76	1182 3238, 5213
Jankee Kooer v. Lekranee Kooer Jankee Pershad v. Ajoodhya Doss		W. R., 1864, 285	3933
Jankeeram Doss v. Chundrabutty Debia		7 W. R., 295	759
Jankeeram Mohunt v. Haradhun Banerjee	.	W. R., 1864, 38	3278
Janki v. Dharam Chand	•	I. L. R., 4 All., 177	83 4553
v. Girjadat		I. L. R., 7 All., 482	1206, 169
Jankibai v. Atmaram Baburav	•	8 Dom., A. C., 241	4528
Janki Das v. Badri Nath . v. East Indian Railway Company	•	I. L. R., 2 All., 698 • • •	4052, 539 407
Indianagad a Polden Massis		I. L. R., 6 All., 634 I. L. R., 3 All., 216	1482
v. Ghulam Ali v. Mantangui Debia Janki Singh		I. L. R., 5 All., 201	3217
v. Mantangui Debia		I. L. R., 7 All., 577 .	3938, 483
Janki Singh v. Ablak Singh Janki Tewari v. Gayan Tewari Jan Koer. In the matter of	•	I. L. R., 6 All., 393	812 179
Jan Koer. In the matter of	•	I. L. R., 3 All., 427	197
Jan Koer. In the matter of Jan Koonwar v. Ram Ruttun Neoghy		18 W. R., 500	50
Jan Mahomed v. Queen-Empress		I. L. R., 10 Calc., 584	2007
Janmajay Mazumdar v. Keshab Lall Ghose.		2 B. L. R., A. C., 134	2476 487
Jan Muhammad v. Ilahi Bakhsh		I. L. R., 1 All., 290	
Jannoba v. Girish Chandra Chuckerbutty	ં	R., 335.	1628
Jannobee Chowdhrain v. Collector of Mymensing.	•	8 W. R., 287 · · ·	46, 1757 5212
v. Dwarkanath Roy Chowdhry v. Gendoo Turrufdar		7 W. R., 455	4477 *
Jannokee Doss v. King on the prosecution of Bindabu Doss.	n }	1 Moore's I. A., 67	5973
Janoji v. Janoji	ا ر	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 185	1519, 4028
Janoji Banaji v. Vyankatesh Shriniyas	.	2 Bom., 393: 2nd Ed., 371 .	803
Janokee Beebee v. Habeebul Hossein .	• }	W. R., 1864, 272	769
Janokee Bibee v. Luchmun Pershad . Janokee Bullub Chuckerbutty v. Nobin Chunder Ro	y {	17 W. R., 137	4302 1587
Chowdhry. Janokee Bullub Sein v. Dukhina Mohun Chowdhry	5	7 W. R., 519	5944
Janokee Chowdhranee v. Dwarkanauth Chowdhry		1 Hay, 555	67,403
Janokee Debea v. Gopal Acharjea	•	€ !	4404 2128, 2247
anoace Debea v. Gopai Acharjea	•	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 365	4140, 444

Name of Cuse.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Jadu Nath Hazra v. Annoda Prosad Sircar. In the	11 C. L. R., 53	5516
matter of. Jadu Nath Kundu Chowdry v. Braja Nath Kundu Jadu Nath Mitter v. Bolychand Dutt . Jadunath Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 90 6 B. L. R., 333	5446 3749 5119
Jadunath Sing v. Kaliprasad	6 B. L. R., Ap., 55: 14 W. R., 358.	4956
Jadu Nath Sircar v. Sonamonee Dossee Jadu Nundun Singh v. Dulput Singh Jadu Rai v. Kanizak Husain Jadu Roy v. Farrell	Cor., 70 I. L. R., 10 Cale., 581 I. L. R., 8 All., 576 6 B. L. R., Ap., 66	4154 3725 2765, 4746 1866
Jadu Singh v. Rajkumar	4 B. L. R., A. C., 171: 13	3721, 3724
Jafar Ali Nizam Ali v. Ahmad Ali Imam Haidarbaksh	W. R., 177. 5 Bom., A. C., 37	1818, 3637
Jafer Hossein v. Mahomed Amir	4 B. L. R., Ap., 103: 13 W.	883, 3146
Jaffree Begum v. Mahomed Zahoor Ahsun Khan	R., 351. 2 N. W., 409	3290, 3291 4013
Jaffree Khanum v. Imdad Hossein	2 N. W., 314	1785, 5100 2715, 5770
Jafree Begum v. Ahmed Hossein Khan	1 Agra, 270	5773
v. Gungaram v. Hossein Zaman Khan	3 Agra, 91	4015 3043
Jafri Begam v. Amir Muhammad Khan	I. L. R., 7 All., 822 .	3642
Jafur Hossein v. Hingun Jan Jagabandhu Das Gajendra Mahapatra v. Dinabandhu) Das Gajendra Mahapatra.	8 W. R., 161	1920 4454
Jagabandhu Myti v. Goberdhan Bera	4 B. L. R., Cr., 1	1534
Jagabhai Lallubhai v. Rustamji Nasarwanji Jagadamba Chaodhrain v. Dakhina Mohun Roy Chao	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 311 .	1666, 4255
dhri.	1 L. R., 13 Calc., 308 : L. R. 13 I. A., 84.	3349
Jagadamba Dasi v. Grob	5 B. L. R 639	2066, 5607 5879
v. Haran Chandra Dutt	6 B. L. R., 526, note: 10 W. R., 108.	1180, 5779
v. Padmamani Dasi Jagadindra Banwari Gobind v. Bhobotarini Dasi Jaganadham v. Ragunadha	6 B. L. R., 686 5 B. L. R., Ap., 54	2822 302 2729
Jagannath v. Baldeo	I. L. R., 5 All., 305	5415
Jagan Nath v. Lalman Jagannath Das Gurubaksh Das v. Ram Das Gurubaksh	I. L. R., 1 All., 260	877, 3143
Das.	7 Bom., O. C., 79	456
Jagannath Pal v. Bidyanand	1 B. L. R., A. C., 114: 10 }	2340, 3416
Jagan Nath Panday v. Prag Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 545	2060, 2866
Jagannath Vithal v. Apaji Vishnu	5 Bom., A. C., 217 .	2193, 5819 6100
Jagarnath Singh v. Shewratan Singh	15 B. L. R., 272 : 24 W. R., }	3176
Jagatnarain v. Jagrup	I. L. R., 5 All., 452	831
v. Qutub Husain v. Sheo Das	1. L. R., 2 All., 807 1. L. R. 5 All. 311	1142 2309
Jagat Narain Rai v. Dhundhey Rai	1. L. R., 5 All., 311	5429
Jagat Sunderi Dasi v. Sonatan Bysak	5 B. L. R., 357	357
Jageshar Singh v. Jawahir Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 311	3240 1418
Jagesri Kuar v. Ram Nath Bhagat Jageswar Das. In re	I. L. R., 1 All., 371 6 B. L. R., Ap., 128	686

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jaggabandhu Bose v. Sachyi Bibi	8 B. L. R., Ap., 39: 16 W. R., 22	3243
Jaggadanand Misser v. Hamid Rasul	8 B. L. R., 182, note	4163
Jaggamoni Dasi v. Nilmoni Ghosal	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 75: 11 C. L. R., 502	5231
Jagganath Baisak v. Prosonno Coomar Chatterjee .	9 C. L. R., 221	3004
Jaggat Indar Narain Roy Chowdhry v. Nistarinee Dassee		2070
Jaghirdar of Arnee v. Periyanna Mudely	5 Mad., 317	5 700
Jaghirdar of Arni v. Secretary of State for India. Jaghirdar of Viruthalabathi v. Nateri Srinivasa Charlu	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 226 6 Mad., 356	4572 3679
Jagjivan v. Ismail Alli Khan	I. L. R., 4. Bom., 426	2098
Jagjivan Amirchand v. Hasan Abraham	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 179	3159
Jagjivandas Javerdas v. Imdad Ali	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 211	2097
Jagjivandas Jawherdas v. Narayan Lakhman Patil .	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 493	4789 3272
Jagjivan Javherdas v. Gulam Jilani Chaudhri Jagjivan Javherdas Seth v. Magdum Ali	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 17 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 487	4425
Jagjivan Nanabhai. In re	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 487 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 82	1889
Jagjivan Nanabhai v. Shridhar Balkrishna Nagarkar .	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 252	3909
Jagmohandas Mangaldas v. Mangaldas Nathubhoy .	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 528	2368, 246
Jago Bundhoo Tewaree v. Kurum Singh	22 W. R , 341	51
Jagomohan Sahu v. Mani Lal Chowdhry	3 B L. R., Ap., 77	2752 783
Jagram Das v. Narain Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 857 I. L. R., 3 All., 435	3234
Jagun Kooer v. Rughoonundun Lall Sahoo	10 W. R., 148	2357
Jagurdee v. Radhakishore	13 W. R., 259	4169
Jagut Chunder Roy alias Bashi Chunder Roy v. Rup (Chand Chango.	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 48: 11 C. L. R., 143.	3049
Jahangeer Buksh v. Bhickharee Lall	11 W. R., 71	3715, 6270
v. Lalla Bhikaree Lall	11 W. R., 480	3717
Jahanoby Deo Narain Singh v. Umbicapershad Narain Singh.	17 W. R., 74	1170
Jahu Bux v. Government	6 B. L. R., Ap., 66: 15 W. R., Cr., 14.	4740
Jai Bansi Kunwar v. Chatter Dhari Singh	5 B. L. R., 181	2245
Jaibun v. Nujeeboonissa	12 W. R., 497	3633
Jaibun-nissa v. Jairam Gir	I. L. R., 1 All., 616	439
Jaichand v. Behari	7 N. W., 177	3492 4225
Jaidial v. Sitaram	L. R., 8 I. A., 215 I. L. R., 6 All., 303	4018
Jaikaran Rai v. Ganga Dhari Rai	I. L. R., 3 All., 175	3238
Jaikison Das Gopal Das v. Harkison Das Hullodhar	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 9	1202, 229
Das.	1. L. 20, 2 Domi, 0	2439
Jai Kuar v. Heera Lal	7 N. W., 1	3709 3141, 3237
Jaimungal Koeri v. Mokhun Kori	7 N. W., 5	4652
Jainarayan Bose v. Kadumbini Dasi .	7 B. L. R., 723, note	1681
Jainooddeen v. Purno Chunder Roy	8 W. R., 129	1604
Jai Prakash Lal. In the matter of the petition of .	I. L. R., 6 All., 26	4720, 4725
Jai Ram v. Dulari Chand	I. L. R., 5 All., 309	217 4545
v. Mahabir Rai	I. L. R., 7 All., 720 I. L. R., 3 All., 15	4538
Jairam Geer v. Sheo Sumput Doobey	5 N. W., 84	4936
Jairam Gir v. Miller	7 B. L. R., 74: 15 W. R., O. C., 16.	2663, 2674
Jairam Narayan Raje v. Atmaram Narayan Raje	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 482	2827
Jairam Narronji v. Kuverbai	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 491	2549
Jaishankar v. Tetley	I. L. R., 1 All., 586	3458, 3467
Jaishee Ram v. Bijai Kooer	5 N. W., 177	54 69

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jekisan Bapuji v. Bhowsar Bhoga Jetha Jeldhari Singh v. Shunkur Doyal Jellicoe v. British India Steam Navigation Co. Jellor Ruhman v. Seetaram Dutt Jenkins v. Heycock Jeo Lal Singh v. Gunga Pershad v. Surfun Jeonath Bhuggut v. Roopa Koonwur Jeonee v. Dhurum Kooer Jeoni v. Bhagwan Sahai Jeora Khan Singh v. Thakooree Singh Jeorakhun Loll v. Muttra Pershad Jeorakhun Singh v. Hookum Singh Jerabutoonissa Khanum v. Ram Chunder Doss Jeshta Ramji Shett v. Awaker Mullandeagata Kunhi Jesinghbai v. Hataji	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 89 23 W. R., Cr., 9 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 489 21 W. R., 224 5 Moore's I. A., 361 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 996 11 C. L. R., 483 2 W. R., 273, note 3 N. W., 108 I. L. R., 1 All., 541 2 N. W., 303 1 N. W., 203 1 N. W., 203 2 Agra, 358 6 W. R., Act X, 36 5 Mad., 299 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 79	3206 981, 6254 594 2933 2685 5309, 5385 4966 1413, 3397 2447 3248 1900 360 3991 3010 5784 1140, 1683 2972
Jesmanee Kooer v. Debee Dyal Rae Jesmut v. Shoojaut Ali Jeswunt Singjee Ubby Singjee v. Jet Singjee Ubby Singjee.	3 N. W., 137	1422 3739 6240 3634, 3681
Jethabhai Bhaichand v. Bai Lakhu Jetha Madhavji v. Najeralli Abhramji Jetha Mulchand v. Gulraj Jasrup Jetoo Mahato v. Monuram Mahato Jetti v. Hossain Jewajee v. Trimbukjee	R., P. C., 46. 6 Bom., A. C., 27 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 472 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 577 I7 W. R., 84 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 23, note 6 W. R., P. C., 38: 3 Moore's I. A., 138.	5665 5421 4342 1103 3244 3126
Jewala Buksh v. Dharum Singh Jewan Lall Mahatab v. Doorga Dutt Singh Jewa Ram v. Futteh Singh Jewun Bibee v. Buddun Mundul	10 Moore's I. A., 511 20 W. R., 477	66 2708 3028 5770
Jewun Doss Sahoo v. Kubeerooddeen .	6 W. R., P. C., 3:2 Moore's I. A., 390.	3670
Jeyangarulavaru v. Durma Dossji .	4 Mad., 2	108
Jeyasankira Devi v. Nagaunada Devi	1 Mad., 106: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 136.	352
Jeychund v. Ka dhoree	2 N. W., 244; Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 222	5636
Jey Mull v. Kesree	Agra, F. B., 171: Ed. 1874,	4548
Jey Narain v. Torabun	128.) 3 Agra, 216	1675, 3816
Jey Singh v. Moorlee	2 N. W., 98; Agra, F. B., Ed.	541
Jhabar Mahomed v. Modan Sonahar .	1874, 194.) I. L. R., 11 Calc., 671	851
Jhabbu Ram v. Girdhari Singh .	I. L. R., 6 All., 289	3905
Jhabbu Singh. In the matter of	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 642	3177
Jhaloo v. Furzund Ali Jhanjoo Kooer v. Dameenah Kooer	5 W. R., 20	4142 683
Jharee Sahoo v. Bundhoo Sahoo	15 W., R., 218	1768
Jharoo v. Raj Chunder Dass	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 299	3550
Jharu v. Bahar Ally	7 W. R., Cr., 11	958
Jhatu Sahu v. Ram Charan Lal .	3 B. L. R., 413, note; 14 W. R., 25.	448
Jhinguri v. Bachu	I. L. R., 7 All., 134	1310

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jhinguri Tewari v. Durga Jhona Singh v. Neaz Begum Jhoo Bhugguth v. Tara Hoom Hossein Jhoomuck Chowdhry v. Anderson	I. L. R., 7 All., 878 2 Agra, Pt. II, 183 W. R., 1864 132, 6 W. R., Act X, 23	1699, 2862 5202 2861, 3028 1139 2990
v. Radha Pershad Singh Jhoomuck Singh v. Jeetun Lall Jhoonkee Paurey v. Ajoodhya Doss Jhotee Sahoo v. Omesh Chunder Sircar Jhotee Singh v. Gopal Singh v. Komul Roy	25 W. R., 328 12 W. R., 359 19 W. R., 218 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 1 23 W. R., 457 10 W. R., 119	5471 780 3819 3153 5785 3722
Jhoti Sahu v. Bhugun Gir	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 143 . 1 Ind. Jur., N. S, 317 : 5 W.	1496, 3529 2847, 509
Jhotun Bibee v. Ameer Chund	R., 105. 14 W. R., Cr., 7 22 W. R, 294	5100 6191 2453 723, 1267
Jhubboo Mahton. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 739 : 12 C. L. R., 233.	1804, 6068 6266
Jhubboo Mahton v. Empress	12 C. L. R., 233	6068, 6266 2435, 2571
Jhubhoo Sahoo v. Jusoda Koer	17 W. R., 230	3557, 5130 5131
Jhugro v. Lautoo Pandey Jhummun Pandey v. Dinonath Pandey Jhummun Shah v. Debee Dass Jhumuk Noniah v. Shadashib Roy Jhundoo v. Himmut Jhunna v. Ramsarup Jhunna Kuar v. Chain Sukh Jhutoo Koer v. Lulita Koer Jiat Sahu. In the matter of the petition of v. Bheekon Roy Jibhai Mahirpati v. Parbhu Bapu Jibnath Singh v. Court of Wards	1 Agra, Rev., 32 16 W. R., 171 1 N. W., 8: Ed. 1873, 7 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 26 3 N. W. 81 I. L. R., 2 All., 777 I. L. R., 3 All., 400 22 W. R., 423 9 B. L. R., 339 18 W. R., Cr., 39 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 59 5 B. L. R., 442: 14 W. R., 117 15 B. L. R., 190: 23 W. R., 409: L. R., 2 I. A., 163 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 819: 10 C.	5180 5262 1602 1328 997, 1867 2411 4302 4214 1534 1534 3500 2218, 2293 2294 2218, 2293 2294
Jibunti Nath Khan v. Shibnath Chuckerbutty Jijoyiamba Bayi Saiba v. Kamakshi Bayi Saiba Jina Ranchod v. Jodha Ghella Jitan Khan v. Durga Singh Jitbahan v. Bansrup Dhobi	L. R., 537. 3 Mad., 424 1 Born., 1 20 W. R., Cr., 59 6 C. L. R., 193	2316, 2464 2855 980 4497 1578, 2213
Jivandas Keshavji v. Framji Nanabhai .	7 Bom., O. C., 45	3104, 4803 2684
Jivanji Noorbhoy v. Coorji Lilladhar Jivaraj Megji v. Poulton Jivi v. Ramji Jiwan Ali Beg v. Basa Mal Jiwan Bakhsh v. Imtiaz Begam Jiwan Singh v. Sarnam Singh Joakim. In re v. Secretary of State for India Joan Mull v. Munnoololl Jodha Singh v. Omaid Singh Jodhraj v. Cantonment Magistrate of Morar	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 305. 2 Rom., 267: 2nd Ed., 253 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 207. I. L. R., 8 All., 203. I. L. R., 2 All., 93. I. L. R., 1 All., 97. 6 W. R., Mis., 120. I. L. R., 3 All., 530. II. L. R., 3 All., 530. III. Jur., N. S., 219. 2 Agra, Rev., 5. 1 N. W., 174: Ed. 1873, 253	1066 2415, \$119 5534 3678 3181 3880 2649 2818 545 3845

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jodoo Monee Dossee. In the matter of the petition of.	11 W. R., 494	3862, 5942
Jodoonath Chowdhry v. Radhomonee Dossee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 643: 7	3255, 3402
Jodoonath Dey Sircar v. Brojonath Dey Sircar Jodoo Nath Ghose v. Sookhmoye Dossee Jodoonath Mookerjee v. Punchanun Mookerjee Jodoonath Mundul v. Brojo Mohun Ghose Jodoo Nath Roy v. Ram Buksh Chulungee Jodoo Nundun Pershad Singh v. Nundo Kooer Jogal Kishor v. Tale Singh Jogal Kishor v. Mulchand Jogeen Pullee v. Nobo Pullee Jogekar v. Balajerav bin Anandrav Joge Narain Singh v. Bhugbans Jogendro Rarain Deb Roykut v. Temple Jogendro Bhuputi v. Nittyanund Man Sing Jogendro Chunder Ghose v. Nobin Chunder Chottopadhya	W. R., 256. 12 B. L. R., 385 1 W. R., 201 14 W. R., 438 1. L. R., 13 Calc., 174 8 W. R., 202 1 W. R., 219 1. L. R., 4 All., 320 7 N. W., 293 6 W. R., Cr., 49 1 Bom., 184 2 W. R., Mis., 13 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 234 1. L. R., 11 Calc., 702	2469 537 5119 222 796 1413 1227, 6082 3231 5553 178 805, 5451 4943 2321 1189 5310
Jogendro Deb Roykut v. Funindro Deb Roykut	18 W. R., 102 11 B. L. R., 244: 17 W. R., \	5534 2781
Jogendro Narain Coomar v. Surnomoyee	104: 14 Moore s 1. A., 367. 7 12 B. L. R., 203, note: 14 W. (R., 39.	811
Jogendro Narain Roy v. Hurrydoss Roy Jogendro Nath Mullick v. Bijoy Keshub Roy v. Raj Narain Palooye Jogendro Nath Sircar v. Gobind Chunder Addi Jogendronundini Dossee v. Hurrydoss Ghose	W. R., 1864, 145 19 W. R., 161 9 W. R., 489 1. L. R., 12 Calc., 252 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 500 : 5 C. L. R., 65.	5104 1909 4021 5430 5098
Jogesh Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Umatara Debya Jogesh Chunder Dutt. In the matter of	7 W. R., 272 2 C. L. R., 577 16 W. R., 285 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 30 : 1 C. L.	3074 3745 5926
v. Kali Churn Dutt	R, 5.	3877
Jogesh Prokash Gangolee. In re Jogesh Prokash Gangooly v. Kalee Coomar Roy Jogeshur Rae v. Doolun Rae Jogessur Banerjee v. Kulyanee Churn Deo Jogessur Sahai v. Muracho Koocr Jogessur Sahoy v. Gopal Lall	11 W. R., Cr., 43. 8 W. R., 274 2 N. W., 183 24 W. R., 41 1 C. L. R., 354 13 W. R., 601, 500, 6 C. L.	4503 3514, 3516 4899 351 268 434
Jogessur Singh v. Bycunt Nath Dutt	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 822: 6 C. L. R., 519.	1844
Jogeswar Chakrabatti v. Panch Kauri Chakrabatti	5 B. L. R., 395: 14 W. R., 154.	2847
Jogeswar Dutt v. Nitai Chand Chuckerbutty Jogeswar Sirkar v. Nimai Karmakar Jogi Singh v. Kunj Behari Singh Jogmaya Chowdhrain v. Huree Mohun Roy Jogul Kishore v. Chutter Singh v. Rampertab Singh v. Shib Sahai Johan Buksh v. Meher Bibee aliae Mohur Joharmal v. Municipality of Ahmednagar	4 B. L. R., Ap., 48 1 B. L. R., S. N., 7 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 509 24 W. R., 99 1 Agra, 27 4 N. W., 129 I. L. R., 5 All., 430 7 W. R., 183 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 580	3887 2038 3856 4481 5082 2883 413, 2458 224 618, 3276
Joheerooddeen Mahomed v. Dabee Pershad Singh	13 W. R., 22	525, 5750 6002
Johiroonissa Khatoon v. Ameeroonissa Khatoon .	13 W. R., 391 6 W. R., Mis., 59	1391 3536

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
John v. Carter Johnson v. Crowe v. Secretary of State	4 B. L. R., O. C., 90 6 N. W., 350	393, 1049 1110 1084, 4586
Johoory Lall v. Bullub Lall	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 102: 4 C. L. R., 349.	2692
Johur Ali v. Brindabun Chunder	14 W. R., 10	481
Johuri Mahton v. Thakoor Nath Lukee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 830: 6 C. L. R., 355.	3299
Johur Mull Khoorba v. Tarankisto Deb Johurra Bibee v. Sreegopal Misser Jointee Chunder Seiu v. Anundo Lall Doss Joitaram Bechar v. Bai Ganga Joja Pashan. In the matter of Jokee Lal v. Nursing Narain Singh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 252 I. I. R., 1 Calc., 470 14 W. R., O. C., 1 8 Bom., A. C., 228 3 C. L. R., 131 4 W. R., Act X, 5	5275, 5413 2376 1213 3189 1535 1875, 5273
Jokhan Rai v. Bucho Rai	3 Agra, 353 : Agra, F. B., Ed.)	177
Jokhee Lall v. Huns Kooer Jokhuram v. Ram Din Jokna v. Bhugwanee Jomeut Mundul v. Shooiender Nath Roy Jonab Ali Mollah v. Golam Assad Chowdhry	1874, 156. 10 W. R., 167 1. L. R., 8 All., 419 3 N. W., 320 25 W. R., 391 21 W. R., 187	484 789 671, 683 1653 4404
Jonardhun Acharjee v. Haradhun Acharjee .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1020: 9 W. R., 513.	3044
Joneep v. Noboo	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 691:7	5675
Jones v. Ledgard	W. R., 386. I. L. R., 8 All., 340	386
Jonmenjoy Coondoo v. Watson	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 901	4514 5134
Jonmenjoy Mullick v. Dassmoney Dassee	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 714: 9 C. L. ?	3925
Jonna Venkata Sawmy alias Venkatasetti v. Basireddy	R., 353.	
Kondareddy.	5 Mad., 364	3378 6014
Joobraj Chowkeedar v. Whelan	13 W. R., 399	3461
v. Gour Buksh Lall v. Tookun Singh	7 W. R., 110	220, 5409
Joogmaya Debia v. Ram Chunder Chatterjee	14 W. R., 476	45 36 299, 4 90 5
Joogulkishore v. Jotendro Mohun Tagore	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 210	273
Joogul Kishore Roy v. Ahsanoollah	4 W. R., Act X, 6	1719 57 36
Joogulkishore Shaha v. Rajkishen Surmah	3 W. R., 129	5985
Joogulkishore Singh v. Kalee Churn Singh	25 W. R., 224	4947 5120, 513 2
Joogul Lal v. Jasoda Bibee	8 W. R., 483	2854, 5222
Joomai v. Wahid Ali	W. R., 1864, Mis., 33	2234
Joomna Pershad Sookool v. Joyram Lal Mahto .	2 C. L. R., 26	2018, 3901 2684
Joesoop v. Vardon Jorawur Singh v. Khyrat Ali	1 Hyde, 198	6113
Joseph v. Solano	9 B. L. R., 441: 18 W. R.,	4071, 4414 4682
v. Sreerker	424. Bourke, O. C., 359	363
Joshi v. Joshi	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 650 .	6146 616, 61 7
Joshi Kalidas Sevakram v. Dakor Town Municipality	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 399	618
Josoda Koonwar v. Gourie Byjonath Sohae Singh Joteeram Doss v. Huruf	6 W. R., 139 . 6 W. R., Mis., 115	675, 2444 3 2 04
Jotee Roy v. Bheechuk Meah	20 W. R., 288	1170

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Jotender Mohun Tagore v. Kishen Monee Dabee Jotendro Mohun Tagore v. Aymun Beebee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 11. 1 C. L. R., 366 W. R., 1864, 50 1 W. R., 362 2 C. L. R., 419 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 357 : 9 C. L.	5360 6283 1762 1395 5333
Jotharam Davay. In the matter of Joti Bhimrao v. Balu bin Bapuji Joti bin Nimbaji v. Somaji bin Babaji Gurav . Jotindra Mohan Tagore v. Ganendra Mohan Tagore	R., 57. I. L. R., 2 Mad., 30 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 208 Bom., 166 B. L. R., 377: 18 W. R., 359: L. R., I. A., Sup.	5566, 6045 4864 4908 2534, 2559
Jotiram Maniram v. Devba Ishwarapa Jowad Ali. In the matter of the petition of v. Hossein Bibee Jowad Hossein v. Mohabee Sahee Jowadunnissa Satudai Khandan v. Jhaman Lall Misser Jowahir Lall v. Pookurum Singh Jowahir Rahoot v. Kailassoo Jowahir Shah v. Gridharee Chowdhry Jowahur Lall v. Sultan Ali	Vol., 47. I. L. R., 10 Bom., 189 8 W. R., 4 8 W. R., 207 23 W. R., 412 23 W. R., 158 6 W. R., 252 1 W. R., 74 10 W. R., Cr., 35 12 W. R., 214	5297 274 311 570 2742 1680, 4601 2300 6281 4929
Jowala Buksh v. Dharum Singh Jowher Ali v. Ram Chand Jowhurooz Zumma Khan v. Banee Madhub Nundun Joychunder Biswas v.Kali Kishore Dey Sircar Joychunder Ghose v. Ram Narain Poddar Joy Chunder Sirdar v. Ramchurn	10 Moore's I. A., 511 . 2 B. L. R., Ap., 29: 24 W. R., 419, note. 11 W. R., 226 . 8 C. L. R., 41 . 21 W. R., 43 . 6 W. R., 323 .	1567, 4472 3392, 4464 5453 5476 5425 2893
Joy Chunder Tuppadar v. Ram Churn Doss Joy Coomar v. Bundhoo Lall Joy Coomar Dutta Jha v. Esharee Nund Dutta Jha	15 W. R., 117	4140 1759 5116
Joy Deb Surmah v. Huroputty Surmah Joydeo Singh v. Harihar Pershad Singh Joydoorga Dassee v. Manick Chand Baboo Joydoorga Debia v. Gopal Chunder Bauerjee Joy Doorga Dossia v. Juggernath Roy Joy Dutt Jha v. Bayee Ram Singh	475. 16 W. R., 282 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 577 16 W. R., 248 9 W. R., 538 15 W. R., 295 7 W. R., 40	2247 5527 6079 2890 5279 5318
Joy Gobind Doss v. Goureeproshad Shaha Joygobind Roy alias Bhojraj Roy v. Bundhoo Singh Joygobind Sahoy v. Mahtab Koonwar Joygopal Mozoomdar v. Thakomonee Dabee Joykalee Dassee v. Chandmalla Joykally Dabee v. Shib Nath Chatterjee Joy Kishen Doss v. Turnbull	7 W. R., 201 17 W. R., 342 7 W. R., 1 11 W. R., 381 9 W. R., 133 Bourke, Test., 5 24 W. R., 137	4274, 4284 3980 1695 327 1357, 1366 4713 3836
Joykishen Mookerjee v. Ataoor Rohoman	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 22: 6 C. L. R., 575. 1 W. R., P. C., 26:10 Moore's 1. A., 16.	225, 51 31 51 4 1 5596
v. Doorganarain Nag v. Hurrehur Mookerjee v. Hurrybungso Burral v. Jankee Nath Mookerjee v. Jodoonath Ghose	11 W. R., 349 6 W. B., 289 9 W. R., 286 Marsh, 95: 1 Hay, 162. 17 W. R., 471 3 W. R., 1	1343 2793 2892 1197 2884, 3075 3829

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Joykishen Mookerjee v. Parbutty Churn Ghossal . v. Pearee Mohun Dutt . v. Raj Kishen Mookerjee . v. Reazoonissa Beebee . Joykishen Shaha v. Bishoka Moyee Chowdrain . Joy Kishore Chowdhrain v. Nubee Buksh .	22 W. R., 183 8 W. R., 160 5 W R., 147 12 W. R., 315 16 W. R., 101 4 W. R., 40 17 W. R., 355 17 W. R., 178	5143 4209 892, 3030 327, 3460 4283 2961 3513 5696
Joy Kishore Chowdhry v. Panchoo Baboo	4 C. L. R., 538 {	2139,2143, 2289
Joy Kishore Singh v. Thakoor Dass	3 Agra, 75	1345 2745, 4192 2707
of.	24 W. R., Cr., 40	4505 4634
v. Collector of East Burdwan v. Mudoosoodun Kulliah	8 Moore's I. A., 265 W. R., 1864, Act X, 90	540
	1 Ind., Jur., O. S., 61: 1 W. R., P. C., 13: 9 Moore's	285
Joykisto Cowar v. Nittyanund Nundy	I. A., 168. I. L. R., 3 Calc., 738: 2 C. L. R., 440.	880, 2376
Joy Kooer v. Furlong v. Suroop Narain Thakoor	W. R., 1864, Act X, 112 W. R., 1864, 259	2988 3710
Joykrista Mackerice In the matter of	1 B. L. R., A., Cr., 20: 10 W.	4103
Joy Kurn Singh v. Man Patuck Joykurun Lall v. Asmudh Kooer Joy Lall Tewaree v. Gossain Bhoobun Geer	R., Cr., 5.) 21 W. R., Cr., 41 5 W. R., 125 21 W. R., 334	1306, 2010 3335, 3336 1457
Joyloll Sheikh v. Brojonath Pal Chowdry	9 W. R., 162 {	544, 15 3 9, 6279
Joymonee Debia v. Imam Buksh Talookdar Joymonee Dossee v. Hurro Nath Roy Joymoney Dossee. In the goods of Joymocruth Kooer v. Baldee Singh Joymungul Singh v. Lall Rung Pal Sing v. Mohun Ram Marwaree v. Mohunram Marwari v. Pokharun Singh v. Saeedun	13 W. R., 471 2 W. R., Act X, 51 14 B. L. R., 184 21 W. R., 444 13 W. R., 183 15 W. R., 38 23 W. R., 429 7 W. R., 465 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 613: 6	4309 546 1237 1413, 2486 3229 380 170, 380 5628 3969
Joy Narain Bhuggut v. Kashee Chowdhry	W. R., 240.	2690
Joynarain Bose v. Collector of 24-Pergunnahs Joynarain Geeree v. Shibpershad Geeree	Marsh., 56	6044 2602, 4713
Joy Narain Giree v. Goluck Chunder Mytee	20 W. R., 444	4523 1871, 3543
v. Greesh Chunder Mytee . {	15 B. L. R., 172: 22 W. R.,	1247
Joy Narain Giri v. Girish Chunder Myti	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 434: L. R., 5 I. A., 228.	.، 2451
v. Goluck Chunder Mytee	25 W. R., 183 • • •	5459
v. Sheeb Prospad Giri	25 W. R, 355	2359 4653
Joynarain Manjee v. Muddoosoodun Gorait	2 W. R., 134 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 744: 87	5744
Joynarain Pattur v. Russick Mohun Banerjee .	W. R., 144.	284
Joynarain Roy v. Punchanund	W. R., 1864, 10	2349

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest
Joynath v. Soorjaram	•	8 W. R., Cr., 66	4359
Joynath Mundul v. Jamul Sheikh	•	6 W. R., Cr., 71	4112 2879, 4299
Joynath Roy v. Lall Bahadur Singh	ં	L. R., 146.	5727,6080
Joynub Bebee v. Ahamed Jan	•	Marsh., 31:1 Hay, 68.	5337
Joypal Roy v. Mukhoond Roy	•	17 W. R., 280	1379
Joyprokash Bhuggut v. Bhugwan Doss Joy Prokash Lall v. Sheo Golam Singh	:	Marsh., 589	2269 174, 374
Joy Prokash Narain v. Radha Kishen	•	W. R., 1864, 227	5109
Joyprokash Roy v. Oorjhan Jha		3 W. R., 174	3956
Joy Prokash Singh v. Ameer Ally	•	9 W. R., 91 }	1578, 1736,
	(19 W D 907	4560
Joyram Gossain Bhuttacharjee v. Kalee Narain Roy	•	12 W. R., 207	764,767 4771
Joyram Gossaine v. Nobin Chunder Doss	:	95 W/ P 919	2711
Joyram Lall Mahtoon v. Stewart	• •	20 W. R., 453	4401, 4413
Joyram Loot v. Pani Ram Dhoba	•	8 C. L. R., 54	3130, 3133
Joy Ram Roy v. Omrao Roy	•	12 W. R., 431	579 0
Joyram Singh v. Jugnarain Dobey	•	10 W. R., Cr., 16	4491
Joy Sunkur Roy v. Sidhi Moham	•	7 C. L. R., 373	5293 2422, 249 7
Joytara v. Italiinari Siruar	•		1448, 1749
Joytara Dassee v. Mahomed Mobaruck	•	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 975: 11 C.)	4147, 6074
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	į	L. R., 399.	6094
Joy Tara Dossee v. Mahomed Hossein	•	2 W. R., Mis., 2	5482
Joy Tara Dossee Chowdrain v. Roy Chunder Ghose	•	1 W. R., 136	322
Joyudee Paramanick. In the matter of	•	7 C. L. R., 66	345
Joyunti Dasi v. Mahomed Ally Khan Juala Prasad v. Khuman Singh	•	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 423 . I. L. R., 2 All., 617	$\begin{array}{c} 542 \\ 2716 \end{array}$
Juala Singh v. Narain Das		I. L. R., 3 All., 541	179, 388
Jubdur Kazi. In re		8 C. L. R., 390	5558
. In the matter of the petition of .	•	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 718	5558·
Jubraj Roy v. Mackenzie	•	5 C. L. R., 231	3049
Judah v. Abdool Kurreem v. Addi Raja Queen Bibi	•	22 W. R., 60	6170 4511
	•	2 Mad., 177	2538, 5207
v. Judah	•	5 B. L. R., 433	6203, 6205
v. Secretary of State for India		I. L. R., 12 Calc., 445	1330, 2674
Judal Kom Ranchhod Mulji v. Hira Mulji		4 Bom., A. C., 75	5684, 5742
Judhistir Patro v. Nobin Chandra Khela	•	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 73	3535
Judobunsee Bhugtahe v. Mukkun Kowaree .	•	1 W. R., Mis., 6	1074 1690
Judoobunsee Koer v. Asman Koer	•	14 W. R., 370	3417
Judoo Lall Mullick v. Gopaul Chunder Mookerjee	•	L. R., 13 I. A., 77	5281
Judoonath Bhuttacharjee v. Nobo Kristo Mookerjee		3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 2 .	3330
•		()	470 , 8 52
	(8 W. R., P. C., 3: 11 Moore's	2834, 4161
Judoonath Bose v. Shumsoonnissa Begum	į	I. A., 551.	4866, 5096
	`		5099, 5100 5873
Judoo Nath Dey v. Girija Bhoosun Mitter		23 W. R., 446	474
Judoonath Dutt v. Rushad Ali		19 W. R., 105	4439.
	(I. L. R., 9 Calc., 971: 12 C. 7	
Judoonath Ghose v. Schoene, Kilburn, & Co.	્	L. R., 343.	3041
Judoo Nath Mookerjee. In re	.	Bourke, O. C., 186	4450
Judoonath Mullick v. Kalee Kisto Tagore		22 W. R., 73	5224, 5788
· · · ·	•	25 W. R., 524	4127, 5224

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Judoonath Paul v. Prosunnonath Dutt Judoonath Roy. In the matter of the petition of .	9 W. R., 71 19 W. R., Cr., 1	4937 4736, 4740
v. Buroda Kant Roy	22 W. R., 220	138
v. Ram Buksh Chatterjee v. Ram Buksh Chuttangee	12 W. R., 238	858
v. Ram Buksh Chuttangee	7 W. R., 535	1927, 5618
Judoonath Sadhookhan. In the matter of	15 W. R., 40 9 W. R., 359	1240
Judoonath Sein v. Ram Coomar Chatterjee Judoonath Shaha v. Nobin Chunder Shaha	21 W. R., 289	5080 1099
Judoo Nath Shahana v. Jadub Churn Thakoor	11 W. R., 294	4236
Judoonath Sircar v. Bonomalee Mitter	2 W. R., 295	2059
v. Bussunt Coomar Roy Chowdhry	11 B. L. R., 286: 16 W. R., 105: 19 W. R., 264.	2491, 2497
Judoonath Tewaree v. Bishonath Tewaree	9 W. R., 61	1209, 2444 2454, 2466
	(2467
Judoonauth Bose v. Shumsheroonnissa Begum Judoo Nundon Lall. In the matter of the petition of .	W. R., F. B., 60	4161 4237
Judooputtee Chatterjee v. Chunder Kant Bhuttacharjee.	9 W. R., 3091 {	404, 4289 5938, 6222
Judow Mulji v. Chhagan Raichand	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 306 I. L. R., 8 All., 264	5874 2375, 4262
Jugatmoni Chowdrani v. Romjani Bibee	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 533	3664
Jugdanund Gosamee v. Kessub Nund Gosamee Jugdeep Narain Sahee v. Court of Wards	W. R., 1864, 146	2332, 2841 2736
Jugdeep Narain Singh v. Deendial	12 B. L. R., 100: 20 W. R.,	2157
Jugdel Narain Suhaye v. Lalla Ram Prokash Jugdeo Singh v. Molazim Hossein Jugdesh Chunder Biswas v. Abedollah Mundul	2 W. R., 292 13 W. R., 108 14 W. R., 68	4154 6243 4788
Jugdish Chunder Biswas v. Chowdhry Zuhoorul Huq Jugernath v. Ilahi Juggendronath Banerjee v. Rajendronath Haldar Juggernath. In the matter of the petition of	24 W. R., 317 3 N. W., 207 7 W. R., 357 7 W. R., 246	1747, 5292 3916 2486 3561
Juggernath Doss v. Brijnath Doss	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 322: 3 C. } L. R., 375.	2698, 2828 3108
Juggernath Khan v. Maclachlan	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 681 : 8 C. L. R., 225.	1054
Juggernath Khootia v. Doobo Misser	14 W. R., 80	2390
Jugger Nath Panee v. Chuttur Narain Deb	17 W. R., 410	1464
Juggernath Sahai. In the matter of	8 C. L. R., 236	1966
Juggernath Sahaie v. Mukkum Koonwar	3 W. R., 24	2140
Juggernath Sahee v. Alhad Kowur	19 W. R., 140	4197
Juggernath Sahoo v . Judoo Roy Singh $oldsymbol{\cdot}$. $ig\{$	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 329 : 4 C. L. } R., 387.	1870
v. Mahomed Hossein	15 W. R., 173	770, 1221
Juggernath Sawunt v. Odhiranee Narain Koomaree .	20 W. R., 126	2430
Juggernauth Sew Bux v. Ram Dyal Juggesh Prakash Ganguli. In the matter of the peti- §	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 791 3 B. L. R., A. C., 57	1064, 1821 4502
tion of. Inggeshur Runwayse Cobind a Chunden Siyeen	Marsh., 375: 2 Hay, 344	1201
Juggeshur Bunwaree Gobind v. Chunder Sircar Juggeshwar Dass. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 55	3863
v. Koylash Chunder Chatterjee Juggessur Buttobyal v. Roodro Narain Roy	12 W. R., 299	1600, 2251
	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 282	$\begin{array}{c} 2978 \\ 1213 \end{array}$
Juggessur Coowar v. Prossono Coomar Ghose Juggessur Dey v. Kritarthomoyee Dossee	12 B. L. R., 266: 21 W. R., 210.	291

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Juggessur Dhur v. Bhugobutty Dassee Juggessur Doyal Singh v. Bissessur Pershad Juggessur Nundee v. Chunder Gobind Singh Juggessur Roy. In the matter of Juggessur Sircar v. Nilambur Biswas Juggessur Suhaye v. Gopal Lall Juggobundhoo Bose v. Wise Juggobundhoo Coondoo v. Nil Comul Surmah Juggobundhoo Deb v. Goluck Chunder Haldar Juggobundhoo Ghose v. Chowdhry Mumtaz Hossein	14 W. R., 464 12 C. L. R., 281 9 W. R., 524 5 C. L. R., 170 3 W. R., 217 11 W. R., 260 12 W. R., 409 W. R., 1864, 190 10 W. R., 228 W. R., 1864, 205	686 4316 5090 394 2088 5272 33, 5128 5216 299 3874
Juggobundhoo Mozoomdar v. Gooroo Persad Roy. v. Gooroopersaud Roy Juggobundhoo Shaha v. Promothonath Roy	Marsh., 52: 1 Hay, 226 Marsh, 54: 1 Hay, 228. I. L. R., 4 Calc., 767	5778 2793, 4168 5183
Juggobundhu Mukerjee v. Ram Chunder Bysack.	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 584: 5 C. L. R., 548.	4465
Juggobundo Chatterjee v. Watson & Co	Bourke, A. O. C., 162 .	6222
Juggobundoo Shaw v. Grant, Smith, & Co.	2 Hyde, 129: Cor., 47 .	1576, 4586 4603
Juggodanund Misser v. Hamid Russool Juggodeshary Chowdhrain. In the matter of Juggodeshury Dossia v. Umachurn Roy	10 W. R., 52 3 C. L. R., 94 7 W. R., 237	4163 4498 1583, 5346
Juggodishari Debi. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 84: 8 C. L.	4666
Juggodish Chunder Biswas v. Turrikoollah Sircar	R., 397. 24 W. R., 90	2589
Juggodumba Dossee. In re	10 B. L. R., Ap., 22, note: 15	494
v. Puddomoney Dosseev. Tarakant Banerjee Juggo Lall Oopadhya v. Jankee Bibee Juggomohun Bukshee v. Roy Mothooranath Chow- (2829 5037 283 2001
Juggomohun Ghose v. Kaisreechund	1. A., 223. 9 Moore's I. A., 256 .	2710
v. Manick Chund	4 W. R., P. C., 8: 7 Moore's }	1342, 2705
Juggud Indur Bunwaree v. Soorj Coomar Chowdhry Juggun Lall. In the matter of Juggunnath v. Beck v. Komal Singh Juggunnath Deb Nazir v. Moheboollah	1. A., 263. Marsh., 627 7 C. L. R., 356 2 N. W., 60 3 N. W., 123	2710 4296 1840, 2010 1117, 4245 3920, 4758
Juggunnath Pershad Dutt v. Hogg	17 W. R., 164	6228 3 34, 472
Juggurnath Deb v. Mahomed Mokeem	12 W. R., 117	5047
Juggur Nath Roy Chowdbry v. Kishen Pershad Surma alias Raja Baboo.	17 W. R., 161	5753, 5812 2250
Juggurnath Roy Chowdhry v. Munorekha Dossee v. Raj Chunder Roy	2 W. R., 156 W. R., 1864, Act X, 120	4603 3180
Juggurnath Sahoo v. Mahomed Hossein	14 B. L. R., 386 : L. R., 2 I. A., 49 : 23 W. R., 99.	2942, 338 6 3441
Juggurnath Singh v. Afzul Khan v. Ahmedoollah Juggur Nauth Shaw v. Issur Chunder Roy Juggut Chunder v. Banee Madhub Banerjee Juggut Chunder Bhadooree v. Shib Chunder Bhadooree Juggut Chunder Bhadoory v. Shib Chunder Bhadoory	17 W. R., 130 8 W. R., 132 Bourke, O. C., 146 23 W. R., 205 16 W. R., 269 22 W. R., 255	5119 1923 425 6006 808 3334
Juggut Chunder Chowdhry v. Bhugwan Chunder Fut-	Marsh., 27: 1 Hay, 57: 1 } Ind. Jur., O. S., 67.	4142

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Juggut Chunder Chowdhry v. Bhurut Chunder Chow-	23 W. R., 237	1986
Juggut Chunder Chuckerbutty. In the matter of Juggut Chunder Dutt v. Panioty	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 110 6 W. R., Act X, 48	5166 3016
v. Radanath Dhur	8 W. R., 427 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 669	1595, 4168 4330
Juggut Chunder Mozumdar v. Kasi Chunder Mozum- {	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 440: 7 C. L. R., 330.	1969, 5521 5524
Juggut Chunder Roy v. Chunder Monee Shaha . v. Mohima Chunder Paul .	17 W. R., 277 11 W. R., 331	681 1427
• v. Ramnarain Bhuttacharjee • v. Roop Chand Shaw .	1 W. R., 126 I. L. R, 6 Calc., 811	5177 1205
Juggut Indur Bunwaree v. Bhubo Tarinee Dassee Juggutjeebun Gooptoo v. Haro Coomar Pal Juggut Lall Chowdhry v. Tasudduck Ali	14 W. R., 19 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 719 25 W. R., 548	300 229 1359
Juggut Misser v. Báboo Lall	5 W. R., Cr., 50	1674, 498 7 5089
Juggutmoheenee Dossee v. Sokheemonee Dossee . {	10 B. L. R., 19:17 W. R., 41:14 Moore's I. A., 289.	4214
Joggut Mohinee Bibee v. Ram Chund Ghose Juggut Mohinee Dossee v. Dwarka Nath Bysack.	9 W. R., 100 I. L. R., 8 Calc, 582	3523 3056
v. Madhub Chunder Kur. v. Monohur Koonwar	15 W. R., 66 25 W. R., 278	841 3309
Juggut Narain v. Toolsee Ram	10 W. R., 99: 1 B. L. R., A. C., 71.	437, 442
Juggut Narain Singh v. Collector of Manbhoom Jugjeebun Goopta v. Hurro Kumar Pal	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 413, note 6 C. L. R., 135	2290 229
Jugjeebun Goopto v. Golock Monee Debia Jugjeewun Das Keeka Shah v. Ramdas Brijbookun { Das.	22 W. R., 354 6 W. R., P. C., 10: 2 Moore's 1. A., 487.	192 7 3913
Jugmohun Doss v. Poorno Chunder Roy Jugmohun Lall v. Buddun Koer	3 W. R., Act X, 133 9 W. R., 243	1610 401, 69 7
Jug Mohun Mahto v. Luchmeshur Singh Jugmohun Tewaree v. Buldeo Naik	I L. R., 10 Calc., 748 . 3 Agra, 162	3136 4399, 49 49
Jugmohun Tewari v. Finch	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 62: 11 C.) L. R., 100.	5294
Jugo Bundhoo Bose v. Collector of Dacca v. Koomoodinee Kant Banerjee	W. R., 1864, 273 19 W. R., 89	510 7 46
Chowdhry. Jugobundhoo Dutt v. Maseyk	W. R., 1864, 81	3870
Jugobundhoo Haldar v. Sree Narain Mitter Jugobundhoo Mookerjee v. Luckheessuree Debia	20 W. R., 188 W. R., 1864, 388	5780 1822
Jugobundhoo Sein v. Bhugwan Chunder Doss	17 W. R., 15	448 210
Jugobundhoo Shah Poramanick v. Official Assignee Jugobundhoo Tewaree v. Kurum Singh	21 W. R., 194	1082, 2279
Jugobundoo Roy v. Fyez Buksh Chowdhry Jugodumba Chowdhrain v. Ram Chunder Deo	8 W. R., 166 6 W. R., 327	1453 4173
Jugodumba Debia v. Rohinee Debia	3 W. R., 522	2343
Jugodumba Koer v. Mircha Koer Jugojeebun Lall Dhubal Deb v. Kartick Chunder Bon-	17 W. R., 269 25 W. R., 1	84, 86, 92 4650
dopadhya. Jugomohan Haldar v. Sarodamoyee Dossee	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 149 .	2468
Jugomohur Bukshee v. Soorendronath Roy Chowdhry Jugo Mohun Sahoo v. Monee Lall Chowdhry	13 W. R., 106 11 W. R., 542	* 5 624 2 752
Jugo Mohun Singh v. Dabee Pershad	W. R., 1864, Act X, 47	12
Jugo Moyee Chowdhrain v. Government Jugoo Lall Oopadhya v. Manoohur Lall Oopadhya	3 W. R., Mis., 17 19 W. R., 43	$\begin{array}{c} 219 \\ 2456 \end{array}$

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jugoolal Upadhya v. Ekbaloonissa Jugroopa Chowdhrain v. Bunwaree Tewaree	7 W. R., 456 20 W. R., 351	3241 2027
Jugtanund Misser v. Nerghan Singh	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 433: 7 C. L	1821
Jugul Kishor Banerjee v. Abhaya Charan Sarma .	R., 347. 1 B. L. R., A. C., 84	5336
Jugul Kishore v. Jotendro Mohun Tagore	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 985: L.?	2522
Jugun Nath v. Ghaseeram	R., 11 I. A., 66. 1 N. W., 32: Ed. 1873, 30	448
Jugunnath Sahee Deo v. Burra Lall Opendronath Sahee	5 W. R., Mis., 54	3561
Jugurnath v. Balgobind	1 N. W., 105 : Ed. 1873, 154 ·	5243
Jugurnath Singh v. Ahmedoollah Jugutbundhoo Chuckerbutty v. Jugut Chunder Chow-	8 W. R., 132	3840
dhry.	12 W. R., 519	5288
Jugut Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Eshan Chunder Bannerjee.	24 W. R., 220	5198
Jugutendur Bunwaree v. Din Dyal Chatterjee	1 W. R., 310	1674, 3195
Jugut Mohini Dassee v. Madhu Sudhan Dutt .	10 C. L. R., 4	17,726 5508
Jugut Shobhun Chunder v. Binaud Chunder	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 925 .	2857, 2915
Jugut Shobhun Chunder alias Doolal Chunder Dehingur Gossamy v. Binaud Chunder alias Soda Shobhun Dehingur Gossamy.	I. L. R., 9 Cale., 925 .	2857, 2915
Juhooree Lall Sahoo v. Dear	23 W. R., 399	3061
Juhoorun v. Girdharee Ram	3 W. R., Cr., 70	957 1 451, 1459
Julebia Chitta Koer v. Bhagee Koer	6 N. W., 41	1479
Julfikar Husain v. Munna Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 148 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 725: 12 C.	3139
Jullessur Kooer v. Uggur Roy	L. R., 460.	2309, 2495
Jullow Surma Patwaree v. Madhub Ram Atoi Boorha Bhukut.	16 W. R., 202	524, 5632
Jumal Ali v. Wahed Ali	11 W. R., 97 16 W. R., 185	5931 2994
Jumeela v. Mulleeka	W. R., 1864, 252: 5 W. R.,	3648, 3654
Jumeelun v. Wallee Ahmed	23: 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 26. 10 W. R., 31	333 0
Jummal Ali v. Tirbhu Lall Doss	12 W. R., 41	2234, 5271
Jummalapudi Kalidas v. Attaluri Subhamma	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 29	$\begin{array}{c} 5411 \\ 2276 \end{array}$
Jummeeuttunnissa v. Noor Mahomed	W. R., 1864, Act X, 77	5176
Jumna Dass v. Eckford	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 1	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{4513} \\ \textbf{591} \end{array}$
Jumna Doss v. Gawsee Meah	1 Agra, 182	4929
Jumna Pershad v. Bheem Sein	1 Agra, Mis., 8	3 33 1
v. Bhowanee	2 Agra, Rev., 1	1656
Jumna Singh v. Kamar-un-nisa Jumoona Dassee Chowdhrance v. Bama Soonderee	I. L. R., 3 All., 152 . 2 W. R., 149	186, 506 5 4883
Dassee Chowdhranee.	I. L. R., 1 Calc 289: 25 W.	1249, 1694
Jumoona Dassya v. Bamasoondari Dassya	R., 235 : L. R., 3 I. A., 72.	2116, 2437
Jumoona Doss v. Pookhur Singh	22 W. R., 133	4040
Jumoona Persad Singh v. Dig Narain Singh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1:13 C. L. R., 74.	24 00
Jumoona Pershad v. Zaibunnissa	5 C. L. R., 268	2819
Jumulla v. Mulka	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 26: 5 W. R., 23.	36 4 8, 3 654

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jumunooddeen Ahmed v. Hossein Ali Junardun Doss Mitter v. Rooknee Bullub Junaruddeen Misser v. Nobin Chunder Perdhan Junessur Doss v. Lalla Ramdhunee Lall	2 W. R., Mis., 49 . 6 W. R., Mis., 48 . Marsh., 232: 1 Hay, 534 17 W. R., 263	3731 3493, 3514 2283 3080
Juneswar Dass v. Mahabeer Singh	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 163: 25 W. R., 84: L. R., 3 I. A., 1.	3379
Jung Bahadoor v. Jugdeo Roy Jungee Lall v. Sham Lall Misser Jungee Lall Mahajun v. Brijo Beharee Singh Junglee Ram. In the matter of the petition of .	25 W. R., 247	581 2076, 5438 210
v. Ram Heet Sahoy Jungoo Lall v. Lala Alum Chand Junjla Venkatarayadu v. Junjla Kamammah .	19 W. R., 47	173, 376 3163 6075
Junmajoy Mojoomdar v. Keshub Lall Ghose .	10 W. R., 484	2476
Junmajoy Mullick v. Dwarkanath Mytee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 287 : 4 C. L. R., 574.	1844
Junmejoy Mookerjee. In the matter of Junmejoy Mullick v. Gunga Ram Dutt Jurbundhun Singh v. Sheoraj Singh	14 W. R., 215	403 510 403, 2861
Jusab Haji Jafar v. Gul Muhammad	12 Bom., 175	4792
Jusagheri Gosamier v. Collector of Tanjore. Juseemooddeen Biswas v. Huro Soonduree Dossee	5 Mad., 334	109 3 902
Jushoda Koonwur v. Gowree Byjnath Pershad .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 365: 6 W.?	182, 664
Jusoda Koer v. Lalla Nettya Lall Jussoda Dossee v. Matunginee Dossee Jussoondah v. Ajodhia Pershad	R., Mis , 53. I. L. R., 5 Calc., 43	4436 2275 5359 2359
Justices of the Peace for Bombay v. Great Indian Pen-	9 Bom., 217	4050
insula Railway Company. Justices of the Peace for Calcutta v. Hera Lall Seal	Bourke, O. C., 412	648
v. Maharanee of Burd	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 102	648
Juswant Singh v. Doolee Chund	8 B. L. R., 433: 17 W. R.,	4518, 5296
Juswant Singh. Iu re	25 W. R., 255	2151 5168, 55 42
	W. R., Cr., 18. I. L. R., 9 Calc., 508: 12 C.	
Jutadhari Lal v. Rughobeer Persad .	L. R., 255.	2379
Juttadharee Singh v. Wuzeer Singh Jutto Moar v. Basmuttee Kooer Juveerbhaee v. Vurujbhaee	12 W. R., 357	3513 5186 4645
Jwala Nath v. Kullu	3 Agra, 55: Agra, F. B., Ed.	1414, 2482
Jwalapershad v. Kedar Nath . Jykishoon Sookul v. Shunkur Sookul Jyram Gir v. Krishan Kishore Chund	1874, 138. 3 Agra, 175 3 Agra, 168 3 Agra, 307	3333 2522, 5375 3962, 4009
Kabeel Saha v. Radha Kissen Mullick Kabil Nusyo v. Baharullah Kabir valad Ramjan v. Mahadu valad Shiwaji Kaboolun v. Shumsheir Ali	16 W. R., 146 . 17 W. R., Cr., 37 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 360 11 W. R., 16 .	2995 5154 1195 4814
Kabul Krishna Doss v. Mohessuree Debia . Kachar Bhoj Vaija v. Bai Rathore .	16 W. R., 270 . I. L. R., 7 Bom., 289	3405 4044

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kachekalyana Rungappa Kalakka Tola Udiar Kachivigajaya Rungappa Kalakka Tola Udiar.	2 B. L. R., P. C., 72: 11 W. R., P. C., 33: 12 Moore's I. A., 495.	2749, 2773
Kachu Bayaji v. Kachoba Vithoba Kachubhai Beir Gulabchand v. Krishnabai Kachur Subraya v. Bengal Santappaiya Kadambinee Dossee v. Doorga Churn Dutt Kadar v. Ismail Kadarbacha Sahib v. Rangasvami Nayak	10 Bom., 491 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 635 7 Mad., 167 Marsh., 4: 1 Hay, 25 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 119 1 Mad., 150	6127, 6142 316, 1774 2043 5752 4839 402, 2211
·		3124
Kadaressur Mookerjea v. Gooroo Churn Mookerjea Kadari bin Ranu v. Atmarambhat Kadarsa Rautan v. Raviah Bibi Kader Buksh Biswas v. Ram Nag Chowdhry Kader Nath Dutt v. Okhoy Coomar Bhuttacharjee Kadir Ali Khan v. Nowsha Begum Kadir Buksh v. Ilahi Buksh	2 C. L. R., 388 3 Bom., A. C., 11. 2 Mad., 108 7 W. R., 448 9 W. R., 202 2 Agra, 154 I. L. R., 2 All., 283	5671 2498 3378, 4753 1360 477 3731 1880
v. Wise	Marsh., 165: 1 Ind. Jur., O.	6083
Kadir Buksh Khan v. Fusseeh-oon-nissa Kadir Bux v. Ram Tahul Bhagut	S, 103: 1 Hay, 370. 5 Moore's I. A., 413 3 N. W., 125 6 W. R., Act X, 47 1 W. R., 277 10 W. R., 7 I. L. R., 2 Calc., 431 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 19: 9 C. L.	4500 4535 3025 2843 554 3088 433, 4237
Kadumbinee Dossia v. Kasheenauth Biswas	R., 25. 13 W. R., 338	2985
v. Unnopoorna Daye	14 W. R., 289	4138, 4430
Kadumbini Dabya v. Koylash Chunder Pal Chowdhry	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 554: 8 C. L. R., 19.	318, 575
Kaem Khan v. Saheba Jan Kafaetoollah v. Ariza Bibee Kafaetoollah Khan v. Futteh Ali Kahanarama v. Ranga	7 W R., 362	4206 4480 532 5704
Kahandas Narandas v. Dahiabhai	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 182 .	590, 3185 4260
Kahandas Narrandas. In re	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 154 . 3 N. W., 4	6063 2200, 4155 907 5298 3547 1505, 1590 3066
Kailas Chandra Sannel v. Dowlat Sheikh	5_B. L. R., Ap., 57: 14 W.	5706
Kailas Chandra Sirkar v. Durgadas Tarafdar Kailasnath Ghose v. Biswanath Biswas	R., 42. 3 B. L. R., A. C., 284, note 4 B. L. R., O. C., 41 1 B. L. R., F. B., 23: 10 W. R., F. B., 32.	1590 48, 2190 5622
Kaipreta Ramen v. Makkhayil Mutoren Kaisho v. Jumna Kaisrur v. Put Ram Kaji Ahmed v. Kaji Mahamad	1 Mad., 359 1 Agra, 140 2 Agra, Pt. II, 212 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 308	3758 2490 · • 2916 6234
Kakaji bin Ranoji v. Bapuji bin Madhavrav .	8 Bom., A. C., 205	3814, 415 2 4881
Kakaji Sakharam v. Govind Ganesh	4 Bom., A. C., 96	5742

Name of Caso.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kakarlapudi Sitaramaraja v. Uppalapadi Janakayya .	1 Mad., 5	2499
Kakarlapudi Suriyanarayana Razu v. Chellamkuri	J	5092
Kakerlapoody Jagganadha Raz v. Vutsavoy Jagga- nadha Jagaputty Raz.	5 W. R., P. C., 117: 2 Moore's I. A., 1.	3901
Kala Bharub Chandra Karpur v. Lalit Mohun Singh .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 185	520
Kala Bibee v. Collector of Chittagong	23 W. R., 362	495
Kalachand v. Gudadhur Biswas	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 304	960
Kalachand Ghose v. Shoolochuna Dossia	22 W. R., 33	3562, 3565
Kalachand Mundul v. Gopal Chunder Bhuttacharjee	12 W. R., 163	4808
Kala Chand Sen v. Anund Kristo Bose Kalachand Sirkar v. Queen-Empress	22 W. R., 433	341 6268
Kala Chara Tea Co. v. Sukul Singh	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 53	496
Kaladdin Guru Bakus v. Raghooji	1 1 10 40	340
	23 W. R., 417	1354
Kalai Khan v. Madho Pershad	3 N. W., 129	3198, 4135
Kalai Haldar v. Kyamuddi Kalai Khan v. Madho Pershad Kalam Sheikh v. Panchu Mandal	2 B. L. R., A. C., 252	2971
Kalandan v. Kunhunni	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 80	1784
V. Pakrichi	1. L. R., 9 Mad., 378	1898
Kalee Beparee. In re	1 C. L. R., 521 25 W. R., 95	5289
Kalee Chunder Aich v. Ramgutty Kur Kalee Chunder Chowdhry v. Ruttun Gopal Bhadooree .	OW DW: 1	2999
v. Sheeb Chunder	2 W. R., Mis., 1	3183 2132
v. Surut Soonduree Debia	18 W. R., 45	6247
Kalee Chunder Paul v. Thakur Das Biswas	12 W. R., O. C., 7	429
Kalee Chunder Sein v. Adoo Shaikh	9 W. R., 602	4458, 5811
Kalee Chunder Surma v. Gobind Pershad Surma .		684
Kalee Churn Banerjee. In the matter of	18 W. R., 295	3880
v. Mahomed Hashem		1876
Kalee Churn Bawanee v. Bengal Coal Company Kalee Churn Dutt v. Modhoo Soodun Ghose	21 W. R., 405	3794
v. Shoshee Dossee	1 W. R., 248	760 1618
Kalee Churn Giree Gossain v. Lalla Muddun Kishore .	7 W. R., 317	6122
Kalee Churn Pattur v. Chundee Churn Mundul	9 W. R., 366	5764
Kalee Churn Sahoo v. Ram Lall Sahoo	21 W. R., 300	4327
Kalee Churn Singh v. Ameerooddeen	9 W. R., 579	5175
		1901
v. Solano · · · ·	8 W. R., 200	1180
Kalee Coomar Chatterjee v. Kristo Kishore Poddar	24 W. R., 267	1179 340
<u>-</u>	(11 B. L. R., 256: 19 W. R.,)	
v. Siddessur Mundul .	335.	4067
Kalee Coomar Chowdhry v. Ramdass Shaha	W. R., 1864, 153	2485
Kalee Coomar Doss v. Anees	3 W. R., Act X, 1	4589
Kalee Coomar Dutt Roy v. Pran Kishoree Chowdhrain .	18 W. R., 29	5063
Kalee Coomar Nag v. Kashee Chunder Nag	6 W. R., 180	3399
Kalee Dabee v. Mudoo Soodun Chowdhry	16 W. R., 171	1490 4562
Kalee Dass Chuckerbutty & Feber Chunder Chatter		
Kalee Dass Chuckerbutty v. Eshan Chunder Chatter-	13 W. R., 416	6237
Kaleedass Ghosal v. Muddooscodun Roy	10 W. R., 465	5081
Kaleedass Ghose. In the matter of	15 W. R., 356	3496
v. Lal Mohun Ghose	16 W. R., 306	3911
v. Puran Koomaree Bibee	16 W. R., 304 · ·	2701
Kaleedass Mitter v. Debnarain Deb	13 W. R., 412	1756, 3818
	W. R., 1864, 279	3557 5415
Kaleedass Neogec v. Hur Nath Roy Chowdhry	*** ±00, ±00±, #/€0 • • •	5415

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Kalee Debee v. Modhoo Soodun Chowdhry	16 W. R., 171	1473, 1867
Kaleedhun Banerjee v. Romesh Chunder Dutt	3 W. R., 172	3838 1594
•	(1755, 3557
Kaleedoss Acharjee v. Khettro Pal Singh Roy	17 W. R., 472 }	5752
Kalee Doss Banerjee v. Nubeen Chunder Chatterjee * .	24 W. R., 91	2983
Kalee Doss Chatterjee v. Beharee Loll Mookcrjee .	2 W. R., 305	3157
Kalee Doss Ghose v. Lall Mohun Ghose	19 W. R., 307	1884
Kalee Doss Mittra v. Tarachand Roy	8 W. R., 316	1684 1467
Kalee Doss Mojoomdar v. Meheroonissa Khatoon Kaleedoss Mookerjee v. Mothooranath Banerjee	8 W. R., 482	470, 5369
Kaleedoss Neogy v. Huronath Roy Chowdhry	3 W. R., 4	4957
Kalee Doss Nundee v. Ramguttee Dutt	6 W. R., Act X, 10	3799
Kalee Doss Roy. In the matter of	18 W. R., 466	905
v. Khiroda Soonduree Debia	16 W. R., 300	318, 2266
Kaleedoss Sandyal v. Roy Luchmeeput Doogur	14 W. R., 145	5928
Kalee Haldar v. Sreeram Ghose	W. R., 1864, 366	3849
Kalee Hur Doss v. Roodressur Chuckerbutty Kalee Kant Chowdhry v. Bhoobunnessuree Chowdhrain	15 W. R., 90	5927 1626
v. Romonee Kant Bhuttachar-	,	
jee.	3 W. R., 217	5315
Kalee Kant Mojoomdar v. Watson	2 W. R., Act X. 75	1728
Kaleekanund Bhuttacharjee v. Gircebala Debia	10 W. R., 322	4438
Kaleeka Pershad Bhuttacharjee v. Dhukhina Kalee?	W. R., 1864, Mis., 34	95
Dabee.		1
Kalee Khan v. Jadec	5 N. W., 62	3692
Kalee Kinkur Bachusputty v. Kristo Mungul Bhutta-	11 W. R., 462	211
Kalee Kinkur Roy v. Gobind Chunder Gooho	7 W. R., 50	5352
Kalee Kishen Biswas v. Jankee	8 W. R., 250	1593, 5179
Kalee Kishen Paul Chowdhry v. Jugut Tara	9 W. R., 334	3322
Kaleekishen Roy v. Brojendro Coomar Roy Chowdhry.	24 W. R., 266	4192
Kalee Kishore Bose v. Prosono Chunder Roy	10 W. R., 248	3493, 3495
Kalee Kishore Chatterjee v. Luckhee Debia Chowdhrain	, (3508 3223
v. Ram Churn Shah	0 W D 944	5193
v. Tarapershad Roy	4 W. R., 1	4005
Kalee Kishore Paul v. Monee Ram Singh	5 W. R., Act X, 46	3152
Kalee Kishore Roy v. Deen Dyal Scin	4 W. R., 83	5283
Kalee Kishore Sen v. Wise.	17 W. R., 477	5923
Kalee Koomar Chuckerbutty v. Huro Chunder Chucker-	17 W. R., 177	5613
butty. Vales Koomer Dutt a Pron Kishouse Chardbrain	-	
Kalee Koomar Dutt v. Pran Kishoree Chowdhrain Kalee Koomary Dossee v. Shumbhoo Chunder Ghose	22 W. R., 168 6 W. R., Act X, 23	4002 1633
Kalee Krishna Deb v. Shashonee Dassee	25 W. R., 42	5177
Kalee Kristo Roy Chowdhry v. Haran Chunder Dey .	19 W. B., 277	3333, 3342
Kalee Kristo Thakoor v. Mahomed Kadar	12 W. R., 428	756
Kalee Mohun Deb Roy v. Dhununjoy Shaha	6 W. R., 51	2196
Kalee Mohun Doss. In re	17 W. R., 70	5118
Kalee Mohun Paul v. Bholanath Chakladar	7 W. R., 138	2019
Kalee Mohun Sen v. Ram Soonder Sen Kalee Mohun Sircar v. Humaun Kader Mahomed Ali	24 W. R., 243	4309 4604 4
v. Humayoon Kader Mahomed)		
Ali Mirza Bahadoor alias Ameer Saheb.	24 W. R., 311	5940
Kalee Monee Debia v. Collector of Mymensingh	5 W. R., 55	43
Kalee Narain Bose v. Anund Moyee Goopta	21 W. R., 79	2845, 4174
		5983

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kalee Narain Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Coomar Chand . Kalee Narain Singh v. Protap Chunder Burooah . Kalee Narain Singh Burooa v. Chunder Narain Bukshee	W. R., 1864, 99	1946 4955 1484
Kalee Nath v. Joy Doorga Dossee Kalee Nath Chuckerbutty v. Reily	11 W. R., 173	4179 3802
Kaleenath Doss v. Rajah Meah	22 W. R., 406 8 W. R., 12	3829 666
Kalee Nath Ghosal v. Chundee Churn Sircar Kaleenath Kur v. Doyal Kristo Deb	10 W. R., 51	554 2021
Kalee Nath Roy v. Nila Ram Puramanick Kalee Persaud Chowdhry v. Ram Soondur Sircar	7 W. R., 32	5673 5930
Kalee Pershad v. Lutafut Hossein	12 W. R., 418 {	1159, 1182 4931
Kalee Pershad Moitra v. Itcha Moyee Kalee Pershad Shaha v. Ram Pershad Shaha	24 W. R., 337	1567 2854
Kaleepershad Singh v. Jankee Deo Narain	7 W. R., 9	3132 85
Kalee Pershad Tewaree v. Lalla Binda Lall Kalee Prosad v. Digambur Chatterjee	12 Moore's I. A., 343 25 W. R., 72	4646 759, 3448
Kalee Prosunno Ghose v. Hurish Chunder Dutt Kalee Prosunno Mookerjee v. Koylash Monee Debia	15 W. R., 57 8 W. R., 126	1633 . 3263
Kalee Puddo Ghose v. Monohur Mookerjee Kalee Soondur Roy v. Dwarkanath Mojoomdar	7 W. R., 295	5354 1993
Kalee Sunkur Bhadooree v. Eshan Chunder Bhadooree . Kalee Sunkur Sannyal v. Denendronath Sannyal	17 W. R., 529	2468 2079
Kaleetara Debia v. Nittianund Shaha.	12 W. R., 90	1769, 1771 5905
Kalee Tara Dossia v. Nobin Chunder Kur Kaleshar Pershad v. Jagan Nath	21 W. R., 84 I. L. R., 1 All., 650	4668, 6196 4868 2677
Kalian Bibi v. Safdar Husain Khan	I. L. R., 8 All., 265 I. L. R., 6 All., 47	1343 1133, 4052
v. Ganga Sahai	I. L. R., 5 All, 500 I. L. R., 1 All., 620	231, 4053 4426, 5681
Kalian Dayal v. Kalian Narer	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 259	5735 4856
Kalian Mal v. Bhagwati	7 N. W., 119	5739 315, 403 7
	I. L. R., 4 All., 163 I. L. R., 6 All., 211	239, 622 7 2294
v. Fankuar v. Sanwal Singh Kalica Prosad Misser v. Gobind Chunder Sein	7 N. W., 338	1419 2710
Kali Chandra Chowdhry v. Ratan Gopal Bhadhuri .	4 B. L. R., Ap., 62, note 6 B. L. R., 501: 15 W. R.,	1627 1503, 2132
Kali Chandra Singh v. Rajkishore Bhuddro	P. C., 12. I. L. R., 11 Calc., 615 .	4652 1190
Kali Charan v. Sriram	2 B. L. R., A. C., 192: 11 W.	4615
Kali Charan Chund. In re	B. L. R., Ap., 18: 18 W. R., Cr., 27.	3881
Kali Charan Gir Gossain v. Bangshi Mohan Das	6 B. L. R., 727: 15 W. R., 163.	2250, 5932
Kali Charan Rai v. Ajudhia Rai Kali Churn Chunari. In the matter of	I. L. R., 2 All., 148	6081 6266
In the matter of the petition of . Kali Churn Das v. Nobo Kristo Pal .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 154 9 C. L. R., 272	6266 5837, 5851
Kali Churn Dutt v. Jogesh Chunder Dutt Kali Churn Giri v. Golabi	2 C. L. R., 354 2 C. L. R., 128	2702, 3355 112, 1573

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kali Churn Lahiri v. Shoshee Bhoosun Sanyal Kali Churn Mitter v. Mahomed Soleem Kali Churn Mookerjee. In the matter of Kali Coomar Chowdhry v. Ram Doss Shaha Kalicoomar Sircar v. Bromomoyee Dossee Kalidas v. Municipality of Dhandhuka v. Vallabhdas Kalidas Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of the petition of Kalidas Damodhar. Ex parte Kalidas Das v. Krishna Chandra Das Kalidas Kevaldas v. Nathu Bhagvan Kalidas Mullick v. Kanhaya Lal Pundit Kali Dass Ghose v. Chandra Mohini Dasi	23 W. R. Cr., 17 . 6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 33 . 11 C. L. R., 232 . W. R., 1864, 153 . 1 W. R., 23 . I. L. R., 9 Bom., 686 . I. L. R., 6 Bom., 79 . 5 B. L. R., Ap., 82, note 12 W. R., Cr., 40 . 3 Bom., A. C., 175 . 2 B. L. R., F. B., 103 : 11 \ W. R., O. C., 11 . I. L. R., 7 Bom., 221 . I. L. R., 11 Calc., 121 : L. R., 11 I. A., 218 8 W. R., 68 .	957 3237 4624 4156 338 616 5699 4107, 4095 966, 4095 3130, 3131 2333, 2334 3227, 4277 2043, 2262 2270 5073, 5345
Kalidhun Chuttapadhya v. Shiba Nath Chuttapadhya	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 483: 11 C. }	4877
Kali Kamal Mazumdar v. Shib Suhai Sukul	L. R., 57. 3 B. L. R., Ap., 47: 11 W. R., 452.	533
Kalikant Roy Chowdry. In re	3 B. L. R., Ap., 155: 12 W. } R., Cr., 54.	4738
Kalika Sahoy v. Gouree Sunkur	12 W. R., 287	2392 1426, 3034
v. Jodoo Lal Mullick	L. R., 6 I. A., 190: 5 C. L. R., 97.	5286
Kalikishore Roy v. Dhununjoy Roy	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 228 . ;	3369, 4520
Kali Komul Mozumdar v. Uma Sunkur Moitro .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 232: 13 C. L. R., 379: L. R., 10 1.	2141, 2289
Kali Koomar Chatterjee v. Tara Prosono Mookerjee	A., 138. 5 C. L. R., 517	674
Kalikrishna Chandra v. Harihar Chuckerbutty .	1 B. L. R., A. C., 155: 10 W. R., 160.	163, 291
Kalikrishna Paul Chowdhry v. Jagattara	2 B. L. R., A. C., 139: 11 } W. R., 76.	3322
Kali Krishna Tagore v. Fuzle Ali Chowdhry .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 843: 12 C. L. R., 592.	3 03 1
v. Golam Ally	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 248	3034
Kalikristo Paul v. Ramchunder Nag	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 147: 9 C. L. R., 461.	3091
Kali Kristo Tagore v. Judoo Lall Mullick	24 W. R., 20	4895, 4913
Kali Kristo Thakur v. Golam Ali Chowdhry .	I. L. R, 7 Calc., 46: 8 C. L. R., 245.	4489, 4499
Kalikumar Chowdhry v. Ramdas Shaha	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 48: W. (R., F. B., 165.	2207
Kali Kumar Mitter v. Ramgati Bhuttacharjee .	6 B. L. R., Ap., 99: 16 W. R., 84, note.	5650
Kali Kumar Roy v. Nobin Chunder Chuckerbutty	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 585: 7 C. L. R., 562.	3881
Kalimohan Chatterjee v. Kali Krishna Roy Chowdhry	2 B. L. R., Ap., 39: 11 W. R., 183.	1590, 5788
Kali Mohun Chuckerbutty v. Anandamoni Dabee. Kali Mundul v. Kader Nath Chuckerbutty . Kali Narain Roy Chowdhry v. Abdool Guffoor Khan	9 C. L. R., 18 6 C. L. R., 215 22 W. R., Cr., 24	3254 4984 4102, 5946
Kalinath Banerjee v. Mahomed Hossein	6 B. L. R., 528, note: 13 W. R., 469.	1179
Kalinath Chowdry v. Humi Bibi	7 B. L. R., Ap., 47, note: 12 } W. R., 506.	1626

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kalindri Dabia v. Komolokanto Surma Kaliparahad v. Ram Charan Kali Pershad Sirdar v. Futteh Chund Dass Kalipershad Surma v. Bhoirabee Dabee Kalipershad Tewaree v. Perhlad Sein .	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 439, note I. L. R., 1 All., 159 9 W. R., Cr., 16 2 W. R., 180 12 Moore's I. A., 282 6 P. L. P. 261, page 13 W.	2857 2459 4728 2809 1030, 6117
Kali Prasad Mazumdar v. Collector of Mymensi	6 B. L. R., 261, note: 13 W. 7 R., 366.	4 5, 6 4
Kaliprasad Sing v. Jainarayan Roy	3 B. L. R., A. C., 23:11 W. R., 361.	1466, 4282
Kaliprasad Tewari v. Prahlad Sen	2 B. L. R., P. C., 111: 12 W. R., P. C., 6: 12 Moore's I. A., 282.	1030, 6117
Kali Prasanna Rai v. Dhananjai Ghose .	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 625 .	3
Kali Prasanna Roy v. Ambica Charan Bose .	9 B. L. R., 261 : 18 W. R., 416.	4618
Kaliprosad Banerji v. Gisborne and Co	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 61: 13 C. L. R., 156.	1233
Kaliprosad Rai v. Meher Chandra Roy .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 222 : 2 C. L.	2832
Kali Prosonno Ghose. In the matter of .	R., 478. 5 7 C. L. R., 575	4363
· v. Kamini Soonduri Chowdhrain 👔	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 475 : 3 C. L. R., 184.	4000
Kaliprosunno Bagchee. In the matter of the petition of .	23 W. R., Cr., 39	1313
Kali Prosumo Roy. In the matter of the petition of	23 W. R., Cr., 58 22 W. R., 214	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{4509} \\ \textbf{412} \end{array}$
Kali Pudo Banerjee v. Choitun Pandah Kali Shunker Dass v. Gopal Chunder Dutt	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 104	3178
Kalishunkur Doss v	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 49 : 6 C. L. R., 543.	5 09 3
Kaliyugam Chetti v. Chokalinga Pillai .	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 105 .	1998
Kalka Parshad v. Kanhaya Singh Kalkapershad v. Budree Sah	7 N. W., 99 3 N. W., 267	5070 2334, 2456
Kalka Prasad v. Ram Din	I. L. R., 5 All., 272 .	5625
Kallachand Paul v. Dheeraj Mahatab Chand	18 W. R., 190	3509 4025
Kallacolathuran v. Subbaroya Reddi	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 73 .	4835 1468, 1470
Kallapa bin Girmallappa v. Venkatesh Vinayak	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 676 .	4959
Kallaram Dhur v. Joogul Kishore Surmah	23 W. R., 290	5280
Kallati Kunju Menon v. Palat Erracha Menon Kallavetti Kuriyil Kumholen Kutty v. Nilambur	2 Mad., 162	3756
Thackarakavil Mana Vikaramen alias Thirumulpad.	8 Mad., 17 · · ·	1429
Kallee Churn Khettry. In re	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 18 .	25 6 8
Kallee Coomar Roy v. Gunganarain Dutt Roy	10 W. R., 250	107 2 5290
Kallee Mundle. In the matter of Kalleepersaud Singh v. Kupoor Koowaree	10 C. L. R., 278 4 W. R., 65	2412
Kallee Pershad Holdar v. Soobhogea Dabea	1 W. R., 218	5073
Kallee Singh v. Moorlee Ram	1 W. R., 135	2915
Kallee Sunkur Röy v. Kishto Doolal Chowdhry Kallian Banerji v. Modhusudun Banerji	W. R., 1864, 296 · ·	490 7 431 \$
Kalliandas Kirparam v. Trikamlal Gulabhai	8 C. L. R., 259 9 Bom., 307	2674
Kalilappa Kaundan v. Vavanuri Kaundan	2 Mad., 442 · ·	1359
Kanda Pershad Dutt v. Ram Hari Chuckerbutty	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 317	540, 4528 1084
Kallipershad Singh v. Grant Kalliyani v. Narayana	2 Hay, 329	3757 .
Kallonas v. Collector of Backergunge	11 W. R., 109	3560
v. Gunga Gobind Roy Chowdhry	25 W. R., 121	276 7
Kallooram Baboo v. Doorganath Talookdar.	10 W. R., 175	2712 3503
Kallu v. Muhammad Abdul Ghani	I. L. R., 7 All., 564 .	9009

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kallu Mal v. Brown Kallum Sheikh v. Panchoo Mundul Kallyan v. Douluta Kally Churn Banerjee v. Gopal Chunder Banerjee Kally Churn Dutt v. Protab Chunder Ghose v. Protap Chunder Ghose	I. L. R., 3 All., 504 26 W. R., 100 5 C. L. R., 484 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 848: 12 C.	5246 2971 1394 582 215 3808
Kally Churn Sahoo v. Secretary of State for India	L. R., 407. I. L. R., 6 Cale., 725 : 8 C. L. R., 90.	
Kally Churn Shaw v. Dukhce Bibee Kally Churn Singh v. Bunker Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 692 : 5 C. L. R., 505. 7 W. R., Cr., 26	2440, 3413 4735
Kally Doss Banerjee v ! onomalee Doss v. alutty Lall Chuckerbutty Kally Doss Bhadury v. Golam Ali Chowdhry Kally Doss Mitter v. Gobind Chunder Paul	W. R., 1864, Act X, 61 . Marsh., 483 . 3 C. L. R., 237 Marsh., 569	531 907 1919 1708
Kally Mohun Mookerjee v. Empress Kally Mohun Pal v. Dino Nath Chuckerbutty Kally Mohun Paul v. Bholanath Chakladar Kallymohun Roy Chowdhry v. Ramjoy Mundul Kully Noth Nauch Chowdhry v. Chynder Nath Nauch	13 C. L. R., 117 8 C. L. R., 34 7 W. R., 138 2 Hay. 289 I. L. R, 8 Calc., 878: 10 C.	1269, 5528 1924 469, 3384 4588
Kally Nath Naugh Chowdhry v. Chunder Nath Naugh Chowdhry. Kally Nath Roy Chowdhry v. Lawrie . Kally Nauth Shaw v. Rajeeb Lochun Mookerjee . Kallynauth Shaw v. Rajeeblochun Mozoondar .	L. R., 207. 3 W. R., 122 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 122 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 343	2567 38 1704 1704, 4398
Kally Persad Sein Chowdhry v. Mohesh Chunder Bhuttacharjee. Kallypersaud Singh v. Hoolas Chund v. Jankee Deo Narain .	1 Hay, 430	4987, 5064 5715 3509
Kally Prosonno Bose v. Dinonath Mullick	1 C. L. R , 399	$ \begin{array}{r} 3914 \\ 858, 1893 \\ 4246 \end{array} $
Kally Prosonno Ghose v. Gocool Chunder Mitter . Kally Prosonno Hazra v. Heera Lal Mundle . Kally Prosonno Mitter v. Gopee Nath Kur . Kally Prosonno Sein v. Kisto Nund Dundee . Kally Prosunno Biswas v. Mungala Dassee . Kally Prosunno Chatterjee. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 295 I. L. R., 2 Calc., 468 7 C. L. R., 241 W. R., 1864, Act X, 13 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 631 7 W. R., 224	2143 3215 2564 3180 242, 3149 3847
Kally Soondery Dabia. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 594: 7 C. L. R., 543. I. L. R., 6 Calc., 594: 7 C. L.	3095
v. Hurish Chunder Chowdury Kally Sunkur Sundyal v. Huro Sunkur Sundyal Kalo Nilkanth v. Lakshmibai	R., 543. 7 W. R., 29 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 637	3095 3331 3372
Kaloo v. Guribollah	13 B. L. R., 163, note: 10 W.	3701
Kaloo Rai v. Muhunt Rai Kalova kom Bhujangrav v. Padapa walad Bhujangrav Kalpagathachi v. Ganapathi Pillai Kalsumnissa v. Wahidunnissa Kalu v. Kashibai alias Lakshmibai Kalub Hossein v. Ali Hossein v. Deen Ali v. Mehrum Beebee Kalu bin Bhiwaji v. Vishram Mawaji	R., 12. 1 Agra, 259 1. L. R., 1 Bom., 248 1. L. R., 3 Mad., 184 3 B. L, R., A. C., 176, note 1. L. R., 7 Bom., 127 4 N. W., 2 4 N. W., 2 4 N. W., 155 1. L. R., 1 Bom., 543	1698 1413, 3347 4781 3332 2421 164 206 3662 3125, 6075
Kalu bin Visaji v. Damodhar Govind	9 Bom., 92	1363, 5485

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kalu Narayan Kulkarni v. Hanmapa Kalu Ram Maigraj v. Madras Railway Company Kalu Shaikh Khansama v. Beatson Kalut Ram v. Lala Dhanukdhari Sahai Kalyanbhai v. Motiram Jamnadas	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 435 I. L. R., 3 Mad., 240 24 W. R., 446 11 C. B. R., 361 10 Bom., 378	2097 3273, 4710 421 3346 5384
Kalyanbhai Dipchand v. Ghanashamlal Jadunathji .	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 29	1917, 3195 3465
Kalytara Chowdharin v. Ram Coomar Goopta .	I. L. R , 7 Calc., 466 : 9 C. L. R., 114	5464, 5479
Kamakshi v. Nagarathnam	5 Mad., 161 1 Mad., 448 3 Mad., 94	2306 1069 2082, 5268
Kamala Kant Ghose v. Kalu Mahomed Mandal .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 44: 11 W. R., 395.	1104
Kamalam v. Sadagopa Sami	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 356 10 Moore's I. A., 386 1 Mad., 24	2221; 5264 3895 437, 3006
Kamal Chandra Bose v. Nobin Chandra Ghose .	1 B. L. R., S. N., 12: 10 W. R., 184.	3103
Kamalooddeen v. Anoo Mundul Kamal Singh v. Batul Fatima Kamaluddin Husen Khan v. Bhika Manji Kamaluddin Husen Khan v. Partap Mota Kamaraja v. Secretary of State for India Kamar-un-nissa Bibi v. Husaini Bibi Kamat v. Kamat Kamatchi Anımal v. Agiland Ammal Kamavadhani Venkata Subhaya v. Joysa Narasingappa Kamayya v. Leman Kambhai v. Himat Singji Kambinayani Javaji Subbarajulu Nayani Varu v. Uddi- ghiri Venkataraya Chetty. Kameekhapershad Mookerjee v. Larmour Kameence Dossee v. Bissonath Ghose	1 C. L. R., 564 I. L. R., 2 All., 460 4 Bom., A. C., 49 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 244 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 22 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 22 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 368 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 334 3 Mad., 116 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 37 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 415 2 Mad., 268 W. R., F. B., 86 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 6	1185 3167, 6053 3065 1122, 1141 6091 3655, 3681 247, 5774 2852 2502 2*48 2895 3112, 3129 3795 244 6200
Kamence Dossee v. Hurromoney Dossee	Bourke, O. C., 91: Cor., 151.	770, 4676
Kameshuree Dassee v. Court of Wards Kameshwar Pershad v. Run Bahadur Singh Kamessur Pershad v. Dowlut Ram v. Kadir Khan	12 W. R., 251 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 458 19 W. R., 83 20 W. R., 393	2058 834 3921 3250
Kameswar Pershad v. Run Bahadur Singh	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 843 : 8 C.) L. R., 361 : L. R., 8 I. A., 8)	2194, 4156
Kamikhaprasad Roy v. Jagadamba Dasi Kaminee Debia v. Issur Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Protap Chunder Sandyal v. Radha Sham Koondoo Kaminee Dossee v. Bishonath Shaha	5 B. L. R., 508	2194, 2210 3245 2956 . 2946 5684 5411
Kaminee Mohun Chuckerbutty v. Kalee Kant Sein Kaminee Soonduree Chowdhrain v. Mudhoo Soodun	Ind. Jur., N. S., 359 14 W. R., 275	5708
Mookerjee. Kamini Debi v. Ramlochan Sirkar	5 B. L. R., 450	1667, 3926
Kamini Sundari Chaodhrani v. Kali Prossunno Ghose	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 225 : L. R., 12 I. A., 215.	1080, 2831
Kaminy Mohun Somoddar v. Gopal	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 479: 10 C. L. R., 519.	1866
Kammana Kallacheri Illath Vassudavan Nambudri	6 Mad., 138	3212

		The second secon	1
Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kamod Poory v. Sheo Poory		1 N. W., 167 : Ed. 1873, 246	4344
Kamona Soondury Dassee v. Hurro Lall Shaha .	{	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 570: 10 C. L. R., 409.	4668
Kampilikaribasavappa v. Soma Samuddiram .		1 Mad., 183	1811
Kamran v. Neitram		6 N. W., 185	3244
Kamruddin Dai v. Sonatun Mandal		I. L. R., 11 Calc., 449	2778
Kamul Kaminee Dassee v. Obhoy Churn Ghose . Kanahia v. Ram Kishen	$\cdot \mid$	15 W. R., 151	2739 2864
Kanahia Lal v. Kali Din		I. L. R., 2 All., 392	4775
v. Muhammad Husain Khan		I. L. R., 5 All., 11	3886
	•	I. L. R., 3 All., 519	194
Kanahi Ram v. Biddya Ram	•	I. L. R., 1 All., 549	2276, 2442
Kanailal Jowhari v. Kamini Debi	3	1 B. L. R., O. C., 31. note I. L. R., 6 Calc., 777: 8 C. L.)	1822, 4233
Kanai Lall Khan v. Sashi Bhuson Biswas	į	R., 117.	4290, 5055
Kanai Prasad Bose v. Hira Chand Manu	į	5 B. L. R., Ap., 71: 14 W.	1363, 5431
	4	R., 120.	
Kanakamma v. Venkataratnam		I. L. R., 7 Mad., 586 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 310	3878 3764
Kanara Paniker v. Ryrappa Paniker		I. L. R., 3 Mad., 212	4473
Kanayalal v. Chagmal Battia		8 B. L R., 412	5989
v. Pyarabai	.	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 139	1784, 3962
Kanaye Mollah v. Debnath Roy		3 W. R., Act X, 161	536
Kan Chun Malla Dossia v. Rajendro Chunder Roy	5	1. L. R., 2 All., 291	3531
Chowdhry.	3	18 W. R., 126	562
Kandan Chetti v. Coorjee Seit		2 Mad., 187	1102
Kandasami v. Doraisami Ayyar	\cdot	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 317	2450
Kandasamı Pillai v. Moidin Saib Kandiya Lal v. Chandar	.	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 337 I. L. R., 7 All., 313	1997 628, 525 1
Kandoth Mammi v. Neelan Cherayil Abdu Kalandan	: 1	8 Mad, 14	1701, 2800
Kandu v. Konda	.	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 62	4427
Kandunni v. Katiamma	٠	L L. R., 9 Mad., 251	4986
Kangal Chandra Ruj v. Kanye Lall Ruj		I. L. R., 4 Calc.; 69	1449
Kangalee Churn Ghosal v. Bonomalee Mullick .	3	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 709: 7 W. R., 515.	3513
Kangalee Churn Josh v. Dursunce Dossee		18 W. R., 198	5123
Kangali Churn Sha v. Zomurrudonnissa Khatoon .	(I. L. R., 6 Calc., 709: 8 C.	3284
Kanhaiya Lal v. Domingo	5	L. R., 154.	
	•	I. L. R., 1 All., 732	402 1772, 1773
Kanhaya Lal v. Stowell	.	I. L. R., 3 All., 581 . }	5834
Kanhya Lall v. Radha Churn	(B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 662: 2 Ind Jur., N. S., 229: 7 W.	2780
Kanhya Lall Pundit v. Dinonath Sircar		R., 338. 17 W. R., 23	4130
Kanhyalal Pundit v. Collector of Cuttack		14 B. L. R., 291, note: 16 W.	
	5	R., 275.	842
Kanhye Chand Gossamee v. Ram Chunder Gossamee Kanhye Roy v. Hyder Buksh		24 W. R., 81	381
Kanhye Singh v. Oomadhur Bhutt		25 W. R., 29	4270 5447
Kanitkar v. Joshi		I. L. R., 5 Bom., 442	4830
Kanizak Sukina v. Monohur Das		I. L. R., 12 Calc., 204	488
Kanji Ladha v. Dhonde Kondaji Kanji Mala Kondaji	\cdot	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 729	1522, 1730
Kauji Mal v. Kauhia Lal	:	I. L. R., 7 All., 373	794 5462
Kankatala Chellamaiya v. Poleshetti Papaiya	:	6 Mad., 36	916
A secondary refinisher			

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kannan v. Nilakandan	T. L. R., 7 Mad., 337 1. L. R., 8 Mad., 381 1. L. R., 3 Mad., 74	3427 3759, 3764 3766
Kannye Lall Sett v. Nistoriny Dossee Kanoo Mundle v. Rahumoollah Mundle Kanooram Sriman v. Golap Chand Nowluckha Kanoo Soudagur v. Alabundee Bewa Kantee Chunder Dutt v. Gopee Madhub Neogee Kanteti Venkayya v. Palabhadrapatruni Kotayya Kanthi Ram v. Bankey Lal Kanth Narain Singh v. Prem Lall Paurey Kanti Chunder Mookerjee v. Bamun Doss Mookerjee	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 443 W. R., 1864, 269 24 W. R., 178 24 W. R., Cr., 72 11 W. R., 3 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 153 I. L. R., 2 All., 396 3 W. R., 102 25 W. R., 434 2 B. L. R., A. C., 330: 11	3011 5650 1127 3743 6173 4826 221 1407, 4034 3388
Kantiram v. Woli Sahu	W. R., 251,	37 10
Kantoo Lall v. Girdharee Lall Kanto Prosad Hazari v. Asad Ali Khan Kanu Khandu v. Krishna Bhulaji Shet	9 W. R., 469 5 C. L. R., 452 5 Bom., A. C., 147 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 927: 9	2164 3280 4828 211
Kanya Lall Pundit v. Radha Muddun Mohun Doss	W. R., 223.	
Kanye Lall Khan v. Khettermoney Dossee	5 C. L. R., 105 2 B. L. R., Ap., 23: 11 W.	5990 5745
Kapilnath Sahai v. Government Kapilnath Sahai Deo v. Government	R., 93. 2 Mad., 247 I. L. R., 1 Calc., 142 10 B. L. R., 168 13 B. L. R., 445: 22 W. R., 17.	2007 277 6020 2003, 2223 3127
Kappusvamiayyan v. Nannuvayyun	1 Mad., 74	289
Kaptan v. Smith	7 B. L. R., Ap., 25: 16 W. R., Cr., 3.	2582
Karakar Nachiar. Ex parte Karakavalasa Appayya v. Karanam Papayya Karam Ali v. Halima Karamat Khan v. Sami-ud-din Karam Khan v. Daryai Singh Karan Singh v. Bakar Ali Khan	3 Mad., 254 I. L. R., 3 Mad., 256 I. L. R., 1 All., 469 I. L. R., 8 All., 409 I. L. R., 5 All., 331 I. L. R., 5 All., 1: L. R., 9 5	1349 3532 669• 4085 1227 3414
v. Mohan Lal	I. A., 99. I. L. R., 5 All., 9 I. L. R., 7 All., 860	4001 4419, 4545
v. Muhammad Ismail Khan v. Ram Lal kareem Bee v. Beegam Bee Kareemooddeen v. Moeizooddeen Khan Karim v. Muhammad Kadar Karim Baksh v. Misri Lal Karim Baksh Khan v. Phula Bibi	I. L. R., 7 All., 96 I. L. R., 2 All., 96 3 Mad., 368 I. Agra, 184 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 89 I. L. R., 7 All., 295 I. L. R., 8 All., 102	4767 6228 3727 5259 2650 4556
Karimbhai v. Conservator of Forests .	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 222	416, 4327 4421
Karim Buksh v. Budma v. Kanr-ud-deen Ahmad Karim Khatav v. Pardhan Manji Karimodin v. Alam Khan Karim Shah v. Nattan Bivi Karim Sheikh v. Mukhoda Soondery Dassee	I. L. R., 1 All., 249 6 N. W., 377 2 Bom., 292: 2nd Ed., 276 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 119 1. L. R., 7 Mad., 417 15 B. L. R., 111: 23 W. R., 2 268.	*2856 3716 3695 3664 3168 582, 5921
Karman Bibi v. Misrilal	I. L. R., 2 All., 904 24 W. R., 380	227 5677

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Karoolall Thakoor v. Dhoonal Mundul Karoo Lall Thakoor v. Forbes v. Luchmeeput Doogur	8 W. R., 86	4754 1701, 3843 2886
Karoo Singh v. Deo Narain Singh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 80: 13 C.	5124
Karpakambal v. Subbayyan	L. R., 261. I. L. R., 5 Mad., 234 .	801
Kartick Chandra Pal v. Sridhar Mandal	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 563	5063
Kartick Chunder Bal v. Chunder Nath Chuckerbutty Kartick Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Gour Mohun Roy	15 W. R., Cr., 56 1 W. R., 48	4100 2198
Kartick Chunder Mookerjee v. Mooktaram Sirear	10 W. R., 21	898
Kartick Churn Setty v. Gopalkisto Paulit . • •	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 264	1129, 2816
Kartick Kurmokar v. Dhunnomonee Goopto	W. R., 1864, 268	$\begin{array}{c} 2195 \\ 2772 \end{array}$
Kartick Napit v. Personomoyee Naptinee	2 W. R., 77 1 C. L. R., 328	4795
	23 W. R., 263	4125
Kartick Nath Pandey v. Government	11 W. R., 58	1084
Kartick Nath Parray v. Chummun Roy Kartick Paramanick v. Kishen Mohun Mitter	21 W. R., 51	4281 1439
Kartick Surmah v. Bydonath Sacenee	22 W. R., 329	5327
Kartic Nath Pandy v. Padmanund Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 496	4714
Kartik Chandra Sirkar v . Kartik Chandra Dey .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 166: 11	6071
Karunakar Mahati v. Niladhro Chowdhry	W. R., 522. 5 B. L. R., 652: 14 W. R., \(\)	3069
·	107. 5 1. L. R., 5 Mad., 383	1572
Karupua Goundan v Kalanthayan	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 91	5245
Karuppan v. Ayyathorai	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 445	199
Karuppan v. Ayyathorai v. Ramasami Karuppanan v. Muthunnan Servey Karuppana Nayak v. Nallamma Nayak Karuppana (Nati a Variata	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 482	999
Karuppanan v. Muthunnan Servey	5 Mad., 105	$\frac{3481}{632}$
Karuppan Chetti v. Verival	4 Mad., 1	2476, 3192
Karuppan Chetti v. Veriyal Karuppa Tevan v. Vasudeva Sastri Karuppa Thevan v. Alagu Pillai Karuthan v. Subramanya Karuthasami v. Jaganatha	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 148	3260, 3598
Karuppa Thevan v. Alagu Pillai	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 152	2205
Karuthan v. Subramanya	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 203	419 4995
Karuthedatta alias. Pullakatt Neelakadan Namboodri)	1. L. R., 8 Mad., 478	1
v. Mele Pullakatt Vassa Devan Namboodri.	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 236 •	2339
Karyan v. Doddali	6 Mad., 307	1425
Kasam Pirbhai. In re	8 Bom., Cr., 95 {	3647, 3648 3741
Kasandas Laldas v. Pranjivan Asharam	7 Bom., A. C., 146	4394
Kasee Kant v. Gopal Kisto Moitro	W. R, 1864, 314	4190
Kaseenath Nusker v. Bama Soondery Dossia	10 W. R., 429	1619
Kaseenauth v. Bheckaree Loll	W. R., F. B., 79	3955
Kaseenauth Moor v. Reejoonissa	Marsh., 198: 1 Hay, 467	4399, 4898 1451, 1455
Kashee Chunder Dutt v. Judoonath Chuckerbutty	10 W. R., 29	5745
Kashee Chunder Mojoomdar v. Seetul Chunder Tulla-	17 W. R., 151	1736
pattur.	1, 11, 120, 202	
Kashee Chunder Roy v. Doorga Kashee Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Gour Kishore Gooho	11 W. R., 410	211 249 7
Kashee Chunder Turkobhoosun v. Kally Prosunno	9 W. R., 452	3114
Chowdhry,	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 149: 7 C. L.	1100 1000
Kashee Kishore Roy Chowdhry v. Alip Mundul	R., 107.	1189, 1639
v. Bama Soondaree	23 W. R., 27	135, 5985

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kashee Kishore Roy Chowdhry v. Kishen Chunder Sandyal.	15 W. R., 160	820
v. Kristo Chunder Sandyal Chowdhry.	22 W. R., 464	5004
v. Noor Khan	7 W. R., 45	60420
Kashee Lal v. Ameer Jan	2 N. W., 347	2314, 2325
Kashee Mohun Roy v. Raj Gobind Chuckerbutty	24 W. R., 229	329, 2342
Kasheenath Deb v . Shibessuree Debia Kasheenath Dhur v . Gudadhur Paul	8 W. R., 503 11 W. R., 374	314, 2779 767
Kasheenath Doss v. Bhowanee Dossee	W. R., 1864, Mis., 18	454
	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1355, 1
Kashee Nath Kooer v. Debkristo Ramanooj Dess	16 W. R., 240 }	2792, 280_
Kasheenath Koonwar v. Bunko Beharee Chowdhry	12 W. R., 440	3814, 4500
Kashee Nath Mookerjee v. Mohesh Chunder Goopto .	25 W. R., 168	3125, 5352 303, 4476
Kasheenath Punee v. Lukhmonee Pershad Patnaik .	19 W. R., 99	3012
Kasheenath Roy v. Luckheenarain Chatterjee	W. R., 1864, 91	5121, 5131
Kasheenath Roy Chowdhry v. Mynuddeen Chowdhry v. Shabitree Soonduree Dossee	1 W. R., 154	2691
v.	10 W. R., 156	564, 5170 5927
Kasheenath Sein v. Chundermonee Debia	9 W. R., 288	1204
Kasheenath Shaha r. Jogendro Nath Baboo	22 W. R., 68	3174
Kashee Pershad v. Debi Das Kashee Pershad Roy v. Shib Chunder Deb	7'N. W., 77	775
Kashee Pershad Roy v. Shib Chunder Deb	2 W. R., Mis., 3	3512 546 1663
Kashee Ram v. Gunga Pershad	2 N. W., 304	528
Kashee Ram Doss v. Sham Mohince	23 W. R., 227	583
Kasheeshuree Debia v. Greesh Chunder Lahoree	W. R., 1864, 71	2318
Kashee Singh v. Onraet	5 W. R., Act X, 81 2 Hay, 557	4863 2242, 4138
Kasheshuree Debia v. Greesh-Chunder Lahoorce .	6 W. R., Mis., 64	414
Kashi v. Mendee Singh	2 Agra, Rev., 8	2915
Kashibai v. Tatia	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 221	2128
Kashi Eashi Ramling Swamee v. Chilumbernath Kooma	20 W. R., 217	2244
Kashi Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Yar Mahomed .	21 W. R., Cr., 10	4104
Kashi Chunder Doss. In the matter of the petition of	10 B. L. R., 441: 19 W. R., Cr., 47	• 4722
v. Hurkishore Doss	10 B. L. R., 441: 19 W. R., Cr., 47.	4722
Kashi Chunder Sen. In the matter of the petition of {	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 266: 10 C.	3852
Kashidas Govindbhai v. B., B. and C. I. Railway Co.	L. R., 91. 6 Bom., A. C., 114	3285
Kashi Kishor Roy v. Tarini Kant Lahori §	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 76:15 W. R., Cr., 42, note.	4490
Kashi Nath v. Mukhta Prasad	I. L. R., 6 All., 370 5 Bom., A. C., 84	1473 - 5899
Kashi Nath Chatterjee v. Chandi Charan Banerjee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 383: 57	1816
Kashi Nath Chuckerbutty v. Brindabun Chuckerbutty.	W. R., 68 I. L. R. 10 Calc., 649	1821
Kashi Nath Dass v. Hurrihur Mookerjee {	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 898: 13 C.	1826
Kashinath Ghose v. Dinobundhoo Mytee	L. R., 11. 16 W. R., Cr., 72	3864
Kashinath Khargivala v. Collector of Poona	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 553'	2948
Kashinath Morsheth v. Ramchandra Gopinath	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 408	5015
Kashinath Roy v. Nowcowry Koondoo	1 W. R., 22	1823

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kashinath Roy Chowdhry v. Roy Dwarkanath Chucker- butty.	7 W. R., 61	4150
Kashinath Si' m Cze v. Dadki Kashin '' v. Dajigovind Kajoo Sing Mynooddeen Chowdhry Miller	6 Bom., A. C., 211 7 Bom., A. C., 102 W. R., F. B., 146 I. L. R., 7 All., 752	4156 1048 578 7 833
Karpakami Krishna v. Bhadu Bapuji . Kartick C. Narayan Dhulappa . Kartick Nath Roy Chowdhry v. Surbanand Shaha	7 Bom., A. C., 17 3 Bom., A. C., 110	2836, 56 5 0 5481 451
Kari Chandra Dutt v. Jadunath Chuckerbutty . Kari Chunder Mozumdar. In the matter of the petition of.	W. R., 29. I. L. R., 6 Calc., 440 : 7 C. L. R., 334.	5690 1969, 5521 5524
Kasi Kant Bhuttacharji v. Rohini Kant Bhuttacharji (I. L. R, 6 Calc., 325: 7 C.)	548
Kasim Ajim Duplay v. Kasim Mohammed Barucha Kasim Ali v. Shadee	L. R., 342. 3 2 B. L. R., A. C., 59 3 N. W., 21	5 584 4930, 56 67
Kasim Ali Khan v. Birj Kishore	2 N. W., 182	1360, 1423
Kasimannissa Bibi v. Hurannissa Bibi Kasim Hussein v. Sharif-un-nissa Kasim Mian v. Banda Husain	7 B. L. R., Ap., 8 I. L. R., 5 All., 285 I. L. R., 5 All., 616	4858, 5222 3912 3678 3021, 5205
Kasimuddi Khandkar v. Nadir Ali Tarafdar .	2. B. L. R., A. C., 265: 11 W. R., 164.	320, 1597
Kasimunissa Bibi v. Hills	6 B. L. R., Ap., 125: 15 W. R., 127.	5622
Kasimunnissa Bibee v. Nilratna Bose .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 79: 9 C. L. R., 173: 10 C. L. R., 113.	3424, 3548 3957, 4258
Kasimunnissa Bibi v. Hurannissa Bibi Kasinath Koowar v. Bankubehari Chowdhry Kasinath Roy Chowdhry. In re	2 B. L. R., Ap., 6 3 B. L., R., A. C., 446 7 B. L. R., 146, note	5397 3912 3125, 5352 5927
Kasinath Shaha v. Dwarkanath Sirkar .	9 B. L. R., 215: 17 W. R., 550.	777, 6246
Kasi Persad Narain v. Kawalbasi Kooer Kassee Nauth Roy Chowdhry v. Hullodhur Roy Kassim Biswas. In the matter of	5 Moore's ₁ I. A., 146 2 W. R., 60 10 C. L. R., 335	4649 1197, 5462 4733
Kassim Hassim Soorty v. Abrahim Soleman Kassim Mundle v. Noor Bibee Kassim Shaw v. Unnodapershad Chatterjee Kassirav R. Saheb Holkar v. Vithaldas Mangalji	25 W. R., Cr., 24 1 W. R., 76 1 Hyde, 160	4485, 4499 1817 3544
Kastalino v. Rustomji Dadabhoy Kasturbai v. Shivajiram Devkurna	10 Bom., 100 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 468 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 372	898 4409 2426
Kastur Bhavani v. Appa	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 621 .	303, 2179 5819
Kasturchand v. Ravji Sadashiv	I. L. R., 4-Bom., 65	4068, 5896 6181
Kasturshet Javershet v. Rama Kanhoji Kasublal Dey v. Tremearne	I. L. R., 10 Bom, 65 3 B. L. R., Ap., 12	1890 6274
Kasum v. Shaista Bibi Katakam Venkaiya v. Bhupalam Pedda Mullasappah Katchy Kullyana Rungappa Kalaka Thola Oodiar v. Baloosamy Chetty.	7 N. W., 313 4 Mad., 142 3 W. R., P. C., 50: 7 Moore's I. A., 224.	3682 779 1506
Kateeram Dokanee v. Gendhenee	23 W. R., 178	2275
Kathaperumal v. Venkabai Katiyanee v. Mahomed Shurfooddeen	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 194 3 Agra, 189	2316, 539 2
Kattama Nachiar v. Bothagurusami Tevar	6 Mad., 293	1347 6062

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kattama Nachiar v. Subrarama Aiyan .	4 Mad., 302	3334
Kattama Natchiar v. Dorasinga Taver .	15 B. L. R., 83: 23 W. R.,	1413
v. Muhammad Mira Ravutan	314: L. R., 2 I. A., 169.) 7 Mad., 150	6042
Kattama Nauchear v. Rajah of Shivagungah	2 W. R., P. C., 31 : 9 Moore's I. A., 539.	2314, 2325 2329, 2342 2514, 2779
Kattamuri Jagappa v. Padalu Latchappa Kattaperumal Pillai v. Panchanadam Pillai Kattasawmy v. Saudama Naik	I. L. R, 5 Mad., 119 3 Mad, 339 5 Mad., 294	476 7 4054 3594
Katte Monee Dossee v. Mon Mohinee Dabee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 353:3 W. R., 51.	43
Kattyanee Chowdhrain v. Madhubnarain Roy Chowdhry Kattyanee Debia v. Girish Chunder Banerjee Kattyani Debea v. Soonduree Debea . Kauleshar Panday v. Girdhari Singh Kauleshar Rai v. Dost Muhammad Khan Kaundan v. Muttammal	4 W. R., 68 23 W. R., 168 2 W. R., 168 2 W. R., Act X, 60 I. L. R., 7 All., 338 I. L. R., 5 All., 274 3 Mad., 92 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 170 10 Bom., 411 1 Bom., 113 10 Bom., 224	879 4267 1618 2862 237 3378 3674 1939 2802 3192
Kaviraja Sundara Murteya Pillai v. Nalla Naikan }	3 Mad., 93	164
Pillai. Kawa Manji v. Khowaz Nussio . Kay v. Poorunchand Poonalal . Kazi Chundra Mozoomdar v. Juggut Chundra }	5 C. L. R., 278 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 631	6003 4629
Mozoomdar.	7 C. L. R., 330	5521, 5524
Keamuddin v. Allah Buksh	15 W. R., Cr., 51 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 54: W.)	4332
Kearnes v. Bhawani Charan Mitter {	R., F. B., 167.	6154 3800
Kebul Kishen Doss v. Jaminee	5 W. R., Act X, 47 7 W. R., 326 9 W. R., 571 5 W. R., Act X, 80	4845, 4910 2090 5189
Kebul Ram v. Government	5 W. R., 47	3270
Kebul Ram Mundul v. Wells	24 W. R., 454	609 2 574 8
Kedaetollah v. Karloo Kedari bin Ranu v. Atmarambhat	3 Bom., A. C., 11	1081, 2213
Kedar Monee Dossee v. Chunder Coomar Kov	2 W. R., Act X, 75	531 8 2
Kedar Nath v. Debi Din v. Rughonath	I. L. R., 4 All., 165 6 N. W., 104	2846
Kedarnath Acharjee v. Bhugwan Chunder Nundee	2 W. R., 153	4173
Kedarnath Bhuttacharjee v. Kripa Ram Bhuttacharjee .	5 W. R., 270	196 579
Kedar Nath Biswas v. Huro Pershad Roy Chowdhry Kedarnath Chuckerbutty v. Donzelle	23 W. R., 207	578 1686, 3634,
v. Gopeenath Ghose	23 W. R., 426 · · ·	3703 1733, ₹69 6
Kedar Nath Coondoo Chowdhry v. Hemangini Dassi	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 336	2418
Kedar Nath Doss v. Protab Chunder Doss	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 626: 8 C. L. R., 238.	294, 4153
Kedarnath Dutt v. Harachand Dutt	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 420	8464
v. Seeva Veyana Rana Luchman Chetty.	1 C. L. R., 336	426
Khettry	11 B. L. R., 405 : 20 W. R., 150.	1527, 4771
Kedarnath Ghose v. Kasim Mundul	8 W. R., 364 · ·	3113

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kedar Nath Joardar v. Surfoonnissa Bibec Kedarnath Mahata v. Kadumbinee Debea	24 W. R., 425	1770 4175 5277
v. Sookoomaree Debia	22 W. R., 398	3060
v. Surendro Deb Roy	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 865: 13 C. L. R., 58.	4787
Kedarnath Mookerjee v. Mathuranath Dutt	1 B. L. R., A. C., 17: 10 W. R., 59.	332, 2081
v. Mohesh Chunder Paulit v. Parbutty Peishkar	1 W. R., 67	4174 526 7
Kedarnath Nag v. Khetturpaul Sritirutno .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 34: 6 C. L. R., 569.	3352
Kedar Nath Pakrasee v. Doya Moyce Debia	20 W. R., 49	1219, 2696
Kedarnauth Doss v. Protab Chunder Doss .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 626; 8 C. L. R., 238.	294, 4153
Kedar Pooree v. Kullan Khan	1 Agra, Rev., 56 5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 21	1588 5686
Keemee Baee v. Luchmun Das Narain Das .	5 W. R., P. C., 59:1 Moore's	183, 4658
Keenoo Singh Roy v. Eshan Chunder Roy .	I. A., 470. 6 W. R., 213	4406
Keerut Singh v. Koolahul Singh	5 W. R., P. C., 131: 2 Moore's	2509
v. Narain Passee	1. A., 331. 25 W. R., Cr., 14	5513
Kefaet Hossein v. Shumshare Ali	13 W. R., 458	2887
Keisurya v. Chotoo	1 N. W., 78: Ed. 1873, 146	2928
Kellie v. Frazer	I. L. R., 2 Calc, 445 .	360, 2823
Kellu Eradi v. Puapalli	2 Mad., 161	3765
Kelly v. Hanlon	10 B. L. R., Ap., 23 .	2633
v. Kelly and Saunders	3 B. L. R., O. C., 67	1545
	3 B. L. R., Ap., 4 .	1554
	3 B. L. R., Ap., 5	1553
v. ·	3 B. L. R., Ap., 6	1548, 1556
v. Seth Gobind Dass	5 B. L. R., 71	1555, 1556
Kelu v. Paidel	6 N. W., 168	5412
	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 473	3760
Kemp v. Premnaryan Sing	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 699 : 5 C. L. R , 539.	5120, 5707
Kena Mahomed v. Bohatoo Sircar	Marsh., 506	4574
Kenaram Chuckerbutty v. Denonath Panda	9 W. R., 325	1422
Kenaram Mullick v. Ramkoomar Mookerjee	2 W. R., Act X, 17 .	1615
Kenaram Shamunt v. Gopeenath Geerce Kenchawa v. Ningapa	10 W. R., 130	311
Kendiga Madi Chetti v. Soobhamma	10 Bom., 265, note .	2109, 2115
Kennelly v. Wyman	5 Mad., 453	3500 9690
Kenny v. Administrator General of Bengal	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 178 . 3 B. L. R., O. C., 75 .	$\begin{array}{c} 2680 \\ 6133 \end{array}$
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7 B. L. R., Ap., 50	5988
v. Issur Chunder Poddar	W. R., 1864, Act X, 9	1590, 4865
v. Kolum Mundle v. Mookta Soonderee Dabee .	5. W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 1	1357
v. Mookta Soonderee Dabee	7 W. R., 419	4589
v. Sumecroonissa	3 W. R., 68	· 43
Kerakoose v. Brooks	3 W. R., 68 8 Moore's I. A., 339 : 4 W. } R., P. C., 61.	2626, 4634
v. Serle	3 Moore's I. A., 329 .	5973
Keramut Ali v. Abdool Wahab	17 W. R., 131	4614, 5820
Keramut Hossein v. Golap Koonwar	3 W. R., 101	3192
Keramutoollah v. Gholam Hossein .	9 W. R., 77	1760

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kernot v. Walton	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 14 2 W. R., P. C., 29 1 B. L. R., S. N., 11 1 W. R., 32	943 1746 1591 4906
Kery Kolitany v. Moneram Kolita {	13 B. L. R., 1: 19 W. R.,	2525
Kesabram Mahapattar v. Nandkishor Mahapattar	3 B. L. R., A. C., 7: 11 W.	2299
Kesaree v. Samardhan	5 N. W. 94 1 Mad., 258 4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 11 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 45 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 297	2320, 2442 4573 5694 3765 1728, 3753
Keshava Sanabhaga v. Lakshminarayana	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 192 . {	2487, 2793
Keshava Tharagan v. Rudran Numbudri Keshav Bapuji v. Narayan Shamrav Keshavbhat v. Bhagirthibai	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 259 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 18 3 Bom., A. C., 75	6077 4970 4511 2246, 5670 1906, 4323
Keshav Gopal Ginde v. Rayapa	12 Bom., 165	4328, 5384
Keshav Harkha v. Ganpat Hirachand Keshavji Naik v. Nasarvanji Ardesir Wadia Keshav Kasinath. In re Keshav Lakshman. In re Keshav Ramkrishna v. Govind Ganesh Keshavram valad Hirachand v. Ramchandra Trimbak	8 Bom., A. C., 87 10 Bom., 425 9 Bom., 43	2855 6274 5842 962 2118, 2526 196, 3448
Keshavrav Krishna Joshi v. Bhavanji bin Babaji .	8 Bom., A. C., 142	184, 289
Kesho Lall v. Mohabeer Pershad Kesho Pershad v. Hirdoy Narain Kesho Pershad v. Hirdoy Narain Kesho Rai v. Binayak Rai Keshoree Lall v. Gobindram Keshub Chunder Acharjee v. Hurro Soonduree Debea Keshub Chunder Sein v. Vyasmonee Dossia Keshub Lall Chowdhry v. Watson & Co. Kesree v. Seth Roshun Lal Kesri v. Ganga Prasad Kesserbai v. Valab Raoji Kesu Shivram v. Vithu Kanaji	25 W. R., 185 6 C. L. R., 69 3 Agra, 179 4 N. W., 70 25 W. R., 529 7 W. R., 118 W. R., 1864, 64 2 N. W., 4 I. L. R., 4 All., 168 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 188 1. L. R., 9 Bom., 320	3910 2164 765 4536 1442, 4042 3094 2021 44 3964 6156 2311, 2312 1523
Ketabdi Mundul. In the matter of	2 C. L. R., 507	956, 961 5565
Ketal Gain v. Nadur Mistree Kethaperumal v. Venkabai Ketiki Chutiany v. Lukheekant Bose Koval Bhagvan v. Ganpati Narayan Kevaldas Sakarchand v. Pestonji Nasservanji Keval Kuber v. Talukdari Settlement Officer	G W. R., 168 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 194 24 W. R., 440 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 220 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 467 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 586	5176 2464 5943 2235 2680 3375, 5595
Kewajah Chyemun Kajah v. Jan Ally Chowdhry Kewal Kandoo v. Omrao Singh	1 W. R., 46 25 W. R., 166	3020 5753
Kewal Ram v. Khadim Husain Kewata Mug v. Nobocoomar Doss Kewul Sahoo v. Issur Dyal Roy	I. L. R., 5 All., 576 19 W. R., 207	3511 289, 1208 4284
v. Rash Narayan Singh Keyake Ilata Kotel Kanni alias Grani v. Yadattil	13 W. R., 445	3080 5963
Vellayangot Achuda Pisharodi. Khadar Bhi v. Rahiman Bhi Khadar Saheb v. Chotibibi	3 Mad., 167	5263 751 4046

Name of Case.	· Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Khadar Saib v. Khadar Bibi Khadejah Bibee v. Suffur Ali Khadem Ali v. Tajimunissa Khadem Hossein Khan v. Kalee Pershad Singh Khader Hussain v. Hussain Begum Khadim Ali v. Nazir Begum Khagendranath Malik v. Rakhaldas Sirkar Khairuddin Ahmed v. Abdul Baki Khair-un-nissa v. Gauri Shankar Khait Singh v. Heera Dass Khaja Patthanji. In re Khajarannissa v. Risannissa Begum	3 Mad., 149 4 W. R., 36 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 607 8 W. R., 49 5 Mad., 114 3 N. W., 262 2 B. L. R., S. N., 1 3 B. L. R., A. C., 65: 11 W., R., 410. I. L. R., 3 All., 484 1 Agra, 75 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 202 5 B. L. R., 84: 13 W. R., 371	4849 3733 1764 448 3681 1422 3282 1591; 1593 4927 8478 6158 3460 3331, 3332
	16 W. R., 152	3653, 3654 1224, 5829
Khajooroonissa v. Rohimoonissa		. 996, 3633
v. Roushan Jehan	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 184: 26 W. R., 36: L. R., 3 I. A., 291.	3634, 3680 3732
Khajooroonnissa v. Saifoolla Khan	15 B. L. R., 306 : 24 W. R., 163 : L. R., 2 I. A., 235.	3331, 3654
Khajoorunnissa v. Roheemunnissa	17 W. R., 190	3735
Khajuranissa Begum v. Ahmed Reza {	8 B. L. R., 166, note: 11 W. R., 88.	5189
Khajurunissa v , Ahmed Reza	8 B. L. R., 93 : 16 W. R., P.	1160
Khalut Chunder Ghose v. Minto	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 426	2828, 4936
Khan v. Bama Soonduree Dossee	Bourke, O. C., 244	5583, 6184 379 7
Khan Chand v. Collector of Boolundshahur .	1 N. W., Pt. VII, p. 110 : Ed. }	4103
Khando Narayan Kulkarni v. Afaji Sadashiv Kulkarni Khandu Dulabdas v. Tarachand Amarchand Khandu Moreshvar v. Shivji bin Gorkoji	1873, 197. I. L. R., 2 Bom., 370 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 574 5 Bom., A. C., 212	2850 4825 2796, 4424 5071, 5679
Khandu valad Keru v. Tatia valad Vithoba	8 Bom., A. C., 23.	5748
Khanto Bewah v. Nundram Nath Khantomonee Debia v. Komodinee Debia Khanum Jan v. Rutton Lal Khanzadee v. Collector of Boolundshuhur Kharag Singh v. Bholanath Kharak Chand Pal v. Tarack Chunder Gupta Kharshedji Nasarvanji Cama v. Secretary of State for Judia.	15 W. R., 369 25 W. R., 69 8 W. R., 95 . 1 Agra, 57 . I. L. R., 4 All., 8 . I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1030 .	5662 1674 1677 1492 2726 . 1264 348, 5800
Khasim v. Carlier	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 272	4393
Khaski Roy v. Farzand Ali Khan {	9 B. L. R., 125 : 18 W. R.,	1624
Khasro Mandar v. Prem Lal	9 B. L. R., Ap., 41: 18 W. R., 403.	528
Khatija Bibi. In the matter of	5 B. L. R., 557 { I. L. R., 9 Calc., 980: 13 C.}	2094, 3638 3851
v. Taruk Chunder Dutt {	L. R., 182.	6022
Khatoo Bibee v. Furukh Ali Khatoon Koonwar v. Hurdoot Narain Singh Khatun Bibi v. Abdullah Khayali v. Husain Bakhsh	6 W. R., Mis., 108 20 W. R., 163 I. L. R., 3 All., 9 I. L. R., 8 All., 198	1912 1139, 6224 4616 4795 4991
Khedaroonissa Bibee v. Boodhee Bibee	13 W. R., 317	-001

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Checordour Lall	Name of Casc.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
V. Keshub Chunder Paul Chow-dry. Chemilian State Chunder Chunder Ghose v. Collector of Bhaugulpere v. Prosunnomove Dossee v. Kishen Gobind Deb v. K. R., 1864, 73 V. R	Kheeromonee Dossee v. Beejoy Gobind Bural Kheeroodhur Lall v. Seetulram Khelalchunder Ghose v. Poorno Chunder Roy Khehram Doss Mistree v. Dhuree Doss Khelat Chunder Ghose v. Bhuggobutty Churn Mookerjee v. Prosunno Moyee Dassee Khellat Chunder Ghose v. Gourchurn Mojoondar	7 W. R., 533	1746, 1760 2362 3373, 4210 5254 897 1933
Tarachand Koondoo Chowdhry. 6 W. R., 269 33997 3340 33997 33540 33997 33540 33997 33540 33640	The Chunder Ghose v. Collector of Bhaugulpere v. Prosunnomeye Dossee . Khelut Chunder Ghose v. Kishen Gobind Deb . v. Kristo Gobind Deb .	16 W. R., 47 W. R., 1864, 73 W. R., 1864, Mis., 24 16 W. R., 128 18 W. R., 276 11 B. L. R., 428, note: 12 W.	3141, 6077 45 230 5064, 5339 6120
Pearee Debia Chowdhrain Shemeasuree Debia v Shoshee Bhoosun Gangooly Shema Soondaree Dossia v Nundkoomar Goopto Khema Gowalas Gujar v Rama L L R., 10 Bom., 519 3382, 3886 1691, 3142 1 L R., 4 All., 37 1691, 3142 1 Khema Kurun v Seeta Ram L R., 4 Calc., 251 7 C C 349 L R., 92 4 W R., 92 5 535 Khemunkuree Debia v Modhoomutty Debia L R., 6 Calc., 251 7 C 349 L R., 92 4 W R., 92 5 535 Khemunkuree Chowdhrain v Gourchunder Mojoomdar Khemunkuree Dossee v Gooroo Prosad Mytee 11 W R., 379 1700 878 11 W R., 379 1700 878 11 B L R., 33 20 W R. Cr., 13. 2 W R., 227 878 11 B L R., 33 20 W R. Cr., 13. 2 W R., 227 248 11 B L R., 33 20 W R. Cr., 13. 2 W R., 227 248 11 B L R., 33 20 W R. Cr., 13. 2 W R., 227 248 11 B L R., 33 20 W R. Cr., 13. 2 W R., 227 248 11 B L R., 33 20 W R. Cr., 13. 2 W R., 227 248 11 B L R., 33 20 W R. Cr., 13. 2 W R., 227 248 11 B L R., 33 20 W R. Cr., 13. 2 W R., 227 248 11 B L R., 33 20 W R. Cr., 13. 2 W R., 227 2 L R., 114 21 W R. 149 2 U	v. Tarachand Koondoo Chowdhry. Khema Debea v. Kamolakant Bukshi {	6 W. R., 269 10 B. L. R., 259, note: 10 W.	888, 3389 3997 3540
L. R., 92. 3838 3	Pearee Debia Chowdhrain. Khemamoyee alias Khemessuree Debia v. Shoshee Bhoosun Gangooly. Khema Soondaree Dossia v. Nundkoomar Goopto Khemji Bhagvandass Gujar v. Rama Khem Karan v. Har Dayal Khemkor v. Umiashankar Ranchhor	9 W. R., 95. 4 W. R., 75. I. L. R., 10 Bom., 519. 1. L. R., 4 All., 37. 10 Bom., 381. 2 N. W., 257.	2984 5355 3382, 3886 1691, 3142 2441
Cr., 13. 11 B. L. R., 33: 20 W. R., Cr., 13. 12 W. R., 227 248	Khemonkuree Debia v. Modhoomutty Debia Khemunkuree Chowdhrain v. Gourchunder Mojoomdar Khemunkuree Dabee v. Shurut Soonduree Dabee Khemunkuree Dossee v. Gooroo Prosad Mytee v. Nilambur Mundul	L. R., 92. 4 W. R., Mis., 23 . 5 W. R., 268 . 14 W. R., 9 . 11 W. R., 379 . 2 W. R., 227 . 5 W. R., 268 .	3838 143 5935 1700 878 143
Kherodemoney Dossee v. Doorgamoney Dossee Kherodhur Lall v. Doolee Chund Kheta Mal v. Chuni Lal Khetermonee Dassee v. Kishenmohun Mitter Khetoosee Cherooria v. Banee Madhub Doss Khetramani Dasi v. Kasi Nath Das Khetter Chunder Mookerjee v. Khetter Paul Sreete- Khetoosee Cherooria v. See v. Khetter Paul Sreete- Khetoosee Cherooria v. Banee Madhub Doss L. R., 4 Calc., 455: 2 C. L. R., 315. 3820 1402 12 W. R., 114 2830, 3950 2420 Khetter Chunder Mookerjee v. Khetter Paul Sreete- L. R., 5 Calc., 886: 6 C. 1848	v. Janab Mullah	Cr., 13. 11 B. L. R., 33: 20 W. R., Cr., 13. 2 W. R., 227 13 B. L. R., 114: 21 W. R., 149.	5600 248 · 5410
9070A	Kherodemoney Dossee v. Doorgamoney Dossee Kherodhur Lall v. Doolee Chund Kheta Mal v. Chuni Lal Khetarmonee Dassee v. Kishenmohun Mitter Khetoosee Cherooria v. Banee Madhub Doss Khetramani Dasi v. Kasi Nath Das Khetter Chunder Mookerjee v. Khetter Paul Sreete-	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 455: 2 C. L. R., 112: 3 C. L. R., 315. 19 W. R., 424 I. L. R., 2 All., 173 Marsh., 313 12 W. R., 114 2 B. L. R., A. C., 15: 10 W. R., F. B., 89. I. L. R., 5 Calc., 886: 6 C.	3820 1402 6155 2830, 3950 2420

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Khetter Mohun Chowrunghee. In the matter of the petition of.	22 W. R., Cr., 43	. 1270, 5911
Khetter Mohun Chuckerbutty v. Dinabashy Shaha Khetter Mohun Doss v. Chunder Money Dabee	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 265 Cor., 125	3181 2830
Khetter Mohun Dutt v. Wells	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 719: 11 C. L. R., 13.	3779
Khettermohun Ghose v. Ramessur Ghose . Khetter Monee Dabee v. Madhub Chunder Roy . Khettermonee Dossee v. Gopeemohun Roy . v. Sreenath Sircar . Khetternath Dutt v. Indro Jalia	W. R., 1864, 304	. 3849 . 686 . 3814, 5258 . 4493 . 5994
Khetter Nath Seal v. Shib Nath Chatterjee . Khetter Paul Singh v. Luckhee Narain Mitter Khettra Mohan Baboo v. Rash Behari Baboo Khettramohan Chatterjee v. Kisorimohan Bose Khettromony Dasi v. Sreenath Sircar .	W. R., 1864, 284 15 W. R., 125 5 B. L. R., 167 : 13 W. R., 25 1 B. L. R., O. C., 27 20 W. R., Cr., 14	4611 3184, 5324 [2] 636 1208 5153
Khettro Nath Dey v . Gossain Doss Dey	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 49 : 4 W. R., Mis., 18.	3181
Khettsey Das. In re	7 W. R., 188	$5051 \\ 12 \\ 2675$
Khettur Balsee v. Gour Hurree Khettur Gopal Chatterjee v. Poorno Chunder Chatterjee Khettur Mohun Banerjee v. Haradhun Chatterjee Khettur Mohun Chuttopadhya v. Ishur Chunder Surma Khettur Mohun Gossain v. Bhyrub Chunder Sheet Khettur Mohun Paul v. Ram Coomar Paul Khetturmonee Dossee v. Gopeemohun Roy Khetturnath Doss v. Ramjadoo Bhuttacharjee Khettur Nath Ghose v. Prosunno Ghose	W. R., 1864, 387 15 W. R., 483 19 W. R., 287 11 W. R., 271 3 W. R., 126 5 W. tt., Act X, 2 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 83 24 W. R., 498 7 W. R., 498	4825 2288 5327 403, 4523 2772 541 3814, 5258 2077 5288
Khetturnath Roy v. Durbesh Moonshee Khevraj Jusrup v. Lingaya	9 W. R., 358 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 2 3 B. L. R., Ap., 112: 12 W.)	4464 1719, 5401
Khidu v. Kali Sahu	R., 71.	
Khiluck Chunder Ghose v. Prosumomoyce Dassee	Marsh., 478 11 B. L. R., 194, note: 10)	1933
Khilut Chunder Ghosh v. Koonjlall Dhur .	W. R., 333.	2348, 2350
Khimji Chaturbhuj v. Forbes	8 Bom., O. C., 102	2806 2754, 2811
Khimji Jivraju Shettu v. Purushotam Jutani	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 171 .	4426
Khoblall Baboo v. Ramchunder Bose	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 434	5241
Khoda Baksh Mistri v. Beni Mandal	6 B. L. R., 719, note: 14 W. R., 156.	5661
Khodabhai Mahiji v. Bahdhar Dala	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 541 9 W. R., 595	2293 5028 5318 1881
v. Mowla Buksh	14 W. R., 255	183, 1215
v. Purmanund Dutt v: Shukroollah Khcda Buksh Khan v. Choa Khoda Bux. In re	5 W. R., 214 5 N. W., 220 19 W. R., 162 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 42	6078 3245 437 6002 2664
Khodabux v. Budree Narain Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 137: 8 C. L.	3158
Khodajamnissa v. Stevens.	R., 306 20 W. R., 433	436, 3266

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Khoda Neważ v. Nubo Kishore Raj	5 W. R., Act X, 53	1607, 1618 1662
Khodeja Bibee v. Johad Roheen	14 W. R., 320 12 W. R., 511	5477 5467
Khodejoonissa. In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., 486	4345, 5209 1759, 3166
Khodejoonnissa Bibee v. Lutafut Hossein	W. R., 1864, 171 .	6059
Khodie Lal v. Biswasu Kunwar	4 B. L. R., A. C., 131: 13 W. R., 122.	0121,0110
Khoffeh Jan Bebee v. Mahomed Mehdee Khogendro Narain Chowdhury v. Gouri Kant Nath Khomkar Abdool Guffoor v. Khoda Newaz Khondkar Abdoor Ruhman v. Woomachurn Roy Khondkar Kefaetoollah v. Mahomed Kabel Khondkar Nowazush Hossein v. Woosuloonissa Bibee Khoobchund v. Hazaree Lall	10 W. R., 211 11 C. L. R., 300	3727 4427 196 1626, 1656 4896 3983 5743
Whoobee v. Akbar	3 Agra, 103	3389 4930
Khoob Koonwur v. Moodnarain Singh	1 W. R., P. C., 36: 9 Moore's 1. A., 1.	
Khoob Lall v. Jungle Singh	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 787: 2 C.	225
Khoob Lall Sahoo v. Kadir Buksh Khoob Lall Singh v. Toolsee Singh Khoob Singh v. Bulwant Singh Khoobun v. Wooma Churun Singh	L. R., 439. 15 W. R., 431 17 W. R., 219 2 Agra, 302 3 C. L. R., 453	766 756 2918 881, 3436 4300
Khoodee Koiburtnee v. Durgadass Bhuttacharjee Khoodee Monee Dossee Ghossanee v. Koylash Chunder	15 W. R., Cr., 82 4 W. R., Mis., 22	4719 84
Ghose. Khoodee Ram Dutt v. Kishen Chand Goleecha Khooderam Chatterjee v. Rookhinee Boistobee Khood Narain Singh v. Toolsee Roy Khoodoomoney Dabee v. Goluckmoney Dabee Khoonoo v. Aoodal Singh	25 W. R., 145 15 W. R., 197 15 W. R., 9 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 36 8 W. R., 511	2740, 3222 2340 . 5145 678 5273
Khoorshed Hossein v. Nubbee Fatima .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 551: 2 C. L. R., 187.	539, 4314
v. Teknarain Sing Khoorshed Kazi v. Empress	2 C. L. R., 141 8 C. L. R., 542	. 4577 . '26, 2012 . 796, 2821
Khooshal Chund v. Palmer	1 Agra, 280	4424
Khooshalo v. Subookh Khooshal Rae v. Jankee Doss Khoresh Mahomed v. Mahomed Takee Khoroo Lall v. Kant Lall Khorshed Ali v. Chowdhry Wahid Ali v. Tayler	2 Agra, Pt. II, 170 1 Agra, 175 2 N. W., 9 10 C. L. R., 106 5 W. R., Mis., 37 15 W. R., 170 W. R., 1867, 15	222, 3301 2076 3894 5144 281 5922
Khorshedjee Manikjee v. Mehrwanjee Khoorshedjee	5 W. R., P. C., 57: 1 Moore's	4653
Khorun Singh v. Beharee Lall Khosal Chund v. Luchmee Chund Khosal Singh v. Toolsee Chowdhry Khoshalee v. Buljeet v. Nund Lall	I. A., 431. 3 Agra, 95	3369 2573 972 1569 1144
Khoshal Mahomed v. Joynooddeen Khoshelal Mahton v. Gunesh Dutt alias Nanhoo Singh	12 W. R., 451 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 690 .	, 3008 , 3149

	5934
	2, 39 22 5375
v. Narain Singh I. L. R., 3 All., 812 Khub Lal v. Ghina Hazari 2 B. L. R., A. C., 339 Khuda Bakhth v. Sheo Din 1. L. R. 8 All., 405 3062 Khudeeram Fiswas v. Koral Budonee Dossee 21 W. R., 379 4214 Khudeerun Iall v. Chutterdharee Lall 21 W. R., 242 4214 Khudiram Sigh v. Sham Singh Poojoory W. R., 1864, Mis., 25 4214 Khugendro Nath Mullick v. Kantee Ram Paul 14 W. R., 368 4214	5050 5629 2, 4790 5695 4, 5584 164 3803 2332
Khugowlee Sng a Hossein Buy Khan (7 B. L. R., 673: 15 W. R., 169)	1, 4962
Khugowlee Sng v. Hossein Bux Khan { 7 B. L. R., 673: 15 W. R., 169 P. C., 30 Khukroo Misain v. Jhoomuck Lall Dass 15 W. R., 263 2428 Khulleel Mabmed v. Furzam Ally 12 W. R., 269 12 W. R., 269 Khurnam Sirgh v. Bhawani Baksh I. L. R., 4 All., 255 34 Khurruckdhree Singh v. Pershadee Mundul 22 W. R., Cr., 44 625 Khurruckdhaee Singh v. Rewat Lall Singh 12 W. R., 167 12 Khusalbhai . Kabhai I. L. R., 6 Bom., 26 3115 Khusaldas v Sakharam Ramchandra Dikshit 12 Bom., 214 1573 Khushalohad Lalchand v. Ibrahim Fakir 3 Bomn, A. C., 23 2 Khushali v. Rani I. L. R., 4 All., 195 2 Khushalo v Behari Lal I. L. R., 3 All., 523 3321 Khuttun Koer v. Poona Kooer 24 W. R., 322 1 Khwahish li v. Surju Prasad Singh I. L. R., 3 All., 598 3 Khyrat Aliv. Mahomed Yaseen Khan 2 Agra, 207 1 — v. Syfullah Khan 8 W. R., 130 3 Khyroonisa v. Salehoonissa Khatoon 5 W. R., 238 33650 Kijayat A v. Ram Singh I. L. R., 212 1 Kiaram Baji v. Narayan Das 5 B.	1, 4962 8, 4011 5739 3346 2725 2, 6272 2, 4469 3, 3454 9, 2255 24811 672 1749 1749 1, 3695 1, 3384 1, 3695 1, 3494 1, 349
	33 4 33 4
Kinnoo Mnee Debia v. Shohoram Sirkar Kinoo Do v. Rughoonath Doss Kinoo Sirh Roy v. Nusseeroodeen Mahomed Chowdhry Kirani Ahedula v. Subabhat L. R., 8 Bom., 28 Kirath Clnd v. Ganesh Prasad Kirat Sin _{\(\text{\pi}\)} v. Ram Doss Kirath Sin _{\(\text{\pi}\)} v. Ram Doss Kirkland Modee Pestonjee Khoorshedjee Kirkland Modee Pestonjee Khoorshedjee Kirpa Shutur v. Pal Pandey Kirt Chung Roy v. Government Kirt Chung Roy v. Government Kirtebash ktee v. Remdhun Kherel 2752 4 W. R., 128 4 W. R., 34 3 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 28 W. R. F. B., 8 4 W. R. F. B., 8 4 I. L. R., 2 All, 358 3 Moore's I. A., 220 2699 Kirpa Shutur v. Pal Pandey L. A., 383 V. R., P. C., 41:1 Moore's L. A., 383 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 197: 7 W.	, 4042 256 056 289 358 197 324 , 4633 457 342
10., 020.	753

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kirtee Chunder Mitter v. Anath Nath Deb	13 C. L. R., 253	4441, 6084
v. Struthers	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 336: 3 C. L. R., 546.	1117
Kirtee Narain Chowdhry v. Protap Chunder Burooah Kirte Mohaldar v. Ramjan Mohaldar	W. R., F. B., 129 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 523	43, 4745 238
Kirti Ojha v. Rajkumar . Kirty Chunder Haldar v. Raj Chunder Haldar .	7 B. L. R., 29, note	5528 4804
Kirty Chunder Mitter v. Anath Nath Dey {	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 97: 13 C. L. R., 249.	3554, 5001
Kirty Churn Mitter v. Aunath Nath Deb	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 757: 11 C. L. R., 95.	6092
Kisandas Budhmal v. Halpin	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 218 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 494	5662 1134
Kisandram valad Hira Chand v. Jethiram valad Magniram.	5 Bom., A. C., 57	5748
Kisan Nandram v. Anandaram Bachaji	10 Bom., 433	812
Kisansing Jivansing v. Moreshwar Vishnu Kisara Rukkumma Rau v. Cripati Viyanna Dikshatulu	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 91 1 Mad., 369	2384 2704, 4441
Kishen Bullubh Mahta v. Belasoo Commur	3 W. R., 230	4002
Kishen Buttee Misrain v. Hickey	11 W R., 406	4939
Kishenbutty Misrain v. Roberts	16 W. R., 287 1 N. W., 95 : Ed 1873, 185	54 7, 333 7 3 4 95
v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R , 3 All., 829	5219
v. Sheo Baram Rai v. Kishen Lall v. Kishen Lall v. Sheo Baram Rai v. Kishen Lall v.	7 N. W., 121	4603
v. Kishen Lall	2 Agra, 123	2818
Kishen Chunder v . Chundrabolly Dossee	2 Hay, 180	248
Kishen Chunder Doss v. Buratee Sheikh	2 W. R., Act X, 36	4163
Kishen Chunder Gaen v. Sreeshtee Dhur Khattah	8 W. R., 208	244
Kishen Chunder Ghose v. Ashoorun	Marsh., 647 7 W. R., 365	5383 5361
v. Muddun Mohun Mozoomdar.	Marsh., 651	3900
Kishen Chunder Putronovis v. Tara Monee Chowdhrain	3 W. R., 4	194
Kishen Chunder Roy v. Ramkanaye Doss	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 23	3113
Kishen Chunder Shaha v. Hookoom Chand Shaha .	W. R., 1864, 47	4164
Kishen Coomaree Bibee v . Golab Coomaree Bibee	15 W. R., 477	3777
Kishendatt Ram v. Mumtaz Ali Khan {	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 198: 5 C. L. R., 213: L. R., 6 I. A., 145.	3961
Kishen Dhun Audhicaree v . Campbell	W. R., F. B., 22: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 79.	3015
Kishen Dhun Nundee v. Bhookto Polly	9 W. R., 461	4996
Kishendhun Surmah v. Ramdhun Chatterjee .	6 W. R., 235	2019
Kishen Doss v. Hurry Jeebun Doss	10 W. R., 324	2971
Kishen Dutt Ram Panday v. Narendar Bahadoor Singh	L. R., 3 I. A., 85	4186, 4221
Kishen Dyal Singh v. Monohur Lall	2 Hay, 381 2 W. R., Mis., 15	4143 1490
Kishen Geer v. Busgeet Roy	14 W. R., 379	1501, 2490
Kishen Gopal Mawar v. Barnes	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 374	571
Kishen Kaminee Chowdhrain v. Mohima Chunder Roy	Marsh., 339: 2 Hay, 459	1144
Kishen Kinkur Ghose v. Borodo Kanth Roy .	Marsh., 533: 2 Hay, 656	2705, 2815
v. Buroda Kant Roy.	8 W. R., 470	1450, 1883 3509, 3543
Kishen Komul Singh v. Janokee Dassee	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 23. W. R., F. B., 3.	2357
Kishen Koomar Mitter v. Mohesh Chunder Baneriee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 3	4936
Kishen Koomar Moitro v. Stevenson	2 W. R., 141	1575
Kishen Lal v. Kinlock	I. L. R., 3 All., 712	3347
Kishen Lall Chowdhry v. Chunder Coomar Roy .	W. R., 1864, 152	4277

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kishen Mohun Ghose v. Eshan Chunder Mitter . Kishen Mohun Jush v. Chunder Kant Chuckerbutty Kishen Mohun Koond v. Muddun Mohun Tewaree Kishen Mohun Mitter v. Khettermonee Dassee .	4 W. R., Act X, 36 6 W. R., Mis., 49 5 W. R., 32 2 Hay. 196	1603 3514 3157, 3192 6155
Kishen Mohun Mookerjee v. Rajoo Dey Kishen Mohun Shaha v. Ram Chunder Dey Kishenmonee Dabee v. Anund Nath Roy Kishen Monee Debia v. Kashee Soonduree Debia Kishen Pershad Singh v. Crowdy v. Mohun Singh Kishen Pertab Sahee Bahadoor v. Lalla Nund Coomar Singh Parray. Kishen Prosunno Mojomdar v. Nurduma Dossee Kishen Sahai v. Collector of Allahabad Kishen Sarup v. Desraj Kishen Singh v. Dabeer Singh	R., 234. 3 W. R., 28 W. R., F. B., 112 W. R., F. B., 106 23 W. R., 15 15 W. R., 420 25 W. R., 388	6114 6149 2266 2146 3843 4169 3952 5467 1872, 3486 1169
Kishen Singh v. Dabeer Singh	2 Agra, 272	4301 5150, 5160 5562
Kishen Soonder Roy v. Prosunno Nath Bhuttacharjee . Kishen Soondur v. Fukeeroodeen Mahomed Kishen Sunkur Dutt v. Mohamya Dossee	W. R., 1864, 208 W. R., 1864, 61 W. R., 1864, 210	$811 \\ 3253 \\ 2111$
Kishnanand v. Partab Narain Singh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 785 : L. R., 11 I. A., 88. I. L. R., 4 All., 237 I. L. R., 3 All., 855 2 N. W., 424 7 Bom., A. C., 56 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 407 22 W. R., 364 12 W. R., 188 20 W. R., 333 20 W. R., 171 3 Agra, 46 9 W. R., 269 2 N. W., 147 1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 11 : Ed. 1873, 71. 16 W. R., 281 14 W. R., 217 15 W. R., 198 19 W. R., Cr., 10 16 W. R., Cr., 48 24 W. R., 33 9 W. R., 252 10 W. R., 432	2699, 3336 3843 216 232 2487 4820 1209, 5608 108 2979 5334 17744 5210 5799 6019 3768 1985 4442 4338 4488 980 4467 2767, 5700 295
Kishori Lal Roy v. Sharut Chunder Mozoomdar . Kishori Mohun Ghose v. Moni Mohun Ghose . Kishori Mohun Rai v. Hursook Dass Kishory Mohun Pramanick. In the matter of the petition of. Kishto Coomer Singh v. Chowdree Beeraj Singh . Kishto Soondery Debea v. Kishtomotee Kishub Chunder Paul Chowdhry v. Khelat Chunder Ghose Kishub Chunder Shaha v. Brommo Moyee Dabea . Kishun Mohun Singh v. Toolsee Singh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 593: 10 C. L. R., 359. I. L. R., 12 Calc., 165 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 696 23 W. R., Cr., 6 2 Hay, 159 Marsh., 367: 2 Hay, 405 9 W. R., 361 1 W. R., 35 2 N. W., 102	1233 2469, 2538 902 5495 3894 2269 1932 5748 540

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest:
Kishun Pershad v. Bhawanee Deen Kishun Sahai v. Raghoo Singh	Agra. F. B., 47: Ed. 1874, 35 2 N. W., 64 6 W. R., 305	6096 5079 3252
Kissen Chand Golacha v. Megraj Kuturia Roy .	12 B. L. R., 445, note: 12)	4402
Kissen Kaminee Dossee v. Ram Chunder Mitter	W. R., 450.	327
Kissen Lala v. Javalla Prasad Lala	3 Mad., 346	2294
Kissnouund Ashrom Dundy v. Nursingh Doss Byragee.	Marsh., 485	3429, 4968 2644
Kissoreemohun Chatterjee v. Konnoy Loll Dutt Kissorymohun Roy v. Rajnarain Sen	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 247 1 Hyde, 263	2044 1150
Kissorynath Chowdhry v. Hurro Kant Chowdhry .	2 W. R., 183	1169
Kissun Sing v. Sturt	5 Mad., 471	5659
Kissur Chund v. Bhoobunessur Chunder Kistnasamy Pillay v. Municipal Commissioners for the	Bourke, O. C., 25: Cor., 151.	5583
Town of Madras.	4 Mad., 120	560 7 49 12
Kisto Churn Chuckerbutty v. Muggun Chuckerbutty Kisto Churn Mojoomdar v. Dwarka Nath Biswas	10 W. R., 491	£783, 6201
Kisto Coomar Chowdhry v. Anundmoyee Chowdhrain .	6 W. R., Mis., 128	5747
v. Gobind Coomar.	W. R., 1864, 133	5784
Kistodhone Dutt v. Nilmoney Singh	Cor., 3	751 5392
Kisto Dhone Gangooly v. Rabutty Dassee Kisto Kalee Moonshee v. Agemona Bewa	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 324 15 W. R., 170	4788
Kistokaminy Dossee v. Mirtoonjoy Dutt	11 B. L. R., Ap., 35	1205
Kisto Kant Bural v. Nistarinee Debia	8 W. R., 268	3496, 3526
Kistokinker Ghose Roy v. Burrodacaunt Singh Roy	10 B. L. R., 101: 17 W. R., 292: 14 Moore's I. A., 465.	1450, 1883 3509, 35 42
Kisto Kishore Ghose v. Soorjonath Sircar	10 W. R., 354	1934
Kisto Kishore Poddar v. Koylash Chunder Mookerjee .	12 W. R.,128	1137
Kisto Kishore Roy v. Issur Chunder Roy	15 W. R., 166	$\begin{array}{c} 94 \\ 2647 \end{array}$
Kisto Lall Gossain v. Joy Gopal Bysack Kisto Mohun Kurmokar v. Noyan Tara Dossee	21 W. R., 185 10 W. R., 389	331
Kistomohun Mookerjee v. Adarmoney Dabee	2 Hyde, 88	4236
v. Juggurnath Roy Joogee .	11 W. R., 236	1378, 6071
Kistomonee Chowdhry v. Sibchunder Chowdhry .	Marsh., 196: 1 Hay, 473	$3417 \\ 2146$
Kistomonee Debia v. Anund Nath Roy	W. R., F. B., 112 12 W. R., 139	3556
Kisto Moyee Dossee v. Prosunno Narain Chowdhry	6 W. R., 304	2516
Kisto Persad Dutt v. Cowie	W. R., 1864, 315 .	57 30
Kistoram Mohara v. Anis	20 W. R., Cr., 47	1535
Kittappa v. Somanna Knowles v. National Bank of India	6 Mad., 51	320 2 5638
Kobeerooddeen Ahmed v. Nyan Bebee	8 W. R., 354	2735, 4192
Kodoo Mundul v. Shushee Shikhur Sircar	16 W. R., 227	5703
Kodrutoollah v. Mohuree Shaha	9 W. R., 537	370 7
Koduthi v. Madu	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 321	2524 1113
v. Prosonno Coomar Chatterjee	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 42 I. L. R., 2 Calc., 472	4275
v. Yule	5 B. L. R., 401: 14 W. R, O.	2998
Koh Poh v. Moung Tay	C., 45. 10 W. R., 143	5125
Ko Htaw Ah v. Nan Karay Phaw	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 124 : L. R., 13 I. A., 48.	5617
Koibutoollah v. Motee Peshakur	13 W. R., 276	1363
Koji Ram v. Ishar Das	I. L. R., 8 All., 273	3296
Kojiyadu v. Lakshmi Kokilmoni Dassia v. Manick Chandra Joaddar	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 149	2341, 2524 3401
Kokil Singh v. Duli Chund	5 C. L. R., 243	3923

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kokya Dine. In the matter of	2 B. L. R., A. C., 79:10 W.	6199
Ko Kywetnee v. Ko Koung Bane Kola Aheer v. Sajna Ahimur Kolai Ram v. Pali Ram Kolandai Mudali v. Saukara Bharadhi Kolasherri Illath Naranian v. Kolasherri Illath Nilakandan Nambudri. Kolashun Bibee v. Didar Buksh	R., 417. 5 W. R., 189 3 N. W., 105 I. L. R., 7 All., 755 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 302 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 131 24 W. R., Cr., 44	2316 5680 1494 4392 292, 1437 5954 3699
Koleemooddeen Mundul v. Heerun Mandul	24 W. R., 186	5782
Kollany Koer v. Luchmee Pershad	1. 11. 10., 4 mau., 124 .	2266 2547, 3400
Kolluri Nagabhashanam v. Ammanna Kollu Shettati v. Manjaya Koloo Misr v. Bhyro Kulwar Komaloodeen Sheikh v. Collector of Midnapore Komathi v. Gurunada Pillai	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 71 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 454 2 N. W., 258 11 W. R., 233 3 Mad., 114.	4835 786 2976 4054 2853
Komireddi Varaha Narasimham v. Chevala Ramasami Nayudu.	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 136 .	3590
Komlakant Deghal. In the matter of the petition of Komlakant Doss v. Pogose	11 W. R., 127	4445 8
Komola Kaminy Debia v. Lokenath Kur {	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 825, note C. L. R., 183.	4876
Komolakant Biswas. In the matter of Komola Kant Chuckerbutty v. Nurro Singho Singh Komolapershad Narain Singh v. Nokh Lall Sahoo	4 C. L. R., 401	4664 5348 1038
Komollochun Dutt v. Nilruttun Mundle {	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 360: 4 L. R., 175.	4669
Komul Chunder Sen v. Surbessur Doss Goopto	21 W. R., 298	1477, 1899
Komul Kishen Surkhul v. Bissonath Chuckerbutty	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., Ap. 3: W. \ R., F. B., 128.	66
Komul Kristo Bonick. In the matter of	12 C. L. R., 231	4105, 4360 3847 5232 1614 2207 3045 136 5379
Konaram Gaonburah v. Dhatoaram Thakoor . {	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 196 : 7 C. R., 47.	5177, 5183
Kondadu v. Ramudu Kondaji Bagaji v. Anan Kondappa Naik v. Annamalay Chetty	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 294 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 448 4 Mad., 396	101 2797 3579
Kondaraju Venkata Subbaiya v. Rama Krishnamma alias Urupkristnaiya.	4 Mad., 75	3493
Kondasawmy Pillay v. Kristna Swamy Pillay Kondayya v. Guruvappa Kondayya Gaundan v. Ramasvami Gaundan Kondi Menon v. Sranginreagatta Ahammada Kondi Munisami Chetti v. Dakshanamurthi Pillai Kondoli Tea Company. In re	5 Mad., 189 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 139 1 Mad., 130 1 Mad., 248 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 371 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 43	1897 4835 4531 3758 5302 5857
Koner Manohar Mahajan Ambekar v. Naro Hari Das-	1 Bom., 167	3976
Konerrav v. Gurrav	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 589 .	2471, 5001

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Koni Chetty v. Veriappa Chetti	4 Mad., 325	1083
Konnapalen Uthatchadayan Haje v. Perotta Meloden Ramen Nambiar.	4 Mad., 422	998
Konoy Loll Doss, In re	Bourke, O. C., 51 3 W. R., Act X, 126	5898 1635
Koob Lall Chowdhry v. Nittyanund Singh .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 839 : 12 C. L. R., 393.	4806, 6153
Koobur Khansama v. Jan Khansama	8 W. R., 467	5100
Koodee Monee Dabea v. Tarachand Chuckerbutty Koodrut Ali v. Gholam Ali	2 W. R., 134	$\begin{array}{c} 2420 \\ 5223 \end{array}$
Kooerya v. Doorga Pershad	2 N. W., 139	3009
Kookor Sing. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 130	5543 877
Kool Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Kumul Chunder Roy .	6 W. R., Mis., 17	3492
Kool Chunder Surmah v. Ramjoy Surmona	10 W. R., 8	329, 2199
Kooldebnarain Shahee v. Wooma Coomaree	Marsh., 357: 2 Hay, 370	4156 2266, 2536
Kooldeb Singh v. Juggunath Singh	2 W. R., Mis., 19	220
Kooldeep Kooer v. Runjeet Singh	24 W. R., 231	2178
Kooldeep Narain Singh v . Government of India . $\}$	I. A., 247.	2036
- v. Luckhun Sing	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 917: 9 W. R., 218.	573 0
· v. Mohadeo Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 559: 6 W. R., 199.	2036
Kooldeepnarain Singh v. Rummon Singh	22 W. R., 278	5756
Kooldeep Singh v. Ram Deen Singh	24 W. R., 198	3717 1339
Koolsun Bibee v. Edoo Koomaree Dabee v. Greesh Chunder Lahoory	25 W. R, Cr., 35	414
Koomaree Dassee v. Bama Soonderee Dassee	10 W. R., 202	1381
Koomarnarain Roy v. Superintendent of Salt Chowkey, Juliessur.	1 Hay, 247	5494
Koomeerunnissa Begum v. Hunooman Doss	Marsh., 122	1204, 2981 3835
Koomkurrun v. Michael	Bourke, O. C., 259	421
Koomodinee Debia v. Poornoo Chunder Mookerjee Koomodinee Kant Banerjee Chowdhry v. Huree Churn	10 W. R., 301	1748
Tupadar.	24 W. R., 190	1625
Koomud Chunder Dass v. Chunder Kant Mookerjee. {	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 498: 5 C. L. R., 264.	5814
Koomud Chunder Roy v. Seetakanth Roy	W. R., F. B., 75 {	2284, 2302 2308
Koonari Bibi v. Dalim Bibi	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 14	369 5 4612
Koondo Nath Surma Gossamee v. Dheer Chunder Sur-	1 Agra, 17	1441, 1740
ma Odhikar Gossamee. Koondun Lal v. Himmut Singh	3 N. W., 86	4034
v. Wazeer Alı	3 N. W., 205	3892
Koondun Lall v. Makhun Lall	1 N. W., 168: Ed. 1873, 247	329, 479 7 4800, 4806
v. Noor Ali	10 W. R., 3	5589.
v. Ram Ruchs Sing	14 W. R., 465 8 W. R., 270	1908 2 3 62
Koonj Beharee Ghur. In the matter of .	11 W. R., 171	5508, 5942
Koonj Beharee Lall v. Girdharee Lall .	22 W. R., 484	1879, 3526
Koonj Beharee Pattuck v. Gyadeen Pattuck Koonj Beharee Rau v. Bukshee Luchmun Doss	11 W. R., 361 . • 19 W. R., 188 . •	2343 · 4192
	•	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Koonjbehari Dhur v. Premchand Dutt {	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 684: 5 C. }	2 50 5
Koonj Behary Awustee v. Tarinee Kant Lahoree .	8 W. R., 285	4913
Koonjbehary Chowdhry v. Eknath Gurain	15 W. R., Cr., 43	4727
Koonj Behary Chowdhry v. Gocool Chunder Chowdhry.	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 616	682
Koonj Behary Lall v. Rajnarain	2 Agra, 244	3380
Koonj Behary Patuck v. Shiva Baluk Singh Koonjo Behary Banerjee v. Roy Mothooranath Chow-	Agra, F. B., 119: Ed. 1874, 89	3002 4169
_dhry.		100
Koonjobehary Lall v. Doomney	14 W. R., Cr., 29	100
v. Rughoo Nath Dome	14 W. R., Cr., 29	100
Koonjo Behary Roy v. Poorno Chunder Chatterjee {	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 450: 12 C. L. R., 55.	4245 5612
Koonjo Behary Singh v. Nilmoney Singh Deo	4 C. L. R., 296	558
Koonjo Lall Mundul v. Dabee Pershad Tewaree	22 W. R., 398	4468
Koonjo Mohun Dass v. Nobo Coomar Shaha	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 216	5841
Koonjo Mohun Doss v. Krishna Chunder Shaha .	16 W. R., 206	5313
Koontee Debee v. Hirdoy Nath Durreepa Koonwer Singh v. Shib Dyal	3 Agra, 297	5627
Tr Tri	3 N. W., 322	3029
Koora v. Dick v. Robinson	2 Agra, Mis., 2	4583
Kooranee Bibee v. Bhubun Mohinee Dossee	6 W. R., Mis., 52	436
Kooroonamoye Chowdhrain v. Joy Sunker Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 267	1993
Koosoom Kaminee Debee v. Chunder Kant Mookerjee .	23 W. R., 346	77
Koosumba v. Tufuzzul Hossein	13 W. R., 85	485
Kopasan v. Shamu	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 440	5820
Kopil Dolai v. Kanhai Jenna	24 W. R., Cr., 71	4750
Kopilnath Sahi v. Koneeram	14 W. R., Cr., 3 · · ·	6027
Kopil Pooree v. Manick Sahoo	20 W. R., 287	52 86 43 85
Itoraga o, queen	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 374	413
Koraj Koonwar v. Komal Koonwar	6 W. R., 34	974
Korban Ali v. Ashan Ali	4 W. R., 4	2772
•	10 0 7 7 0 0 0	4767, 4769
Korban Ali Mirdha v. Pitumbari Dasi	13 C. L. R., 256 {	5889
TT 1 All Mr It Channel Thefal . 1 At 1	T T D 10 Cala 99	4767, 4769
Korban Ally Mirdha v. Sharoda Proshad Aich	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 82 . {	5889
Koreem Bux v. Hoormut	20 W. R., Cr., 60	972
v. Nomeero	20 W. R., 349	5702
Koromali v. Amir Ali	3 C. L. R., 166	3718, 3725 763
Koroonamoyee Debia v. Nubokishen Mookerjee	11 W. R., 18	5532
Koroonamoyee Dossee v. Nubo Kishore Sein	12 W. R., 465 6 W. R., Mis., 36	759
v. Shib Chunder Dey	6 W. R., Act X, 50	1603
Kosala Rama Pillai v. Saluckai Tevar alias Oyya Tevar.	8 Mad., 189	1400, 2236
	6 B. L. R., 196: 14 W. R., }	
Ko Shoay Doon v. Shoay-Gan	331.	5722 1202, 2753
Kossella Koer v . Beharee Patuck	12 W. R., 70	6091
Kota. In re	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 134	1235
Kotamarti Sitarammayya v. Kotamarti Vardhanamma.	7 Mad., 351	1412
Kota Muthanna Chetti v. Alibeg Sahib	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 174	4836
Kotarbasapa v. Chanverova	10 Bom., 403	2511
Kota Seetamma v. Kollipurla Soobbiah	8 Mad., 81	384, 5220
Kotha Subba Chetti v. Queen	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 252	1268, 1965
Kotoo v. Ko Pay Yah	6 W. R., 255 {	1074, 2585
and any arms of the second second	,	2710

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kottalanada v. Muthaya Kottala Uppi v. Shangara Varma Kottala Uppi v. Shangara Varma Kottale Uppi v Kalliyatt Panoli Kunni Kutti Kottal Uppi v. Edavalath Thathan Nambudiri Kottam Zamindar v. Pittapur Zamindar Kotta Ramasami Chetti v. Bangari Seshama Nayanivaru Kotun Ram Doss v. Collector of Sylhet Koula Put v. Maharaj Doobey Kowlbas Koer. In re Kowsulliah Sundari Dasi v. Mukta Sundari Dasi Kowsullya Dossee v. Ram Juggurnath Dey Sircar Koyilothputenpurayil Manoki Koran Nayar v. Puthen	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 374 3 Mad., 161 1 Mad., 7 6 Mad., 258 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 171 I. L. R., 3 Mad., 145 22 W. R., 523 1 Agra, 278 8 B. L. R., Ap., 50 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 588 8 W. R., 162 3 Mad., 294	961 4945 5173 3027 665 2331, 2386 3074 4540 3564 137 2735 3758, 6134
Purayil Manoki Chanda Nayar. Koylas Chunder Shaw v. Muddoosoodun Chowdhry Koylash Bashiny Dossee v. Gocoolmoni Dossee Koylash Chunder Banerjee v. Kaleeprosunno Chowdhry	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 12	355 3375, 4205 5354 4601, 5334
Koylash Chunder Biswas v. Bissesurce Dossee Koylash Chunder Bose v. Anarullah Sheikh Koylash Chunder Dass. In the matter of the petition of.	10 W. R., 408 9 C. L. R., 467 20 W. R., Cr., 78	3040 2025, 5815 6065
Koylash Chunder Dass v. Boykoonto Nath Chundra Koylash Chunder Dey v. Joy Narain Jalooah Koylash Chunder Doss v. Tariney Churn Singhee. Koylash Chunder Dutt v. Jubur Ali Koylash Chunder Ghose v. Ashruf Ali v. Khettermonee Dossee v. Raj Chunder Banerjee v. Sonatun Chung Barooie	R., 167. 7 W. R., 93. 1. L. R., 10 Calc., 588. 22 W. R., 29 22 W. R., 101 2 W. R., 4ct X, 57 12 W. R., 180 1. L. R., 7 Calc., 132: 8 C. L.	3312 4925 5807 5342 2801 1702 5985
Koylash Chunder Koosari v. Ram Lall Nag. Koylash Chunder Paul Chowdhry v. Preonath Roy Chowdhry. Koylash Chunder Roy v. Ellis.	R., 281. J. L. R., 6 Calc., 206 J. L. R., 4 Calc., 610: 3 C. L. R., 25. 8 W. R., 45.	5734 3267 4403
Koylash Chunder Sen v. Koylash Chunder Chakrabarti Koylashessur Bose v. Narainee Dossee Koylash Nath Haldar v. Oomanath Roy Chowdhry Koylashnauth Chowdhry. In the matter of Koylashnauth Chund v. Monmohiney Dossee Koylashnauth Roy v. Bodun Monee Dabea Koylasnath Doss v. Gyamonee Dossee Koylas Nath Ghose v. Nitya Shama Dassee.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1057 10 W. R., 303 11 W. R., 170 16 W. R., Cr., 41 Marsh., 276 2 Hay, 386 W. R., 1864, 314 15 W. R., 449	900 2379 1753 3882 336 4428 2333 3637
Kripamoyee Debia v. Dropudee Chowdhrain v. Romanath Chowdhry. Kripa Moyee Dossee v. Poorun Chunder Roy Kripa Nath Chakee v. Dyal Chand Pal Kripanath Mojoomdar v. Saroda Chowdhrain Kripanath Surma v. Nritokalee Dabee Kripanath Tullapattur v. Bhashaye Mollah.	24 W. R., 213 2 W. R., P. C., 1 11 W. R., 403 22 W. R., 169 1 W. R., 283 12 W. R., 137 6 W. R., 105	583 1724, 4650 3496 5197 5789 1393 1504
Kriparam v. Bhagwan Dass Kripomoyee Debia v. Grish Chunder Lahoree Krishendro Roy Chowdry v. Digumburee Debia Chowdhrain.	1 B. L. R., A. C., 68: 10 W. R., 100. 8 Moore's I. A., 46/ 16 W. R., 15	5027 1725, 4650 2774
Krishen Kishore Chund v. Mahomed Zukahoollah	Agra, F. B., 148: Ed. 1874, 111.	4812, 4848

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Krishna v. Nanu	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 484 .	3759
v. Rayappa Shanbhaga.	4 Mad., 98	1577, 2215
v. Reade	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 31	4575 4053
v. Sami	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 64	2336
v. Subbanna	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 564	2374, 2472 3593
. Venkata Sami . Krishna Aiyan v. Anantarama Aiyan	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 164 2 Mad., 330	5263
Krishnabai v. Sonubai	2 Bom., 327: 2nd Ed., 310	4257
Krishna Behari Roy v. Brojeswari Chowdhranee	L. R., 2 I. A., 283 .	5027
v. Bunwari Lall Roy {	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 144: 25 W. R., 1.	5027
Krishnabhat bin Hiragange v. Kapabhat bin Mahalbhat.	6 Bom., A. C., 137 .	3362
Krishna Chandra Chuckerbutty v. Krishna Chandra Banik.	3 B. L. R., A. C., 211 .	5280
Krishna Chandra Das v. Mahomed Afzal {	1 B. L, R., A. C., 11: 10 W. R., 51.	32 80
Krishna Chandra Sandyal Chowdhry v. Harish Chandra	8 B. L. R., 524	3436, 4253 5629
Chowdhry. • Krishna Chandra Shaha v. Omed Ali	6 B. L. R., Ap., 31	3530
Krishna Chetty v. Rami Chetty :	8 Mad., 99	3181, 3186
Krishna Churn Baisack v. Protab Chunder Surma	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 560 .	3469 6006, 623 4
Krishna Deb v. Woopendra Krishna Deb	12 W. R., O. C., 4	2263
Krishna Devu Garu v. Ramchandra Devu Maharajulu	3 Mad., 153	3374, 3578
Garu. Krishna Dhone Dutt v. Troilekia Nath Biswas	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 537 .	4484
Krishnadhun Chowdhry v. Hur Coomary Chowdhrain .	25 W. R., 37	3364
Krishna Ghose v. Ram Narain Mohapattur	25 W. R., 214	2974
Krishna Gobind Dhur v. Hari Churn Dhur	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 367: 12 C. L. R., 19.	3420
Krishna Gopal Ghose v. Hurry Nath Dutt	25 W. R., 60	4260
Krishnaji <i>alias</i> Babaji Keshav v. Ravji Sadashiv. Krishnaji Kesava Pundit v. Subbayara Takker	9 Bom., 79	3442, 3975 847
Krishnaji Lakshman Rajvade v. Sitaram Murarrav	7 Mad., 387	1470, 3904
Jakhi.	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 496 .	4417, 4974
Krishnaji Narayan v. Govind Bhaskar	9 Bom., 275	1568, 2213 5089
Krishnaji Nimkar v. Vishnu Nimkar	2 Bom., 360: 2nd Ed., 340	781
Krishnaji Raghunath v. Anandrav Ballal Kolhalkar	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 293 .	3478 6147
Krishnaji Ravji v. Ganesh Bapuji Krishnaji Ravji Godbole v. Ramchandra Sadashiv	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 139 . I. L. R., 1 Bom., 70 .	6147 2960
Krishnaji Vishvanath Joshi v. Mukund Chimanshet	2 Bom., 18: 2nd Ed., 19	3256, 3403
Krishnaji Vithal v. Bhaskar Rangnath	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 611 .	3268
Krishnaji Vyanktesh v. Pandurang	12 Bom., 65	2279 $841, 3520$
Krishna Kamal Sing v. Hiru Sirdar Krishnakanta Paramanik v. Bidya Sundaree Dasi	4 B. L. R., F. B., 101 . 2 B. L. R., Ap., 25 .	355
Krishna Kinkur Roy v. Madhub Chunder Chuckerbutty	21 W. R., 283	5662
Krishna Kishore Poddar v. Kailas Chandra Mookerjee.	6 B. L. R., 641, note .	1137
Krishna Kishore Shaha v. Bireshur Mozoomdar .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 595 : 3 C. L. R., 177.	5741
Krishna Kishore Poddar v. Woomesh Chunder Roy	13 B. L. R., 214: 21 W. R., 448.	494
Krishna Lall Dutt v. Radha Krishna Surkhel	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 402	3393
Krishnama v. Krishnasami	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 62 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 388	5264 2406
v. Perumal	To Ma Ive, O Made, 900	2200

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Krishnamachari v. Gangarau Reddi	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 229 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 339 3 Mad., 381	3588 6079 3058 3763
Krishnambhat bin Shivrambhat v. Lakshumanbhat bin Ganeshbat.	1 Bom., 141	5094
Krishnamma v. Achayya	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 306	3270 2290, 2320 3580 4561
Krishna Mohan Shaha v. Aftabuddin Mahomed .	8 B. L. R., 134: 15 W. R.,	5335
Krishna Mohun Basek v. Kunj Behary Basak Krishna Mohun Bose v. Okhilmoni Dossee Krishna Mohun Bysack. In the matter of Krishna Mongul Shaha v. Akbar Jumma Khan . Krishnan v. Nilakandan	9 C. L. R., 1	3819, 5284 3134, 3235 4096 3857 784, 3479 6082 1056 5150, 5160
Krishnanand Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 50	5562 4747
Krishna Nath Sircar v. Ram Kumar De	7 C. L. R., 144	5513 5513
Krishna Padhe. Ex parte Krishnapa valad Santu v. Panchapa valad Gurupadapa	6 Bom., A. C., 50 6 Bom., A. C., 258	3147 5411, 5485
Krishnappa valad Mahadappa v. Bahiru Yadavrav .	8 Bom., A. C., 55 . {	3545, 3941 4827
Krishna Ram v. Gobind Prasad Krishnaramani Dasi v. Ananda Krishna Bose Krishnarav v. Antaji Virupuksha	I. L. R., 8 All., 20 4 B. L. R., O. C., 231 12 Bom., 227	195 2553 1230 1188
V. Govind Krishnarav Ganesh v. Rangrav Krishnarav Jahagirdar v. Govind Trimbak	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 25, note 4 Bom., A. C., 1 12 Bom., 85	2054 1178
Krishnarav Ramchandra v. Manaji bin Sayaji	11 Bom., 106 · · {	2986, 428 5 5746
Krishnarav Venkatesb v. Vasudev Anant	11 Bom., 15 · · ·	181, 5451
Krishnarav Yashvant v. Vasudev Apaji	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 371 . {	2773, 4193 4459
Krishna Reddi v. Muttu Narayana Reddi	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 127 I. L. R., 1 Mad., 192 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 20	3939 103 426 1772
Krishnasami Pillai v. Rangasami Chetty	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 112	4167, 5177 5186
v. Venkatachella Aiyan Krishnasami Tatacharyar v. Krishnama Charyar	3 Mad., 89	4820 5263
Krishnashankar v. Chandrashankar	I. L. R., 5 Bom, 198 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 597	5421 2258
Krishnayyan v. Muttusami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 407	2321
Krishnayyar v. Venkayyar	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 81 21 W. R., 27	3518 199 4
Krishno Chunder Goopto v. Sufdar Ali	22 W. R., 326 · · ·	2053, 3068
Krishno Churn. In the matter of the petition of .	17 W. R., Cr., 2	5146
Krishno Kumar Baisak v. Bhim Lall Baisak Krishno Lall Nag v. Bhyrub Chunder Deb	4 C. L. R., 38 22 W. R., 52	2880 4252
Krishno Monee v. Empress	6 C. L. R., 289	1013

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Krishtendra Roy v. Aena Bewa. Krishto Bundhoo Bhuttacharjee v. Rotish Shaikh. Krishto Coomar Chuckerbutty v. Anund Coomar Dutt Krishto Kishore Chuckerbutty v. Ram Lochun Burdhun	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 675: 10 C. \(\) L. R., 399. 25 W. R., 307 19 W. R., 307 2 W. R., Mis., 49	1876 4924 578 1924
Krishto Kishore Dutt v. Roop Lall Dass Krishto Kishori Chowdhrain v. Radha Romun Munshi .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 687: 10 L. R., 609. I. L. R., 12 Calc., 330	1448, 1892 4614
Krishto Lall Ghose v. Bonomalee Roy Krishto Mohun Doss Bukshee v. Joy Kishen Mookerjee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 611 : 5 C. L. R., 43. 3 W. R., 33	4808
Krishto Motee Debia v. Ram Nidhee Sircar. Kristaya v. Kasipati Kristna Aiyangar v. Perumal Nadan Kristna Ayyan v. Venkatachella Mudali Kristna Chetti v. Balarama Chetti	9 W. R., 331 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 55 2 Mad., 436 7 Mad., 60 1 Mad., 139	3373 3800 5239 4429 2617, 4574 402
Kristna Mudali v. Shanmuga Mudaliar Kristna Row v. Muttukistna Kristnappa Chetty v. Ramaswamy Iyer Kristnappah. In the matter of the petition of Kristna Rau v. Chinna Subbu Mudali v. Krishna Mudali	6 Mad., 248. 4 Mad., 244 8 Mad., 25 6 Mad., 38 6 Mad., 204 6 Mad., 204	1056 468 2349, 2358 4621 3591 3591
v. Mahadeva Mudali v. Nyniappa Mudali v. Solayappa Mudali Kristna Reddi v. Srinivasa Reddi Kristna Reddy v. Strinivasa Reddy	6 Mad., 204 6 Mad., 204 6 Mad., 204 5 Mad., 174 4 Mad., Ap., 56, note	3591 3591 3591 2775 2771 3198, 3219
Kristna Row v. Hachapa Sugapa Kristnasamy Pillay v. Municipal Commissioners for	2 Mad., 307	3378 5825
the Town of Madras. Kristnasamv Tatacharry v. Gomatum Rangacharry Kristo Beharee Roy v. Bunwaree Lall Roy. Kristo Behary Dass v. Empress. Kristo Bhabiney Dossee v. Ashutosh Bosu Mullick Kristo Chunder Acharjee v. Kashee Thakooranee.	4 Mad., 63	2249, 5791 5027 1291 2470 3851, 4053
Kristo Chunder Burmo Surmah v. Mohesh Chunder Burmo Surmah. Kristo Chunder Chatterjee v. Wise	23 W. R., 381	3368 1146
Kristo Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Kristo Chunder Burnick v. Ram Brohmo Chucker-	12 W. R., 76	5280 2775
Kristo Chunder Dass v. Steel Kristo Chunder Doss v. Soudamonee Dossee Kristo Chunder Ghose v. Raj Kristo Bandyopadhya Kristo Chunder Goopto v. Brojo Mohun Dey Chowdhry v. Fuzul Ali Khan v. Ramsoondur Sein	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 279 12 W. R., 174 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 24 22 W. R., 183 17 W. R., 389 17 W. R., 14	6188 5983 5269 156 748 819
Kristo Chunder Kurmokar v. Rughoonath Kurmokar	12 B. L. R., 352, note: 10 W. R., 328.	2351
Kristo Chunder Mahata v. Obinessuree Debia Kristo Chunder Mookerjee v. Chunder Pershad Banerjee Kristo Chunder Murdraj v. Poorosuttum Dass Kristo Chunder Sandel Chowdhry v. Shama Soonduree	11 W. R., 532 23 W. R., 252 15 W. R., 424	5145 685 2934, 5080
Debea Chowdhrain. Kristo Chunder Shaha v. Oomed Ali	22 W. R., 520	3377 , 3436 353 0

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kristo Chunder Sundyal v. Kashee Kishore Roy Chowdhry. Kristocomul Mitter v. Suresh Chunder Deb. { Kristo Coomar Chowdhry v. Anund Moyee Chowdhrain Kristo Coomar Nag v. Mahabat Khan	17 W. R., 145	3436, 4253 5629 2633 1453 3487 6016 3268, 3950 4885 1153, 1288 1914 5132
Kristo Gobind Kur v. Gunga Pershad Surma v. Kristo Gopal Shaha v. Kasheenauth Shah Kristo Indro Saha v. Huromonee Dassee Kristo Jeebun Bukshee v. Mackintosh Kristo Kinkur Puramanick v. Ramdhun Chettangia Kristo Kishore Bhuttacharjee v. Seetamonee Bhuttacharjee. Kristo Kishore Ghose v. Brojonath Mozoomdar	23 W. R., 266 25 W. R., 372 6 W. R., 66 L. R., 1 I. A., 84 W. R., 1864, 53 24 W. R., 326 7 W. R., 320 6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 11	5753 813 1701 1701, 4634 5322 3, 4892 1501 4758, 4760
Kristo Kissor Neoghy v. Kadermoye Dossee Kristo Komul Singh v. Huree Sirdar Kristo Lall Moitro v. Nobbo Coomar Roy Kristo Lall Nag. In the matter of the petition of Kristo Mohinee Dossee v. Kaliprosono Ghose	2 C. L. R., 583	2276, 3092 841, 3520 6125 4443 822, 825 1162, 5249
Kristomohiney Dossee v. Bama Churn Nag Chowdhry Kristo Mohiney Dossee v. Kally Prosonno Ghose	I. L. R., 7 Cale., 733: 9 C. L. R., 344. I. L. R., 6 Cale., 485: 8 C.	206, 5374 1937, 4518
Kristo Mohun Bysack v. Collector of Dacca . Kristo Mohun Christian v. Anunda . Kristo Mohun Pattur v. Huree Sunkur Mookerjee Kristo Monee Debia v. Buroda Dossia Chowdhrain Kristomonee Debia v. Fakeer Chand Khan . Kristomonee Thakoor v. Bishambhur Doss . Kristo Prea Dossee v. Puddo Lochun Mytee . Kristo Prosad Mundle. In the matter of the petition of Kristo Protibar v. Alladinee Dassee . Kristo Ram Roy v. Janokee Nath Roy	L. R., 43. 24 W. R., 91 16 W. R., 249	477 3785 1654 1453 1439 208, 5739 1677 4718 562
Kristo Sundur Sandyal v. Chunder Nath Roy Kristo Sunkur Dutt Roy v. Koylash Nath Dutt Roy Kristo Surma Adhikaree v. Basoodeb Gossamee Kritarthomoyee Debia v. Khetter Nath Sircar Krodesh Sen v. Kamini Mohun Sen Kubair Singh v. Atmaram Kubair Singh v. Atmaram Kubeer Chunder Bundo v. Ramkanye Doss Biswas Kubeeroodeen v. Jogul Shaha Kubeerun v. Sufeehun Kudeerun v. Lallun Kudhara v. Khuman Singh Kudomee Dossce v. Joteeram Kolita Kudomessuree Dossee v. Emam Ali	L. R., 324. 15 W. R., 230 15 W. R., 6 12 W. R., 424 9 W. R., 472 10 C. L. R., 161 I. L. R., 5 All., 332 17 W. R., 174 15 W. R., 133 24 W. R., 388 14 W. R., 366 1 Agra, 265 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 305 20 W. R., 167	2982 4271 2781 878 2299 6086 685 2018 4212 471 4557 2443, 5099 3147

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Kudratulla v. Mahini Mohan Shaha {	4 B. L. R., F. B., 134: 13 W. R., F. B., 21.	3708
Kudum Kooner v. Budla Singh	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 163	95
Kulab Hossein v. Mehrum Beebee	4 N. W., 155	113
Kula Nagabushanam v. Kula Seshachalam	1 Mad., 178	379
Kuleemooddeen Darogah v. Ashruf Ali Khan	19 W. R., 276	690
Kulian Doss v. Gobind Kooer	24 W. R., 143	4527
Kullappa Naik v. Ramanuja Chariyar	4 Mad., 429	5629
Kuller Singh v. Jewan Singh	22 W. R., 79	3151
Kullianbutti Koer v. Tulapal Singh	11 C. L. R., 204	2505
Kullyan Dass v. Sheo Nundun Purshad Singh	18 W. R., 65	4025, 4028
Kullyanessuree Debee v. Dwarkanath Surma	6 W. R., 116	2434
Kullyanessuree Dossee v. Narain Kyburto	15 W. R., 241	4935
Kullyan Singh v. Bahadur Singh }	Agra. F. B., 163: Ed. 1874,	3493
Kulno Khond Kar. In the matter of the petition of .	122. 5 7 W. R., 47	4052
Kulponath Doss v. Mewah Lall	8 W. R., 302	2445
Kulpo Singh v. Thakoor Singh	15 W. R., 429	5769
Kulree v. Jhoonoo	7 W. R., Cr., 52	3629
Kulsoon v. Ameerunnessa	1 Hyde, 150	3686
Kultoo Mahomed v. Hurdeb Doss	19 W. Ř., 107	1724
Kulum Mundul v. Bhowani Prosad	22 W. R., Cr., 32	1151
Kulumutee Kooer v. Jowahur Lall	11 W. R., 318	2774
Kulyan Churn Mookerjee v. Bipro Churn Purail	6 W. R., 321	3 3 2 5
Kumar Ali v. Azmut Ali	8 W. R., 383	3237
Kumaran a Narayanan	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 260	3756
Kumarasami v. Subbaraya	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 325	6217
Kumarasami Nadan v. Pala Nagappa Chetti	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 385	3208
Kumarasamy Reddi v. Panna Soona Mooroogappa	7 Mad., 359	6177
Kumaravelu v. Virana Goundan	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 29	2311, 2312
Kumaradeva Mudali v. Nallatambi Reddi	1 Mad., 407	5631
Kumeezooddeen Holdar v. Rujjub Ali Shaha	9 W. R., 528	1776
Kumini Ama v. Parkam Kolusheri	1 Mad., 261	3766
Kumla Sahoy v. Ram Ruttun Neogy	11 W. R., 201	566
Kummur-ool-nissa Begum v. Mahomed Hussun	1 Agra, 287	3660
Kumola Dassee v. Azmur Ali	7 W. R., 13	4173
Kumola Kant Mytee v. Narainee Dossee	9 W. R., 583	3981
Kumolanath Sein v. Beharee Kant Roy	11 W. R., 314	1103, 1560
Kumood Narain Bhoop v. Purna Chunder Roy . [I. L. R., 4 Calc., 547: 3 C. L.	2878
	R., 258. 10 C. L. R. 31	5679
Kumrunnessa v. Sujan	15 W. R., 278	3235
Kumur Ali v. Azmut Ali	8 W. R , 383	4201
Kumurooddeen v. Bhadoo	11 W. R., 134	2089, 6031
Kumeeroonissa Begum v. Syuffoollah	16 W. R., P. C., 32	4235
Kumuroonissa Begum v. Syfoollah Khan	5 W. R., 198	4235
Kunchun Deo Singh v. Tekait Sidh Nath Singh	15 W. R., 289	2932
Kunchun Singh v. Choonna	1 Agra, Rev., 44	164
v. Sheoraj · · · ·	1 Agra, Rev., 7	325, 1645
Kunda Misser v. Ganesh Singh	6 B. L. R., Ap., 120: 15 W. }	1604
Kundeme Naine Boochee Naidoo v. Ravoo Lutchmeepaty Naidoo.	8 Mad., 36	5666
Kundhyee v. Zuman Khan	1 Agra, 9	5187
Kundu v. Impichi	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 442	3766

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kundun Lal v. Sasta Kooer Kuneez Fatima v. Saheba Jan Kunhamed v. Chathu Kunhammatha v. Kunhi Kutti Ali Kunhamu v. Keshavan Nambudri Kunhi v. Seshagiri Kunhi Bivi v. Abdul Aziz Kunhi Komapen Kurupu v. Changarachan Kandil	8 W. R., 369 8 W. R., 313 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 437 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 233 I. L. R., 3 Mad., 246 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 141 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 103 2 Mad., 313	6100 108, 3661 5959 3762 3765 3525 1342 3235
Chembata Ambu. Kunhimmu v. Vivyathamma Kunhi Moidin v. Tarayil Moidin Kunhi Moidin Kutti v. Ramen Unni Kunhussa v. Queen Kunhya Lall v. Bunsee Kunhya Singh v. Tooydun Singh Kunigaratu v. Arrangaden	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 535 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 101 I. L. R., 1 Mad., 203 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 28 Agra, F. B., 94 : Ed. 1874, 71 7 W. R., 20 2 Mad., 12	4850 5488 821, 848 5574 3201, 3300 2697 3757, 3761
Kunjabehari Lal v. Giridhari Lal Kunjal Sahu v. Guru Baksh Koer	R., 189. 8 B. L. R., 184, note: 13 W. R., 362. 6 B. L. R., 663, note: 15 W.	4415 4270
Kunj Behari Sing v. Ncru Sing Kunjebehari Lal v. Ilahi Baksh Kunjunneri Nambiar v. Nilakunden Kunju Velan v. Manavikrama Zamorin Kunnathurillath Vasudevan Nambudri v. Narayanan Nambudri.	R., 291. I. L. R., 6 All., 64 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 167 1 Mad., 113, note I. L., R., 6 Mad., 121	43C9 2727 4251 3763 5048
Kunnock Chunder Mookerjee v. Gurudass Biswas Kunuk Singh v. Gholam Jeelanee Kunund Narain Bhoop. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 919: 12 C. L. R., 599. 2 Agra, 329 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 650: 3 C. L. R., 551.	4892 1645 4487, 4490 4492
Kupil Rai v. Radha Prosad Singh Kuppa v. Dorasami v. Singaravelu Kuppa Ayyar v. Venkataramana Ayyar Kuppa Gurukal v. Dorasami Gurukal Kuppayyan v. Ramasami Ayyan Kuppu Chetti v. Chidambara Mudali Kuppusvamiayyan v. Nannuvayyan	I. L. R., 5 All., 260 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 76 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 325 3 Mad., 421 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 76 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 197 3 Mad., 170 1 Mad., 74	3017 335 2417 2707 2251 5477 5713 184, 5770
Kupu Rau v. Venkataramayyar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 311 . 8 B. L. R., 490: 16 W. R.,	356, 379 1702 1504, 1762
Kurali Prasad Misser v. Anantaram Hajra . Kuram Singh v. Mehtab Koonwer . Kuray Mal v. Puran Mal . Kureem Buksh Khan v. Doolhin Khoord . Kureem Chand Gurain v. Oodung Gurain .	P. C., 16. 3 Agra, 150 1. L. R., 2 Adl., 565 15 W. R., 82 6 W. R., 158 W. R., F. B., 16: 1 Ind. Jur.,	1751 3964 367 2293 5778
Kureem Khan v. Muhfooz Kureemooddeen Biswas v. Hurochunder Gooho Kureemoonissa v. Attaoollah Kureemun v. Mullick Enaet Hossein Kuria bin Hanmia v. Gururav Kurim Buksh v. Shib Shunkur Shuhaye Kuriyali v. Mayan Kurmofool v. Bissessur Singh	O. S., 77: 1 Hay, 226. 1 W. R., 305 2 Agra, 211 W. R., 1864, 221 9 Bom., 282 W. R., 1864, 384 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 255 Marsh., 337	6108 3702 3684 5216 4954 815 4002

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kuroonamoyee Debee v. Gungadhur Surmah Kurraly Churn Banerjee v. Modhoosoodun Patter Kuru Chowbey v. Jankee Persad Kurufool Kooer v. Rajkalee Kooer Kurum v. Rutton Bhuggut Kurum Chand Koleeah v. Huree Mohun Ghose Kurumoonnissa Bibee v. Gooroo Pershad Shah Kurun Singh v. Mahomed Fyaz Ali Khan Kuruppool Koer v. Collector of Shahabad Kuseemoonissa Bibee v. Huroonnissa Bibee Kushaba bin Sankroji v. Pitambardhari Kusseemoonissa Beebee v. Hoorunissa Beebee Kussessur Roy v. Joggodishuri Kustoora Koomaree v. Binoderam Sein ———————————————————————————————————	1 Agra, 164 17 W. R., 439 W. R., 1864, 186 2 W. R., Mis., 45	5100 1643 1690 4142 1783 288 236 2209, 2301 4155, 4885 3912 5375 3912 4455 1249, 2039 1249, 1341 2034 5587 2353 2311 4159 976 1172 2743 1689 5398 2854 4710 1716 4742 3459 3344 4804 2023 5301 3853 1586, 2996 6144 6112 502
L.	L. R., 427.	
Labbi Beebee v. Bibbun Beebee . . Lachcho v. Mayaram . { Lachlan v. Abdulla . . Lachiram Jaya Sangji v. Ramji bin Shivaji . . Lachman v. Juala . . v. Thondi Ram . . Lachman Bibi v. Patniram . . Lachman Das v. Brijpal . . v. Dip Chand . .	6 N. W., 159 1. L. R., 5 All., 158 : L. R., 10 1. A., 1. 5 R. L. R., Ap., 89 6 Bom , A. C., 107 1. L. R., 5 All., 161 1. L. R., 7 All., 382 1. L. R., 1 All., 510 1. L. R., 6 All., 174 1. L. R., 2 All., 851	3684 4550 4408 5826 1533, 3621 5151 3518 3504 173 4833

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lachman Joharimal v. Bapu Khandu Lachman Kuar v. Murdan Singh Lachman Prasad. In the matter of v. Bahadur Singh v. Bal Singh v. Chammi Lal	6 Bom., A. C., 241 I. L. R., 8 All., 143 I. L. R., 5 All., 360 I. L. R., 2 All., 884 I. L. R., 4 All., 157 I. L. R., 4 All., 6 2 B. L. R., Ap., 27: 11 W.	4614 2441 5863 339, 5213 5190 5688, 5743
v. Holas Mahtoon	R., 151. I. L. R., 6 Calc., 513: 7 C.L.	2987, 4924
Lachman Pundeh v. Maddan Mohun Shye	R., 521.	1866, 3518
Lachman Rai v. Akbar Khan Lachman Singh v. Kesri . v. Mohan v. Pirbhu Lall v. Salig Ram	I. L. R., 1 All., 440 I. L. R., 4 All., 3 I. L. R., 2 All., 497 6 N. W., 358 I. L. R., 8 All., 384	1345 3304, 4811 188 2717 1878
v. Sanwal Singh v. Tansukh Lachmin v. Ganga Prasad	I. L. R., 1 All., 543 I. L. R., 6 All., 395 I. L. R., 4 All., 485	4878 141 669
Lachmi Narain v. Bhawani Din v. Koteshar Nath v. Manog Dat v. Partab Singh v. Wilayti Begam	I. L. R., 4 All., 379 I. L. R., 2 All., 826 I. L. R., 7 All., 291 I. L. R., 2 All., 1	2917 3932 4538 694
v. Wilayti Begam Lachmi Narain Lal v. Sheoambar Lal	I. L. R., 2 All., 433 I. L. R., 2 All., 409	1097, 2042 3239
Lachmipat Singh v. Mahomed Mooneer .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 32: W. R., F. B., 32.	96
Lachmipat Singh Dugar v. Khairat Ali .	5 B. L. R., 18: 12 W. R., F. B, 11.	4807
Lachmipat Singh Dugar Roy. In the matte	9 R T. R O C 151 - 11 W	4757
Lachmipat Singh Roy v. Wahid Ali	2 B. L. R., A. C., 194 : 11 W. R., 70.	3507
Lackersteen v. Rostan	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 32 .	6063 2614
Ladd v. Parbutty Dossee Ladji Naik v. Musabi	Cor., 91	2822 3298
Ladkuvarbhai v. Sarsangji Pratabsangji .	7 Bom., O. C., 150	1937, 289 3
Ladlee Pershad v. Gunga Pershad	4 N. W., 59	4972 4245
Lado v. Oodey Koonwur	Agra, F. B., 22: Ed. 1874, 17 2 Agra, 153	4863 1507
Lado Lakshuman v. Krishnaji Sadashiv .	6 Bom., A. C., 41	456 3
Ladooiah v. Sanvaley Ladubhai v. Revichand	3 Agra, 191 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 143	$2485 \\ 1228$
Ladu Chimaji v. Babaji Khanduji	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 532	1520
Ladun v. Bhyro Ram	8 W. R., 255	3705 5501
"Lady Jocelyn." In the matter of the	2 Mad., 355	5501 ¹ 4 35, 8 5 8
Lack Ram v. Mohesh Doss	12 W. R., 488 •	5482
Laidley v. Bishucharan Pal	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 553	1601 5179
v. Gour Gobind Sarkar v. Gunness Chunder Sahoo	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 501 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 438	5307
Laidman v. Hearsey Laikhram v. Ghumuee	1. L. R., 7 All., 906	1514
Laikhram v. Ghumuee	3. Agra, 298 .	4317
Laith Narain Deo v. Bolakee Chowdhry Lajja Prasad v. Debi Prasad	W. R., 1864, 24 I. L. R., 3 All., 236	778 4557
Lakhessur Koer v. Sookha Ojha	1 C. L. R., 39	579

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lakhi Kant Das Chowdry v. Ram Dyal Das Lakhikant Dutt v. Jagabandhu Chuckerbutty Lakhi Kumar v. Ram Dutt Chowdhry Lakhi Kumar v. Ram Dutt Chowdhry Lakhimani Rar. In the case of Lakhimoni Chowdhrain v. Akroomoni Chowdhrain Lakhi Prya Dasi v. Nabin Chandra Nag Lakhi Prya Debi v. Khyrulla Kazi Lakhii Chand v. Indra Mull	5 B. L. R., Ap., 61 3 B. L. R., Ap., 79 3 B. L. R., Ap., 44: 11 W., R., 447. 3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 70 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 851: 12 C. L. R., 527. 3 B. L. R., A. C., 37: 11 W., R., 370. 7 B. L. R., 238, note 4 B. L. R., P. C., 31: 13 W., R., P. C., 36: 13 Moore's I., A., 365. I. L. R., 7 All., 542 I. L. R., 8 All., 319 I. L. R., 1 All., 618 9 Bom., 60 5 Bom., 60 6 Bom., 0. C., 63 4 Bom., 0. C., 129 2 C. L. R., 322 3 B. L. R., A. C., 226: 12 W., R., 3. B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 633 18 W. R., 443 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 289 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 500 4 Mad., 89 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 472 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 99	of
Lakshman Mayaram v. Jamnabai Lakshman Ramchaudra v. Sarasvatibai v. Satyabhamabai Lakshman Shivaji v. Rama Esu	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 168 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 225 12 Bom., 69 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 494 8 Bom., A. C., 17	4841, 5402 6140, 6142 2371 2430 2432 179, 1241

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lakshmappa v. Ramava	12 Bom., 364 {	2109, 2127 2129, 2131 2135, 2148
Lakshmi v. Ananta Shanbaga	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 230	3140, 3155
v. Chendri	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 72	5596
v. Dada Nanaji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 210	2310
v. Krishnabhat	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 424	5478
v. Sri Devi	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 1	3455, 3466
Lakshmi Ammal v. Sashadry Aiyangar	4 Mad., 275	3530 4429, 5011
Lakshmibai v. Balkrishna	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 654	4291
v. Ganesh Antaji	4 Bom., A. C., 129	688
v. Ganpat Moroba	4 Bom., O. C., 150	2539
	5 Bom., O. C., 128	1700, 2303
v. Hari bin Ravji	9 Bom., 1	4418, 6098
v. Jayram Hari	6 Bom., A. C., 152	2314
v. Shridhar Vasudev Takle	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 1	2275, 3859
v. Vithal Ramchandra Lakshmibai kom Sanshedappa v. Rudrappa bin Gangappa	9 Bom., 53	3390 680
Lakshmidar kom Sansaedappa v. Itudrappa om dangappa Lakshmidand v. Arjuna	J. L. R., 6 Bom., 77	1521
Lakshmi Chelliah Garu v. Srikrishna Bhupati Devu)		
Maharaz Garu (Zemindar of Madugula).	7 Mad., 6	390 2, 3976
Lakshmi Narasimhalu v. Chartrazu Jagannadham?	3 Mad., 287	3825
Pantalu alias Srinivasa Rau		
Lakshmi Narayana v. Ramapa Chakkira	1 Mad., 70	2944
Lakshmi Narayana Aiyar v. Suppara Gaundan .	2 Mad., 321	30 3 2544
Lakshmi Shankar v. Vaijanath	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 24 6 Bom., A. C., 66	3430
Lakshuman Sakharam v. Ranu bin Sidoji	6 Bom., A. C., 51	3173
	l	1341, 1345
Lala v. Hira Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 49 . }	2870
Lala Banshidhar v. Government of Bengal . {	9 B. L. R., 364: 14 Moore's ?	630, 4651
Dung Dunghiana of Gottinacar of Bengal	I.A., 86:16 W. R., P.C., 11. 5	333, 2332
(3 B. L. R., Ap., 67: 13 W.)	1
Lala Biswambhar Lal v. Rajaram	R., 337, note: S. C. 16 W. (1165
· (R., 140, note: 21 W. R., 373, note.	
Lala Chatranarayan v. Uba Kunwari	1 B. L. R., A. C., 201	2207
Lala Dilawar Sahai v. Dewan Bolakiram	I. L. R. 11 Calc., 258	3950
Lala Doul Narain v. Runjit. Singh	1 C. L. R., 256	3893
Lala Gandar Lal v. Habibannissa	7 B. L. R., 235: 15 W. R., 311	3243
Lala Gobind Prasad v. Doulat Batti	6 B. L. R., Ap., 85: 14 W. R., 451	2434
Lala Gopee Chand v. Liakut Hossein	25 W. R., 211	54 1829
Lala Himmat Sahai Singh v. Llewhellen Lala Huree Sunkur Sahoy v. Krishna Kant Dutt	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 486	3494
	25 W. R., 106	
Lala Iswari Prasad v. Bir Bhanjan Tewari .	B., 9.	169
Lala Jagat Narayan v. Tulsiram	1 B. L. R., A. C., 71	437, 758
Lala Joti Lal v. Durani Kower	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 67: W.	2312
Lala Jugdeo Sahai v. Brij Behari Lal	R., F. B., 173. I. L. R., 12 Calc., 505	6033, 6038
	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 789: 3 C.	
Lala Kali Prosad v. Buli Singh	L. R., 396.	3551
Lala Manohur Dass v. Kishen Dyal	3 N. W., 175	4245
Lala Mobaruk Lal v. Secretary of State for India .	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 200	5365
Lala Prag Dutt v. Bandi Hossein Lala Prasadi Lal v. Lala Ambika Prasad	7 B. L. R., 42	3713 5581

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lala Ramdhari Lal v. Janessar Das Lala Ranglal v. Deonarayan Tewary	6 B. L. R., Ap., 14 6 B. L. R., 69: 14 W. R., 201	3887 1736, 2781
Lala Rudra Prasad v. Binode Ram Sen .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 71, note: }	4131
Lala Sham Soondur Lal v. Sooraj Lal Lala Tilakdhari Lal v. Furlong Lal Bahadur Singh v. Durga Singh .	26 W. R., 48 2 B. L. R., A. C., 230 I. L. R., 3 All., 437	4646, 4653 3918 2081, 3850
Lal Bahadoor Singh v. Solano	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 45: 12 C. L. R., 539.	5191
Lalbehari Dutt v. Srinath Mookerjee .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 73, note .	4131
Lalbhai Lakhmidas v. Kamaludin Husen Khan	12 Bom., 247	302, 2946 4677, 4774
Lalbhai Vallabhbhai v. Kavasji Nanabhai Lalchand Ambai Das v. Sakharam Laldas v. Nekunjo Bhaishiani Laldas Ramdas v. Kashiram Lali Konwar v. Carter Lalit Mohun Shaha v. Srinibas Sen	8 Bom., O. C., 209 5 Bom., A. C., 139 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 374 4 Bom., A. C., 60 25 W. R., 492 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 331 5 B. L. R., 176: 13 W. R.,	2017, 4323 3256, 3403 1340, 3743 1846, 4199 2983 5325 2206
Lalit Panday v. Sridhar Deo Narain	457.	
Laljee v. Sadit Hossein	4 N. W., 99 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 105: 11 C.	5456
Laljee Lall v. Hardey Narain	L. R., 125.	2820
Laljee Sahoo v. Roghoonundun Lall Sahoo .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 447 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 135 7 C.	3199, 3319
Laljee Sahoy v. Fakeer Chand	L. R., 97.	2174
Lalice Singh v. Bunwary Lall Roy	25 W. R., 448 12 B. L. R., 372 : 20 W. R.,	6103
Laljeet Singh v. Rajcoomar Singh	337.	2468
Lalji v. Nuran Lalji Mal v. Hulasi Lalji Ratanji v. Gangaram Tuljaram	I. L. R., 5 All., 103 I. L. R., 3 All., 660 2 Bom., 184: 2nd Ed., 176	2862, 5199 4875 4858, 6113
Lalji Sahu v. Collector of Tirhoot	6 B. L. R., 648: 15 W. R., P. C., 23.	990, 4654
Lalji Singh v. Akram Sen	3 B. L. R., A. C. 235: 12 W.	303, 5823
Lalji Ukheda v. Jowba Dowba	R., 47. 8 Bom., A. C., 94	5255
Lal Kower v. Jaikaran Lal	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 67: W.	2312
Lalla Banee Pershad v. Lalla Dabee Pershad Lalla Beharee Lall v. Lalla Modho Persaud Lalla Bhagun Pershad v. Holloway Lalla Bhoop Narain v. Abassee Begum Lalla Bhoyro Lall v. Lalla Mokoond Lal Lalla Bishen Dyal Singh v. Ram Sunkur Tewaree Lalla Bissen Pershad v. Collector of Hazareebagh Lalla Bissessur Dyal v. Doolar Chand Sahoo Lalla Boodhmul v. Lalla Gowree Sunkur	R., F. B., 173. 24 W. R., 435 6 W. R., 69 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 393 23 W. R., 458 2 W. R., 275 6 W. R., Mis., 38 13 W. R., 397 22 W. R., 181 4 W. R., 71	324 2359, 3261 799 4527 4911 3493, 3514 2856, 5270 690 79, 2080 2088, 2711
Lalla Bunseedhur v. Bindeseree Dutt Singh .	10 Moore's I. A., 454 .	4659
Lalla Byjnath Pershad v. Bissen Beharee Sahoy Singh Lalla Chedi Lal v. Ramdhuni Gope Lalla Chooneelal Nagindas v. Sawaechund Namedas Lalla Chuttur Narain v. Wooma Koonwaree Lalla Dabee Pershad v. Beharee Lall v. Santo Pershad Lalla Debeeden v. Sheo Ghoolam Singh	19 W. R., 80	2201 3807 6151 2207 3969, 4400 4524 328

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Lalla Gobind Suhaye v. Munohur Misser Lalla Gooman Singh v. Grant Lalla Gooroo Sahaye Singh v. Bromo Deonarain Lalla Gopee Chand v. Liakut Hossein Lalla Gopee Chund. In the matter of the petition of Lalla Gunput Lall v. Toorun Koonwar Lalla Heera Lal v. Gouree Byjnath Pershad Lalla Heera Lall v. Monee Roy Lallah Jha v. Tullebmatool Zuhra Lallah Mahtab Roy v. Debee Dutt Singh Lalla Huree Lal v. Kooldeep Singh Lalla Imrit Lall v. Mahomed Lallzamah Lalla Indurjeet Lall alias Gujadhur Pershad v. Jumoona Lalla Isee Pershad v. Stuart Lalla Jowahir Lall Pandey v. Court of Wards Lalla Joynath Sahee Deo v. Lutchun Christian	1 W. R., 65	3334 2040 1785 54, 4815 277 2199 5791 1869 5762 6111 2021 5764 6117 4931 5789 4172
Lalla Jugges Sahoy v. Digambur Roy Lalla Juggeshur Sahoy v. Gopal Lall	22 W. R., 304, note . 15 W. R., 54	135 289, 277 4 5369
Lalla Jugseb Sahoy v. Kassee Nath Sein Lalla Joogul Kishore Lall v. Bhukha Chowdhry Lalla Kalee Sahov v. Lalla Kumla Sahoy Lalla Kundee Lall v. Lalla Kalee Pershad Lalla Mewa Lall v. Mahato Lalla Mitterjeet Singh v. Rajcoomar Sircar v. Scott Lalla Mohabeer Pershad v. Kundun Koowar Lalla Mohadeo Dyal Singh v. Chundee Pershad Lalla Nukched Lall v. Futteh Bahadoor Lall Lalla Narain Doss v. Estate of Ex-King of Delhi	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 121 . 9 W. R., 244 24 W. R., 351 22 W. R., 307 . 25 W. R., 152 18 W. R., Cr., 22 . 17 W. R., 62 8 W. R., 116 25 W. R., 57 24 W. R., 39 11 Moore's I. A., 277 .	877 5394 2351 2489 2762 4101 3910 2283, 2448 1736 3036 2712, 3112
Lalla Nowbut Lall v. Lalla Jewan Lall Lalla Ojhce Lall v. Lootf Ali Khan Lalla Oudh Beharee Lalla v. Mewa Koonwar	2 W. R., Mis. 33 3 Agra, 82	3713 209 1149, 1150 1677
Lalla Poorohit Lall v. Sabeerun Lalla Puriag Dutt v. Bundeh Hossein Lalla Ram Sahoy v. Dodraj Matho Lalla Ram Sahoy Lall v. Chowbain Lalla Ram Suhae Singh v. Lalla Oojoodhya Pershad Lalla Ram Surun Lall v. Lokebas Kooer	7 W. R., 368 15 W. R., 225 20 W. R., 395 22 W. R., 287 5 W. R., 233 18 W. R., 39	3713 573 3412 4136 880, 5380
Lalla Roghoobuns Sahoy v. Asloo Lalla Rooder Pershad v. Hurpershad Doss Lalla Sabil Chand v. Goodur Khan Lalla Seeta Ram v. Ram Buksh Thakoor Lalla Seetul Pershad v. Chand Khan Lalla Sham Soondur v. Sooraj Lall	20 W. R., 294 23 W. R., 194 22 W. R., 187 24 W. R., 383 2 N. W., 428 13 W. R., 441	1628 3107 5319, 6032 5404 2077 564
Lalla Sheeblall v. Gholam Nubbee Lalla Shee Narain v. Oodhun Singh Lalla Sheeparshad v. Juggernath Lalla Sheo Sahay Singh v. Kummorunissa Begum Lalla Shoobh Narain v. Nursingh Narain	Marsh., 255: 2 Hay, 23 1 N. W., 180: Ed. 1873, 258 L. R., 10 I. A., 74 2 W. R., Act X, 68 20 W. R., 148	4209 1658 145 2691 4903
Lalla Singh v. Reazoonissa Lalla Sooklall Sing v. Bussoodhun Lalla Sreedhur Narain v. Lalla Modho Pershad Lalla Sreepershad v. Akoonjoo Koonwar Lalla Teeluckdaree Lall v. Court of Wards	8 W. R., 271 W. R., 1864, 347 8 W. R., 294 7 W. R., 488 11 W. R., 149	1634 318 2356 2444, 2449 3918
Lalla Thakoor Sahoy v. Mahomed Lootfoollah	18 W. R., 504 · ·	4852

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest,
Lallah Barroomul v. Official Liquidator Lallah Bunseedhur v. Bindeseree Dutt Singh Lallah Gopeenath v. Jeetun Koer Lallah Gour Narain v. Karron Lall Thakoor Lallah Mohabeer Pershad v. Kundur Koonwar Lallah Ramesshur Singh v. Lallah Bissen Doyal Lall Beharee Awustee v. Bholanath Dey Chakladar Lall Beharee Lall v. Gopee Beebee Lall Chand v. Kudmoo Koonwar Lall Chand Pamdayal v. Gumtibai Lall Chand Roy. In the matter of v. Brindabun Chunder Roy Lall Dharee Roy v. Brojo Lall Singh Lall Dokul Singh v. Lall Rooder Purtab Singh Lall Doss v. Jamal Ali Lallee Singh v. Amrit Kooer Lall Gobindyal v. Burzin	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 704: 3 C. L. R., 581. 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 390 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 165 6 W. R., 57 Marsh., 363: 2 Hay, 447 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 312: 8 W. R., 116 I. L. R., 1 Calc., 406: 25 W. R., 150 23 W. R., 49 18 W. R., 285 7 W. R., 532 8 Bom., O. C., 140 9 W. R., Cr., 37 13 W. R., 226 10 W. R., 401 1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 22: Ed. 1873, 81 5 W. R., 295 8 L. R., Sup. Vol., 901: 9 W. R., 187. 17 W. R., 490 2 Hay, 111	946 1196, 1407 2085 3743 2985 2283, 2448 1353 1082 6246 248 132, 3087 1257 6245 2034 3995 3125 3165, 3333 3441 4456 220
Lall Gopalnath Sahee Deo v. Pudum Koonwar Lalljee Sahoo v. Bhugwan Doss v. Rajender Pertab Sahee Lalljeet Singh v. Raj Coomar Lall Jha v. Negroo Lall Lutchmee Pershaud v. Bhoodhun Sing Lall Mahomed v. Buzlool Hossein v. Dhoolee Ram Dass v. Furhutoonissa v. Gibbon v. Lall Brij Kishore v. Peer Nuzur v. Shona Jolla Ghazee t Lall Mahomed Biswas v. Watson Lall Miah v. Nazir Khalashi Lall Mohun Mullick v. Peary Chand Mittra Lall Monee Dossee v. Joynarain Shaha Lallmun v. Manick Chund Lall Munee v. Pyagdut Doobey Lall Nath Misser v. Sheoburn Pandey Lall Singh v. Modhoosudun Roy v. Zahuria Lall Soonder Doss v. Hurry Kissen Doss Lallubhai v. Naran Lallubhai Bapubhai v. Cassibai	5 W. R., Mis., 44 8 W. R., 337 14 W. R., 418 25 W. R., 353 1. L. R., 7 Calc., 717 Marsh., 474 17 W. R., 562 22 W. R., 377 15 W. R., 312 22 W. R., Cr., 74 17 W. R., 430 18 W. R., 112 2 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 3 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 3: 4 W. R., 62. 1. L. R., 12 Calc., 696 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 383 11 W. R., 508 1 Agra, 133 1 N. W., 137: Ed. 1873, 220 20 W. R., 92 8 W. R., 426 6 N. W., 222 Marsh., 113: 1 Hay, 339: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 532 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 719 L. L. R., 5 Bom., 110: 7 C. L. R., 5 Bom., 110: 7 C. L. R., 445: L. R., 7 I. A.,	3175 535, 3008 3014 3558 4307 4787, 4791 3076 674 6276 2023 4736 3385, 3446 3667 326, 333 1489 521, 1376 4106 5283, 5639 4579 5119 1098 5100 1736, 4174 5729 880, 2476 3380 2315

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lallubhai Bapubhai v. Mankuvarbai	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 388	194 4, 2315 253 4, 2 538 3167
Lallu Ganesh v. Ranchhod Kahandas Lallu Haribhai v. Ranchhod Jamnadas Lallunmonee Dossee v. Nobin Mohun Singh Lal Mahomed v. Kallanus Lal Mahomed Bepari v. Shoila Bewa	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 641 10 Bom., 95 25 W. R., 32 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 519 11 C. L. R., 104	5710 2615 1101, 2847 1682 287
Lal Mahomed Biswas v. Watson	4 W. R., 62: 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 3.	521, 1375
Lalman v. Mannu Lal	I. L. R., 6 All., 19	3022
Lal Mohun Holdar v. Mahadeb Katee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 909: 9)	3288.
Lalmoney Dossee v. Juddoonauth Shaw	W. R., 193. 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 319	2799, 2827
Laloo v. Adam Sircar	17 W. R., Cr., 37	4097, 4435
Laloo Sirkar v. Jogut Kishore Acharjea	13 C. L. R., 203	5166 3808
Tal Sahas at Das Namin Singh	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 781: 2 C.	5179
Lal Sahoo v. Deo Narain Singh	L. R., 294. L. R., 5 I. A., 1: 1 C. L. R., 3	5504
	318. L. R., 5 I. A., 1: 1 C. L. R., ?	2204
Lal Shunkur Bux v. Jankee Koer	318.	5504
Lal Singh v. Deo Narain Singh v. Kunjan v. Kun	I. L. R., 8 All., 279 I. L. R., 4 All., 387	2187 198
Lalti Kuar v. Ganga Bishan	7 N. W., 261	2422
Lalubhai Surchand v. Bai Amrit	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 299	6142
Lalu Mulji Thakar v. Kashibai	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 400 . {	1497, 1892 3552
Lalun v. Hemraj Singh Lalun Mani v. Behari Lal Mookerjee	20 W. R., 76 7 B. L. R., Ap., 30	4169 2709
Lalunmonee v. Ajoodhya Ram Khan	23 W. R., 61	1581, 1586
Lalun Monee v. Sona Monee Dabee	22 W. R., 334	2996 2978, 3869
Lamb v . Bejoy Kishen Dass	8 Moore's I. A., 427	5463
v. Dewan Puddum Lochun	Marsh., 96: W. R., F. B., 3 28: 1 Hay, 168.	5008
Lamouroux v. Eville	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 274	1371
Land Acquisition Act, 1870. In the matter of .	[11 B. L. R., 280: 17 W. R., 221.	2949
	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 103	2949
Land Mortgage Bank of India. In the matter of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 140	129
v. Amedbhoy Hubibbhoy v. Bidyadhari Dasi	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 35	2614 3640
2 Klimes	25 W. R., 332	469
Tudos Noth Chuttusla	25 W. R., 175	545
v. Mehtus	4 B. L. R., Ap., 96 I. L. R., 8 All., 64	5863 6187
v. Munsur Ali	1 C. L. R., 239	1763
- v. Neeloo Bhutto .	21 W. R., 125	4859
v. Radha Krishna Dutt .	25 W. R., 323 · · ·	2715
v. Ram Ruttun Neogy . v. Roy Luchmiput Singh	21 W. R., 270 8 C. L. R., 447	4347 3640
v. Vishnu Govind Pa-	D 0 D 070	
tankar.	1. 11. 10., 2 20, 0.0	5381
Langlois v. Rattray Laraiti v. Ram Dial	3 C. L. R., 1	9741 4979
	1. 11. 10., U 22m., Mara	3741, 4972

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Larlee v. Bunsee Ditchit	3 N. W., 70 14 W. R., Cr., 32 3 B. L. R., Ap., 96 Cor., 4 6 Mad., 299	3742 4371 5741 2663 775
Latchman Pundeh v. Maddan Mohun Shye.	I. L. Ř., 6 Calc., 513: 7 C. } L. R., 521.	1836, 3518
Latham v. Hurruckehand Sooratram	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 314	2683
Lati Kooer v. Sobadra Kooer	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 720: 2 C.	3553, 3831
Latoo Mundle v. Bhoobun Mohun Chatterjee Latore Mistree v. Agamuddee Nushyo Lauder v. Eastern Bengal Railway Co. Lavergne v. Hooper Lawless v. Calcutta Landing and Shipping Co.	L. R., 75. 17 W. R., 361 14 W. R., 482 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 320 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 149 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 627	5437 2742 6245 1052 1718, 6013 3196, 4598
Lazar v. Colla Ragava Chetty	6 W. R., P. C., 126: 2) Moore's I. A., 80.	5377
Leake v. Daniel	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 970: 10 W. R., F. B., 10.	1883
Leckie. In the goods of	10 W. R., 337	3517 3088 1210
Ledgard v. Bull	L. R., 13 I. A., 134 : I. L. R , 9 All., 191.	294, 2799 4335, 6018
Ledlie v. Bhadoo Poramanick	11 W. R., 558 21 W. R., 410 17 W. R., 91 1. L. R., 1 Mad., 148 3 N. W., 39 1 C. L. R., 273 7 W. R., 168 16 W. R., 102 14 W. R., 387 W. R., 1864, 249 4 W., R. P. C., 77: 6	1376 5636 1036 3093 5997 4502, 4503 4407 3419, 4229 1206, 1211 2039
-	Moore's I. A., 101. 1 W. R., P. C., 20: 9 Moore's I. A., 479.	5794
 v. Ishuree Nundun Dutt Jha v. Lakhputtee Thakoorani v. Lakchmissar Singh . 	8 W. R., 316	5108 1758, 1761 274, 3826
v. Luchmunur Singh v. Luckimpur Singh Bahadur	Moore's I. A., 490. 10 C. L. R., 169	4128 274
v. Mohendro Narain Singh	5 B. L. R., 605 13 W. R., P. C., 7: 13 Moore's I. A., 57.	4128, 5985
v. Moheshur Singh	3 W. R., P. C., 19: 10 Moore's I. A., 81.	647, 4128 4395
	5 W. R., 101 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 251 17 W. R., 306 6 W. R., 80 15 W. R., 415 5 W. R., 292	2033, 3068 2037 96 2034 2694, 2707 2034
LeGeyt v. Harvey	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 491 18 W. R., Cr., 3 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 501	6029 5564 1525

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lein Tu v. Queen-Empress Lekhamani v. Ranga Krishna Mutta Vira Puchaya Naikar.	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 10 6 Mad., 208	72 3 6071
Lekhraj v. Paleeram	1 N. W., 162 : Ed. 1873, 241 2 N. W., 210	1047, 6244 911, 1811 2679
Lekhraj Kooer v. Sirdar Dyal Singh Lekhraj Roy v. Buckland. v. Court of Wards v. Kanhya Singh v. v.	25 W. R., 497 5 W. R., Act X, 65 14 W. R., 395 14 W. R., 262 17 W. R., 485 18 W. R., 494 L. R., 1 I. A., 317 I. L.R., 3 Calc., 210 : L. R., 1	2462 196 4473, 5984 3077 3066 272, 5145 272
v. Kunhya Singhv. Mahtab Chandv. Motee Madhub Sein .	4 I. A., 223. } 21 W. R., 147	2054 2696 1708 900, 4201
Lekhraj Singh v. Dulhma Kuar	14 W. R., 95 I. L. R., 4 All., 302 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 744: 6 C.	4676 363 1841
Lekraj Roy v. Becharam Misser v. Kanhya Singh	L. R., 593: L. R., 7 I. A., 5 63. 7 W. R., 52 L. R., 1 I. A., 317 10 B. L. R., 35: 14 Moore's	1919 272
Lelanund Singh v. Luchmunur Singh Lelim Tewaree v. Govindgeer Gossain Leman v. Damodaraya Leslie. In the matter of the petition of v. Allender v. Inglis v Land Mortgage Bank of India	I. A., 393: 17 W. R., 117. } 10 C. L. R., 169 Marsh., 64: 1 Hay, 132 I. L. R., 1 Mad., 158 9 B. L. R., 171 10 B. L. R., 68: 18 W. R., 474 17 W. R., 390 1 Hyde, 67	2090, 4654 4128 2802 3601, 5877 2826 5926 298 3084 1934
v. Panchanan Mitter Lethbridge v. Prohlad Sen Lewin v. Morrison Lewis. In re Likhun Pathuk v. Roop Lal Lila Morji v. Vasudev Moreshvar Ganpule Lilanand Singh v. Government. v. Lukhimpur Sing	17 W. R., 160 18 W. R., 269 6 B. L. R., 668: 15 W. R., 3 O. C., 1. 19 W. R., 301 2 Agra, Pt. II, 151 6 Bom., O. C., 42 3 N. W., 48 11 Bom., 283 2 B. L. R., A. C., 114 5 B. L. R., 605 13 B. L. R., 124: L. R., I. A., 3	2826 3350, 4681 1870, 3519 1199, 1371 3812 4931 2409, 3978 2037 274, 3826 2037, 3068
v. Munorunjun Singh Lillu v. Annaji Parashram Linda Koya. In re. The petition of Lindsay. In re Linga Gaundan v. Doddali Lingammal v. Chinna Venkatammal	Sup. Vol., 181. } I. L. R., 5 Bom., 387 . { I. L. R., 1 Bom., 225 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 121 6 Mad., 307 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 227	4456, 4464 4499 604 4507 1425 4276, 5965
Liquidators of Indian Peninsular, London, and China Bank v. Scott. Llewhellin v. Chunni Lal	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 239	4045, 4414 2626 2812

Loburi Domini v. Assam Railway and Trading Co. 1. L. R., 10 Calc., 915 276 Lochun Chowdhry v. Anup Singh 8 C. L. R., 426 417 Lochun Mundul v. Wuzeer Puramanick 13 W. R., 464 77 Lochun Singh v. Het Narain Singh 24 W. R., 232 325, 4 20 W. R., 170 2152, 2 Lodai Mollah v. Kally Dass Roy L. L. R., 6 Calc., 238 : 10 C. L. R., 581 L. R., 581 L. L. R., 6 All., 295 5087, 5 Logan v. Kunji L. L. R., 9 Mad., 110 568 L. L. R., 9 Mad., 110 568 Loganada Mudali v. Ramasyami 1 Mad., 384 219 Login v. Princess Victoria Gourama of Ccorg 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 109 228 Lokenath Ghose v. Jugobundhoo Roy L. R., 1 Calc., 297 606 Lokenath Kar. In the matter of the petition of 18 W. R., 293 528 Lokenath Mullick v. Odoy Churn Mullick L. L. R., 7 Calc., 644 13	Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lodhi Singh v. Ishri Singh I. L. R., 6 All., 295 5087, 5 Logan v. Kunji I. L. R., 9 Mad., 110 569 Loganada Mudali v. Ramasvami 1 Mad., 384 218 Login v. Princess Victoria Gourama of Ccorg 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 109 228 Lokenath Ghose v. Jugobundhoo Roy I. L. R., 1 Calc., 297 603 Lokenath Gossamee v. Monmohun Gossamee 20 W. R., 293 528 Lokenath Kar. In the matter of the petition of 18 W. R., Cr., 2 606 Lokenath Mullick v. Odoy Churn Mullick I. L. R., 7 Calc., 644 13	Loburi Domini v. Assam Railway and Trading Co. Lochun Chowdhry v. Anup Singh Lochun Mundul v. Wuzeer Puramanick Lochun Singh v. Hot Narain Singh v. Nemdharee Singh	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 915 8 C. L. R., 426 13 W. R., 464 24 W. R., 232 20 W. R., 170 1. L. R., 8 Calc., 238: 10 C.	1164 2767 4170 773 . 325, 4898 2152, 2462 4268
Loke Nath Sircar v. Queen-Empress	Logan v. Kunji Loganada Mudali v. Ramasvami Login v. Princess Victoria Gourama of Ccorg Lokenath Ghose v. Jugobundhoo Roy Lokenath Gossamee Lokenath Kar. In the matter of the petition of Lokenath Mullick v. Odoy Churn Mullick Lokenath Roy v. Collector of Beerbhoom Lokenath Sahoo v. Tukeer Singh Loke Nath Sircar v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 6 All., 295 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 110 1 Mad., 384 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 109 I. L. R., 1 Calc., 297 20 W. R., 293 18 W. R., Cr., 2 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 644 W. R., 1864, 338 W. R., 1864, 338 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 349	5087, 5890 5692 2194 2281 6033 5282 6066 130 353 193 5557
Lokessur Koer v. Purgun Roy	Lokessur Koer v. Purgun Roy	1 W. R., 107 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 418 23 W. R., 358: L. R., 2 I. A., 154. I. L. R., 5 Calc., 144: 4 C. L. R., 465. 2 Ind. Jur N. S., 191: 7 W.	1425, 4038 2355 4466, 6122 486, 1713 2397, 4918 3261
Lolit Coomar Bose v. Ishan Chunder Chuckerbutty Lolita Dossia v. Ruttun Mollee Bhuttacharjee Loll Jha v. Bhugmunt Narain Jha London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Badee Beebee. London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Bhanji	Lolitta Dossia v. Ruttun Mollee Bhuttacharjee Loll Jha v. Bhugmunt Narain Jha London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Badee Beebee. London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Bhanji	10 C. L. R., 258	813 1752 1675 2816
London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Burjorji Sorabji Lywalla. London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Dada- i bhoy Cursetji Maju. London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Govind London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Govind L. L. R. 5 Bom. 223	 London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Burjorji Sorabji Lywalla. London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Dada- i bhoy Cursetji Maju. London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Govind 	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 346I. L. R., 10 Bom., 582	944, 2673 6225 948 947
London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Hormasji 8 Bom., O. C., 200 Pestanji Framji. London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Mahomed Ibrahim Parkar. London Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Pestanji 1. L. R., 4 Bom., 619 62	London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Hormasji nestanji Framji. London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Mahomed Ibrahim Parkar.	8 Bom., O. C., 200 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 619	944, 2673 1207, 5589 6235
Dhunjibhoy. 1. M. R., 10 Bolm, 100 Longbottom v. Satoor 1 Mad., 429 62 Looloo Chuna Dayee v. Durp Narain Bose 12 W R., 95 5 Looloo Singh v. Rajendur Laha 8 W. R., 364 2084, 62 Lootf Ali v. Aboo Bibee 15 W. R., 203 3495, 5 — v. Budrool Huq 21 W. R., 119 15 — v. Shib Dyal Singh 8 W. R., 512 30 Lootf Ali Meah v. Pearee Mohun Roy 16 W. R., 223 17	Dhunjibhoy. Longbottom v. Satoor Loolochuna Dayee v. Durp Narain Bose Looloo Singh v. Rajendur Laha Lootf Ali v. Aboo Bibee v. Budrool Huq v. Shib Dyal Singh Lootf Ali Meah v. Pearee Mohun Roy	1 Mad., 429 12 W R., 95 8 W. R., 364 15 W. R., 203 21 W. R., 119 8 W. R., 512 16 W. R., 223	824 . 6206 . 516 . 2084, 4033 . 6239 . 3495, 5582 . 1501 . 3005 . 1718 . 2086

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lootfulhuck'v. Gopee Chunder Mejoomdar	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 941: 6 C. L.	1185
Lootfur Ali Khan v. Sadda Brut Pershad Loot Narain Singh v. Showkee Lall Looyee Dhur Atto v. Prosunno Moyee Dossee Lopes v. Lopes Lopez v. Driberg	R., 402 W. R., 1864, Mis., 35 2 C. L. R., 382 20 W. R., 267 5 Bom., O. C., 172 W. R., 1864, Act X, 67	187 6158 5753 1579, 6042 4677 1552, 3786
v. Lopez	 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 706 5 B. L. R , 521 : 13 Moore's I. A., 467 : 14 W. R., P. 	5099
v. Muddun Mohun Thakoor	C., 11. 13 Moore's I. A., 467 : 14 W., R., P. C., 11 : 5 B. L. R.,	43, 5506 43, 5506
Lopotee Domnee v. Tikha Moodai Lotf Ali Khan v. Afzuloonissa Begum	521. 8 W. R., Cr., 67	3603, 3738 3293, 5213
v. Court of Wards v. Kashee Dyal Lotf Aly v. Gujraj Thakoor Lotfoollah v. Nus-eebun Lotlikar v. Lotlikar v. Wagle	6 W. R., Mis., 127 1 W. R., 6	5115 5347 3978 1460, 1777 427
Loughnan v. Joosub Bhulladina Louis. In the matter of	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 596 5 Moore's I. A., 137 15 B. L. R., Ap., 14 9 B. L. R., Ap., 35: 18 W.	2255 2832 6026 1152
v. Ainsworth	R., Cr., 44. 22 W. R., 336 11 W. R., 6	956 300, 322 1487, 5119
Lucas v. Stephen Lucheram v. Mahaniram Luchi Behara v. Nityanund Doss Luchman Bharti v. Dukharan Bharti	9 W. R., 301 1 Agra, 10 19 W. R., Cr., 55 6 C. L. R., 138	5135 4902 972 4663
Luchman Lall v. Ram Lall	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 521 : 8 C. L. R., 115.	1131
Luchmee Buksh Roy v. Runjeet Ram Panday Luchmee Chund. In the matter of the petition of v. Bengal Coal Company v. Zorawur Mull Luchmee Chund Radhakishen v. Zorawar Mull	13 B. L. R., 177: 20 W. R., 375. I. L. L., 8 Calc., 317 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 317 6 Moore's I. A., 204 1 W. R., P. C., 35: 8 Moore's 3	3118, 3213 4592 924, 4591 924, 4591 4644, 4645 2820
Luchmeedhur Pattuck v. Rughoobur Singh .	I. A., 291. 24 W. R., 284	333, 1742
Luchmeedhur Singh v. Ekbal Ali Luchmee Kant Ghose v. Bamun Dass Mookerjee Luchmee Koer alias Bhugobutty Koer v. Futteh Singh Luchmee Koonwar v. Bhugwan Doss Luchmee Narain v. Bhairow Pershad v. Bhairow Pershad v. Bheemul Doss v. Bhyroo Pershad v. Choomun Meah	8 W. R., 75 17 W. R., 472 24 W. R., 400 6 W. R., Mis., 116 1 Agra, Mis., 4 1 Agra, Mis., 5 8 W. R., 500 1 Agra, Mis., 11 14 W. R., 184	6108 2154 1892, 3457 476 80 2648 209 3727 5468 3318
$\cdot v$. Pyle $\cdot v$. Shudasheo Singh \cdot	2 N. W., 150 5 W. R., Mis., 12 .	354, 357 368 2708, 3204

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Luchmee Narain Sahee v. Kashukee Dutt Jha Luchmee Narain Shaha v. Jutadharee Holdar Luchmee Narain Shaha alias Gopeenath Shaha	8 W. R., 107	4527 3427 1604
Koochil Kant Roy. Luchmee Narain Singh v. Gibbon Luchmeenath Singh v. Madho Dass Sahoo Luchmee Persad v. Ramgolam Singh Luchmee Pershad v. Fuzeelutoonissa Bibee	14 W. R., 197	2326 1496 1604 4574
Luchmee Pershad Doobey v. Kallasoo	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 620: 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 89: 7 W. R., 175.	2751
Luchmee Pershad Narain Singh v. Tiluckdharee Singh	!	3130, 3135 3231 450, 5453
Luchmeeput v. Lekraj Roy	. 8 W. R., 415	546 0
Luchmeeput Dokur v. Jugutinder Bunwary Lall .	. Marsh., 195: 1 Hay, 459	1884 3775
Luchmeeput Doogur v. Jugut Indur Tewaree	. W. R., 1864, Mis., 5 . 22 W. R., 346	2975, 6107
Luchmeeput Doss v. Enact Ali . Luchmeeput Singh. In the matter of the petition of	14 W. R., Cr., 17	4095
v. Adoyto Churn Mullick .	24 W. R., 452	80 5,5451 1912
v. Adoyto Churn Mullick v. Jowahur Ali	. 18 W. R., 497	1612, 1752
v. Jungulee Kullyan Doss v. Khoobunissa v. Moshuruff Ali	9 W. R., 147	878
v. Knoodunissa	. 14 W. R., 280	303
v. Nund Coomar Goento	. 22 W. R., 388	4943
v. Nund Coomar Goopto v. Raj Coomaree Dabea	23 W. R., 275	546 450
Luchmeeput Singh Doogur v. Humphrey Mooktakashee Debia v. Woomanath Mundul	. 14 W. R., 101	5451, 5454 1753
Tuch manauth Descript Haradhyn Machaniae	. 10 W. R., 490	1494
Luchmenauth Doogur v. Haradhun Mookerjee Luchmeput Dogare v. Sibnarain Mundle	. 2 Hay, 95	5586 5249
Luchmessur Singh v. Leelanund Singh	{ L. R., 4 Calc., 599: 3 C. L. } R., 427.	
Luchmeswar Singh v. Lutf Ali Khan	8 B. L. R., 110	629, 2694 1461, 2172
Luchmi Dai Koori v. Asman Sing	R., 421.	
Luchmi Dass v. Pallat Lall Luchmi Narain Singh v. Assrup Koer	23 W. R., Cr., 54 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 43	4509 8 251 , 3268 5416
Luchmin Nath v. Maharaja of Vizianagram .	7 N. W., 310	4103, 4724
Luchmiput Singh. In the matter of .	14 W. R., Cr., 3	3611
In the matter of the petition of v. Amir Alum	18 W. R., Cr., 43 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 176: 12 C. }	3663
	L. R., 22.	209
v. Lekraj Roy	2 W. R., Mis., 56 11 B. L. R., Ap., 28: 20 W.	2604
v. Secretary of State	R., 11.	904
v. Sita Nath Doss .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 477: 10 C. L. R., 517.	204
Luchmissur Singh v. Dakho	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 708: 10 C. } L. R., 127.	4787, 4791
v. Runglal	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 708: 10 C. L. R., 127.	4787, 4791
Luchmon Sahai Chowdhry v. Kanchun Ojhain Luchmun v. Saidho	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 525 4 N. W., 169	3270, 4860 2882
Luchmun Chunder Geer Gossain v. Kalli Churn Singh Luchmun Chunder Singh v. Kaleechurn Singh	19 W. R., 292 12 W. R., 293	1712, 2498 276

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Luchmun Dass v. Giridhur Chowdhry Luchmun Dass v. Narayan	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 855 : 6 C. L. R., 473. 3 N. W., 191	2173 2092, 3850
Luchmun Doss v. Heera Lal v. Priag Dutt Luchmun Koonwar v. Luchmun Bhukut	3 N. W., 187	809 4867 3215
Luchmun Lall v. Mohun Lall Bhaya Gayal Luchmun Lall Doobey v. Hursohoy Lall Luchmun Persad v, Ameer Ali	16 W. E., 179 W. R., 1864, 361 W. R., 1864, Mis., 15 .	2111, 2223 4905 209
Luchmun Persad Singh v. Kishun Persad Singh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 218: 10 C. \(\) L. R., 425.	3543
Luchmun Pershad v. Juggernath Doss v. Maharanee of Burdwan	W. R., 1864, 2	3121 4198
v. Moonnee Koonwer v. Nimhoo Pershad v. Rumzan Ali	1 Agra, 220	2360 3191 3204
Luchmun Pershad Gorgo. In the matter of the petition of.	8 W. R., 513	2599
Luchmun Ramonooj Doss v. Ramhuree Roy	3 C. L. R., 74	4965 53 08
Luchmun Ram Unooj v. Watson Luchmun Sahae Chowdhry v. Seami Jha	W. R., 1864, 146 5 W. R., Act X, 93	184, 289 1178
Luchmun Singh v. Kazim Ali Khan	5 W. R., 219	3158 538
v. Miriam	5 W. R., 219 2 N. W., 185	3158, 3334 3497
v. Shumshere Singh v	L. R., 2 I. A., 58 14 B. L. R., 373	5132 5132
Luchmun Suhae Chowdry v. Gujraj Jha Luchomun Pershad v. Debee Pershad	4 W. R., 45 1 W. R., 317	310 7 2293
Luckeemonee Dossee v. Shunkurree Dossee Luckhee Debea Chowdhrain v. Gooroodoss Sein	2 W. R., 252 W. R., 1864, Act X, 54	6242 4502
Luckhee Debia Chowdhrain v. Collector of Mymensingh.	7 W. R., 231 W. R., 1864, 237	4471 5748 3335
Luckhee Kant Doss v. Deen Dyal Doss v. Shib Chunder Chuckerbutty	14 W. R., 82	1137 3748
Luckhee Kant Dutt v. Jugobundhoo Chuckerbutty Luckhee Monee Dossee v. Bhoobun Mohun Bose Luckhee Monee Dossia v. Rajkishore Paul	23 W. R., 147 4 W. R., 106	197 5767
Luckhee Narain Aung Bheem v. Sooruj Monee Pat Mohadaye.	2 W. R., Mis., 6	⁹² •
Luckhee Narain Chuckerbutty v. Ramchand Sircar v. Taramonee Dossee	6 W. R., Mis., 63 3 W. R., 92	349 7 2020
Luckhee Narain Roy v. Ram Mohun Doss Luckhee Prea Dabea v. Juggodumba Dabea	13 W. R., 151 3 W. R., Act X, 8	2888 539
Luckee Prea Debia v. Brindabun Dey v. Khyroollah Kazee	12 W. R., 313 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4412, 5326 3248 4975
Luckhee Ram Doss v. Joysunkur Gooho Luckhey Money Dossee v. Khetter Coomary Dossee	7 W. R., 236 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 117 13 B. L. R., 146: 20 W. R.,	4399, 4424 3184, 3344
Luckhi Narain Mitter v. Khettro Pal Singh Roy	380 : 24 W. R., 407, note. 5 Marsh., 562 : 2 Hay, 667	4971, 5324 1060
Luckhydass Mustoofee v. Jogeshur Mookerjee Luckhy Narain v. Kally Puddo Banerjee	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 882 : 4 C. L. R., 60.	3835
Luckhy Narain Nagory. In the matter of the petition of Luckmee Ram v. Mahani Ram	24 W. R., Cr., 24 1 Agra, 10	5158 2814
Luckmidas Khimji v. Mulji Canji	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 295	5717

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Luckmidas Vithaldas v. Ebrahim Oosman . Luckumsey Ookerda v. Fazulla Cassumbhoy . Luckumsey Rowji v. Hurbun Nursey .	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 644 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 177 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 580	197, 198 4248 1509
Lucky Churn Chowdhry v. Budurrunnissa	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 627: 12 C. L. R., 484.	240
Luddun Sahiba. In the matter of the petition of .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 736:11 C. L. R., 237.	3702
v. Kamar Kudar	I. I., R., 8 Calc., 736: 11 C. L. R., 237.	3702
Luddy v. Johnson	6 B. L. R., 141	2816
Lukhee Kanto Dass Chowdhry v. Sumeeruddi Lusl	ker 13 B. L. R., 243: 21 W. R., 3	6110, 6114
Lukhee Kant Sein v. Ramdeyal Doss Lukhee Monee Dossee v. Brojo Bullub Seal .	Marsh., 281: 2 Hay, 288 11 W. R., 132	881 3365
v. Taramonee Gooptea .	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 22: Marsh., } 29: Hay, 67.	2304
Lukheenarain Mitter v. Seetanath Ghose .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 317: 6 W. R., Act X, 8.	5324
Lukhimoni Dasi v. Koruna Kant Moitro	3 C. L. R., 509	1783, 1994 4400
Lukhi Moni Haldar v. Gunga Gobind Mundle .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 126	1618
Lukh Ram v. Bunsee Dhur Lukhun Chunder v. Modhoo Mookhee Dossee	5 N. W. 180 5 W. R., 278	223 2342
Lukhun Singh v. Nuffur Sing	6 W. R., 311 · · ·	6106
Lukhykant Bose. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., I Calc., 180	5943
Lukhynarain Chuttopadhya v. Gorachand Gossam	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 116: 12 C. L. R., 89.	1766, 5749
Lukkea Debea v. Gungagobind Dobey Lukmidas Khimji v. Purshotam Haridas Luleeta Kooer v. Collector of Tirhoot Luleet Mohun Roy Chowdhry v. Sowtra Beebee Luleet Narain Deo v. Juggurnath Misser Luleet Narain Gossamee v. Keshub Deb Gossamee Luleet Narain Singh v. Gopal Singh v. Narain Singh Luleet Pandey v. Byjnath Singh	W. R., 1864, 56 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 700 19 W. R., 283 10 W. R., 42 8 W. R., 476	2284 4263 5363 5125, 5132 1378 4955 1655, 4317 5986 4918
Luleet Singh v. Ali Reza	8 W. R., 322	2700 3816 5830
Lullee v. Ram Pershad	2 Agra, 34	313 1148 567 579 537 4028
Lutchman Sahai Chaodhri v. Rai Jang Bahadur	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 224 : L. R., 8 I. A., 210.	3407
Lutcheemund Sett v. Komulmoney Dossee Lutchmeeput Dogaree v. Kenaram Sen	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 9 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 393	129 4 25
Lutchmeeput Singh v. Sadaulla Nushyo •	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 698:12 C. L. R., 382.	3232
Lutchmun v. Jogul Kishore Lutchmun Pershad v. Dabee Deen Lutchoo Khan v. Foley Lutchumanan Chetty v. Mutta Iburaki Marakkay Luteefoonissa v. Goor Surun Dass Luteefoonissa Beebee v. Poolin Behary Sen	3 Agra, 99 3 Agra, 264 24 W. R., 273 er 5 Mad., 90 18 W. R., 485 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 10 : W. R.,	1640, 4902 1177 4176 3210 146, 1846 1606, 3044 5750
	F. B., 31 & 91.	0,00

Name of Case.

Volume and Page.

Luteefoonissa Bibee v. Luckeemonee Dossee	Marsh., 93:1 Hay, 161
Luteefoonissa Bibee v. Rajaoor Ruhman .	8 W.R., 84
Luteefoonnissa Beebee v. Poolin Behary Sein	W. R., F. B., 31: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 10: 1 Hay, 242.
Luteefun v . Bego Jan	5 W. R., 120
v. Rajroop Singh	10 B.L. R., 361 : 19 W. R., 3185.
Lutifunissa Bibi v. Nazirun Bibi	I L. R. 11 Calc., 33
Lutf Ali Khan v. Afzalunissa Begum	9 B. L. R., 348: 16 W. R., P. C., 20.
v. Fikira Singh	6 C. L. R., 208 · ·
Lutful Huq v. Sumbhudin Pattuck	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 248: 10 C. L. R., 143.
Lutfullah v. Kirat Chand	13 B. L. R., Ap., 30: 21 W. 7 R., 330.
Luthi Bewa. In re	11 W. R., Cr., 24
Lutifunnissa Bibi v. Nazirun Bibi Lutpotee Doomony v. Tikha Moodoi	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 33 . 13 W. R., Cr., 52 .
	W. R., F. B., 91
Lutteefunnissa Bibee v. Poolin Beharee Sein Luvar Chunilal Ichharam v. Luvar Tribhoban Lal Das	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 688 5 W. R., P. C., 67
Luximon Row Sadasew v. Mullar Row Bajee Lyakut Ali v. Court of Wards	10 W. R., 423
Lyall & Co. v. Amorabutty Dossee	20 W. R., 12
v. Ram Chunder Bagdee	18 W. R., Cr., 53 W. R., 1864, Cr., 23 .
Lyell v. Ganga Dai .	I. L. R., 1 All., 60
Lyon v. Banessur Paul .	2 Hay, 120 11 W. R., 189
Lyons v. Betts	13 W. R. 94
T A 17 - M.11	7 W. R., 354
Lyster v. Ko Mihone .	, 111 201, 002
Lyster v. Ko Minone . M	,
Madan v. Erlandi	5 Mad., 147_ • •
Madan v. Erlandi	5 Mad., 147 21 W. R., 17
Madan v. Erlandi	5 Mad., 147 21 W. R., 17 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 358: 3 W. R., Act X, 153.
Madan v. Erlandi	5 Mad., 147 21 W. R., 17 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 358: 3 W. R., Act X, 153. 3 B. L. R., Ap., 28: 11 W. R., 371.
M Maadan v. Erlandi Macdonald v. Lalla Shib Dyal Singh Paurey v. Munar Roy v. Rajaram Roy v. Riddell	5 Mad., 147
M Maadan v. Erlandi Macdonald v. Lalla Shib Dyal Singh Paurey v. Munar Roy v. Rajaram Roy	5 Mad., 147 21 W. R., 17 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 358: 3 W. R., Act X, 153. 3 B. L. R., Ap., 28: 11 W., R., 371. 16 W. R., Cr., 79 11 W. R., 69 8 B. L. R., 459: 17 W. R., 3
M Madan v. Erlandi Macdonald v. Lalla Shib Dyal Singh Paurey v. Munar Roy v. Rajaram Roy v. Riddell Macfarlane. In re v. Carr v. Robert	5 Mad., 147 21 W. R., 17 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 358: 3 W. R., Act X, 153. 3 B. L. R., Ap., 28: 11 W. R., 371. 16 W. R., Cr., 79 11 W. R., 69 8 B. L. R., 459: 17 W. R., 244. 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 258
M Madan v. Erlandi Macdonald v. Lalla Shib Dyal Singh Paurey v. Munar Roy v. Rajaram Roy v. Riddell Macfarlane. In re v. Carr	5 Mad., 147 21 W. R., 17 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 358: 3 W. R., Act X, 153. 3 B. L. R., Ap., 28: 11 W., R., 371. 16 W. R., Cr., 79 11 W. R., 69 8 B. L. R., 459: 17 W. R., 244. 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 258 5 N. W., 65
M Maadan v. Erlandi Macdonald v. Lalla Shib Dyal Singh Paurey v. Munar Roy v. Rajaram Roy v. Riddell Macfarlane. In re v. Carr v. Robert Macgiveron v. Wallace	5 Mad., 147 21 W. R., 17 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 358: 3 W. R., Act X, 153. 3 B. L. R., Ap., 28: 11 W. R., 371. 16 W. R., Cr., 79 11 W. R., 69 8 B. L. R., 459: 17 W. R., 244. 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 258 5 N. W., 65 I. L. R., 2 Calc., 33 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 48: W. R., F. B., 165.
M Madan v. Erlandi Macdonald v. Lalla Shib Dyal Singh Paurey v. Munar Roy v. Rajaram Roy v. Riddell Macfarlane. In re v. Carr v. Robert Macgiveron v. Wallaco MacGillivray v. Jokai Assam Tea Company Machooram Sen v. Gaurhari Gui Mackenzie v. Jowahir Mahtoon	5 Mad., 147 21 W. R., 17 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 358: 3 W. R., Act X, 153. 3 B. L. R., Ap., 28: 11 W. R., 371. 16 W. R., Cr., 79 11 W. R., 69 8 B. L. R., 459: 17 W. R., 244. 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 258 5 N. W., 65 I. L. R., 2 Calc., 33 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 48: W. R., F. B., 165. 25 W. R., 137
M Madan v. Erlandi Macdonald v. Lalla Shib Dyal Singh Paurey v. Munar Roy v. Rajaram Roy v. Riddell Macfarlane. In re v. Carr v. Robert Macgiveron v. Wallaco MacGillivray v. Jokai Assam Tea Company Machooram Sen v. Gaurhari Gui Mackenzie v. Jowahir Mahtoon	5 Mad., 147 21 W. R., 17 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 358: 3 W. R., Act X, 153. 3 B. L. R., Ap., 28: 11 W., R., 371. 16 W. R., Cr., 79 11 W. R., 69 8 B. L. R., 459: 17 W. R., 244. 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 258 5 N. W., 65 I. L. R., 2 Calc., 33 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 48: W. R., F. B., 165. 25 W. R., 137 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 271
Macdonald v. Erlandi	5 Mad., 147 21 W. R., 17 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 358: 3 W. R., Act X, 153. 3 B. L. R., Ap., 28: 11 W., R., 371. 16 W. R., Cr., 79 11 W. R., 69 8 B. L. R., 459: 17 W. R., 244. 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 258 5 N. W., 65 1. L. R., 2 Calc., 33 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 48: W. R., F. B., 165. 25 W. R., 137 1. L. R., 9 Mad., 271 1. L. R., 4 Calc., 3/8 22 W. R., 156
Macdonald v. Erlandi	5 Mad., 147 21 W. R., 17 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 358: 3 W. R., Act X, 153. 3 B. L. R., Ap., 28: 11 W. } R., 371. 16 W. R., Cr., 79 11 W. R., 69 8 B. L. R., 459: 17 W. R., } 244. 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 258 5 N. W., 65 I. L. R., 2 Calc., 33 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 48: W. R., F. B., 165. 25 W. R., 137 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 271 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 3/8 22 W. R., 156 12 B. L. R., 360
Macdonald v. Erlandi	5 Mad., 147 21 W. R., 17 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 358: 3 W. R., Act X, 153. 3 B. L. R., Ap., 28: 11 W., R., 371. 16 W. R., Cr., 79 11 W. R., 69 8 B. L. R., 459: 17 W. R., 244. 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 258 5 N. W., 65 1. L. R., 2 Calc., 33 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 48: W. R., F. B., 165. 25 W. R., 137 1. L. R., 9 Mad., 271 1. L. R., 4 Calc., 3/8 22 W. R., 156

Name of	Саве.				Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Iackillican v. Compagnié des	Messageri	es Ma	ritim	es {	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 227 : 7 C. L.)	658
de France. Iackinnon v. Dundas .				(R., 49. S Bourke, O. C., 228	2687
v	• •	:	:	:	Bourke, A. O. C., 155	335
2. Gunnes Chunder	Dev .	•	•		1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 370	5017
v. Shibchunder Seal		•	•	•	Bourke, O. C., 354	1064
[ackinnon, Mackenzie & Co. v	, Bhugram	Doss	٥.	•	Bourke, O. C., 242	3556
	Minchin	oir, &	Co.	•	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 584 6 Mad., 353	460a 59a
	· MINCHIN	•	•	į	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 689: 13 C. L.	
fackintosh v. Crow .	• •	•	•	1	R., 102.	2729
v. Gill			_	{	12 B. L. R., 37: 20 W. R., 7	4890, 57
		•	•	ſ	358.	1
v. Gopee Mohun M	iojoomaar	•	•	Ċ	4 W. R., 24	2990
v. Gore .		•	•	ં	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 689: 13 C. L. R., 102.	2729
v. Hunt .				•	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 202	2724
v. Kally Doss Mul	liak			{	11 B. L. R., 1: 19 W. R.,)	558
•			•	ζ	234.	1
v. Koylas Chunder v. Lall Chand Mal	Chatterjee	•	•	•	W. R., 1864, Act X, 59	3810
		•	•	•	23 W. R., 332	274 457, 13
v. Nobin Money D	ossee .	•	•	•	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 160 .	624
v. Temple .					2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 333	30, 2
v. Watson v . Wilkinson .			•		3 W. R., Act X, 123	380
v. Wilkinson .		•	•	• .	3 B. L. R., Ap., 3	12
v. Wingrove .				{	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 137: 2 C.	1080, 2
v. Woomesh Chund				•	L. R., 433. 5 3 W. R., Act X, 121 .	55
aclean. In the goods of	iei Dose	:	:	•	6 N. W., 214	123
In the matter of					1 Mad., 220, note	2668, 20
aclean. In the goods of In the matter of acleod v. Kunhoje Sahoo		•		•	9 W. R., 271	192
v. Macleod . v. Macleod . v. Mah Mah Yet . acnaghten v. Bheekaree Sing v. Lalla Mewa Lal v. Mahabir Persh		•	•	•	6 B. L. R., 318	155
v. Man Man Yet .		•	•	•	7 W. R., 390 2 C. L. R., 323	15
achaghten v. Dheekaree Sing	n .	•	•	•		259 301
v. Mahabir Persha	d Singh	•	•	:	3 C. L. R., 285	546
achair v. nogg		•	•		2 Hyde, 89	598
acqueen v. Fuzzul Mahomed	Essan	•	•	•	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 303 . •	
acrae v. Jhoomuck Misser		•	•	•	Marsh., 386 : 2 Hay, 391 •	1057, 1
adabhushi Seshacharlu v. Sin adai Thalavay Kummarasamy	gara sesna z Mndalvar	ya 20 Nali	lakan	· ·	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 55	322
Tevan.	mudanyan	o. Ivai	акци	14 }	5 Mad., 289	286
adanmahan Sen v. Chandra	Kumar Mo	okerje	е.	Ĭ	9 B. L. R., 328: 18 W. R.,	261
adan Mohan v. Puran Mal			-	(379. I. J. R., 6 All., 288	
v. Ramdial	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 6 All., 288	219 679
adan Mohan Biswas v . Stalk	 	·	•	Ş	9 B. L. R., 97: 17 W. R., ?	
		•	•	į	441.	159
adan Mohan Bose v. Lawren		•	•	•	1 B. L. R., O. C., 66	120
adan Mohan Das v. Gaur Mo adan Mohan Lal v. Holloway		•	•	•	6 B. L. R., 293, note I. L. R., 12 Calc., 555	317- 404
v. Lala She		ıai	:	:	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 482	487
adan Mohan Mazumdar v. Ba			ndal	Š	6 B. L. R., 617, note: 13 W.	
		п тия	แนนเ	્ર	R., 67.	288
adan Singh v . Madanram Del	۰ (1 B. L. R., S. N., 11	1593, 4

Name of Case, Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Moder Thelen v T	3 1206, 1219
R., 253.	2695
Madaree v. Bulloo Kooeree 2 N. W., 450	4959
Madari v. Malki I. L. R., 6 All., 428 .	. 2482
Madar Saheb v. Sabbarayalu Nayudu I. L. R., 6 Mad., 88	4839
Madary v. Goburdhun Hulwai	2763, 5269
Madava Naikan v. Appavu Naikan 2 Mad., 394	2204
0.7	5886, 6025
	. 3343
	2.7
	2973
dar. (W. R., 442.	
Madhab Chandra Guho v. Kamalakant Chuckerbutty \{ 6 B. L. R., 643: 15 W. R	1440
(3 B. L. R., A. C., 83:11 W	.) 1017
Madhab Chandra Roy v. Gangadhar Samant . { R., 450.	·} 1817
Madhab Chandra Rudar v. Amrit Sing Naryan Sing . 5 B. L. R., 111	1071
37 11 37 T D O Mod 944	3421
Madhayan v. Achuda	3235, 3308
Madhava Panikar v. Govinda Panikar I. L. R., 5 Mad., 4	671
* * D * 1 1 00#	2512
Madhavarayya v. Tirtha Sami	3305, 3307
Madhav Morar. In re 8 Bom., Cr., 1	2028, 6183
Madhavrav Deshpande v Ramrav Deshpande . I. L. R., 7 Bom., 151	367
	2413
	4387
	1342
Madhavrav Raghavendra v. Ballerishna Raghavendra . 4 Bom., A. C., 113 . B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 587:	_ 7 1
Madnobee Dossee. In the matter of) W. R., Mis., 102.	9999
Madho'Das v. Kamta Das	
v. Rukman Sewak Singh I. L. R., 2 All., 287	. 5137
Madho Doss v. Sitaram	. 5657 3423
Madho Kooery v. Ram Chunder Singh I. L. R., 9 Calc., 411 . Madhoo Pershad v. Lalljee Shahoo 9 W. R., 557	5064
Madhoo Pershad v. Lalljee Shahoo 9 W. R., 557	5321
Madhoo Proshaud Singh v. Purshan Ram I. L. R., 4 Calc., 520 .	4133
Madho Parshad v. Lalla Jeetun Lall	6105
Madho Pershad v. Lalla Jeetun Lall 17 W. R., 98	
Madhopersad v. Gajudhar	" } 4010
11 1. A., 100.	4080
Madho Prakash Singh v. Murli Manohar I. L. R., 5 All., 406	5969
Madho Prasad. In the matter of the petition of I. L. R., 3 All., 508	2870
v. Ambar I. L. R., 5 All., 503	2653
v. Bhola Nath v. Hansa Kuar v. Hansa Kuar v. Hansa Kuar v. Dilhun Mohul	240
v. Hansa Kuar I. L. R., 5 All., 314	4946
	79, 4250
Brauno Mao Apa v. Inakour reranau	
Madho Row Chinto Punt Golay v. Bhookun Das Boolaki 5 W. R., P. C., 33: 1 Moore Das.)
Madho Singh v. Bindessery Roy 3 Agra, 101	2297
v. Guneshee Lall	4588
v. Hurmat Ally	. 2152
v. Jehangeer 2 Agra, 229	3277
v. Mahtab Singh	4007
v. Thakoor Pershad	632, 3219
v 5 N. W., 209	632
Madhub Ali Khan v. Hossain Reza Khan 4 C. L. R., 52	. 6097
Madhub Anund Moitro v. Joy Koomaree Bibee 5 W. R., 201	. 5303

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Madhub Chunder Adit Chowdhry v. Ram Kaloo Beparee Madhub Chunder Bera v. Ram Lochun Bera	16 W. R., 151 14 W. R., 143	565 1207
Madhub Chunder Bidyarutton v. Tara Soonderee Gooptanee.	2 W. R., Act X, 92	529
Madhub Chunder Biswas v. Okhoy Chunder Fiswas Madhub Chunder Chowdhry v. Buktessuree Debea	13 W. R., 103 12 W. R., 102	5707 4281
Madhub Chunder Dey v. Law	20 W. R., 264	1754, 5345 [.] 594
v. Ram Dyal Guho	8 W. R., 303	541, 4 90 9 °
Madhub Chunder Dhunput v. Madhub Lall Khan	14 B L. R., 288, note: 15 W. R., 542.	. 842
Madhub Chunder Dutt v. Haradhun Paul	14 W. R., 294	3838
Madhub Chunder Ghose v. Radhika Chowdhrain .	Cor., 148	1762 551
Madudo Chunder Ghose v. Itauhika Chowuhiam .	6 W. R., Act X, 42	550, 513 3 °
Madhub Chunder Giree. In the matter of the petition of	1. L. R., 3 Calc., 243	5 922, 5928
Madhub Chunder Hajrah v. Gobind Chunder Banerji	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 243 9 W. R., 350	5922, 5928. 2197
Madhub Chunder Janah v. Rajkissen Mookerjee	7 W. R., 86	1582
Madhub Chunder Mozoomdar v. Sidhee Nuzeer Ali Khan Madhub Chunder Nath Biswas v. Tamee Bewah	8 W. R., 54	2985
v. Tomee Bewah .	5 W. R., 279	3711 1343, 4554
Madhub Chunder Pal v. Hills	1 B. L. R., A. C., 175: 10 W.	
Madhub Chunder Poramanick v. Raj Coomar Doss	R., 197. 14 B. L. R., 76: 22 W. R., 370	1111
Madhub Chunder Roy v. Lukhee Jellanee	9 W. R., 212	1110, 1377
Madhub Chunder Sircar v. Banee Madhub Roy . Madhub Doss v. Jogendro Nath Roy	15 W. R., 85 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 673 : 8 C. L. \	1362 3809
Madhub Ghose v. Bullye Metea	R., 39.	3629
Madhub Kissen Sett v. Gour Soonder Sett	7 W. R., Cr., 11	691
Madhub Kyburtho v. Keshub Singh .	9 W. R., Cr., 22	1270
Madhub Lal Khan v. Noyan Ghose . Madhub Pershad v. Fool Coomaree Bibee	6 C. L. R., 231	2710 ⁻ 4527
Madhub Thakoor v. Jhoonuck Lall Doss	12 W. R., 105	4002
Madhu Singh v. Achraj Singh	9 C. L. R., 369 · · ·	3924
Madhusudan Chowdhry v. Brajanath Chandra	6 B. L. R., 299 7 B. L. R., 664, note: 13 W.	3203
Madhusudan Chuckerbutty v. Rymani Dasi	R., 415.	169, 5831
Madhusudan Das v. Adoito Charan Das .	8 B. L. R., 316, note: 12 W. R., 85.	170
Madhusudan Kundu v. Ramdhan Ganguli	3 B. L. R., A. C., 431 : 12 W. R., 383.	5 31 2
Madhusudan Manji v. Debigobinda Newgi	1 B. L. R., F. B., 49	3748
Madhusudan Sagory v. Nipal Khan {	8 B. L. R, Ap., 87, note: 15 } W. R., 440.	2973
Madhusudan Sing v. Collector of Midnapore . {	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 199: 3 W. R., 82.	1251
Madon Mohon Poddar v. Purno Chundra Purbot . Madras Railway Company v. Zemindar of Carvetinaga- ?	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 297 . 14 B. L. R., 209: 22 W. R., \	3456, 5705 1570, 4706
ram. v. Zemindar of Kavetinug-	279: L. R., 1 I. A., 364.	6282
gur.	5 Mad., 139	6282
Madud Ali Khan v. Saleem Ahmed Khan alias Kummun Khan.	4 N. W., 142	4871,
Madur Khan v. Wooma Moyee Dabee Maduthan v. Subbier	Marsh., 389: 2 Hay, 434 . 6 Mad., 34	4480 5677

Name of Case.	Volume and Pa,	Column of Digest.
Madvala bin Ginapa v. Bhagvanta bin Devji .	9 Bom., 260	3234
Mafizuddin v. Karimunissa Bibee	6 B. L. R., Ap., 11: 14 W. R., 381.	6089
Magaluri Gurudiah v. Naryana Rungiah	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 359	1949, 3346 4053, 4054 1065, 4902 3859 5844 4732, 5543
Maghan Misra v. Chamman Teli	R., Cr., 46. B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 630: 7}	
Magistrate of Maldah v. Golebunnessa	W. R., 191.	2842
Magju Pandaen v. Ramdyal Tewari	8 B. L. R., 50: 15 W. R., 531 I. L. R., 7 All., 336 19 W. R., 198 7 W. R. 474	2842 5958 4800 6188 2409
Mahabeer Persad v. Pranputty Koer	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 709: 7 } W. R., 515.	3513
v. Ram Surun v. Ramyad Singh Mahabeer Pershad v. Oomrao Singh Maha Beer Pershad Singh v. Dumreeram Opadhya	3 Agra, 6 12 B. L. R., 90 : 20 W. R., 192 1 Agra, 162 W. R., 1864, 166	2085
Mahabir Kower v. Jubna Singh	8 B. L. R., 38:16 W. R., 221 1. L. R., 1 All., 291	2151 $1471,4543$
Mahabir Parshad v. Debi Dial	I. L. R., 6 All., 234 .	2401
v. Lalaram	I. L. R., 3 All., 527 . 5 B. L. R., 327, note: 11 W.	5440 755
	R., 193. I. L. R., 7 All., 720 •	4545
Mahabir Rai v. Raghunandan Rai Mahabu Bibi v. Amnia	10 Bom., 43 0	3332
Mahadaji v. Vyankaji Govind	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 197	$321,4784 \\ 1688$
Mahadaji Gopal Baklekar v. Vithal Ballal Mahadaji Govind v. Sonu bin Davlata	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 78 9 Bom., 249	2105
Mahadaji Karandikar v. Hari D. Chikne	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 332 .	906, 1885 5121
Mahadaji Ram Chandra Mule v. Vithal Vishvanath	1 Bom., 185 I. L. R., 7 All., 528 .	310, 1230
Mahadei v. Ramkishen Das Mahadeo Dubey v. Bhola Nath Dichit	I L. R., 5 All., 86	5453
Mahadeo Ojha v. Parmeswar Panday	2 B. L. R., Ap., 20	318, 277 3 30 08
Mahadeo Prasad v. Mathura	 L. R., 8 All., 189 B. L. R., 45, note: 11 W. 	3712
Mahadeo Singh v. Ziatannissa	R., 169. I. L. R., 4 Mad., 417	444
Mahadevappa v. Srinivasa Rau Mahadeva Rayar v. Sappani	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 396	5126
Mahadev Narsingh v. Ragho Keshav .	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 292 · · ·	237
Mahadoo Begum v. Hubeebool Hossein	15 W. R., 44	$\frac{6148}{2429}$
Mahalakshmamma v. Venkataratnamma	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 83 • • 8 Mad., 105 • • •	3181, 3469
Mahalakshmi Ammal v. Lakshmi Ammal . Mahalakshmibai v. Firm of Nageshwar Purshotam	I. L. R, 10 Bom., 71	
Mahalatchmi Ammal v. Palani Chetti	6 Mad., 245	134, 3056
Mahalingaiyan. Ex parte	6 Mad., 191 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 157	5521 112
Mahalinga Rau v. Venkoba Ghosami	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 422.: L. R., 9	3634, 4392
Mahammad Azmat Ali Khan v. Lalli Begum	I. A., 8. I. L. R., 7 All., 112	2866
Maharaja of Benares v. Angan	I. L. R., 3 All., 575	741
Maharaja of Bulrampore v. Uman Pal Singh .	L. R., 5 I. A., 225	4226

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Maharaja of Burdwan. In the matter of the petition	7 W. R., 475	3883
v. Chairman of the Darjeeling Municipality.	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 194: 4 C. L. R., 324.	45 0 7
v. Heera Lall Seal	11 W. R., 54	5422, 5942
v. Kristo Kamini Dasi .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 931: 13 C.	5331
v. Luckhee Monee Debee	L. R., 427. 8 W. R., 359	3523
v. Ram Lall Mookerjee .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 351: 1 C. L.	2695
v. Sree Narain Mitter .	R., 158. 9 W. R., 346	1884
v. Tarasundari Debi	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 619: 13 C. L. R., 34: L. R., 10 I. A.,	5330
v. Wooma Soonduree Dossee	19.	6283
Maharaja of Calicut v. Mallichery Kristnan Nambudri	10 W, R., 12	180, 388
	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 68	5953
Maharaja of Durbhungah. In the matter of	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 21 12 B. L. R., 443:18 W. R.,	5845
Maharaja of Vizianagram v. Lakshmi Challaya .	301.	4402
- v. Suryanarayana	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 307: I. L. R., 13 I. A., 32.	16 79, 2 0 56 3050
Maharaj Gir v. Anandrav	8 Bom., A. C., 25	5891
Maharaj Singh v. Beechook Lall	1 W. R., 233	4543
v. Beela Kooer	W. R., 1864, 320 W. R., 1864, 294	$\begin{array}{c} 4961 \\ 3723 \end{array}$
Mahar Ali v. Amani	2 B. L. R., A. C., 306 . {	3332, 3650
Maharam Sheikh v. Nakowri Das Mahaldar	7 B. L. R., Ap., 17	3695 3118, 5754
Maharani v. Nundolal Misser	1 B. L. R., A. C., 27:10 W. R., 73.	2207
Maharani of Burdwan v. Baradasundari Debi	1 B. L. R., F. B., 31:10 W. R., F. B, 21.	4237
Maharual Maharangii a Gayaman A. f. P.	I. L. R., 14 Calc., 365	5331 5010
Maharval Mohansangji v. Government of Bombay Maharval Mohansinghji Joysinghji v. Government of	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 437 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 408: L. R., 3	5810 4390
Bombay. Mahashankar Harishankar v. Valibhai Umanji	8 I. A., 77. 6 Bom., A. C., 174	5922
Mahashur Singh Bahadur v. Hurruck Narain Singh	9 Moore's I. A., 268	5365
Mahasingavastha Aiya v. Gopaliyan	5 Mad., 425	3594
Maha Singavastha Ayyar v. Gopala Ayyan Mahasookh v. Budree	6 Mad., 239	3593 2264
Mahatab Chand v. Judoo Mohun Mitter	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 153 5 W. R., Act X, 91	555, 556
v. Tarucknath Mookerjee	6 W. R., Mis., 94	3541
Mahatab Chand Bahadoor v. Bengal Coal Company v. Brojonath Mitter	10 W. R., 391	2951 5747
Mahatab Deo v. Leelanund Singh	8 W. R., 111 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 613 : 9 C. L. R., 398.	5466
Mahatab Singh v. Ramtahal Misser	6 B. L. R., 43, note: 10 W.)	3715
Mahatala Bibee v. Ahmed Halcemoozooman	R., 314.) 10 C. L. R., 293	3704
Mahboob Ali v. Zur Banoo Bibee	9 W. R., 78	247
Mahbuban v. Raheemun	6 N. W., 217	443
Mahbub Hossein v. Patasu Kumari	1 B. L. R., A. C., 120: 10 } W. R., 179.	770, 2034
Mahcum Chund v. Mahtab Maheeput Singh v. Lok Inder Singh .	3 Agra, 318	2706, 4759 1657

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mahendra Nath Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of.	7 B. L. R., Ap., 9	163
Mahendra Singh v. Jokha Singh	19 W. R., 211	2053, 2224 5594
Maherban Singh v. Sheo Koonwar	1 Agra, 106	2285
Mahes Chandra Chattapadhya v. Guruprasad Roy	5 B. L. R., 115: 13 W. R., }	1875
Mahes Chandra Mookerjee v. Ramutam Palit .	5 B. L. R., Ap., 68 .	4503
Mahesh Chandra Banerjee. In the matter of .	4 B. L. R., Ap., 1:13 W. R., Cr., 1.	968, 3603 6183, 6252 6259
v. Barada Debi	2 B. L. R., A. C., 274: 11 W. R., 185.	4195
Mahesh Chandra Das v. Madhab Chandra Sirdar	2 B. L. R., S. N., 13: 10 W. R., 388.	4903
Mahesh Chandra Sen v. Tarini	1 B. L. R., F. B., 15	515
Mahesh Pandey v. Baldut Pandey Mahesh Singh v. Chauharja Singh	3 Agra, Rev., 10	5920 6101
dranesh origi v. Onadnarja origin	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 403: 1)	0101
Maheswar Bax Sing v . Bhikha Chowdhry .	Ind. Jur., N. S., 122: 5 W. R., 61.	4820, 6144
Mahima Chandra Chuckerbutty v. Rajkumar Chuckerbutty.	1 B. L. R., A. C., 1: 10 W. }	3281, 5036
Mahima Chandra Kundu v. Nuruddin	3 B. L. R., A. C., 70: 11 W.	4131
Mahima Chandra Roy v. Pyari Mohan Chowdhry	2 B. L. R., Ap., 43: 11 W. R., 262.	1925
Mahima Chandra Shah. In the matter of the petition of.	4 B. L. R., Ap., 78: 15 W. R., Cr., 15.	6253
Mahin Bibi. In the matter of	13 B. L. R., 160	2094, 3638 3701
Mahipatrav Chandrarav v. Nensuk Anandrav Shet	4 Bom., A. C., 199	3161
Mahip Singh v. Chotu	I. L. R., 5 All., 429	2866
Mahi Sahu v. Forbes	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 500: 6 7	3344
Mahmooda Bebee v. Hareedhun Khuleefa	W. R., Act X, 61. 5 W. R., Act X, 12	1608, 1610
Mahmood Ali Khan v. Gunga Ram	3 Agra, 304	3037
Mahmood Lushkur v. Pakar Khan Mahomed Abdui Vakab Sahib v. Comandur Rama?	Marsh., 496	534
Samy Aiyengar.	4 Mad., 277	406
Mahomed v. Abedoollah	12 C. L. R., 279	3501 3320
Mahomed v. Kanizuk Fatima	6 N. W., 231	1441
Mahomed v. Oomdah Khanum	13 W. R., 184	2799
Mahomed Abdool Ruzzah v. Asif Ali Shah . Mahomed Abdool Ruzzak v. Sadik Ali .	3 N. W., 119 3 Agra, 142	13212 3980
Mahomed Abdul Hye v. Lunjeet Singh .	13 B. L. R., Ap., 14: 22 W.	5212
Mahomed Abdur Rahim v. Birju Sahu	R., 200. 5 B. L. R., 676: 14 W. R., \}	2854
Mahomed Aboo v. Lalla Bissessur Dyal .	21 W. R., 154	1355
Mahomed Afak v. Ekram Ali	14 W. R., 374	3697
Mahomed Afsuruddin v. Beer Chunder Manikya	L. R., 416.	5062
Mahomed Afzul v. Kanhya Lall	2 W. R., 263	3243, 3255

	A National Control of the Control of the Control of Con	
Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mahomed Aga Ali Khan v. Widow of Balmakund	L. R., 3 I. A., 241 : 26 W. R., 82.	5211, 5239
Mahomed Ahmed v. Alibur Gazee	10 W. R., 337	5070
Mahomed Ahsan v. Mahomed Yasin	9 W. R., 106	4908
Mahomed Aizaddi Shaha v. Shaffi Mulla	8 B. L. R., 26	5753
Mahomed Akil v . Asadunnissa Bibee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 774: 9 \ W. R., 1.	2059, 2770
Mahomed Aktur v. Reily	24 W. R., 447	1733, 4204
Mahomed Akul Beg v. Mahomed Koyum Beg	25 W. R., 199	3693
Mahomed Ali v. Batook Dao Narain Singh	1 W. R., 52	534, 3079
v. Bolakee Bhuggut	24 W. R., 330	3021
v. Buttook Deo Narain Singh	1 W. R., 352	3021
v. Gobar Ali	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 88	3665
v. Gokul Chund	1 N. W., 19 : Ed. 1873, 18	442
v. Jugal Ram Chandra	5 B. L R., Ap., 84: 14 W.	5752
v. Shurum Ali	R., 124.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8 W. R., 422	1736, 6187
Mahomed Ali Khan v. Abdul Gunny	L. R., 257.	4176
v. Kalunder Ali Khan	4 N. W., 81	4951
v. Oomrao Singh	2 N. W., 425	3280, 5224
Mahomed Ali Khan Chowdhry v. Jadub Chander Chuckerbutty.	W. R., 1864, 60	66
Mahomed Altaf Ali Khan v. Ahmed Buksh	25 W. R., 121	3733
v. Pertab Singh .	5 N. W., 91	4806
Mahomed Alum v. Akil	16 W. R., Cr., 68	975
Mahomed Ameenoodeen Khan v. Moozuffur Hossein (Khan.	5 B. L. R., 570: 14 W. R., } P. C., 5.	337, 1459 5059
Mahomed Ameer v. Peryag Singh	I. L. R., 7 Cale, 566: 9 C. L. R., 185.	569, 3028
Mahomed Amidoolla v. Durbesh Shaikh	24 W. R., 314	779
Mahomed Anjole v. Gouree Pershad Sha	6 W. R., 62	235
Mahomed Ansur v. Sefatoollah	22 W. R., 340	5280
Mahomed Anwar Chowdry v. Raj Chunder Ghose .	17 W. R , 522	1757
Mahomed Arsad Chowdhry v. Yakoob Ally {	15 B. L. R., 357: 24 W. R., 181.	3160
Mahomed Arshad Chowdhry v. Sajida Banoo . (I. L. R., 3 Calc., 702: 2 C. L. } R., 46.	3695
Mahomed Arsud Chowdhry v. Oosun Bebee.	2 W. R., 217	3748
Mahomed Ashruf v . Brojessuree Dossee $\{$	11 B. L. R., 118: 19 W. R.,	2199
v. Kureemoddeen	24 W. R., 468	3939, 4825
Mahomed Askur v. Mahomed Wasuck	22 W. R., 413	5316
Mahomed Assanoollah Chowdhry v. Shamshir Ali.	4 C. L. R., 165	5343
Mahomed Assudoollah Khan v. Karamutoollah	4 N. W., 11	3909
Mahamed Assur v. Pogose	2 C. L. R., 8	2934
Mahomed Ata-ool-lah v. Mahomed Mohib-ool-lah . Mahomed Ausur v. Prokash Chunder Sha	1 Agra, 231	5632
Mahamad Avanaddaan a Kalaadaan Ohunda	8 W. R., 8	4199
Mahomed Azeemoollah v. Ali Buksh	15 W. R., 279	5337 765 5119
Mahomed Azeemoollah Khan v. Suhoodra Bebee .	W D 1964 997	765, 5118 663
Mahomed Azim Bhooyah v. Mahomed Somee	21 W. R., 413	5745
Mahomed Azmul v. Chadee Lall Pandey	12 W. R., 104	3841
v. Chundee Lall Pandey	7 W. R., 250	2079, 3039
Mahomed Azssar Ali v. Nassir Mahomed	3 B. L. R., A. C., 304	4152
Mahamed Badeha at Nicol Floring & Co.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 355: 2 C.)	4286
Zanomed Dadona v. Meoi, Fleming, & Co	L. R., 330.	
	•	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mahomed Bahadur Khan v. Collector of Bareilly Mahomed Bahadur Mozoomdar v. Raj Kishen Singh Mahomed Baker Khan v. Sham Dey Koer Mahomed Banazeer v. Gungaram Mahomed Basirulla v. Abdulla Mahomed Basir v. Kureem Buksh Mahomed Bauker Hossein Khan v. Shurfoonissa Begum.	13 B. L. R., 292: 21 W. R., 318: L. R., 1 I. A., 167. 10 B. L. R., 401, note: 15 } W. R., 522. 12 W. R., 2 3 Agra, 260 4 B. L. R., Ap., 35: 15 W. R., 196, note. 11 W. R., 268 3 W. R., P. C., 37: 8 Moore's I. A., 136. 3 Agra, 171: Agra, F. B.	3128 3801, 3803 3497, 3526 3239 5485 6187
Mahomed Busheroollah Chowdhry Chowdhry. Hedaet Ali Chowdhry.	Ed. 1874, 145. 8 W. R., 42	3256, 5376 3829
dhry. Mahomed Busheerul Hossein. In the matter of the petition of. Mahomed Busheeroddeen v. Mahomed Khan Kufulbash Mahomed Busheeroollah Chowdhry v. Abenoonissa v. Ramkant Chow-	9 W. R., 394	5918 3565 3132 1393 1454, 3479
Mahomed Bux v. Abdool Kureem alias Aboo Mahomed Chaman v. Ramprasad Bhagat Mahomed Conjee v. Dundas Mahomed Danish v. Mahomed Kaem Mahomed Elahee Buksh v. Brojo Kishore Sen	20 W. R., 458 8 B. L. R., 338: 22 W. R., 52, note. 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 228 . 25 W. R., 111 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 497, 3 C. L.	3510 1736, 4191 5193 1669 1732 546
Mahomed Elahee Buksh Chowdhry v. Brojo Kishore Sen Mahomed Enaos v. Lalla Jamarad Lall Mahomed Esa Khan v. Keshub Lal Mahomed Faez v. Oomdah Beguin Mahomed Faez Chowdhry v. Shib Doolaree Tewarce Mahomed Faiz Ahmed Khan v. Ghulam Ahmed Khan.	R., 398. 24 W. R., 14 13 W. R., 356 14 W. R., 382 6 W. R., 111 16 W. R., 103 1. L. R., 3 All., 490: L. R., 8 1. A., 25.	1639 683 1373 3332 1899, 3024 3673
Mahomed Faizali Khan v. Gunga Ram Mahomed Fayez Chowdhry v. Jamoo Gazee Mahomed Fazul v. Oomakant Sein Mahomed Fedye Sirdar v. Ozeeoodeen Mahomed Foyez Chowdhry v. Goluck Dass Mahomed Fyzooddeen v. Imrut Mahomed Gazee Chowdhry v. Abdool Mahomed Ameer-	1 Agra, 112	1159, 6151 1104 2883 1767, 1846 5948 3002 3981
v. Lall Bebee v. Noor Mahomed v. Pearee Mohun Mookerjee v. Ram Lall Sen v. Shumbhoonath Misser	10 W. R., 103	5107 5190 5353 5473 5220 5116, 5131
Mahomed Gazi Chowdhry v. Dullab Bibi	R., 22. 7 B. L. R., Ap., 52 11 W. R., 53 2 W. R., 52 2 W. R., P. C., 13: 9 Moore's 1. A., 504.	5353 3040 3633 4173

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	.Column of Digest.
Mahomed Gour Ali Khan v. Ashruffoonissa	2 W. R., P. C., 13:9 Moore's (I, A., 492.	4173
Mahomed Gowhur Ali Khan v. Azeemooddeen v. Gool Begum v. Shurufunissa Begum	W. R., 1864, 93	6152
Mahomed Gulshere Khan v. Mariam Begum Mahomed Hadee v. Sheo Sevuk Doobey Mahomed Hajra v. Tarasoonderee Brahminee Mahomed Hamidoollah v. Modhoo Soodun Ghose	I. L. R., 3 All., 731 6 N. W., 95 Marsh., 201; 1 Hay, 577 11 W. R., 298	3685 420, 3341 3837 1751
Mahomed Hamidulla Khan v. Lotful Huq	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 744: 8 C.	3661
Mahomed Haneef Meajee v. Mozhur Ali Mahomed Haneff v. Mahomed Masoom Mahomed Hashim v. Hossein Ali v. Kaleechurn Banerjee Mahomed Hossein. In the matter of the petition of	L. R., 164. 15 W. R., 280 21 W. R., 371 19 W. R., 433 13 W. R., 91 5 W. R., Mis., 49	145 3694 989 4914 3880
v. Afzul Ally	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 1: Marsh., 296: W. R., F. B., 83: 2 Hay, 293.	221, 5732
v. Akaya Narayan Pal	2 B. L. R., Ap., 42: 18 W. R., 37, note.	329
v. Akbur Hossein	17 W. R., 275	83
Singh.	7 W. R., 374	564 1610
v. Hadzi Abdullah	22 W. R., 316 : L. R., 2 I. A., 1 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 727	1618 163, 2033
v. Inodeen	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 946 4 W. R., 23	648 1360, 4565
v. Kokil Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 91:9 C. L. R., 53.	3450, 5450
v. Krishno Churn Misser	20 W. R., 147 6 B. L. R., 41: 14 W. R., F. }	4399
v. Mohsin Ali	B., 1.	3714
v,	7 W. R., 195 14 W. R., 266	323 7 3714
v. Muntozul Huq v. Okhoy Narain Paul	18 W. R., 400 4 W. R., 70	765 1076
v. Peeroo Mullick	W. R., 1864, Act X, 115 .	1675
D 1 M 1	20 W. R., 147	5778 860, 3259
v. Furundur Mahto v. Surahtoonissa Khanum v. Tuqueeroodeen Mahomed Hossein Khan v. Khusroo Fakeer	2 W. R., 89 15 W. R., 284	4173
	1 N. W., 44: Ed. 1873, 41 .	2715 564
v. Lootf Ali Khan v. Mahomed Nehaluddin Khan	18 W. R., 463	3515
v. Shib Dyal	5 N. W., 108: Agra, F. B.,	4968 497
Mahomed Hyder Hoosein v. Jeeawun.	Ed. 1874, 276. 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 43	2984
Mahomed Ibrahim v. Lalla Jussodalal	W. R., 1864, 247	811
v. Lauder	Cor., 42	1051
Mahomed Imdadally v. Ameer Alv	2 Agra, 47.	4179 3272
Mahomed Inayetoolla v. Akbar Ali	2 Agra, 25.	2991
Mahomed Isakhan v. Kisho Lal	6 B. L. R., Ap., 44	1389
Mahomed Ismail v. Lalla Dhundur. Kishore Narain	25 W. R., 39	4258, 4403
Mahomed Ismailjee Nada v. British India Steam Navi- gation Co.	9 W. R., 396	601

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mahomed Ismail Khan v. Mahomed Jabir alias Motee 7	6 N. W., 38 . , .	3103
Mahomed Israile v. Wise	13 B. L. R., 118 : 21 W. R., 327	4252, 5628
Mahomed Issa Khan v. Onraet .	8 W. R., 26	473
Mahomed Jakee v. Gopee Roy .	10 W. R., 5	541
Mahomed Jan v. Ameerun .	17 W. R., 180	193
v. Khadi Sheikh.	16 W. R., Cr., 75	4626
Mahomed Kalee Shikdar v. Ali Hossein Chowdhry .	3 W. R., Act X, 5	3129
Mahomed Kaloo Chowdhry v. Fedaye Shikdar	8 W. R., 219	2927
Mahomed Kalun v. Dinomoyee Dashya	8 C. L. R., 112	886
Mahomed Kamil v. Abdool Luteef	23 W. R., 51 · · ·	5791
Mahomed Kana Meah v. Run Mahomed	24 W. R., 254	1735
Mahomed Karamutoollah v. Abdool Majeed	1 N. W. 205: Ed. 1873, 288 .	5692
Mahomed Kazem v. Forbes	8 W. R., 257	1376, 3351 1376, 3350
Mahomed Kazem Chowdhry v. Forbes	5 W. R., 277	848
Mahomed Kazem Jowhurry v. Khetoo Bebeo	2 N. W., 248	1136
Mahomed Khan v. Shaista Khan Mahomed Khan Kuzulbash v. Thakoor Singh	3 W. R., Mis., 1	210
Mahomed Khuleel v. Sona Kooer	23 W. R., 123	2826
Mahomed Kobeer v. Abdool Azeem	24 W. R., 315	4176
Mahomed Koya v. Kasmi	I. L R., 9 Mad., 206	5666
Mahomed Kumroodeen v. Ujooboonissa	1 N. W., 113 : Ed. 1873, 199.	1885 .
Mahomed Kuzulbash v. Mahomed Buseeroodeen	7·W. R., 169	406
Mahomed Kuzulbash Khan v. Mahomed Shah	12 W. R., 48	543 4
Mahomed Mahmood v. Safar Ali	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 407	1760, 2735
Mahomed Mahmud Shah. In re	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 66	2662
Mahomed Manaff. In the matter of the petition of .	10 W. R., 332	4442
Mahomed Manoo Bhooyah v. Mahomed Asanoollah	17 W. R., 349	5752
Chowdhry.	17 11. 11., 545	0.02
Mahomed Masik v. Malkai Mukhadrai Uzwa Badshah	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 380	1227
Mehal Saheba.		
Mahomed Mirza v. Abdool Kureem	25 W. R., 41	4041
Mahomed Morul Hossein v. Hyder Buksh	W. R., 1864, 304	4201
Mahomed Mozuffer Hossein Chowdhry v. Ameerunnissa	20 W. R., 280	799
Khatoon.		4976
Mahomed Mubson v. Sumputtee Sahoon Chowdhrain	10 W. R., 305	1619
Mahomed Muddeen Mirdha v. Gopal Lall Tagore.	2 Hay, 514	279
Mahomed Mudsur v. Ram Lol Roy	6 W. R., Mis., 50	
Mahomed Mudun v. Khodezunnissa alias Khookee Bebee.	2 W. R., 181	3731
ί.	10 B. L. R., Ap., 29: 19 W.;	570
Mahomed Munoor Mea v. Jybunee	R., 200.	578
Mahomed Munsoor v. Umbica Churn Roy	W. R., 1864, 132 .	5 10 5
Mahomed Muse v. Jijibhai Bhagvan	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 524 .	3963
Mahomed Museeh-ood-deen Khan v. Museehooddeen .	2 N. W., 173 . •	3178, 3699
Mahomed Myanobool Hek v. Mahomed Syud Khan .	1 W. R., 15 · ·	1582
Mahomed Nasseer v. Kishen Mohun Goyee	W. R., F. B., 92	, 5303
Mahomed Noor Buksh v. Mahomed Hameedool Huq .	5 W. R., 23	3695
v. Mohun Chunder Poddar.	6 W. R., Act X, 67	2886 3672
Mahomed Noor Khan v. Hur Dyal	1 Agra, 67	3672 1869
Mahomed Noor-oollah Khan v. Harcharan Rai	6 N. W., 98 · ·	91
Mahomed Nukshund Khan v. Afzul Begum	3 N. W., 149	1699
Mahomed Nuzzeroollah v. Fergusson	2 Agra, 139	4812, 4851
Mahomed Ohid v. Kalee Pershad Singh Mahomed Rahamatulla v. Ward	5 Mad., 391 · ·	5824
Mahomed Rasid Khan Chowdhry v. Jadoo Mirdha	20 W. R., 401	2747, 3050
Mahomed Raza Khan v. Jawahir Singh	2 Agra, 1	4537
THE THE TENER OF SEMANTIC PRINCIPLE		

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column . of Digest.
Mahomed Reezaoodeen v. Hossein Buksh Khan	1 W. R., 300 6 W. R., Mis., 24 6 B. L R., Ap., 117 : 15 W.)	6094 955
Mahomed Rijah v. Collector of Chittagong	R., 116.	5832
Mahomed Roheemooddeen v. Indoor Chunder Jowhuree . Mahomed Rohimoodeen v. Radha Mohun Muudul Mahomed Ruhwan Khan v. Khajah Buksh . Mahomed Saefoollah v. Anwar Ali Mahomed Said-ood-deen v. Mahomed Hossein	6 W. R., Act X, 96 5 W. R., 221 21 W. R., 112 2 N. W., 438	2697, 4610 1634 3695 880 1187
Mahomed Salamut Hossein v. Sookh Dayee	10 W. R., 284	4020 84 6242
Mahomed Salleh v. Nusseerooddeen Hossein Mahomed Samee Bhooya v. Alahee Buksh Chowdhry Mahomed Sayad Phaki v. Navroji Balabhai Mahomed Shah v. Usgur Hossein Mahomed Shaha v. Lall Mahomed Mahomed Shahaboodeen v. Wedgeberry	21 W. R., 284 10 W. R., 346 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 214 5 N. W., 151 15 W. R., 179 10 B. L. R., Ap., 31	2773 3500 3258, 3275 3048, 5190 306 1844, 4967
Mahomed Shamsooddeen v. Abdool Huq	W. R., 1864, 379	1827
Mahomed Shamsool Hoda v. Shewakram	14 B. L. R., 226 : L. R., 2 I. A., 7 : 22 W. R., 409.	2268
Mahomed Share Khan v. Bahoo Begum	1873, 84.	6127
Mahomed Sheerun Khan v. Misser Koondun Lall.	Agra, F. B., 158: Ed. 1874,	6091
Mahomed Shuffli v. Laldin Abdula	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 227 7 W. R., 487	5532 560 1149, 1342
Mahomed Sidick v. Ahmed	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 1 .	2282, 2369 3632, 6200
Mahomed Soaduck Golestan v. Forbes Mahomed Toque (Court of Wards) v. Fouzdar Roy Mahomed Tuckee v. Ahmudee Begum Mahomed Tukee v. Hujjee alias Khujjai Mahomed Tukee Chowdhry v. Luchmeput Singh Doogur.	5 W. R., Act X, 90	4893 582 5117 4549 280
Mahomed Tumeezooddeen Mundul v. Lulikee Narain Dey Sircar.	25 W. R., 104 · · ·	5194
Mahomed Tuque Beg v. Wallis	2 Agra, Mis., 5	1934 3558
Mahomed Usudoollah V. Moteechund	Moore's I. A., 363.	4639
Mahomed Wajid v. Tayyuban	1 Agra, 150	3660 3640
Mahomed Waris v. Hazee Emamooddeen	5 I. A., 211. 6 W. R., 173	3726
Mahomed Warris v. Pitambur Sen	21 W. R., 435 2 Hay, 515	450 3015 481, 1679
Mahomed Yacoob v. Mahomed Zuhoorul Huq Mahomed Yacoob Hossein v. Chowdry Waheyd Ally	22 W. R., 534 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 29 : 4 W.	2708 1615, 2930
Mahomed Yakub Saheb v. Mahomed Jaffer Ali Saheb Mahomed Yusuf Ali Khan v. Government	R., Act X, 23. 3 I. L. R, 4 Mad., 167	214 3127
Mahomed Zahoor Ali Khan v. Rutta Kooer	9 W. R., P. C., 9: 11 Moore's I. A., 468.	1250, 3868 4421, 4857
v. Rutta Kunwoor	2 N. W., 481	1030,6014

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of . Digest.
Mahomed Zahur Alum v. Chunder Cumar Mahomed Zakaoolla v. Banee Pershad Mahomed Zamir v. Abdool Hakim Mahomed Zuheerul Huq v. Butoolun Mahomedan Association v. Bakshi Ram Mahomet Hossein v. Hadzi Abdullah	5 C. L. R., 449 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 135 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 67 1 W. R., 79 1. L. R., 6 All, 284 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 727	1502 3891, 3958 5332 3675 4274 163, 2033
Mahommed Isaack Mushyack v. Azcezoon Nissa Begam.	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 341	4391 5235, 5236
Mahram Das v. Ajudhia	I. L. R., 8 All., 452 . { I. L. R., 3 Calc., 351: 1	6119
Mahtab Chunder Bahadoor v. Ram Lall Mookerjee	L. R, 158.	2695 1620
Mahtab Kooer v. Buldeo Singh Mahtab Koomaree. In the matter of Mahtab Kuar v. Collector of Shahjahanpur Mahtab Rai v. Sant Lal Mahtab Singh v. Misree Lall — v. Sadhooram Bhugut Mahuddee Allee Khan v. Roheemoodeen Maidin Saiba v. Nagapa Maijha Singh v. Jhow Lal Mailamdi Fakir v. Taripulla Pramanik Mailaraya v. Subbaraya Bhut Mainar Koer v. Luchmun Bhuggut Mainath Kuari v. Debi Bakhsh Rai Mainath Singh v. Moorta Kooer Mainooddeen v. Nubo Coomaree Debia Majid Hossein v. Jeeawon Khewat Majoram Ojha v. Nilmoney Singh Deo Makandas Kalidas v. Shankardas Haribhai Makath Unni Moyi v. Malabar Kaadapunni Nair Makbul Ahmad v. Iftikharunnissa Begum Makbul Ali v. Masnad Bibee Makhan Kuar v. Jasoda Kuar Makhan Lal v. Gulzari Lal Makhan Lall Saha v. Makhan Chora Saha Makhun Chunder Shaha v. Chand Monee Dassee	4 N. W., 58 19 W. R., 62 1. L. R., 5 All., 419 1. L. R., 5 All., 276 2 Agra, 88 25 W. R., 419 Bourke, O. C., 154 1. L. R., 7 Bom., 96 6 N. W., 354 1. L. R., 8 Calc., 644 1. Mad., 81 1. C. L. R., 250 1. L. R., 3 All., 757 24 W. R., 375 24 W. R., 247 3 Agra, 233 13 R. L. R., 198: 21 W. R., 326 12 Bom., 241 1. L. R., 4 Mad., 268 7 N. W., 124 3 B. L. R., A. C., 54: 11 W., R., 396 1. L. R., 6 All., 399 1. L. R., 6 All., 289 2 B. L. R., P. C., 44: 11 W., R., P. C., 19: 12 Moore's I. A., 157 1. L. R., 11 Calc., 271 8 W. R., 105	1620 4949 4014 3965 3969 1116 1193 3118, 3420 221, 5469 1303, 5565 2719 5451 3521 4237 5636 1781 321, 4910 5313 4824, 4829 6195 5768, 5838 1786, 2080 3984 2645 510, 1577 4020, 4187 4108 675 4914
Makhun Kooer v. Tincowree Dutt Makhun Naikin v. Manchaud Ladhabhai Makoond Adit v. Suttoorghun Adit Makoondonath Bhadoory v. Shib Chunder Bhadoory Makaood Ali Khan v. Ghazeeooddeen Khan	14 W. R., 22 5 Bom., A. C., 107 17 W. R., 507 19 W. R., 102 3 Agra, 158	3151 6232 1880, 3526 3369
Maktab Beg v. Hasan Ali Maktum valad Mohidin v. Imam valad Mohidin Makud v. Bahori Lal Makudu Ravullan v. Mastan Sahib Makund v. Bahori Lal Makundd valad Balacharya v. Sitaram Makundi v. Sarabsukh Makundi Kuar v. Balkishen Das Makundi Lall v. Kaunsila Makund Lall v. Raghoput Doss	I. L. R., 8 All., 551 10 Bom., 293 I. L. R., 3 All., 824 1 Mad., 102 I. L. R., 3 All., 824 5 Bom., A. C., 102 I. L. R., 6 All, 417 I. L. R., 3 All., 328 1. L. R., 1 All., 568 2 Agra, 83	243 5041 4144 5788 314 3131, 3133 2086 1717, 3299 5492 1353
Transita nati or reagniful nass	= e-9-m	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Makund Lall Sookul v. Goluk Chunder Dutt	9 W. R., 572 6 N. W., 324, note	4016 3869 818
V. Makund Ram	I. L. R., 6 All., 228 I. L. R., 3 Mad., 359	333
Malan v. Queen	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 11	261 2062
Malayaraya Nayanar v. Oppayi Ammal	1 Mad., 349	728
Malchus v. Broughton	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 193	6207
Malcolm v. Gasper	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 278	6026 690
Maleebun v. Raseeda Maleshappa bin Karvirappa v. Bassappa bin Ningappa d Shetavnekar.	1 Bom., 10	4820
Malhari v. Narso Krishna	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 174	5419, 58 ⁹⁴
Malhari valad Raghoji v. Tukaram valad Darkoji	6 Bom., A. C., 86	3025
Malick Bapoo Meyan v. Hari Walub Nagurdas	5 W. R., P. C., 112	1401 848
Mallappah v. Nagannah	6 Mad., 131	668
Mallikarjunudu v. Mallikarjunudu	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 185	3581, 39 ⁹²
Mallik Jawad-ul-Huq v. Ramprasad Das	3 B. L. R., A. C., 281	4561, 60 ⁷² 3062
Maloji Santaji v. Vithu Hari	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 520	1519
Malraja alias Krishnama Rajah v. Narayanasamy Rajah	4 Mad., 281	3267
Maluk Chand Surma v. Karlu Chandra Surma . {	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 399: 5 W. R., 76.	1816
Mammali v. Pakki	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 428	4256
Mamoola Khanum v. Mahomed Easa Khan	13 W. R., 429	1783
Mamtazul Hvq v. Nirbhai Singh {	L. R., 318	574
Manally Chenna Kesavaraya v . Mangadu Vaidelinga .	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 343 . }	29, 32 ₃ , 3363
Manappa Mudali v. McCarthy	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 192 . {	5071, 5703 5735
Mana Vikrama v. Mallicherry Kristnan Nambudri .	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 68 . {	180, 388 5953
Mana Vikraman v. Sundaran Pattar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 148 I. L. R., 4 All., 40	3755 2262, 484
Mancharam v. Pranshankar	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 298 . {	2251, 245
Muncharam Kalliandas v. Ratilal Lalshankar	6 Bom., A. C., 39	4300 3175, 345
Mancharji Hirji Readymoney. In re	5 Bom., O. C., 55	2671
Mancharji Pestanji v. Narayan Lakshumanji	1 Bom., 77	2536, 612
Mancharji Sorabji Chulla v. Kongseoo	6 Bom., O. C., 59 5 Bom., A. C., 109	6137 4022, 423
Mancherji Kawasji Davur v. Mithibai	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 506	4242
Manchharam Kalliandas v. Bakshe Saheb Mir Mainudin Khan.	6 Bom., A. C., 231	5681
Maneckji Limji Mancherji v. Goolbai	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 241	4345, 453
Maneerun v. Luteefun	3 W. R., 46 3 Bom., O. C., 167	3146
Manekji Framji. In re	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 426	2664 5594
Manessur Doss v. Collector and Municipal Commis-	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 409	2848
sioners of Chapra. Mangala Debi v. Dinanath Bose	4 B. L. R., O. C., 72: 12 W.	2259
	R., O. C., 35.	1
Mangaldas Nathubhoy v. Krishnabai	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 38 I. L. R., 2 All., 239	2538 4090
Mangamma v. Timmapaiya		4252

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mangina Khatun v. Collector of Jessore	3 B. L. R., Ap., 144: 12 W. R., 311.	5367
Mangniram Marwari v. Dhowtal Roy Man Gobind Doss Mohapatter v. Jankee Ram Man Gobind Sircar v. Umbika Monee Dossia Mangu v. Lal Kandhai Lal Man Gurobinee Dassea v. Khettur Chunder Ghose	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 569 W. R., 1864, 211 16 W. R., 218 I. L. R., 8 All., 475 2 W. R., Act X, 47	2712 1703 2735 3188 8, 530
Mani Chandra Dass. In the matter of	2 B. L. R., A. C., 188: 11 \\ W. R., 62.	1040
Manickavelu Mudali v. Arbuthuot & Co Manickbai v. Hormasji Bomanji	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 404 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 547 2 Agra, 99	3172, 6056 6197 4536
Manick Chund v. Jomona Dass	7 C. L. R., 88	4680, 5851 5855
· v. Jomoona Doss	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 645 .	4680, 5851 5855
Manick Chunder alias Protap Chunder Roy v. Raj Luckhee Dossee.	19 W. R., 252	687
Manick Chunder Doss v. Dwarkanauth Doss Manick Chunder Dutt v. Bhuggobutty Dossee Manick Chunder Roy v. Lallmon Sheikh Manick Moyee Chowdrain v. Poorno Chunder Roy Manickram v. Ramyad Ram	2 Hay, 502	5301 2130 5827, 5830 669 6247
Manick Sett v. Khettermohun Gossamee Manick Singh v. Pirthee Singh	Marsh., 5:1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 36 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 101 5 N. W., 163	884 4911 4997
Manickya Moyee v. Boroda Prosad Mookerjee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 355: 11 C. } L. R., 430.	3155, 4288 4613
Manikam Mudali v. Qucen	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 63	463
Manik Chand v. Madhoram	3 B. L. R., P. C., 5: 11 W. R., P. C., 42: 13 Moore's	
Manikji Mehervanji v. Rahimtulla Alubhai Manikji Shapurji Kaka. In re Manikji Sorabji v. Cama Maniklal Atmaram v. Manchershi Dinsha	I. A., 1. 1 Bom., Ap, 1 5 Bom., O. C., 61 3 Bom., O. C., 159 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 269 1 B. L. B. A. G. 22, 10 W	
Maniklal Baboo v. Ramdas Mazumdar .	1 B. L. R., A. C., 92: 10 W. R., 132.	
Maniklal Venilal v. Lakha Manikya Row Lakshmayyah v. Manikya Row Gopal Row	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 429 7 Mad., 400	
Mani Lall Sahu v. Collector of Sarun	6 B. L. R., Ap., 93: 14 W.	40
Maniram Deb v. Debi Charan Deb	4 B. L. R., F. B., 97: 13 W.	
Maniram v. Mashihan	R., F. B., 42.	
Maniruddin v. Gaur Chandra Shamadar .	7 B. L. R., 165: 15 W. R., (
Maniruddin Ahmed v. Ram Chand . Manirullah v. Ramzan Ali Mani Shankar Hargovan v. Trikam Narsi .	Cr., 89. 2 B. L. R., A. C., 341 1 C. L. R., 293 5 Bom., A. C., 42	
Maniswar Das v. Bir Pertab Sahu	6 B. L. R., 646: 15 W. R.,	414
Maniyam Narasimma Gaundan v. Ayya Krishnama Chariyar.	7 Mad., 37 · · · ·	4578
Manjanatha v. Narayana Manjunath Badrabhat v. Venkatesh Govind Shanbhog Mankee Koer v. Khedoo Lall	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 362 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 54 2 Hay, 623	

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mankee Kooer v. Munnoo	14 B. L. R., 315 : 22 W. R., 543.	3390
Mankoonwer v. Dilawur Hossein Khan	1 Agra, Rev., 36	4969
Mankuar v. Jasodha Kuar	I. L. R., 1 All., 478	109 7 240
v. Ram Kishore	I. L. R., 5 All., 314 I. L. R., 7 All., 583	5275, 545
Manly v. Manly	14 W. R., 136	2022, 561
v. Patterson	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 339: 9 C. L.	3095
	R., 166. I. L. R., 7 Calc., 394	1462,344
Manmahini Dasi v. Balak Chandra Pandit	8 B. L. R., 22: 15 W. R.,	2421
	498.	4826
	9 Bom., 147 6 B. L. R., 1 : 14 W. R., F.)	
wanmonini Chowdhram v. 1 femenand 160y	B., 4.	215, 3810
Mannalal v. Bank of Bengal	I. L. R., 1 All., 309	1105
Manna Singh v. Ramadhin Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 252 I. L. R., 2 All., 296	4542 3228, 4078
Mannoo Dossee v. Ishan Chunder Banerjee.	15 W. R., 245	747
Mannu Lal v. Harsukh Das	I. L. R., 3 All., 233 .	3246
Mannu Lall v . Pegue	9 B. L. R., 175, note: 10 W. R., 379.	3380
Manockji Petit Manufacturing Company v. Mahalaxmi	• •	1384
Spinning and Weaving Company.	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 617	
	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 31 2 Bom., 396: 2nd Ed., 374 .	1522, 354 2800
Manohar Das v. Bhagabati Dasi	1 B. L. R., O. C., 28	1823, 423
v. Manzur Ali	I. L. R., 5 All., 40	4249
Manohar Ganesh v. Bawa Ram Charan Das	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 219 . {	165, 1246 5890
Manohar Ganesh Tambekar v. Chutabhai Mithabhai	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 347	4064
Manohar G. Tambekar. In re	I. L. R., 4 Bom 26	1235
Manohar Lal v. Gauri Shankar	I. L. R., 1 All., 476	3564 4342
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 371 . I. L. R., 7 Calc., 225 : 8 C. L.	_
Mano Mohun Ghose v. Mothura Mohun Roy .	R., 126.	4183
Manoollah v. Gunes	3 Agra, 390	$\begin{array}{c} 5278 \\ 4676 \end{array}$
Januari Knazi at Padha Pracad Sinceh	Bourke, O. C., 162 I. L. R., 6 All., 466	1244
Mansang v. Government of Bombay	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 443	4389
Mansha Devi v. Jiwan Mal	I. L. R., 6 All., 617	2436
	I. L. R., 1 All., 480 • • • • •	5025 5676
Man Singh Mahtoon v. Bhaik Narain Mahtoon	22 W. R., 395	1770
Manson v. Golam Kebria Moonshee	15 W. R., 490 · · ·	689, 172
Mansuk Das v. Rangayya Chetti	1 Mad., 162	1115, 136 1939
Mansukhram Purshotam v. Javarevohu	7 Bom., A. C., 122 L. R., 13 I. A., 113: I. L.)	
Mansur Ali Khan v . Sarju Pershad $\{$	R., 9 All., 20.	3987
Mantappa Nadgowda v. Baswantrao Nadgowda .	15 W. R., P. C., 33: 14	5824
Mantena Rayaparaj v. Chekuri Venkataraj	Moore's I. A., 24. 5 1 Mad., 100	2215
Manthareswara Atyar v. Kamala Naiker	3 Mad., 88	636
Man Turunginee Dabee v. Boistub Churn Bhudder	1 W. R., 110	480 9540
Manual Fruval v. Sanagapalli Latchmidevamma	7 Mad., 104	3549

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Manu Miya v. Empress	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 371:11 C. }	2756
Manwar Ali v. Annodapersad Rai	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 644: 6 C. L. R., 71: L. R., 7 I. A., 1.	3427
Maracho Kocer v. Chutoorbhooj Sahoy	24 W. R., 459	3501
Marakar v. Parameswaran Marakar Akath Kondarakayil Mamu v. Punjapatath Kuttu.	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 140 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 61	3764, 6088 3964
Marakkal v. Kandappa Goundan	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 373	3739 3956, 396 5
Maratib Ali v. Abdul Hakim	I. L. R., 3 Mad, 230 I. L. R., 1 All., 567	4416
Marcar v. Sigg	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 239	1052
Mari v. Chiunammal	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 107 · .	2312
Mariam Begum v. Rye Churn Dutt	13 W. R., 269	6102
Markundi Dial v. Ram Baran Rai	I. L. R., 2 All., 735	5201
Marshall. In the matter of	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 421; and on appeal, 10 C. L. R., 591.	2640
Marthamma v. Kittu Sheregara	6 Mad, 91	5663
Martin v. Baker	15 B. L. R., 372	4325
v. Lawrence	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 655	1042
	2 Agra, 124	3886
v. Sheoram Lal	1. L. R., 4 All., 232	$4812 \\ 1468, 2410$
Maruti Narayan v. Lilachand	1. L. R., 6 Bom., 564 6 Mad., 83	5686
"Mary Stuart," The, v. The "Nevada"	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 866	126
Masarat-un-nissa v. Adit Ram	I. L. R., 5 All., 568	4774
Maseyk. In the matter of	15 W. R., Cr, 1	4503
v. Fergusson	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 304	5901, 6219
0:11 01-11 - 01-1-1-1-4-	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 670	6220
v. Grish Chunder Chuckerbutty	24 W. R., 34	4593
Mashishuree Dossee v. Ram Sagur Singh	W. R., 1864, 306 W. R., 1864, Act X, 69	$\begin{array}{c} 36 \\ 546 \end{array}$
Mashook Ali Khan v. Jowala Buksh	2 N. W., 290	3335
Mashook Ameen Suzzada v. Marem Reddy	8 Mad., 31	3889
Mashoollah Khan v. Ram Lall Agurwallah .	I, L. R., 6 Calc., 6	5890
Masooma Bebee v. Nazur Fatma	1 N. W., 117: Ed. 1873, 203	497
Masseyk v. Jugobundhoo Dutt	1 W. R., Mis., 12	5863
Massim Meah v. Sham Doss	22 W. R., 189	6137
Massim Mollah v. Panjoo Ghoramee	21 W. R., 373	116 7 12 52
Masuma Bibi v. Collector of Ballia	I. L. R., 7 All., 687 2 N. W., 54	2918
Matadeen Doobey v. Chundee Deen Doobey	6 N. W., 118	2918, 2919
Matadeen Roy v. Mussoodun Singh	10 W. R., 293	1500
Matangini Debi v. Jaykali Debi	5 B. L. R., 466: 14 W. R., O. C., 23.	2525
Mata Parshad v. Janki	7 N. W., 226	2864
Mata Pershad v. Bhagceruthee	2 N. W., 78	2208
v. Bhugmanee	1 N. W., 75 : Ed. 1873, 128	4033
Mata Prasad v. Gauri	I. L. R., 3 All., 59	5699
Matapulut Singh v. Mata Dyal	3 Agra, 275	3043 1996
Mathappa v. Chellappa	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 196 7 Bom., O. C., 1	2048
Mathoo Sahoo v. Lalah Ameer Chand	15 B. L. R., 503: 24 W.)	3969 .
	R., 24.	
Mathra Parshad. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 1 All., 296	5123, 5936
Mathura Das v. Babu Lal	I. L. R., 1 All., 683	3208 4191 4845
v. Mitchell	I. L. R., 4 All., 206	4191, 4845

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mathura Das v. Nathuni Lall Mahta	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 731 . 5 Bom., A. C., 63 . 6 Bom., A. C., 131 . I. L. R., 10 Bom., 468 . I. L. R., 7 Bom., 522 . 7 Bom., A. C., 24 . W. R., 1864, Act X, 128	5473 1901, 5923 5660 6060 3231 4828 1599 2116, 2220
Mathura Naikin v. Esu Naikin	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 545	2458
Mathuranath Chuckerbutty. In the matter of the petition of. Mathuranath Dutt v. Kedar Nath Mookerjee	9 B. L. R., 354: 17 W. R. Cr., 55. 2 B. L. R., A. C., 128	5946 2081
Mathuranath Kundu v. Campbell	9 B. L. R., 115, note: 15 W	4925
v. Steel	R., 463. I. L. R., 12 Calc., 533 . 3 B. L. R., A. C., 108: 11 W.	530, 3330 902, 1722
Mathura Pandey v. Ram Rucha Tewari	R., 482.	2017, 5761 2728
Mathura Persad Singh v. Luggun Koer Mathura Prasad v. Durjan Singh Mati Singh v. Lilanand Singh Matonginy Dassee v. Chowdhry Junmunjoy Mullick	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 615 I. L. R., 2 All., 639 2 B. L. R., A. C., 173 25 W. R., 513	2724 3416 438, 3266
Mattongeney Dossee v. Ramnarain Sadkhan .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 83 : 2 C. L. R., 428.	4808
Matuki Misser v. Queen-Empress	'	2600 , 4366
Matungee Churn Mitter v. Moorrary Mohun Ghose	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 619 I. L. R., 1 Calc., 175: 21 W. R., 453.	5333
Matunginee Debea v. Gugun Chunder Bhooy Matunginee Dossee v. Haradhun Doss v. Hureepershad Mundul	2 W. R., Mis., 41 5 W. R., Act X, 60 24 W. R., 25	4215 531 1216
Matungunce Dabea v. Kalee Dabea Mauji Ram v. Tara Singh Mavulali Amirudin Sharif v. Gundu Sobhanadri Mayabhai Prembhai v. Tribhuvandas Jagjivandas	2 W. R., 4	6231 3850 3903 786, 3544
Mayadeb Gossami. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 762: 8 L. R., 292.	1799
Mayandi v. McQuhae	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 124	360 2 11 73
Mayandy Tevan v. Naranaiyan	4 Mad., 108 I. L. R., 2 All., 631	4550
v. Prag Dat	I L. R., 5 All., 44 ·	5806
Mayaram Bhairam v. Motiram Govindram	2 Bom., 331 : 2nd Ed., 313 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 661 .	2510 5224
Mayashankar v. Harishankar	2 Mad., 109	3763
Mayer v. Sowlatoonissa	2 W. R., Act X, 96 .	3129
Mayhew v. Tulloch	4 N. W., 25 · ·	2804, 2806 5658
Mayho v. Williams	2 N. W., 268	4668 2322
Mayor of Lyons v. Advocate General of Bengal .	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 303: 26 W. R., 1: L. R., 3 I. A., 32.	728
v. East India Company	1 Moore's I. A., 175	1576
Mazedoonissa Beebee v. Fuezen Beebee	4 W. R., Mis., 6	3457, 3526 1120
Mazha Ali v. Mahomed Sahib Khan	7 N. W., 336 I. L. R., 7 All., 297	3728
Mazhar Ali v. Budh Singh	1. L. R., 2 All., 769	2725
Mazzum Ally Khan v. Pirthee Singh	3 Agra, 263	1715
McConnell v. Mayer	2 N. W., 315	1706
McGavin v. Wilson	1 Ind. Jur., N. S, 405	4603

Name of Case.

Volume and Page.

McGiveran v. Hurkhoo Singh McGiveron v. Duriaw Chowdhry McIvor v. Stainbank	18 W. R., 203
McKellar v. Wallace	5 Moore's I. A., 372
McCorkindale. In the matter of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 1: 6 C. L. R., 406.
· v. Young	18 W. R., 466
Meah Jan Munshi v. Kurruna Mayi Debi .	8 B. L. R., 1
Meah Khan v. Narain Chunder Chowdhry Meajan Khan v. Akally Mean Jan. In re Meanooddeen v. Greesh Chunder Roy Chowdhry Meckjee Khetsee v. Kasowjee Devachund Meda Bibi v. Imaman Bibi	18 W. R., 197 Marsh., 384: 2 Hay, 426 5 W. R., Mis., 20. 7 W. R., 230 4 C. L. R., 282 I. L. R., 6 All., 207
Medhi Ullee Khan v. Mahomed Wajid Ullee	1 N. W., Pt. II, 10: Ed. \\ 1873, 70.
Mcechoo Chunder Sircar v. Ravenshaw .	11 B. L. R., 9: 19 W. R. 345.
Meelan Khalifa v. Dwarkanath Goopto Meer Nawaub. In the matter of Mecrun v. Najeebun	1 W. R., Cr., 7 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 315 . 2 Agra, 335
Meethun Bibee v. Busheer Khan	7 W. R., P. C., 27: 11 Moore's I. A., 213.
Mee Tsee. In the matter of Meghbarun Singh v. Mohessur Buksh Singh Megh Lal Pooree v. Mohammed Dutt Jha . Megh Lall Pooree v. Shib Pershad Madi	15 W. R., 351 5 W. R., 211
Megh Narayan Sing v. Radha Prasad Singh	4 B. L. R., A. C., 200: 13 (W. R., 224.
Meghraj v. Beejoy Gobind Burral	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 197 : 23 W R., 438.
v. Zakir Hussain Meghraj Singh v. Rashdharee Singh Megji Hansraj v. Ramji Joita Megraj Jagannath v. Gokaldas Mathuradas Megraj Singh v. Joy Mungul Singh Meharban Khan v. Muhboob Khan Mehdee Ally Khan v. Luchmeeput Baboo Mehdee Beg v. Zellal Thakoor Mehdee Hossein Khan v. Anjud Ali Mehdi Husain v. Madar Bakhsh Meher Ali v. Golam Nuzuff v. Showkut Ali Meher Banoo v. Keramut Ali Meherban Singh v. Sheo Koonwer Meher Chand Sahoo v. Moroolyram	I. L. R., 1 All., 280 17 W. R., 281 8 Bom., O. C., 169 7 Bom., O. C., 137 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 78 7 W. R., 462 1 W. R., Mis., 28 15 W. R., 530 6 N. W., 259 I. L. R., 2 All., 889 11 W. R., 333 8 W. R., 39 22 W. R., 402 1 Agra, 106 14 W. R., O. C., 7
Meher Jan v. Gerda Meheroonissa v. Bhashaye Merdha v. Roushan Jehan	25 W. R., 270
Meheroonissa Bibee v. Hur Churn Bose Meheroonnissa v. Abdool Gunee Mehervanji Mancharji v. Punja Velji Mehpal Roy v. Hidayutoollah	10 W. R., 220

Name of Casc.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Mehran v. Kubiran	6 B. L. R., 60, note: 13 W. R., 49. 3 Agra, 241 1 Agra, Rev., 13	3416 1680 5139 3741
Melaram Nudial v. Thanooram Bamun Melgirappa bin Solbappa Teli v. Shivappa bin Erappa Mellus v. Vicar Apostolic of Malabar Meltoon Bibee v. Gibbon Memon Hajee Haroon Mahomed v. Abdul Karim	9 W. R., 552	2439, 5095 5100 2193, 2200 1780, 4412 6052 84, 94 4628
Menadee Mahomed Cazun Serazee v. Ally Mahomed Shoosbey. Menglas Tea Estate. In re Mengur Mundur v. Huree Mohun Thakoor Merbai v. Perozebai Mercantile Credit and Financial Association. In re	6 Moore's I. A., 27 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 383 23 W. R., 447 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 268 3 Bom., O. C., 125	2768 5857 2589, 3143 2045, 2265 6051 935
(Damaskar's case). Mercer v. Narpat Rai Merjah Janand v. Krishto Chunder alias Kinoo Lahary. Merwanji Hormusji v. Rustomji Burjorji Merwanji Nowroji v. Ashabai Mether Ali v. Queen-Empress Mewa. In the matter of	9 Bom., 27	2626 2669 422 5080 4330 5242,5714 5159,5577 5913
Mewa Koonwer v. Lalla Oudh Beharee Lall Mewa Kuwar v. Hulas Kuwar Mewa Lall v. Beharee Lall v. Mahato Mewa Lall Thakur v. Bhujhun Lall Mewa Sing v. Azeezooddeen Khan Mhalsabai v. Vithoba Khandappa Gulve	2 Agra, 311 13 B. L. R., 312: L. R., 1 I. } A., 157. 14 W. R., 195 25 W. R., 152 13 B. L. R., Ap., 11 13 W. R., 311 7 Bom., Ap., 26	2339, 2366 1684, 3412 307, 4896 2762 1488 1914 682, 2117
Mia Khan v. Bibijan Mian Jan v. Man Singh Michael v. Ameena Bibi v. Gordon Micharaya Gúruvu v. Sadasiva Parama Guruvu Michell. In the matter of	5 B. L. R., 500: 14 W. R., 308 I. L. R., 2 All., 686 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 733: 13 C. L. R., 161. 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 271 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 319 1 C. L. R., 339	2131 3730 5470 5585 3168 180 1126, 1322
Miller. In re. { — v. Administrator General of Bengal . — v. Akhoree Ram . — v. Barlow . — v. Beer . — v. Brindabun {	4 B. L. R., A. C., 72, note: 12 W. R., 103. I. L. R., 1 Calc., 412. 15 W. R., 412. 14 Moore's I. A., 209. 6 C. L. R., 294. I. L. R., 4 Calc., 946: 4 C. L. R., 460.	5922 5901 1245 2638, 2699 3099 5611 5715
v. Chartered Mercantile Bank of India, London, and China. v. Gouripore Company	6 B. L. R., 701	1527, 2642 1085, 1219

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Miller v. Mon Mohun Roy v. Nasmyth's Patent Press Company. v. Ram Ranjan Chakravarti	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 213: 8 C. L. R., 213. I. L. R., 8 Calc., 312 . I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1014	2628 3105 4714 2382, 3353
- v. Runga Nath Moulick	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 389 I. L. R., 6 All., 84 : L. R., 10 I. A., 98.	3380 2643
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Bourke, O. C., 18. 2 Moore's I. A., 37 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 196: 13 C.)	3101 5 9, 4648
Mina Konwari v. Juggat Setani	L. R., 385: L. R., 10 I. A.,	1705, 3458
Minakshi v. Velu v. Virappa Mina Kumari Bibee v. Jagat Sattani Bibee Minnu Sirkar v. Rhedoy Nath Roy Minto v. Kalee Churn Doss Mirabivi v. Vellayanna Miritherjeet Singh v. Choker Narain Singh	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 373 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 89 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 220 6 C. L. R., 135 8 W. R., 327 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 464 2 W. R., 58	4677 2154, 2533 5449 1845 1352 3639 2989
Mirtoonjoy Chuckerbutty v. Buroda Kant Roy v. Cochrane Mirtunjoy Bose v. Shumsheroonnissa Begum Mirtunjoy Chuckerbutty v. Cochrane	6 W. R., Act X, 18 8 W. R., 202 W. R., F. B., 60 4 W. R., P. C., 1: 10 Moore's	4169 1934 4161 2706, 4585
Mirtunjoy Dutt v. Kameence Dossee Mirtunjoy Sircar v. Gopal Chunder Sircar Mirza Nawab v. Bahadoor Ali Misajoolnissa v. Bunseedhur	I. A., 229.) 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 95 10 W. R., 466 7 W. R., 156 1 N. W., 193: Ed. 1873, 277	1208 3013 1471 4596
Misir Ragho Bardial v. Sheo Baksh Singh .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 439: 12 C. L. R., 520: L. R., 9 I. A., 197.	5066
Misrain v. Gopal Lall Doss Misri Kooer v. Maheswar Buksh Singh	10 W. R., 376 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 13: Marsh.,	4586 210
Misri Lal v. Mozhar Hossein Misser v. Naser Ali Misser Debeepershad v. Buldeopershad Misser Deo Kishun v. Misser Bhugwan Doss Missleback v. Luchmee Narain	527: W. R., F. B., 116. 3 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 262	3889 1733 1216 171 3010 4320
Missree Loll v. Ramuarain Mitchell v. Gladstone v. Mathura Das	Cor., 63 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 406 1: L. R., 8 All., 6: L. R., 12	2686 4816, 4845
— v. Oriental Gas Company Mithibai v. Limji Nowroji Banaji	1. A., 150. 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 323 1. L. R., 5 Bom., 45 1. L. R., 5 Bom., 506; and on a	2677 217 4240
Mitho Lall v. Seeta Ram	appeal, I. L. R., 6 Bom., 151.)	, 1645
Mitna v. Fuzlrub	6 B. L. R., 148: 15 W. R., P. C., 15: 13 Moore's I. A., 573.	2748
Mitrajit Singh v. Tundan Singh	3 B. L. R., Ap., 88: 12 W.	1611
Mitta Kunth Audhicarry v. Neerunjun Audhicarry	14 B. L. R., 166 : 22 W. R.,	2454, 4 300
Mitter Sein v. Narain Singh	1 N. W., 206: Ed. 1873, 289 . 5 C. L. R., 243	4 853 3923

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mitterjeet Singh v. Heirs of the widow of Juswunt Singh.	6 W. R., P. C., 15: 3 Moore's }	
v. Mookh Lall Singh	25 W, R., 139	4003
v. Mookh Lall Singh v. Radhapershad Singh	23 W. R., 368	6187
Mitthoo Lall v. Gholam Nuseer-ood-deen	3 Agra, 276 8 W. R., 361 19 W. R., 98 8 B. L. R., Ap., 5	43 0 6
Mitton Khan a Ruhman Khan	8 W. R., 361	194, 345 2
Mittoo Lall v. Mahtab Kooeree Mittrajit Singh v. Raghubunsi Singh Mitty Riber v. Rugley Khor	19 W. R., 98	226
Mittrajit Singh v. Raghubunsi Singh	8 B. L. R., Ap., 5	2154
Mittun Bibee v. Buzloor Khan Mittunlal Sahoo v. Nadur Mitturjeet Singh v. Fitzpatrick Miya Gulam Nabi v. Kharanbibi Mizajool Nissa v. Bunshee Dhur Mobaruck Ali v. Ameer Ali v. Soomee Kunja Charee	8 W. R., 392	5623
Mittunlal Sahoo v. Nadur	1 11 . 12	4931
Mitturjeet Singh v. Fitzpatrick	11 W. R., 206	1609
Miya Gulam Nabi v. Kharanbibi	6 Bom., A. C., 177	312
Mizajool Nissa v. Bunshee Dhur	1 N. W., 193: Ed. 1873, 277 .	249
Mobaruck Ali v. Ameer Ali	21 W. R., 252	5337, 5340
v. Soomee Kunja Charee	3 N. W., 168	203, 1880
Mobaruck Shaw v. Toofany	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 206: 2 C.	5292
-	L. R., 446.	9700 9895
Mobaruk Ali v. Boistub Churn Chowdhry	11 W. R., 25	2700, 3835 4191
w. Imdad Ali Mobarukoonissa Begum v. Ozeer Jemadar	16 W. R., 200	5734
Mobbiogram a Down Ali	8 W. R., 107 4 W. R., Mis., 22	411, 5375
Mobkoonissa v. Dewan Ali	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 75	198, 5733
Modee Huddin Jowardar v. Sandes	12 W. R., 439	1582, 3018
	4 W. R., P. C., 94: 6 Moore's \	265, 622
Modee Kaikhooscrow Hormusjee v. Cooveerbhaee.	I. A., 448.	4242
		2739, 4295
Modhe v. Dongre	1. L. R., 5 Bom., 609 . {	4411
Modhoo Bibee v. Ram Manicko Dey	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 31	5071
Modhoo Dossia v. Nobin Chunder Roy	16 W. R., 25	4523
Modhoo Dyal Singh v. Golbur Singh	9 W. R., 511	2 189
v. Kolbur Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1018	2189
	13 W. R., 167 {	3515, 5725
Modhoomutty Debia v. Dhunput Singh		
Modhoo Ram Dey v. Boydonath Doss	9 W. R., 592	2934 , 50 25
Modhoo Soodun v. Kaemoolah Biswas.	9 W. R., 327 · · ·	2092
Modhoosoodun Chatterjee v. Juddooputty Chuckerbutty.	9 W. R., 115	3054, 4298
Modhoosoodun Chowdhry v. Ram Mohun Ghur	8 W. R., 473	2929, 2930
Modhoosoodun Chuckerbutty v. Nufur Bawal	1 W. R., 196	531, 540
Modhoosoodun Dey v. Bissonath Dey	15 B. L. R., 361	4568
v. Mohendronath Mookerjee	9 W. R., 206	4414, 4609
Modhoosodun Ghose v. Romanath Ghose	12 W. R., 65	1490, 1884
Modhoosoodun Ghose alias Madhub Chunder Ghose	13 W. R., Cr., 39	959
v. Joyram Hazrah. Modhoosoodun Koondoo v. Madhubram Sewloll .	15 W. R., 161	5719
Modhoo Soodun Manjee v. Dabee Gobind Newgee.	10 W. R., F. B., 36	9749
Modkoo Soodun Mojoomdar v. Brojonath Koond Chowdhry		3152, 3180
Modhoosoodun Mookerjee v. Jadub Chunder Banerjee	3 W. R., 194	2275, 2437
v. Kirtee Chunder Ghose	18 W. R., 7	3480, 3508
Modhoo Soodun Mulick v. Panch Cowree Mulick.	7 W. R., 302	6221
Modhoo Soodun Roy Chowdhry v. Bhikaree Roy		
Chowdhry.	5 W. R., Mis., 11	2943
Modhoosoodun Sandial v. Soroop Chunder Sircar	7 W. R., P. C., 73 : 4 Moore's)	3334, 4650
Chowdhry.	I. A., 431.	
Modhoosoodun Singh v. Collector of Midnapore .	Marsh., 244	93
v. Moran & Co	11 W. R., 43	4245, 4588
v. Prithee Bullub Paul	16 W. R., 231	81, 4257
of Trunco Dantes Taur	. (5122

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column _ of
Modhosoodun Singh v. Purtee Bullub Paul Modhu Soodun Chowdhry v. Cochrane Modnarain Puri. In the matter of the petition of Modoosoodun Mozoomdar v. Bindobashiny Dossee Modoosoodun Paul v. Doyal Chand Mullick Modun Mohun. In the matter of the petition of Modun Mohun Chowdhry v. Ashad Ally Beparee. Modun Mohun Chowdhry v. Ashad Ally Beparee. Modun Mohun Ghose Hazra v. Boroda Sondori Dasia Mofizooddeen v. Amooddeen Mofukhurl Moolk Musseerud Dowla Syed Sufdar Ally Khan v. Mackintosh. Mofuzzel Hossein v. Golam Ambiah Mofuzzel Hossein v. Tussooduk Ali Khan	W. R., 1864, 140	67 2805 389 5674 5241 4693 3431 1366 2800 4980 2704 4823 536 3734
Mogul Begum v. Fukeerun Beebee Mohabat Ali v. Ali Mahomed Kulal Mohabeer Doss v. Lalla Roy	3 Agra, 288 3 B. L. R., Ap., 80: 12 W. R., 1 1 W. R., 12 13 W. R., 423	3734 3161 303, 4676 97, 98
Monabeer Persnad Singh v. Collector of Timoot	15 W. R., 137	3778 5363
w. Mohabeer Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 591: 9 C. L. R., 164. 15 W. R., Cr., 48	4178 5161
Mohabir Pershad Singh v. Olpherts Mohabir Singh v. Ram Baghowan Chowbey Mohabuth Ally v. Mymonissa Mohadeay Kooer v. Haruk Narain Mohadeb Mundul v. Cowell v. Modhoo Mundul Mohadeb Shaha v. Sheo Suhoy Geer Mohadeo Lall v. Nundun Lall Mohadeo Misser v. Lahoree Misser Mohamaya Chowdhrain v. Durga Churn Shaha Mohamaya Goopta v. Nilmadhab Rai Mohamed Azssar Ali v. Nassir Mohamed Mohamed Beg v. Jugger Nauth Dass; claim of Omerchand. Mohamed Elahee Buksh v. Kally Mohun Mookho- padhya. Mohamed Elahee Buksh v. Kally Mohun Mookho- padhya. Mohamed Gloab v. Mohabeer Singh Mohamed Masik v. Malkai Mukhadrai Uzwa Badshah Mehal Saheba. Mohamed Villayet-ool-lah Khan v. Ahmed Hussun Khan Mohamed Villayet-ool-lah Khan v. Ahmed Hussun Khan Mohamed Villayet-ool-lah Khan v. Ahmed Hussun Khan Mohamed Singh v. Mughy Chowdhrain Mohamoya Dossee v. Doyamoye Chowdhrain Mohamoya Dossee v. Joodhister Deb Mohanudee Begum v. Oomdutoonissa Mohana v. Sadodin Mohan Chand Kandu v. Azim Kazi Chowkidar	9 C. L. R., 184 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 150 Marsh., 361 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 244 15 W. R., 445 16 W. R., 59 9 W. R., 359 12 W. R., 22 24 W. R., 250 9 C. L. R., 332 19 W. R., 35 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 533 3 B. L. R., A. C., 304 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 248 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 589: 5 C. L. R., 519 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 476 1 Agra, Rev., 52 23 W. R., Cr., 34 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 380 3 Agra, 70 1 W. R., 253 7 W. R., 63 10 W. R., 112 15 W. R., 271 7 Bom., A. C., 69 3 B. L. R., A. C., 233: 12 W.	5461 213 3645 4303 4336, 5313 897 6236 3340 1453, 4133 6222 3166 3052 4152 896 3877 3609 2918 1302 1227 4535 1181 1595 1736 95 3077
AND THE PROPERTY OF STREET PROPERTY.	R., 45.	10 к 2

Name of Case.	. Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mohandas v. Krishnabai	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 597	2301
Mohan Ishwar v. Haku Rupa	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 638 . }	616, 2074 2796, 3859
Mohan Kooer v. Ajoodhya Doss	20 W. R., 119	4066 4592
Mohan Lal v. Ram Dial	I. L. R., 2 All., 843	5 0 3 0.
Mohanlal Jechand v. Amrat Lal Becherdas	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 174	1342, 4579
Mohan Lall v. Nathu Ram	1 B. L. R., O. C., 144	355 4408
Mohan Lall Mittra v. Bishun Chandra Chatterjee	1 B. L. R., A. C., 100	
Mohan Lall Sukul v. Ulfutunnisa	R., 98.	3457
Mohan Manor v. Togu Uka	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 224 .	3948
M ohan Ram Jha v . Shib Dutt Sing	8 B. L. R., 230: 17 W. R., 21.	5366
Mohansing Chawan v. Conder	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 478	3273
Mohanund Banerjee v. Shushee Shekhur Chatterjee .	20 W. R., 132	5197
Mohanund Chowdhry v. Eglinton	1 W, R., 343	1390 5 45 7
Moharaj Singh v. Lalla Bheechuck Lall	3 W. R., 71	3712
Mohatab Chand v. Chittro Coomaree Bibee	16 W. R., 201	6
Mohedeen Ahmed Khan v. Mahomed	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 132	1811, 3694
Mohee Lall v. Khetaram Marwary	25 W. R., 76	6076
Moheem v. Raheemotoollah	Marsh., 341: 2 Hay, 433	5246
Makasaddaa Alaad a Elaka Dalak	14 W. R., 384	2603 3669
v. Prannath Roy Chowdhry	6 W. R., 277	5817
Moheeput Singh v. Etbaree Chowdhry	19 W. R., 226	422
Mohendra Lal Khan v. Rosomoyi Dasi	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 207	1741
Mohendro Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Surbo Rokhya Dabia.	11 W. R., 534	1515
Mohendro Coomar Dutt v. Heera Mohun Coondoo .	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 723	5457, 5462
Mohendro Lall Bose v. Jadub Kissen Singh	14 W. R., O. C., 5	3305
Mohendro Narain Singh v. Lalla Ruttun	Marsh., 289 : 2 Hay, 278 .	553 5241
Mohendronath Bose v. Nussee Mangee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 220 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 224	370
Mohendro Nath Dawn v. Ishun Chunder Dawn	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 56	2676
Mohendronath Dutt v. Koylash Chunder Dutt	6 W. R., 245	1509
Mohendro Nath Mojoomdar v. Futtick Paroce	24 W. R., 319	5771
Mohandro Nath Mookerjee v. Bama Soonduree Dabea .	15 W. R., 493	163
Mohendro Nath Mukerjea v. Naffur Chunder Pal } Chowdhry.	1 C. L. R., 537	3426
Mohendro Nath Mullick v. Rakhal Doss Sircar	10 W. R., 344	1676
Mohesh Chunder. In the matter of	20 W. R., Cr., 55	4113, 5155
Mohesh Chunder Bagchee v. Dwarkanath Moitro.	24 W. R., 260	5336 6090
Mohesh Chunder Banerjee v. Chunder Monee Dabee	9 W. R., 139	
v. Chunder Monee Debee	W. R., 237.	5314
v. Chundra Monee Debia	9 W. R., 486 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 539 : 6 C. L. \	49 5 2 1120, 1176
v. Ramprosonno Chowdhry	R., 280.	5323
Mohesh Chunder Biswas v. Gooroopersad Bose	Marsh., 377: 2 Hay, 473 .	1681
v. Taramouee Dossee	9 W. R., 240	3539
Mohesh Chunder Bose v. Thakoor Doss Gossamee .	20 W. R., 425	885
Websel Churder Buckmarker of Ding Bundher Bose	24 W. R., 127	2490
Mohesh Chunder Brohmocharee v. Dino Bundhoo Bose . Mohesh Chunder Bundopadhya v. Joykishen Mooker- (24 W. R., 265 15 B. L. R., 248, note: 22)	1732
Monesh Chinder Bindobadhya at Joykishan wookas t		5 0 72

Name of Case.

Volume and Page.

Mohesh Chunder Chakladar v. Gungamonee Dossee .	18 W. R., 59
Mohesh Chunder Chatterjee v. Issur Chunder Chatterjee.	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 266
v. Kamini Kumari Dabia . Mohesh Chunder Chowdhry v. Mohun Lall Sircar .	I, L. R., 12 Calc., 313 . 8 W. R., 80
Mohesh Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Koylash Chunder Chuckerbutty.	11 W. R., 443
Mohesh Chunder Doss v. Madhub Chunder Sirdar .	13 W. R., 85
Mohesh Chunder Gungopadhya v. Bishonath Doss Mohesh Chunder Khan. In the matter of the petition of	24 W. R., 4()2 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 417 .
Mohesh Chunder Konsli v. Mohesh Chunder Dass	10 C. L. R., 553
Mohesh Chunder Lahoory v. Sumbhoo Chunder Roy }	
Chowdhry.	2 Hay, 303
Mohesh Chunder Moiter v. Buloram Moiter	6 W. R., 94
Mohesh Chunder Mookerjee. In re.	W. R., 1864, Mis., 3
v. Ramdhun Pal	13 W. R., 248
Mohesh Chunder Roy. In the matter of	14 W. R., 163
	10 C. L. R., 571 14 B. L. R., 273 : 23 W.
v. Chunder Mohun Roy .	78.
Mohesh Chunder Sein v. Collector of Dinagepore	16 W. R., 312
Mohesh Chunder Sen v. Juggut Chunder Sen	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 212 .
Mohesh Chunder Shah v. Shoshee Mookhee Debia	6 W. R., 196
Mohesh Chunder Singh Surma v. Bhooban Moyee §	13 B. L. R., 217, note:
Debia. Mehadh Dutt a Rozmanin Sinch	W. R., 252.
Mohesh Dutt v. Begnarain Singh	16 W. R., 82 6 W. R., 250
With the state of	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 961: 13 C.)
Mohesh Lal v. Bawan Das	L. R., 221 : L. R., 10 I. A.,
	62.)
$\cdot v$. Busunt Kumaree	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 340: 7 C. L.
Mohesh Lall v. Christian	R., 121. 8 W. R., 446
	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 470: 1 C.
Mohesh Mahto v. Piru	L. R., 33.
Mohesh Mistree. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 282: 25 W.)
Monesh Missiee. In the matter of the petition of	R., Cr., 30, 67.
Mohesh Mundul v. Bhola Nath Mundul	3 C. L. R., 404
v. Bholanath Biswas	3 C. L. R., 405, note
Mohesh Narain Singh v. Kishnanuud Misser .	S., 1: 5 W. R., P. C., 7: 9
Troncon Literal Single of Library and Library	Moore's 1. A., 324.
Mohesh Nath v. Hurro Mohun Ghosal	16 W. R., Cr., 12
Mohesh Roy v. Boodhun Mahtoon	18 W. R., 315
Moheshur Buksh Singh v. Collector of Ghazeepore	2 Agra, 214
Websels W. J. J. W. J. W. J. W. J. W. J. W. J. W.	6 W. R., 245
Moheshur Mondul v. Koilash Nath Mondul .	7 C. L. R., 71
Moheshur Singh v. Government of India	Moore's I. A., 283.
D 10: 1	W, R., F. B., 7: 1 Ind. Jur.,)
· v. Ramaput Singh	O. S., 35.
Mohessur Buksh Singh v. Jhubboo Chowdhry	2 Hay, 68
v. Muthora Pershad v. Sheo Narnin Singh	8 W. R., 515
Wabasan Maskarias a Kalas B	6 W. R., Mis., 59
Mohessur Mookerjee v. Kalee Dass Mookerjee Mohessur Roy v. Collector of Rajshahye	11 W. R., 573
monossur recy of Confector of Dallanania	10 17 . 16., 200

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Moheswar Das v. Carter	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 210: 12 C. L. R., 122.	658
Moheyodin v. Chhotibibi	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 578 6 C. L. R., 139 1 Mad., 245 1 Mad., 92	2851 1840 5044 1811, 3693 3597 3130
Mohima Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Poorno Churder Banerjee.	11 W. R., 165	1745, 1763
Mohima Chunder Chund v. Mothoranath Ghose Mohima Chunder Dey v. Gooroodoss Scin	9 W. R., 151	1742 1596, 5314
Mohima Chunder Dey Sircar v. Hurro Lall Sircar	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 768 : 2 C.L. R., 364.	4459
Mohima Chunder Dhur v. Jugul Kishore Bhuttacharji	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 736: 9 C. L. R., 471.	1410, 4137
Mohima Chunder Ghose v. Madhub Chunder Nag	24 W. R., 80 8 W. R., 449	1167 849
Mohima Chunder Mookerjee v. Ram Churn Roy .	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 16 .	5702
Mohima Chunder Mozoomdar v. Asradha Dassia .	15 B. L. R., 251, note: 21 W. R., 207.	5075
Mohima Chunder Mullick v. Buroda Soonduree Dossee Mohima Chunder Roy v. Durga Monee	12 W. R., 147	4140 2503
w. Hurnath Chungo . Mohima Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Kishore (Acharjee Chowdhry.	174.	5706 1700, 2517
w. Wise Mohima Chunder Sein v. Pitambur Shaha	25 W. R., 277 9 W. R., 147	1749 3039, 3077
Mohima Runjun Roy Chowdhry v. Nobo Coomar Misser Mohim Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Chunder Churn Gooho	18 W. R., 339 10 W. R., 452	5607 5279
Mohim Mundul v. Kala Chand Naek	13 W. R., 147 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 882 .	5678 4276, 4286
Mohindro Lall Mookerjee v. Rookiney Dabee Mohindro Nath Mitter v. Koylas Nath Banerjee	Cor., 42	2110 1064
Mohinee Dossee v. Juggodumba Dossee	W. R., 1864, 382 4 W. R., 2	5328 1676
v. Ram Coomar Kurmokar	W. R., 1864, Act X, 77	1539
Mohinee Mohun Doss v. Assanoollah v. Juggobundoo Bose v. Ram Kant Chowdry	17 W. R., 73	40, 739 41
v. Ram Kant Chowdry	15 W. R., 322	3776 5318
Mohini Chunder Mozumdar v. Jotirmoy Ghose	4 C. L. R., 422 9 W. R., 312	39
Mohinudin v. Manchershah	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 650 .	3675, 6144
Mohiny Mohun Das v. Krishno Kishore Dutt .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 802: 12 C. L. R., 337.	4182
Mohiny Mohun Dass Chowdhry v. Bhoobun Joy Shaha	6 C. L. R., 237	5471
Mohiny Mohun Roy v. Ichamoyee Dassea	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 612 . 22 W. R., 311	1583 5316
Mobip Narain v. Shaw	25 W. R., 250	5974
Mohono Bibee v. Juggurnath Chowdhry Mohomuddy Begum v. Oomdutoonissa	2 W. R., 78	3705 77, 93
Mobroo Kooeree v. Gunsoo Kooeree	8 W. R., 385	2 44 7
Mohsum Ally v. Balasoo Koer	2 Hay, 576	1816, 6155
Mohubut Mundul v. Shoorendronath Roy	4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 20 1 Agra, 130	4872 3701
Mohun Bagchi v. Girish Chunder Bundopadhya	1 C. L. R., 152	3917
Mohun Chunder Dhur v. Kidge	Marsh., 381: 2 Hay, 419	5763

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mohun Chunder Kurmokar v. Mohesh Chunder Kurmokar.	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 568	3539
Mohun Dass. In the matter of the petition of . {	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 11 : 6 C. L. R., 265.	4671
v. Lutchmun Dass	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 11: C. R., 265.	4671
Mohun Geer v. Tota	4 N. W., 153 · ·	2258 292 7
Mohun Gobind Sein v. Nittaye Haldar	6 W. R., Act X, 101	357
Mohun Kishen v. Bhoobun Shyam	Marsh., 166: 1 Hay, 372	2192
Mohun Koowur v. Zoramun Singh	W. R., 1864, 219	3955
Mohun Lal v. Ali Afzul	3 N. W., 336	5809, 614 8
Mohun Lal Bhaya Gyal v. Lachman Lal	5 B. L. R., 663: 14 W. R., 73	5028
Mohun Lall v. Baroo Mull	6 N. W., 69 · · ·	1891
v. Government	2 Agra, Mis., 6 · · ·	3822
v. Jhummun Lall	6 N. W., 246 · · ·	4042
v. Noor Ahmud	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 202	4562 .
v. Noor Khan	3 Agra, 218 · · ·	4400
Mohun Lall Mitter v. Buhoo Soonduree Debia .	10 W. R., 145	4408 5405
v. Choolun Singh	1 Hay, 438	5485 156 1919
Mohun Lall Roy v. Urnopoorna Dassee	9 W. R., 566	156, 1813 368, 2693
Mohun Lall Shaha v. Joy Narain Shaha Chowdhry	23 W. R., 105	3962 4005
Mohun Lall Sookool v. Goluck Chunder Dutt .	1 W. R., P. C., 19: 10 Moore's	4017
	I. A., 1. 7 Moore's I. A., 428	4639
Mohun Lall Sookul v. Bebee Doss	8 Moore's I. A., 193	4632, 4638
Mohun Loll Sookul v. Debee Doss Dutt	2 W. R., P. C., 9: 8 Moore's	4632
	I. A _s , 492. 1 W. R., 350	2888
Mohun Lall Tagore v. Collector of Tirhoot	9 W. R., 128	297, 6235
Mohun Mundur v. Brij Bhookun Singh	11 W. R., 245	5708
Mohun Sahoo. In the matter of .	21 W. R., 34	142
v. Chutoo Mowar	6 B. L. R., 495: 15 W. R.	4651
Mohun Singh v. Ghuriba	P. C., 8	
v. Jugbutty Kooer	24 W. R., 297 . • •	5760
v. Jugbutty Kooer v. Kareem Oonissa Begum	8 Mad., 57 · ·	1539, 5719
v. Shib Koonwer	1 Agra, 85	6125 4097
Mohun Sirdar v. Obhoy Churn Mookopadhya	13 W. R., Cr., 72.	676
Mohun Soondur Koonwar v. Ramanoogro Narain	3 W. R., Mis., 3	5180, 5187
Mohur Ali Khan v. Ram Ruttun Sein	21 W. R., 400 · ·	4108
Mohur Mandar. In the matter of	8 C. L. R., 431 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5756
Mohur Matoon v. Umatum	18 W. R., 499 • • • • 18 W. R., 312 • • •	5660
Mohuman Shaibhan Nanhannan Doga Mahallan	14 W. R., 357	3118
Mohurum Shaikh v. Nowkurree Dass Mohuldar Moidin v. Chandu	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 273 .	4720
Moidin v. Chandu	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 9	2645
Moincollah v. Goluck Monee Chowdrain	15 W. R., 270 · ·	5588
Moizoddy Shaik. In the matter of	14 W. R., 450 · ·	2706
Moizzunnissa v. Mooraree Dhur Dey	22 W. R., 314 · ·	248
Mojon Mollo v. Dula Ghazi Kulan	12 B. L. R., 492, note	5411
Mokha Harakraj Joshi v. Biseswar Doss . * .	5 B. L. R., Ap., 11:13 W. R., 344.	747
Mokhoda Dossee v. Nobin Chunder Mitter	16 W. R., 259 · ·	1247
Mokhoda Soonduree Dassee v. Kureem Shaikh .	23 W. R., 11	582, 5921
Mokoond Chunder Roy v. Prankissen Paul Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 287 · ·	2982 4141 4073
Mokoond Narain Deo v. Jonardun Dey Burnick	15 W. R., 208	4141, 4973 2475
Mokoondo Lall Shaw v. Gonesh Chunder Shaw .	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 104 .	2410

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mokoondonath Bhadoory v. Shib Chunder Bhadoory Mokoondy Lall Doobey v. Crowdy Mokuddims of Mouza Kunkunwady v. Enamdar (Brahmins of Mouza Soorpal Mokuud Lal v. Hurbullubh Narain Singh	22 W. R., 302 17 W. R., 274 7 W. R., P. C., 8: 3 Moore's I. A., 383. 12 C. L. R., 136	5280 5189 1684, 4397 4646 4901 316, 339
Mokund Lall v. Chotay Lall	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1061	3872, 4248 5797
Mokund Mooraree Biswas v. Wooma Churn Mookerjee	23 W. R., 173	5984
Mokundo Lall Roy v. Bykunt Nath Roy	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 289: 7 C. } L. R., 478.	2289
Mokut Singh. In the matter of the petition of . Molakatalla Naganna v. Pedda Narappa . Molka v. Sumput Koonwar . Molla Abdoor Rub v. Hurryhur Mookerjee .	6 N. W., 16	4101 3134, 3306 281 4165
Mollwo, March, & Co. v. Court of Wards	10 B. L. R., 312: 18 W. R., 384: L. R., I. A., Sup.	432 0
v. Pertab Chunder Singh	Vol., 86. 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 283	4518
Moltan Allee v. Shew Buksh	Marsh., 603 .	5781
Mona Bibee v. Oomed Ali	16 W. R., 276	4970, 6223
Monajan v. Kashi Nath Panday	5 C. L. R., 305 .	1868
Mona Sheikh v. Ishan Bardhan Mona Singh v. Mosrud Singh	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 581	961 3727
Mona Singh v. Mosrad Singh . Moneekurnika Chowdhrain v. Collector of Mymensingh	5 W. R., 203 . 16 W. R., 159 .	5117
Monee Lall v. Fuzul Hossein	14 W. R., 337	4293
Moneemotho Nath Day v. Aushootosh Day	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 24	2075, 2438
Moneerooddeen v. Ramdhun Bajeekur	18 W. R., Cr., 28	3700
Moneerooddeen Merdha v. Kennie	4 W. R., Act X, 45	2994
Moneerooddeen Mojoomdar v. Parbutty Churn Ghose .	15 W. R., 121	4475
Monemothonauth Dey v. Ononthnauth Dey Moneyur Desgra Kishan Bartal Slahar	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 24	2136, 2543 414
Monessur Doss v. Kishen Protab Shahee Money Mohun Dey v. Dinonath Mullick	23 W. R., 427 .	5525
Mangala Daguas y Savada Daguas	22 W. R., Cr., 11 . 12 B. L. R., Ap., 2	3854
Mongola Koiborto v. Annoda Ram, In the matter of	12 C. L. R., 277	3219
Mongula Dossee v. Sharoda Dossee	20 W. R., 48 .	3854
Monindrobhoosun Biswas.v. Shosheebhoosun Fiswas	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 866	911
Monindro Chunder Sirkar v. Moneeruddeen Biswas	11 B. L. R., Ap., 40: 20 W. R., 230.	3 00 3
Moniram Kolita v. Keri Kolitani	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 776: 6 C. L. R., 322: L. R., 7 I. A., 115.	2502, 2525
Moni Roy v. Rajbunsee Kooer	25 W. R., 393	5040
Monji Premji Sett v. Maliyakel Koyassan Koya Haji	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 59	180, 356
Monkee Dumber Sahee v. Monkee Bhullunder Sahee	15 W. R., 423 · · ·	293
Monmohinee Dabee v. Sreedhama Churn Ranna .	14 W. R., 302 · · ·	770
Monmohinee Debee v. Binode Beharee Shaha Monmohinee Debie v. Samutan of State	25 W. R., 10	1732 2049
Monmohinee Debi v. Secretary of State Monmohinee Dossee v. Bishen Moyee Dossee	7 W D 110	4796
v. Khetter Gopaul Dey	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 127: 24 W.	183
Monmohinee Joginee v. Jugobundhoo Sadookha	R., 362.) 19 W. R., 233	169 7 336
Monmohiney Dossee v. Koylashnath Chund Mon Mohun Buksee v. Gunga Soondery Dabee .	2 Hay, 154 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 181: 11 C.	3159
Monohur Chowdhry v. Nursingh Chowdhry	L. R., 34. 11 W. R., 272	4129
Monohur Doss v. Gungapershad	2 N. W., 264	4144
v. Seetul Pershad	23 W. R., 418	4883

Monohur Doss v. Kally Dhun Dobey . 8 W. R., 116 . .	Column of Digest.
Monohur Doss v. Kally Dhun Dobey 8 W. R., 116	
Monohur Doss v. Kally Dhun Dobey 8 W. R., 116	
v. Khodrum Begum Bourke, O. C., 110	3106
v. Khoolsun Begum Cor., 121	5533
Magnaghten I L. R., 3 Calc., 231	4236
v. machagnen	2597
v. Romanauth Law I. L. R., 3 Calc., 473	457
v. Situl Pershad	2702 3247
Mononur Knan v. Troyluckho Nath Grose	
Monohur Lall v. Gouri Sunkur	4873
Monohur Mookerjee. In the matter of	4664, 4747
v. Huromohun Mookeriee	5344
	4211, 5354
Monohur Pal v. Wise	3107
Monomothonath Day v. Aushootosh Day Cor., 8	4524
	2102, 4019
v. Sreenath Ghose	1372, 4807 5313
Monomothonath Dey v. Glascott	5285
	5300
Monoshee v. Abdool Hossein	3702
Monoshee v. Abdool Hossein 7 W. R., 257 Monowar Khan v. Abdoollah Khan 3 N. W., 177 Monsoor Ali v. Ramdyal 3 W. R., 50 Montoora v. Ablak Roy 11 W. R., 197 Mooad Mullick v. Belat Mullick 9 W. R., 385	3748
Montoora v. Ablak Rov	5125
Mooad Mullick v. Belat Mullick 9 W. R., 385	6008
Moochee Ram Majhee v. Bissambhur Roy Chowdhry . 24 W. R., 410	1769, 3419 4229
Mookhesur Rai v. Ramphul Sahoo 5 N. W., 70	450
Mookhya Huruckraj Joshec v. Ram Lall Gomashta 14 W. R., 435	5764
Mookoond Narain Deb v. Mooralee Mohun 6 W. R., 91	4134
Mookoondonath Bhadoory v. Shib Chunder Bhadoory . 19 W. R., 102	5582
	3497
Mookta Kashee Dabee v. Gungadass Roy	4469
Mooktakeshee Debea v. Collector of Burdwan 12 W. R., 204	5211, 6094
Mookta Keshee Debee v. Kunuck Monee Debee 7 W. R., 267	4 34, 5 454
v. Oomabutty	1172
900, 1006.	5451, 5454
Mooktakeshee Debia v. Luchmeeput Singh Doogur . 10 W. R., 137	2234
Mooktakeshee Debia Chowdhrain v. Collector of Moor- \ 4 W. R. 30	5590
snedadad.	1765, 5186
Mook takeshee Dossee v. Roylash Chunder Mitter	4481
Mooktaram Bhuttacharjee v. Hurro Chunder Roy . 7 W. R., 158	6071
Maukta Saanduree Chawdhrain & Muthoaranath Ghose 22 W. R., 209 · · ·	5378
Mooktokashee Dassia v. Brojunder Coomar Roy 3 W. R., Act X, 156	2888
Mookto Keshee Debee v. Anundo Chunder Chattopadhya 2 C. L. R., 48	480
Mookundee Misrain v. Sheo Lochun Pattuck 21 W. R., 39	231
Moola v. Nundy	5097
Moolchand v. Cheetree	5182 465
v. Robinson	3874
Mool Chund v. Ajoodhya Pershad	5623
v. Rajnarain Ghose Moolji Lilla v. Gokuldas Vulla I. L. R., 8 Bom., 154	2348, 2354
Moolook Chand Mundul v. Moodhoosoodun Bachas [10 B. L. R., 398, note: 16]	3801
putty. (W. R., 526.	166, 384
Mooltan Mull v. Gunsam Doss	1403, 310

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Moona v. Jey Mungul Singh	4 N. W., 164 11 W. R., 277 6 Mad., 32 5 Mad., 326	4814 4162 342 1967
Moonee Rangappen. Ex parte. Moonee Ummah v. Municipal Commissioners for the Town of Madras.	3 Mad., 352 8 Mad., 151	6076 3574, 37 6 9
Mooney v. Mussooree Savings Bank	6 N. W., 319	4604 2342 367, 1483
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7 C. L. R., 206	2697 4587
Moorajee Poonja v. Visranjee Visenjee	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 658 4 N. W., 122	278 4589 5129 4947
Mooratee Singh b. Furyag Singh "Moorhill." In re the Mooroobbee Sahoo v. Latoo Coomar Moorooly Ram v. Huree Ram	15 B. L. R., Ap., 3 W. R., F. B., 70 8 W. R., 106	5642 5075 4536
Moorul Šingh v. Mohun Kooer	9 W. R., 167 2 W. R, Mis., 7	1503 3561 4673, 5873
Moosey Khulerey v. Mahomed Tuquee Mootee v. Roora Moothora Kant Shaw v. India General Steam Naviga- §	1 Agra, Rev., 3 3 Agra, 159 1. L. R., 10 Calc., 166: 13 C.	1587, 2987 2986 659
tion Company. Moozdeen Gazee v. Dino Bundhoo Gossamee Moparti Pitchi Naidu v. Vuppala Kondamma	L. R., 342. 13 W. R., 99 6 Mad., 136	566 <u>3</u> 3596 6026
Morad Ali v. Jeebun Bux Moran v. Bindubasinee Debia v. Dewan Ali Sirang	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 354 W. R., 1864, Act X, 5 8 B. L. R., 418	546 3089, 3874 1820, 3109
v. Mittu Bibee	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 58	3778, 5833 271
v. River Steam Navigation Co. Moran & Co. v. Anund Chunder Mozoomdar Mora Rabha v. Dhew Rabha	14 B. L. R., 352 6 W. R., Act X, 35	2607 1615 5196 3299
Morbhat Purohit v. Gangadhar Karkare Moreshwar Bapuji Phatak v. Kushaba Shankroji Morgan. In the matter of	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 234 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 248 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 633 : 7 C.	404, 5173 2640
v. Abdool Hye v. Kirby v. Kirby v.	L. R., 29: 9 C. L. R., 385. 5 23 W. R., 393 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 46	5458, 5493 4576
v. Leech v. Morgan Morison v. Administrator General of Madras	2 Moore's I. A., 428 I. L. R., 4 All., 306 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 515	4632, 5972 1546, 1557 6201
Mor Joshi v. Muhammad Ibrahim Morno Moyee Debee v. Bheem Koomar Chowdhry Moro Balkrishna Mule v. Shek Saheb valad Badrud-?	10 Bom., 344 6 W. R., 231	483 6238 5013
din Kamble. Moro Desai v. Ramchandra Desai	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 508 .	4177 2350, 2458
Moro Vishvanath v. Ganesh Vithal	10 Bom., 444	2472, 607 7 3064, 4789 2808
Morris v. Atmakuru Lutchmana Row v. Baumgarten v. Muthusami Pillai	Bourke, O. C., 127: Cor., 152 6 Mad., 363	2804, 2806 5256

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Morris v. Pauchanada Pillay	5 Mad., 135	1818
v. Sambamurthi Rayar	6 Mad., 122 {	3182, 5256 5874
v. Sapamtheetha Pillay	6 Mad., 45	3183, 4814 3191 3116, 3351 2129 3283 1211 355 4345
Moshaullah v. Ahmedullah	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 78 .	200, 3153 3155
Moshingan v. Mozari Sajad	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 271 .	185
Mosoodun Lall v. Bekaree Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 602: 6 W. R., Mis., 109.	27 0 7
Mosson. In the goods of Mosuruf Ali Chowdhry v. Janokenath Odhicaree. Motee Chand v. Radhamadhub Chand Motee Chund Doss v. Moornlee Dhur Doss Motee Doss v. Modhoosoodun Chowdhry Motee Dossee v. Deeta Hurukmun Singh	6 B. L. R., Ap., 139 W. R., 1864, Mis., 40 2 W. R., Mis., 34 15 W. R., 432 1 W. R., 4.	1236 3147 5118 4281 2254 2808
Motee Lall v. Bhoop Singh	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 245: 8 W. R., 64.	1432, 1749 2781, 4032
v. Janki Roy v. Ram Doss v. Ra	3 Agra, 364 W. R., 1864, Mis., 38 8 W. R., 64: 2 Ind. Jur., N.	4207 238, 838 1432, 1749
w. Ranee	S., 245. 2 W. R., Act X, 44	¹ 2781, 4032 4169
Motee Lall Bose v. Howrah Municipality	23 W. R., 222 1 N. W., 155: Ed. 1873,	52 60
Motec Lall Mookerjee v. Kandhai Lall	237: Agra, F. B: Ed. 1874, 185.	849
Motee Lall Opadhiya v . Juggurnath Gurg	5 W. R., P. C., 25: 1 Moore's I. A., 1.	4137
Motee Ram v. Kaisree	2 Agra, 52	4826 2076
Motee Ram Sahoo v. Khuleeloollah	20 W. R., 443	3010
	6 W. R., 278	1374, 3344
Motee Sahoo v. Forbes Motee Singh v. Leelanund Singh	11 W. R., 49	3416 202
Motee Soonderee v. Indrajit Kowaree	10 C. L. R., 330	3523
Mothoora Lall Paul v. Chrinebash Dutt	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 24	3288
Mothoora Mohun Roy v. Huree Kishore Roy .	18 W. R., 286 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 259 : 2 C. L.	1454
v. Peary Mohun Shaw	R., 409.	1774, 5836
Mothoora Nath Bhoomick v. Kenaram Kurmokar Mothooranath Chatterjee v. Khetturnath Biswas . Mothoora Nath Chuttopadhya v. Kristo Kumar Ghose.	7 W. R., 33 2 W. R., Act X, 92 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 369	986, 1103 1639 1119, 1143 1161
Mothoranath Dey v. Gopal Roy Mothora Nath Gungopadhya v. Sheeta Monee Mothoranath Mozoomdar v. Eusuff Ai Khan	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 16 9 W. R., 354 14 W. R., 356	1101 5108 2311
Mothooranath Sircar v. Nil Monee Deo	13 W. R., 297 · ·	1632
Mothooranath Tewaree v. Brindabun Tewaree .	14 W. R., 327	180
Mothoor Pershad Singh v. Shumbhoo Geer Mothoor Chunder Doss. In the matter of	19 W. R., 413 2 C. L. R., 509	820 4111

. Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mothoor Chunder Kurmokar v. Manick Chunder Bung	6 W. R., 192	4302
Mothoor Ghose. In the matter of	. 11 W. R., Cr., 10	959
Mothoor Mohun Mundul v. Khemunkuree	. 5 W. R., P. C., 182	4867
Mothoor Mohun Pal Chowdhry v . Ram Lal Bose .	. 4 C. L. R., 469	569
Mothoormohun Roy v. Bank of Bengal	{ I. L. R., 3 Calc., 392 : 1 C. } L. R., 507.	466
v. Jadoomoney Dossee .	. 10 B. L. R., 122	2820
v. Soorendro Narain Deb .	J. L. R., 1 Calc., 108: 24 W.	1079, 374
Mothoornath Chuckerbutty v. Kissen Mohun Ghose	R., 464. W. R., 1864, Mis., 9	2777
Mothura Nath Dutt v. Borkant Nath Dutt	. 11 C. L. R., 312	3370
Moti Bhagvan v. Harjivan Girdhurdas	0.10 04 0 1 171 00	4903
Moti Bibi v. Bikanu	TT D O AD MAN	290
Moti Chand v. Mahomed Hossein Khan	. 7 N. W., 147	500, 370
		182, 143
Motichand Jaichand v. Dadabhai Pestanji	. 11 Bom., 186 {	1679
Motigavri v. Pranjivandas	T T D & D 200	309
Moti Kahanji v. Dip Chand Virchand	5 Bom., A. C., 81	4144
Moti Lal v. Moti Lal	I. L. R., 6 All., 78	2576
v. Munshook Kuramchand	. I. L. R., 4 Bom., 328	5842
Motilal Ramdas v. Jamnadas Javerdas	2 Bom., 42: 2nd Ed., 40	2794, 601
Notiram Rupa Chand. In re	11 Bom., 21	3860
Motoji bin Ratnaji v. Husen	6 Bom., A. C., 8	2719
Moula Buksh v. Jodoonath Sadoo Khan	. 21 W. R., 267	1618
v. Kuruck Lall	. 7 W. R., 245	689
Moung Hmoon Htaw v. Mah Hpwah	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 777: L. R., 11 I. A., 109.	647
Moung Htoon Oung. In the matter of	. 21 W. R., 297	151
Moung Khine v. Burn	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 2	12
	[I. L. R., 1 Calc., 330 : L. R.]	
Moung Shoay Att v. Ko Byaw	3 I. A., 61.	1560
Mouzzum Ali Khan v. Sheoruttun Singh	. 3 Agra, 277	1624
	. 9 W. R., 101	4411
Mowla Buksh v. Ali Khan v. Hodgkinson v. Kishen Pertab Sahi	. 24 W. R., 150	3094
v. Kishen Pertab Sahi	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 102	3094
Iowla Koomaree v. Mutty Singh	25 W. R., 129	4180
Iowlas Koonwar v. Shiva Sahai	1 Agra, Rev., 65	1744
Iowri Bewa v. Surendra Nath Roy	2 B. L. R., A. C., 184: 10 W. R., 178.	3151, 579
Mozaffur Ally v. Girish Chandra Das	1 B. T., R., A. C., 25: 10 W.	290, 328
	$\{\begin{array}{ccc} R., 71. \\ I. I. B. C. C. L. 42C \end{array}\}$	
Mozhuruddin v. Gobind Chunder Nundi	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 435	3032
Mozuffur Ali v. Kumeerunissa Bibee Mozuffer Wahid v. Abdus Samad	W. R., 1864, 32	3648
Irinamoyi Dabia v. Jogodishuri Dabia	6 C. L. R., 539 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 450: 5 C. L.	4465 82, 385
•	20,000	1837, 316
frinmoyee Dabea v. Bhoobun Moyee Dabea .	. 15 B. L. R., 1 : 23 W. R., 43 }	3347
Irityunjaya Sirkar v. Gopal Chandra Sirkar	. 2 B. L. R., A. C., 131	3013
Iuazzim Ali Khan v. Sheo Pershad	. 7 N. W., 259	2865
Iubarik Ali v. Kadir Buksh	. 7 N. W., 351	372
Iuchoo v. Arzoon Sahoo	. 5 W. R., 235	1339, 227
·	• 5 10., 200	5096
Muchul Kooer v. Laljee	. 2 N. W., 112	3147 4595
Mudaree Lall v. Gilmore	. 3 Agra, 196	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of . Digest.
Muddomutty Guptee v . Bamasoondery Dossee .	14 B. L. R., 21	88, 1945 2234, 2382 3209, 4134
	1 Hyde, 100	5873
Muddoosoodun Acharj v. Kishore Hazrah	W. R., F. B., 36 · · · .	2886
Muddoosoodun Chowdry v. Koylas Chunder Shaw	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 12	355
Muddun Gopal Lall v. Gowrunbutty	₹ 365.	2164
	21 W. R., 190	2393, 4261
Muddun Gopal Thakoor v. Ram Buksh Pandey .	6 W. R., 71, 74	2155 2240
Muddun Lall v. Komul Bibee	14 W. R., 335	4310
Muddun Mohun Biswas. In re	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 29 .	3880
v. Puddo Monee Dossee .	. 17 W. R., 139	493
Muddun Mohun Ghosal v. Nuboram Chuckerbutty	2 W. R., 69 7 W. R., 485	2841 841
Muddun Mohun Mitter v. Peer Bukshun Muddun Mohun Roy v. Gopee Mohun Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 8	555
Muddun Mohun Roy Chowdhry v. Chundee Sekhur Bhuttacharjee.	25 W. R., 115	2986
v. Ram Chunder	> 2 VV . Dv MIIS 41	220
Goopto. Muddun Mohun Shaha v. Anundmoyi	5 C. L. R., 49	2487
v. Bharut Chunder Roy	11 W. R., 249	478
Muddun Mohun Tewaree v. Nand Kishore Doss .	5 W. R., 295	3156
Muddun Ram Doss v. Israil Ali Chowdhry	21 W. R., 291	6223
Muddun Thakoor v. Kantoo Lall	14 B. L. R., 187 : 22 W. R., 56 : L. R., 1 I. A., 321.	2164
v. Morrison	18 W. R., 253	1219, 2695
Mudhoo Manjee v. Nilmonee Singh Deo	18 W. R., 533	381
Mudhoo Mokee Dabee v. Gunga Govind Mundle.	W. R., 1864, 299	243, 5064 3054, 429
Mudhoo Soodun Chatterjee v. Juddooputty Chuckerbutt Mudhoosoodun Chuckerbutty. In the matter of the petition of.		1272
Mudhoosoodun Gossamee v. Hills	10 W. R., 242	6095
Mudhoo Soodun Roy v. Sreeputty Bhuttacharjee.	25 W. R., 488	4932
Mudhoosoodun Shaha v. Bejoy Gobind Chowdhry	21 W. R., Cr., 55	4496 3806
v. Gopal Shaikh	22 W. R., 508	
Mudhun Mohun Doss v. Gokul Doss	R., P. C., 91.	4661
Mudhun Mohun Singh v. Kanyee Doss Chuckerbutty	12 B. L. R., 201	810
Mudoosoodun Sha v. Haridass Dass	. 22 W. R., Cr., 40	974 3506
Mudun Bhukut v. Dooar Bharatee	. 8 W. R., 320 5 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 31 : W.)	
Mudun Mohan Roy v . Gourmonee Goopto	(R., F. B., 126.	5078
Mudun Mohun Dut v. Futtarunnissa	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 297	6039
Mudun Mohun Poddar v. Bhoggomanto Poddar .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 923	4199, 4898 2700, 3819
Mudun Mohun Singh v. Ram Dass Chuckerbutty Mudun Singh v. Nurput Singh	6 C. L. R., 357	2178, 304
Mudvirapa Kulkarni v. Fakirapa Kenardi	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 427	3274
Mufasa v . Mahomed Akbar Gazee \cdot . \cdot .	2 W. R.,74	5459
Mufeezooddeen alias Bhaloo Mean v. Woolfutoonissa Bibee.	7 111 201, 202	1735
Mufti Jalaluddeen Mahomed v. Shohorullah .	15 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 22 W. R., 422.	1242
Mugneeram Chowdhry v. Gonesh Dutt Singh	5 W. R., 134	3769
v. Gunesh Dutt Singh	W. R., 1864, 275	2609, 448
Augnee Roy v. Lall Khoonee Lal	6 W. R., Act X, 19	541

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mugno Moye Debia v. Hur Chunder Racot Mugun Chunder Chuttoraj v. Surbessur Chuckerbutty	3 W. R., Act X, 146 8 W. R., 479	336 3817
Muhamdee v. Nazirun	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 19: 6 C. L.	77, 163
Muhamdi Begam v. Abbas Ali Khan	TTD FAIL FOI	5687
Muhammad v. Husain	I. L. R., 3 All., 203	5951, 5954
v. Imamuddin	2 Bom., 53: 2nd Ed., 50 .	3731
v. Kashi Das	I. L. R., 7 All., 199	5238 3059
Muhammad Abdul Kadar v. E. I. Railway Co	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 205 I. L. R., 1 Mad., 375	2813
Muhammad Abdul Majid v. Fatima Bibi	I. L. R., 8 All., 39 : L. R., 12	3734
ž (I. A., 159.	0/04
Muhammad Abdul Rahman Khan v. Muhammad Qutab- ud-din.	I. L. R., 6 All., 446	4088
Muhammad Abid v. Muhammad Asghar	I. L. R., 8 All., 64 . §	175, 378
_		$\begin{array}{c} 3447 \\ 2860, 2916 \end{array}$
Muhammad Abu Jafar v. Wali Muhammad	I. L. R., 3 All., 81	5084
Muhammad Ali v. Debi Din Rai	1. L. R., 4 All., 420	806
	I. L. R., 1 All., 659	4085 3816
Muhammad Ali Bava Labbi v. Mohiadin Nainar . Muhammad Alladad Khan v. Muhammad Ismail Khan	1 Mad., 107	3636
Muhammadan Association of Meerut v. Bakshshi Ram.		4274
Muhammad Ashgar Ali v. Muhammed Zain-ul-abdin .	I. L. R., 5 All., 573	3258
Muhammad Awais v. Har Sahai	I. L. R., 7 All., 716	3643
Muhammadbhai Dharamsi v. Bhanji Topan	3 Bom., O. C., 64 I. L. R., 5 All., 294	4530 3327
Muhammad Ewaz v. Birj Lal	I. L. R., 1 All., 465: L. R.,	4801
Muhammad Faiz Ahmad Khan v. Ghulam Ahmad Khan	4 I. A., 166. I. L. R., 3 All., 490 : L. R., 8 }	3673
Muhammad Habibullah Khan v. Safdar Husain Khan .	I. A., 25.	3171, 3358
Muhammad Hamin Khan. In re	I. L. R., 7 All., 25 I. L. R., 3 Mad., 178	6044
Muhammad Hasan v. Munna Lal	T T D 0 All 494	1346
Muhammad Ibrahim v. Gulam Ahmed		1357, 3701
Muhammad Ismail v. Chattar Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 69	336 363 4, 3692
v. — v. —	I. L. R., 3 All., 723	1347, 4650
Muhammad Janula v. Venkatanayar	2 Mad., 79	3205, 3219
Muhammad Latif v. Gobind Singh		4555
Muhamamad Mahidin ar Ottomil II mamanha	1 15 1 000	6091 1063, 6119
Muhammad Salim v. Nabian Bibi	I. L. R., 8 All., 282	4979
Muhammad Sileman v. Satu valad Harji	1 = 0	5691
Muhammad Sulaiman v. Muhammad Yar		1493
Muhammad Umar v. Kamila Bibi	I. L. R., 4 All., 34	
Muhammad valad Abdul Mulna v. Ibrahim valad Hasan .	3 Bom., A. C., 160 .	4902
Muhammad Yakoub v. Muhammad Ismail	9 Bom., 278	4165
Muhammad Yussub v. Ahmed	1 Bom., Ap., 18 {	3699, 4216 5265
Muhammad Yusuf v. Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Company.	6 Bom., O. C., 98	5646
Muhammad Zaki v. Chatku	I. L. R., 7 All., 120	3383
Muhammed Esuf Sahib v. George	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 385	5716
Muhash Dochay & Kishun Dochay	14 W. R., 378	4711 2444
Muhesh Doobey v. Kishun Doobey	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 42	2444

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of • Digest.
Muhesh Dutt Pandey v. Seetul Sonar Muhessur Buksh Singh. In the matter of the petition of v. Meghburn Singh	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 146 5 W. R., Mis., 33 9 B. L. R., 150 : 16 W. R., P.	2928 4406 35, 4870
Muhi-ud-din Ahmad Khan v. Majlis Rai Muhkun v. Jusram Muhrooa v. Heeraram Misser Muhtab v. Ram Dyal Muhumdee Beg v. Hossein Ali Mujavar Ibrambibi v. Mujavar Hussain Sheriff Mujeedounissa v. Dildar Hossein Mujeedunnissa v. Mujlis v. Moher Mukandi Lal Dubei v. Crowdy Mukant Lal v. Nasiruddin Mukbool Ali v. Wajid Hossein	C., 5. I. L. R., 6 All., 231 4 N. W., 165 1 Hay, 277 3 Agra, 319 2 N. W., 26 I. L. R., 3 Mad., 95 14 W. R., 216 20 W. R., 178 3 Agra, 223 8 B. L. R., Ap., 95 I. L. R., 4 All., 416 25 W. R., 249 24 W. R., 233	3339 5271 1209, 3842 3147, 3174 4298 3670 3963, 4260 4189 1658 5189 5664
Mukhdoomun v. Bhugwan Doss Mukdoomunnissa v. Nokhy Singh Mukhi v. Fakir Mukhoda Dossee v. Queen Mukhoda Soondury Dasi v. Ram Churn Karmokar	24 W. R., 33 24 W. R., 296 I. L. R., 3 All., 382 18 W. R., Cr., 4 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 871: 11 C. 7 L. R., 194.	1933 327, 5767 195 4490 4417, 6225
Mukhtar Bahadur v. Brojraj Singh Chowdhry Mukhun Lall v. Sreekishen Singh Mukhun Lall Panday v. Koondun Lall	9 C. L. R., 143 8 W. R., 92 15 B. L. R., 228: 24 W 75: L. R., 2 I. A., 210	5188 4433 3245, 4763 4797, 4800 4806
Mukim Mullick v. Ramjan Sirdar	9 C. L. R., 64 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 182 5 W. R., P. C., 18: 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 142: 10 Moore's	2023 3212, 3215 3200, 3219
Mukoond Narain Deo v. Joy Coomaree Debia Mukootnath v. Jugwunt Lall Mukrumunnissa v. Abdool Jubbar Muksood Ali v. Gowhur Ali Mukta Bibee. In re	1. A., 362. 1 W. R., 16 3 Agra, 389 17 W. R., 171 W. R., 1864, 364 17 W. R., Cr., 11 8 B. L. R., 396, note: 14 W. A	2852 3160 91 3196 253
Muktakasi Debie v. Ubabati Muktakeshi Debee Chowdhrain v. Sajed Sheik Mukun Mullick v. Ramjan Sirdar Mukurbhanoo Deo v. Kostoora Koonwaree Mukurdhooj Singh v. Ram Churn Mula Raj v. Debi Dihal Mulbai. In the goods of Mulchand v. Balgobind v. Bhikari Das v. Motichand Hargovandas v. Shib Charan Lal Mulchand Badharsha v. Bai Mancha Mulchand Chutumal v. Sundarji Naranji Mulchand Gulabchand v. Girdhar Madhav Mulchand Joharimal v. Suganchand Shivdas	R., 31. 2 B. L. R., Ap., 5 9 C. L. R., 64 5 W. R., 215 1 N. W., 14: Ed. 1873, 12 I. L. R., 7 All., 371 2 Bom., 292: 2nd Ed., 276 I. L. R., 1 All., 610 I. L. R., 7 All., 624 9 Pom., 37 I. L. R., 2 All., 676 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 491 7 Bom., O. C., 39 8 Bom., A. C., 6 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 23	2446 1587 1680 2039 2974 3463 3695 3892 4087, 4894 668 1232 2511 4584 342, 3206 3301 1035, 2576 2819
Mulchand Kuber v. Lallu Trikam Mulchand Ranchoddas v. Chhagan Naran	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 404 . I. L. R., 10 Bom., 74 .	3940 1923

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mulji Bechar v. Anupram Bechar v. Jetha Jeshankar	7 Bom., A. C., 186 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 239	139, 47 73 5819
Mulkah Do Alum Nawab Tajdar Bohoo v. Jehan Kudr	2 W. R., P. C., 55: 10 Moore's 1. A., 252.	3656
Mulka Jehan Sahiba v. Deputy Commissioner of Lucknow.	L. R., 6 I. A., 63	1027
v. Mahomed Ushkurree Khan	L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 192: 26 W. R., 26.	3692, 3701
Mulka Mukhdra, Begum of ex-King of Oudh v. Te-	14 W. R., P. C., 24 .	1729
kaeth Roy. Mulla Jaffarji Tyeb Ali v. Yacali Kadar Bi Mulleeka v. Jumeela	7 Mad., 128 5 W. R., 23 11 B. L. R., 375 : L. R., I. ?	
Mullick Abdool Guffoor v. Muleka	A., Sup. Vol., 135. I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1112 .	3648, 3654 3676
Mullick Ahmed Zumma alias Tetur v. Mahomed Syed }	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 194: 6 C. L. R., 573.	3483
Mullick Amanut Ali v. Ukloo Pasee Mullick Enaet Ali v. Wahed Ali	25 W. R , 140	4901, 4924 3523 4726
Mullick Karim Baksh v. Harrihar Mandar	5 B. L. R., 174: 13 W. R., 7	6071
Mullick Mahomed Yakoob v. Chowdhry Shaikh Zuhoo-	25 W. R., 309	3481
rul Huq. Mullins v. Beddy Mulluk Ali Shah v. Meher Banoo Mulo. In the matter of the petition of	6 N. W., 150 8 W. R., 448	1034, 3203 910 54 88
Mulraz Lachmia v. Chalakany Vencata Rama Jagana- dha Row.	2 Moore's I. A., 54 .	2531
Muluk Fuqueer Buksh v. Laller Manohur Doss Mumford v. Peal Mumtaz Begum v. Fateh Husain Mumtaz Bibee v. Grish Chunder Chowdhry Mumtaz Hossein v. Brij Mohun Thakoor Munbasee Kooer v. Nowrungee Lall	2 N. W., 29 I. L. R., 2 All., 857 I. L. R., 6 All., 391 22 W. R., 376 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 888 15 W. R., 135	4866 3311 4916 570 229, 2649 673
Munbasi Kooer v. Nowruttun Kooer	8 C. L. R., 428 }	2164, 3435
Munchershaw Eczonji v. New Dhurumsey Spinning and Weaving Co. Munda Chetti v. Timmaju Hensu	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 576 . 1 Mad., 380	3917 726, 3794 4628, 6276 2282, 2458
Mundakinee Debee v. Collector of Beerbhoom Mundy Chinna Comarappa Setti v. Ramasamy Setti	1 W. R., Mis, 27 4 Mad., 409	2840 3173
Munee Dutt Singh v. Campbell	11 W. R., 278; affirmed on review, 12 W. R., 149.	2981, 5761
Muneekurnicka Chowdharain v. Anund Moyee Chow-	8 W. R., 6	1608
dhrain. Muneeram Acharjee v. Turungo	7 W. R., 173	2699, 3334
Muneerooddeen Merdha v. Kennie	4 W. R., Act X, 45 15 W. R., 121	1635 4 04
Muneeroodeen v, Kadir Buksh	24 W. R., 410	5123
Muneerundeen v. Mahomed Ali	6 W. R., 67 13 W. R., 139	3035
Mungamuru Ananta Lakahminanan Dantalu a Vaula	7 Mad., 22	1450, 1900
gedda Aukinid. Mungazee Chaprassee v. Shibo Soonduree	7 Mau., 22	3198 331, 5339
Munger Bhooyan. In re	10 W. R., Cr., 56	1003
Munghroo Manjhee v. Gyaram Nundee	14 W. R., 253	4871

Separation of Principles (ASIS) described A Control of		
Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Munghur v. Mahomed Busheerul Hossein {	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 263: 10 C. L. R., 1.	3565
Mungle Pershad v. Gudooree Singh	2 W. R., Mis., 17	1867
Munglo v. Durganarain Nag	25 W. R., Cr., 74 }	4491, 4497 5150
v. Jumna Dass	2 N. W., 454	3742
Mungol Prashad Dichit v. Shama Kanto Lahory	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 708 . {	3216, 3470
Chowdhry.	(3490
Mungroo Sahoo v. Jeydar Singh	2 Agra, 231	3256
Mun and Doughod Dishit at Chris Kant Lahiri	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 51 : L. R., 8 I. A., 123 : 11 C. L. R., }	449, 3130 3133, 3470
Mungul Pershad Dichit v. Grija Kaut Lahiri .	113.	3490, 4982
Munia v. Balak Ram	I. L. R., 2 All., 513	2237
- v. Puran	I. L. R., 5 All., 310	2494
Muniappah Naidu v. Iyasamy Mudely	5 Mad., 313	4.909
Muni Chundra v. Hariram Ahom Municipal Commissioners for the Suburbs of Calcutta.	8 C. L. R., 254 6 B. L. R., Ap., 28: 14 W.	99
In the matter of the petition of.	R., Cr., 67.	5651
	7 B. L. R., 516	4109
v. Amanat Ali.		3100
Municipal Commissioners for the Suburbs of Calcutta v. Mahomed Ali.	7 B. L. R., 499: 16 W. R., Cr., 6.	4109, 4112
Municipal Commissioners for the Suburbs of Calcutta	16 W. R., Cr., 4	505, 5652
v. Zamir Shaikh.	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 38	507
	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 201	2620, 3575
	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 65	3600
Municipal Commissioners of Mannargudi v. Nallapa .	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 327	3600
Municipal Commissioners of Negapatam v. Sadaya	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 74	3600
	I. L. R., 1 All., 269	334, 4077
Municipality of Poona v. Mohanlal	1. L. R., 9 Bom., 51 12 W. R., 133	61 7 699
Muni Reddi v. Venkata Reddi	3 Mad., 241	3916
Munir-ud-din Khan v. Abdul Rahim Khan	I. L. R., 3 All., 674	222
Munisami v. Dakshanamurthi	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 871	354 5
Muniyan v. Periya Kulandai Ammal	1 Mad., 184	1900
Mun Mohinee Dabee v. Soodamonee Dabee	3 W. R., 31	2359 2602
Mun Mohinee Dossee v. Ichamoye Dossee	13 W. R., 60	1466
v. Joykissen Mookerjee	W. R., F. B., 174	4208
Mun Mohun Dey v. Sreeram Roy	14 W. R., 285	4151
Munmohun Ghose v. Husrut Sirdar	2 W. R., Act X, 39	1610
Mun Mohun Ghossal v. Pureshnath Roy	22 W. R., 174	4672
Munmohun Singh v. Amritnath Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 167	5093
v. Watson & Co	W. R., F. B., 22:1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 78	1605
v	2 Hay, 398	,5328
Munna Jhunna Koonwar v. Laljee Roy	1 W. R., 121	80, 3192
		3308, 4962
Munna Singh v. Gajadhar Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 577 12 W. R., 149; affirming on	5488
Munnee Dutt Sing v . Campbell	review, 11 W. R., 278.	2981, 5796
Munni Ram Awasty v. Sheo Churn Awasty .	7 W. R., P. C., 29: 4 Moore's 1. A., 114.	275, 988
Munnoo Bebee v. Jehandar Khan	1 Agra, 250	3683
v. Jhandar Khan	3 Agra, 389	3286
Munnoo Lal v. Reet Bhoobun Singh	6 W. R., 283	2019, 4013

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Munnoo Lall v. Choonee Shahoo	7 W. R., 116 21 W. R., 21 : L. R., 1 I. A.,	5480 1706
Munnoo Lall v. Reetbhungun Singh Munnoo Singh. In the matter of the petition of v. Amrut Lall Munohur Doss v. Deen Dyal Munohur Paul v. Wise Munoo Dossee v. Ishan Chunder Banerjee Munorunjun Singh v. Leelanund Singh Mun Peary v. Shiva Deen Munraj Muthon v. Hudson Munrakhun Lall v. Raheem Buksh	9 W. R., 544 19 W. R., 306 5 W. R., 234 3 N. W., 179 15 W. R., 246 15 W. R., 245 13 B. L. R., 124: L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 181. 1 Agra, 91 12 W. R., 309 4 N. W., 72	441 5942 6242 2978, 4583 5935 747 2037 3977 522 4915
Munrakhun Roy v. Juggut Doss Munro v. Baluck Singh Munrunjun Singh v. Leela Nund Singh v. Lelanund Singh Munsaram Doss Kurmokar v. Gridharee Ram Doss Munshad Bibee v. Luchmeeput Singh Munshi Sheikh. In the matter of the petition of Munsoor Ali v. Azmut Ali v. Bunoo Singh v. Harvey	10 W. R., 124 1 N. W., 153 : Ed. 1873, 235 11 W. R., 5 3 W. R., 84 10 W. R., 278 9 W. R., 129 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 616 9 W. R., 282 7 W. R., 282 11 W. R., 291	326 5346 401 2033, 3068 4209 5127 1014 4822 1617, 2930 1 482, 3929
Munsoor Ali Khan v. Ojoodhyaram Khan Munsoor Bibee v. Ali Meah Munsoorooddeen v. Mahomed Sufdar Munsuk Mosundas v. Shivram Devising Munsurunnissa Bibi v. Mohesh Chunder Bagchi	8 W. R., 399	3962, 4685 6138 2773 2447 5703 5315
Mupanagari Narayanan Mayar v. Virupatchan Nambudripad. Mupanagari Narayanan Mayar v. Virupatchan Nambudripad. Muppidi Papaya v. Ramana Murar Daya v. Nagria Ganeshia Murari v. Suba Murari Singh v. Pryag Singh Murariji Gokuldas v. Parvatibai Murch. In the goods of	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 287	3764 2257 2838 2839 5438 2335 1239 1135, 1453 5144
Murder Kooer v. Maheswar Buksh Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 13: Marsh., 527: W. R., F. B., 116.	210
Muringa Mangalath Gopalan Nayar v. Valia Tamburatti. Murlidhar v. Ishri Prasad. v. Parsotam Dass v. Supdu Murli Rai v. Ledri Murray. In re Murriam Bibee v. Mahomed Jamal Murugayi v. Viramakali Musa Asmal. In the matter of the petition of Musabhi v. Shaunuddin Hismuddin Musadee Mahomed Cazum Sherazee v. Ally Mahomed Shoostry.	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 87 I. L. R., 6 All., 576 I. L. R., 2 All., 91 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 149 I. L. R., 7 All., 851 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 58 I2 W. R., 380 I. L. R., 1 Mad., 226 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 164 4 Bom., A. C., 35 6 Moore's I. A., 27	3760 1186 188, 5619 3861 4081, 5205 2639 2885 2524 5606 230 4650, 6132

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Musa Miya Saheb v. Gulam Husein	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 100 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 653 I. L. R., 6 All., 189 7 W. R., Cr., 38 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 512 6 W. R., Cr., 92 10 W. R., 386 15 W. R., 88 I. L. R., 4 All., 500: L. R., 9 I. A., 70. 7 Mad., 347 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 41 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 808 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 200 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 200 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 334 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 209 4 Mad., 22 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 140 II W. R., 207	5744 964 787 5605 3254, 5480 5553 5686 6235 4660, 6218 424 822 569, 3026 3917 5647 2807 227 4102 2078, 2084
Muthoora Doss v. Kanoo Beharee Singh v. Shumbhoo Dutt Muthoora Koonwaree v. Bootun Singh Muthoora Mohun Roy Chowdhyy v. Pagrae Mohun	21 W, R., 287	2089 3159 2390
Muthoora Mohun Roy Chowdhry v. Pearee Mohun Shaha. Muthoora Nath Chuckerbutty v. Heera Lall Doss Muthoora Nath Chuckerbutty v. Heera Lall Doss Muthoora Nath Hoose v. Raickomul Dossee . Muthoora Nath Koond v. Sameeruddee Mollah Muthoora Nath Mozoomdar v. Mohobuttoonnissa Bibee Muthoora Nath Mozoomdar v. Tarinee Churn Singh Muthoora Pershad Singh v. Shumboo Geer . Muthoora Olah v. Torabooddeen . Muthora Nath Pal v. Chundermoney Dabia Muthra v. Jawahir . Muthra Chowdry v. Sheoruttun Mull . Muthra Doss v. Magh Singh . Muthra Pershad v. Bundee Roy . Muthumadeva Naik v. Sevattamuthumadeva Naik Muthur Ali v. Sheo Sahoy Singh . Muthusami Pillai v. Muthu Chidambara Chetti . Mutirakal Kovilagatha Rama; Varma Raja v. Queen . Muttalagiri v. Muttayyar . Muttammal v. Bank of Madras . v. Chinnana Gounden .	23 W. R., 344 17 W. R., Cr., 55 24 W. R., 278 1 W. R., 42 20 W. R., 206 8 W. R., 164 22 W. R., 211 15 W. R., 305 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 817 I. L. R., 1 All., 527 6 N. W., 126 2 N. W., 207 5 N. W., 207 5 N. W., 20 7 Mad., 160 W. R., 1864, 281 7 Mad., 356 I. L. R., 3 Mad., 351 I. Agra, 276 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 357 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 115 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 220	4347 5018 4920, 6182 485 537 1215 45 3468 475, 1504 3945 1328, 4360 821 142, 6755 1202, 4907 4991 5799 6090 5599 6095 5420 665 4952, 6085
v. Kamakshy Ammal	2 Mad., 337	6090 2435
v. Venga Laksmiammal. Muttasvami Gaundan v. Subbiramanyya Gaundan Muttaya v. Sivaraman Muttayan Chetti v. Sangili Vira Pandia Chinna \ Tambiar (Zemindar of Sivagiri).) v. Sangili Vira Pandia Chinnatambiar (Zemindar of Sivagiri).	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 32 10 Mad., 309 1. L. R., 6 Mad., 229 1. L. R., 8 Mad., 370 1. L. R., 6 Mad., 1 : L. R., 9 1. A., 128 : 12 C. L. R., 169.	2312 2378 2614 2158, 2370 2497, 5506 2159
Muttealaummal v. Chellayammal	5 Mad., 98	285

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mutteeram Kowar v. Gopaul Sahoo	11 B. L. R., 416 : 20 W. R.,	2198
Muttirangot Manakal Bhavathradan Bhatta Thiripad v. Eraugot Trikovil Pishareth Rama Pisharoti. Muttiya Pillai v. Western Mutto a. Ilahi Begam Muttra Pershad v. Rampershad Muttu v. Kudalalaga Muttu Chetti v. Muttan Chetti Muttu Chidambara v. Karuppa Muttu Chidambara v. Karuppa Muttukaruppa Kaundan v. Rama Pillai Muttukaruppan Chetti v. Mutturamalinga Chetti Muttukaruppan Reddi v. Arumuga Pillai Muttukumarappa Reddi v. Arumuga Pillai Muttumira v. Queen-Empress Muttu Ramalinga Setupati v. Perianayagum Pillai Muttusam y Jagavira Yettapa Naikar v. Venkatasubha Yettia.	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 99 1 Mad., 27 1. L. R., 6 All., 65 6 N. W., 39 1. L. R., 6 Mad., 97 1. L. R., 4 Mad., 296 1. L. R., 7 Mad., 382 3 Mad., 158 1. L. R., 7 Mad., 47 1. L. R., 7 Mad., 47 1. L. R., 7 Mad., 145 1. L. R., 7 Mad., 590 L. R., 1 I. A., 209 2 Mad., 293	3236 5879 5118 862 4305 4993, 5837 4305 134, 5624 5443 5034 4113 1758, 2219 2242 2417
Muttusamy Mudaly v. Sadagopa Gramany	4 Mad., 398	3588
Muttu Vaduganadha Tevar v. Dorasingha Tevar . { Muttuvelu Pillai v. Vythilinga Pillai Muttuvelu Pillay v. Samu Pillay Muttu Viran Chetti v. Kattama Natchivar . Muttu Vizia Ragunada Rani Kolundapuri Nachiar { alias Kattama Nachiar v. Dorasinga Tevar	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 290: L. R., 8 I. A., 99. 5 Mad., 185 5 Mad., Ap., 2 4 Mad., 463 6 Mad., 310 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 370: 10 C.	2496, 5506 810 4528 3579 2286, 2291 2303
Mutty Khan v. Mungloo Mutty Lall v. Chogemull Mutty Lall Chuttopadhya. In the matter of Mutty Lall Ghose. In the matter of Mutty Loll Seal v. Annundo Chunder Sandle v. Dent v. O'Dowda	L. R., 346. 5 W. R., Cr., 50 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 344 16 W. R., Cr., 74 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 308 5 Moore's I. A., 72 5 Moore's I. A., 328 4 Moore's I. A., 382 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 774: 9	3641 4384 2574 2590, 5546 1315 1823
Muttylall Sen Gwyal v. Deshkar Roy .	W, R., 1. I. L. R., 6 Calc., 291: 7 C.)	2059
Mutty Ram Sahoo v. Mohi Lall Roy . Mutty Soonur v. Gundur Soonur Mutu v. Veerapah Chetty Mutukdharee Lall v. Fuzul Hossein Mutura Kant Shaha v. Meajan Mundul Mutuswamy Jagavera Yettappa Naiken v. Vencatas-	L. R., 433. 20 W. R., 129 8 B. L. R., Ap., 91: 17 W. R., 243. 6 W. R., Mis., 131 5 C. L. R., 192 2 B. L. R., P. C., 15: 11 W.	2846 3037 4743 4799, 4848 3017
wara Yettappa.	R., P. C., 6: 12 Moore's I. A., 203.	2417
Mutusawmy Jagavera Yettapa Naiker v. Venkataswara Yettia. Muzaffar Husain v. Ali Husain	10 Moore's I. A., 313: 1 } Ind. Jur., N. S., 205. 1. L. R., 5 All., 297 16 W. R., 44 8 W. R., 47 3 N. W., 46 25 W. R., Cr., 72 7 W. R., 308	4633 5415 2746 4428 5220 4104, 4109 4111 3820

Name of Case.

Volume and Page.

Muzhur Hossein v. Dinobundoo Sein .
Muzhur Hossein v. Dinobundoo Sein v. Hur Pershad Roy 15 W. R., 353 13 W. R., 235 13 W. R., 2404 2 W. R., P. C., 4: 8 Moore's I. A., 400. N
Nabadwip Chandra Shaw. In the matter of the petition of. Nabadwip Chandra Sirkar v. Kali Nath Pal
Nabadwip Chandra Sirkar v. Kali Nath Pal
Nabakrishna Mookerjee v. Collector of Hooghly
Naduroonissa Bibee v. Aghur Ali Chowdhry Naduvath Mannakel Subramanyan Nambudripad Nafar Chand Pal Chowdhry. In the matter of the petition of. Nafer Chunder Mundul v. Baikanto Nath Roy Naffar Chand Pal Chowdhry v. Sandes Nagabhushanam v. Seshamma Garu Nagalinga Mudali v. Subbiramanya Mudali Nagalutchmee Ummal v. Gopoo Nadaraja Chetty 7 W. R., 103 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 187 6 B. L. R., Ap., 126 8 B. L. R., Ap., 35, note: 10 W. R., 432 I. L. R., 3 Mad., 180 1 Mad., 77 6 Moore's I. A., 309

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Nagarpa Udapa v. Subba Sastry Nagar v. Shurintoollah	. 2 Mad., 367	2116 880 2935 4427 360, 361
Nagasawmy Naik v. Rungasamy Naik	8 Mad., 46	364 2914
Pandya Tevar. Nagendra Lal Chatterjee. In the matter of the petition of. Nagesh Bhat v. Balvantrav	The same of the party of the pa	4332 4826 479
Nagindas. In the goods of Nagindas Devchand v. Natha Pitamber Nagindas Jeychand v. Halalkhore Nathwa Gheesla Nagireddi v. Ramanna	3 Bom., O. C., 135 10 Bom., 297 1. L. R., 5 Bom., 470 1. L. R., 7 Mad., 592	4844 812, 543 7 5848 57 04
Nago Kanaturia v. Babaji Katari Nago Mahadev v. Narayan Ramchandra Nagova kom Jakan Gauda Nag Thakur v. Madnaji Sadashiv	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 610 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 465 3 Bom., A. C., 108 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 239	4765 4068, 5259 2858 3861
Nagurchand v. Doorga Doss Chowdhry Nagur Singh v. Mushunund Khan Sirdar	. I. L. R., 5 Bom., 400 . 1 Bom., 5 . 11 W. R., 137 . 11 W. R., 309 . I. L. R., 6 Bom., 470	6274 6143 4249, 6007 1731 2212
Nahalchand Harakchand v. Hemchand Nahana v. Dya Ram Nahanibai v. Nathu Bhau Naharmul Marwari v. Sadut Ali	1. L. R., 9 Bom., 31 5 N. W., 170 1. L. R., 7 Bom., 414 8 C. L. R., 468	2298, 2312 6054 3302 5441
Naigar Timapa v. Bhaskar Parmaya	3 B. L. R., Ap., 11 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 444 I. L. R., 4 All., 371 3 B. L. R., A. C., 283	952 411, 5414 4081 322, 1590 2794, 4898
Nainsukh Rai v. Umadai	. I. L. R., 7 All., 273	361, 371 5002, 5190 1378 806
Najhan v. Mahomed Taki Khan	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 196: 8 C. L.	3893
Najibunnissa. In the matter of the petition of Naki Hazi. In the matter of	R., 454. 4 B. L. R., A. C., 55 8 C. L. R., 72 24 W. R., 389 7 B. L. R., 333 2 Mad., 420 1. L. R., 5 Mad., 73 2 Mad., 182 1. L. R., 2 Mad., 400 1 Mad., 109 7 Mad., 306 4 Mad., 339 1 Mad., 374 7 Mad., 200 2 Mad., 110	3633 4741 879 4410 3976 4835 2082 1999 3046, 3584 1573, 1739 2437 2509 1100 3757

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Namboory Seetapaty v. Kanoo Colanoo Pullia Nana Bayaji v. Pandurang Vasudev Nanabhai Narotomdas v. Ramshet Govindshet Nanabhai Rustamji v. Pestanji Jamsetji . Nanabhai Sorabji Mestri. In the goods of . Nanabhai Vallabhdas v. Nathabhai Haribhai	7 W. R., P. C., 7:3 Moore's I. A., 359. I. L. R., 9 Bom., 97 6 Bom., A. C., 156 6 Bom., A. C., 31 11 Bom., 87 7 Bom., A. C., 46	2828
Nana bin Lakshman v. Anant Babaji Nanack Chand v. Teluckdye Koer Nanah alias Narain Rao v. Jumna Baee Nanakchand v. Ram Narayan Nanakram v. Mehin Lal Nana Narain Rao v. Hurree Punt Bhao	9 Bom., 89 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 353 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 265 : 4 C. L. R., 358. 2 Agra, 192 I. L. R., 2 All., 181 I. L. R., 1 All., 487 6 Moore's I. A., 464 Marsh., 436 : 9 Moore's I. A., 7	
v. Hurree Punth Bhao v. Rama Nund Nanchand Hansraj v. Bapusaheb Rustambhai Nancy alias Zuhoorun v. Burgess Nanda Kumar Banerjee v. Ishan Chandra Banerjee	96. 2 Agra, 171 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 131 1 W. R., 272 1 B. L. R., A. C., 91: 10 W. R., 130.	
Nanda Kumar Shaha v. Gaur Sankar. Nanda Lal Rai v. Bonomali Lahiri Nandan Misser v. Chatter Bati Nanda Rai v. Raghunandan Singh Nandipat Mahata v. Urquhart	5 B. L. R., Ap., 4: 13 W. R., 305. I. L. R., 11 Calc., 544 13 B. L. R., Ap., 33 1. L. R., 7 All., 282 4 B. L. R., A. C., 181: 13 W. R., 209.	
Nandkishor Balgovan v. Bhagubai Pranvalubhdas Nand Kishor Das v. Ram Kalp Roy Nand Kumar v. Radha Kuari Nand Lal v. Naitram	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 95 6 B. L. R., Ap., 49: 15 W. R., 8. I. L. R., 1 All., 282 7 N. W., 105	5749
Nand Ram v. Fakir Chand v. Muhammad Bakhsh v. Ramprasad Nandram v. Sitaram v. Sitla Prasad Nandram Motiram v. Kacha Bhau Nandram Sardarmal v. Bhavani Haibati Nandram Sundarji Naik v. Balaji Vithal Nandvallabh v. Allibhai Isyagani Nanerbi Kunwar v. Kasturi Kunwar Nangali v. Raman Nanhak Joti v. Jaimangal Chaubey Nanha Malla v. Empress Nanhoon Singh v. Tofanee Singh Nanhu Koer v. Somirun Thakur Nani Bibee v. Hafizullah Nanjundepa v. Hemapa	I. L. R., 7 All., 523	
Nan Karay Phaw v. Ko Htaw Ah Nankoo Koeree v. Nund Coomar Paurey Nanku v. Board of Revenue	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 124 : L. R., 13 I. A., 48. 22 W. R., 326 I. L. R., 1 All., 444	5617

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nanku Roy v. Mahabir Prasad	8 B. L. R., Ap., 35: 11 W. R., 405. 7 W. R., 522 1. L. R., 13 Calc., 21: L. R., 13 I. A., 1. 12 B. L. R., 292, note: 18 W. R., 376. 1. L. R., 6 Calc., 40: 6 C. L. R., 388. 1. L. R., 6 Calc., 40: 6 C. L. R., 388. 4 Bom., O. C., 1 1. L. R., 4 Mad., 250 1. W. R., P. C., 30: 9 Moore's 1. A., 66. 8 W. R., 304 10 W. R., 240 7 Mad., 372 4 Mad., 91 1. L. R., 5 Calc., 21 1. L. R., 5 Calc., 21 1. L. R., 4 Calc., 103: 3 C. L.	of
Narain Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Dataram Roy Narain Chunder Dhur v. Cohen Narain Coomary v. Ramkrishna Dass	R., 151. I. L. R., 8 Calc., 597: 10 C. L. R., 241. I. L. R., 10 Calc., 565 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 364: 6 C. L. R., 286. I. L. R., 1 All., 610 I. L. R., 6 All., 179 I. L. R., 3 All., 135 I. L. R, 7 All., 693 6 N. W., 43 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 809: 4 C. L. R., 67 I. L. R., 3 All., 189 8 W. R., 425	4834, 6037 6143 1763 4795 1269 2728 1486 14 2808 2396 5043 334, 4449
Narain Dhara v. Rakhal Gain Narain Doss v. Estate of the ex-King of Delhi v. Maharajah ef Burdwan v. Mahatab Chund Bahadoor v. Mahtab Chunder v. Niddha Lall v. Ram Coomar Mytee Narain Doss Bepary v. Soobul Bepary Narainee Dabee v. Chundee Churn Chowdhry Narainee Dossee v. Nurrohurry Mohonto Narainee Koonwaree v. Joogul Kishore Roy Narain Giree v. Goluck Chunder Mytee Narain Giree v. Goluck Chunder Mytee Naraini Kuar v. Durjan Kuar v. Piarey Lal Narain Khootia v. Lokenath Khootia	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 1: 23 W. R., 334. 10 W. R., P. C., 55 10 W. R., 174 7 W. R., 137 10 W. R., 174 15 W. R., 513 1 W. R., 113 11 W. R., 512 Marsh., 70 6 W. R., 309 23 W. R., 368 22 W. R., 368 1. L. R., 2 All., 738 1. L. R., 2 All., 738 1. L. R., 7 Calc., 461: 9 C. L. R., 243.	2712, 3112 5783 2419 5783 3434 5669 3014 5929 6108 4587 6177 1450 4275 4275 2149, 3139

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Narain Lall v. Lalla Nund Kishore Lall	19 W. R., 78	3212
Narain Mal v. Kooer Narain Mytee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 251	676
Narain Mundul v. Bhookto Mahato	25 W. R., 56	3045
Narain Pattro v. Aukhoy Narain Manna	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 152	5807
Narainpersad Mytee v. Roodur Narain Mungle	2 Hay, 490	2240
Narain Roy v. Opnit Misser	L. R., 417.	5184
v. Sreenath Mitter		3504, 4436
Narain Sahai v. Posoo	2 Agra, 78	6053
Narain Sahoo v. Ochoot Sahoo	14 W. R., 233	5400
Narain Singh v. Bheurab Churn Panda	8 C. L. R., 350	885
v. Madho Parshad v	7 N. W., 153	1118
v. Muhammad Faruk	I. L. R., 1 All., 277	4539
v. Munsur Raoot	25 W. R., 155	5189
v. Ram Lall Mookerjee	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 370	4525
v. Simbhoo Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 325 : L. R., 47	5215
<u> </u>	I. A., 15.	1749 1005
Narain Singh Roy v. Nurendro Narain Roy	22 W. R., 296	1748, 1985
Narain Sooboddhee. In the matter of the petition of .	22 W. R., Cr., 37	5542 5537
Narain Sooboodhi. In re	6 W. R., Cr., 6	1438
Narakant Mazumdar v. Baradakant Roy Naran Appa Aiyan v. Nanna Ammal alias Parvathy	3 B. L. R., Ap., 31	3181, 3469
Ammal. Naranbhai Virjbhukandas v. Naroshankar Chandro	o madi, o,	1 .
Shankar.	4 Dom., A. C., 90	2771, 5768
Narandas v. Bai Manchha	I. L. R., 3 Born., 217	1864
Naranji Bhikabhai v. Dipa Uraed Naranjoli Chirakal Kunhi Raman v. Naranjoli Chirakal	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 3	4963 6083
Puttalathu Kunhunni Nambiar.	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 314	
Naran Purshotam v. Dalatram Virchand	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 538	3945, 6148
Narappa bin Appa Hegdi v. Gapaya bin Kapaya .	2 Bom., 361: 2nd Ed., 341 .	769, 1765
Narasamal v. Balaramacharlu	1 Mad., 420	2132, 2138
		2216
Narasanna v. Gavappa		4753, 4822
v. Gurappa	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 424	2186
Narasayya v. Jungam	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 418	4834
v. Narasımma	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 510	2645
v. Venkatakrishnayya	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 397	1888 4766
Narasayya Chetti v. Guruvappa Chetti	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 378	5656
Marasidavur v. Marana Kaundan · · · · ·	2 Mad., 440	2192, 2511
Narasimah v. Venkatadri	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 290	4106
Narasimha. In re	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 201	1200
Narasimha. In re	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 263	296, 2234
Marasimilatav Milsiliatav V. Alitaji vii upaksu .	2 Bom., 64: 2nd Ed., 61	6243
Narasimma v. Kistnama	1 Mad., 456	2838
Narasimma Chariar v. Kristna Tata Chariar	6 Mad., 449	1440, 3276
Narasimma Charya v. Raghupathyacharya	T T D 4 M J 201 .	2251
Narasimma Thatha Acharya v. Ahantha Bhatta	T T. D & Mod 167	4840
Narasu Reddi v. Krishna Padayache	1 Mad 959	3114
Narattam Das Chowdhry v. Rosopyari Chowdhrain .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 271	320
Narayan v. Chintaman	1. L. R., 5 Bom., 393	1574
v. Satvaji	9 Bom., 83	2500
Narayana. In re	T T D # M. J 40	4509
•	1	249, 339
v. Krishna · · · · ·	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 214 . {	2361, 434
v. Narayana	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 284	3765

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Narayana Aiyan v. Srinivasa Aiyan	. 2 Mad., 435	3832
Narayana Charva v. Narso Krishna	. I. L. R., 1 Bom., 262	2178
Narayana Chetti v. Champion	. I. L. R., 7 Mad., 1	3316
Narayana Chetti v. Champion Narayana Malya v. Govind Shetty	. 6 Mad., 18	6016
Narayanan v. Kannan	. I. L. R., 7 Mad., 315	3755
Narayanan v. Kannan	. I. L. R., 5 Mad., 165	3753
Narayanan Chetti v. Karuppathan	. I. L. R., 3 Mad., 251	5849
Narayanan Chetty v . Jensen	. 2 Mad., 383	2586
Narayana Pillay v. Ramasawmy Thavutharan .	. 8 Mad., 100	3413
Narayanappa v. Bhaskar Parmaya	. 7 Bom., A. C., 125	3204, 3309
Narayanasami v. Samidas	. I. L. R., 6 Mad., 293	2235
Narayanasami Ayyar. In the matter of the petition of		3621
Narayanasami Mudali v. Kumarasami Gurukkal .	. 7 Mad., 267	4479
Narayanasamy Naikar v. Velu Pillay	. 4 Mad., 188	2859
Narayana Sanabhaga v. Narayana Nayak	. I. L. R., 6 Mad., 327	3028
Narayana Sawmy Naick v. Saravana Mudaly	• 6 Mad., 58	5493
Narayanasvami Chetti v. Arunachala Chetti .	1 Mad., 487, note	2529
Narayan Ayyar v. Lakshmi Ammal	. 3 Mad., 216	241,5787
v	. 3 Mad., 289	2449
Narayan Babaji v. Nana Manohar	. 7 Bom., A. C., 153	2119, 2225
Narayan Babaji Dabholkar v. Pandurang Ramchandra		2303, 2355 4473, 4882
Dabholkar. Narayanbhai Lalbhai v. Gangakrishna Balkrishna	4 Bom., A. C., 87	5115, 5924
Narayan Bharthi v. Laving Bharthi	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 140 . {	2221, 232 1 4256
Narayan Bhivrav v. Kashi	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 67	3050
Narayan bin Babaji v. Gungaram bin Krishnaji .	5 Bom., A. C., 157	2500
Narayan bin Raghoji v. Bholagir Guru Manjir	6 Bom., A. C., 80	52
Narayan bin Sidoji v. Davudbhai valad Fatebhai	9 Bom., 238	5119
Narayan Das v. Maharaja of Burdwan		
vata jau Das v. Manaraja vi Duruwan	{ 1 B. L. R., S. N., 11 : 10 W. }	3165
Narayan Deshpande v. Anaji Deshpande	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 130	2347, 5474
v. Rangubai	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 127	2713, 5819
Narayan Dev v. Gajanan Dikshit	10 Bom., 1	5975
Narayanga Devu v. Harischandana Devu	I Mad., 455	2062
Narayan Gop Habbu v. Pandurang Ganu	I. L R., 5 Bom., 685	5049
Varayan Govind Ok v. Ganesh Atmaram Fadke	7 Bom., A. C., 118	4615
Varayani v. Govinda	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 352	3762
Varayani Dayi Debi v. Chandi Charan Chowdhry	3 B. L. R., Ap., 65	5929
Narayan Krishna Laud v. Collector of Bombay .	5 Bom., O. C., 1	2913, 5718
Varayan Madhavrao Naik v. Collector of Thana	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 145	165
Narayan Mandal v. Beni Madhab Sircar	{ 4 B. L. R., F. B., 32 : 12 W. }	3147
Varayan M. Pendshe. In re	11 Bom., 102	1222
Jarayan Nagarkar v. Vithu Jakhoji	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 539	1569, 4304
Jarayan Naik. In the matter of	14 W. R., Cr., 34 .	967
larayan Raghunath v. Bhagvant Anant	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 238	1234
v. Kashinath	1. L. R., 8 Bom., 299	5828
arayan Ramchandra v. Dhondu Raghu	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 173	5858
arayanrav Damodar v. Balkrishna Mahadev	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 529	1438
arayanrav Ram Chandra Pant v. Ramabai .	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 415 : L. R., 6 I. A., 114 : 6 C. L. R., 162.	2425, 3373
Jarayan Sadanand Bava v. Balkrisna Shideshvar	9 Bom., 413	1950
Varayan Sadashiv v. Bapuji Balal	7 Bom., A. C., 140	1358
	1 2 VIII.) A. V., 140	5834

Name of Case,	•	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Narayan Shamji v. Gujerat Trading Company		3 Bom., O. C., 20	946
Narayan Succaram v. Bhawoo Dajee		1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 154	6121
Narayan Undir Patil v. Motilal Ramdas .		I. L. R., 1 Bom., 45	6 3 0, 168 4
Narayan Venkoba v. Pandurang Kamat .		I. L. R., 7 Bom., 526	3954
Narayan Venku Kalgutkar v. Sakharam Nagu gaumkar.	Kore- }	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 462 . {	604, 625 2877
Narayan Visaji v. Lakshuman Bapuji		10 Bom., 324	3057
Narayan Vithe Parab v. Krishnaji Sadashiv		I. L. R., 10 Bom., 233	5244
Narayan Vyankatesh v. Dhandu Damodhar		4 Bom., A. C., 167	238
Narbadabai v. Mahadeo Narayan		I. L. R., 5 Bom., 99	2415, 2428
Narbadashankar v. Rugh-nath Ishvarji .		2 Bom., 349	3200
Narbhase Singh v. Luchmee Narain Pooree		11 W. R., 307	3723
Narbheram Kisandas v. Navnidram Kushiram		5 Bom., A. C., 46	239
Narendra Narain Roy v. Bishun Chundra Das	•	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 182	4205
Narendra Narayan Roy Chowdhry v. Ishan Ch	mandra c	13 B. L. R., 274: 22 W. R.,	
Sen.	1 auu 1 a	22.	5194, 520
Narendronarain Singh. In re	·	6 W. R., Cr., 48	6010
Narhar Govind Kulkarni v. Narayan Vithal	• •	I. L. R, 1 Bom., 607	2109
	• •	1 T D 0 A 11 407	2413
Narhar Singh v. Dirgnath Kuar		I. L. R., 8 Mad., 504	4344
	• •	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 14	28
Narmada Bai. In the matter of the petition of		I. L. R., 6 Bom., 209	4390
Naro Damodar Ghugri v. Collector of Poona		9 Bom., 280	3340
Naro Ganesh Datar v. Muhammad Khan .	• •		·
Naro Hari Bhave v. Vithalbhat	• •	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 648	3972, 4257
Narotam v. Nanka	• •	1. L. R., 6 Bom., 473	2212
Narotam Bapu v. Ganpatrav Pandurang		8 Bom., O. C., 69	4561
Narotamdas Bhagtandas v. Dayabhai Ichhachand	a.	6 Bom., A. C., 34	3322
Narotam Dass v. Sheo Pargash Singh .	. {	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 740 : L. R.,	4227
	•	11 I. A., 83.	. 2532
Narottam Jagjivan v. Narsandas Harikisandas		3 Bom., A. C., 6	2062
Narpat Singh v. Mahomed Ali Hussain Khan	• •	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 1 6 Mad., 267	3212
Narraina Tantri v. Ukkoma	• •		3321
Narrandas Hemraj v. Vissandas Hemraj .	• •	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 134	1
Narronji Bhimji v. Mugniram Chandaji .	• •	I. L. R., 6 Bom, 103	3179, 322
Narsappa Lingappa v. Sakharam Krishna .	• •	6 Bom., A. C., 215	2309
Narsidas Jitram v. Joglekar	• •	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 57	3924
Narsinbhat bin Bapubhat v. Chenapa bin Ningap	oa .	I. L. R., 2 Born., 479 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2374
Narsingdas Multan Chand v. Nahanubai		7 Bom., O. C., 183	424 4039
Narsingh Das v. Mangal Dubey		I. L. R., 5 All., 163	
v. Narain Das		I. L. R., 2 All., 763	3485
Narsingh Dass v. Najmooddin Hossein .	. 9	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 20: 10 C.	3641
-	•	L. R., 225.	3482
Narsingh Sewak Singh v. Madho Das .		I. L. R., 4 All., 274	3402
Narsing Porkaet v. Bewah		5 B. L. R., Ap., 86: 14 W. } R., 250.	4804
Narsing Rao Rama Chandra v. Luxuman Rao		I. L. R., 1 Bom., 318	905
Narsingrav Ramchandra v. Venkaji Krishna		I. L. R., 8 Bom., 395	3861
Narsinvacharya v. Svami Raya Charya .		5 Bom., A. C., 55	6077
Naru v. Gulabsing		I. L. R., 4 Bom., 83	6146
Naru Pira v. Naro Shidheshvar		I. L. R., 3 Bom., 28	5691
Nasarvanji Aspandiarji v. Shivji Manikbhai		9 Bom., 438	3782
Nasarvanji Hormasji v. Narayan Trimbak Patil		4 Bom., A. C., 125	2973, 458
Nasarvanji Pestanji v. Deputy Commissioner of Cu	ustoms	2 Bom., 2nd Ed., 75	1561
v. Nasarvanji Darasha .		2 Bom., 366: 2nd Ed., 345 .	35
Naseerooddeen Ahmed v. Oomeedeh . :		3 Agra, 1	1347
Nasibun. In the matter of the petition of .		I. L. R., 8 Calc., 534	5832
v. Preosunker Ghose		I. L. R., 8 Calc., 534	5832
OF A SOURCE OF S			

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Nasir Ali v. Government . Nasir bin Abdul Habib Fazal v. Dayabhai Itchachand Nasir Husain v. Mataprasad v. Sughra Begum .	3 Agra, 394 10 Bom., 300 1. L. R., 2 All., 891 1. L. R., 5 All., 505	5799 3290, 3291 1107 3673
Nasir Khan v. Karamat Khan	I. L. R., 3 All., 168 2 B. L. R., S. N., 7	5691 5686
Nasiruddin Khan v. Indronarayan Chowdhry .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 367: 5 W.	
Nasoo v. Mahatal Beebee	R.,93:1 Ind, Jur., N. S.,147. J 4 W. R., 7 I. L. R., 4 All., 205 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 382	3660 3651 813
Nassib Chowdry v. Nannoo Chowdhry	15 W. R., Cr., 47 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 951: 97	5 99 4
Nassir v. Chunder	W. R., Cr., 41.	6191
Nassir Jan. In the matter of the petition of .	7 B. L. R., 144: 15 W. R., 418.	5935
Natabar Nandi v. Kalidass Pali	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 608: 9 C.	5246, 5431
Natall v. Natall	L. R., 8. I. L. R., 9 Mad., 12 .	5666, 5681 1553
Natcharammal v. Gopalakrishna	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 126 .	2436
Natesa Ayyar v. Venkataramayyan	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 135 .	487
Natha Hari v. Jamni	8 Bom., A. C., 37.	1920, 4944 5403
Natha Hira v. Janardan Ram Chandra	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 503 . 8 Bom., A. C., 67 .	3307 2127, 2138
Natha Singh v. Jodha Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 406 .	141, 3328 4213, 439 7
Nathi v. Daud	2 Bom., 309: 2nd Ed., 293	4437 3331
Nathibai. In the goods of		2296, 2439
Nathmal Das v. Tajammul Husain	I. L. R., 7 All., 36 .	830
Nathooram v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co. Nathooram Shaha v. Doorga Manjee	or., 118	$6095 \\ 1588$
Nath Prasad v. Baijnath	W. R., 1864, Act X, 92 I. L. R., 3 All., 66	2863, 5671
v. Ram Paltan Ram	[. L. R., 4 All., 218	3354
Nathu v. Badri Das	[. L. R., 5 All., 614 · .	3257
Nathu Achalai Ayyangar v. Parthasaradi Pillai Nathubhai Bhailal v. Javher Raiji	L. R., 3 Mad., 114	3596 9919
Nathubhai Panachand v. Mulchand Hirachand	. L. R., 1 Bom., 121 Bom., A. C., 196	2212 2500
Nathud Bi v. Jafar Husain	. L. R., 8 Mad., 365	392
Nathu Ganesh v. Kalidas Umed	. L. R., 2 Bom., 365	5664
Nathu Lal Chowdry v. Chadi Sahi	B. L. R., A. C., 15: 12 W.	2189
Nathuni Mahton v. Manraj Mahton	R., 446. L. R., 2 Calc., 149 .	4254, 4261
Nathuram v. Phulchand	. L. R., 6 All., 581 .	4805
Nathu Sadashiv v. Ramchandra Annaji	Bom., A. C., 76	4132
Nathu Sheikh v. Queen-Empress	. L. R., 10 Calc., 405 . {	1966, 1977 1981
Nattam Venkataratnum alias Balakonda Venkata Narayana Row v. Nattam Ramaiya alias Balakonda Rama Row.	: Mad., 470	2055, 2748
Nattu Miah v. Nandrani	B. L. R., 508: 17 W. R.,	5690
Natukhee Koeri v. Chowdhry Chintamun Singh	309. 0 W. R., 247	2222, 2232
Naubat Singh v. Kishan Singh	. L. R., 3 All., 753	1472
Naunhee Koonwar v. Kustooree Koonwar	3 W. R., 141	3524
Naunhoo Singh v. Tofan Singh	4 W. R., 228	2799, 6085
	ı	

Naun Singh v. Rash Behari Singh	Volume and Page. I. L. R., 13 Calc., 255	Column of Digest.
Nauth Sahoy v. Jugdum Sahoy . Navalmal Gambhirmal v. Dhondiba bin Bhagvantram Navalram Atmaram v. Nandkishor Shivnarayan .		
Navalram Atmaram v. Nandkishor Shivnarayan	2 Hay, 499	6086 2023
	11 Bom., 155 ,	3310 2493
Navivahoo v. Narotamdas Candas	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 5 . {	1042, 309 3 5726
Navlu v. Raghu	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 303	4021
Navroji Pestanji v. Mansukh Jayachand	5 Bom., A. C., 70 1 Bom., 163	5706 306
Navsa bin Biba v. Raba bin Bahiru	23 W. R., 233	1894
l de la companya de	.21 W. R., 59 {	2741, 4065 4397
v. Heera Lall Seal	10 B. L. R., 444	458
v. Prosono Narain Deb v. Rai Coomaree Debee	2 Hyde, 236 6 W. R., 113	6236 3334, 3819
Barr Tall Chago align Tomo	6 W. R., Act X, 5.	1582
bundhoo Ghose.	5 Moore's I. A., 499	266
Nawabun v. Jumeerun	Marsh., 428	3702
Nawabunnissa v. Fuzooloonissa)	I. L. R., 4 All., 427	2297
Nawazish Aly Beg v. Vilaytee Khanum	2 Agra, 23	809
	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 293 1 Mad., 252	317 1427
Nazimoodeen Ahmed Chowdhry v. Wise	5 W. R., 282	· 1731
Nazimudin Hossein v. Lloyd	6 B. L. R., Ap., 130: 15 W. R., 232.	3118, 3395
Nazina Bibee v. Juggomohun Dutt	14 W. R., 461	3885 2029
Nazir Khan v. Proladh Dutta	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 659	3711
Nazirun. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 19: 6 C. L.	77, 163
Nazur Ali Khan v. Ojoodhyaram Khan	R., 210. 1 W. R., Mis., 13	266
Neamut Ali v. Gooroo Doss Nechterlein. In the goods of	22 W. R., 365	1739 3084
Needoo Monee Dossee v. Saroda Monee Dossee	1 B. L. R., O. C., 19	5121
Neelanund Singh v. Nusseeb Singh	6 W. R., 80	$1787 \\ 1842$
	12 B. L. R., Ap., 18	5485
Neel Madhub Dutt v. Radha Mohun	3 W. R., 205 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 356: 6 W.	4954 530, 5738
roomonee singh Deo v. dordon, stuart, & co.	R., 152.	6121
Neelmoney Singh Deo v . Chutterdhun Singh .	Marsh., 308: 2 Hay, 226 •	2003
v. Government v. Shobhan Bibee v. Shobhan Bibee	Marsh., 600	235, 5002
Noomahand Cahaa D Calant Circul	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 12	5683 214, 9804
Neem Chund Dev v. Anund Coomar Roy Chowdhry	7 W. R., 147	228, 6231
Neerbhoy Singh v. Ram Pershad Singh	18 W. R., 261	497 1462, 4518
Neet Lall v. Miller	11 W. R., 420 · ·	239
	8 W. R., 183 1. L. R., 2 All., 150	4151 2526
v. Nawal	I. L. R., 1 All., 428	2071
Mahal Cinch at Ali Alimad	13 W. R., 281	3040 291
	2 N. W., 222	4559

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nehora Roy v. Radha Pershad Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 64: 4 C. L. R., 353.	2739, 2741) 2741, 4411
Nekram Jemadar v. Iswariprasad Pachuri	5 B. L. R., 643 : 14 W. R., 305.	5879
Nellaikumaru Chetti v. Marakathammal	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 166 .	2512
Nellaya Variyath Silapani v. Vadikapat Munakel Ashtamurti Nambudri. Nellikel Edatthil Itti Pungy Achen. Ex parte	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 382	1459, 3763 4726
Nemai Charan Dhabal v. Kokil Bag	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 534: 7 C.	4805, 5801
Nemchand v. Savaichand	L. R., 487. I. L. R., 5 Bom., 84, note	6140 2839
Nem Roy v. Bharut Roy	22 W. R., 129	380
v. Lalmun Roy	25 W. R., 376	303, 4779 4815
Neomutoolah v. Govind Chunder Dutt .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 2:4 W. R., Act X, 25.	1662
Nepal Singh v. Ram Surun Singh	W. R., 1864, 5	3127, 5591
Nepoor Aurut v. Jurai	10 B. L. R., Ap., 33: 19 W. R., Cr., 73.	3740
Nesbitt. In the goods of	4 B. L. R., Ap., 49 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 410	3084 4432
Nettietom Perengaryprom <i>ulius</i> Panisherry Damodhen Nambudry v. Tayanbarry Parameshwaren Nambu- dry,	4 Mad., 472	3246
Netye Roy v. Odeet Roy	10 W. R., 241	5 386
New Beerbhoom Coal Co. v. Buloram Mahata	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 175 : 2 C. L. R., 268	3338
v. Bularam Mahata	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 175, 932 : L. R., 7 I. A., 107.	3338, 5803
New Fleming Spinning and Weaving Co. In the matter	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 439	939
of.	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 275	939
v. Kessowji Naik.	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 373	$932, 3172 \\ 5614$
Newaj v. Maksud Ali	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 131	82, 3855
Newaj Bundopadhya v. Kaliprosonno Ghose	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 543 : 8 C. L. R., 6.	1633, 4151
Newajee v. Lloyd	8 W. R., 464	1743
Newar. In the matter of	7 N. W., 230 10 B. L. R., 88: 17 W. R.,)	1533
	35: 14 Moore's I. A., 267.)	468
In re the goods of	8 B. L. R., Ap., 76 9 B. L. R., Ap., 15	5903 755
Neynum v. Muzuffer Wahid	11 W. R., 265	1752, 5358
Neypal Singh v. Guyadut	3 Agra, 311 • • • • 9 W. R., 276	1761, 5074 1875
Nga Hoong v. Queen	4 W. R., P. C., 109: 7 { Moore's I. A., 72.	2905
	Moore's 1. A., 72. 2 B. L. R., F. B., 91: 11 W.	2000
Nga Tha Yah v. Burn	R., F. B., 8.	4 130
v. Mi Khan Mhaw	5 B. L. R., 371: 13 W. R.,	683, 4274 4283
Niamat Ali v. Asmat Bibi Niamut Khan v. Bhadu Buldia	I. L. R., 7 All., 626 7 C. L. R., 227	4551
v. Phadu Buldia	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 319	5022 5022
Niamutoollah Khadim v. Himmut Ali Khadim	22 W. R., 519	137, 1844 5754, 5765

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Niamutulla v. Gopal Saha Nibarun Chunder Dass v. Bhuggobutty Churn Chatterjee Nichhabhai Pragji v. Issekhan Haji Abdula Khan Nicholls v. Wilson Nicholls v. Wilson Nidha Chowdhry v. Bundah Lall Thakoor Nidhae Singh v. Bissonath Doss Nidhi Krishna Bose v. Nistarini Dasi v. Ramdoss Sein Nidhi Lal v. Mazhar Husain v. Nidhoo Monee Joginee v. Kishen Nath Banerjee	2 Bom., 313: 2nd Ed., 297 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 560: 3 C.} L. R., 361. 9 Bom., 321 6 W. R., 289 24 W. R., 79 13 B. L. R., 416: 21 W. R., 386. 20 W. R., 341 I. L. R., 7 All., 230 I. L. R., 7 All., 436 20 W. R., 442	977 4748 3731, 4902 2066 598 1675 471 3042 5182 316, 2793 5896 3908 1629, 2747
Nidhoomoni Debya v. Saroda Pershad Mookerjee . { Nidhoo Sircar v. Phillippe Nidra Dossee v. Abdool Wahed Nidree Telhinee. In the matter of Nightingale v. Faiz-ulla Nihal Chand v. Azmat Ali Khan Nihal Chand alius Chutto Lall Dass v. Rameshari Dassee. Nihal Khan v. Hur Churn Lall .	L. R., 3 I. A., 253: 26 W. R., 91. 10 W. R., 153	2114, 2271 1758 475 3618 2944 4230, 4689 228 2190
Nihal Singh v. Kokale Singh Nijabutoolla v. Wazir Ali Nijamudin v. Mahammadali Nika Mal v. Sulaiman Shikoh Gardner Nilakandan v. Thandamma Nilakant Banerjee v. Suresh Chandra Mullick Nilambar Sen v. Kali Kishor Sen	I. L. R., 8 All., 29 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 910:10 C. L. R., 333. 4 Mad., 385 I. L. R., 2 All., 193 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 460 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 414: L. R., 12 I. A., 171. 3 B. L. R., Ap., 94:12 W.	4555 3149 3201, 3202 3904 3257, 4188 3972 1497
Nilambur Sein v. Pitambur Sein Nilatatchi v. Venkatachala Mudali Nilava kom Rachappa v. Rudraya bin Rachappa Nilayatatchi v. Venkatachalam Mudali Nil Comul Banerjee v. Mudoosoodun Chowdhry Nil Comul Lahuri v. Jotendro Mohun Lahuri Niljaree v. Mujeeboollah Nil Kamal Banerjee v. Madhusudan Chowdry	R., 28. 5 W. R., Mis., 28 1 Mad., 131 1 Bom., 141 1 Mad., 250 14 W. R., 478 1. L. R., 7 Calc., 178: 8 C. L. R., 401 19 W. R., 209 6 B. L. R., 177 7 B. L. R., 113: 15 W. R., P.	2708 50, 5754 4765 5788 5717 2143 3114 5717 3335, 3821
Nilkamal Lahuri v. Gunomani Debi Nilkant Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of the petition of Nil Kant Biswas. In the matter of Nilkant Chatterjee v. Peari Mohan Das Nilkanth v. Dattatraya Nilkanth Anaji Kargupi v. Baslinga Nilkanthapa v. Magistrate of Sholapur Nilkanth Surmah v. Bishen Bashee v. Soosela Debia	C., 38. W. R., 1864, Mis., 9 9 W. R., Cr., 29 3 B. L. R., O. C., 7: 11 W. { R., O. C., 21. I. L. R., 4 Bom., 103 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 104 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 670 6 W. R., 322 6 W. R., 324	61\$7 5588 .3882 1946, 2234 2737, 4259 3230 2097 4421 5746 1198, 6239

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nil Kant Sahoo v. Jugoo Sahoo Nilkant Sein v. Jaenooddeen Nilkissen Thakoor v. Beer Chunder Thakoor Gossai		51 4012 281
Nil Komul Chuckerbutty v. Shams Soonduree Nilkomul Ghose v. Nobin Chunder Bose Nilkomul Mookhopadhya v. Anund Chunder Lushl Nilkomul Roy v. Rohinee Dossia Nil Komul Sein v. Danesh Shaikh	6 W. R., Mis., 46	5453 1887 4097 1488, 1869 5176
Nilkomul Shaw v. Reed	12 B. L. R., 287: 17 W. R., 513.	5904
Nilkristo Deb Barmono v. Bir Chandra Thakur .	3 B., L. R., P. C., 13: 12 W. R., P. C., 21: 12 Moore's I.	2227
Nilkund Anantapa v. Menshi Apuraya Nilkunt Chuckerbutty v. Sheo Narain Koonwar Nilkunth Sahee v. Hunooman Pershad	A., 523. I. L. R., 10 Bom., 346 8 W. B., 276	2574 1762, 4904 1124
Nilkunto Dey v. Hurro Soonderee Dossee	I L. R., 3 Calc., 414: 1 C. \ L. R., 412.	418
Nillumbhur Mastophy v. Doorga Churn Biswas .	2 W. R., Act X, 94	2989
Nilmadhab Das v. Biswambhar Das	3 B. L. R., P. C., 27: 12 W. R., P. C., 29: 13 Moore's I.	2129, 2130 6076
Nilmadhab Karmokar v. Shibu Pal	A., 85. 5 B. L. R., Ap., 18:13 W. R., 3 410.	5316
Nilmadhab Singh Das v. Fatteh Chand Saha .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 310:12 W. R., 222.	4781
Nilmadhub Chuckerbutty v. Ramsodoy Ghose Nilmadhub Doss v. Bissumbhur Doss	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 857 21 W. R., 411	3312 1207, 2696
Nilmadhub Ghosal. In the matter of the petition of	f 19 W. R., Cr., 1	4736, 4740 4741
Nilmadhub Gossamee v. Chunder Mookhee Gossame Nilmadhub Mookerjee v. Dookeram Khottah Nilmadhub Mundul. Ex parte		1341 2837, 5649 3545
Nilmadhub Shaha v. Srinibash Kurmokar	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 442:9 C. L.	535
Nilmadhub Surnokar v. Kristo Doss Surnokar .	R., 137. 5 W. R., 281	3189
Nilmani Burnick v . Puddo Lochan Chuckerbutty .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 379:5 W. R., Act X, 20.	2889
Nilmani Singh v. Annadaprasad Mookerjee	1 B. L. R., F. B., 93: 10 W R., F. B., 41.	8, 4873
v. Madhab Singh Nilmonee Banerjee v. Shurbo Mungola Debee	1 B. L. R., A. C., 195 6 W. R., 14	2038 6231
Nilmonee Bose v. Mohima Chunder Dutt Nilmonee Chowdhry v. Zuheerunissa Khanum	7 W. R., 193	4527 363, 6230 1752, 4185
Nilmonee Roy v. Hills	4 W. R., Act X, 38	5325
Nilmonee Shaha v. Ram Churn Deb	6 W. R., Mis., 45	5482 2038
Nilmonee Singh v. Bukronath Singh . v. Chunder Kant Banerjee v. Gordon, Stuart, & Co.	10 W. R., 255	1582, 1620 6120
Nilmonee Singh Deo v. Chunderkant Banerjee	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 125: 25 W.	1620
v. Heera Lall Chowdhry	R., 200. 5 23 W. R., 442	1439
n. Issur Chunder Ghosal	9 W. R., 92	1076
-v. Kashee Mahtoon ·v. Ooma Churn Roy	9 W. R., 121	1382 5592 1532
	vi, oxi, av • • •	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nilmonee Singh Deo v. Rambundhoo Roy	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 757: 3 C. L. R., 211.	2950
v. Ram Huree Misser	2 W. R., 161	768 2342
Nilmonev Bhoova v. Gunga Narain Shahur	1 W. R., 334	467U
Nilmoney Sing. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 429 12 B. L. R., Ap., 8: 20 W.	
Nilmoney Singh v. Chinibas Mahanti	R., 405.	2778
v. Heera Lall Dass	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 23:8 C. L. R., 257.	1735, 4963
v. Issur Chunder Ghosal	9 W. R., 92	5
v. Ram Chuckerbutty	21 W. R., 439 · · ·	1625 1627
v. Sagurmonee Debia	12 W. R., 441	1605
Nilmoney Singh Deo v. Anunt Ram Putnaik	15 W. R., 393	2416
v. Baneshur v. Bhobany Churn Panda	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 91 Marsh., 327: 2 Hay, 305	310
v. Bhobany Churn Panda	6 W. R., 121 · ·	2058
v. Government	18 W. R., 321	5596
v. Hingu Lall Singh Deo	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 256 .	2416 137
v. Ramanoograh Roy	7 W. R., 29	1582
- v. Ramgopaul Singh Chowdhry	Marsh., 518	3491
· v. Ramjeebun Surkel	8 C. L. R., 335 16 W. R., 173	529
- v. Sharoda Pershad Mookerjee	18 W. R., 434	9
· v. Sheo Tewary .	W. R., 1864, 324 .	5593
	I. L. R., 10 Calc, 19: 13 C. L. R., 314: L. R., 10 I	4670
Nilmoni Singh Deo v. Bhoyharini Debi .	A., 80.	
v. Bukronath Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 187: L. R., 9 I. A., 104.	2041
	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 388: 10 C.	2954
v. Ram Bandhu Rai	L. R., 393 : L. R., 8 I. A., 90.	
Daw Calam Bandanadhwa	21 W. R., 154	5 58
v. Ram Golam Bondopadhya	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 295: 12 C.	1000 5000
v. Taranath Mukerjee .	L. R., 361: L. R., 9 I. A.,	1886, 5930
	174.	,
v. Umanath Mookerjee .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 19: 13 C. L. R., 314: L. R., 10 I.	4670
	80. 16 W. R., Cr., 68	978
Nilmony Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 156 .	2024
Nilmony Mookhopadhya v. Aimunissa Bibee	14 R. L. R., 382 : 23 W.	1 499
Nilmony Singh Deo v. Kalee Churn Bhuttacharjee	R., 150: L. R., 2 I. A.,	1423
zinatony binga 200 of 121100 of 11110 miles	83.	3497, 3527
v. Nilcomul Tuppadar	25 W. R., 546	5247
Nilo Pandurang v. Rama Patloji	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 35 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 24	3371, 5010
Nilo Ramchandra v. Govind Ballal	6 Bom., A. C., 75	5726
Nilowa v. Fakirappa Nilrutton Sein. In the matter of	16 W. R., Cr., 45	5552
Nilumber Sen v. Haranund Sooree	W. R., 1864, Act X, 10.	539 5092
Nilvaru v. Nilvaru	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 110	5092 2242
Nimaye Churn Poojaree v. Mooroolee Chowdhry .	1 W. R., 108	
Nimaye Churn Puteetundee v. Jogendro Nati	h) 21 W. R., 365 ·	901, 2240
Banerjee.	10 W. R., 152	6283
Nimaye Churn Sein v. Rammonee Beebee	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 458	814
Nimba Harishet v. Sitaram Parji	8 W. R., 127	5191
Nim Chand Borooah v. Mooraree Mundul	• 0	

Name of Case. Volume and Page.	of Digest.
Nimdhari Sing v. Kachun Sing	512
Ningangavda Patil v. Satyangavda Patil	2850 223 2812 5032 4337
Nirod Krishno Roy v. Woomanath Mookerjee I. L. R., 4 Calc., 718: 3 C. L. R., 234.	3558
Nirput Muhtoon v. Deep Koonwar 8 W. R., 2 Nirunjun Acharjee v. Kuralee Churn Banerjee 1 W. R., 197 Nirunjun Rai v. Rujjoo Rai 5 N. W., 166 Nirvanaya v. Nirvanaya I. L. R., 9 Bom., 365 Nishadiney Dossee v. Cally Kristo Ghose Cor., 24 Nishan Singh v. Jugdeo Singh 4 B. L. R., Ap., 97 Nissa Bibee v. Abdoor Ruhman 18 W. R., 413 Nissar Hossein v. Ramgolam Sing 25 W. R., Cr, 10 Nissar Murtojah v. Dhunwunt Roy Marsh., 609 Nistarinee v. Kalee Pershad Doss Chowdhry 21 W. R., 53 Nistarinee Debee v. Collector of 24-Pergunnahs 23 W. R., 330 Nistarinee Debia. In the matter of 7 W. R., Cr., 114	3714 3374 5379 2277 2804 4317 5130 980, 1958 2320 535 87 4238
Nistarinee Dossee v. Debnath Bose	763
Nistarini Dasi v. Bonomali Chatterjee . R., 278. v. Makhan Lal Dutt 9 B. L. R., 11: 17 W. R., 322.	1622 1442, 2430 4858
Nistarini Debi v. Brojo Nath Mookhopadhya Nistariny Dossee v. Anundmoye Dossee Nitai Charan Pyne v. Ganga Dasi 4 B. L. R., O. C., 265, note	3909, 4970 3325 2149
Nitai Shaha v. Shubal Shaha	1094
Nitambini Chowdhrain v. Shashi Mukhi Chowdhrain 4 B. L. R., Ap., 24: 12 W. R., 518.	3564
Nitaram v. Nanuck Dass	6282
Nitia Nund v. Mya Run	3981
R., P. C., 51.	4173, 4657 580
Nitressur Singh v. Jhottee Tely	429 3246
Nittanund Roy v. Bydonath Mohapathur	5079 2112 3237, 3331 677 2413 6031 3509 1504 1843 5089 680, 1240 2930, 2971 982 6199

	Application of the second	
Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of
Name of Case,		Digest.
	OW D 455	0494
Nitye Laha v. Soondaree Dossee	9 W. R., 475	2434 5758
Nivath Singh v. Bhikki Singh	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 97	2671
Nixon v. Chartered Mercantile Bank	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 256	5954
Nizam of Hyderabad. In re	6 N. W., 338	3677
Nizam-ud-din v. Zaheda Bibi	3 B. L. R., A. C., 78: 11 W. }	
Nizamut Ali v. Ramesh Chandra Roy {	R., 430.	330
Noban Nusya v . Dhon Mahomed	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 820: 6 C. L. R., 136.	4797
Nobbo Doorga Debee v. Kistareenee Dossee	1 W. R., 48 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 349: 2 W.	536
Nobbo Kissen Singh v. Kaminee Dassee	R., Mis., 35 : Bourke, A. O. C., 38.	3150
Nobeen Chunder Dutt v. Madhub Chunder Mundul	5 W. R., 225	5238
37 1 G1 1 G1 . D . G	W. R., 1864, 63	696
Nobeen Chunder Ghose v. Ram Gogenath Gope Nobeen Chunder Sil v. Bhobosoonduri Dabee	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 460	4669
Nobeen Kishen Bose v. Shofatoollah	1 W. R., 24	4148
Nobeen Kishen Mookerjee v. Shib Pershad Pattack	7 W. R., 490	2794, 2796
v. Shib Pershad Pattuck .	8 W. R., 96	536
v	8 W. R., 96; upheld on review, 9 W. R., 161.	3010, 5311
7.	9 W. R., 161	5128
Nobeen Kishore Roy v. Jogesh Prokash Gangooly	10 W. R., 272	41
Nobin Chand Banerjee. In the matter of the petition of	25 W. R., Cr., 32	6270
Nobin Chandra Roy v. Magantara Dassya	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 924	5016
Nobin Chunder v. Buroda Kant Shaha	19 W. R., 341	5659
Nobin Chunder Banikya v. Empress	10 C. L. R., 369	5165, 5945
Nobin Chunder Chowdhry v. Dokhobala Dasi	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 686	2361
v. Gridharee Lall	11 W. R., 264	5141
Nobin Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Gurupersad Doss	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1008 .	3397
butty. v. Issur Chunder Chucker-	9 W. R., 505	3397
Nobin Chunder Dey v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 11: 24 W. R., 309.	5218
Nobin Chunder Doss v. Nim Chand Doss	17 W. R., 191	5064
Nobin Chunder Dutt. In the matter of	17 W. R., Cr., 50	5163
v. Modun Mohun Pal . {	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 677: 9 C. L. ?	4463
	R., 233.	568 3
Nobin Chunder Gossamee v. Dribo Moyee Debee	17 W. R., 520	4496, 4501
Nobin Chunder Koondoo v. Jogendronath Bhuttarcharjee	25 W. R., Cr., 18	6096
v. Sreedhur Bhuttacharjee	15 W. R., 24	3194
Nobin Chunder Kurr v. Rojomoye Dossee	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 264 · ·	1069
Nobin Chunder Mookerjee v. Prosunno Coomar Banerjee	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 126	4953
Nobin Chunder Mozoomdar v. Jutadharee Holdar	2 W. R., 289	3199, 3236
Nohin Chunder Muddack To the metical		4381
Nobin Chunder Mudduck. In the matter of the petition of.	22 W. R., Cr., 46	1
Nobin Chunder Perdhan v. Janardhun Misser	W. R., F. B., 67	2283
Nobin Chunder Pooshalee v. Rungo Chunder Chatterjee	22 W. R., 363 · · ·	288
Nobin Chunder Roy v. Brojendro Coomer Roy	12 C. L. R., 541	3176
v. Goora Gobind Surmah	14 W. R., 447	1105
v. Rup Lall Das	I. L R., 9 Calc., 377	1162
Nobin Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Bhowanee Pershad Doss.	W. R., 1864, Act X, 52	532, 2792
v. Pearee Khanum	3 W. R., 143	5104
v. Radha Pearee Debia .	6 W. R., 17	1993
		1

Nobin Chunder Shaha v. Raj Narain Shaha Nobin Chunder Shaha v. Surcop Chunder Doss 6 W. R., 382 6 W. R., 382 1600 16			
Chuckerbutty	Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	of
Nobin Chunder Shahoo v. Surroop Chunder Doss Nobin Chunder Sircar v. Gour Chunder Shaha Nobin Chunder Wodro v. Kedar Nath Chuckerbutty Nobin Chundra Banikya. In the matter of the petition of. Nobin Kisher Roy v. Jages Prasad Gangopadya Nobin Kisher Roy v. Jages Prasad Gangopadya Nobin Kisher Roy v. Jages Prasad Gangopadya Nobin Krishna Bose v. Mon Mohun Bose Nobin Krishna Bose v. Mon Mohun Bose Nobin Krishna Bose v. Mon Mohun Bose Nobin Krishna Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of. Nobin Krishna Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of. Nobin Kristo Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of		22 W. R., 46	576, 3014
Nobin Chunder Vodro v. Kedar Nath Chuckerbutty Nobin Chundra Banikya. In the matter of the petition of. Nobin Kalee Debea v. Bindobashinee Debea L. R., 368. 5669 10 C. 346, 115. 5684		6 W. R., 328	
Nobin Chunder Vodro v. Kedar Nath Chuckerbutty Nobin Chundra Banikya. In the matter of the petition of	Nobin Chunder Sircar v. Gour Chunder Shaha .		1602
Nobin Kalee Debea v. Bindobashinee Debea Nobin Kishor Roy v. Jages Prasad Gangopadya Nobin Kishor Roy v. Jages Prasad Gangopadya Nobin Krishna Bose v. Mon Mohun Bose	Nobin Chundra Banikya. In the matter of the peti-	16 W. R., 228	346, 1154
Nobin Krishna Bose v. Mon Mohun Bose	Nobin Kalee Debea v. Bindobashinee Debea	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 5	5684
Nobin Krishna Chakravati v. Ram Kumar Chakravati R., 90. S674 R., 90.	Nobin Krishna Bose v. Mon Mohun Bose	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 573: 9 C. L. ?	l .
Nobin Krishna Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of. U. L. R., 10 Calc., 194 1802, 5156 1. L. R., 10 Calc., 194 1802, 5156 1802, 5	Nobin Krishna Chakravati v. Ram Kumar Chakravati	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 605: 9 C. L.	5674
V. Chairman, Suburban Municipality. V. Rassick Lall Laha L. L. R., 10 Calc., 194 1802, 515		1	489
Nobin Kristo Mookerjee	v. Chairman, Suburban	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 194	489
Nobin Mohun Ghossal v. Gopal Chunder Mookerjee Nobo Chunder Banerjee v. Ishur Chunder Mitter Nobo Coomar Biswas v. Oman Nobo Coomar Biswas v. Oman Nobo Coomar Biswas v. Oman Nobo Coomar Chuckerbutty v. Koylashchunder Barcocc Nobo Coomar Chuckerbutty v. Koylashchunder Barcocc Nobo Coomar Chuckerbutty v. Koylashchunder Barcocc Nobo Coomar Ghose v. Kishen Chunder Roy Nobo Coomar Ghose v. Kishen Chunder Banerjee Nobodeep Chandra Shaw. In the matter of the petition of. Nobodeep Chunder Chowdhry v. Brojendro Lall Roy Nobodeep Chunder Shaw. In the matter of the petition of. Nobodeep Chunder Shaw. In the matter of the petition of. Nobodeep Chunder Sircar v. Lalla Seeb Loll Nobodeep Chunder Biswas. In the matter of the petition of. Nobodoorga. In the matter of the petition of. Nobodoorga Dossee v. Foyzbux Chowdhry Nobo Gopal Sircar v. Srinath Bundopadhya Nobo Kanth Dey v. Borodakanth Roy Nobo Kishen Bose v. Mazamooddeen Ahmed Chowdhry Nobo Kishore Chuckerbutty. In the matter of the Mobokishore Chuckerbutty. In the matter of the Nobokishore Chuckerbutty. In the matter of the Nobokishore Chuckerbutty. In the matter of the Nobokishore Dass v. Protap Chunder Banerjea N	v. Rassick Lali Laha	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1047	1802, 5150
Nobin Mohun Ghossal v. Gopal Chunder Mookerjee Nobo Chunder Banerjee v. Ishur Chunder Mitter Nobocoomar Biswas v. Oman	of.	I. L R. 10 Calc., 268	1302, 1309
Nobo Coomar Bose. In the matter of	Nobin Mohun Ghossal v. Gopal Chunder Mockerjee Nobo Chunder Banerjee v. Ishur Chunder Mitter	12 W. R., 254	2776
Nobo Coomar Chuckerbutty v. Koylashchunder Barooce 17 W. R., 518 3182, 570 Nobo Coomar Doss v. Gobind Chunder Roy 9 C. L. R., 305 1748, 449 Nobo Coomar Ghose v. Kishen Chunder Banerjee W. R., 1864, Act X, 112 1636 Nobodoeop Chandra Shaw. In the matter of the petition of. In L. R., 6 Calc., 94 3345 Nobodeep Chunder Chowdhry v. Brojendro Lall Roy In L. R., 7 Calc., 406: 9 C. L. R., 117. R., 117. Nobodeep Chunder Shaw. In the matter of the petition of. In L. R., 4 Calc., 592: 3 C. L. R., 359 1639 Nobodeep Chunder Sircar v. Lalla Seeb Loll In L. R., 13 Calc., 68 2661 Nobodoep Chunder Biswas. In the matter of the petition of. In L. R., 8 Calc., 868 665 Nobodoorga. In the matter of the petition of. In L. R., 1 Calc., 200: 24 W. R., 40. 1636 Nobo Gopal Roy v. Amrit Moyee Dossee In L. R., 8 Calc., 868 665 Nobo Kanth Dey v. Borodakanth Roy In W. R., 338 11 C. L. R., 37: 11 C. R.,	Nobo Coomar Bose. In the matter of	12 B. L. R., 457, note: 17 W.)	
R., 117. L. R., 4 Calc., 592 : 3 C. L. R., 359. R., 359. R., 137. L. L. R., 13 Calc., 68 R., 359. R., 359	Nobo Coomar Doss v. Gobind Chunder Roy v. Kewata Mug Nobo Coomar Ghose v. Kishen Chunder Banerjee Nobocoomar Mookhopadhya v. Siru Mullick Nobodeep Chandra Shaw. In the matter of the peti-	17 W. R., 518 9 C. L. R., 305 10 B. L. R., 358 W. R., 1864, Act X, 112 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 94 1 B. L. R., 0. C., 47	3345
Nobodeep Chunder Shaha v. Sonaram Dass Nobodeep Chunder Shaw. In the matter of R., 359. I. L. R., 13 Calc., 68 2661 Nobodeep Chunder Sirkar. In the matter of the petition of. Nobodeep Chunder Biswas. In the matter of the petition of. Nobodoorga. In the matter of the petition of Nobodoorga. In the matter of the petition of I. L. R., 8 Calc., 868 665 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Nobodeep Chunder Chowdhry v. Brojendro Lall Roy		2955, 4970
Nobodeep Chunder Sircar v. Ialla Seeb Loll Nobodeep Chunder Sircar v. Ialla Seeb Loll Nobodeep Chunder Sircar v. Ialla Seeb Loll Nobodeep Chunder Sirkar. In the matter of the petition of. Nobodip Chunder Biswas. In the matter of the petition of. Nobodoorga. In the matter of the petition of Nobo Doorga Dossee v. Foyzbux Chowdhry Nobo Gopal Roy v. Amrit Moyee Dossee Nobo Gopal Sircar v. Srinath Bundopadhya Nobo Kanth Dey v. Borodakanth Roy Nobo Kishen Bose v. Mazamooddeen Ahmed Chowdhry Nobo Kishore Chuckerbutty. In the matter of Nobokishore Dass v. Protap Chunder Banerjea I. L. R., 13 Calc., 68 Marsh., 325 11 W. R., Cr., 2 1261 Narsh., 325 128 1262 1263 1264 1264 1265 1266	Nobodeep Chunder Shaha v. Sonaram Dass .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 592 : 3 C. L.	1639
Nobodip Chunder Biswas. In the matter of the petition of. Nobodoorga. In the matter of the petition of	Nobodeep Chunder Sircar v. Lalla Seeb Loll .	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 68 Marsh., 325	8
Nobodoorga. In the matter of the petition of Nobodoorga. In the matter of the petition of Nobo Doorga Dossee v. Foyzbux Chowdhry 7 C. L. R., 387 4665 Nobo Doorga Dossee v. Foyzbux Chowdhry 1. L. R., 1 Calc., 200 : 24 W. R., 40. 24 W. R., 428 2411 Nobo Gopal Sircar v. Srinath Bundopadhya 1. L. R., 8 Calc., 877 : 11 C. L. R., 37. 5324 Nobo Kanth Dey v. Borodakanth Roy 1 W. R., 100 5874 Nobo Kishen Bose v. Mazamooddeen Ahmed Chowdhry Nobo Kishore Chuckerbutty. In the matter of Nobokishore Dass v. Protap Chunder Banerjea 10 C. L. R., 534 1541	Nobodip Chunder Biswas. In the matter of the peti-		1
Nobo Doorga Dossee v. Foyzbux Chowdhry { I. L. R., 1 Calc., 200 : 24 W. R., 4066 Nobo Gopal Roy v. Amrit Moyee Dossee			
Nobo Gopal Roy v. Amrit Moyee Dossee	Nobo Doorga Dossee v. Foyzbux Chowdhry .	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 200 : 24 W.	4966
Nobo Kanth Dey v. Borodakanth Roy 1 W. R., 100	Nobo Gopal Roy v. Amrit Moyee Dossee	24 W. R., 428	2411
Nobo Kanth Dey v. Borodakanth Roy 1 W. R., 100 \$ 549, 1390 5874 Nobo Kishen Bose v. Mazamooddeen Ahmed Chowdhry Nobo Kishore Chuckerbutty. In the matter of	Nobo Gopal Sircar v. Srinath Bundopadhya .		5324
Nobo Kishen Bose v. Mazamooddeen Ahmed Chowdhry Nobo Kishore Chuckerbutty. In the matter of 7 C. L. R., 291 Nobokishore Dass v. Protap Chunder Banerjea	Nobo Kanth Dey v. Borodakanth Roy	1	549, 1390 5874
	Nobo Kishen Bose v. Mazamooddeen Ahmed Chowdhry Nobo Kishore Chuckerbutty. In the matter of Nobokishore Dass v. Protap Chunder Banerjea	19 W. R., 338 7 C. L. R., 291 1 C. L. R., 534	1625 4361, 4492

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Nobokishore Sarma Roy v. Harinath Sarma Roy .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1102 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 349: 2 W.	2490
Nobo Kissen Singh v. Kaminee Dassee	R., Mis., 35: Bourke, A. O. C., 38.	3150
Nobo Kisto Koondoo v. Mahomed Sheikh }	10 B. L. R., Ap., 30: 19 W. R., 202.	579
Nobo Kristo Bhunj v. Rajbullub Bhunj Nobokristo Mojoomdar v. Tara Monee Nobo Kristo Mookerjee v. Parbutty Churn Bhuttacharjee Nobokristo Mukerjee v. Secretary of State for India . Nobokristo Sircar v. Kalachand Doss	3 W. R., 134 12 W. R., 320 13 W. R., 23 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 632 12 W. R., 470 5 W. R., 191	3330 1625, 4149 1454 495 322 4206
Nocoor Chunder Bose v. Kally Coomar Ghose	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 328	3133, 3235 3306
Nocoordass Mullick v. Jewraj Baboo	12 B. L. R., 263	2993
Nocury Lall Chuckerbutty v. Bindabun Chunder Chuckerbutty.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 708	1166
Noferdoss Roy v. Modhu Soondari Burmonia .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 732 : 5 C. L. R., 551.	2489
Nogender Chunder Ghose v. Mahomed Esof	10 B. L. R., 406:18 W. R., 113	38
Nogender Chundro Ghose v. Dossee	12 Moore's I. A., 107 8 W. R., P. C., 17	4633 2516, 5360
Noggendro Chundro Mittro v. Kishen Soondory Dassee	19 W. R., 133	1411, 2112
Nohur v. Jaffer Hossein	2 N. W., 195	4439
Noimollah Pramanick v. Grish Narain Moonshee Nojabut Ali Chowdhry v. Moha Busseeroollah Chowdhry	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 674 11 B. L. R., 42: 20 W. R., 5	5791 815, 5448
Nolita Mohan Roy Chowdhry v. Dinonath Mookerjee	13 B. L. R., 427, note .	5130
Nolitmohun Doss. In the matter of	11 B. L. R., Ap., 33	2664
Nomaz Mollah v. Lall Mohun Tagadgeer	15 W. R., 279	1356
Nomullu Akond. In the matter of	11 C. L. R., 416	50 2 3180
Nona v. Dhoomun Dass	5 N. W., 30 4 N. W., 135	5469
Nonkoo Ram v. Woojogur Roy	5 W. R., Act X, 68	6280
Nonco Singh Monda v. Anand Singh Monda	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 291	4875
Noor Ahmed. In the matter of	17 W. R., 338	4443
Vaccal Hassin at Port Courses School	2 N. W., 189	3749 5479
Nooral Hossein v. Ram Coomar Sahee Noor Ali v. Imteazoodeen Khan	25 W. R., 326	2976
Noor Ali v. Imteazoodeen Khan Noor Ali Chowdhuri v. Koni Mean	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 13	571
Noor Ali Mian Khondkar v. Ashanullah	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 608	1638
Noor Ally v. Lalla Sooklall Sing	W. R., 1864, 347	318
Noor Bibee v. Naivas Khan	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 221	3645 5835, 583 7
- v. Rumzan	24 W. R., 198	5851
Noor Buksh v. Mean Jan	6 W. R., Act X, 60	2889
Noor Bux Kazi v. Empress	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 279: 7 C. L. R., 385.	10 23, 6 26 7
Noor Jehan Begum v. Mashitty Khanum	8 C. L. R., 7	419
Noor Mahomed. In the matter of the petition of	25 W. R., Cr., 31.	1535
v. Nilrutun Bagchee v. Zuhoor Ally	18 W. R., Cr., 2 11 W. R., 34	4733 2773
Noor Mahomed Mundul v. Hurriprosonno Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 75	1657
Noor-ool-Hossein v. Mooneeram	4 N. W., 103	3730
Noorul Hossein v. Omatool Fatima	25 W. R., 34	5470
Noorul Hossein alias Waheed Jan v. Fabre-Tonnerre	24 W. R., Cr., 26	15, 5289
Noorun v. Khoda Buksh	1 Agra, 50	1500, 4915

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Norender Narain Singh v. Dwarkalal Mundur .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 397: 1 C. L.	4009
Noronarain Roy v. Neemaeechand Neogy	R., 369 : L. R., 5 I. A., 18. 6 W. R., 303	3696
Norrotom Sikdar v. Juggernath Shaw	Bourke, O. C., 371 3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 39: 12 W.	5265
Northern Assam Tea Company. In the matter of	R., Cr., 29.	492
Noshai Mistri v. Empress	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 958: 6 C. L. R., 353.	1012
Nosheeram Roy v. Shushee Bhooshun Roy	5 W. R., 169	3160 161
Noubat Rai v. Bajrangi Lal Noujan v. Queen Noubabab Scottan Regum v. Nubeerah Scottan Begun	6 N. W., 412 7 Mad., 375	5551
	3 Agra, 44	4387
Nousha Begum v. Umrao Begum	7 N. W., 60	3656, 5010
Nowab Begum v. Rustum Khan	2 Agra, 149	4314
Nowab Khan v. Rughoonath Doss	20 W. R., 474	5764
Nowab Singh v. Kokil Singh	24 W. R., Cr., 70 .	1306
Nowaraja Chowdhry v. Ram Kanaye Doss	W. R., 1864, 374 7 W. R., 330	3949 3131
Nowa Tewaree. In re	6 W. R., Cr., 80	1255
Nowbat Roy v. Lala Kedar Nath	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 219	79
Nowbut Rai v. Bhagmanee	2 Agra, 5	2205
Nowbut Ram v. Durbaree Singh	2 Agra, 145	1678, 2387
Nowbut Singh v. Chutter Dharee Singh	10 W/D 999	3364 5764
v. Lad Kooer	5 N W 109	2438, 5805
		6111
Nowcouree Chunder Surmah v. Birmomoyee Dabea	3 W. R., 169	3770
Nowcowree Mundul v. Mookta Bibee Nowindh Singh v. Sohun Kooer	2 W. R., 181	5780 4160
Nowlakhu Kunwari. In re	0 D T D 900	2446
Nowla Ooma v. Bala Dhurmaji	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 91	5716
Nowlas Koonwer v. Shiva Suhai	1 Agra, Rev., 65	1603
Nowlaso Kooeree v. Lalljee Modi	2 W. R., 202	2373
Nowlas Koonwer v. Shiva Suhai Nowlaso Kooeree v. Lalljee Modi Nowrjee Nusserwanjee v. Johannes	1 Hyde, 293	5880
Nowrutton Koer v. Gouree Dutt Singh	6 W. R., 193	2154
Noyna Misser v. Rupikun	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 609: 12 C.	54, 3024
Nozumuddy v. Hasmi Khan	L. R., 300. 21 W. R., Cr., 54	2925
Nubbee Buksh v. Hingon	8 W. R., 412	1103
	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 168: 7 C.	
Nubbi Buksh v. Chasni	L. R., 282.	229
Nubee Buksh. In the matter of	7 W. R., 481	4432
v. Ram Suhai	1 Agra, Rev., 57	1645
Nubee Buksh alias Golam Nubee v. Kaloo Lushker	22 W. R., 4	3728
Nubeen Chunder Bullub v. Bhoobun Chunder Mundul	15 W. R., 526	5282 919 4945
Nubeen Churder Paul v. Stephenson	15 W. R., 534	313, 4245 4246, 4283
21 dicent Changer Faut of Diephenson	10 11. 18., 002	4403
Nubo Coomar Chatterjee v. Ramdhun Chatterjee .	15 W. R., 266	6173
Nubo Coomar Ghose v. Oozir Shikdar	23 W. R., 238	4171
Nubo Doorga v. Seetamonee	23 W. R., 407	5242
Nubo Doorga Dossia v. Dwarka Nath Roy	24 W. R., 301	3067
Nubo Kant Roy Chowdhry v. Mahatab Bibee	20 W. R., 164	3635
Nubo Kishen Mookerjee. In the matter of	11 W. R., 188	3457
v. Debnath Roy Chowdhry	22 W. R., 194	847, 1911 564
v. Hurish Chunder Banerjee v. Kalachand Mookerjee	7 W. R., 142	564 1600, 2978
v. Maiaunanu mouncijee	20 (T 1. 20) 200 · ·	1000, 2010

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Nubo Kishen Mookerjee v. Sreeram Roy Nubo Kishen Roy v. Gobind Chunder Sein Nubo Kishore Biswas v. Jadub Chunder Sircar	15 W. R., 255 6 W. R., 317 20 W. R., 426	3000 5983
Nubo Kishore Bose v. Pandul Sircar Nubo Kishore Doss v. Joy Doorga Dossee Nubo Kishore Mojoomdar v. Anund Mohun Mojoom-	8 W. R., 312 22 W. R., 189	5130, 5141 1596 6199 1473, 1927
dar. Nubo Kishore Mundul v. Fukeer Paramanick Nubokisto Mookerjee v. Ramessur Goopto Nubo Kristo Mojoomdar v. Tara Monee	. 17 W. R., 558 . 18 W. R., 412, note . 12 W. R., 320	2707 1660 568
Nubo Kristo Mookerjee v. Nadiar Chund Hattee . Nubo Lall Khan v. Maharanee of Burdwan .	12 W. R., 374 9 W. R., 590	1625, 4149 767 5621
Nubo Tarinee Dossee v. Gray Nubudeep Chunder Sircar v. Lalla Sheeb Loll	. 11 W. R., 7	4923, 4925 4937 525
Nubungo Moonjuree Dabea v. Goluckmonee Dabea Nudar Chand Bhooya v. Reedoy Mundul	Marsh., 45: 1 Hay, 76 11 B. L. R., 424, note: 17	3984 5130
Nuddea Kishore Doss v. Bungshee Mohun Doss . Nuddear Chand Poddar v. Modhoosoodun Dey Poddar .	W. R., 458. 17 W. R., 210 7 W. R., 153	5468, 5477 3036
Nuddear Chand Sein v. Kishoree Lall Chuckerbutty Nuddyabashee Doss v. Reza Chowdhry	7 W. R., 463	4590, 4792 4821
Nuddyar Chand Shaha v. Gobind Chunder Guha v. Meajan	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1092 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 820	1896 1473, 186 7 3019
Nudiar Chand Shaha v. Prannath Shaha Nudyar Chand Chuckerbutty v. Roop Doss Banerjee Nuffar Ali Biswas v. Rameshar Bhonick	21 W. R., 8 22 W. R., 475 3 C. L. R., 28	1358, 4858 4004 3840
Nuffar Chunder Shaha v. Gossain Jysingh Bharuttee . Nuffer Chunder Banerjee v. Guddadhur Mundle .	3 W. R., Act X, 144 3 W. R., 122	1592, 159 7 5361
Nuffer Chunder Bhutto v. Jotendro Mohun Tagore Nuffer Chunder Paul v. Nuduroonissa Beebee	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 505 : 8 C. L. R., 553. 9 W. R., 387	1571 1198, 1881
Nuffer Chunder Paul Chowdry v. Poulson	12 B. L. R., 53: 19 W. R., 175.	4997
Nuffer Chunder Shah v. Gunga Dutt Bharutty Nuffer Mytee v. Monohur Sirdar	14 W. R., 410 11 W. R., 190 13 W. R., 334	5980 1658 541
Nuffur Chunder Chunder v. Khoodeeram Poramanick . Nuffur Merdha v. Ram Lall Adhicary	24 W. R., 434 15 W. R., 308	5808 3551
Nufisa Banoo v. Mahomed Sufdar Nufur Chunder Paul Chowdhry v. Luckheemonee Dabee Nufusa v. Mahomed Akbar Gazee	24 W. R., 336	1406 5018 4212
Nugender Chunder Ghose v. Dossee v. Kaminee Dossee	8 W. R., P. C., 17	2516, 5360 2516, 5360
Nugendro Chunder Ghose v. Musruff Bibee	3 W. R., 213 15 W. R., 17	4956 2883, 5331 1341, 1342
Nugendur Narain v. Rughoonath Narain Dey Nugur Mull v. Azeemollah	W. R., 1864, 20 . 1 N. W., 146 : Ed. 1873, 228	2318, 5020 1812
Nujeeboonissa v. Zumeerun Nujeemooddeen Ahmed v. Hosseinee Nujeeni Mullick v. Erfan Mollah	11 W. R., 426 4 W. R., 110 22 W. R., 298	3633 3649
Nujmooddeen Ahmed v. Abdool Azeez	15 W. R., 95 10 W. R., 45	849 5482 333, 3633
Nujmooddeen Hossein v. Lloyd . Nujuf Ali v. Patterson	15 W. R., 232 2 N. W., 103	3395 5253

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nujuf Ali v. Patterson Nukanna v. Ramasami Nukchad Singh v. Hunooman Dutt Singh Nullit Biswas v. Prosunno Moyee Dossee Nullit Chunder Gohoo v. Bagola Soonduree Dossee Number v. Ambu Nund Coomar Bannerjee v. Barry Nund Coomar Foutehdar v. Bunso Gopal Sahoy	2 N. W., 104 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 218 10 W. R., 69 17 W. R., 179 21 W. R., 249 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 381 Marsh., 121: 1 Hay, 260 23 W. R., 342	4126 3521 5800 2704 2338 962 4898 1895, 1927
Nund Coomar Lall v. Razeeooddeen Hossein .	10 B. L. R., 183 : 18 W.]	2152
Nund Coomar Singh v. Gunga Pershad v. Gunga Pershad Narain Singh Nund Doolal Sircar v. Dwarkanath Biswas Nund Duntpat v. Tara Chund Pritheebaree Nundeeput Mahatah v. Urquhart Nundeeput Mohatoon v. Stewart Nundeeram Singh v. Inderchund Dogare	3 W. R., 207 10 W. R., 94 2 W. R., 9 2 W. R., Act X, 13 9 W. R., 355 23 W. R., 20 Cor., 89	1145 4154, 5173 3183 1760 4324 5612 1386
Nunde Lall v. Tayler	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 55: 5	472
Nund Kishore v. Nuthoo Ram	R., 37. 1 Agra, 223	144
Nund Kishore Doss v. Maharaja of Burdwan .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 947: W. R., 236.	3447
Nund Kishore Lal v. Kureem Buksh Khan . Nund Kishore Lall v. Mohun Lall v. Sheo Dyal Oopadhya . Nund Kishore Singh v. Huree Pershad Mundul v. Ismed Kooer v. Ram Kishore Singh Deb Nund Koomar Lall v. Shumboo Singh Nund Lall v. Baluk v. Kullianabuttee Nund Lall Bose v. Aboo Mahomed v. Abu Syed Nund Lall Poys v. Gooroo Churn Bose Nund Lall Roy v. Gooroo Churn Bose Nund Lall Syethers beginnt to Section	5 W. R., Act X, 62 22 W. R., 164 11 W. R., 168 13 W. R., 64 20 W. R., 189 17 W. R., 359 8 W. R., 34 2 Agra, 122 Marsh., 209: 1 Hay, 532 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 597: 5 C. L. R., 45 5 C. L. R., 45 11 W. R., 244 15 W. R., 6	1582 5808 4193 5044, 5216 3012 2746 3425 3079, 3982 3894 3352, 4888 4888 5434 5308
Nund Lall Scotrodhor v. Bhagirutty Scotran Nund Mohun Chowdhry v. Goluck Nath Neogee. v. Manikarnika Chowdhrain Nund Mohun Chuttoraj v. Rohinee Debia Nundo Coomar Bukshee v. Koonjo Kishore Roy Nundo Coomar Moharica v. Lore Churche Director	10 W. R., Cr., 31	975 6236 4610 202 7 5622
Nundo Coomar Mookerjee v. Issur Chunder Bhutta- charji. Nundo Gopal Roy v. Jankeeram Chuckerbutty . Nundo Kishore Haldar v. Anundo Chunder Chatterjee	12 B. L. R., Ap., 9 W. R., 1864, 316	3469 3223 5151
Nundo Kishore Lall v. Ramsookhee Kooer	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 215: 4 C.	3211
Nundo Kishore Singh v. Lalla Burjun Lall . Nundo Kumaree Peshagur v. Anund Mohun Gooho Nundo Lal Bose v. Corporation for the Town of Calcutta.	L. R., 361. 15 W. R., 154 24 W. R., Cr., 68 1. L. R., 11 Calc., 275	1448 4104 652, 691
Nundo Lall Bhuttacharjee v. Bidhoo Mookhy Debee Nundolall Mitter v. Prosunno Moyee Debia . Nundo Lall Mookerjee v. Chunder Kant Mookerjee	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 17 19 W. R., 333 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 356	5039 1823, 2747 383
Nundo Pershad Thakur v. Gopal Thakur	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1008 . {	3712, 3726
Nund Pandah v. Gyadhur	10 W. R., 89	3727 17 4 3

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nund Ram v. Chootoo Nundram Dyaram v. Dula Bhaee Kurparam	1 Agra, 255 1 Moore's I. A., 414	2342, 6102 3123
Nundram Ghose v. Mannoo Bibee	10 W. R., 177	4787
Nundun Lall v. Lloyd	22 W. R., 74 7 W. R., 188	1163, 1179
Nundun Misser v. Chittur Buttee	21 W. R., 446	3799
Nundun Singh v. Koolsoom	W. R., 1864, 377	5837 3127, 3128
Nunhoo Mahtoon v. Teeloco Kooer	18 W. R., 313	5779
Nunhoo Sahoo v. Boodhoo Jummadur .	13 W. R., 2	1689
Nunhoo Shahee v. Rampershad Narain Singh	21 W. R., 38	1172
Nunhuk Sahoo v. Juggessur Oopadhya	20 W. R., 408	5399
Nunkee alias Parbuttee v. Besessurnath Nunkoo Lall v. Dhunesh Kooer.	3 Agra, 428	1709
Nunkoo Singh v. Purm Dhun Singh	17 W. R., 497	1923
Nura Bibi v. Jagatnarain	I. L. R., 8 All., 295	675, 2126 3444, 4188
Nuraddin Mahomed v. Asgar Ali	12 C. L. R., 312 .	3726
Nur Ahmad v. Altaf, Ali	I. L. R., 2 All., 58 .	439, 5581
Nurbibi v. Husen Lal	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 537 .	5685
Nureem Mundle v. Boramdy Sirdar	25 W. R., 208	5093
Nurendro Narain Roy v. Narain Singh Roy	22 W. R., 296	174 8, 19 85
Nurjahan v. Marfan Mundul	11 C. L. R., 91	6081
Nurjoon Sahoo v. Moojeerooddeen Nur Kadir v. Zuleikha Bibi	3 W. R., 6	3106
Nurkoo Ram v. Ramjoorawun Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 649 . 9 W. R., 481	3686
Nur Mohammed v. Thakoor Bibi	1 B. L. R., S. N., 18	5370 3162
Nur Muhammad v. Abubakar Ibrahim Meman	8 Bom., O. C., 29	425
Nuronarain Doss v. Brojo Mohun Doss .	W. R., 1864, 70 .	1137
Nuronath Das Roy v. Goda Kolita	20 W. R., 342	2347
Nurrender Narain Singh v. Sreenarain Doss	18 W. R., 262	497
Nurrohurry Mohonto v. Narainee Dossee	1 Ind. Jur., O., S. 9: W. R.,	145,6108
Nursey Kessowji. In the matter of the petition of	F. B., 23: 1 Hay, 234.	=
Nursey Spinning and Weaving Co. In the matter of	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 270 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 92	2664 937
Nursingdas Rughunathdas v. Tulsiram bin Doulatram	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 558	569 3
Nursingh Churn Sein v. Bidya Dhuree Dossee .	2 W. R., 275	819
Nursingh Deb v. Ram Mohun Mookerjee	Marsh., 176: 1 Hay, 379	4406, 6246
v. Roy Koylasbnath	9 Moore's I. A., 55 .	5503
Nursingh Doss v. Kumrooddeen	20 W. R., 412	5242
v. Moosharoo Bhandaree	25 W. R., 282	3234
v. Narain Doss .	3 N. W., 217	916, 2364
	26 W. R., 17	2387, 333 3 2372
37 1 3 70 3 ** 1 ** 1	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 897 : 6 C. L. }	
Nursingh Doyal v. Hurryhur Saha	R., 489.	3140, 3235
Nursingh Gariwan v. Puttoo Ostagur	20 W. R., 420	384
Nursingh Narain v. Bhuttun Lall	W. R., 1864, 194.	2132, 2286
Nursingh Narain Singh v. Ameerun	22 W. R., 551	23Q9 3377
v. Roghoobur Singh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 609 .	5400
Nursingh Narayan Singh v. Lukputty Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 333 .	3080
Nur Singh Roy v. Anderson	16 W. R., 21	3840
Nursingh Roy v. Anderson	19 W. R., 125	3839, 5 792
Nurubdessur Pershad Roy v. Jungole.	24 W. R., 49	581
Nur-ul-hasan v. Muhammad Hasan	I. L. R., 8 All., 573 .	8488 4950
Nurunnissa v. Roushan Jan Nuseeboonissa v. Danush Ali	2 B. L. R., Ap., 1 3 W. R., 133	4250 2640
Nusibunnissa Bibee v. Erad Ali	4 C. L. R., 413	3649 5527
' WA MOTOR MINISTER AS INCIDENT STATE &	,	0041

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nusrun v. Ram Debul Singh Nusrun Beebee v. Watson & Co. Nusrut Ali v. Zeinunnissa Nusrut Banoo v. Mahomed Sayem Nusrut Reza v. Umbul Khyr Bibee Nusseebun Bibee v. Ashruff Ally Nusseerooddeen Biswas v. Ujjul Biswas Nusseerun v. Gouree Sunker Singh Nusseerun v. Gouree Sunker Singh Nusserwanjee v. Pursotum Dass Nusserwanjee v. Pursotum Dass Nusserwanjee Pestonjee v. Mynoodeen Khan Nusserwanji v. Gordon Nussur Ali v. Hart Nussurooddeen Hossein Chowdhry v. Lall Mahomed Puramanick. Nussurut Ali v. Abdool Kareem Chowdhry Nussurut Ali chowdhry v. Mahomed Kanoo Sikdar Nussur Mahomed v. Kazbai	17 W. R., 273 3 W. R., 215 15 W. R., 146 18 W. R., 230 8 W. R., 309 Marsh., 315: 2 Hay, 163 17 W. R., 68 22 W. R., 192 7 W. R., 499 1. L. R., 4 Calc., 298 6 Moore's I. A., 134 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 266 6 W. R., Cr., 42 13 W. R., 234 11 W. R., 373 11 W. R., 373 11 W. R., 541 1. L. R., 10 Bom., 202	4788 2792, 2915 3732 4237 3707 3684 4345 1766 3272 5720 350 926, 2621 4420 4238 5781 1183 308, 2928 5588
Nuthoo v. Dan Suhai v. Ghunessam Singh Nuthoo Lall v. Budree Pershad v. Nuthoo Lall Chowdhry v. Saadat Lall v. Shoukee Lall Nuthoo Singh v. Ram Buksh Singh Nuttha Ram v. Sookh Ram Nuzeeroodeen Ahmed v. Railway Commissioners Nuzeerun v. Ameeroodeen Nuzmooddeen Ahmed v. Abdool Azeez Nuzmooddeen v. Kanye Jha Nuzur Ali v. Emdad Ali Nuzur Banoo v. Hossein Ali Khan Nyan v. Sher Ali Nyan Suk Mether. In the matter of	2 Agra, 279 8 W. R., 267 1 N. W., 1 3 Agra, 286 W. R., 1864, 271 10 B. L. R., 200: 18 W. R., 34 458 3 Agra, 90 Marsh., 91: 1 Hay, 157 24 W. R., 3 13 W. R., 242 Marsh., 555: 2 Hay, 651 1 W. R., 206 W. R., 1864, 378 22 W. R., Cr., 86 3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 49	533 1756 456, 699 1106, 5841 4315 5016 1449 1716 1199 2515 214 3705 4820 5240 4112 5572 1590, 2794
Nymooddee Joardar v. Moncrieff	12 W. R., 140	4898 4819, 5245 1928
0		
Oahad Buksh v. Bindoo Bashinee Dossee Oakes v. Jackson Obedul Hossein v. Goluck Chunder Obedur Reza v. Mahomed Muneer Obhoya Churn Dey v. Rajendro Coomar Ghose Obhoya Churn Simlye v. Mohesh Chunder Doss Obhoy Chandra Mookerjee. In the matter of v. Mohamed Sabir Obhoy Chunder Bundopadhya v. Nilambur Mookerjee Obhoy Chunder Boy Chewsham v. Peans Mehon Cashe	I. L. R, 1 Mad., 134 8 W. R., 443 16 W. R., 88 22 W. R., 406 23 W. R., 22 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 78: 13 C. L. R., 410. L. R., 410. W. R., 1864, 73	2386 1112, 5832 3271 3679 4463 1433 4487 4487
Obhoy Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Pearee Mohun Gooho. Obhoy Chunder Sirdar v. Radha Bullubh Sen	13 W. R., F. B., 75 1 C. L. R., 549	2373, 4321 1659

Name of Casc.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Obhoy Churn Chowdhry v. Collector of Dacca	4 W. R., 59	65
Obhoy Churn Coondoo v . Golam Ali $alias$ Nocowry Meah $ig\{$	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 410: 9 C. L. R., 361.	5444
Obhoy Churn Dey v. Lukhy Monee Bewa	2 C. L. R., 555	4146
v. Rajendro Coomar Ghose	16 W. R., 288	4955
Obhoy Churn Doss v. Meer Saheb Ali Obhoy Churn Dutt v. Huro Chunder Doss Buxee	5 W. R., 244	1750
v. Modhoo Soodun Chowdhry	9 W. R., 330	3237, 329 3 3526
v. Modhoo Soodun Chowdhry	10 W. R., 298	5280
Obboy Churn Ghose v. Gobind Chunder Dey	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 237	2353, 3370
Obhoy Churn Mohapattur v. Kanye Rawot	1 C. L. R., 394	5180
Obhoy Churn Mookerjee v. Pearee Dossia	22 W. R., 270	4852 838
v. Punchanun Bose	Marsh., 564 : 2 Hay, 630 .	472
Obhoy Churn Mullick v. Woomes Chunder Paul Obhoy Churn Mude f. v. Harr Church M	2 Hyde, 263	6094
Obhoy Churn Mustafi v. Uma Churn Mustafi Obhoy Churn Newgee v. Sristidhur Bagdee	1 C. L. R., 362	4666
Obhoy Churn Nundee v. Bhoobun Mojoomdar	12 W. R., 524	531 5064
Obhoy Churn Nundi v. Kritarthamovi Dossee	I. L. R., 7 Calc 284	3187, 3227
Obhoy Churn Pal v. Kali Prosad Chatterjee	6 C. L. R., 260	1431, 4204
Obhoy Churn Roy v. Asanoollah Obhoy Churn Roy Chowdhry v. Nobin Chunder Roy	2 W. R., Act X, 81	6174
Chowdhry. Obhoy Churn Sen v. Dabendro Nath Mullick	23 W. R., 95	1144
Obhoy Churn Sirkar v. Huri Nath Roy	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 72: 10 C. L.)	1195 4190
Obhoy Gobind Chowdhry v. Beejoy Gobind Chowdhry .	R., 81. 9 W. R., 162	135
v. Hury Churn Chowdhry	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 277	4249, 4420
v. Kenny	8 W. R., 518	5
Obhoykant Lahoree v. Ramsoonduree Dabee Chowdhrani	W. R., F. B., 163.	3192
Ubliashery Debia. In the matter of the petition of	20 W. R., 209	1136 683
Oddit Chunder Paul v. Comolokanto Paul	Marsh., 401: 2 Hay, 529 .	4935
Oddoyto Chunder Koondoo v. Prosunno Koomar Bhut- tacharjee.	2 W. R., 325	2080
Odhesh Coomar Singh v. Ram Gobind Singh	9 W. R., 145	2887
Odhiranee Narain Koomaree v. Nawab Nazim of Bengal.	4 W. R., 41	36
Odhoya Pershad v. Mohadeo Dutt Bhandaree	17 W. R., 415	200
Odit Narain v . Maheshur Bux Singh	Agra, F. B., 52: Ed. 1874, 39	1815, 3068 5764
Odit Narain Singh v. Dhurm Mahtoon	W. R., 1864, 263	2205
Udiutzuman v. Mohiooddeen Ahmed alias Moonlian	9 W. R., 241	1752
Odoit Roy v. Radha Pandey	7 W. R., 72.	1353
Odoy Churn Rana v. Bhujohury Janah Odoytoo Churn Roy. In re	11 W. R., 310	3953 2664
Juyte Narain Singh v. Uhhurun Roy	4 W. R., Act X, 1	4171
Jucial Assignee v. Frazer	14 W. R., O. C., 51	3350, 4681
Official Trustee of Bengal v. Krishna Chandra Mo-	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 79 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 239: L.	2662 32 0
zumdar. Oghra Singh v. Ablakh Koer	R., 12 I. A., 166. I. L. R., 4 Calc., 536 3 C.	2032, 4763
Ogle v. Thomas	L R., 434.	4825, 4831
v. Nashholm	2 Hyde, 279	2676 600
Ognee Coomar Roy v. Kumolakant Roy	11 W. R., 38	5633
Ograkant Chowdhree v. Mohesh Chunder Sickdar .	4 C. L. R., 40	4176

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Oheed Khan v. Collector of Shahabad	9 W. R., 502	671, 3693
	. 10 W. R., 86	128, 4274
	. W. R., 1864, 219	3706, 3722
	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 396	6019
Ojoodhya Persad v. Bhugwantajah	Marsh., 12	4964
Ojoodhyapershad Singh v. Ramsurn	1	2151
Okhetoonissa v. Koochil Sirdar	2 W. R., 45	3121
Okhil Chunder Biswas. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 48	4490, 4731
Okhorah Soot v. Bheden Barianee	10 W. R., 34	2524, 4280
Okhoy Chunder Dutt v. Erskine	3 W. R., Mis., 11	5470, 5588
Okhoy Coomar Chatterjee v. Dhiraj Mahtab Chund	22 W. R., 299	2740, 5324
Okhoy Coomar Chuttopadhya v. Mahatapchunder Ba- door	1. 11. N., 5 Calc., 24	4892
Okhoy Kumar. In the matter of	7 C. L. R., 393	1296, 554 7
Okhoy Teli v. Modhoo Sheikh	19 W. R., Cr., 55.	4750
	(10 B. L. R., 15:16 W. R.,	
Okoor Persaud Bustooree v. Fool Coomaree Dabee	P. C., 35: 14 Moore's I. A., {	3317
	134.	
Olaga Sundaram Pillay v. Muttien Chetty		213
Oleo-unissa v. Buldeo Narain Singh		3261
Olner v. Lavezzo	1	5722
Olongo Moonjoree Dossee v. Gobind Nath Sen	W. R., 1864, Mis., 13	669
Olpherts v. Mahabir Pershad Singh	11 C. L. R., 494 : L. R., 10 I.	5461
Oma Churn Banerjee v. Collector of Hooghly	15 W. R., 525	6041
Oman v. Pramathanath Roy	1 B. L. R., S. N., 25: 10 W.	1725
v. Ram Gopal Mozoomdar		3836
Omanath Chowdhry v. Nujeeb Chowdhry		4637
Omanath Roy Chowdhry v. Suroop Chunder Bose	10 W. R., 485	4347
Omar v. Abdool Guffoor	9 W. R., 425	3009, 4786
	11 W. R., 229	5812
Omar Salmia Bibi v. Lakhi Prya Debi	R., 47.	1408
Omda Bebee v. Acowrie Singh	7 W. R., 425	758
Omda Khanum v. Brojendro Coomar Roy Chowdhry	12 B. L. R., 451: 20 W. R., 317: 21 W. R., 352.	1079, 109 1 2718
Omed Ali v. Nidhee Ram	22 W. R., 367	1091, 615 7
v. Nittyanund Roy	24 W. R., 171	3 806.
Omerchand. Claim of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 248	896
Omer Dutt Jha v. Burn	24 W. R., 470	1739
Omer Kurim v. Lala Shewan Lall	4 C. L. R., 291	5690
Omerchand. Claim of Omer Dutt Jha v. Burn Omer Kurim v. Lala Shewan Lall Omertolall Daw. In the matter of Omesh Chunder Mundul v. Thakoordoss Mookerjee Omesh Chunder Roy v. Dukhina Soondery Debia	13 B. L. R., Ap., 2	2667
Omesh Chunder Mundul v. Thakoordoss Mookerjee .	23 W. R., 345	6223
	W. R., F. B., 95	4203
Omesh Chunder Sen v. Ichanath Mozumdar	21 W. R., Cr., 64	2925
Omirta Lall Chowdhry v. Kalee Pershad Shaha	25 W. R., 179	1845
Omirto Lall Bose v. Arbach Cazi	4 W. R., Act X, 47	1642
Omirto Lall Dey v. Howell	2 C. L. R., 426	3137
Omirto Moyee Dossee v. Gooroo Doss Roy	17 W. R., 395	224
Omoola Koowur v. Gohun Patuck	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 36	526 7
v. Sohun Patuck	1 Hay, 29	526 7
Omra Nushyo v. Gugun Sootur	11 W. R., 130	5924
Omrao Begum. In the matter of the petition of	13 W. R., 310	3092, 5295
v. Commissioners under Act XVII of 1873		4065, 5925
v. Government of India	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 704: 12 C.	400E 4000
v. Government of India	L. R., 595 : L. R., 10 I. A.,	4065, 4260
	.! 39.	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Omrao Khan v. Imdad Allee Khan	1 N. W., 9: Ed. 1874, 8 .	3240
Omrao Mirza v. Jones v. — v. — v. Pertab v. Premnarain Singh Omrit Koomara v. Pahea v. Luckheenarain Chuckerbutty	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 599	1247
v	12 C. L. R., 148	5971, 6078
Omrao Singh v. Man Koonwer	2 Agra, 136	2416
v. Pertab · · · ·	3 Agra, 143	2285, 229
v. Premnarain Singh	24 W. R., 204	1899
Omrit Koomaree Dabee v. Luckheenarain Chuckerbutty	10 W. R., F. B., 76	2300
Omrito Lall Dey. In the matter of	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 78 . {	392, 395 2095
Omrito Lall Sircar v. Ramdhun Chakee	18 W. R., 503	1461, 615
Omrit Ram v. Nonao Ram	6 W. R., Cr., 90	3628
Omrunissa Bibee v. Dilawar Ally Khan	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 350	2857, 295
	4 C. L. R., 455	4038
Omur Ali v. Weylayet Ali Omur Sirdar v. Pertab Chunder Banerjee Omuthoonnissa v. Puresmun Narain Singh	25 W. R., 212	3
		2233
Onookool Chunder Mookerjee v. Burodakant Roy •	13 W. R., 467	4957
Onoop Chunder Paul v. Ekkowree Singh	6 W. R., 167	5134
Onooram v. Lamessor	9 W. R., Cr., 70	4112
Onooroop Chunder Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of.	6 W. R., Mis., 45	282
v. Heera Monee Dossee. v. Pertab Chunder Paul	11 W. R., 418	320, 623
v. Pertab Chunder Paul	6 W. R., Mis. 4	271
Onraet v. Kishen Soonduree Dossee	15 W. R., 83	4146
v. Sankar Dutt Singh	5 B. L. R., Ap., 60: 14 W. R., 26	1487
Onungo Moonjuree Dossia v. Punchanun Bose	12 W. R., 72	5445
Oodai v. Bhowanee Pershad	1 Agra, 264	5210
Oodeychand Boodaji v. Bhaskar Jagonnath	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 371	1073
Oodey Kurrun v. Chait Ram	2 Agra, 125	5375
Oodey Ram v. Sirajool Hussun Oodey Singh v. Phool Chund	2 Agra, 241	2916
Oodey Singh v. Phool Chund	5 N. W., 197 2 Agra 234	2504
Undevia v. Godal		5741
Oodhoy Chunder Mullick v. Petamber Pyne	6 W. R., Mis., 75.	1902
Oodhun Misser v. Hoobdar Singh Oodia v. Bhopal Oodit Doss v. Government	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 271	2409 4999
Oodia v. Bhopal	34 1 070 OTT 117	2002
Oodit Doss v. Government	0 W D A A V 15	2989
Oodit Narain Sing v. Hudson	2 W. R., Act X, 15 4 Moore's I. A., 444	3905
O. 124 D. J D 1 Cl 1	9 Acres Dt II 906	3015
Oddit Zuman. In the matter of the petition of	0 TV D 100	221, 593
Oodla v. Barkat	18 W. R., Cr., 7	4750
Oodoy Chand Jha v. Dhunmonee Debia	3 W. R., 183	80, 141
Oodoychand Luskur v. Nobocoomar Poramanick .	10 W. R., 428	3498
Oodoychurn Mitter. In the matter of	T T D 4 Colo 411	674, 229
Oodoyessurree v. Hurokishore Dutt		3411
Oodoy Monee Dabee v. Bishonath Dutt	7 W. R., 14	1785
v,	9 W. R., 455	3190
Oodoynarain Sircar v. Kristo Chunder Roy Chowdhry .	13 W. R., 433	553
Oodoy Singh v. Paluck Singh	16 W. R., 271 {	878, 287
	17 W. R., 358	3278 2945
Oodoy Tara Chowdhrain v. Jonab Ali Chowdhry . Oodoy Tara Chowdhranee v. Khaja Asanoollah .	22 W. R., 180	1169
Oodwunt Mahtoon v. Biddhi Chand Chowdry	13 B. L. R., 216, note: 18 W.	494
	R., 207.	4269
Oognee Chowdrain v. Keramutoollah	1 0 317 D 904	5393
Oojagur Roy v. Ram Khelawan Singh	8 W. R., 220	6279
Oojan Dewan v. Prannath Mundul	12 W. R., F. B., 18	2844
Oojulmoye Dossee v. Chunder Koomar Neogee	14 17 . 100 2 . 100 20	2049

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Oojul Mundul v. Herasutoollah Mundul Ookerda Poonsey v. Steam-ship "Savitri" Oolagappa Chetty v. Arbuthnot Oolfut Hossein. In the matter of the petition of Oomabuttee v. Parushnath Pandey Oomabutty v. Pureshnath Pandey Ooma Churn alias Gopal Chunder Roy Mozoomdar v.	7 W. R., 150 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 408 14 B. L. R., 115: 21 W. R., 358: L. R., 11 I. A., 268, 282. } 13 W. R., 197 15 W. R., 135 12 W. R., 521	3578, 6071 3846 143 5231
Girish Chunder Banerjee. Ooma Churn Banerjee v. Rajluckhee Debia Ooma Churn Biswas v. Shibnath Bagchee Ooma Churn Dutt v. Beckwith Ooma Churn Koondoo v. Bhoobun Mohun Pal Oomadhur Bhut v. Mahomed Luteef Ooma Dutt Chowdhry v. Hunooman Chowdhry Ooma Lochun Mojoomdar v. Nittye Chund Poddar	25 W. R., 22 25 W. R., 19 8 W. R., 14 5 W. R., Act X, 3 10 W. R., 98 1 W. R., 229 22 W. R., 453 14 W. R., 467	3000 3798, 6090 5072 6178 536 4312 2994
Oomanath Roy Chowdhry v. Raghoonath Mitter .	Marsh., 43: W. R., F. B., 10: 1 Hay, 75.	1674, 4988 5089
Oomanund Roy v. Suttish Chunder Roy Ooma Soonduree Dossee v. Bipin Beharee Roy Oomatara Debia v. Peena Bibee Oomatool Fatima v. Azhur Ali v. Ghunnoo Singh	9 W. R., 471 13 W. R., 292 2 W. R., 155 15 W. R., 356 19 W. R., 22 5 W. R., 132: 1 Ind. Jur., N.	5119 2801 4589 2696 327
Oomda Bibee v. Jonab Ali Oomed Ali v. Mehdee Hossain	S., 143. 2 N. W., 2	3632 1743
Oomedee v. Cheda Lall Oomesh Chunder Shaha v. Manick Chunder Bonick Oommut Fatima v. Bhujo Gopal Doss Oomrao Begum v. Hamid Jan v. Khooseram v. Nawab Nazim of Bengal v. Nizamoonnissa Oomrao Doolhaen v. Aga Meer	2 Agra, 264 8 W. R., 128 13 W. R., 50 3 Agra, 279 1 N. W., 181: Ed. 1873, 260 24 W. R., 28 1 Agra, 224 12 W. R., 119	6149 4302 1748 3418 3378 4862 3962 676
Oomrao Singh v. Methab Koonwer	3 Agra, 103a	1710, 2111 2119, 5821
v. Sutun Lall v. Shimboo Nath Oomur Shah v. Rumzan Ali Oomutoonnissa Beebee v. Ooreefoonissa Beebee Oonkur Dass v. Heera Singh Oo Noung v. Moung Htoon Oo Oopendra Krishna Deb v. Nobin Krishna Bose	1 N. W., Pt. VI, p. 77 : Ed. } 1873, 168. 2 N. W., 38 10 W. R., 363 4 W. R., 66 1 Agra, 141 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 322 17 W. R., 370, note	1488 5379 5280 3734 3832 1528, 4777 312
Oopendro Mohun Moostafee v. Poorno Chunder Bhuttacharjee.	19 W. R., 85	1782, 5622
Oopendronath Roy v. Kalee Churn Roy Oopendur Mohun Mustafee v. Tripp Oorhya Kooer v. Rujoo Nye Sookool Oosman Khan v. Chowdhry Sheoraj Singh Ootacamund Municipality v. O'Shaughnessy Ootshub Narain Chowdhry v. Chittra Recka Goopta Oottum Singh v. Ram Surun Lall Ootum v. Mullick Gholam Hossein Ootum Chunder Bhuttacharjee v. Obhoy Churn Misser Opender Narain Mookerjee v. Gudadhur Dey	8 W. R., 394 5 W. R., Mis., 40 14 W. R., 208 5 N. W., 42 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 38 17 W. R., 154 23 W. R., 287 2 Hay, 359 W. R., F. B., 67 25 W. R., 472	4468 3516 2293 2878, 3000 4923 3600 4759, 5018 3775 288 2283 26
• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Opender Narain Mookerjee v. Gudadhur Dey Opendro Nath Ghose v. Dukhini Bewa Opendur Lall Roy v. Bromo Moyee	25 W. R., 476	26, 3\$27 1303 2129
Oram. In the goods of	12 B. L. R., Ap., 21: 21 W. R., 245.	1238
Ord v. Ord	4 B. L. R., O. C., 53	620 4 155 4
	5 B. L. R., Ap., 34 I. L. R., 3 All., 91:7 C. L.	2803, 6202
	R., 295 : L. R., 7 I. A., 197. I. L. R., 9 Calc., 880 : 13 C.	•
Oriental Bank v. Baree Tea Company .	L. R., 412.	4595
v. Brown and Co. v. Charriol	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 265 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 642	2679, 4629 3113, 4288
v. Charriol	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 242	1078
v. Gobind Lall Seal	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 604: 13 C. L., R., 142.	4526
	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 713	129
Oriental Financial Association v. Mercantile Credit;	3 B. L. R., Ap., 14	2650 933
and Financial Association.	3 Bom., O. C., 1	955
Oriental Finance Corporation v. Mercantile Credit and Finance Corporation.	2 Bom., 282: 2nd Ed., 267 .	760
Orjoon Sahoo v. Anund Singh	10 W. R., 257 {	1105, 1734 4930
Oroon Chunder Mundul v. Romanath Rukheet	10 W. R., 260	3 236
Oseemunnissa Khatoon v. Ameeroonnissa Khatoon	20 W. R., 162	82 7 328
Osman Singh v. Chummun Mahtoo	7 W. R., 259	5650
Ossuff v. Shama	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 558: 5 C. L. R., 21.	
Ostoche v. Haridas	I. L. R., 2 All., 869	1226
Otioolla v. Aseerun	7 W. R, 194 22 W. R., 185	1453 1632
	4 B. L. R., Ap., 41:13 W.	1923, 3535
Oudh Behari Lal v. Brajamohan Lal	R., 128. 1 C. I. R., 143	400
Oudh Behari Narain Singh. In re Ounda v. Raheem Shere Khan	3 N. W., 138	1657
Outar Singh v. Ablakhee Koonwer Overend, Gurney, and Co. v. Steele	2 Agra, 328	4536 4409
overend, Gurney, and Co. v. Steele	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 40	6275
Owners of the ship "Brenhilda" v. British	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 547	276
Steam Navigation Company. Ozeer Mahomed v. Bydnath Doss Chowdhry	5 W. R., Act X, 6	6231
Ozeeroonissa Bibee v. Ramdhun Roy	11 W. R., 17	3731 337 7
Ozeerun v. Heeranund Sahoo	7 W. R., 336	
P. v. P.	0 D T D '1 - 4	1553
Paba Khoji. In re. The petition of	9 B. L. R., Ap., 6 1. L. R., 9 Bom., 272	616, 617
Pabitra Dasi v. Damudar Jana	7 B. L. R., 697: 24 W. R.,	318, 2267
Pachaiperumal Chetti v. Savayyar Audoni Kurusu Rayvel	397, pote. 5	4762
Pachaoni Awasthi v. Ilahi Baksh Pachoo Raree v. Gooroo Churn Dass	I. L. R., 4 All., 478	233, 2796
Padagaligum Pillai v. Shanmugham Pillai	15 W. R., 556	5701, 5747 1424
~	•	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest,
Padamani Dasi v. Jagadamba Dasi	3 B. L. R., O. C., 56 . I. L. R., 4 All., 235 . I. L. R., 3 All., 304 . I. L. R., 10 Bom., 21	2988 11 183 3854
Padmakumari Debi Chowdhrani v. Court of Wards	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 302 : L. R., 8 I. A., 229.	2140
Padma Lochan v. Sirdar Khan	3 B. L. R., Ap., 91 .	773
Padmalochan Sen v. Lalchand Gupta	1 B. L. R., S. N., 26: 10 W. R., 283.	4281
Padmamani Dasi v. Jagadamba Dasi	6 B. L. R., 134	2455, 2464 2826, 4306
Padmanabha. In re .	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 18 .	1302
v. Thanakoti	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 119 .	1895
Padmavati. Ex parte	5 Mad., 415 7 W. R., P. C., 41: 4 Moore's	4376
v. Doolar Singh	I. A., 259.	2280
Padu Malhari v. Rakhmai	10 Bom., 435	4773, 4774 3596
Padsha v. Tiruvembala	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 479 . I. L. R., 8 Bom., 259 .	5666
Pahaladh Singh v. Luchmunbutty	12 W. R., 256	4254, 4261
Pahalwan Singh v. Muhessur Buksh Singh	9 B. L. R., 150: 16 W. R., P. C., 5.	35, 4870
v. Muheshur Buksh Singh .	12 B. L. R., 391 : 18 W. R., 182.	5071
Pahlud Singh v. Ahlud Singh	6 N. W., 91	5685
Pahlwan Singh v. Risal Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 55	503 2
Paidal Kidavu v. Parakal Imbichuni Kidavu	1 Mad., 13	376 3 5098
Paigi v. Sheonarain Pakaktar v. Jakriram Bhakat	I. L. R., 8 All., 78 2 B. L. R., Ap., 12	760, 62 43
Pakatur v. Jakriram Bhokath	11 W. R., 5	760, 6243
Pakhandu v. Manki	I. L. R., 3 All., 506 .	3852
Pakir Razu v. Jaganadha Narayana Ramachandra Razu	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 268	5595 5505
v. Zamindar of Salur Palak Dhari Rai v. Radha Prasad Singh	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 268 . I. L. R., 2 All., 65 .	5595 268
Palamcottah Municipality v. Annasami	T T D 0 M 1 100	3602
Palani v. Selambara	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 267 .	4805
v. Sivalinga	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 6	5338
not the Obest no Demands Obest no	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 325 .	3594 384 5990
Palanivellappa Kaundan v. Maunaru Naikan	4 Mad., 119 2 Mad., 416	384, 5220 2387
Palaniyaudi Kaundan v. Muttusami Kaundan	2 Mad., 441	5776
Palaniyappa Chetti v. Arumugam Chetti	2 Mad., 26	477, 1812
_ "	4 Mad 990	2215
Palany Andy Pillay. Ex parte Palavarapu Mutanna v. Chauduri Narappa	4 Mad., 330	3174 5144
Palkonda Zamindar v. Secretary of State for India .	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 91	3166
Palliagatha Ummer Kutti v. Abdul Kadar	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 57	3221
Palliagatha Ummer Kutti v. Abdul Kadar	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 31 .	10
Palmer v. Balgobind Doss	7 W. R., 230	3837
v. Cohen	1 Hyde, 123	1060
v. Madhoo Persaud v. Secretary of State for India	2 Agra, 131 2 Agra, 194	2698 1376
Palmer's Estate. In re	Cor., 68 .	3167
Paltu v. Janki Prasad	6 B. L. R., 119	2665
Palut Bhugut v. Monohur Bhugut	13 C. L. R., 171	177, 1234
Pana Ali v. Sadik Hossein Panamehand waled Suraimal a Phismai maled Dashrat	7 N. W., 201	2088 3530
Panamchand valad Surajmal v. Bhivraj valad Dashrat	6 Bon., A. C., 38	อบอบ

Name of Case.	Volume and 1	Column of Digest.
Panaollah Nushyo v. Nubodeep Chunder Shaha Panappa Mudali v. Srinivasa Mudali Panaul Huq v. Kishen Mun Dabee Pancham v. Jhinguri Pancham Singh v. Ali Ahmad Panchanada Tambiran. In the matter of the petition of Panchanadayyan v. Nilakandayyan Panchanan Bose v. Gurudas Roy Panchanan Mookerjee v. Radhanath Mookerjee	15 W. R , 270	2998 4054 790 5124 1142 1048, 1989 1430, 4391 5135 5129 697, 2502
Panch Cowree Mahtoon v. Kalee Churn	9 W. R., 490	4032 1573
Panchi Dassi v. Ahmedulla Panchudas v. Shudhamayi	12 C. L. R., 444 8 B. L R., Ap., 19: 16 W. R., Cr., 72.	4769 3 74 2
Pandah Gazi v. Jennuddi	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 665 : 2 C. L. R., 526.	3285
Pandaiya Telaver v. Puli Telaver	1 Mad., 478 7 W. R., 145	2319, 2416 2440 4859
Pandoy Bishonath Roy v. Bhyrub Singh Pandit Hait Ram v. Mulu Pandoorung Buhal Pundit v. Balkrishen Hurbajee	7 N. W., 110 5 W. R., P. C., 124 : 2 Moore's	5388 1666
Mahajun. Pandu v. Devji	I. A., 60. I. L. R., 7 Bom., 287 1 W. R., 22 12 Pom., 65 11 Bom., 72 1. L. R., 6 Bom., 742 6 Bom., A. C., 125 1. L. R., 7 Bom., 95, note 1. L. R., 8 Bom., 300 6 Bom., A. C., 68 1. L. R., 6 Bom., 70 4 Mad., 443 1. L. R., 7 Mad., 436 4 C. L. R., 145 9 W. R., 379 8 W. R., 492 1. L. R., 6 Calc., 579 6 N. W., 19: Agra, F. B., 1874, 298 3 B. L. R., Ap., 2 6 B. L. R., 732 1. L. R., 10 Calc., 496 2 Mad., 365	4532 1578 2279 2396, 5385 6232 4173, 4177 2384 1037 5129 3050 110 21, 2599 4614 1634, 3016 4168 1695 1287 161 1761 48, 2202 5272 5211
Papasastrial v. Anuntarama Sastrial Parabdi Sahani v. Mahomed Hossein Parabhudas Hirachand v. Dhondu Paradutt Lall v. Ruttun Singh Paraga Kuar v. Bhagwan Din Parakh Govardhanbhai Haribhai v. Ransardas Dulabhda Parakut Assen Cutty v. Edapally Chennen Param v. Achal Paramma v. Ramachandra Param Shook Doss v. Rasheed-ood-Dowlah	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 98 1 B. L. R., A. C., 37 2 Bom., 233: 2nd Ed., 222 5 N. W., 242 I. L. R., 8 All., 301 12 Bom., 51 2 Mad., 266 I. L. R., 4 All., 289 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 302 7 Mad., 285	1864 1575, 4815 4821 5447 792 1068 3191 315, 1172 1072, 6199 395, 3559

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Param Singh v. Lalji Mal Param Sukh v. Ram Dayal Paran Chandra Pal v. Karunamayi Dasi Paran Chunder Ghose v. Chukkun Lall Roy	I. L. R., 1 All., 403 I. L. R., 8 All., 650 7 B. L. R., 90: 15 W. R., 268 20 W. R., 402	1687, 3429 1872 2088 5727
Paran Kusam Narasaya Pantulu v. Stuart	2 Mad., 396	464, 2784 6277
Paranjpe v. Kanade Paran Singh v. Jawahir Singh Parasara Bhattar v. Rangaraja Bhattar Parasoorama Pillay v. Ramasawmy alias Coolla Rama-	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 148 I. L. R., 6 All., 366 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 202	818 3515 2122, 2288
sawmy.	5 Mad., 45	5656
Parasram v. Gardner Parasurama Chedumbraiyan v. Kristnaiyan Paravartani. Ex parte	I. L. R., 1 All., 355 5 Mad., 462	3475 5674
Paravartani. Ex parte	1 Mad., 197	4280
Parbati v. Sundar	I. L. R., 8 All., 1	2133, 4305 1889, 5704 4203
v. Ramnarayan Mati Lal {	5 B. L. R., 396: 16 W. R., 164, note.	1577, 2213 3290, 3291 3438
Parbati Charan Mukhopadhya v. Kali Nath Mukho-	6 B. L. R., Ap., 73	338, 2855
Parbati Churn Deb v. Ain-ud-deen	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 577 : 9 C. L. R., 170.	4262, 4306
Parbatti v. Bhikun	8 B. L. R., Ap., 98	11,2770 4290
Parbhudas Pranjivandas v. Ramlal Bhagirath Parbhudas Rayaji v. Motiram Kalyandas	3 Bom., O. C., 69	923, 1065 4389
Parbhu Narayan Singh. In re	3 B. L. R., A. C., 370: 12 W. R., 323.	224
Parbuttee v. Krishan Purtab Bahadur Sahie Parbutti v. Misser Chimmun Lall Parbutti Churn Bose. In the matter of Parbutti Churn Mozoomdar v. Nobin Chunder Sen Parbutti Dassi v. Ram Chand Bhuttacharjee	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 44 1 Agra, 82 3 C. L. R., 406 13 C. L. R., 195 3 C. L. R., 576	4294 1373 4740 871 4474
Parbuttinath Roy v. Tejomoy Banerji	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 303 . }	558, 3210 4247
Parbutty v. Girdaree Lall	6 W. R., Mis., 125 4 W. R., Mis., 15	5452 6091
v. Higgin	17 W. R., 475 {	11,2770 4290
Parbutty Bewa v. Woomatara Dabee Parbutty Churn Doss v. Protap Chunder Scn	14 B. L. R., 201	3054 1173, 5782
Parbutty Churn Sen v. Mondari	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 594: 5 C. ?	579
Parbutty Churn Soor v. Promothonath Ghose	L. R., 513. W. R., 1864, 174 2 Hay, 315	5254 2358
Parbutty Dassi v. Purno Chunder Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 586 W. R., 1864, 88	1841 3397
Parbutty Nath Roy Chowdhry v. Mudho Parce . {	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 276:1 C. L. 3 R., 592.	3232, 3411
Pareechut v. Rugho Goordeo Parell Mill Company. In re Parell Spinning and Weaving Company v. Manek Haji	2 N. W., 48 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 211 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 483	847 954 3357
Pareshmani Dasi v. Dinanath Das	1 B. L. R., A. C., 117: 11)	2335

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Paresh Narain Roy v. Gaur Sunker Bhumick .	{ 6 B. L. R., Ap., 154: 15 W. }	1627
Paresh Nath Dey v. Nabin Chandra Dutt	{ 5 B. L. R., Ap., 77, note: 12 } W, R., 93.	170
Paresnath Mookerjee v. Binadiram Sen	{ 4 B. L. R., Ap., 25: 13 W. }	4346
Pares Nath Mukhopadhya v. Kistomohan Saha	{ 3 B. L. R., Ap., 105; 12 W. }	2701, 57
Pareyasami <i>alias</i> Kottai Tevar v. Saluckai Tevar <i>alias</i> Oyya Tevar.	} 8 Mad., 157 {	336, 140 2325, 239 2518
Pariag Dutt Roy v. Fekoo Roy	. 9 W. R., 160	539
Parichat v. Zalim Singh	[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 214: L. R.,]	2153, 24
Parimi Bapurazu v. Bellamkonda Chinna Venkayya	4 I. A., 159. 3 Mad., 238	3771
Parkash Ojha v. Dusruth Ojha	. 25 W. R., 74	4340 2666
Parke Pittar. In the matter of	. 8 B. L. R., 118 6 B. L. R., Ap., 144	2668
Parmanandas v. Venayekrao	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 19: 12 C. L. R., 92: L. R., 9 I. A.,	2545
Parmaya v. Sonde Shrimivasapa	86. I. L. R., 4 Bom., 459 .	4826
Parmeshar Dat v. Hari Naik	. 7 W. R., 357	364, 3 1452
Parmeshari Proshad Narain Singh v. Mahomed Syud	[I. L. R., 6 Calc., 608: 7 C. L.]	1984, 45
Parmeshar Rai v. Bisheshar Singh	R., 504.	2476
Parrakel Kondi Menon v. Vadakentil Kunni Penna	I. L. R., 1 All., 53 2 Mad., 41	3757
Parrott v. Ram Suhay Singh	. W. R., 1864, 35	4941
Parry & Co. v. Appasami Pillai	. I. L. R., 2 Mad., 407	1999, 31 2653, 3 4
v. Muhammad Zain-ul-abdin	I. L. R., 6 All., 142 I. L. R., 5 All., 573	3258
v. Ram Dial	. I. L. R., 2 All., 744	1472
Parshotam Ranchod v. Jagjivan Mayaram	1 Bon., 60	4820 4440
Parshram v. Hiraman Parsidh Narain Singh v. Honoman Sahai	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 413	2398
Parsotam Keshavdas v. Kalyan Rayji	. I. L. R., 3 Bom., 348	1584
Parsotam Lal v. Bijai	. I. L. R., 6 All., 101	5515 819
Partab Singh v. Beni Ram	I. L. R., 2 All., 61 I. L. R., 8 Mal., 14	5861
	(5033, 52
Parthasaradi Ayyangar v. Chinna Krishna Ayyangar	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 304 . {	5269
Parum Soohh v. Seeta Ram	. 2 Agra, 119	2895 3378
Parush Nath Misser v. Bundah Ali	6 W. R., 132 23 W. R., Cr., 4	1223
Parusnath Shaha v. Brojolal Gossain	8 W. R., 44	1378
Parvathi v. Manjayakarantha	. 5 Mad., 193 · · ·	2082, 52
v. Mannar	. I. L. R., 8 Mad., 175 .	1362, 28 5651
Parvati v. Bhiku	. 4 Bom., A. C., 25	2525, 49
v. Kisan Sing Passamma Row Garu v. Toleti Venkiya	I. L. R., 6 Bom,, 567	2260, 35 634
Passmore v. Calcutta Docking Company	5 Mad., 198 Bourke, A. O. C., 74	2646
Pasupati Latchmia v. Pasupati Muthambhatlu .	. I. L. R., 1 Mad., 52	1888
Patankar v. Devji	. I. L. R., 6 Bom., 146	82
Patashee Dobain v. Hurdeo Narain Sahoo	. 24 W. R., 457 · · ·	2704 4608
Pater v. Gordon	. 7 Mad., 82	3000

Name of Ca :.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Pathoo Lal v. Radhika Doss Pathuma v. Salimamma Patil Hari Premji v. Hakamchand	3 N. W., 106 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 83 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 363	6119 5069 2406
Patinharipat Krishnan Unni Nambiar v. Chekur Ma- nakkal Nilakandan Bhattathiripad.	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 141 7 B. L. R., 136: 15 W. R.,	4251
Pattabhiramier v. Venkatarow Naicken	P. C., 35: 13 Moore's 1. A., 560.	3956, 6009
Pattakara Annamalai Goundan v. Rangasami Chetti .	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 365	795
Pattaravy Mudali v. Audimula Mudali	5 Mad., 419	4880
Pattarey Sircar v. Surno Moyee	24 W. R., 185	563
Patterson v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 3 All., 669	653
Patthanji. In re	1. L. R., 5 Bom., 202	3460 2785
Pattu Lal v. Luchman Parshad	3 Agra., 409	3876
Paul v. Donohoy	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 27	1946
Paul Doss. In the matter of	10 W. R., Cr., 51	4110
	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 252; L. R., ?	2370
Pauliem Valoo Chetty v. Pauliem Sooryah Chetty	4 I. A., 109.	2570
Paul Rai v. Ram Hit Panday	1 Agra, 139	1777
Paupamma v. Chinna Reddy	5 Mad., 432	5685
Paupayya v. Narasannah	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 216	1865, 1913
Pavaday Chetti. Ex parte	2 Mad., 319	1044
Pava Nagaji v. Govind Ramji	10 Bom., 382 5 Bom., A. C., 26	2717 1542
Payn v. Administrator General of Bengal	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 766: 6 C. L. R., 221.	6020
Payne Constable	1 B. L. R., O. C., 49	3113
Payyayinda Avidatha Peringadi Ibrayi v. Pudiya Madathumal Peringadi Amanatha.	5 Mad., 283	5266
Peacock v. Byjnath	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 58	1038
Peal v. Campbell	3 C. L. R., 515	6195
Pearce Bewah v. Nokoor Kurmokar	19 W. R., 308	5696
Pearee Daye v. Hurbuns Koer	14 W. R., 299	266
Pearee Dayee v. Hurbunsee Kooer	19 W. R., 127	5266
Pearee Debea v. Boydonauth Baugh	Marsh., 413: 2 Hay, 537	3776
Pearce Lall v. Bukhoree Lall	12 W. R., 124	2343
Pearee Lall Shaha v. Woomesh Chunder Mozoomdar .	7 W. R, 130	5917 2069, 3199
Pearee Mohun Bera v. Shama Churn Mytee	19 W. R., 140	775
Pearee Mohun Bose v. Gobind Chunder Addy	10 W. R., 56	3317, 3382
Pearee Mohun Bose v. Hurish Chunder Ghose	17 W. R., 141	756, 768
Pearee Mohun Dutt v. Gooroodoss Dutt	20 W. R., 401	1487
v. Radha Madhub Mookerjee.	10 W. R., 427	1611
Pearee Mohun Ghose v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co.	22 W. R., 338	555, 558
Pearee Mohun Mitter v. Mohendro Narain Singh	23 W. R., 465	3204
Pearce Mohun Mookerjee v. Annund Moyee Debia .	9 W. R , 158	1607
v. Brojo Mohun Bose	21 W. R., 36	6175
v. Gosto Behary Dey	22 W. R., 423 26 W. R., 104	6175
v. Koylash Chunder Byragee.	92 W D 50	690, 538 2
v. Madhub Chunder Ghosal	0 W P 490	$\begin{array}{c} 1603 \\ 6233 \end{array}$
v. Raj Kristo Mookerjee .	21 W. R., 259	3067
v	20 W. R., 385	3798, 3801
Pearee Mohun Poddar v. Jugobundhoo Sen	24 W. R., 418	4467
Pearce Mohun Roy v. Chunder Nath Roy	18 W. R., 408	4264
• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Peareemohun Roy Chowdhry v Assadkhan Peareemohun Soor v. Abdool Sobhan Chowdhry	18 W. R., 444 7 W. R., 258 15 W. R., 93 8 W. R., 300 21 W. R., 443 15 W. R., 128	5697 6127 2350 5436 628 1903
Pearce Soonduree Dossee v. Eshan Chunder Bose Pearce Soonduri Debia v. Bhubo Soonduree Debia Peari Mohun Dutt v. Borkant Nath Dutt Peari Mohun Mookhopadhya v. Madhub Chunder Baboo Peari Mohun Mukerjee v. Audhiraj Aftab Chand Peari Mohun Mukherji v. Banshi Maghi v. Drobomoyi Dabia	16 W. R., 302 23 W. R., 32 11 C. L. R., 312 23 W. R., 385 10 C. L. R., 526 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 757 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 745	3843 1879 3370 580 7 1613 1740
Pearson v. Madhub Chunder Ghose Pearun v. Ahmed Ali Khan v. Ram Narain Singh akias Runnoo Singh Peary Lall v. Bhawoot Koer	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 387, note 4 W. R., Mis., 7 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 213 W. R., F. B., 18: 1 Ind. Jur., \(\)	5641 3816 3022 2360
Pearce Lall Mozoomdar v. Komal Kishore Dassia . Peary Mohun Doss v. McArthur v. Parbutty Churn Mookerjee . Peary Mohun Ghosaul v. Harran Chunder Gangooly .	O. S., 100. J. L. R., 6 Calc., 30 Marsh., 637 I Ind. Jur., N. S., 40 J. L. R., 11 Calc., 261	5017 546 752 5717, 5970
Peary Mohun Gooho. In the matter of Peary Mohun Mookerjee. In the matter of the peti tion of. v. Kena Bewah Peary Mohun Roy v. Chundee Kanth Roy	11 B. L. R., 312 24 W. R., Cr., 40 11 W. R., 99	4443 4505 5831
Peary Mohun Sircar. In the matter of v. Empress Pearymohun Sirkar. In the matter of	Marsh., 33: 1 Hay, 69. I. L. R., 9 Calc., 639. 13.C. L. R., 80. 14 B. L. R., 115: 21 W. R.,	3396 6067 6067
Pedda Amani v. Zemindar of Marungapuri . { Peddamuthulaty v. Timma Reddy	358: L. R., 1 I. A., 268, 282.	2442, 3578 6071 50, 157?
Peddamuttu Viramani v. Appu Rau	2 Mad., 117 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 286: 8 C:	$\frac{2942}{2313}$
Pedda Ramappa v. Bangari Seshamma }	L. R., 315: L. R., 8 I. A., 1.	2327
Pedda Siva Reddi. In re Pedda Vencatapa Naidoo v. Aroovala Roodrap Naidoo.	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 238 6 W. R., P. C., 13: 2 Moore's I. A., 504.	5538 4458
Peeloo Chowdhrain v. Court of Wards Peera v. Shimbhoo Peer Bux v. Meahjan	7 W. R., 219	5621 145, 455 7 5189
Peerbux v. Mowzah Ally	Jur., O. S., 7: Marsh., 25: 1 Hay, 89.	2979
Peer Mahomed v. Nekjan Bibee. Peerun alias Kureemun Ayah v. Field Peetembur Chattarian W. K. J. J. B.	25 W. R., 49 21 W. R., Cr., 66 11 B. L. R., 137, note: 14 W.	4330 2912
Peet Koonwar v. Chutter Dharee Singh Pegoo Jan v. Mullick Waizooddeen Peitsch v. Commercial Banking Corporation v. Eastern Bengal Indigo Company	R., 436. 13 W. R., 396 18 W. R., 464 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 363 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 278	2721 2244 4032, 5141 945 5638

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digust.
Pelaram Kotal v. Nund Coomar Chuttoram	6 W. R., Act X, 45	1642
Peli v. Valetta	5 C. L. R., 464	4523
Pem Kooer v. Upper Balee Sing	2 N. W., 86	538, 2331
Pemraj Bhavaniram v. Narayan Shivaram Khisti .	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 215	4200, 4459
	3 Bom., A. C., 94	289, 5822
Pendse v. Malse Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Co. v. Konnylall Dutt.	2 Hyde, 21	3072
Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Co. v. Manikji Nasarvanji Padsha.	4 Bom., O. C., 169	593, 1764
Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Co. v.	Bourke, A. O. C., 166: 5 Bom.,)	3791
Secretary of State for India.	Ap., 1.)
Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Co. v. Small Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Co. v.	Bourke, O. C., 309 5 Bom., O. C., 113	601 593
Somaji Vishram.		
Penuballi Subharamareddi v. Bhimaraju Ramaya	2 Mad., 21	3341
People's Bank v. Obbard	2 Hyde, 57.	467
Pepin v. Chunder Seekur Mookerjee {	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 811: 6 C. L. R., 167.	1201, 3314
Perammal v. Venkatammal	1 Mad., 223	2313
Pereira. In the matter of	1 Mad., 217	2671
Pereira v. Pereira	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 118	1548
Pergash Koer v. Mahabir Pershad Narain Singh. Periandi v. Angappa	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 582 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 423	4011, 6034 3956
Periasami v. Periasami	L. R., 5 I. A., 61: I. L. R., 1 Mad., 312.	2325, 2394 2450
Peria Sidden v. Doddali	6 Mad., 307	1425
Periya Gaundan v. Tirumala Gaundan	1 Mad., 206	1415, 1469
Periyanayagam Pillai v. Virappa Naikan	7 Mad., 51	3589
Periyanna Chetty v. Govinda Gounden	5 Mad., 104	6237
Periyasami v. Seshadri Ayyangar	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 11	3746
Perladh Sein v. Bhoodoo Singh	10 Moore's I. A., 78	4640
v. Gunness Lall Tewary	25 W. R., 540	3183
Perladh Sen v. Runjeet Roy	W. R., 1864, 68	3289
Perladh Singh Bahadoor v. Broughton	24 W. R., 275	2748, 4027
Perlathail Subba Rau v. Mankude Narayana	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 113	3581
Permeshree Doss v. Raikoondun Singh	3 Agra, 3	4549
Permessur Dutt Sahee v. Audh Sahajee	5 W. R., 40	4299
Permessurce Pershad Narain Singh v. Nubee Buksh .	2 W. R., Act X, 101	3800
Perrin v. Ledlic	15 W. R., 364	4770
Perroux v. Luchmeeput Singh	12 W. R , 113	6095
Pershad v. Shivaram	2 N. W., 59	1488
Pershad Doss v. Denonath Dey	2 Hyde, 14	3200
Pershadi Lal v. Irshad Ali	2 N. W., 100	3715
Pershad Sein v. Rajendra Kishore	7 W R., 338	274
Pershad Singh v. Chedee Lall	15 W. R., 1	3363, 3396
"Persia." In re the	6 Bom., O. C., 138	5647
Pertab Chunder Banerjee v. Omur Sirdar	25 W. R., 89	3
v. Philippe	2 W. R., Act X. 56	2931
Pertab Narain Singh v . Subhao Kooer	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 626: 1 C. L. R., 113: L. R., 4 I. A., 228.	2535, 4226
v	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 184 : L. R., 5 I. A., 171.	4657
v. Trilokinath Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 186: L. R., 11 I. A., 197.	2515, 4228 5058
Pertab Singh v. Khoosial Singh	2 Agra, 90	2267

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Peru Nayar v. Ayyaappan Nayar Pestanji Cursetji. In re Pestanji Mancharji Wadia v. Matchett Pestanji Shapurji Kaka. In re Pestanjee Eduljee Gurdur v. Mahomed Ali Pestonjee Nesserwanjee v. Gool Mahomed Sahib Pestonjee Nuserwanjee v. Manockjee & Co. Pestonjee Nuserwanjee v. Maneckjee	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 282 3 Bom., O. C., 42 7 Bom., A. C., 10 8 Bom., O. C., 37 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 541 7 Mad., 369 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 69 3 Mad., 183	3761 408 1033, 4280 5828 2073 4260 4594, 4595 352 181, 362
v. Maneckjee & Co.	12 Moore's I. A., 112: 10 W. R., P. C., 51.	$181,361 \\ 362$
Pestonji Bezonji v. Abdool Rahiman . Petambar Baboo v. Nilmony Singh Deo	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 463 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 793 5 W. R., P. C., 53:1 Moore's \	3380, 4886 5102 1073, 1724
Petamber Manikjee v. Motee Chund Manikjee Petambur Dutt v. Hurrish Chunder Dutt Petambur Jugi v. Nasaruddy Petambur Shaha v. Kuroona Moyee Debca Peter v. Dufour v. Vardon	I. A., 420.	4653 2353 4105, 6160 3174 1509 1036
Peters v. Manuk Petman v. Bull Petumber Bhugutt v. Muthoora Dass Petumber Dey v. Juggunnath Roy	13 B. L. R., 383 : 22 W. R., 175 I. L. R., 5 Ali., 371 1 Agra, 121 18 W. R., 130 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 11 : Bourke, }	1215, 5900 4335, 60 17 4595 3445
Petumber Mundle v. Cochrane	O. C., 339. 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 327: Bourke, O. C., 240.	424, 2627
Petumber Shadoo Khan v. Doyamoyee Dossee Phate Saheb Bibi v. Damodar Premji Pheloo Monee Dossia v. Greesh Chunder Bhuttacharjee Phillips v. Nund Coomar Banerjee Phillott v. Morris	12 W. R., 17 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 84 8 W. R., 515 8 W. R., 385 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 375	5706 3662 1815 6103 6215
Phiran v. Bahoran	7 N. W., 367	1702 5763
Phookun Pandey v. Sookkia	10 W. R., 436	2355 1362, 2837
Phoolbas Kooer v. Lalla Juggessur Sahoy	18 W. R., 48	2391, 4254 4261 902, 2346
-v. Lall Juggessur Sahi	14 W. R., 340 }	2445, 2515 3160, 42 54 4261
Phoolbas Koonwur v. Lalla Jogeshur Sahoy Phool Bibee v. Goor Surun Doss Phool Chund v. Shiva Pershad Phool Chund Brojobassee. In the matter of Phool Chunder Lall v. Sheoranee Koonwar Phool Chund Lall v. Rughoobuns Suhaye Phool Coomaree Beebee v. Ooukurpershad Boistobee	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 226: L. R.,) 3 I. A., 7: 25 W. R., 285.) 18 W. R., 485 2 Agra, Mis., 4 16 W. R., Cr., 35 9 W. R., 104 9 W. R., 107 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 50: 7 W. R., 67	2392, 3159 3160, 4649 146, 1846 4245 2599 1408 2206 3114, 3317
Phoolharee v. Bishessur Pershad Phool Koer v. Sheoburun Singh	3 Agra, 301 12 W. R., 489	5728 1496

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
	12 W. R., 187	282, 2203
Phool Koomaree Bibee v. Woonkar Pershad Rus-	7 W. R., 67: 2 Ind. Jur., N. 3 S., 50.	3114
toby. Phool Koonwar v. Chardon	6 W. R., Act X, 89	6154
Phukar Singh v. Ranjit Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 661	2495
		2916, 2975
Phulahra v. Jeolal Sing	I. L. R., 6 All., 52	5083
Phul Chand v. Lachmi Chand	I. L. R., 4 All., 486	2162
v. Man Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 309	2184
v. Miller	I. L. R., 7 All., 340	2644
Phuljhari Kooer. In the matter of the petition of	8 B. L. R., 385 : 17 W. R.,	2447
Phul Koeri alias Ghina Koeri. In re	8 B. L. R., 388, note	2446
Phul Kuar v. Murli Dhar	I. L. R., 2 All., 527	3888
v. Surjan Pandey	T T T 4 433 040	6241
Phulman Rai v. Dani Kuari	I. L. R., 1 All., 452	4545
Piarey Lal v. Saliga	I. L. R., 2 All., 394	3167, 6054
Piari v. Khiali Ram Pichandi v. Kandasami	I. L. R., 3 All., 857	4887
Pichandi v. Kandasami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 539	3222
Picharkutty Mudali v. Narayanappa Aiyan	2 Mad., 243	1095 4405, 4414
Pierce v. Opendra Shetti Ganapathy	7 Mad., 364	4614
Pietsch v. Commercial Banking Corporation	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 363	945
v. Eastern Bengal Indigo Company	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 278	5638
Piffard. In the matter of	1 Hyde, 79	1040
Piffard. In the matter of	3 C. L. R., 253	5005
v. Ram Kishen Pigue v. Golabram	2 W. R., 301	591
Pigue v. Golabram	1 W. R., 75	588, 2211
Pillans v. Peninsular and Oriental Steam-ship Com-	1 Ind., Jur., O. S., 68	690
pany. Pinsent. Ex parte	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 276	432
Piran v. Ram Buksh	2 Agra, 346	1656
Pirathi Singh v. Vencatramanayyan	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 419	5787
Pirbhai Khimji v. Bombay, Baroda, and Central India Railway Company.	8 Bom., O. C., 59	690
Pirbhai Ravji v. Nenbai	3 Bom., O. C., 164	3115
Pirjada Nasarudin v. Venkat Prabhu	9 Bom., 113	1891
Pirjade v. Pirjade	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 681	3195, 3493
Pirthee Singh v. Dya Kishun	5 N. W., 226 : Agra, F. B., \ Ed. 1874, 278.	52 33
Č	Ed. 1874, 278. 12 B. L. R., 238: 20 W. R., 21: L. R., I. A., Sup. }	1
	R., 21 : L. R., I. A., Sup. {	2414, 2426
	Vol., 203.	2222 222
v. Sheo Soonduree	8 W. R., 261	2280, 2284
Pirthipal Singh v. Husaini Jan Pirthipan Chandhan In the matter of the natition of	I. L. R., 4 All., 361	3642 4405
Pirthiram Chowdhry. In the matter of the petition of . Pirthi Singh v. Sobhan Singh	20 W. R., Cr., 51 I. L. R., 4 All., 1	4495 82, 3856
Pirthi Singh v. Sobhan Singh	2 N. W., 364	5182
Pitambar Dhari v. Sambhajirav	8 Bom., A. C., 185	609
Pitambar Sadhu Khan, In re	6 B. L. R., 390, note .	57 06
Pitamber Dey Mozoomdar v. Ishan Chunder Dutt }	18 W. R., 169	93
Pitamber Girdhar. In the matter of	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 638 . {	4531, 4670 5117
Pitamber Narayandas v. Vanmali Shamji	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 1	3909
Pitamber Panda v. Damoodur Doss	- 1	5329, 5338
Livamuer Panga v. Damooqur 1Joss	24 W. R., 129	5339

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of ' Digest.
Pitamburee Chowdhrain v. Nobin Kristo Mookerjee . 18 W	. R., 205	5308
Pitambur Chuckerbutty v. Bhyrubnath Paleet 15 W	T. R., 52	1135, 1137 1453, 5675
Pitambur Dev. In the matter of 17 W	. R., Cr., 57	4359
Pitambur Kurmokar v. Ramtunoo Roy 10 W	. R., 123	1640
	. R , 7	3906
	1864, 50	4399
	R., 39 . R., 132	4294, 4446 3337
	R., 92	4860, 5076
Pitam Singh v. Ujagar Singh I. L.	R., 1 All., 651	993
Pitaye Ahung v. Girghee Koer Ajooah 11 W	. R., 365	5822
Pitchakutti Chetti v. Kamalla Nayakkan 1 Ma	d., 153 {	697, 3061 5804
<u> </u>	d., 148	3579 ·
Pitchayya v. Narasayya	R., 7 Mad., 246	1134
Pitchi v. Ankappa I. L.	R., 9 Mad., 102	961
	R., 8 Mad., 219	4661,5028
v. Suriya Rau I L. Pittumber Doss v. Rutton Bullub Doss W. R	R., 8 Mad., 520	4878 1356
Pitumber Doss v. Dwarkapershad 2 N.	W., 435	1363
Ditum Kanman aliga Munay Ribas at Joy Kishan		2301, 2329
Doss.	R, 101	2528
	R., Sup. Vol., 75: 2	2058
<u> </u>	R., 15. R., 8 Bom., 105	4435
Pogha Mahton v. Gooroo Baboo	7. R, 114	753
Pogose v. Anund Chunder Gohoo 1 W.	R., 81	4618
v. Aozan Bibee · · · · 18 V	7. R., 274	5627
	R., 3 Calc., 710, note	5938 590
(o t	R., 3 Calc., 174 . nd. Jur., N. S., 1: 6 W. ?	
	, Mis., 104.	3469
(In I	. R., 3 Calc., 708: 2 C. ?	186, 5938
(+1)	R., 278.	
	R., 10 Calc., 951	2585 5106
m Fulrumodduan Mahamad Ahsan alias Ali-)	•	1922
mooddeen Chowdhry.	V. R., 343	
v. Mokoond Chunder Surma · · · 25 V	7. R., 36	1750 4926
	V. R., 511	358
	7. R., 349	5072
Pokhraj Singh v. Gossain Munraj Pooree 12 V	V. R., 281	5467 ·
	Iyde, 289: Cor., 83:}	4603
T) !! 37	ourke, O. C., 1. m., A. C., 183	2304
Poll v. Narotum Bapu 6 Bo Pollard v. Mothial I. L.	R., 4 Mad., 234	102
Polokdhari Roy a Radha Parshad Singh	. R., 5 Calc., 50: 4 C. ?	204
	R., 342.	
	R., 8 Calc., 28: L. R.,)	204
Ponambilath Parapravan Kunhamod Haji v. Ponam-	R., 3 Mad., 169	3759,3761
bilath Parapravan Kuttiath Haji.		
Donomnilath Donomonon Kuthath Hail a Donomnilath)		
Denominate Denomination Vistoria Trail of Denominate)	R., 3 Mad., 79	3538•

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ponnambala Mudaliyar v. Varaguna Rama Pandia Chiunatambiar. Ponuappa Pillai v. Pappuvayyangar	7 Mad., 117 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 1 . I. L. R., 9 Mad., 343 .	2841 2169, 5393 2186
Ponnusami v. Thatha	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 273 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 222 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 69	2265 1541,5890 463 4099,5262
Ponnusami Nadan v. Dorasami Ayyan Ponnusami Pillai v. Pachai	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 203 . I. L. R., 2 Mad., 209 . I. L. R., 2 Mad., 336 .	5267 1148, 5905 1541 906, 2856
Ponnusamy Tevar v . Collector of Madura Ponnusawmi Taver v	3 Mad., 35 5 Mad., 6	5876 2617, 4572 1612
v. Watson & Co. Poolin Behary Sein v. Luteefoonnissa Bibee Poolunder Singh v. Rampershad	9 W. R., 190 Marsh., 107: 1 Hay, 242 2 Agra, 147	138, 4148 4401 1606, 5750 2084
Poona Churn Pal. In the matter of Poona Kooer. In the matter of the petition of .	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 447 . I. L. R., 1 Calc., 101 : 24 W.	59 4 5 5115
Poonen. Ex parte	R., 376. I. L. R., 1 Mad., 174 23 W. R., 419 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 270	3598 3364 4358
Poonoo Bibee v. Fyez Buksh	15 B. L. R., Ap., 5:23 W. R., 66. I. L. R., 7 Calc., 253:8 C.	1107
Poorna Chunder Sen v. Prosunno Coomar Dass Poornanund Surkhel v. Huro Soonduree Debia Poornimah Chowdhrain v. Dropodee Dossee Poorno Chunder Banerjee v. Ram Kanaye Ghose Poorno Chunder Bose v. Nobin Chunder Ghose Poorno Chunder Chatterjee v. Chunder Coomar Roy	L. R., 443. 13 W. R., 208 W. R., 1864, 103 24 W. R., 243 14 W. R., 77 24 W. R., 171	4513 3513 2349 5345 5399 5754
Poorno Chunder Coondoo v. Prosonno Coomar Sikdar Poorno Chunder Doss Chowdhry v. Sreenath Goopto Poorno Chunder Dutt v. Gopal Chunder Doss Poorno Chunder Gangooly v. Kishen Chunder Ghose	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 123 . 6 W. R., 173	3449 5325 3290 1140
Poorno Chunder Ghose v. Gobind Chunder Mookerjee Poorno Chunder Mookerjee. In the matter of Poorno Chunder Roy v. Balfour v. Beharee Lall Mookerjee	22 W. R., 28	4758 455 506 5607 578
v. Kristo Chunder Singh v. Nund Lall Ghose v. Stalkartt Poorno Doss v. Oojoodhiproshad Poorno Persad Roy v. Chunder Nath Chatterjee .	23 W. R., 171 7 W. R., 25 10 W. R., 362 3 W. R., Act X, 16 1 W. R., 249	4934 2926, 4413 2915 5776
Poorno Singh v. Hurry Churn Surmah	10 B. L. R., 117: 18 W. R.,	3711
Poorsuttum Chunder v. Gour Soonder Pandey Poorun Chand Galeecha v. Paresh Nath Singh Poorunmull v. Toolsee Ram Poorun Narain Dutt v. Kasheessuree Dossee Poorun Singh v. Kasheenath Singh	18 W. R., 283 12 W. R., 82 3 Agra, 350 3 W. R., 180 6 W. R., 20	5738 5264 2439 2247 3158
Poosun Lall Sahoo v. Gowrunbutty	15 B. L. R., 264 : 23 W. R., 365. 12 W. R., 201	2164 827
- ·	*	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Poran Bebee v. Ally Khan Poran Chunder Ghose v. Gopeenath Singh Poran Misra v. Harsaran Misra Poran Mundul Mollah v. Sham Chand Ghose Poran Sookh Chunder v. Parbutty Dossee Poresh Narain Mondul v. Khettro Monee Debia Poresh Narain Roy. In the matter of v. Kassi Chunder Talukdar v. Surut Soonduree Debee v. Watson v. Watson Poresh Narain Roy v. Poresh Narain Roy v. Poreshnath Mukerji v. Anathnath Deb Poreshnath Mundul v. Khettromonee Debia Poreshnath Roy v. Bishroop Dutt v. Gopal Kristo Deb Porgash Paray v. Hachim Poromanand Khasnabish v. Khepoo Paramanick Port Canning Company. In the matter of	20 W. R., 284 W. R., 1864, Act X, 16. 15 W. R., 61 7 W. R., 417 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 354	4394 6237, 6239 6151 4274, 5778 1431, 4520 5072 1487 4732 2991 6224 38, 4469 453 1592 1707 5118 5381 3151 5658 824 926
Port Canning Land Company. In the matter of	16 W. R., 208 21 W. R., 315: L. R., 1 I. A., 124.	4000, 4788
"Portugal." In the matter of the ship v. Postmaster of Bareilly v. Earle. Potitpabun Sen v. Chunder Caunt Mookerjee Potter v. Gentle Poulson v. Chowdhry v. Dunn v. Madhusudan Pal Chowdhry	6 B. L. R., 323 3 N. W., 195 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 329 Bourke, O. C., 41 2 W. R., 21 18 W. R., 11 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 101: 2 W.	5450
Powell. In the goods of	6 N. W., 54. 1 N. W., 133: Ed. 1873, 217.	6063 1590
Poynor Bibee v. Nujjoo Khan	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 437 : 5 C. L. R., 524.	4530
Prabhacara Row v. Potannah Prabhakarbhat v. Vishwambhar Prabhakar Chintaman Dikshit v. Pandurang Vinayak Dikshit,	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 1 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 313	3499, 3528 4425, 5873 4023 2706
Prabhuram Hagra & Pohincon	3 B. L. R., Ap., 49: 11 W.	2753, 4853
Prabhuram Hazra v. Robinson Prag Chaubey v. Bhajan Chaudhri Prag Das v. Hari Kishen	R., 398. I. L. R., 4 All., 291 I. L. R., 1 All., 503 3 N. W., 111 I. L. R., 5 All., 207	2514 36 403
v. Maxwell	I. L. R., 7 All., 284	3228, 328 3315, 560
Probled Missey at 11dit Novein Singh	9 Bom., 257 10 Bom., 346 1 B. L. R., A. C., 197: 10 W.	4879 3286, 525

Name of Case. Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Prahlad Sen v. Budhu Sing	
v. Durgaprasad Tewari	1030, 4179 6283
v. Gopee Bebee	2752, 2753
v. Rajendra Kishore Singh	66, 280 1030,4455
	142, 1030 3430
Praim Sookh v. Abbas Aly Pramada Dasi v. Lakhi Narain Mitter Pramatan Tupen Nambudripad v. Madatil Ramen Pramatan Rhusana Deb Roy v. Doorga Churn Bhat-	2917 4882 3763
tacharji.	4484
Pran Bandhu Chatterjee v. Madusudan Patra	1433 5411
Pran Chunder Roy v. Juggessur Mookerjee Prangour Mozoomdar v. Himanta Kumari Debya. Pranjivandas v. Himanta Kumari Debya. Pranjivandas Parijivandas v. Mayaram Samaldas. Pranjivandas Tulsidas v. Devkuvarbhai Pranjivan Dayaram v. Bai Reva Pranjivan Govardhondas v. Baju Pranjivan Govardhondas v. Baju Prankishen Banerjee v. Juggobundoo Dutt. Prankishen Bhuttacharjree v. Bukshee Cazee Prankishen Deb v. Lokenath Singh Mojoomdar Prankishen Paul Chowdhry v. Mothoora Mohun Paul Prankisher Paul Chowdhry v. Mothoora Mohun Paul	752 5321, 5482 1640 2616, 4565 2305 1344 605 3552, 3943 678, 679 4129 5133 4137 2359
Chowdhry. I. A., 403. Trankishen Surma v. Ramrooder Surma	5267
v. Mahomed Ameer	248, 316 4188 1163 1623 2492 3480 665 2551 1326 5740 1326 910 4256, 6031 1339, 2938
ankristo Deo v. Kalee Doss Deo	5784 2343

Property of the Control of the Contr		
Name of Casc.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Prankristo Roy v. Collector of Moorshedabad v. Huro Chunder Roy Prankumari Dasi v. Abinash Chandra Mookerjee Prannath Bhadoory v. Sreekant Lahoory Prannath Chowdhry v. Ganendro Mohun Tagore v. Kashinath Roy Chowdhry v. Mirnomoyee Chowdhry v. Ramrutton Roy v. Surnomoyee Dossee Prannath Mitter v. Mothoornauth Chuckerbutty v. Sumbhoo Chunder Nath Prannath Roy v. Troyluckonauth Roy Pran Nath Roy Chowdhry v. Kasheenath Chowdhry v. Mohehoodeen Ahmed v. Preonath Roy Chowdhry v. Rookea Begum v. Surnomoyee	15 W. R., 205 10 W. R., 435 9 B. L. R., 210 2 C. L. R., 257 3 W. R., 108 W. R., 1864, 169 W. R., F. B., 39 4 W. R., P. C., 37 9 Moore's I. A., 431 6 W. R., Mis., 65 7 W. R., 430 14 W. R., 284 2 W. R., Mis., 26 6 W. R., Mis., 26 6 W. R., Act X, 39	4343 2617 1214 313, 5143 3089 2342 1757 3983 3072 1926 441 3260 179 4149
v. Preonath Roy Chowdhry.	8 W. R., 398	4949
v. Nookea Beguin	7 Moore's I. A., 323 7 Moore's I. A., 553	3983 4634
Prannath Sandyal v. Ram Coomar Sandyal	2 C. L. R., 33	5060, 5769
Prannath Shaha. In the matter of the petition of	25 W. R., Cr., 69 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 96	3866, 5911 3033
Pran Nath Surma Jowardar v. Surut Chunder Bhutta- \\ charjee.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 460: 10 C. } L. R., 484.	2286
Pranputtee Kooer v. Lalla Futteh Bahadur Singh Pranshankar v. Prannath Mahanand	2 Hay, 608 1 Bom., 12	1414 5262
Prasanna Chandra Bose v. Prasanna Chandra Roy	7 B. L. R., Ap., 35: 15 W.)	2811
Prasanna Kumar Sandyal v. Mathuranath Banerjee	8 B. L. R., Ap., 26: 15 W.	1409
Prasannamayi Dasi v. Kadambini Dasi	R., 487. 3 B. L. R., O. C., 85	2541, 2822
Prasannamayi Debi v. Chandranath Chowdhry . {	2 B. L. R., S. N., 5: 10 W.	3801
Prasidha Narayan Koer v. Man Koch	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 330: 11 C.)	5177, 5183
Pratab Daji v. Bombay, Baroda, and Central India Railway Company.	L. R., 554. I. L. R., 1 Bom., 25	4703
Pratabnarayan Das v. Court of Wards	3 B. L. R., A. C., 21: 11 W.	2154
Pratap Chandra Binwa v. Swarnamayi	R., 343. 3 B. L. R., Ap., 81 : 12 W. R. 5.	3334, 3336
Pratab Chandra Burua v	4 B. L. R., F. B., 113: 13 W.	3825, 4873
Pratap Chandra Singh v. Mollwo, March, & Co	R., F. B., 15. 3 B. L. R., A. C., 238	4319
Pratapnarayan Mookerjee v. Madhu Sudan Mookerjee	8 B. L. R., 197: 16 W. R., ?	5109, 5632
Prataprav Gujar v. Bayaji Namaji	35. I. L. R., 3 Born., 141	1584
Prayag Singh. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 9 Cale, 103	4096
Preeonath Chowdhry v. Prannath Roy Chowdhry	6 C. L. R., 206 8 W. R., 100	4501 3535
Premabhai Hemabhai v. Brown	10 Bom, 319	4593
Premanund Ghose v. Shoorendronath Roy	20 W. R., 329	5191 486 7
Prem Chand Barik v. Brojonath Koondoo Chowdhry	10 W. R., 205	4152
Premchand Burral v. Collector of Calcutta Prem Chand Kybutta v. Huree Doss Kybutta	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 103	2949 3403
Prem Chand Laha v. Addoito Doss	20 W. R , 445	6280
Premchand Peparah v. Hulaschand Peparah . {	4 B. L. R., Ap., 23: 12 W. R., 494.	2419
	. ,	•

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Prem Chund Dan v. Darimba Debia	15 W. R., 238 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 358	2355 3223, 4295
Premji Trikamdas v. Madhowji Munji Prem Lall Chowdhry v. Brown	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 447	4601, 5530 1591
Prem Lall Gossamee v. Hosseeinoodeen	6 W. R., Act X, 92 13 W. R., 36	1920
Prem Moyee Bewah v. Nobin Chunder Chowdhry	4 W. R., Mis., 8	6041
Prem Narain Singh v. Parasram Singh v. Rooder Narain Singh .	L. R., 4 J. A., 101	1080
Premoo Beebee v. Dassoo Debia	10 W. R., 93	809
Prem Sahoo v. Nyamut Ali	6 W. R., Act X, 89	1614
Premshankar Raghunathji v. Government of Bombay. Prem Shewuk Doss v. Ishree Pershad	8 Bom., A. C., 195	2051, 5594
Prem Shook v. Bheekoo	2 W. R., 303 3 Agra, 212: Agra, F. B., 7	4209
(Ed. 1874, 149.	2811
Prem Sook v. Pirthee Ram Premsookh Chunder v. Rajkisto Mitter	2 Agra, 222	4438 770, 4676
Prem Soonduree Dossia v. Grish Chunder Bhuttacharjee	10 W. R., 194	6155
Prem Sukh Das v. Bhupia	I. L. R., 2 All., 517	2992
Preo Lall Gossain v. Gyan Turunginee Dossia Preo Madhub Sircar v. Bissumbhur Sircar	13 W. R., 161	5339 1911, 3519
Preonath Chowdhry v. Beepin Beharee Chuckerbutty .	11 W. R., 120	4272, 4938
v. Ramdhun	11 W. R., 104	177, 1195
Preonath Sircar. In the matter of the petition of President of the Municipal Commission, Guntur, v. Sri- kakulapu Padmarazu.	13 W. R., 325	672 3355
Prestomjee Dhunjeebhoy v. Gregory	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 412	2069 1646
Price v. Khilat Chandra Ghose	5 B. L. R., Ap., 50: 13 W.	506, 4976
Pringle v. Jafar Khan	R., 461. I. L. R., 5 All., 443	1070
Prithee Bullubh Pal Sree Chundum Mari Sultan v. Haradhun Shome.	22 W. R., 331	912
Prithee Ram Chowdhry v. Chidam Chundee Shaha .	8 W. R., 8	4152
Prithee Singh v. Court of Wards	23 W. R., 272	1843, 2280 2295
Pritun Koormee v. Edil Singh	18 W. R., 464	417
Priyanath Chowdhry v. Bepinbehari Chuckerbutty {	2 B. L. R., A. C., 237: 11 W. R., 120.	4272, 4938
dhry. v. Prasanna Chandra Roy Chow-	2 B. L. R., A. C., 249	177, 1195
Probhoo Doss v. Sheo Nath Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 27 20 W. R., 6	138 4421
v. Proby	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 357: 5 C.}	1553
Proceeds of the "Asia." In re	5 Bom., O. C., 64	637
Prodhan Gopaul Singh v. Bhoop Roy Ojha Durdhan Burn v. Lorin Kosar	9 W. R., 570	3190
Prodhan Ram v. Jeria Kooer Prohibitory notice under Bombay Regulation XII of	17 W. R., 189	675
1827. In the matter of.	8 Bom., Cr., 23	4094
Problem Chunder Doss In the matter of the petition	18 W. R., 247	1354
Prokash Chunder Doss. In the matter of the petition of.	12 W. R., Cr., 64	1047
Prokash Chunder Dass v. Tarachand Dass	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 82: 12 C. }	4774
Prokash Chunder Lahory v. Poorno Chunder Roy	21 W. R., 410	3541
Prokas Singh v. Jogeswar Singh	2 B. L. R., A. C., 12	3721, 3724

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Promotivo Dossce v. Radhika Pershad Dutt Promotho Nath Banerjee v. Jogendro Nath Roy Promotho Nath Ghose v. Jodoo Nath Sen Promothonath Roy v. Poorno Chunder Panerjee v. Tripoora Soonduree Dabee v. Watson & Co. Pronnoda Debia v. Prankishen Singh Prosad Doss Dutt v. Ramdhone Mullick Prosad Doss Mullick v. Kedar Nath Mullick Prosonna Nath Roy Chowdry v. Afzolonnessa Begum Prosent Charles Branch Mullick	14 B. L. R., 175	2239 2987 1405 1433 3838 3181 296, 1783 3986 497, 1132 497,1132
Prosonno Chunder Roy v. Land Mortgage Bank of India.	25 W. R., 453	1749
Prosonno Coomar Pal Chowdry v. Koylash Chunder	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 759: 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 327: 8 W.	4745, 4939 5058
Prosonno Coomar Pal Chowdhry v. Mudden Mohun Pal Chowdhry. Prosonno Narain Deb v. Romonec Dossee Prosonomoyi Devi v. Beni Madhab Rai Prosunno Chunder Banerjee v. Gource Dass Ehutta- charjee.	R., 428. 11 B. L. R., Ap., 31, note: 13 } W. R., 390. 10 W. R., 236 1. L. R., 5 All., 556 7 W. R., 478	545, 3184 4939 6272 4713 4411
v. Kally Persaud Ghose. Prosunno Chunder Bhuttacharjee v. Kristo Chytunno Pal. Prosunno Chunder Roy v. Mookoond Pershad Roy	W. R., 1864, 134	4883 4943 3511
Prosunno Chunder Roy Chowdhry. In re	7 W. R., 422 11 B. L. R., 372, note	5661 5863
Bose. v. Gyan Chunder	13 W. R., 354	3361
Prosunno Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Nubokristo Chatterjee.	18 W. R., 434	5863
Prosunno Coomar Chatterjee v. Empress v. Jagun Nath Baisak	8 C. L. R., 231	4102, 4360 3004
Prosumo Coomar Dutt v. Chytunno Churn Bidya-	25 W. R., 74	5777
Prosunno Coomaree Debea v. Rutton Bepary .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 696: 1 C. L. R., 577.	3004, 3046
Prosunno Coomar Ghose. In the matter of the petition of.	19 W. R., Cr., 56	5 15 7
v. Sham Lal Gungopadhya v. Tarrucknath Sircar	5 W. R., Mis., 8	$\begin{array}{c} 5620 \\ 2533 \end{array}$
Prosumo Coomar Ghuttuck v. Wooma Churn Mooker.	20 W. R., 274	2090
pee. Prosunno Coomar Mookerjee v. Buldeo Narain Singh.	18 W. R., 62	136
jee. v. Shama Churn Mooker-	17 W. R., 451	3369
Prosunno Coomar Paul Chowdhry v. Radha Nath Dey Chowdhry.	7 W. R., 97	1659
Prosunno Coomar Paul Chowdhry v. Ramdhun Chatter-	18 W. R., 8	549
Prosunno Coomar Roy v . Haran Chunder Chatterjee . Prosunno Coomar Roy Chowdhry v . Gooroochurn Sein .	5 C. L R., 599 3 W. R., 159	4000 4246

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Prosunno Coomar Roy Chowdhry v. Kashee Kant Bhuttacharjee.	5 W. R., Mis., 31	• 3204
Prosunno Coomar Shaha v. Gooroo Pershad Roy Prosunno Coomar Sircar v. Ram Coomar Parooey	1 W. R., 25 I. L. R., 4 Calc, 53	777 1994
Prosunno Kumari Debya v . Golab Chand Baboo .	14 B. L R., 450: 23 W. R., 253: L. R., 21. A., 145.	2253
Prosunno Moyee Dossee v. Bhubo Tarince Dossee .	10 W. R., 494	565, 4260
v. Doya Moyee Dossee v. Koonjo Beharee Chowdhry	22 W. R., 275	3342 2252
v. wooma Moyee Dossee	14 W. R., 409	2603
Prosumomoyi Dasi v. Kalidas Roy	9 C. L. R., 347	2984, 447;
Prosunnonath Dutt v. Judoonath Paul Prosunnonath Lahiree v. Tripoora Soonduree Dabee	9 W. R., 589	5127 1771 5886
Prosunno Nath Roy v. Jogut Chunder Pundit	3 C. L. R, 159	1771,5836 3813
Prosunno Pandey v. Gungaram	W. R., 1861, 2	3127
Prosuno Moyee Dossee v. Soondur Coomaree Debia .	'2 W. R, Act X, 30	7, 529, 530
Protab Chunder Burooah v. Kantaeswurree Dabee	2 W. R., 250	3235
Protab Chunder Burrooah v. Surnomoyee	19 W. R., 361 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 638: 7	1755 3403, 4959
Protab Chunder Dutt v. Koorbanissa Bibee	W. R., 253.	•
Protab Chunder Mukerjee v. Empress	14 W. R., 130	$\begin{array}{c} 879 \\ 263 \end{array}$
Protab Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Joymonee Dabee Chowdhrain.	1 W. R., 98	2489
Protap Chunder Banerjee v. Krishto Kishore Shaha	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 885	4407
Protap Chunder Borooah v. Collector of Gowalpara	22 W. R., 216	327,6094
v. Surno Moyee	14 W. R., 151	2699
Protap Chunder Chowdhry v. Shukhee Soondaree Dassee	2 C. L. R., 569	3374
Protap Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Panioty	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 506: 12 C. L. R, 488.	544()
Protap Chunder Dass v . Arathoon	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 455: 10 C. L. R., 413.	1114, 1717
v. Gour Chunder Roy	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 132 : 2 C. L. R., 455.	4619
Protap Chunder Doss v. Peary Chowdhrain . {	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 174: 9 C. L. R., 453.	1910, 5793
Protap Chunder Ghose. In the matter of the petition (I. L. R., 8 Calc., 848: 12 C. L. R., 407.	3808
Protap Chunder Roodro v. Huro Monee Dossia Protap Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Jogendro Chunder	24 W. R., 188	374
Ghose.	4 C. L. R., 168	4267
Protap Chunder Singh v. Chunder Coomar Roy	W. R., 1864, 76	5797
v. Gooroodoss Roy	W. R., 1864, 76	5797
Protap Narain Mookerjee v. Kartick Chunder Mookerjee	10 W. R., 192	678 4184
Protap Narain Singh v. Monohur Doss	W. R., 1864, 96	2187
Protima Aurat v. Dukhia Sirkar	9 B. L. R., Ap., 38: 18 W.	1094
Protona Kolita v. Mottea Kolita	11 W. R., 334	4764
Provabutty Dabee v. Mohendro Lall Bose	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 453	4508
Puchai Khan v. Abed Sirdar	21 W. R, 140	4146
Puchooa v. Mahomed Tala Assudoolah	2 Agra, 217	537, 13 45
Pudar Bindoo Mahantee v. Mohesh Chunder Sen Puddo Koomaree Debee v. Juggut Kishore Acharjee	20 W. R., 183 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 615	4455
audo Alboniarec Debec e, e uggut Mishbie Athai ice .	r. m. m., o care., 010	2140
	W. R., 1864, Act X 30	595
Ouddolabh Deo v. Obhoyram Singh	W. R., 1864, Act X, 30 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 133: 11 C.	535 112

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Puddo Lochun v. Sirdar Khan	12 W. R., 23 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 171: 5)	773
Puddolochun Bhadoori v . Chunder Nath Roy $oxedsymbol{\cdot}$. $igg\{$	W. R., Act X, 51.	1663
Peddomokey Dossee v. Shama Churn Chuckerbutty .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 226	4407
Puddomonee Dassee v Juggodumba Dassee	25 W. R., Cr., 2	4484, 4489 1176
Puddomonee Dossee v. Bancekant Ghose	5 W. R., 34.	1747
v. Dissessial David Chowally	. (1503, 1711
v. Dwarkanath Biswas	25 W. R., 335	2056, 2190 4311
v. Roy Muthooranath Chowdhry	12 B. L. R., 411: 20 W. R.,	438
Puddomonee Dossia v. Jholla Pally	7 W. R., 283	4172
v. Puromanund Sein	7 W. R., 158	1598 24 15, 2466
Puddum Mookhee Dossee v. Rayee Monee Dossee Pudishary Krishnen v. Karampally Kunhunni Kurup	12 W. R., 409	1100, 1560
Pudiyakovilagalla v. Allunannalatta Kadinni	1 Mad., 146	3442
Pudiyaporayil Mamy v. Madakarath Amman Kutti	3 Mad., 199	4757
Pudiyar Vasudavan Nambudripad v. Keyaka Kovila- } gatha Valia Rany.	4 Mad., 231	779
Pugardin Ravutan v. Moidinsa Ravutan	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 414 . {	172, 377 5953
Puhlwan Thakoor v. Godooree Koonwar	W. R., F. B., 142.	1585
Puhoop Singh v. Khooman	3 Agra, 313	2321
Puju bin Kadan v. Malhari bin Rama ·	1 Bom., 171	5631
Pulash Monee Dossee v. Anund Moyee Dossee	8 W. R., 398	190 4149
Pulin Behari Sen v . Watson	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 904: 9 } W. R., 190.	138, 4148 4401
Pulisanki Reddi v. Queen	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 20	1267
Pullen Chetty v. Ramalinga Chetty	5 Mad., 368	1393
Pultoo Roy v . Greedharee Singh \cdot	W. R., F. B., 12: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 5.	67
Pultun Singh v. Reshal Singh	1 W. R., 7	3079, 3982
Puna Bibee v. Khoda Buksh Beparee	22 W. R., 396	4915
Puna Karuppana Pillai v. Virabadra Pillai	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 277	5668
Punchanada Chetti v. Raman Chetti	1 Mad., 446	3481 4103
Punchanun Bose. In the matter of the petition of Punchanun Bose v. Oomanath Roy Chowdry	15 W. R., Cr., 41	292
Punchanun Bose v. Peary Mohun Deb	2 W. R., 225	1599, 4589
Punchanund Dass Chowdhry v. Taramoni Chowdrain .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 64	5852
Punchanund Ojhab v. Lalshan Misser	3 W. R., 140	$\frac{2492}{1201}$
Punchanun Ghose v. Brojendronarain Deb	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 38	4618
Punchanun Mullick v. Heera Lall Seal	1 W. R., 173	34
Punchanun Muzoomdar v. Doorga Nath Roy	W. R., 1864, 300	696
Punchanun Roy v. Modoosoodun Roy	W. R., 1864, 245	4980
v. Troyluckhomohinee Dossee	14 W. R., 466	6101 5997 5990
Punchanun Sircar v. Gunesh Mundul	8 W. R., 214	5827, 5830 2540
Punchum Singh v. Hoormutoonnissa	5 N. W., 64	5637
v. Mungle Singh	9 A ann Dr II 907	4011, 4257
		4596
Punjab v. Petum Singh	6 N. W., 192	1145 4575
	1	1386, 4872
Punju v. Oodoy	18 W. R, 337	5663
Punjum Singh v. Ameena Kliatoom	6 W. R., 6	3977, 4014

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Punna v. Juggur Nath Punnett v. Vivayak Pandurang	1 Agra, 236	3706 2626
Punnoo Singh v. Nirghin Singh	L. R., 310.	1601,6115
Punnun Singh v. Meher Ali Puran Chunder Chatterjee v. Greesh Chunder Chatterjee Puran Chunder Mookerjee v. Protap Narain Paul Puran Chunder Roy v. Juggessur Mookerjee Puran Dai v. Jai Narain Puran Mal v. Ali Khan v. Padma Puran Mudduck v. Ooday Chand Mullick Puran Santra v. Tajooddeen Puran Telee v. Bhuttoo Dome Purappavanalingam Chetti v. Nullasivan Chetti Purasara Bhattar v. Ranga Bhattar Purbhoo Doss v. Goma Bhujun Singh Purbhoo Narain Singh v. Lelanund Singh Purbhoo Tewaree v. Ramjeawun Patuck Purbhoddeen Mullick v. Molaem Bibee Purdimun Kishen v. Roushun Singh Puredag Singh v. Purtab Narayan Singh	H. R., 510. W. R., 1864. 365 9 W. R., 450 19 W. R., 120 17 W. R., 298 I. L. R., 4 All., 482 I. L. R., 1 All., 235 I. L. R., 2 All., 732 3 W. R., 29 5 W. R., Act X, 20 9 W. R., Cr., 5 1 Mad, 415 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 202 5 W. R., Mis., 4 2 W. R., 256 1 N. W., 65: Ed. 1873, 119 I. L. R., 8 Bonn., 196 1 Agra, 111 5 B. L. R., Ap., 20: 11 W. R., 253.	1380 5766 4457 3822 2198 487 2060, 2872 1360, 4565 4925 3629 1449, 2233 1406 447 3121 4868, 5062 2646 2746 3253 5316
Pureeag Lall v. Ram Jewan Lal	1 W. R., 264	4169
Pureeag Singh v. Jogessur Suhaye	8 W. R., 111 8 W. R., 280	1355 5796
v. Kheer Singh v. Shib Ram Chunder Mundul .	3 W. R., 165	66
Pureejan Khatoon v. Bykunt Chunder Chuckerbutty	7 W. R., 96	1423, 3351 3803 1432, 1724
Purce Soonduree Dabee v. Chunder Shekhur Ghossal Purce Soonduree Debia v. Bholanath Roodro Purcesh Narain Roy v. Watson Purcesh Nath Ghose Mundul v. Krishto Lall Dutt Purgas Rai v. Juggun Singh Puriag Bhuggut v. Donzelle Puriag Dutt v. Brojo Koonwar Purikcet Saho v. Radhakishen Sahoo Puri Sundari Debi v. Drobomayi Debi Purmanundas v. Cormack Purmanandas Joewandas. In re Purmanand Das Jiwandas v. Dharsey Virji v. Jamnabai Purmanund v. Orumbah Koer Purmanund Sein v. Puddo Monee Dossee Purmaya v. Sonde Shinivasapa Purmeshur Rai v. Hidayutoollah Purmessuree Dossee v. Nobin Chunder Tarun Purmessuree Dossee v. Nobin Chunder Tarun Purmessuree Narain Singh v. Romeezooddeen Ahmed Purmessuree Pershad Narain Singh v. Jankee Kooer Purmessur Ojha v. Goolbee	9 W. R., 380 15 W.R., 252 8 W. R., 159 23 W. R., 229 23 W. R., 50 2 Agra, Pt. II, 201 14 W. R., 21 9 W. R., 503 3 W. R., 503 3 W. R., 221 7 B. L. R., Ap., 10 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 326 I. L. R., 7 Bem., 109 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 101 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 49 W. R., 1864, 143 9 W. R., 349 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 459 I. N. W., 60: Ed. 1873, 114 24 W. R., 305 15 W. R., 326 5 W. R., 226 19 W. R., 90 11 W. R., 446	4914 4618 556 242 571 6130 1234 323 2020 4618 942 3073 4786, 4791 3432, 3910 2188 1643 4804 442 5425 1454 5116, 5123 339 2088, 2089 3746
Purmessur Singh v. Soroop Audhi Karee	13 W. R., Cr., 40 .	5155, 6265

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Purna Chandra Ganguli v. Madan Mohan Mazumdar	6 B. L. R., 617, note: 13 W. R., 67.	2885
Purna Chandra Mookorjee v. Sarada Charan Roy	3 B. L. R., Ap., 21:11 W.	1879, 192 7
Purna Chandra Roy v. Abhaya Chandra Roy	R., 241. 4 B. L. R., Ap., 40	403, 473
Purnamal Deka Kohta v. Mayaram Deka Kohta	10 C. L. R., 201	2853 2447
Purnamasi Dayi. In re Purna Narain Audhikar v. Hemokant Audhikar .	8 B. L. R., 395, note 6 C. L. R., 46	3348
Purnanund Asrum v. Rookinee Gooptani	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 793	1607
Purnima Chowdhrain v. Nittanand Shah	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 3: W. }	27
Purno Chunder Roy v. Sadut Ali	2 C. L. R., 31	1592
Puroma Soonduree Dossee v. Prollad Chunder Doss	12 W. R., 289	2996, 4786 94
v. Tara Soonduree Dossee	9 W. R., 343 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 50: 2 C. L. }	
Purran Chunder Ghose v. Mutty Lall Ghose Jahira	R., 543.	552
Purrunjote v. Deon Panday Purseedh Narain Singh v. Bissessur Dyal Singh	2 C. L. R., 352	6022 4200
Pursewalkum Hindu Janobacara Nidhi v. Narayana Acharry.	8 Mad., 198	921
Purshadee Lall v. Umbika Pershad Lall	11 W. R., 290	5581
Purshotam Bapu v. Dattatraya . Purshotamdass Tribhovandass v. Mahanant Suraj- ?	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 669 .	3034
bharthi Haribharthi.	I. L. R., 6 Born., 588	5418
Purshotam Lalbhai v. Bhavanji Partab	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 360 .	1517 3206
Purshotam Mancharam v. Abdul Latif Purshotam Mansukh v. Ramchhod Purshotam	6 Bom., O. C., 67 8 Bom., A. C., 152	663, 4948
Purshotam Shama Shenvi v. Vasudev Krishna Shenvi .	8 Bom., O. C., 196	2139, 2528
Purshotam Sidheshvar v. Dhondu Amrit	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 582 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 420	5892 2098
Purshottam Vithal v. Purshottam Iswar	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 532	5374, 5451
Pursidh Narain Rai v. Mahomed Shookool Huq .	1 N. W., 38: Ed. 1873, 35	4753
Pursid Narain Sing v. Honooman Sahay	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 845 : 5 C. L. R., 576.	2161, 2471
Purson Chund Golacha v. Kajooram }	10 B. L. R., 355: 19 W. R., 303.	5719
Pursoo Ram v. Byjnath Lall	10 W. R., 475	3106
Pursooram Barooa. In the matter of	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 117: 25 W. R., Cr., 52.	3608
Pursotum Doss v. Oodey Narain Mull	1 Agra, Mis., 3	436
Pursun Gopal Paul Chowdhry v. Poornanund Mullick. Pursun Lal v. Government	21 W R., 272	4892, 4977 1672
Pursut Koer v. Palut Rov	W. R., 1864, 102 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 442	3400
Purtab Chunder Borooah v. Bhuggobutty Dabea	Marsh., 59: 1 Hay, 131 .	191 2 4891
Purumsookh v. Soobhan Purus Ram v. Deo Koer	2 Agra, 323	167
v. Juyuntee Pershad	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 154.	762
Purvatha Vurdhay Nauchiar v. Jayareva Ramakomara Ettyapa Naicker.	4 W. R., P. C., 31	4127, 4647
Pusai v. Mahadeo Prasad	I. L. R., 6 All., 12	805
Pushong v. Munia Halwani	1 B. L. R., A. C., 95: 10 W. }	6128
Pusi v. Mahadeo Prasad	I. L. R., 3 All., 122	2200, 2213
Putali Meheti v. Tulja Putanvitil Teyan Nair v. Putanvitil Ragavan Nair	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 223	3183, 4976 3762
Putbulwant Rao v. Futtehooddeen	1. L. K., 4 Mad., 171 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 143	5827
Puthuram Chowdhry v. Kuthe Narain Chowdhry .	1 W. R., 124 · · ·	34

					-		
Nume of Ca	180.				_	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Putona Kolita v. Mutia Kolita Putooaram v. Sham Lall Sahoo Putsahee Kooer v. Sheopershad Puyikuth Ithayi Umah v. Kair Pyari Chand Mitter. In the m v. Frazer Pyari Lal v. Rooke Pyari Lall. In the matter of Pyari Mohan Mookerjee v. Kin Pyari Mohan Singh v. Gazi Pyaroo Tuhovildarinee v. Nazir Pylwan Jarkan Sahib Vasthath	Ram hirap atter	okil N of va	lamod	•		2 B. L. R., Ap., 46 7 W. R., 206 24 W. R., 61 1. L. R., 3 Mad., 248 6 B. L. R., 558 6 B. L. R., Ap., 40 3 B. L. R., A. C., 305: 12 W. R., 199 4 C. L. R., 504 2 B. L. R., A. C., 226 2 B. L. R., A. C., 337: 11 W. R., 270. 23 W. R., 183 8 Mad., 87	4764 4557 5753 3744 2660 3350, 4681 2844 1836 5831 1183, 1196 3473 3236
Q							
Quarme. In re						I. L. R., 8 Mad., 503	432
Quarry. In the matter of		•			{	I. L. R., 2 All., 511: L. R., 7	4635
Queen v. Abbas						2 Hyde, 247	5207
v. Abbas Ali Chowdhry		•	•	•	•	6 B. L. R., 74	4100
v. Abdool	•	•	•	•	•	W. R., 1864, Cr., 38	6189 1260
v. Abdool Azeez .	•	•	•	•	•	10 W. R., 23	5553
- v. Abdool Azeez Khan	:	:.	:	•	:	14 W. R., Cr., 36	963, 3631
- v. Abdool Huq .	•	•	•	•	•	20 W, R., Cr., 57	4729
v. Abdool Jaleel .	•	•	•	•	•	W. R., 1864, Cr., 5 14 W. R., Cr , 32	721, 4369
v. Abdool Kurreem v. Abdoollah .	•	•	•	•	•	14 W. R., Cr, 32	3615
- v. Abdul Ali	•	•	:	:	:	25 W. R., Cr. 45	2912
- v. Abdul Biswas .		•		•		7 B. L. R., 8, note	975
v. Abheen Parrida .	•	•	•	•	•	20 W. R., Cr., 17	5910 5552
v. Abool Hossein . v. Aboo Sheikh .	•	•	•	•	•	1 W. R., Cr., 48	5912
- v. Acheet Lall .	,	:	•	•	Ċ	17 W. R., Cr., 46	1155
v. Adapa Venkanna			•			I. L. R., 4 Mad., 327 · ·	3616
v. Adhya Thakoor	•	•	•	•	•	17 W. R., Cr., 33 2 N. W., 188	711 5821
v. Adjoodhya Pershad	•	•	•	•	:	W. R., 1864, Cr., 13	6265
- v. Ahmed Ali				•	:	22 W. R., Cr., 42	1272
v. Ahmed Ally						11 W. R., Cr., 25	1805, 1961
- v. Ahmedoollah .	-	•		-	-	2 W. R., Cr., 60 .	6273 2906
v. Aidrus Sahib .	:	:	•	:	:	1 Mad., 38	1963
v. Aimona		•				1 W. R., Cr., 43	4350
- v. Akbar Kazee .	•	•	•	•	•	1 W. R, Cr., 21	4710
v. Akulputtee Gossain	•	•	•	•	,	5 W. R., Cr., 58	2733
v. Ala Buksh .	•	•	•	•	{	R., Cr., 24.	4109
- v. Allah Buksh .					5	6 B. L. R., Ap., 133: 13 B.)	4332
	•	•	•	•	ş		1333
v. Aman	•	•	•	•	•	5 N. W., 130 6 W. R., Cr., 5	1804
" Amanoonun Monan	•	•	•	•	•	0	

	Name of Ca	ec.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
-	Amanulla .	• •	12 B. L. R., Ap., 15 : 21 V R., Cr., 49. 4 W. R., Cr., 20	Y·} 1290
v.	. Ambigara Hulagu	•	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 163 .	. 1022
	Ameer	•	2 N. W., 5	• 4449
•	Ameer Ali Khan Ameer Chand Nohatta	•	3 N. W., 133 13 W. R., Cr., 63 .	. 1962 . 6251, 6262
			7 B. L. R., 240: 15 W. 1	2.)
v.	Ameer Khan .	•	Cr., 69.	**', \} 6028
v.			7 B. L. R., 244, note .	_ •
v.			7 B. L. R., 250, note: 15 V	N. 5947
v.			R., Cr., 60. 2 N. W., 113	. 6258
	Ameerooddeen		1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 307	. 714
	A meeruddeen		12 W. R., Cr., 36 .	. 4100, 4361
	Amgad Bugeah		2 W. R., Cr., 61 , .	. 2938
v.	Amir Jan		7 N. W., 302	4353
v.	Amir Khan		6 B. L. R., Ap., 87, note: 3 W. R., Cr., 35.	12 { 725
v.	,	•	9 B. L. R., 36: 17 W. R., C.	r., 519, 180 3 - 2906
v.	Amirtam	•	I. L. R., 4 Mad , 335 .	. 5569
v.	Amiruddia .		7 B. L. R., 63: 15 W. R., C	
		•	25	6172
	Amiruddin Fakeer Anoo	•	21 W. R., Cr., 29	. 6270 . 5564
	Anthony	•	W. R., 1864, Cr., 16 . I. L. R., 6 Mad., 280 .	. 4112
	Anunto Chuckerbutty		14 B. L. R., 295, note: 22 V	
			R., Cr., 1.	١
	Anunto Rurnagat	•	6 W. R., Cr , 57 .	. 4055
	Arayi Araz Sircar .		I. L. R., 7 Mad., 17 . 10 W. R., Cr., 29 .	. 4691 . 3864
	Arum iga Nadan	•	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 200, note	4353
	Arunja Bewa .	•	19 W. R., Cr., 32	. 3862
	Ashruff Sheik	•	6 W. R., Cr., 91	. 6249
v.	Assanoollah .	•	13 W. R., Cr., 15	5154, 6262
v.	Asanulla	•	6 B. L. R., 693, note: 10 V	V. 4855
	4.1		R., Cr., 21.	(17, 2937
v.	Askur	•	W. R., 1864, Cr., 12	5291
	Assam Shureoff .		13 W. R., Cr., 75	. 1671
	Assuf Ali Khan	•	3 N. W., 126	. 3614
	Audhun Roy	•	14 W. R., Cr., 24	. 1967 . 2007
	Azımoodeen Baboolun Hijrah	•	11 W. R., Cr., 15 5 W. R., Cr., 7	706, 1335
	Bachu		7 N. W., 328	4724
	Bachun Kadir .	•	23 W. R., Cr., 6	490
v.	Bahadur Singh	•	4 N. W., 128	. 2441, 3739
	Baijoo Chowdhry	•	25 W. R., Cr., 43	. 24, 1021
	Baijoo Koormee	•	5 W. R., Cr., 76	· 955 · 1314
·0.	Baikantha Nath Baner	700	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 450 . 3 B. L. R., F. B., 2, note	. 1314
v.	Bajo Huri		19 W. R., Cr., 37	5883
	Bakhoree Chowbey	•	5 W. R., Cr., 98	. 1980
	Baktear Maifaraz	•	6 B. L. R., 698: 15 W. F. Cr., 64.	4920
v.	Bakur Khan .	•	5 N. W., 213	. 1020

w. Bebheki Pathak 21 W. R., Cr., 12 489 v. Bechoo Saout 19 W. R., Cr., 35 1331 v. Beegun Mahtoon 12 W. R., Cr., 37 4368 v. Beegarayi Krishna Saranu I. L. R., 6 Mad., 373 5882 v. Begum 2 N. W., 349 4112 v. Behare Dosadh 7 W. R., Cr., 7 1788, 615 v. Behary Lall Bose 7 W. R., Cr., 44 25 v. Behary Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 3 4451 w. Behary Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 3 4451 w. Belilios 20 W. R., Cr., 61 4628 v. Belilios 20 W. R., Cr., 61 4628 w. Bhadoo Poramanick 4 W. R., Cr., 23 2584 v. Bhagai Dafadar 8 W. R., Cr., 23 2584 v. Bhagai Dafadar 8 W. R., Cr., 23 2584 v. Bhajain Misser 7 W. R., Cr., 53 2901 v. Bhakoas Tutum 7 W. R., Cr., 53 2901 v. Bhamour Doosadh 7 W. R., Cr., 51 709 v. Bhaekoe Kalwar 10 B. L. R., Ap., 10: 19 W. 1855 v. Bheekoo Kalwar 10 B. L. R., Ap., 10: 19 W. 2624 v. Bheekoo Singh										
Second S			Name of C	ase.		•			Volume and Page.	of
Second S	Oueen	n. Balkishen							2 N. W. 447	959
### Banda Ali C		v. ———		•		•.	•	{	3 N. W., 166: Agra, F. B., ?	
The state of the		v. Ballabh K	ant Bhatta	charie	90			5	7 B. L. R., 324, note: 11 W. ?	4493
## Process of the content of the con			20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	ona, j				5		
w. Bances Mahub Ghose 18 W. R., Cr., 46 2011 r. Bancssur Biswas 18 W. R., Cr., 46 2011 r. Bani Doss 14 W. R., Cr., 23 5548 v. Bani Madhub Banerjee 14 W. R., Cr., 36 6278 v. Bascoram Dass 19 W. R., Cr., 36 6278 v. Bascoram Dass 19 W. R., Cr., 36 6278 v. Basco Rannah 2 W. R., Cr., 32 5996 v. Bawul Singh 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 306 3614 v. Bawul Singh 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 306 3614 v. Bebbeki Pathak 2 W. R., Cr., 47 4891 v. Bechoo Saout 19 W. R., Cr., 37 4368 v. Bechoo Saout 19 W. R., Cr., 37 4368 v. Beegum Mahtoon 1 L. R., 6 Mad., 373 4812 v. Begarayi Krishna Saranu 1 L. R., 6 Mad., 373 4812 v. Behare Dosadh 7 W. R., Cr., 37 4368 v. Behary Lall Bose 7 W. R., Cr., 41 25 v. Behary Lall Bose 7 W. R., Cr., 44 4512 v. Behary Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 61 4451 v. Behary Sing			huh Doss	•	•	•	•	į	R., Cr, 7.	
T. Banessur Biswas				•	•	•	•	•		
- v. Bani Madhab Banerjee 14 W. R., Cr., 23 5548 - v. Basi Madhab Banerjee 14 W. R., Cr., 36 6278 - v. Bassoo Rannah 2 W. R., Cr., 32 5596 - v. Bawool Manjee 8 W. R., Cr., 32 5596 - v. Bawol Singh 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 306 3614 - v. Bawul Singh 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 306 3614 - v. Bebheki Pathak 21 W. R., Cr., 32 139 - v. Bebheki Pathak 21 W. R., Cr., 42 489 - v. Bechoo Saout 19 W. R., Cr., 35 1331 - v. Bechoo Saout 19 W. R., Cr., 35 1331 - v. Beegun Mahtoon 12 W. R., Cr., 35 1331 - v. Beegun Mahtoon 12 W. R., Cr., 35 1331 - v. Beegun Mahtoon 12 W. R., Cr., 33 5882 - v. Beegun Mahtoon 12 W. R., Cr., 33 5882 - v. Beegun Mahtoon 12 W. R., Cr., 44 25 - v. Belaut Search 7 W. R., Cr., 44 25 - v. Behary Endl Bose 7 W. R., Cr., 44 25 - v. Belilios 20 W. R., Cr., 61 4628					•	•	•	•		
∞. Bani Madhub Banerjee 14 W. R., Cr., 23 503 r. Bassooram Dass 19 W. R., Cr., 36 6278 w. Bassoo Rannah 2 W. R., Cr., 29 5555 v. Bawol Manjee 8 W. R., Cr., 32 5996 v. Bawul Singh 1 N. W., Ed., 1873, 306 3614 w. Bawul Singh 1 N. W., Ed., 1873, 306 3614 w. Bebheki Pathak 21 W. R., Cr., 37 4368 v. Bebheki Pathak 21 W. R., Cr., 47 489 v. Bechoo Saout 19 W. R., Cr., 35 1331 v. Bechoo Saout 12 W. R., Cr., 37 4368 v. Bechoo Saout 12 W. R., Cr., 37 4368 v. Begum Mahtoon 12 W. R., Cr., 37 4368 v. Begum Mahtoon 12 W. R., Cr., 37 4368 v. Behare Posadh 7 W. R., Cr., 44 25 v. Behare Posadh 7 W. R., Cr., 44 25 v. Behary Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 44 25 v. Belat Ali 20 W. R., Cr., 61 4428 v. Belaty Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 61 4428 v. Belady Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 6			oiswas	•	•	•	•	•	14 W D (1., 6)	
T. Basooram Dass 19 W. R., Cr., 36 6278 v. Basoo Rannah 2 W. R., Cr., 29 5555 v. Bawool Manjee 8 W. R., Cr., 32 5996 v. Bawul Singh 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 306 3614 w. Bawul Singh 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 306 3614 w. Bebaki Pathak 2 W. R., Cr., 47 489 v. Bechoo Saout 19 W. R., Cr., 35 1331 v. Becgun Mahtoon 12 W. R., Cr., 36 4368 v. Beegun 2 N. W., 349 4112 v. Benare Dosadh 7 W. R., Cr., 7 1788, 515 v. Behare Dosadh 7 W. R., Cr., 7 1788, 515 v. Behary Lall Bose 7 W. R., Cr., 61 4628 v. Behary Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 61 4628 v. Behilios 20 W. R., Cr., 61 4628 v. Behardo Poramanick 4 W. R., Cr., 23 2584 v. Bhagai Dafadar 2 B. L. R., 9, note 975 v. Bhagai Dafadar 2 B. L. R., F. B., 21: 10 W.} 1040, 289 v. Bharo Misser 7 W. R., Cr., 53 709 v. Bharo Misser 7 W. R., Cr., 13 1962 v. Bhekoo Kalwar <			b. D	•	•	•	•	•	14 W. R., Ur., Z	
w. Bassoo Rannah 2 W. R., Cr., 29 5555 w. Bawool Manjee 8 W. R., Cr., 32 5996 w. Bawool Manjee 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 306 3614 w. Bawul Singh 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 306 3614 w. Bawul Singh 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 306 3614 w. Bechol Saout 2 W. R., Cr., 12 489 w. Bechoo Saout 19 W. R., Cr., 35 1331 w. Beegun Maltoon 12 W. R., Cr., 37 4368 w. Beegun Maltoon 12 W. R., Cr., 37 4368 w. Beegun Maltoon 12 W. R., Cr., 37 4368 w. Beegun Maltoon 12 W. R., Cr., 37 4368 w. Beegun Maltoon 2 N. W., 349 4112 w. Behare Dosadh 7 W. R., Cr., 4 25 w. Behare Dosadh 7 W. R., Cr., 44 25 w. Belatios 7 W. R., Cr., 3 44451 w. Belilios 20 W. R., Cr., 61 428 w. Belilios 20 W. R., Cr., 61 428 w. Beladoo Poramanick 4 W. R., Cr., 29 2582 w. Bhadoo Poramanick 4 W. R., Cr., 53 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>ee</td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td>				ee	•	•	•	•		
• R. Bawol Singh 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 306 5996 • v. Bawul Singh 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 306 8614 • v. Bebheki Pathak 21 W. R., Cr., 47. 2489 • v. Bechoo Saout 19 W. R., Cr., 35 1331 • v. Beegun Mahtoon 12 W. R., Cr., 37 4368 • v. Began Krishna Saranu 1. L. R., 6 Mad., 373 5882 • v. Behare Dosadh 7 W. R., Cr., 7 1788, 615 • v. Behare Dosadh 7 W. R., Cr., 44 25 • v. Behary Lall Bose 7 W. R., Cr., 44 25 • v. Behary Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 3 4451 • v. Behary Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 44 25 • v. Behary Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 44 25 • v. Behary Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 44 25 • v. Behary Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 44 25 • v. Behary Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 3 4451 • v. Behary Billios 20 W. R., Cr., 43 25 • v. Behary Billios 20 W. R., Cr., 67 20 W. R., Cr., 23 2582 • v. Behary Billios 20 W. R., Cr., 23 2582 • v. Bhagala Bafaar 2 B. L. R., 9, note 1040,				•	•	•	•	•	19 W. R., Cr., 36	
w. Bawul Singh 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 306 3614 w. Baxu 8 L. R., Sup. Vol., 750: 8 713, 126 w. Bechoo Saout 19 W. R., Cr., 35 1331 w. Beegun Mahtoon 12 W. R., Cr., 37 4368 w. Beegun Mahtoon 12 W. R., Cr., 37 4368 w. Begun 2 N. W., 349 4112 w. Behary Elall Bose 7 W. R., Cr., 7 1788, 515 w. Behary Lall Bose 7 W. R., Cr., 34 25 w. Behary Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 3 4451 w. Belary Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 3 4451 w. Belain Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 3 4451 w. Belair Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 44 25 w. Belair Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 3 4451 w. Belair Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 61 4628 w. Belair Singh 2 W. R., Cr., 61 4628 w. Belair Singh 4 W. R., Cr., 29 2582 w. Belair Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 23 2584 w. Belair Sathran 7 B. L. R., P. B., 21: 10 W. R. 1040, 289 w. Bhagai Dafadar 8 W. R., Cr., 53 2901 w. Bhair Sharo 7 W. R., C				•	•	•	•	•	2 W. R., Cr., 29	
Tr. Bazu				•	•	•	•	•		
W. R., Cr., 47. 3		v. Bawul Sing	gh.	•	•	•	•	•		3614
w. Bebkeki Pathak 21 W. R., Cr., 12 489 w. Bechoo Saout 19 W. R., Cr., 35 1331 v. Beegum Mahtoon 12 W. R., Cr., 37 4368 n. Begarayi Krishna Saranu I. L. R., 6 Mad., 373 5882 v. Beharer Dosadh 7 W. R., Cr., 7 1788, 515 v. Behary Lall Bose 7 W. R., Cr., 44 25 v. Behary Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 44 25 v. Behary Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 3 4451 v. Behary Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 44 25 v. Behary Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 43 453 v. Behary Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 61 4628 v. Behary Singh 20 W. R., Cr., 61 4628 v. Behary Singh 4 W. R., Cr., 23 2584 v. Behary Singh 4 W. R., Cr., 23 2582 v. Behary Singh 4 W. R., Cr., 23 2582 v. Bhagada Sathran 7 B. L. R., 9, note 975 v. Bhagaid Dafadar 8 W. R., Cr., 23 2584 v. Bhagidhone Katchari 8 W. R., Cr., 53 2901 v. Bhairon Dossadh 7 W. R., Cr., 13 1962 v. Bharvat Chunder <td< td=""><td></td><td>v: Bazu</td><td></td><td>•</td><td></td><td>•</td><td></td><td>{</td><td></td><td>713, 1267</td></td<>		v: Bazu		•		•		{		713, 1267
v. Beedon Saout 19 W. R., Cr., 35 1331 v. Beegun Mahtoon 12 W. R., Cr., 37 4368 v. Begarayi Krishna Saranu 1. L. R., 6 Mad., 373 5882 v. Beyn 2 N. W., 349 4112 v. Behare Dosadh 7 W. R., Cr., 7 1788, 515 v. Behary Lall Bose 7 W. R., Cr., 44 25 v. Behary Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 3 4451 w. Belat Ali 10 B. L. R., 453: 19 W. R., 1022 4451 v. Belilios 20 W. R., Cr., 61 4628 v. Belilios 20 W. R., Cr., 61 4628 v. Bhadoo Poramanick 4 W. R., Cr., 29 2582 v. Bhagai Dafadar 2 B. L. R., 9 note 975 v. Bhagai Dafadar 2 B. L. R., 9 note 1040, 289 w. Bhajari Misser 7 W. R., Cr., 53 2901 v. Bhairo Misser 7 W. R., Cr., 53 2901 v. Bhairo Misser 7 W. R., Cr., 13 1962 v. Bhaewat Tutum 7 W. R., Cr., 41 5573 v. Bhaebeckee 4 N. W., 16 1855 v. Bheekoo Kalwar 10 B. L. R., Ap., 10: 19 W. R., Cr., 21, 179 v. Bheekoo Singh <t< td=""><td></td><td>v. Bebbeki Pa</td><td>thak.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>21 W. R., Cr., 12</td><td>489</td></t<>		v. Bebbeki Pa	thak.						21 W. R., Cr., 12	489
v. Beegun Mahtoon 12 W. R., Cr., 37 4368 v. Begarayi Krishna Saranu I. L. R., 6 Mad, 373 5882 v. Behare Dosadh 7 W. R., Cr., 7 1788, 515 v. Behary Lall Bose 7 W. R., Cr., 4 25 v. Behary Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 43 4451 v. Belat Ali 10 B. L. R., 453: 19 W. R., Cr., 61 20 W. R., Cr., 61 v. Belilios 20 W. R., Cr., 61 4628 v. Beshor Bewa 18 W. R., Cr., 29 2582 v. Bhadoo Poramanick 4 W. R., Cr., 23 2584 v. Bhagai Dafadar 2 B. L. R., F. B., 21: 10 W. R., Cr., 43 1040, 289 v. Bhagidhone Katchari 8 W. R., Cr., 53 2901 v. Bhakoas Tutum 7 W. R., Cr., 53 2901 v. Bhakoas Tutum 7 W. R., Cr., 51 1040, 289 v. Bhacekee 4 N. W., 16 109, 180 v. Bhacekoe 4 N. W., 16 109, 180 v. Bheekoo Kalwar 4 N. W., 16 109, 180 v. Bheekoo Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 30 4533 v. Bhikaree 4 N. W., 88 971 v. Bhito Kahar 15 W. R., Cr., 63 1800										
N. Begarayi Krishna Saranu					-	-	•			
v. Begum 2 N. W., 349 4112 v. Behare Dosadh 7 W. R., Cr., 7 1788, 515 v. Behary Lall Bose 7 W. R., Cr., 44 25 v. Behary Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 3 4451 v. Belat Ali 10 B. L. R., 453: 19 W. R., Cr., 61 628 v. Belilios 20 W. R., Cr., 61 4628 v. Behado Bewa 18 W. R., Cr., 29 2582 v. Bhadoo Poramanick 4 W. R., Cr., 23 2584 v. Bhagai Dafadar 7 B. L. R., 9, note 975 v. Bhagai Dafadar 8 W. R., Cr., 53 2901 v. Bhagidhone Katchari 8 W. R., Cr., 53 2901 v. Bhakoas Tutum 7 W. R., Cr., 51 709 v. Bhannour Doosadh 7 W. R., Cr., 41 5573 v. Bheekee 4 N. W., 16 10 B. L. R., Ap., 10: 19 W. v. Bheekoo Kalwar 8 W. R., Cr., 15. 10 B. L. R., Ap., 10: 19 W. v. Bheekoo Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 108 721, 179 v. Bhekoo Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 108 721, 179 v. Bhista bin Madanna 1 L. R., 1 Bonn., 308 1800 v. Bhola Nath Banerjee 7 W. R., Cr., 31 5005					•	•	•			
v. Behare Dosadh 7 W. R., Cr., 4 1788, 515 v. Behary Lall Bose 7 W. R., Cr., 44 25 v. Behary Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 3 4451 v. Belat Ali {10 B. L. R., 453:19 W. R., Cr., 67. 4628 v. Belilios 20 W. R., Cr., 61 4628 v. Beshor Bewa 18 W. R., Cr., 29 2582 v. Bhadoo Poramanick 4 W. R., Cr., 23 975 v. Bhagai Dafadar 2 B. L. R., F. B., 21:10 W.} 8 W. R., Cr., 53 975 v. Bhagai Dafadar 2 B. L. R., F. B., 21:10 W.} 1040, 289 v. Bhagidhone Katchari 8 W. R., Cr., 53 2901 v. Bhairo Misser 7 W. R., Cr., 51 709 v. Bhakoas Tutum 7 W. R., Cr., 51 709 v. Bharut Chunder 1 W. R., Cr., 41 5573 v. Bharut Chunder 1 W. R., Cr., 2 721, 599 v. Bheekee 4 N. W., 16 {109, 180 v. Bheekun Doss 7 W. R., Cr., 15. 2624 v. Bheekun Doss 7 W. R., Cr., 108 721, 179 v. Bhekoo Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 108 721, 179 v. Bhikaree 15 W. R., Cr., 63 1800				anu	•	•	•			
v. Behary Lall Bose 7 W. R., Cr., 44 25 v. Behary Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 3 4451 v. Belat Ali { 10 B. L. R., 453: 19 W. R., Cr., 61 Cr., 67. Cr., 67. Cr., 67. 4628 v. Belilios 20 W. R., Cr., 61 Access 4628 v. Beshor Bewa 18 W. R., Cr., 29 Access 2582 v. Bhadoo Poramanick 4 W. R., Cr., 23 Access 2584 v. Bhagai Dafadar { 2 B. L. R., F. B., 21: 10 W.} R., Cr., 23 975 v. Bhagai Dafadar { 2 B. L. R., F. B., 21: 10 W.} R., Cr., 53 2901 v. Bhasiro Misser 7 W. R., Cr., 53 2901 v. Bhakosa Tutum 7 W. R., Cr., 51 709 v. Bhanour Doosadh 7 W. R., Cr., 41 5573 v. Bhaekeeke 4 N. W., 16 { 10 B. L. R., Ap., 10: 19 W.} R., Cr., 22 721, 599 v. Bheekoo Kalwar { 10 B. L. R., Ap., 10: 19 W.} R., Cr., 159 2624 v. Bheekoo Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 114 1791 v. Bheekoo Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 108 721, 179 v. Bhikaree 4 N. W., 88 971 v. Bhikaree 15 W. R., Cr., 63 1800 v. Bholanath Banerjee 7 W. R., Cr., 31 195		_ 9		•	•	•	•	•		
v. Behary Singh 7 W. R. Cr., 3 4451 v. Belat Ali 10 B. L. R., 453: 19 W. R., Cr., 67. 1022 v. Beshor Bewa 20 W. R., Cr., 61 4628 v. Beshor Bewa 18 W. R., Cr., 29 2582 v. Bhadoo Poramanick 4 W. R., Cr., 23 2584 v. Bhagai Dafadar 7 B. L. R., 9, note 975 v. Bhagai Dafadar 8 W. R., Cr., 53 2901 v. Bhajiro Misser 7 W. R., Cr., 51 709 v. Bhakoas Tutum 7 W. R., Cr., 51 709 v. Bhamour Doosadh 7 W. R., Cr., 13 1962 v. Bharut Chunder 1 W. R., Cr., 2 721, 599 v. Bheekoo Kalwar 10 B. L. R., Ap., 10: 19 W. 1855 v. Bheekun Doss 7 W. R., Cr., 30 4533 v. Bheekun Doss 7 W. R., Cr., 30 4533 v. Bheekun Doss 7 W. R., Cr., 114 1791 v. Bheekun Doss 7 W. R., Cr., 108 721, 179 v. Bhekun Doss 7 W. R., Cr., 108 721, 179 v. Bhekun Doss 7 W. R., Cr., 108 721, 179 v. Bhekun Doss 7 W. R., Cr., 13 1953, 198 v. Bhikare<				•	•	•	•	•		
v. Belat Ali { 10 B. L. R., 453:19 W. R., Cr., 67. 1022 v. Belilios 20 W. R., Cr., 61 4628 v. Beshor Bewa 18 W. R., Cr., 29 2582 v. Bhadoo Poramanick 4 W. R., Cr., 23 2584 v. Bhagai Dafadar 7 B. L. R., 9, note 975 v. Bhagai Dafadar 8 W. R., Cr., 23 2901 v. Bhagai Dafadar 8 W. R., Cr., 53 2901 v. Bhairo Misser 7 W. R., Cr., 51 709 v. Bhakosa Tutum 7 W. R., Cr., 51 709 v. Bhakosa Tutum 7 W. R., Cr., 13 1962 v. Bharut Chunder 1 W. R., Cr., 41 5573 v. Bharut Chunder 1 W. R., Cr., 2 721, 599 v. Bheekoe Kalwar 10 B. L. R., Ap., 10:19 W. R., 1853 2624 v. Bheekun 8 W. R., Cr., 15. 2624 v. Bheekun Doss 7 W. R., Cr., 14. 1791 v. Bheekoo Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 108 721, 179 v. Bhitto Kahar 15 W. R., Cr., 63 1800 v. Bhitto Kahar 1 Ind. Jur., 0. S., 123 1953, 198 v. Bhola Nath Banerjee 7 W. R., Cr., 31 975				•	•	•		•		
Cr., 67. Cr., 68. Cr., 68. Cr., 68. Cr., 61. Cr., 29. Cr., 2582. Cr., 61. Cr., 23. Cr., 2584. Cr., 23. Cr., 2584. Cr., 42. Cr., 43. Cr., 43. Cr., 43. Cr., 43. Cr., 43. Cr., 51. Cr., 69. Cr., 41. Cr., 5573. Cr., 61. Cr., 62. Cr., 63. Cr., 64. Cr., 64. Cr., 64. Cr., 66. Cr., 28. Cr., 27. Cr., 57. C		v. Behary Sin	gh.	•	•	•	•	•,		4451
v. Belilios 20 W. R., Cr., 61 4628 v. Beshor Bewa 18 W. R., Cr., 29 2582 v. Bhadoo Poramanick 4 W. R., Cr., 23 2584 v. Bhagabati Sathran 7 B. L. R., 9, note 975 w. Bhagai Dafadar 2 B. L. R., F. B., 21 : 10 W. R., Cr., 43 1040, 289 w. Bhagai Dafadar 8 W. R., Cr., 53 2901 w. Bhagai Dafadar 7 W. R., Cr., 53 2901 w. Bhagai Dafadar 7 W. R., Cr., 53 2901 w. Bhagai Dafadar 7 W. R., Cr., 53 2901 w. Bhagai Dafadar 7 W. R., Cr., 53 2901 w. Bhagai Dafadar 7 W. R., Cr., 53 2901 w. Bhagai Dafadar 7 W. R., Cr., 53 2901 w. Bhagai Dafadar 7 W. R., Cr., 53 2901 w. Bhagai Dafadar 7 W. R., Cr., 53 2901 w. Bhagai Dafadar 7 W. R., Cr., 43 2901 w. Bhagai Dafadar 1 W. R., Cr., 43 2901 w. Bhagai Dafadar 1 W. R., Cr., 41 5573 w. Bhagai Dafadar 1 W. R., Cr., 41 1040, 289 w. Bhaecker 4 N. W., 16 1040, 299 W. Bheek		v. Belat Ali		•	•	•		{		1022
v. Beshor Bewa 18 W. R., Cr., 29 2582 v. Bhadoo Poramanick 4 W. R., Cr., 23 2584 v. Bhagabati Sathran 7 B. L. R., 9, note 975 v. Bhagai Dafadar 2 B. L. R., F. B., 21 : 10 W. R., Cr., 43 1040, 289 w. Bhagidhone Katchari 8 W. R., Cr., 53 2901 v. Bhairo Misser 7 W. R., Cr., 51 709 v. Bhakoas Tutum 7 W. R., Cr., 51 1962 v. Bhamour Doosadh 7 W. R., Cr., 41 5573 v. Bheebeekee 4 N. W., 16 108, L. R., Ap., 10 : 19 W. R. 1855 v. Bheekoo Kalwar 10 B. L. R., Ap., 10 : 19 W. R. 1862 v. Bheekun Doss 7 W. R., Cr., 15 1791 v. Bheekun Doss 7 W. R., Cr., 14 1791 v. Bhekoo Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 16 1791 v. Bhekoo Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 108 721, 179 v. Bhista bin Madanna 1 L. R., 1 Boun, 308 389 v. Bhohisan Mahatoon W. R., 1864, Cr., 3 1953, 198 v. Bhola Nath Banerjee 7 W. R., 1864, 16 W. R., Cr., 3 5605 v. Bholanath Mookerjee 2 R., Cr., 57 1262, 276		v. Belilios								4628
w. Bhadoo Poramanick 4 W. R., Cr., 23 2584 w. Bhagabati Sathran 7 B. L. R., 9, note 975 w. Bhagai Dafadar 2 B. L. R., F. B., 21: 10 W. R., Cr., 43. 1040, 289 w. Bhagidhone Katchari 8 W. R., Cr., 53 2901 w. Bhairo Misser 7 W. R., Cr., 53 2901 w. Bhakoas Tutum 7 W. R., Cr., 13 1962 w. Bhanour Doosadh 7 W. R., Cr., 41 5573 w. Bheebeekee 4 N. W., 16 10 B. L. R., Ap., 10: 19 W. R., Cr., 21, 15. w. Bheekoo Kalwar 8 W. R., Cr., 15. 10 B. L. R., Ap., 10: 19 W. R., 2624 w. Bheekun Doss 7 W. R., Cr., 30 4533 w. Bheekun Doss 7 W. R., Cr., 108 721, 179 w. Bhekoo Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 108 721, 179 w. Bhikaree 4 N. W., 88 971 w. Bhikaree 15 W. R., 1 Bonn., 308 389 w. Bhito Kahar 11 Ind. Jur., O. S., 123 1953, 198 w. Bholanath Mahatoon W. R., 1864, Cr., 3 5005 w. Bholanath Mookerjee 7 W. R., Cr., 31 7 W. R., Cr., 31 7 T., 28. w. Bholanath Sen 1 L. R., 2 Calc., 23: 25 W. R., Cr., 57 126			va.	-		-				2582
				_						
							-	·		l .
w. Bhagidhone Katchari 18. K. Cr., 43. 2901 w. Bhairo Misser 7 W. R., Cr., 53 2901 w. Bhakoas Tutum 7 W. R., Cr., 13 1962 w. Bhamour Doosadh 7 W. R., Cr., 41 5573 w. Bharut Chunder 1 W. R., Cr., 2 721, 599 w. Bheebeekee 4 N. W., 16 1009, 180 1855 10 B. L. R., Ap., 10: 19 W. 2624 R., Cr., 15. 8 W. R., Cr., 30 4533 w. Bheekun Doss 7 W. R., Cr., 114 1791 w. Bheekoo Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 108 721, 179 w. Bhikaree 4 N. W., 88 971 w. Bhista bin Madanna 1. L. R., 1 Bonn., 308 389 w. Bhohisan Mahatoon W. R., 1864, Cr., 3 5605 w. Bhola Nath Banerjee 7 W. R., Cr., 31 975 w. Bholanath Mookerjee 1. L. R., 2 Calc., 23: 25 W. 1262, 276 w. Bholanath Sen 201 1262, 276		_		•					2 B. L. R., F. B., 21 : 10 W.	
w. Bhairo Misser 7 W. R., Cr., 51 709 w. Bhakoas Tutum 7 W. R., Cr., 13 1962 w. Bhamour Doosadh 7 W. R., Cr., 41 5573 w. Bharut Chunder 1 W. R., Cr., 2 721, 599 w. Bheebeekee 4 N. W., 16 10 B. L. R., Ap., 10 : 19 W. R., Cr., 15. 10 B. L. R., Ap., 10 : 19 W. R., Cr., 18. 1855 w. Bheekun 8 W. R., Cr., 30 4533 1791 1791 1791 1791 1791 1791 1791 1791 1791 15 W. R., Cr., 108 721, 179 1800								(•
w. Bhakoas Tutum 17 W. R., Cr., 13 1962 v. Bhamour Doosadh 7 W. R., Cr., 41 5573 v. Bharut Chunder 1 W. R., Cr., 2 721, 599 w. Bheebeekee 4 N. W., 16 1855 v. Bheekun 10 B. L. R., Ap., 10 : 19 W. 2624 R., Cr., 15. 8 W. R., Cr., 30 4533 v. Bheekun Doss 7 W. R., Cr., 114 1791 v. Bhekoo Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 108 721, 179 v. Bhikaree 4 N. W., 88 971 v. Bhista bin Madanna 1. L. R., 1 Bonn., 308 389 v. Bhitto Kahar 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 123 1953, 198 v. Bholanath Mookerjee 7 W. R., Cr., 31 975 v. Bholanath Mookerjee 7 B. L. R., 564: 16 W. R., Cr., 28. 1 L. R., 2 Calc., 23: 25 W. v. Bholanath Sen 1 L. R., 2 Calc., 23: 25 W. 1262, 276				•	•	•	•	•		
v. Bhamour Doosadh 7 W. R., Cr., 41 5573 v. Bharut Chunder 1 W. R., Cr., 2 721, 599 v. Bheebeekee 4 N. W., 16 1855 v. Bheekoo Kalwar 2624 v. Bheekun 8 W. R., Cr., 15. 2624 v. Bheekun Doss 7 W. R., Cr., 30 4533 v. Bheekun Doss 7 W. R., Cr., 114 1791 v. Bhekoo Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 108 721, 179 v. Bhikaree 4 N. W., 88 971 v. Bhista bin Madanna 1. L. R., 1 Bom., 308 389 v. Bhitto Kahar 1. Ind. Jur., O. S., 123 1953, 198 v. Bholanath Mookerjee 7 W. R., Cr., 31 975 v. Bholanath Mookerjee 7 B. L. R., 564: 16 W. R., Cr., 28. 1. L. R., 2 Calc., 23: 25 W. R., Cr., 28. v. Bholanath Sen 1 L. R., 2 Calc., 23: 25 W. R., Cr., 57. 1262, 276				•	•	•	•	•		
v. Bharut Chunder 1 W. R., Cr., 2 721, 599 v. Bheebeekee 4 N. W., 16 1855 v. Bheekoo Kalwar 2624 R., Cr., 15. 2624 R., Cr., 15. 4533 v. Bheekun Doss 7 W. R., Cr., 114. 1791 v. Bhekoo Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 108. 721, 179 v. Bhikaree 4 N. W., 88 971 v. Bhista bin Madanna 15 W. R., Cr., 63 1800 v. Bhitto Kahar 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 123 1953, 198 v. Bholisan Mahatoon W. R., 1864, Cr., 3 5605 v. Bholanath Mookerjee 7 W. R., Cr., 28. 1 L. R., 564: 16 W. R., 6253 v. Bholanath Sen 1 L. R., 2 Calc., 23: 25 W. R., Cr., 57. 1262, 276				•	•	•	•	•		
w. Bheebeekee 4 N. W., 16 1009, 180 1855 10 B. L. R., Ap., 10: 19 W. 2624 R., Cr., 15. 8 W. R., Cr., 30 4533 v. Bheekun Doss 7 W. R., Cr., 114 1791 v. Bhekoo Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 108 721, 179 v. Bhikaree 4 N. W., 88 971 v. Bhista bin Madanna 15 W. R., Cr., 63 1800 v. Bhitto Kahar 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 123 1953, 198 v. Bholisan Mahatoon W. R., 1864, Cr., 3 5605 v. Bholanath Mookerjee 7 W. R., Cr., 31 975 v. Bholanath Mookerjee 1 L. R., 2 Calc., 23: 25 W. 1262, 276		v. Bhamour I	Doosadh	•		•	•			5573
# N. W., 16 1855 W. Bheekoo Kalwar		v. Bharut Chi	ander	•	•	•	•		1 W. R., Cr., 2	721,5992
v. Bheekoo Kalwar { 10 B. L. R., Ap., 10: 19 W. R., Cr., 15. 2624 v. Bheekun 8 W. R., Cr., 15. 4533 v. Bheekun Doss 7 W. R., Cr., 114. 1791 v. Bhekoo Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 108. 721, 179 v. Bhikaree 4 N. W., 88 971 v. Bhista bin Madanna 15 W. R., Cr., 63 1800 v. Bhitto Kahar 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 123 1953, 198 v. Bhohisan Mahatoon W. R., 1864, Cr., 3 5005 v. Bhola Nath Banerjee 7 W. R., Cr., 31 975 v. Bholanath Mookerjee 1 L. R., 2 Calc., 23: 25 W. R., Cr., 28. 1 L. R., 2 Calc., 23: 25 W. R., Cr., 57.		v. Bheebeekee		•					4 N. W., 16	1009, 1800
v. Bheekun 8 W. R., Cr., 30 4533 v. Bheekun Doss 7 W. R., Cr., 30 1791 v. Bhekoo Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 114 1791 v. Bhikaree 4 N. W., 88 971 v. 15 W. R., Cr., 63 1800 v. Bhista bin Madanna 1 L. R., 1 Bom., 308 389 v. Bhitto Kahar 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 123 1953, 198 v. Bhohisan Mahatoon W. R., 1864, Cr., 3 5605 v. Bhola Nath Banerjee 7 W. R., Cr., 31 975 v. Bholanath Mookerjee 2 Bholanath Mookerjee 2 L. R., 564: 16 W. R., 6253 v. Bholanath Sen 2 L. R., 2 Calc., 23: 25 W. R., Cr., 57 1262, 276		v. Bheekoo K	alwar					٤		
v. Bheekun Doss 7 W. R., Cr., 114. 1791 v. Bpekoo Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 108. 721, 179 v. Bhikaree 4 N. W., 88 971 v. Dhista bin Madanna 15 W. R., Cr., 63 1800 v. Bhitto Kahar 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 123 1953, 198 v. Bhohisan Mahatoon W. R., 1864, Cr., 3 5605 v. Bhola Nath Banerjee 7 W. R., Cr., 31 975 v. Bholanath Mookerjee 7 B. L. R., 564: 16 W. R., Cr., 28. 6253 L. R., 2 Calc., 23: 25 W. R., Cr., 57. 1262, 276				-		-		(
v. Bhekoo Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 108 721, 179 v. Bhikaree 4 N. W., 88 971 v. 15 W. R., Cr., 63 1800 v. Bhista bin Madanna 1. L. R., 1 Bom., 308 389 v. Bhitto Kahar 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 123 1953, 198 v. Bhohisan Mahatoon W. R., 1864, Cr., 3 5605 v. Bhola Nath Banerjee 7 W. R., Cr., 31 975 v. Bholanath Mookerjee 7 B. L. R., 564: 16 W. R., Cr., 28 6253 L. L. R., 2 Calc., 23: 25 W. R., Cr., 57 1262, 276				•	•	•	•	•		
v. Bhikaree 4 N. W., 88 971 v. Dhista bin Madanna 15 W. R., Cr., 63 1800 v. Bhitto Kahar 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 123 1953, 198 v. Bholisan Mahatoon W. R., 1864, Cr., 3 5605 v. Bhola Nath Banerjee 7 W. R., Cr., 31 975 v. Bholanath Mookerjee 1 S. L. R., 564 : 16 W. R., Cr., 3 6253 6253 v. Bholanath Sen 1 L. R., 2 Calc., 23 : 25 W. R., Cr., 57. 1262, 276				•	•	•	•	•		
v. 15 W. R., Cr., 63 1800 v. Bhista bin Madanna 15 W. R., 1 Bon., 308 389 v. Bhitto Kahar 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 123 1953, 198 v. Bhohisan Mahatoon W. R., 1864, Cr., 3 5005 v. Bhola Nath Banerjee 7 W. R., Cr., 31 975 v. Bholanath Mookerjee 7 B. L. R., 564 : 16 W. R., Cr., 3 6253 Cr., 28. I. L. R., 2 Calc., 23 : 25 W. R., Cr., 57. 1262, 276		v. Bhekoo Sin	gh.	•	•	•	•	•		721, 1796
v. Bhista bin Madanna		v. Bhikaree .	•			•	•			971
v. Bhitto Kahar 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 123 1953, 198 v. Bhohisan Mahatoon W. R., 1864, Cr., 3 5005 v. Bhola Nath Banerjee 7 W. R., Cr., 31 975 v. Bholanath Mookerjee 7 B. L. R., 564 : 16 W. R., Cr., 28. 6253 L. R., 2 Calc., 23 : 25 W. R., Cr., 57. 1262, 276		v. ——— .	•			•	•	•	15 W. R., Cr., 63	1800
v. Bhitto Kahar 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 123 1953, 198 v. Bhohisan Mahatoon W. R., 1864, Cr., 3 5005 v. Bhola Nath Banerjee 7 W. R., Cr., 31 975 v. Bholanath Mookerjee 7 B. L. R., 564 : 16 W. R., Cr., 28. 6253 L. R., 2 Calc., 23 : 25 W. R., Cr., 57. 1262, 276		v. Bhista bin	Madanna			•			I. L. R., 1 Bom., 308 .	389
v. Bhohisan Mahatoon								•	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 123 .	1953, 1982
									W. R., 1864, Cr., 3	
v. Bholanath Mookerjee										
v. Bholanath Sen			•	•	•	•	•	(7 B. L. B. 564 16 W P	1
(R., Cr., 57.		v. Bholanath	Mookerjee	•	•	•	•	_	Cr., 28.	6253
(R., Cr., 57.		v. Bholanath	Sen -			_		\{	1. L. R., 2 Calc., 23: 25 W.)	1262 2764
v. Bholay Pramanick				•	•	•	•	l	R., Cr., 57.	
		v. Bholay Pra	manick	•	•	•	•	•	17 W. R., Cr., 32	2011

	Ns	ame of Case.		•			Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queer	v. Bhoobun Mohur		•	•			11 W. R., Cr., 39	5561
	v. Bhoobun Singh		•	•	•	•	9 W. R., Cr., 36	5598
•	v. Bhoodeea .		•	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 3	5546
	v. Bhootoo Mullick			•	•	•	6 W. R., Cr., 85	5572
	v. Phugner Putwa	•	•	•	•	•	11 W. R., Cr., 9	6267
***********	v. Bhugwan Ahir		•	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 65	1955
	v. Bhugwan Chund	ler Koond	00	•	•	•	19 W. R., Cr., 4	5888
	v. Bhugwan Lall		•	•	•	•	15 W. R, Cr., 3	398
	v. Bhungee Aheer			•	•		2 W. R., Cr., 5	2938
	v. Bhutia .		•	•			7 N. W., 295	4378
	v. Bhuttun Rujwa	n .		•	•		12 W. R., Cr., 49	1008
	v. Bhyro Dayal Sir					5	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 4: 11 W.)	1806, 4096
		ıg •	•	•	•	{	R., Cr., 46.	4489
	v. Bhyrub Seal						W. R., 1864, Cr., 27	5552
	•						13 B. L. R., 325, note: 11 W.	
-	v. Bidu Noshyo				•	- 31	R., Cr., 37: 12 W. R., Cr., }	1977
	•					- (11.	
	n Dilma Obasa					(7 B. L. R., 9, note: 12 W. R.,	976
***************************************	v. Bidur Ghose		•	•	•	1	Cr., 27.	
	v. Bilash Mosulma	nv .					14 W. R., Cr., 46	4533
	v. Bindu .					.	8 W. R., Cr., 60	4749
	v. Binod .						24 W. R., Cr., 66	6069
	v. Bipro Dass						19 W. R., Cr., 43	5599
	v. Bipro Doss						8 W. R., Cr., 45	1532, 2 7 9 1
-	v. Bishendharee Ka	har .	•				7 W. R., Cr., 100	4060
			•	•	-		2 N. W., 441: Agra, F. B., }	20, 6184
	v. Bisheshur Persh	ad .	•	•	•	{	Ed. 1874, 236.	<i>2</i> 0, 0109
	v. Bishnooram Sur	ma			_		1 W. R., Cr., 9	2580, 2582
	v. Bishonath Bunn		-	-	-		8 W. R., Cr., 53	4060
			•	-	•		3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 20: 12 W. }	1790
	v. Bishonath Pal	•	•	•	•	{	R., Cr., 3.	1750
	v. Bishoo Manjee			_	_		9 W. R., Cr., 16	1807, 5882
	v. Bissen Nath		•	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 31	1805
	v. Bissessur Roy	•	•	•	•	•	11 W. R., Cr., 51	1260
	v. Bissessur Sein	• •	•	•	•	•	9 W. R., Cr., 62	1988
	v. Bissonath Mitter		•	•	•	•	6 W. R., Cr., 6	5578
	v. Bissorunjun Mo		•	•	•	•	6 W. R., Cr., 75	1796
	v. Bistoo Churn Ch			•	•	•	10 W. R., Cr., 27	4108
	v. Discoo Charle Ch	iuckei butt	у.	•	•	;	6 B. L. R., Ap., 122: 15 W.	1700
	v. Biswambhar Da	ıs .	•			{	R., Cr., 49.	1789
	v. Bocha Chowkeed	lar					22 W. R., Cr., 33	6263
	v. Bodhee Khan		•	•	•	•	5 W. R., Cr., 79	2733
-	v. Boidnath Singh	• .•	•	•	•	•	3 W. R., Cr., 29	2766, 5996
	v. Boidonath		•	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 48	5496
	v. Bojjigan .		•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 22	1669
			•	•	•	•	W. R., 1864, Cr., 21	5291
	v. Bokoo Sheikh	•	•	•	•		1 B. L. R., S. N., 8: 10 W.	. 3000
	v. Bolaki Jolahad				•	3	R., Cr., 9.	4625
	v. Bolaki Lall			•		C	19 W. R., Cr., 7	4447
			•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 26	391
	v. Bonomally Chan	• •	•	•	•	•	W. R., 1864, Cr., 8	1349
	v. Bonomally Ghos		•	•	•	•	5 L. R., Cr., 32	1954
			•	•	•	•	8 W. R., 38	1003, 1332
	v. Boodhoo .	• •	•	•	•	,	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 869. 9	1
	v. Boodhooa .					Ş	W. R., Cr., 6.	5575
	v. Boodhun Ahir					(17 W R., Cr., 32	711
		• •	•	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 78	18
	v. Boodhun Moosh	ur •	•	•	•	•	O 11. 10., OL., 10	

	Na	me of C	Case.				Volume and Page.	· Column of Digest.
Onean	v. Boolakee .						14 W. R., Cr., 81	6254
- Queen	v. Borodakant Moo	Izhana	dhaa	٠.	•	•	15 W. R., Cr., 17	4447
	v. Boron Dhoom		unya	• •	•	•	16 W B Cm 1	1530
	v. Bowka .	•	•	•	•	•	16 W. R , Cr., 1	1293
	v. Bowka Hari	•	•	•	•	•	22 W. R., Cr., 35	1293
		•	•	•	•	•		3628
	v. Boya Linga v. Boydonath Mool	korioo	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 268 . 17 W. R., Cr., 11	253
	v. Boystum Mooch		٠.	•	•	•	17 W. R., Cr., 11	1261
	v. Brij Mohan Lal		•	•	•	•	7 N. W., 134	1973
	v. Brojendro Lal	:	•		•	•	21 W. R., Cr., 86	4107
	v. Brojokishore Du	t t	•	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 17	1989, 4381
	v. Brojo Lall Mitte	r		•			8 W. R., Cr., 45	504
	v. Broond Shahoo		Chund	ra Ch	arteri	• •	7 W. R., Cr., 112	4533
	v. Budderoedeen						11 W. R., Cr., 20	4061
	v. Budhu Nanku	-		•	•	•	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 475	1022
	v. Budla .	:					2 N. W., 455	5538
	v. Budri Roy .		•				23 W. R., Cr., 65	2583, 2779
	v. Buldeo .						2 N. W., 286	2936
. —	v				•	•	2 N. W., 453	5832
	v. Buloram .						7 W. R., Cr., 104	3619
	v. Buloram Doss						4 W. R., (r., 20	5576
	v. Bulsingh .						4 N. W., 8	5547
	v. Bundhoo Baneri	ee					21 W. R., Cr., 37	1316
	v. Punwaree Lall						21 W. R., Cr., 53	1021
	v. Bushmo Anent						3 W. R., Cr., 21	3 99, 180 6
	v. Bussiruddi	•					8 W. R., Cr., 35	1796, 180 5
	v. Bustee Khan	•	•				1 W. R., Cr., 17	722
	v. Busti Singh	•		•	•		14 W. R., Cr., 76	5600
	v. Buzleh Ali	• .		•	•	•	22 W. R., Cr., 65	5910
	v. Bykunt Nath Ba	merjec	•	•	•	•	5 W. R., Cr., 72	1964
	v		-	•	•	•	10 W. R., Cr., 17	344, 1788
	v. Bysagoo Noshyo).	•	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 29	1797,:981
	v. Callachand	•	•	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 60	555 ,
	v. Cassy Mul	•	•	•	•	•	3 W. R., Cr., 10	1349
	v. Chakor Huree	•	•	•	•	•	6 W. R., Cr., 16	2583
	v. Chakrasahu	•	•	•	•	•	J. L. R., 7 Mad., 185 .	3569
	v. Chand Bagdee	•	•	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 6	1151, 5600
	u. Chand Manal	inaa	•	•	•	•	19 W. R., Cr., 1	4070
	v. Chando Chandal	mee	•	•	•	•	24 W. R., Cr., 55 .	25
	v. Chandra Jugi						9 B. L. R., 6: 17 W. R., Cr.,	262
							47.	
	v. Chandrakant Ch	uckerl	butty.	•		•	1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 8: 10 W.	4628
	au						R., Cr., 14. 5 B. L. R., 100: 13 W. R.,	
	v. Chandra Sekhar	Roy	••	•	•	•	Cr., 66.	1048
	v, Chappu Menon	_	_	_			4 Mad., 146	259
	v. Chedee Koonjra		•				14 W. R., Cr., 76	6250
	v. Cheit Ram		:			•	5 N. W., 110	400
	v. ———	•	•		•	•	2 N. W., 113	2595
	v. Cheyt Singh					•	22 W. R., Cr., 70	4728
	v. Chidda .					•	3 N. W., 327	1953
-	v. Chinna Vedagiri	Chetti	i				I. L. R., 4 Mad., 227 .	6260
	v. Chirag Ali	•				•	12 W. R., Cr., 5	343
	v. Chocha Rai			•			6 N. W., 366	4718
	v. Choda Atchenah			•		•	3 Mad., 318	1004
	v. Choonee .	•	•				5 W. R., Cr., 13	723
	v. Chooramoni Sant	;					14 W. R., Cr., 25 .	1326, 4748
							• •	

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

. Name of Case.		•	Volume and Page.	Column of
Manie of Caso.			votame and rages	Digest,
Queen v. Chotey Lal			3 N. W., 271	707, 6261
- v. Chowdhry .	•	• •	2 B. L. R, Ap., 28	4722
v. Chrestien			2 N. W., 473	1511
- v. Chubboa			5 N. W., 189	2939
- v. Chullundee Poramanick			3 W. R., Cr., 55	4056
- v. Chumroo Roy			7 W. R., Cr., 35	21
- v. Chundee Churn Banerjee			6 W. R., Cr., 94 · · ·	4238
v. Chundee Churn Dass .			22 W. R., Cr., 71	5495
- v. Chunder Bhuttacharjee			24 W. R., Cr., 42 }	1009, 1011 1021, 1268
v. Chunder Coomar Sen .			I Ind. Jur., N. S., 97: 5 W.	3604
- v. Chunder Kant Lahoree			10 W D C 9	5549
- v. Chunder Koomar Mozoomda	r .		24 W. R., Cr., 77	724
- v. Chunder Kumar Muzoomdar			25 W. R., Cr., 54	719
- v. Chunder Prosaud Singh			10 W. R., Cr., 30	3625
	•			464, 1046
- v. Chunder Seekur Roy .			12 W. R., Cr., 18 }	3623, 4360 5565
- v. Chundi Churn Nauth .			8 W. R., Cr., 5	1970
v. Chundro Mohun Bhooya	•	• •	22 W. R., Cr , 82	5495
v. Chupput Khyrwar	•	• •	15 W. R., Cr., 83	1799
·	• •	• •	1	23, 400
v. Chutterdharee Singh .	•	• •	5 W. R., Cr., 59 · · {	2762
v. Chytun Bowra			5 W. R., Cr., 49 · · ·	5553
— v. Clarke			1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 137 · ·	3780
— v. Dabee			W. R., 1864, Cr., 27	5573, 5578
v. Dabee Singh			7 W. R., Cr., 55	737
v. Dalapati Rau			1 Mad., 83	4509
v. Damodur Dass			2 N. W., 452	4104
- v. Damoo Singh			8 W. R., Cr., 36	4451 5570
v. Damu Haree	• •	• •	21 W. R., Cr., 35 · · ·	4356
v. Daria Khan	• •		2 N. W., 128	727
v. Dassee Mosulmany .	• •	• •	14 W. R., Cr. 46	4058
v. Dasser Bhooyan .	• •		8 W. R., Cr. 71 · · ·	975, 4719
v. Dassoo Manjee	• •	• •	11 W. R., Cr., 39	452
v. Dayal Bawri	• •	• •	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 55	4532, 5649
- v. Dedar Nushyo	• •	• •	14 W. R., Cr., 81 · · ·	1985
v. Deeyanutoollah v. Degumber Ahir	• •	• •	7 W. R., Cr., 32	1671
v. Degumber Thakoor	• •	• •	19 W. R., Cr., 44	1794
v. Denonath Bujjur	• •	• •	9 W. R., Cr., 52	1975
v. Denoo Bundhoo Biswas		• •	12 W. R., Cr., 1	3865
- v. Deo Nundun Singh .	• •	• •	11 W. R., Cr., 16	4730
v. Desilva	• •	•	5 N. W, 120 · ·	5884
- v. Dhaumun Teli .		• •	20 W. R., Cr., 36	4625
v. Dhona Bhooya			5 B. L. R., 658: 14 W. R.,	256
v. Dhoonda Bhooya	- •	- (Cr., 33. 8 W. R., Cr., 85	5545
v. Dhununjai Poly	• •	• •	14 W. R., Cr., 68	4623
v. Dhunput Ojhab	• •	• •	7 W. R., Cr., 51	787
v. Dhurrani Dutt Rai	• •	• •	8 W. R., Cr., 7	. 5545
- v. Dhurum Dutt Ojua	•	• •	8 W. R., Cr., 13	1807
v. Digambur Shaha	•	•	24 W. R., Cr., 3	1268
- v. Dina Bundhoo Roy	•	•	24 W. R., Cr., 4	1790
•	•		8 B. L. R., Ap., 58: 17 W. 7	4448
v. Dinanath Gangooly .	•	. !	R., Cr., 12.	#27O
			1	

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

-	N	ame of	Case.		•			Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queer	v. Dina Sheikh v. Dinobuudo Rai v. Dinoo Roy v. Doma Ram v. Domun Kahar	•	•		•	•	{ ·	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 15, note: } 10 W. R., Cr., 63. 9 W. R., Cr., 19 16 W. R., Cr., 21 24 W. R., Cr., 66 12 W. R., Cr., 82	5552 6065 1853, 6255 5910 1008
	v. Doorga Churn v. Doorga Doss v. Doorgagutty v. Doorga Nath F v. Doorgessur Su v. Doorjodhun Sh v.	i loy mah	• •	s Dec	; ejobor		•	24 W. R., Cr., 30 7 W. R., Cr., 104 11 W. R., Cr., 55 8 W. R., Cr., 9 7 W. R., Cr., 97 19 W. R., Cr., 26 19 W. R., Cr., 45	2923 2940, 5556 6251 967 17 727 5548, 6167
	v. Dowlut . v. Doyal Shilydar v. Dudraj Dosadh v. Dukaran . v. Duleelooddeen s v. Dullee .	: Sheikh	•	:	•			8 W. R., Cr, 95 6 W. R., Cr., 87 22 W. R., Cr., 83 7 N. W., 196 5 W. R., Cr., 19 6 N. W., 307	710 5884 972, 1533 252 1950 6045
	v. Durbaroo Dass v. Durbarro Polie v. Durgadas Bhutt v. Durzoolla v. Dushrutto Roy v. Dussorut Dass	achar	•		•	•	•	13 W. R., Cr., 14 8 W. R., Cr., 30 7 B. L. R., Ap., 37 9 W. R., Cr., 33 7 W. R., Cr., 58 18 W. R., Cr., 63	1020 712 4719 706, 713 5556 6068 5883
	v. Dwarka Aheer v. Dwarkanath Bo v. Dwarkanath Gh	ose			•	•		5 W. R., Cr., 18: 1 Ind. Jur., 3 N. S., 100, 2 W. R., Cr., 49 2 W. R., Cr., 31 20 W. R., Cr., 49 8 B. L. R., Ap., 9: 16 W. R., 7	23 4379 5511 2009, 4691
	v. Dwarka Nath I v. Dwarka Nath I v. Dwarkanath Se v. East Indian Ra v. Eduljee Byram	Aullicl n ilway	•	: any	•	:	·	Cr., 70. 21 W. R., Cr., 45 13 W. R., Cr., 34 Bourke, O. C., 395: 1 Ind., Jur., N. S, 258. 3 Moore's I. A., 468	504 490 721 3782
	v. Elahi Bax v. Emoo v. Enayet Hossein v. Esan Chunder	•	•	•	•	:		B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 459: 5 W. R., Cr., 80. 11 W. R., Cr., 20 5 W. R., Cr., 43 . 21 W. R., Cr., 40 . {	285, 5971 23, 343 5164, 5578 396 4119 708, 727
	v. Eshan Meah v. Etwaree Dharee v. Fata Adaji v. Fatik Biswas		•		•	•		12 W. R., Cr., 52	6191 17 1838, 6264 1794 709, 1801 1964
	v. Feojdar Roy v. Firman Ali v. Flood v. Foktu Shah	•	• •	•	•	•		9 W. R., Cr., 14 16 W. R., Cr., 73 8 W. R., Cr., 43 2 B. L. R., S. N., 6:10 W. R., Cr., 49.	711, 713 5653 4702 978
	v. Futteali Biswas			•	•	•		6 W. R., Cr., 50	4058 709, 1802 1964

Name of Case,					Volume and Page	Column of Digest.
queen v. Fyzo					Bourke, O. C., 269	5575
v. Gabind Chandra Ghose				{	7 B. L. R., 28, note: 10 W.	5515
					R., Cr., 41. 6 B. L. R., Ap., 50: 12 W.)	1792, 423
— v. Gagalu	•	•	•	{	R., Cr, 80.	6249
— v. Gajraj	•	•	•	•	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 43	725
— v. Ganga	•	•	•	•	7 N. W., 131	1292
— v. Ganga Singh · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	•	•	•	7 N. W., 249	4057 5541
v. Gaub Gorah	:	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 6	99
v. Gendoo Khan	•		•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 14	4722
v. Ghasee	•	•	•	•	4 N. W., 50	5604
v. Ghasseeram v. Ghasoo Khan	•	•	•	•	5 W. R., Cr., 49	5605 2910
- v. Ghisa	:	·	:	•	7 N. W., 375	4740
v. Gholam Ismail	•			•	I. L. R., 1 All., 1.	5156
- v. Gholam Mahomed .	•	•	•	•	22 W. R., Cr., 17	5289
v. Gholam Mustuffa v. Ghurbhurnee	•	•	•	•	W. R., 1864, Cr., 1	5545 5548
v. Girdharee Singh	•	•	:		6 N. W., 26	1335
v. Girish Chandra Ghose.		•		{	7 B. L. R., 513: 16 W. R., Cr., 40.	970, 361
v. Gobardhan Bhuyan .				{	4 B. L. R., Ap., 101: 13 W. R., Cr., 55.	713, 46
v. Gobind Dobey				(21 W. R., Cr., 35	16
- v. Gobin Tewari	•		•	•	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 281	253
v. Goburdhun Bera .	•	•	•	•	6 W. R., Cr., 80	4367
v. Goburdhun Pari	•	•	•	•	12 W. R., Cr., 65	1534 4624
- v. Godai Raout	:	•	•	:	5 W. R., Cr., 11	23
v		•	•	į	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 436: 5 W. R., 61.	5145
- v. Gogun Sein				•	2 W. R., Cr., 57	957
v. Gokool Bowree	•	•	•	:	5 W. R., Cr., 33	4058
- v. Gokool Kahar	•	•	•	•	25 W. R., Cr., 36	6165
v. Golab Singh v. Golam Arabee	•	•	•	•	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 10	5512 4449
- v. Golam Darbesh	•	•	•	Š	1 B. L. R., S. N., 27: 10 W.	4099
v. Golam Hossein Chowdhry	•		·	Š	R., Cr., 36. 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 88: 7 W.	258
•	•	•	•	્	R., Cr., 10.	
v. Goluck Chunder	•	•	•	•	13 W. R., Cr., 16 12 W. R., Cr., 38.	2015 4097
v. Goluck Chunder Gooho v. Goluck Doss	•	•	•	•	1 Hyde, 282	1989
v. Gonowri	•	:	•	:	22 W. R., Cr., 2	1,799, 19
- v. Goojree Panday		•		{	11 B. L. R., Ap., 3: 20 W. R., Cr., 21.	5577
v. Gooroodoss Rajbunsee.					4 W. R., Cr., 7	2937
v. Gopai	•		•	•	2 N. W., 430	958
v. Gopal Doss		•	•	•	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 271	1851
- v. Gopal Hajjam	•	•	•	•	15 W. R., Cr., 16. 8 W. R., Cr., 16	4533 554
v. Gopal Prosaud Sein v. Gopal Thakoor	•	•	•	•	6 W. R., Cr., 72	178
v. Gopee Mohun Mitter.	. •	•	:	:	22 W. R., Cr., 26	986
v. Gopeenath Kollu	•	:		•	13 W. R., Cr., 69	1796
v. Gopi Noshyo	_	_	_		21 W. R., Cr., 47	1154, 2

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

	Name	of Case.					Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Queen	v. Gorachand Gope	•		•	•	· {	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 443: 5 W. R., Cr., 45: 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 177.	4056, 514 5159
<u></u>	v. Goreeboollah .				•		5 W. R., Cr., 42	4056
	v. Goshto Lal Dutt					\}	7 B. L. R., Ap., 62: 15 W. 3	1855
	v. Gossain Luchmee	Narain	Poore	а.		5	R., Cr., 68.	
	v. Gossain Munraj I			•	•	}	24 W. R., Cr., 23	4733
	v. Gour Benode Dut	t .			•	{	13 B. L. R., 308, note: 21 } W. R., Cr., 10.	4333
	v. Gour Chunder Da	s .					24 W. R., Cr., 5	4059
	v. Gour Chunder Ro		•				8 W. R., Cr., 2	5574
	v. Gour Chund Polie		•				1 W. R., Cr., 17	1332
	v. Gour Churn Doss	•	•		•		11 W. R., Cr., 53	956
	v. Gource Churn Do	88 .	•				19 W. R., Cr., 38, note	5883
	v. Gour Mohan Sen		•	•	•	{	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 6: 11 W.	5826
		.h	-	•		ſ	R., Cr., 48.	
	v. Gour Mohun Sing		•	•	•	•	16 W. R., Cr., 44	1958, 196
	v. Gowree Singh .	•	•	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 28	396
	v. Greedhary Manjee		•	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 39	720
	v. Grish Chunder Sir		•	•	•	•	19 W. R., Cr., 33	5520
	v. Gugun Misser .	•	•	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 61	2006, 3628
	v. Gulam Hussain . v. Gullie Mullick .	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 71	5853
	C	•	•	•	•	•	W. R., 1864, Cr., 10	1980
		•	•	•	•	•	2 N. W., 234	984
	v. Gunesh Lushkar v. Gunesh Mana	•	•	•	•	•	9 W. R., Cr., 72	725
	v. Gunesh Sircar .	•	•	•	•	•	20 W. R., Cr., 54	4217
	v. Gunga Bishen .	•	•	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 38	4622
	v. Gunga Govind Pa	1:4	•	•	•	•	1 W. R., Cr., 26	721
	v. Gungaram Potdar		•	•	•	•	23 W. R., Cr., 21	6165
	v. Gunga Singh .	•	•	•	•	•	24 W. R., Cr., 10	5541
	v. Gunsha Moonda	:	•	•	•	٠	20 W. R., Cr., 36	4726 2048
	v. Gur Baksh .		•	-	-	•	I. L. R., 1 All., 193	1315
	v. Gurib Shek .			-		•	23 W. R., Cr., 4	1223
	v. Gurjoon Aheer .			•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 37	5545
		•	•	-		Ġ	6 B. L. R., Ap., 9: 14 W. R.,	ł
	v. Guru Charan Char	ng .	•	•	•	Į	Cr., 69.	4625
	v. Gyanee Ram .	4	•	•	•	• •	6 W. R., Cr., 78	2008
	v. Hakim Sirdar .	•	•		•	{	2 B. L. R., S. N., 2: 10 W. R., Cr., 35.	1304
	v. Hanmanta .						I. L. R., 1 Bom., 610 . {	1726, 179
		-	-				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2757
	v. Hardewa	•	•	•	•	•	5 N. W., 217	1797
	v. Hardyal	n. 1	•	•	. •	•	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 35 .	1970, 5604
	v. Hargobind Datta		•	•	٠.	•	8 B. L. R., Ap., 12	2571
	v. Hargobind Pal.	•	•	•	•		7 B. L. R., Ap., 57	2924, 292
	v. Haridas Kundu.	•	•	•	•	E	4 B. L. R., Ap., 69:13 W. R., Cr., 21.	4855
	v. Hari Giri			•		{	1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 11:10 W. R., Cr., 26.	1330
	v. Hariprosad Gango	olv .				Š	8 B. L. R., 557: 14 W. R.,	6162
	-	~• ,	•	•	•	ſ	Cr., 59.	
	v. Haroo Rujwar .	laka	•	•	•	•	13 W. R., Cr., 27	5563
	v. Harrakchand Now	IHKB	•	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 12	977, 4450
	v. Haru v. Harvey	•	•	•	•	•	9 B. L. R., 146	971
	. marvey	•	•	•	•	•	20 W. R., Cr., 68	473 0

	Name of Car	BC.					Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen	v. Hazar Mir Khan .		•			•	7 N. W., 237	4449
	v. Hearn		•	•	•	•	3 N. W., 128	4447
	v. Heera Lall Ghose .		•	•	•	ċ	13 W. R., Cr., 37. 5 W R., Cr., 5: 1 Ind. Jur., }	980
	v. Heeramun Hulwye .	,	•	•	•	į	N. S., 97.	735
	v. Heeramun Singh .		•	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 30	5603
	v. Hekmut Ali		•	•	•	•	24 W. R., Cr., 72	5577
	v. Hicks	•	•	•	•	•	10 B. L. R., Ap., 1	1005 5603
	v. Hiralal Das	,	:	:	:	:	8 B. L. R., 422	3604, 4854
	v. Hiralal Sing					{	5 B. L. R., Ap., 48: 14 W. R., }	3620
	<u>.</u>	•	•	•	•	Į	Cr., 8.	
	v. Hoolas	•	•	•	•	•	23 W. R., Cr., 32	1961
	v. Hossain Ali Chowdhry		•	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 74 {	1151, 6178 6263
	v. Hossein Ali .						5 N. W., 49	1152
	v	•	•	•		•	8 B. L. R., Ap., 25	1976
	v. Hossein Ally .	•	:	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 47	5546
	v. Hosseinee	•	•	•	•	:	8 W. R., Cr., 60	727 969, 435
	v. Hossein Sirdar		:	•	:		13 W. R., Cr., 17	6259
	v. Hulkoree Singh .	•		•	•		21 W. R., Cr., 61	6251, 6264
	v. Hullodhur Ghose	•	•	•		•	5 W. R., Cr., 40	260
	v. Hunooman Lall .	•	•	•	•	•	19 W. R., Cr., 5	1955
	v. Hurdeep Sahoy . v. Hurdut Surma .	•	•	•	•	•	23 W. R., Cr., 27	1728 1805, 436
	v. Hurgobind .		•	:	•	:	8 W. R., Cr., 68	1796
	v	•	•	•			3 N. W., 174	5291
	v. Hurish Chunder Bose	•	•	•	•	•	W. R., 1864, Cr., 22	2011
	v. Hurkumari Dassia	•	•	•	•	•	24 W. R., Cr., 10	1052 469
	v. Hurnath Roy	•	•	•	•	•	2 W. R., Cr., 50	1853, 462 5289
	v. Hurnath Singh .	•	:	:	:	•	20 W. R., Cr., 22	5160
	v. Huroo Saha .	•			•		16 W. R., Cr., 20	2922
	v. Hurpaul	•	•	•	•	•	4 W. R., Cr., 9	5570
	v. Hurpershad .	•	•	•	•	•	4 N. W., 23	983 4357
•	v. Hurree Ram .	•	•	•	•		3 N. W., 194	
	v. Hurribole Chunder Gh	ose	•	•	•	į	R., Cr., 36.	1015, 185
	v. Hurri Kishen Doss					•	15 W. R., Cr., 62	1047
	v. Hurro Manji .					{	14 B. L. R., Ap., 2, note: 21	6164
	v. Hurry Paramanick Tar	ntoo				·	W. R., Cr., 4.	1047
	v. Hursookh		:	•	:	:	2 N. W., 479	5604
	v. Hurynath Chowdhry	•		:		•	7 W. R., Cr., 58	6237
	v. Hushrut	•	•	•	•	•	6 W. R., Cr., 85	5294
	v. Hussun Ali .	•	•	•	•	•	5 N. W., 49	5547 5538
	v. Huttooa v. Ichabur Dobey	•	•	•	•	•	4 W. R., Cr., 11	5602
	v. Imambandee		:	:	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 26	4494, 450
	v. Imamdi Bhooyah	•			•	•	21 W. R., Cr., 8	16
	v. Imamoodeen Bhina	•	•	•	•	,	13 W. R., Cr., 75	4737
	v. Ishan Dutt .					ે	6 B. L. R., Ap., 88:15 W. R., Cr., 34.	6252, 626
-	v. Ishree Pershad .						24 W. R., Cr., 49	5996
	v. Ishwar Chandra Jogee	-					W. R., 1864, Cr., 21	5551
	v. Isree Panday .		_	_			7 W. R., Cr., 56	2939

		Na	me of C	ase.					Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queer	1 <i>v</i>	. Isree Pershad S	Singh	•	•	•		{	9 B. L. R.; Ap., 44:18 W. } R., Cr., 61.	4737
	\boldsymbol{v}	. ————						•	20 W. R., Cr., 18.	4728, 4731
		Issen Mundle	•						3 W. R., Cr., 8	343
		Issur Chunder (Ghose		•	•	•		14 W. R., Cr., 53	1980
		Issur Raut	•	•		•	•		8 W. R., Cr., 63	5153
		Itwaree Dome	•	•	•	•	•		6 W. R., Cr., 83	2756
	v.	Itwarya .	•	•	•	•	•	•	14 B. L. R., Ap., 1	6164
	v.		•	•	•	•	•	{	14 B. L. R., 54: 22 W. R., } Cr., 14.	1267, 4116
	\boldsymbol{v} .	Jackson .					•	}	13 B. L. R., 474: 22 W. R.,	2903
	ť.	Jadub Chunder	Biswas	S	_			•	20. W. R., 1864, Cr., 15	1965
		Jaffit Ali .	•	•	:	•	•	•	19 W. R., Cr., 57	1020, 1297
		Jaganath .				-	-	:	7 N. W., 133	1317
		Jaga Poly		•		•		:	11 W. R., Cr., 39	399, 1801
									I. L. R., 1 All., 162	1315, 1318
		Jageshur Persh							6 N. W., 56	2009
	v.	Jaha Bux.							8 W. R., Cr., 81	2011
	v.	Jaichand Mund	le						7 W. R., Cr., 60	4055
		Jaipal Koiree	•				•		11 W. R., Cr., 6	4431
	v.	Jakir .	•						22 W. R., C., 39	708
	v.	Jaloo Sirdar							W. R., 1864, Cr., 18	464
	v.	Jamal .	•	•		•			16 W. R., Cr., 75.	5548
	v.	Jamurha .							7 N. W., 137	710, 1154
	21	Jan Mahomed						ſ	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 47:12 W.)	1284 3619
		Jechun Sheikh	•	•	•	•	•	Į	R., Cr., 41.	
		Jeenut Bebee	•	•	•	•	•	•	9 W. R., Cr., 4	4720 1327
		Jeetoo Chowdry	,•	•	•	•	•	•	1 W. R., Cr., 46	5545
		Jeetun Khan		•	•		•	•	4 W. R., Cr . 23	5603
		T	•	•	•	•	•	•	11 W. R., '*, 45	1007
		Jema . Jeolall .	•	•	•	•	•	•	8 W. R. ('' 40	4626
		Jetoo .	•	•	•	•	•	• *	I.L. R., 1 All., 1	1011
		Jhantah Noshyo	•	•	•	•	•	•	15.4	5546
		Jhapoo .		•	•	•	•	· .		4723
		Jhurree .	-	•	•	•	•	•		1003
		Jhurrup .	•	•	•	•	•	•	ئد ن. آد. الله الله الله الله الله الله الله الل	2938
		Jodoonath Shah	a.		•	•	•	•		270, 5911
		Joge Poly	•				:	· {	7 B. L. R., 67, note: 11 W.	399, 1801
		Jogeshur Bagde	A	_				(R., Cr., 39. 7 W. R., Cr , 109	5882
		Jogo Mohun Ma	_		•	•	•	•	21 W. R., Cr., 5	2623
		1 i' · · · · ·		•	·	•	•	•	22 W. R., Cr., 28	5909
		Joomnee .	_ `	•	•	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 16	1809
		Jotee Ghorace	•	•	•	•	•	•	1 W D C 7	2581
		Joyah Mohun Cl	under	•	•	•	•	•	14 W. R., Cr., 19	5551
		Joygopal .		_	•	•	•	•	4 W. R., Cr., 4	2581
	v.	Joykishen Lall	-	-	•	•	•	•	12 W. R., Cr., 46 .	4750
		Joynarain Patro		•	•	•	•	:	90 W D Cn Cc	2600
		Judoo .				•	·	·	6 W P C - 63	587
		Juggurnath Sing	zh '			•	• .		9 W D C 55	5 996
		Juggut Chunder		-	•	•	•	•	G W D C. 01	1968
		Jugroop Ugrabe				•	•	•	3 N. W., 341	972
	v.	Jumdem Singh		•		•		•		793, 6260
		Jumiruddin				•	•	-	23 W. R., Cr., 58	1290
				-	-	• .	•	•		

		Nam	e of Ca	80.	والمراجع والم والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراج			Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
		Junghoo Khan	•		•	•	•	3 W. R., Cr., 36	6191
		Jungle Lall	•	• •	•	•	•	19 W. R., Cr., 40	1973
	\boldsymbol{v}	. Jungli Beldar .			•	•	:	8 B. L. R., Ap., 47	73
	\boldsymbol{v}	. Justices of the Pe	eace f	or Calcu	tta		{	1 B. L. R., O. Cr., 41: 18 W.	1152
	v	. Kabil Cazee			_	•	•	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 182	3781
		TZ 1 '1 34 '*		•		•	•	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 1	6069
		. Kabul Manji . . Kabul Pattur .	•	•	•	•	•	2 B. L. R., A. C., 23	4117
		. Kadar Khan .	•	•	•	•	•	15 W. R., Cr., 4	3862 4723
		. Kadar Ravuttan		•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 380	1982
		Kadir Bux .			•	•	•	4 Mad., 18	736
		Kadir Bux alias	Kadir	Mahom	ed .	•	•	11 W. R., Cr., 17	5514
		. Kalachand Boidye		2.10.10111		•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 18	5564
		. Kala Chand Pal	•		•	•	:	24 W. R., Cr., 29	1009
		. Kalai					·	3 W. R., Cr, 57	2623
	\boldsymbol{v}	. Kala Khan						12 W. R., Cr., 23	709
	\boldsymbol{v}	.Kalaram Singh .						7 W. R., Cr., 8	706, 71
	\boldsymbol{v}	. Kaleechurn .				•		3 W. R., Cr., 10	2590
	41	. Kali Chandra Sha	h				5	7 B. L. R., 322:16 W. R.,	4409
	0	. Itan Chandra Sha	щ.	•	•	•	{	Cr., 13.	4492
	47	. Kali Charan Das					{	6 B. L. R., Ap., 86: 15 W.	725
			•	•	•	•	ι	R., Cr., 17.	120
	v.	Kali Charan Miss	e r .	•	•		• ,	7 B. L. R., Ap., 55	980, 599
	v.	Kali Churn Gang	oolv .		_	_	{	7 W. R., Cr., 2	1802, 516
				•	•	•	(,	6265
	v.			•	•	•	•	21 W. R., Cr., 11	19
	υ.	Kalichurn Lahoore	ee .	•	•	•	ſ	9 W. R., Cr., 54	711, 120
	\boldsymbol{v}	. Kalikaprasad		•	•		ં	5 B. L. R., Ap., 82, note: 11 {	4101
	31	Kalil					•	W. R., Cr., 5.	4117
			•	•	•	•	5	11 W. R., Cr., 18 6 B. L. R., Ap., 116: 15 W.	4111
	v.	Kalinath Biswas	•	•		•	્	D C. 10	4724
	77.	Kalinath Nag Cho	wdbr	ν.			•	R., Cr., 18. 9 W. R., Cr., 1	1326
		Kalipuddo Poram			•	•	•	23 W. R., Cr., 43	736
		Kalisankar Sandy		•	•	•	. •	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 14	5552
				•	•	•			968, 360
	v.	Kali Sirkar .		_	_		· {	4 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 13 W.	6183, 625
		•		•	•	•	ξ	R., Cr., 1.	6259
	v.	Kalla Chand Doss						11 W. R., Cr., 21	344
	v.	Kallachand Moitr	ec .					7 W. R., Cr., 99	4693
	v.	Kally Bhyrub San	dyal					11 W. R., Cr., 52	4738
		Kally Churn Gang						6 W. R., Cr., 92	1792
_		Kalu Mal .	· .					7 W. R., Cr., 103 . •	6273
-		Kalundar Doss .						2 N. W., 100	6265
	v.	Kaly Kishore Roy						25 W. R., Cr., 68	4504
	v.	Kamal Fukeer .		•				17 W. R., Cr., 50	1809
	$\boldsymbol{v}.$	Kaminee Dossee		•	•		•	12 W. R., Cr., 25	1804, 258
	ø.	Kanhai Shahu .		•				99 W B C 40	460, 462
			•	•	•	. •	•	()	6044
	v.	Kanjamalai Paday	achi	•	•	•		I. L. R., 6 Mad, 372	1307
		Kantiram	•	•	•	•	•	1 W. R., Cr., 24	6189
_		Kanye Sheikh .	•	•	•	•	•	W. R., 1864, Cr., 38	6265
		Kareem Bux	•	•	•	•	•	3 N. W., 30	1260
		Karoo	•	•	•	•	•	6 W. R., Cr., 44	724
	23	Karri Papayamma	_	_		_		I. L. R., 4 Mad., 230	3743

				•			}	i
	Name of C	ase.					Volume and Page.	Columu of Digest.
		_					0 W D (1, 50	1792, 1969
Queer	n v. Kartick Chunder Hole	dar	•	•	•	•	9 W. R., Cr., 58	1989, 5514
	v. Kashee Nath Chungo						8 W. R., Cr., 84	5551
	v. Kasim Ali .						15 W. R., Cr., 67	4534
-	v. Kasim Shaikh .	-	_	_			23 W. R., Cr., 32	2779
	v. Kasseemoddeen .						4 W. R., Cr., 38	1331
	v. Kassim Ally .	:					11 W. R., Cr., 10	5575
	v. Kassimuddin .	-					8 W. R., Cr., 55	1154
	v. Kassy Singh .	-	•				21 W. R., Cr., 61	6251, 6264
	v Kazım Mundle .		:				17 W. R., (r., 49	143, 4436
	•	•	•	•		(2 B. L. R., F. B., 25: 10 W. ?	
	v. Kazim Thakoor .	•	•	•	•	į	R., Cr., 45.	264
	v. Keifa Singh .	•	•	•	•	•	3 W. R., Cr., 16	5573
	v. Keilasum Putter	•	•	•	•	•	5 Mad., 373	1969
	v. Kendra Kamar	•	•	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 97	1349
	v. Keshub Bhoonia	•	•	•	•	•	25 W. R., Cr., 8	1021
	v. Kewal Dosad .	•	•	•	•	•	W. R., 1864, Cr., 36	4058
	v. Khader Moidin .	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 235	3576, 4371
	v. Khadim Sheik .	•	•	•	•	•	4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 7	14
	v. Khan Mahomed	•	•	•	•	•	24 W. R., Cr., 53	3622
	v. Khedun Misser .	•	•	•	•	. 1	7 W. R., Cr., 54	1335
	v Khemee Singh .	•	•	•	•	. 1	1 W. R., Cr., 19	6065
	v. Kheraj Mullah	• 1	•	•	•	•	11 B. L. R., 33	5913
	v. Khetter Nath Ghose	•	•	•	•	• 1	11 W. R., Cr., 56	118
	v. Khetter Nath Shaha	•	•	•	•	•	22 W. R., Cr., 31	73
	v. Khoab Lall .	•	•	٠.	•	•	9 W. R., Cr., 66	709, 1980
	v. Khoaz Sheikh .		•	•	•	•	5 W. R., Cr., 20	5573
	v. Khodabux Fakeer		•	•	•	•	10 W. R., Cr., 52	4057
	v. Khooderam .	•	•	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 39	2901
-	v. Khotub Sheikh .	•	•	•	•	•	6 W. R., Cr., 17	724
	v. Khoyrat Ally Beg	•	•	•	•	•	3 W. R., Cr, 60	1348
	v. Khukree Ooram	•	•	•	•	•	21 W. R., Cr., 48	1021
	v. Khyroo	•	•	•	•		2 N. W., 289	2028
	v. Khyroolla .		•			{	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 11:6 } W. R., Cr., 21:	1802
	v. Kidar Nath .					`	7 N. W., 233	4730
	v. Kishen Pershad	•	•	•	•	•	2 N. W., 202	2008, 2897
	v. Kishto Doba .	•	•	•	•	•	14 W. R., Cr., 16.	3603
	v. Kishtoram Doss	•	•	•	•	•	13 W. R., Cr., 59	2923
	v. Kissoree Pater .	•	•	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 35 . •	1349
	v. Kisto Chunder Ghose	•	•	•	•		2 W. R., Cr., 58	6029
	v. Kisto Mundul .		•	•	•	:	7 W. R., Cr., 8	1004, 1790
	v. Kisto Soonder Deb	•	•	-	•	Ĭ.	2 W. R., Cr., 5	2015
	v. Koa	•		•	•	•	19 W. R., Cr., 48	24
	v. Kodai Kahar	•	•	•	•	•	5 W. R., Cr., 6	1004
	v. Modai Manai	•	•	•	•	•	4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 4: 12 W.	
	v. Kola	•	•	•	•	ે	R., Cr., 66.	1976
	v. Komul Kishen .		•			•	11 W. R., Cr., 35	5539
	v. Komul Manjee .		•		•		7 W. R., Cr., 13	3627
	v. Komurooddee Sikhdar	•					13 W. R., Cr., 17	5 60 2
	v. Koonee					•	7 W. R., Cr., 48	453
	v. Koonjo Leth .					{	11 B. L. R., 14: 20 W. R., }	6166
_	-						3 W. R., Cr., 15	
	v. Koordan Singh .	•	•	•	•	•	22 W. R., Cr., 8	2938
	v. Koylas Boona .	•	•	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 35	74 2005
	v. Kripamoyee Chassanee	,	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 230	200 5 360 7
	v. Krishnama .							

		* • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							1	
		, N	ame of	Савс					Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Quee		v. Krisno Churn	•	•					16 W. R., Cr., 66	2922
	ı	v. Kristendro Roy	y	•	•	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 30	4725, 5541
	ı	v. Kristna Rau					•	•	7 Mad., 58	5513, 5520
	ı	. Kudum .							W. R., 1864, Cr., 13	5527 16, 4384
	r	. Kulum Sheikh						•	10 W. R., Cr., 39	1788
		. Kullian Singh	•	•	•	•	•		2 N. W., 468	6027
		. Kullimoodeen		•	•	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 53	19
		r. Kultaran Singl r. Kumarasami	1.	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 1 All., 129	1314, 1318
					· ·	•	•	,	2 Mad., 331 9 B. L. R., Ap., 30: 18 W.)	4385
		. Kumodinikant	Baver	100	Chowdh	ıry	•	{	R., Cr., 44.	4724
	· v	. Kunee Sonar							7 W. R., Cr., 57	5537
		. Kunhiya	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 N. W., 154	4722
		. Kunj Beharce	•	•	•	•	•	•	5 N. W., 187	1222
		. Kurcem .	•	•	•	•	•	•	11 W. R., Cr., 16.	709
		. Kyamut .	•	•	•	•	•	•	W. R., 1864, Cr., 1	6265
	a)	. Lachmipat Sing	gh	•	•		•	ે	5 B. L. R., Ap., 81: 14 W. }	4095, 4107
	\boldsymbol{v}	. Lahai Mundul							7 W. R , Cr., 29	2599
	\boldsymbol{v}	. Lakkun Santha	.l		•			•.	21 W. R., Cr., 56	1839
		-	_					(B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 147:13	
	v	. Lalchand Kowr	ah	•	•	•	•	₹	Ind. Jur., N. S., 83: 5 W.	1980
	21	. Lal Gumul						•	R., Cr. 23.	2010
		. Lalla Chowbey	•	•	•	•	•	•	2 N. W., 11 2 N. W., 49	2010
		Lall Beharee Si		•	•	•	•	:	11 W. R., Cr., 50	1151, 6263 4730
	v.	Lall Mahomed		:	•	·	:		6 N. W., 284	6271
		Lalloo Singh			•		•		8 W. R., Cr., 54	957, 958
		Lall Singh			•	•		.	10 W. R., Cr., 39	956
		T 1 34	•		•	•	•	•	6 N. W., 270	6270
	v.	Lal Mahomed	•	•	•	•	•	•	22 W. R., Cr., 82	•736
	\boldsymbol{v}	. Lekhraj .					•	1	2 N. W., 132: Agra, F. B.,	3620, 5512
	v.	Lokenath Shaha	1						Ed. 1874, 206. W. R., 1864, Cr., 12	5514, 5518 4383
		Luchmee Persha		:	•	•	•		19 W. R., Cr., 43.	24
		Luchoo .	•				·	:	5 N. W., 86	1014
		Luckhee Singh			•			.	12 W. R., Cr., 23	4354
	v.	Luckhinarain A	goori		•				23 W. R., Cr., 61	6163
	v.	Luckhy Narain	Nago	гy	•	•	•			148, 292 3
		Lucky Narain I		•	•	•	•	•	20 W. R., Cr., 50	1854
		Lukhini Agrada Lukhun Doss	mini,	•	•	•	•	•	22 W. R., Cr., 27.	4350 9509
	v.	Luntro Singh	•	•	•	•	•	.	2 W. R., Cr., 52	$\begin{array}{c} 2583 \\ 256 \end{array}$
		Luteef Hossein	•	:	•	•	•	•	10 W. R., Cr., 1	4094
		Luthi Bewa			:	•	•	. 1	2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 25	1982
		Macdonald	•						10 B. L. R., Ap., 2	1832
		N. 1 N. 1	•	•	•			.	22 W. R., Cr., 6	6030
		Madan Mohun		•	•	•		.	6 N. W., 302	984
		Madan Mundul Madaree .	•	•	•	•	•	.	16 W. R., Cr., 71	2732
		Madhoo Churn	•	•	•	•	•	•	3 W. R., Cr., 2	5992 4104 4505
		Madhoosurun		•	•	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 40	4104, 4505 20
		Madhub Chunde	- C	•	•	•	•	•	()	149, 263
					•	•	•	•	21 W. R., Cr., 13	1270
		Madhub Chunde		ser				.	13 W. R., Cr., 45	1048
	v.	Magenee Behara			•			- 1	11 W. R., Cr., 3	1335

	Na	me of Ca					Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v.	Mahadeo		•				W. R., 1864, Cr., 15	722
•	. Maharaj Misser					{	7 B. L. R., Ap., 66: 16 W.	709, 196
	. Maha Singh	_	_		_	C	R., Cr., 47. 3 N. W., 27	3603
	. Mahbub Khan .	•	·	•	•		1 B. L. R., O. Cr., 39	4450
	. Mahendra Naraya				•		1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 7	463
v	. Mahendranath Ch	tterjee	•	•	•	٠	5 B. L. R., Ap., 39	5579
v	. Mahima Chandra	Chucker	rbutty			}	4 B. L. R., Ap., 77: 12 W. R., Cr., 77.	6262
v).					1	7 B. L. R., 26: 15 W. R., Cr., 45.	5512, 551
ı	. Mahima Chandra	Dass				{	6 B. L. R., Ap., 108: 15 W.	263, 34
	. Mahim Chandra C		huttv			(R., Cr., 37. (5 B. L. R., A. Cr., 67 .	1788 970, 122
	. Manin Chandra C		•	•	•	:	2 N. W., 298	1258
	. Mahomed Afzul	•	•		•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 59	4733
	. Mahomed Akhir a	lias To	tah Me	eeah	•	•	12 W. R., Cr., 17	2005
	. Mahomed Ali .	•	•	•	•	•	13 W. R., Cr., 50	2778
	. Mahomed Elim	•	•	•	•	•	3 W. R., Cr., 40	4055
	. Mahomed Hoomay		aw	•	•	{	Cr., 72.	1977
	. Mahomed Hossain	•	•	,	•	•	16 W. R, Cr., 37	1963, 55
	. Mahomed Hossein	•	•	•	• •	•	W. R., 1864, Cr., 31	4055 5291
	. Maithya Gazee .	•	•	•	•	•	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 293 6 W. R., Cr, 42	1331
	Majohur Roy .	:	•	Ċ	:	:	24 W. R., Cr., 11	1289
	. Malapa bin Kapan						11 Bom., 196	24
	. Marimuttu .	•	•	•	•		I. L. R., 4 Mad., 243	6046
	Man	•	•	•	•	•	5 N. W., 235	1335
	o. Mana Singh	•	•	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 103	6068 4703
_	-	•	•	•	•	•	5 N. W., 240	1953, 19
	. Mata Dyal .	•	•	•	•	•	4 N. W., 6	5602
t	v. Matam Mal .	•	•				22 W. R., Cr., 34	398
· ·	. Mati Khowa .					{	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 36: 12	1789, 19
						(W. R., Cr., 31.	2781 1950
	v. Meajan v. Meajan Sheikh .	•	•	•	•	•	4 W. R., Cr., 5	6161
	•	•	•	•	•	,	14 B. L. R., 106: 22 W. R., 7	1
<i>i</i>	. Meares	•	•	•	•	3	Cr., 54.	2902
	. Megha Meeah	•	•	•	•	•	2 W. R., Cr., 39	2583
	. Mehar Dowalia .	•	•	٠	•	•	16 W. R., Cr., 63	711, 59
	. Menazoodin .	•	•	•	•	(2 W. R., Cr., 33	5564
t	. Meriam	•	•	•	•	{	R., Cr., 10.	5575
ı	. Methi Mullah .			•		•	13 W. R., Cr., 49	397
	. Mewa Lall .	. •	•	•		•	13 W. R., Cr., 53	4718
 ?	. Mina Nuggerbhat	ın.	•	٠	•	•	3 W. R., Cr., 6	399
	Misree Lall .	•	•	•	•	•	3 W. R., Cr., 19	5555
	Misser Sheikh .	•	•	•	•	:	4 N. W., 117	5540 1801
	. Mitto Singh .	•	:	•	:	·	3 W. R., Cr., 41	4626
	. Mittun Chowdhry	•	•				11 W. R., Cr., 53	4432
	Mobarick			•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 28	1951
	. Modhoo Paul	•	•	•	•	•	3 W. R., Cr., 9	2938
	. Modhoosoodun Sha		•	•	. •	•	7 W. R., Cr., 23	2008
~	v. Modoosoodun Day	•	•	•	•	•	3 W. R., Cr., 61	1990

	Name of Casc.				Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen	v. Modun Mohun Pal Chowdhry		•		23 W. R., Cr., 7	5496
	v Mohamed Asger	•	•	٠	23 W. R., Cr., 11	4059 5574
	v. Mohanundo Bhundary .	•	•		10 B. L. R., 455, note: 19)	
	v. Mohesh Biswas	•	•	į	W. R., Cr., 16.	1022
	v. Mohesh Chunder Acharjee .	•	•	٠	6 W. R., Cr., 20	711
	v. Mohesh Chunder Chuttopadhia	•	•	•	2 W. R., Cr., 13	257 4488
	v. Mohesh Chunder Roy v. Mohesh Chunder Sircar	•	•	•	3 W. R., Cr., 13	5546
	v. Mohima Chunder Chuckerbutty	:	:		5 W. R., Cr., 77	1980
	v. Mohim Chunder Sil				16 W. R., Cr., 42	737, 2937
	v. Mohit Pandey	•	•	•	3 N. W., 316	18
	v. Mohun Banfor	•	•	•	22 W. R., Cr., 38	6265 625 4
	v. Mohuree	•	•	•	2 N. W., 393	4624
	v. Moizudin	•	•	:	12 W. R., Cr., 15	1333, 4624
	v. Moneeah ·	:	:	•	11 W. R., Cr., 38	5560
	v. Mon Mohun Roy				24 W. R., Cr., 33	1807, 5881
	v. Mookhtaram Mundle		•	•	17 W. R., Cr., 45	• 727
	v. Mooktaram Sirdar		•	•	23 W. R , Cr., 18	1350 6262
	v. Mookun	•	•	•	12 W. R., Cr., 22	2582
	v. Moondee	•	•	•	2 N. W., 189	4728
	v. Moonsai Bibee	•	•		24 W. R., Cr., 54	1798
	v. Moorut Lall	:			6 W. R., Cr., 93	1989
	v. Moorut Mahton	•			8 W. R., Cr., 3	5516
	v. Moosubroo				8 W. R., Cr., 37	7 1 3
	v. Mootkee Kora	•	•	٠	2 W. R., Cr., 1 6 W. R., Cr., 51	5574 259, 460
	v. Morly Sheikh v. Motee Jolaha	•	•		5 W. R., Cr., 66	1349
	v. Mothogra Nath Roy	•	•		22 W. R., Cr., 72	4385
	v. Mowjan alias Nane Khan .				20 W. R., Cr., 69	1838
	v. Mozafar Khalifa				9 B. L. R., Ap., 36	4100
	v. Muddun Ally	•	•	•	1 W. R., Cr, 27 · · ·	5560 4366
	v. Muddun Mohun Bose .	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 22	200 20
	v. Muddun Mohan Podar v. Mudhoo Dutt	•	•	٠	3 W. R., Cr., 34	254
	v. Mudhoosoodun Roy	•	•	•	16 W. R., Cr., 49	6249
	v. Mudsooddeen	•	•	:	2 N. W., 148	2590, 6253
				5	4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 15: 13?	2768, 6248
	v. Mukta Singh	•	•	Ś	W. R., Cr., 60.	345
	v. Mullick Jeechoo	•	•	٠	23 W. R., Cr., 12	2939
	v. Mungroo	•	•	•	6 N. W., 293 · · ·	710, 1799
	v. Mungul Dass			•	23 W. R., Cr., 28	1961
	v. Muppen				7 Mad., 233	5884
	v. Mustafa	•			1 W. R., Cr., 15	2623
	v. Muthoorapershad Panday .	•	•		2 W. R., Cr., 10	460 5165
	v. Muthoora Singh	•	•	•	18 W. R., Cr., 66	1789
	v. Muttee Nushvo	•	•	٠	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 325	895
	v. Muttu Reddi	•	•	•	I L. R., 6 Mad., 199	3569
	v. Muzhur Hossein	•	:	•	5 N. W., 208	5290
		-		(1 B. L. R., O. Cr., 15: 15 W.	396, 1807
	v. Nabadwip Goswami	•	•	į	R., Cr., 71, note.	2782, 2896 6028
	v. Nabi Saheb			`	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 247	3583
	o. Mani Daneu	•	•	•	1. 2 20, 0 22	1

	Name	of Case.					Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen	v. Nachimuttu .	•	•		•	•	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 18	4691 1264, 3604
	v. Nadi Chand Podda	r .			•		24 W. R., Cr., 1 }	4854, 5837
	v. Naga	•					23 W. R., Cr., 24	1021
	v. Nagardi Paramani	k .				{	1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 3: 10 W.	259
	v. Naiada						R., Cr., 3. I. L. R., 1 All., 43	5574
	v. Naimuddi Sheikh	alias A	bbas	Sheikh			14 W. R., Cr., 7	5570
	v. Nakori Paroee . v. Nakur Sircar .	•	•	•	٠	•	25 W. R., Cr., 31	2926 1767
	v. Nandkumar Bose	:	:	:	:	:	3 B. L. R., Ap., 149	4361
	v. Narain		•				7 N. W., 209	6067
	v. Naraina	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 241 8 W. R., Cr., 80	3569 1983
	v. Narain Acharj .	•	•	•	•	•		1046, 1295
	v. Narain Singh .	•	•	•	•	•	14 W. R., Cr., 20 {	6258
	v. Narapureddy	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., 242	5160 967
	v. Narayan Naik .	•	•	•	•		5 B. L. R., 660 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 7, note:)	
	v. Narsing Narayan	•	•	•	•	{	10 W. R., Cr., 1.	4732
	v. Nawab Jan .	•	• .	•	•	•	8 W. R., 19	343, 724
	v. Nawab Khan . v. Nawab Singh .	•	•	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 25	721 5523
	v. Neela Bibee .	•	•	• `	:	:	10 W. R., Cr., 33	2937
	v. Neetie Dulal .	•		•			8 W. R., Cr., 41	1534, 5608
	v. Nehal Mahtee .	•	•	•	•	•	9 W. R., Cr., 13	905
	v. Nga Cho	•				{	6 B. L. R., Ap., 98: 15 W. R., Cr., 2.	120
	v. Nga Shoay-De .			•			19 W. R., Cr., 68	5548
	v. Niaz Ali	,•	•	•		•	5 N. W., 80 · · ·	4731
	v. Nidamarti Nagabh v. Nidheeram Bagdee		ım	•	•	•	7 Mad., 119	1336 6165
	v. Nijabut Hossein	•	•	•	:	:	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 304	4727
	v. Nijanund	•	•				3 W. R., Cr., 60	958, 198
	v. Nim Chand Mooke	erjee		•			20 W. R., Cr., 41	17, 196 2582, 516
	37'	•					- H D Q 40	15, 180
	v. Niruni	•	•	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 49	1855, 196
	v. Nirunjun Singh	•	•	•	•	•	2 N. W., 431	4730
	v. Nittar Mundle . v. Nitto Gopal Paulit	•	•	•	•	•	3 W. R., Cr., 38	4121 4621
	v. Nityo Gopal Dass		е.	•		:	24 W. R., Cr., 80	1003
	v. Nobin Chunder Ba	• ••				5	13 B. L. R., Ap., 20: 20 W.	2623, 616
	v. Nobin Chunder Ho	•	•	. `	•	į	R., Cr., 70.	5995
	v. Nobin Chunder Sin		:		:	:	12 W. R., Cr., 39	1260, 126
	v. Nobocoomar Baner			•			14 W. R., Cr., 12	6030
	v. Nobokisto Ghose						8 W. R., Cr., 87	1
	v. Nodiar Chand Koo	ndoo				_	14 W. R., Cr., 70	5567
	v. Nokul Nushyo .		•	•		•	7 W. R., Cr., 27	1331
	v. Nomal					•	4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 9: 12 W.	1976
	v. Noorkhan Chowdh		•	•	•	į	R., Cr., 69. 1 W. R., Cr., 11	2623
	v. Notobur Bera .	ry .	•	•	:	•	15 W. R., Cr., 87	6257
	v. Notabur Nundy	•	•			•	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 43	706
	v. Noujan	•					7 Mad., 375	5551

	. N	ame of	Сане.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.				
_					٠		5	6 B. L. R., Ap., 34: 14 W.)	
Queen	v. Nourjan .	•	•	•	•	•	ં	R., Cr., 39.	4377
	v. Nowabdec						·	W. R., 1864, Cr., 11	4623
	v. Nubas Muhton		•					8 W. R., Cr., 65	972
	v. Nujeebutoollah				•	•		9 W. R., Cr., 20	2009
	v. Nujnah .							22 W. R., 68	258
	v. Nujum Ali		•			•		6 W. R., Cr., 41	1791, 2007
	v. Nunda .						•	4 N. W., 133	453
	v. Nundmohun Si	rkar	•		•			12 W. R., Cr., 33	6045
	v. Nunhoo .		•		•	•		9 W. R., Cr., 28	23
	v. Nunkoo Doss	•	•	•	•	•	•	2 W. R., Cr, 48	2583
	v. Nurput Singh	•	•	•	•	•		4 N. W., 86	1049
	v. Nursingh Narai	n		•	•	•	{	2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 7, note:	5543
	v. Nusseer-ood-dee		•			•		2 N. W., 461	4733
	v. Nussurooddeen	Shazw	al		•			11 W. R., Cr., 24	1967, 560
	v. Nussuruddin	•	•	•	•	•		21 W. R., Cr., 5	1289
	v. Nuzee Nushyo	•	•	•	•	•	•	15 W. R., Cr., 52	1806, 6192
	v. Nuzur Ali	٠		•	•	•	•	6 N. W., 39	1152
	v. Nyaz Ali .	•		•	•	•	•	25 W. R., Cr., 47	461
	v. Nyn Singh		_	_	_	_	•	2 N. W., 117: Agra, F. B.,	3100, 5146
		•	•	•	-	•	į	Ed. 1874, 196.	5148
	v. Nytaram Mytee			•	•	•	•	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 171	343
	v. Obhoy Churn C	hucke	rbutty	7	•	•		3 W. R., Cr., 19	1802, 2590
	v. Ofatulla .	•	•	•	•	•	• ,	6 B. L. R., 381	5494
	v. Okhoy Coomar	Shaw	٠.	•	•		{	13 B. L. R., 307 : 21 W. R., 307 : 58.	4332
	v. Oman .	•				•	•	5 N. W., 130	1297
	v. Omerto Lall	•			•		•	19 W. R., Cr., 32	4729
	v. Oojeer .				•	•		6 W. R., Cr., 17	2938
	v. Ooma Moyee D	ebea	•		•			13 W. R., Cr., 25	5514
	v. Oomrao Singh					•		3 N. W., 317	965
	v. Ooram Sungra		•		•	•	•	6 W. R., Cr., 82	5573
	v. Oottum Dhoba		•	•	•	•		19 W. R., Cr., 38	6167
	v. Oottur Narain S	Singh	•		•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 79	1975
	v. Ootum Chund	•	•	•	•	•	•	2 N. W., 287	5520
	v. Oozeerun .	•	•	•	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 98	2937
	v. Pagaree Shaha		•	•	•	•	•	19 W. R., Cr., 51	1808
	v. Palany Chetty	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., 51	1976
	v. Panhee Aurut	•	•	•	•	•	•	15 W. R., Cr., 66	5548 461
	v. Panna	· .	•	•	•	•	•	7 N. W., 371	965, 4510
	v. Panna Lall Moo	•		•	•	•	•	19 W. R., Cr., 4	981, 6254
	v. Parasurama Na		• 1 1		•	•	•	14 W. R., Cr., 13	6259 1261, 1789
	v. Parbutty Churn			•	•	•	•	3 W. R., Cr., 57	504
	v. Parbutty Churn v. Parks	oirca	ľ	•	•	•	•	10 W. R., Cr., 6	2904
	v. Pattachi .	•	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 161	3569
	v. Paun Pandah	•	•	•	•	•	•	18 W. R., Cr., 28	17
	v. Peari Raur	•	•	•	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 40	5158
	v. Pelkoo Nushyo	•	•	•	•	•	•	2 W. R., Cr., 42	4367, 4625
	v. Pemkoer .	•	•	•	•	•	•	5 N. W., 38	1336
	v. Periannau	•	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 241	3569, 4356
	~ VIIIIIIIII	•	•	•	•	•	•		
		_						I. I. R., I All., 310	986
	v. Peterson . v. Petumbur Dhoo	bee	•	•	•	•		I. L. R., 1 All., 316	586 1798, 1800

Name of Case.				Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				O.W. D. C., 11	1788, 1806
queen v. Phoolchand alias Pholeel Ahir	•	•	.	8 W. R., Cr., 11	6263
v. Phoollee Misser			. 1	12 W. R., Cr., 72	5546
v. Piran			.	13 B. L. R., Ap., 4	2912
v. Pittambur Sirdar			.	7 W. R., Cr., 25	1802, 6265
v. Pitti Singh			.	8 W. R., Cr., 37	4106
v. Pochun Churg				2 W. R., Cr., 35	149
v. Poholee Mullick	-			12 W. R., ('r., 28	2925
v. Pooran Jolaha				11 W. R., Cr., 47 · ·	4717
				0.7W D C 50	256, 1151
v. Poorno Chunder Doss .	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 59 {	1154
v. Poorun				5 N. W., 219	1530
v. Poorusoolah Sikhdar				7 W. R., Cr., 14	4060
v. Poosaram			.	6 W. R, Cr., 11	5524
v. Pooshoo				4 W. R., Cr., 33	4059
v. Poran Mal				23 W. R., Cr., 49	1296
v. Poromeshur Aheer				23 W. R., Cr., 16	5882
v. Powell				3 N. W., 96	4727, 473
v. Prankissen Bid				6 W. R., Cr., 15	1955
v. Prankisto Pal				14 W. R., Cr., 41	3629, 516
v. Prem Chund Ousowal .				W. R., 1864, Cr., 35	5574
v. Prosonno Bose	٠.			5 W. R., Cr., 96	2011
v. Prosonno Chunder Gossami .				22 W. R., Cr., 36	4735
- v. Prosunno Coomar Moitro .				23 W. R, Cr., 56	6253
v. Protab Chandra Barooah .				21 W. R., Cr., 2	4494
- v. Puban				7 W. R., Cr., 1	5562, 557
- v. Pubon · · ·				5 W. R., Cr., 66	5571
· -		•	(3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 25: 12 W.	4060
v. Punai Fattama	•	•	ં	R., Cr., 7.	4000
v. Punamalai				I. L. R., 5 Mad., 199	4353
v. Punchanun Tantee				5 W. R., Cr., 97	2581
- v. Puriag Singh				13 W. R., Cr., 20	4734
- 6				· ·	968, 360
v. Purna Chandra Banerjee .			{	4.B. L. R., Ap., 1: 13 W. R.,	6183, 625
•		•	t	Cr., 1.	6259
- v. Purnanund Barick				11 W. R, Cr., 13	1804
v. Pursoram Doss				2 W. R., Cr., 36	1509
v				7 W. R., Cr., 42	2624
v. Radhakanth Paul				9 W. R., Cr., 12	5549
v. Radhakishen Sein				W. R., 1864, Cr., 18	2103
v. Radhanath Dosadh				8 W. R., Cr., 53	1797
			(3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 59: 12 W.)	1800, 515
v. Radhu Jana.	•	•	- 1	R., Cr, 44.	1000, 510
- 11 At			ď	8 B. L. R., Ap., 60: 17 W.	4448
v. Radhu Sing	•		3	R., Cr., 34.	4440
v. Raj Coomar Banerjee .				1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 105	15, 197
v				W. R., 1864, Cr., 25	735
	-	-	(10 B. L. R., Ap., 36: 19 W.	720
v. Rajcoomar Bose	•	•	્	R., Cr., 71.	1 20
·	_	_		19 W. R., Cr., 41	708
v. Rajcoomar Mookerjee				16 W. R., Cr., 14	6254
v. Rajcoomar Singh	•	•		8 W. R., Cr., 17	1151,626
v. Rajkishore Roy	•	•	•	15 W. R., Cr., 55	5529
- v. Rajkisto Doss	•	•	•	12 W. R., Cr., 43	6068
v. Rajkrishna Biswas	•	•	•	8 B. L. R., Ap., 1	1256
v. Rajkrishna Mitter	•	•	•	1 B. L. R., O. Cr., 37	1790
v. Rajoo Ghose	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 106	1335
A YARIOO CIDODE	•		•	, ,,,	

• Ramactar Paire 25 W. R., Cr., 5 5506 • Ramasamy 4 Mad., 152. 1 • Ram Charan Kairi 1 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 131 3 • Ram Charan Kairi 21 W. R., Cr., 39. 4 • Ram Chunder Roy 21 W. R., Cr., 39. 4 • Ram Chunder Sirear 13 W. R., Cr., 18 6 • Ramchurn 3 N. W., 272 2 • Ramchurn Chung 24 W. R., Cr., 36 1 • Ram Churn Ghose 24 W. R., Cr., 36 1 • Ram Churn Kurnokar 24 W. R., Cr., 36 1 • Ram Churn Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 28 2763 • Ram Cound Kurnokar 24 W. R., Cr., 28 2763 • Ram Coomac Chung 1 Ind, Jur., O. S., 108 4 • Ram Coomac Chung 1 Ind, Jur., O. S., 108 4 • Ramdharry Singh 10 W. R., Cr., 35 4 • Ramdharry Singh 10 W. R., Cr., 35 4 • Ramdhun Dey 11 W. R., Cr., 22 6 • Ramdhun Dey 13 W. R., Cr., 15 5 • Ram Jul Mundle 4 W. R., Cr., 35 183<		· Name of C	lase.					Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
• Ramachandrappa I. L. R., 6 Mad, 249 5500 • Ramacatar Panre 25 W R. Cr., 5 5500 • Ramasaya I. L. R., 5 Mad, 131 3 • Ram Chandra Mookerjee 5 B. L. R., 131 3 • Ram Chandra Mookerjee 5 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 488: 6 V. R. Cr., 28 4 • Ram Chunder Roy 21 W. R., Cr., 28 5 • Ramchurd Sircar 13 W. R., Cr., 18 6 • Ramchurd Chung 24 W. R., Cr., 36 5 • Ramchurd Chung 24 W. R., Cr., 36 5 • Ram Chur Ghose 20 W. R., Cr., 33 598. • Ram Churn Kurmokar 24 W. R., Cr., 35 2765 • Ram Chan Kurmokar 24 W. R., Cr., 28 2765 • Ram Comat Chung 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 108 4 • Ram Comat Chung 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 108 4 • Ram Dass 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 108 4 • Ram Bosisub 11 W. R., Cr., 25 6 • Ramdharry Singh 10 W. R., Cr., 5 4855 • Ramdhun Boy 13 W. R., Cr., 5 4855 • Ramdyal Mahara	Queen v.	Rakhma						10 Bom., 373	707
• Ramachar Pane 25 W. R. Cr., 5 5500 • Ramasamy 4 Mad, 152 1 • Ramaya 1. L. R., 5 Mad., 131 3 • Ram Charan Kairi 8 L. R., 8up. Vol., 488: 6 8 • Ram Chunder Roy 21 W. R., Cr., 39 2 • Ram Chunder Sircar 13 W. R., Cr., 18 6 • Ramchurn 3 N. W., 272 2 • Ramchur Chung 24 W. R., Cr., 36 1 • Ram Churn Ghose 24 W. R., Cr., 36 528 • Ram Churn Kurnokar 24 W. R., Cr., 28 2763 • Ram Churn Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 57 6 • Ram Coomar Chung 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 108 4 • Ram Coomar Chung 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 108 4 • Ramdharry Singh 10 W. R., Cr., 35 4 • Ramdhurry Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 35 4 • Ramdhun Dey 13 W. R., Cr., 15 5 • Ramdhun Bingh 11 W. R., Cr., 22 6 • Ramdyal Mundle 4 W. R., Cr., 28 1 • Ram Dothu Chowdhry 23 W. R., Cr., 35 1 </td <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1046</td>	•								1046
• Ramasamy 4 Mad, 152. 1 • Ramayya 1. L. R., 5 Mad, 131. 3 • Ram Chardra Mookerjee 5 B. L. R., 131. 3 • Ram Charan Kairi { B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 488: 6 } W. R., Cr., 39. 3 • Ram Chunder Roy 21 W. R., Cr., 38. 4 • Ramchurn 13 W. R., Cr., 36. 5 • Ramchurn Chung 24 W. R., Cr., 36. 5 • Ramchurn Ghose 20 W. R., Cr., 36. 1 • Ram Churn Ghose 20 W. R., Cr., 36. 2 • Ram Churn Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 28. 276. • Ram Churn Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 28. 276. • Ram Churn Singh 1 Hyde, 195. 1 • Ram Churn Singh 1 Hyde, 195. 1 • Ram Churn Chung 1 Ind. Jur., 0. S., 108. 4 • Ram Churn Singh 1 W. R., Cr., 28. 276. • Ram Churn Singh 1 W. R., Cr., 55. 4855. • Ram Boss 16 W. R., Cr., 56. 4855. • Ramdhan Boss 1 W. R., Cr., 15. 4855. • Ramdhun Dey 13 W. R., Cr., 15.								95 W D C. 5	5509, 5911
v. Ramayya I. L. R., 5 Mad., 131 3 v. Ram Charan Kairi 5 B. L. R., 131 4 v. Ram Charan Kairi { B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 488: 6 } 5 v. Ram Chunder Roy 21 W. R., Cr., 28 6 v. Ramchunder Sircar 13 W. R., Cr., 28 6 v. Ramchurn Chung 24 W. R., Cr., 36 5 v. Ram Churn Ghose 20 W. R., Cr., 33 528 v. Ram Churn Kurmokar 24 W. R., Cr., 28 2762 v. Ram Churn Kurmokar 24 W. R., Cr., 28 2762 v. Ram Counal Mitter 1 Hyde. 195 1 v. Ram Counal Chung 1 Ind. Jur., 0. S., 108 4 v. Ram Dass 16 W. R., Cr., 69 6 v. Ramdhone Shigh 10 W. R., Cr., 69 6 v. Ramdhone Mundul 4 W. R., Cr., 15 485 v. Ramdhone Mundul 4 W. R., Cr., 15 485 v. Ramdyal Minara 21 W. R., Cr., 21 6 v. Ramdyal Mundle 7 W. R., Cr., 22 6 v. Ram Dati Chowdhry 23 W. R., Cr., 23 183 v. Ramgopal Dhur 10					•				1670
v. Ram Chandra Mookerjee 5 B. L. R., 131 v. Ram Charan Kairi 8 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 488: 6 W. R., Cr., 39. v. Ram Chunder Roy 21 W. R., Cr., 28. v. Ramchurd Sircar 13 W. R., Cr., 28. v. Ramchurd Chung 24 W. R., Cr., 36. v. Ramchurn Chung 24 W. R., Cr., 33. v. Ram Churn Ghose 20 W. R., Cr., 33. v. Ram Churn Kurmokar 24 W. R., Cr., 57. v. Ram Churn Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 57. v. Ram Coura Chung 1 Ind. Jur., 0. S., 108. v. Ram Coomar Chung 1 Ind. Jur., 0. S., 108. v. Ram Boss 16 W. R., Cr., 55. v. Ramdharry Singh 10 W. R., Cr., 56. v. Ramdharry Singh 10 W. R., Cr., 56. v. Ramdhune Mundul 4 W. R., Cr., 16. v. Ramdhun Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 22. v. Ramdoyal Mahara 21 W. R., Cr., 35. v. Ramdyal Mandle 7 W. R., Cr., 28. v. Ramdyal Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 29. v. Ramgolam Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 29. v. Ramgolam Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 29. v. Ramgolam Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 22.			•					I. L. R., 5 Mad., 131 .	3568
Description			iee	•					4100
			J	-			(
v. Ram Chunder Roy 21 W. R., Cr., 28 4 v. Ramchunder Sircar 13 W. R., Cr., 18 6 v. Ramchurn 3 N. W., 272 6 v. Ramchurn Ghose 24 W. R., Cr., 36 528 v. Ram Churn Kurmokar 24 W. R., Cr., 36 25 v. Ram Churn Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 57 27 v. Ram Comar Chung 1 Hyde, 195 1 v. Ram Coomar Chung 1 Ind, Jur., 0. S., 108 4 v. Ram Coomar Chung 1 Ind, Jur., 0. S., 108 4 v. Ram Coomar Chung 1 Ind, Jur., 0. S., 108 4 v. Ram Coomar Chung 1 Ind, Jur., 0. S., 108 4 v. Ram Coomar Chung 1 Ind, Jur., 0. S., 108 4 v. Ram Coomar Chung 1 Ind, Jur., 0. S., 108 4 v. Ram Coomar Chung 1 Ind, Jur., 0. S., 108 4 v. Ramdohon Mundul 4 W. R., Cr., 69 4 v. Ramdharry Singh 10 W. R., Cr., 15 5 v. Ramdhann Day 13 W. R., Cr., 27 1 v. Ramdyal Mahara 21 W. R., Cr., 28 18 B. L. R., Ap., 40: 11 W. R., Cr., 28	v.	Ram Charan Kairi	•	•	•	•	ž	W. R., Cr., 39,	5161
• v. Ramchurd 13 W. R., Cr., 18 6 • v. Ramchurn 3 N. W., 272 • v. Ramchurn Chung 24 W. R., Cr., 36 • v. Ram Churn Ghose 20 W. R., Cr., 33 5284 • v. Ram Churn Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 57 5284 • v. Ram Churn Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 57 5284 • v. Ram Churn Singh 1 Hyde, 195 5284 • v. Ram Churn Singh 1 Hyde, 195 5284 • v. Ram Churn Singh 1 Hyde, 195 5284 • v. Ram Churn Singh 1 Hyde, 195 5284 • v. Ram Churn Mitter 1 Hyde, 195 5284 • v. Ram Churn Mitter 1 Hyde, 195 5284 • v. Ram Churn Mitter 1 Hyde, 195 69 • v. Ram Dass 16 W. R., Cr., 269 1 4855 60 8855 60 8855 60 8855	v.	Ram Chunder Roy							4725
v. Ramchurn 3 N. W., 272 v. Ramchurn Chung 24 W. R., Cr., 36 v. Ram Churn Ghose 20 W. R., Cr., 33 v. Ram Churn Kurnokar 24 W. R., Cr., 28 v. Ram Churn Kurnokar 24 W. R., Cr., 67 v. Ram Count Kurnokar 24 W. R., Cr., 69 v. Ram Coomar Chung 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 108 v. Ram Coomar Chung 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 108 v. Ramdsas Boistub 11 W. R., Cr., 69 v. Ramdsas Boistub 11 W. R., Cr., 69 v. Ramdsas Boistub 11 W. R., Cr., 55 v. Ramdhone Mundul 4 W. R., Cr., 15 v. Ramdhun Dey 13 W. R., Cr., 22 v. Ramdhun Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 22 v. Ramdyal Mahara 21 W. R., Cr., 25 v. Ramdyal Mundle 7 W. R., Cr., 25 v. Ramdyal Mundle 7 W. R., Cr., 25 v. Ramgolam Singh 10 W. R., Cr., 22 v. Ramgolam Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 22 v. Ramgolam Singh 10 W. R., Cr., 22 v. Ramgal Mazumdar 6 B. L. R., Ap., 40: 11 W. R., Cr., 26 v. Ramjai Mazumdar 6 B. L. R., Ap., 40: 11 W. R., Cr., 27 v. Ra	v.	Ramchunder Sircar						13 W. R., Cr., 18	6268
v. Ramchurn Chung 24 W. R., Cr., 36 528 v. Ram Churn Ghose 20 W. R., Cr., 33 528 v. Ram Churn Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 57 276 v. Ram Churn Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 57 276 v. Ram Coomar Chung 1 Ind Jur., O. S., 108 4 v. Ram Coomar Chung 1 Ind Jur., O. S., 108 4 v. Ram Coomar Chung 1 Ind Jur., O. S., 108 4 v. Ram Coomar Chung 1 Ind Jur., O. S., 108 4 v. Ram Coomar Chung 1 Ind Jur., O. S., 108 4 v. Ram Coomar Chung 1 Ind Jur., O. S., 108 4 v. Ram Coomar Chung 1 Ind Jur., O. S., 108 4 v. Ram Coomar Chung 1 Ind Jur., O. S., 108 4 v. Ramddan Das 10 W. R., Cr., 69 1 v. Ramddan Par 1 W. R., Cr., 77 1 1 v. Ramddan Day 1 W. R., Cr., 22 6 4 v. Ramddun Day 2 W. R., Cr., 35 1836 1 v. Ramdyal Manta 2 W. R., Cr., 28 1 1 v. Ramdyal Mundle 7 W. R., Cr., 28					•				978
v. Ram Churn Ghoše 20 W. R., Cr., 33 528 v. Ram Churn Kurmokar 24 W. R., Cr., 28 276 v. Ram Churn Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 27 6 v. Ram Coomar Chung 1 Hnd Jur., O. S., 108 4 v. Ram Coomar Chung 1 Hnd Jur., O. S., 108 4 v. Ram Caomar Chung 1 Ind Jur., O. S., 108 4 v. Ram Caomar Chung 1 Ind Jur., O. S., 108 4 v. Ram Caomar Chung 1 Ind Jur., O. S., 108 4 v. Ram Caomar Chung 1 Ind Jur., O. S., 108 4 v. Ramdoans Sioistub 11 W. R., Cr., 35 6 v. Ramdoans Sioistub 11 W. R., Cr., 15 4855 v. Ramdharn Pey 13 W. R., Cr., 15 4855 v. Ramddhun Dey 13 W. R., Cr., 22 6 v. Ramddhan Pey 13 W. R., Cr., 47 1 v. Ramddyal Mahara 21 W. R., Cr., 35 1836 v. Ramdyal Mundle 7 W. R., Cr., 28 1 v. Ramdyal Mundle 7 W. R., Cr., 28 1 v. Ramgolan Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 21 1 v. Ramgolan Singh <td> v.</td> <td>Ramchurn Chung</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>24 W. R., Cr., 36</td> <td>1017</td>	v .	Ramchurn Chung						24 W. R., Cr., 36	1017
v. Ram Churn Kurnokar 24 W. R., Cr., 28 2765 v. Ram Churn Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 57 8 v. Ram Counar Chung 1 Hyde, 195 1 v. Ram Coomar Chung 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 108 4 v. Ram Bass 16 W. R., Cr., 69 4 v. Ramdass Boistub 11 W. R., Cr., 35 4 v. Ramdass Boistub 10 W. R., Cr., 69 4 v. Ramdaharry Singh 10 W. R., Cr., 65 4855 v. Ramdhan Bundud 4 W. R., Cr., 15 5 v. Ramdhun Bingh 11 W. R., Cr., 22 6 v. Ramdhun Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 22 1 v. Ramdyal Mundle 22 W. R., Cr., 47 1 v. Ramdyal Singh 22 W. R., Cr., 28 1 v. Ramdyal Singh 8 B. L. R., Ap., 7: 16 W. R., Cr., 65 1 v. Ramdolad Chuckerbutty 8 B. L. R., Ap., 7: 16 W. R., Cr., 65 1 v. Ramgopal Dhur 10 W. R., Cr., 22 4356 v. Ramgopal Dhur 10 W. R., Cr., 21 4483 v. Ramkishan Halwai 25 W. R., Cr., 48 4483 v. Ramkishan Da							.		5284, 6166
v. Ham Churn Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 57 5 v. Ramcoull Mitter 1 Hyde, 195 1 v. Ram Dass 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 108 4 v. Ram Dass 11 W. R., Cr., 69 1 v. Ramdass Boistub 11 W. R., Cr., 35 6 v. Ramdhone Mundul 4 W. R., Cr., 15 5 v. Ramdhone Mundul 4 W. R., Cr., 15 5 v. Ramdoun Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 22 6 v. Ramdoul Chowdhry 23 W. R., Cr., 35 1886 v. Ram Golind Chowdhry 23 W. R., Cr., 35 1886 v. Ramdyal Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 28 8 v. Ram Gobind Chuckerbutty 8 B. L. R., Ap., 7: 16 W. R., Cr., 25 10 W. R., Cr., 22 4356 v. Ramgolam Singh 10 W. R., Cr., 22 4356 4435 v. Ramgolam Singh 10 W. R., Cr., 22 4356 4435 v. Ramgolam Singh 10 W. R., Cr., 22 4356 v. Ramgolam Singh 10 W. R., Cr., 27 722 v. Ramgolam Singh 10 W. R., Cr., 27 722 v. Ramgopal Dhur 10 W. R., Cr., 4			r				.	24 W. R., Cr., 28	2762, 5573
v. Ramconnul Mitter 1 Hyde, 195 v. Ram Coomar Chung 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 108 v. Ram Dass 16 W. R., Cr., 69 v. Ramdass Boistub 11 W. R., Cr., 35 v. Ramdhavry Singh 10 W. R., Cr., 5 v. Ramdhun Buy 13 W. R., Cr., 77 v. Ramdhun Dey 11 W. R., Cr., 77 v. Ramdoyal Mahara 21 W. R., Cr., 47 v. Ramdoyal Mahara 21 W. R., Cr., 35 v. Ramdyal Mundle 7 W. R., Cr., 28 v. Ramdyal Singh 8 B. L. R., Ap., 7: 16 W. R., Cr., 65 v. Ramdoyal Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 28 v. Ramgolam Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 28 v. Ramgolam Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 22 v. Ramgolam Singh 120 W. R., Cr., 7 v. Ramkishan Halwai 25 W. R., Cr., 48 v. Ramkishan Halwai 25 W. R., Cr., 48 v. Ramkishan Das 7 B. L. R., 446 v. Ram Lochun Singh 18 W. R., Cr.					-	-			5993
v. Ram Dass 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 108 v. Ram Dass 16 W. R., Cr., 69 v. Ramdass Boistub 11 W. R., Cr., 35 6 v. Ramdhary Singh 10 W. R., Cr., 5 4855 v. Ramdhone Mundul 4 W. R., Cr., 15 5 v. Ramdhun Bey 13 W. R., Cr., 77 1 v. Ramdhun Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 22 6 v. Ramdyal Mahara 21 W. R., Cr., 47 1 v. Ramdyal Mundle 7 W. R., Cr., 25 1 v. Ramdyal Singh 8 B. L. R., Ap., 7: 16 W. R., Cr., 28 1 v. Ramdyal Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 28 1 v. Ramdyal Mundle 7 W. R., Cr., 28 1 v. Ramdyal Mundle 7 W. R., Cr., 28 1 v. Ramdyal Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 28 1 v. Ramdyal Mundle 7 W. R., Cr., 28 1 v. Ramdyal Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 27 1 v. Ramdyal Singh 10 W. R., Cr., 22 4356 v. Ramgoal Dhur 10 W. R., Cr., 7 722 v. Ramgaba Halwai 25 W. R., Cr., 46 4435 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>•</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>. </td><td></td><td>1792</td></td<>			•				.		1792
v. Ram Dass 16 W. R., Cr., 69 . v. Ramdass Boistub 11 W. R., Cr., 35 . v. Ramdhone Mundul 4 W. R., Cr., 15 . v. Ramdhun Dey 13 W. R., Cr., 77 . v. Ramdoyal Mahara 21 W. R., Cr., 22 . v. Ramdoyal Mahara 21 W. R., Cr., 35 . v. Ramdoyal Mundle 7 W. R., Cr., 28 . v. Ramdyal Singh 8 B. L. R., Ap., 7:16 W. R., Cr., 28 . v. Ramgolam Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 22 . v. Ramgolam Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 28 . v. Ramgolam Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 13 . v. Ramgolam Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 22 . v. Ramkishan Halwai 25 W. R., Cr., 4 . v. Ramkishan Halwai 25 W. R., Cr., 4 . v. Ramkisto Doss 16 W. R., Cr., 27 . v. Ramkisto Doss 16 W. R., Cr., 27 . v. Ram Lall Singh 22 W. R., Cr., 51 . v. Ram Lochun Singh 18 W. R., Cr., 51 . v. Ram Lochun Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 54									4056
v. Ramdass Boistub 11 W. R., Cr., 35 66 v. Ramdharry Singh 4 W. R., Cr., 5 485 v. Ramdhun Dey 13 W. R., Cr., 77 1 v. Ramdhun Dey 11 W. R., Cr., 22 6 v. Ramdoyal Mahara 21 W. R., Cr., 22 6 v. Ramdoyal Mahara 21 W. R., Cr., 35 1836 v. Ramdyal Mundle 7 W. R., Cr., 28 1 v. Ramdyal Singh 8 B. L. R., Ap., 7: 16 W. R., Cr., 65 2 B. L. R., Ap., 40: 11 W. R., Cr., 65 v. Ramgolam Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 22 4356 v. Ramgopal Dhur 10 W. R., Cr., 22 4356 v. Ramkishan Halwai 25 W. R., Cr., 4 4436 v. Ramkishan Halwai 25 W. R., Cr., 48 6 v. Ramkisho Doss 16 W. R., Cr., 48 6 v. Ramkisho Doss 16 W. R., Cr., 27 4436 v. Ram Lall Singh 22 W. R., Cr., 41 4 v. Ram Lall Singh 22 W. R., Cr., 51 4 v. Ram Lochun Singh 18 W. R., Cr., 15 4 v. Ram Buton Mose 8 W. R., Cr., 54 7 v. Rammar				-		•	:		74
v. Ramdharry Singh 10 W. R., Cr, 5 4855 v. Ramdhone Mundul 4 W. R., Cr, 15 5 v. Ramdhun Dey 13 W. R., Cr., 77 1 v. Ramdoyal Mahara 21 W. R., Cr., 22 6 v. Ramdoyal Mahara 23 W. R., Cr., 35 1836 v. Ramdyal Mundle 7 W. R., Cr., 28 1 v. Ramdyal Singh 8 B. L. R., Ap., 7: 16 W. R., Cr., 65. 2 v. Ram Gobind Chuckerbutty 8 B. L. R., Ap., 40: 11 W. R., Cr., 65. 2 v. Ramgolam Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 22 4356 v. Ramgolam Singh 10 W. R., Cr., 7 722 v. Ramgolam Singh 10 W. R., Cr., 22 4356 v. Ramgolam Singh 10 W. R., Cr., 22 4356 v. Ramgolam Singh 10 W. R., Cr., 12 4356 v. Ramgolam Singh 10 W. R., Cr., 22 4356 v. Ramgolam Singh 10 W. R., Cr., 24 4435 v. Ramgolam Singh 10 W. R., Cr., 48 4435 v. Ramkishan Halwai 25 W. R., Cr., 48 4435 v. Ramkisto Doss 16 W. R., Cr., 4 4435 v. Ram Lull Singh 22 W. R., Cr., 51 445			-	•	•	•	.	11 W. R., Cr., 35	6263
v. Ramdhone Mundul 4 W. R., Cr., 15 5 v. Ramdhun Dey 13 W. R., Cr., 77 1 v. Ramdhun Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 22 6 v. Ramdoyal Mahara 21 W. R., Cr., 35 1836 v. Ramdyal Mundle 7 W. R., Cr., 28 1 v. Ramdyal Singh 8 B. L. R., Ap., 7: 16 W. R., Cr., 65. 2 B. L. R., Ap., 40: 11 W. R., Cr., 65. v. Ram Gobind Chuckerbutty 2 B. L. R., Ap., 40: 11 W. R., Cr., 13. 11 W. R., Cr., 13. v. Ramgolam Singh 10 W. R., Cr., 13. 11 W. R., Cr., 7 . 722 v. Ramgopal Dhur 10 W. R., Cr., 7 . 722 4356 v. Ramgopal Dhur 6 B. L. R., Ap., 46 4435 4435 v. Ramkishan Halwai 25 W. R., Cr., 7 72 72 v. Ramkishan Das 7 B. L. R., 446 4435 v. Ramkishan Das 7 B. L. R., 446 4436 v. Ram Lall Singh 22 W. R., Cr., 51 44 v. Ram Lall Singh 18 W. R., Cr., 55 44 v. Ram Laugun Lall 7 W. R., Cr., 54 7 W. R., Cr., 54 7 W. R., Cr., 54 v. Ram Narian Ghose			-	•	•	•	•	10 W. B., Cr. 5	4855, 5511
v. Ramdhun Dey 13 W. R., Cr., 77 1 v. Ramdhun Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 22 6 v. Ramdoyal Mahara 21 W. R., Cr., 47 1 v. Ram Dutt Chowdhry 23 W. R., Cr., 35 1836 v. Ramdyal Mundle 7 W. R., Cr., 28 1 v. Ramdyal Singh 8 B. L. R., Ap., 7: 16 W. R., Cr., 65 1 v. Ram Gobind Chuckerbutty 2 B. L. R., Ap., 40: 11 W. R., Cr., 13 1 v. Ramgopal Dhur 10 W. R., Cr., 2 4356 v. Ramgopal Dhur 10 W. R., Cr., 7 722 v. Ramkishan Halwai 25 W. R., Cr., 48 6 v. Ramkishan Halwai 25 W. R., Cr., 46 4435 v. Ramkishan Das 16 W. R., Cr., 47 4 v. Ramkishan Das 7 B. L. R., 446 4 v. Ram Lall Singh 22 W. R., Cr., 41 4 v. Ram Lochun Singh 18 W. R., Cr., 51 4 v. Ram Lochun Singh 18 W. R., Cr., 54 1 v. Ram Logun Lall 7 W. R., Cr., 54 1 v. Ram Narain Ghose 8 W. R., Cr., 5 5 v. Ramnarain 3 C. L. R., 32 1 v. Ram Ranton Doss					•	•	.	4 W. R., Cr., 15	5578
v. Ramdolan Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 22 6 v. Ramdoyal Mahara 21 W. R., Cr., 47 1 v. Ram Plutt Chowdhry 23 W. R., Cr., 35 1836 v. Ramdyal Mundle 7 W. R., Cr., 28 1 v. Ramdyal Singh 8 B. L. R., Ap., 7: 16 W. R., Cr., 65 1 v. Ram Gobind Chuckerbutty 2 B. L. R., Ap., 40: 11 W. R., Cr., 65 1 v. Ramgolam Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 22 4356 v. Ramgolam Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 22 4356 v. Ramgolam Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 22 4356 v. Ramgolam Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 22 4356 v. Ramgolam Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 7 722 v. Ramgolam Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 7 722 v. Ramgolam Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 4 4435 v. Ramgolam Singh 25 W. R., Cr., 4 4435 v. Ramkishan Halwai 25 W. R., Cr., 4 4435 v. Ramkishan Halwai 25 W. R., Cr., 27 44 v. Ramkishan Bas 7 B. L. R., 446 4456 v. Ram Ledu Singh 12 W. R., Cr., 51 44 <			•	•	•	•	.		1261
v. Ramdoyal Mahara 21 W. R., Cr., 47 1836 v. Ram Dutt Chowdhry 23 W. R., Cr., 28 1836 v. Ramdyal Mundle 7 W. R., Cr., 28 1 v. Ramdyal Singh 8 B. L. R., Ap., 7: 16 W. R., Cr., 65. 2 B. L. R., Ap., 40: 11 W. R., Cr., 65. v. Ramgolam Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 22 4356 v. Ramgopal Dhur 10 W. R., Cr., 22 4356 v. Ramjai Mazumdar 6 B. L. R., Ap., 46 4436 v. Ramkishan Halwai 25 W. R., Cr., 48 6 v. Ramkishan Halwai 25 W. R., Cr., 48 6 v. Ramkishan Das 16 W. R., Cr., 48 6 v. Ramkishan Das 7 B. L. R., 446 4 v. Ramkishan Das 7 B. L. R., 446 4 v. Ram Luglun Lall 7 W. R., Cr., 51 4 v. Ram Lochun Singh 18 W. R., Cr., 15 4 v. Rammarain 3 C. L. R., 32 1 v. Rammarain 3 C. L. R., 32 1 v. Ramnarain Josh 4 W. R., Cr., 54 4 v. Ram Panda 9 W. R., Cr., 23 2 v. Ram Rutton Doss			•	•	•	•		11 W R Cr 22	6263
w. Ram Dutt Chowdhry 23 W. R., Cr., 35 1836 w. Ramdyal Mundle 7 W. R., Cr., 28 1 w. Ramdyal Singh 8 B. L. R., Ap., 7: 16 W. R., Cr., 65. 2 B. L. R., Ap., 40: 11 W. R., Cr., 13. w. Ramgolam Siugh 11 W. R., Cr., 22 4356 w. Ramgopal Dhur 10 W. R., Cr., 7 722 w. Ramkishan Halwai 25 W. R., Cr., 48 6 w. Ramkishan Halwai 25 W. R., Cr., 48 6 w. Ramkisto Doss 16 W. R., Cr., 27 4 w. Ramkisto Doss 16 W. R., Cr., 27 4 w. Ramkisto Doss 18 W. R., Cr., 27 4 w. Ramkisto Doss 18 W. R., Cr., 27 4 w. Ramkisto Doss 18 W. R., Cr., 27 4 w. Ram Lochun Singh 22 W. R., Cr., 51 4 w. Ram Logun Lall 7 W. R., Cr., 51 4 w. Ram Logun Lall 7 W. R., Cr., 105 1 w. Ramnarain 3 C. L. R., 32 1 w. Ramnarain Ghose 8 W. R., Cr., 5 5 w. Ramnarain Josh 4 W. R., Cr., 37 5 w. Ram Rutton Doss 9 B. L. R., Ap., 16 9 w. Ram Sagor		· ·	•	•	•	•	•	21 W R Cr 47	1154
v. Ramdyal Mundle 7 W. R., Cr., 28 1 v. Ramdyal Singh 8 B. L. R., Ap., 7:16 W. R., Cr., 65. 1 Cr., 65. v. Ram Gobind Chuckerbutty 2 B. L. R., Ap., 40:11 W. R., Cr., 22 4356 v. Ramgolam Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 22 4356 v. Ramgopal Dhur 10 W. R., Cr., 7 722 v. Ramgolam Singh 10 W. R., Cr., 7 722 v. Ramgopal Dhur 6 B. L. R., Ap., 46 4435 v. Ramgolam Singh 25 W. R., Cr., 48 4435 v. Ramkishan Halwai 25 W. R., Cr., 48 4435 v. Ramkishan Halwai 25 W. R., Cr., 44 4435 v. Ramkisto Doss 16 W. R., Cr., 4 4455 v. Ramkisto Doss 16 W. R., Cr., 27 4455 v. Ram Lall Singh 22 W. R., Cr., 51 4455 v. Ram Lochun Singh 18 W. R., Cr., 54 4456 v. Ram Lugun Lall 7 W. R., Cr., 54 4456 v. Ram Narain Ghose 8 W. R., Cr., 54 556 v. Ramnarain Josh 4 W. R., Cr., 5 557 v. Ramnarain Josh 4 W. R., Cr., 37 557			•	•	•	•	•		1836, 2922
w. Ramdyal Singh { 8 B. L. R., Ap., 7:16 W. R., Cr., 65. w. Ram Gobind Chuckerbutty { 2 B. L. R., Ap., 40:11 W. R., Cr., 13. w. Ramgolam Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 22. 4356 w. Ramjai Mazumdar 10 W. R., Cr., 7. 722 w. Ramjai Mazumdar 6 B. L. R., Ap., 46 4435 w. Ramkishan Halwai 25 W. R., Cr., 48 4435 w. Ramkishan Das 16 W. R., Cr., 27 4 w. Ramkisto Doss 16 W. R., Cr., 27 4 w. Ramkishan Das 7 B. L. R., 446 4 w. Ramkishan Das 7 B. L. R., 446 4 w. Ram Lochun Singh 22 W. R., Cr., 51 4 w. Ram Lochun Singh 18 W. R., Cr., 15 4 w. Ram Lugun Lall 7 W. R., Cr., 54 7 w. Ramnoni Sein 7 W. R., Cr., 54 1 w. Ramnarain Ghose 8 W. R., Cr., 55 5 w. Ramnarain Josh 4 W. R., Cr., 5 5 w. Ramnath 7 W. R., Cr., 45 4 w. Ram Panda 9 B. L. R., Ap., 16 9 w. Ram Rutton Doss 9 W. R., Cr., 23 2 w. Ram Sagor 8 W			•	•	•	•	•		1326
w. Ram Gobind Chuckerbutty {		ramayar mundie	•	•	•	•			1020
v. Ram Gobind Chuckerbutty { 2 B. L. R., Ap., 40: 11 W. } R., Cr., 13. v. Ramgolam Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 22. 4356 v. Ramgopal Dhur 10 W. R., Cr., 7. 722 v. Ramjai Mazumdar 6 B. L. R., Ap., 46 4435 v. Ramkishan Halwai 25 W. R., Cr., 48 4435 v. Ramkishen 8 W. R., Cr., 4 8 W. R., Cr., 4 v. Ramkishan Das 16 W. R., Cr., 27 4 v. Ramkishan Das 7 B. L. R., 446 4 v. Ramkishan Das 7 B. L. R., 446 4 v. Ram Lall Singh 22 W. R., Cr., 51 4 v. Ram Lochun Singh 18 W. R., Cr., 15 4 v. Ram Lugun Lall 7 W. R., Cr., 54 7 v. Rammoni Sein 7 W. R., Cr., 54 7 v. Ramnarain 3 C. L. R., 32 1 v. Ram Narain Ghose 8 W. R., Cr., 5 5 v. Ramnath 7 W. R., Cr., 45 4 v. Ram Panda 9 B. L. R., Ap., 16 9 v. Ram Rutton Doss 9 W. R., Cr., 23 2 v. Ram Saloy Bhar W. R., 1864, Cr., 24	v.	Ramdyal Singh .	•						117
v. Ramgolam Singh	_						- 1		
v. Ramgolam Singh 11 W. R., Cr., 22 4356 v. Ramgopal Dhur 10 W. R., Cr., 7 722 v. Ramjai Mazumdar 6 B. L. R., Ap., 46 4435 v. Ramkishan Halwai 25 W. R., Cr., 4 4 v. Ramkishen 8 W. R., Cr., 4 6 v. Ramkisto Doss 16 W. R., Cr., 27 4 v. Ramkishan Das 7 B. L. R., 446 4 v. Ram Lall Singh 22 W. R., Cr., 51 4 v. Ram Lochun Singh 18 W. R., Cr., 51 4 v. Ram Lougun Lall 7 W. R., Cr., 54 7 v. Rammoni Sein 7 W. R., Cr., 54 1 v. Ramnarain 3 C. L. R., 32 1 v. Ramnarain Ghose 8 W. R., Cr., 5 5 v. Ram Narain Ghose 8 W. R., Cr., 37 5 v. Ramnath 7 W. R., Cr., 45 4 v. Ram Panda 9 B. L. R., Ap., 16 9 v. Ram Rutton Doss 21 W. R., Cr., 23 2 v. Ram Sagor 8 W. R., Cr., 57 2 v. Ram Sahoy Bhar W. R., 1864, Cr., 24 2 v. Ram Saran Tewary 24 W. R., Cr., 45 1	— v.	Ram Gobind Chuckerb	utty	•	•		3	R Cr 13	118
v. Ramgopal Dhur 10 W. R., Cr., 7 722 v. Ramjai Mazumdar 6 B. L. R., Ap., 46 4435 v. Ramkishan Halwai 25 W. R., Cr., 48 6 v. Ramkishen 8 W. R., Cr., 4 6 v. Ramkisto Doss 16 W. R., Cr., 27 4 v. Ramkisto Doss 16 W. R., Cr., 27 4 v. Ramkisto Doss 16 W. R., Cr., 27 4 v. Ramkisto Doss 7 B. L. R., 446 4 v. Ram Lall Singh 22 W. R., Cr., 51 4 v. Ram Lochun Singh 18 W. R., Cr., 15 4 v. Ram Lochun Singh 18 W. R., Cr., 15 4 v. Ram Lochun Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 105 1 v. Ram Ramoni Sein 7 W. R., Cr., 105 1 v. Ramnarain 3 C. L. R., 32 1 v. Ram Narain Ghose 8 W. R., Cr., 5 5 v. Ramnath 7 W. R., Cr., 37 5 v. Ram Panda 9 B. L. R., Ap., 16 2 v. Ram Rutton Doss 21 W. R., Cr., 23 2 v. Ram Safor 8 W. R., Cr., 57 2	21	Ramgolam Singh					- 1	11 W R Cr 99	4356, 5519
v. Ramjai Mazumdar 6 B. L. R., Ap., 46 4435 v. Ramkishan Halwai 25 W. R., Cr., 48 6 v. Ramkishon 8 W. R., Cr., 4 6 v. Ramkisto Doss 16 W. R., Cr., 27 4 v. Ramkishan Das 7 B. L. R., 446 4 v. Ram Lall Singh 22 W. R., Cr., 51 4 v. Ram Lochun Singh 18 W. R., Cr., 15 4 v. Ram Lugun Lall 7 W. R., Cr., 54 7 v. Rammoni Sein 7 W. R., Cr., 54 7 v. Rammarain 3 C. L. R., 32 1 v. Ramnarain Ghose 8 W. R., Cr., 5 5 v. Ram Narain Ghose 8 W. R., Cr., 5 5 v. Ramnath 7 W. R., Cr., 45 4 v. Ramnath Surma Biswas 21 W. R., Cr., 45 4 v. Ram Panda 9 B. L. R., Ap., 16 9 v. Ram Rutton Doss 9 W. R., Cr., 23 2 v. Ram Saloy Bhar W. R., 1864, Cr., 24 2 v. Ram Saran Tewary 24 W. R., Cr., 45 1 v. Ramsarun Chowbey 4 N. W., 46 4			•	•	•	•	•	10 W R Cr 7	722, 2007
v. Ramkishan Halwai 25 W. R., Cr., 48 v. Ramkishen 8 W. R., Cr., 4 v. Ramkisho Doss 16 W. R., Cr., 27 v. Ramkrishna Das 7 B. L. R., 446 v. Ram Lall Singh 22 W. R., Cr., 51 v. Ram Lochun Singh 18 W. R., Cr., 15 v. Ram Lugun Lall 7 W. R., Cr., 54 v. Ram Lugun Lall 7 W. R., Cr., 105 v. Ramnarain 3 C. L. R., 32 v. Ramnarain 3 C. L. R., 32 v. Ramnarain Josh 4 W. R., Cr., 5 v. Ramnarain Josh 4 W. R., Cr., 37 v. Ramnath 7 W. R., Cr., 45 v. Ramnath Surma Biswas 21 W. R., Cr., 45 v. Ram Panda 9 B. L. R., Ap., 16 v. Ram Rutton Doss 9 W. R., Cr., 23 v. Ram Rutton Mookerjee 24 W. R., Cr., 8 v. Ram Sahoy Bhar W. R., 1864, Cr., 24 v. Ram Saran Tewary 24 W. R., Cr., 45 v. Ramsarun Chowbey 4 N. W., 46			•	•	•	•	.	6 R L R An 46	4435, 4627
v. Ramkishen 8 W. R., Cr., 4 v. Ramkisto Doss 16 W. R., Cr., 27 v. Ramkishna Das 7 B. L. R., 446 v. Ram Lall Singh 22 W. R., Cr., 51 v. Ram Lochun Singh 18 W. R., Cr., 15 v. Ram Lugun Lall 7 W. R., Cr., 54 v. Rammoni Sein 7 W. R., Cr., 105 v. Ramnarain 3 C. L. R., 32 v. Ramnarain Ghose 8 W. R., Cr., 5 v. Ramnarain Josh 4 W. R., Cr., 37 v. Ramnath 7 W. R., Cr., 45 v. Ramnath Surma Biswas 21 W. R., Cr., 45 v. Ram Panda 9 B. L. R., Ap., 16 v. Ram Rutton Doss 9 W. R., Cr., 23 v. Ram Rutton Mookerjee 24 W. R., Cr., 8 v. Ram Sagor 8 W. R., Cr., 57 v. Ram Sahoy Bhar W. R., 1864, Cr., 24 v. Ram Saran Tewary 24 W. R., Cr., 45 v. Ramsarun Chowbey 4 N. W., 46			•	•	•	•	.		6272
v. Ramkisto Doss 16 W. R., Cr., 27 n. Ramkrishna Das 7 B. L. R., 446 v. Ram Lall Singh 22 W. R., Cr., 51 v. Ram Lochun Singh 18 W. R., Cr., 15 v. Ram Lugun Lall 7 W. R., Cr., 54 v. Rammoni Sein 7 W. R., Cr., 105 v. Ramnarain 3 C. L. R., 32 v. Ramnarain Ghose 8 W. R., Cr., 5 v. Ramnarain Josh 4 W. R., Cr., 37 v. Ramnath 7 W. R., Cr., 45 v. Ramnath Surma Biswas 21 W. R., Cr., 9 v. Ram Panda 9 B. L. R., Ap., 16 v. Ram Rutton Doss 9 W. R., Cr., 23 v. Ram Rutton Mookerjee 24 W. R., Cr., 57 v. Ram Sagor 8 W. R., Cr., 57 v. Ram Sahoy Bhar W. R., 1864, Cr., 24 v. Ram Saran Tewary 24 W. R., Cr., 45 v. Ramsarun Chowbey 4 N. W., 46			•	•	•	•			74
v. Ramkrishna Das 7 B. L. R., 446 4 v. Ram Lall Singh 22 W. R., Cr., 51 4 v. Ram Lochun Singh 18 W. R., Cr., 15 4 v. Ram Lugun Lall 7 W. R., Cr., 54 7 v. Rammoni Sein 7 W. R., Cr., 105 7 v. Rammarain 3 C. L. R., 32 1 v. Ram Narain Ghose 8 W. R., Cr., 5 5 v. Ramnarain Josh 4 W. R., Cr., 37 5 v. Ramnath 7 W. R., Cr., 45 4 v. Ramnath Surma Biswas 21 W. R., Cr., 45 4 v. Ram Panda 9 B. L. R., Ap., 16 2 v. Ram Rutton Doss 9 W. R., Cr., 23 2 v. Ram Sagor 8 W. R., Cr., 57 2 v. Ram Sahoy Bhar W. R., 1864, Cr., 24 2 v. Ram Saran Tewary 24 W. R., Cr., 45 1 v. Ramsarun Chowbey 4 N. W., 46 2			•	•	•	•	•		4692
v. Ram Lall Singh 22 W. R., Cr., 51 4 v. Ram Lochun Singh 18 W. R., Cr., 15 4 v. Ram Lugun Lall 7 W. R., Cr., 54 . v. Rammoni Sein 7 W. R., Cr., 105 . v. Rammarain 3 C. L. R., 32 1 v. Ram Narain Ghose 8 W. R., Cr., 5 5 v. Ramnarain Josh 4 W. R., Cr., 37 5 v. Ramnath 7 W. R., Cr., 45 4505 v. Ramnath Surma Biswas 21 W. R., Cr., 9 4505 v. Ram Panda 9 B. L. R., Ap., 16 9 v. Ram Rutton Doss 9 W. R., Cr., 23 2 v. Ram Rutton Mookerjee 24 W. R., Cr., 57 2 v. Ram Saloy Bhar W. R., 1864, Cr., 24 2 v. Ram Saran Tewary 24 W. R., Cr., 45 1 v. Ramsarun Chowbey 4 N. W., 46 1			•	•	•	•	•	7 D T D 446	4692
v. Ram Lochun Singh 18 W. R., Cr., 15 . 4 v. Ram Lugun Lall 7 W. R., Cr., 54 . . v. Rammoni Sein 7 W. R., Cr., 105 . . v. Rammarain 3 C. L. R., 32 . 1 v. Ram Narain Ghose 8 W. R., Cr., 5 . 5 v. Ramnarain Josh 4 W. R., Cr., 37 . 5 v. Ramnath . 7 W. R., Cr., 45 . 4505 v. Ramnath Surma Biswas 21 W. R., Cr., 9 . 4505 v. Ram Panda 9 B. L. R., Ap., 16 . 9 v. Ram Rutton Doss 9 W. R., Cr., 23 . 2 v. Ram Rutton Mookerjee 24 W. R., Cr., 8 . 2 v. Ram Sahoy Bhar W. R., 1864, Cr., 24 . 2 v. Ram Saran Tewary 24 W. R., Cr., 45 . 1 v. Ramsarun Chowbey 4 N. W., 46 . 9			•	•	•	•	•	7 D. M. N., 440	4625
v. Ram Lugun Lall 7 W. R., Cr., 54 v. Rammoni Sein 7 W. R., Cr., 105 v. Ramnarain 3 C. L. R., 32 1 v. Ramnarain Ghose 8 W. R., Cr., 5 5 v. Ramnarain Josh 4 W. R., Cr., 37 5 v. Ramnath 7 W. R., Cr., 45 4505 v. Ram Panda 9 B. L. R., Ap., 16 9 v. Ram Rutton Doss 9 W. R., Cr., 23 2 v. Ram Rutton Mookerjee 24 W. R., Cr., 8 2 v. Ram Sagor 8 W. R., Cr., 57 8 W. R., 1864, Cr., 24 2 v. Ram Saran Tewary 24 W. R., Cr., 45 1 v. Ramsarun Chowbey 4 N. W., 46 1			•	•	•	•	•		4854
v. Rammoni Sein 7 W. R., Cr., 105 v. Rammarain 3 C. L. R., 32 v. Ram Narain Ghose 8 W. R., Cr., 5 v. Ramnarain Josh 4 W. R., Cr., 37 v. Ramnath 7 W. R., Cr., 45 v. Ramnath Surma Biswas 21 W. R., Cr., 9 v. Ram Panda 9 B. L. R., Ap., 16 v. Ram Rutton Doss 9 W. R., Cr., 23 v. Ram Rutton Mookerjee 24 W. R., Cr., 8 v. Ram Sagor 8 W. R., Cr., 57 v. Ram Sahoy Bhar W. R., 1864, Cr., 24 v. Ram Saran Tewary 24 W. R., Cr., 45 v. Ramsarun Chowbey 4 N. W., 46	v.	Ram Locaun Singa	•	•	•	•	•		19
v. Ramnarain 3 C. L. R., 32 1 v. Ram Narain Ghose 8 W. R., Cr., 5 5 v. Ramnarain Josh 4 W. R., Cr., 37 5 v. Ramnath			•	•	•	•	•		726
v. Ram Narain Ghose 8 W. R., Ćr., 5 5 v. Ramnarain Josh 4 W. R., Cr., 37 5 v. Ramnath 7 W. R., Cr., 45 4 v. Ramnath Surma Biswas 21 W. R., Cr., 9 4 v. Ram Panda 9 B. L. R., Ap., 16 9 v. Ram Rutton Doss 9 W. R., Cr., 23 2 v. Ram Rutton Mookerjee 24 W. R., Cr., 8 2 v. Ram Sagor 8 W. R., Cr., 57 4 v. Ram Sahoy Bhar W. R., 1864, Cr., 24 2 v. Ram Saran Tewary 24 W. R., Cr., 45 1 v. Ramsarun Chowbey 4 N. W., 46 2			•	•	•	•	•		
v. Ramnarain Josh 4 W. R., Cr., 37 5 v. Ramnath 7 W. R., Cr., 45 4505 v. Ramnath Surma Biswas 21 W. R., Cr., 9 4 v. Ram Panda 9 B. L. R., Ap., 16 9 v. Ram Rutton Doss 9 W. R., Cr., 23 2 v. Ram Rutton Mookerjee 24 W. R., Cr., 8 2 v. Ram Sagor 8 W. R., Cr., 57 8 v. Ram Sahoy Bhar W. R., 1864, Cr., 24 2 v. Ram Saran Tewary 24 W. R., Cr., 45 1 v. Ramsarun Chowbey 4 N. W., 46 1			•	•	•	•	•		1260 516 6
			•	•	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 5	
v. Ramnath 7 W. R., Cr., 45 4505 v. Ramnath Surma Biswas 21 W. R., Cr., 9 4 v. Ram Panda 9 B. L. R., Ap., 16 9 v. Ram Rutton Doss 9 W. R., Cr., 23 2 v. Ram Rutton Mookerjee 24 W. R., Cr., 8 2 v. Ram Sagor 8 W. R., Cr., 57 2 v. Ram Sahoy Bhar W. R., 1864, Cr., 24 2 v. Ram Saran Tewary 24 W. R., Cr., 45 1 v. Ramsarun Chowbey 4 N. W., 46 1	v.	Kamnarain Josh	•	•	•	•	•	1 W. R., Cr., 3/	5545
	$\overline{}$ v .	Ramnath						7 W. R., Cr., 45	977, 1151
						•			4505, 6263
v. Ram Rutton Doss 9 W. R., Cr., 23 2 v. Ram Rutton Mookerjee 24 W. R., Cr., 8 2 v. Ram Sagor 8 W. R., Cr., 57 3 v. Ram Sahoy Bhar W. R., 1864, Cr., 24 2 v. Ram Saran Tewary 24 W. R., Cr., 45 1 v. Ramsarun Chowbey 4 N. W., 46 2			as	•	•	•	•		4692
v. Ram Rutton Mookerjee 24 W. R., Cr., 8 v. Ram Sagor 8 W. R., Cr., 57 v. Ram Sahoy Bhar W. R., 1864, Cr., 24 v. Ram Saran Tewary 24 W. R., Cr., 45 v. Ramsarun Chowbey 4 N. W., 46			•	•	•	•	•	9 D. 11 Ke, Ap., 10	17 9694
v. Ram Sagor 8 W. R., Cr., 57 v. Ram Sahoy Bhar W. R., 1864, Cr., 24 2 v. Ram Saran Tewary 24 W. R., Cr., 45 1 v. Ramsarun Chowbey 4 N. W., 46 2	v.	nam Kutton Doss	•	•	•	•	•		2624
- v. Ram Sahoy Bhar	v.	Ram Kutton Mookerje	e.e	•	•	•	•		464
			•	•	•	•	•		343
v. Ramsarun Chowbey 4 N. W., 46	v.	Kam Sahoy Bhar	•	•	•	•	•		2733
() 9			•			•	•		1670
Paragodor Chrokeshetter 20 W D Cz 10	v.	Kamsarun Chowbey	•	•	•		•	4 N. W., 46	453
		Ramsodoy Chuckerbut	tv					20 W. R., Cr., 19	25, 1535
282			· y	•	•	•		•	2922, 4116
v. Ramtahal Kahar	v.	Kamtahal Kahar	•	•	•	•		3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 33	1332

	Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen	v. Ramtohul Singh v. Ramtonee Singh	5 W. R., Cr., 12	2583, 5606 4359
	v. Ramzan	· R., Cr., 53.	1806
	Rasockoollah Rassul Nushy	12 W. R., Cr., 51 2 R. L. R., Ap., 9	16, 1801 4506
	Reaz Ali alias Dulloo Khan	6 W. R., Cr., 77	343
	Reazoodeen	3 W. R., Cr., 33	1039
	Reddon Revu Pothadu	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 286 .	956 5995
	Rewat Rajwar	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 390 . W. R., 1864, Cr., 39 .	1349
	Rezza Hossein	8 W. R., Cr., 55	1855
	Rhiday Patro	26 W. R., Cr., 1	253
	Roghoo Dome	24 W. R., Cr., 12	257
	Roheem	21 W. R., Cr., 39 1 W. R., Cr., 15	557 7 4446
	Roopa Gowalla	15 W. R., Cr., 42	4431
	Ross	6 Mad., 342	1975
	Rubbeeoolah	7 W. R., Cr., 13	5552
	Ruchee Ahen Rughoo	2 W. R., Cr., 39 W. R., 1864, Cr., 30 .	4056 5552, 55 75
	Rughoo Barick	11 W. R., Cr., 44	2011
	Rughoobur Singh	25 W. R., Cr., 1	5160
	Rughoonundun Puttronuvees	15 W. R., Cr., 19	4383
	Rugonath Pershad Rugoonath Dass	3 N. W., 275	4450 2763, 5600
	Rungatoonee	23 W. R., Cr., 59 22 W. R., Cr., 52	1316
	Runjeet Molla	2 W. R., Cr., 31	4490
<u>. </u>	v. Rupan Rai	6 B. L. R., 296 : 15 W. R.,	961
	v. Russeek Monee	Cr., 9. 11 W. R., Cr., 54	973
	v. Russick Doss	24 W. R., Cr., 76	6263
		2 N. W., 21	709, 3614
	v. Rutton Dass v. Rutton Meah	16 W. R., Cr., 19.	5164
	v. Rutton Sahoo	8 W. R., Cr. 57	$\frac{4533}{1048}$
	v. Sabed Ali	11 B. L. R., 347: 20 W. R.	6069
		Cr., 5.	
	v. Sachee alias Sachee Boler Sadhu Mundul	7 W. R., Cr., 112	4624 24, 102 1
	v. Sageena	21 W. R., Cr., 69 7 W. R., Cr. 56	1809
	v. Sagur Bewah	11 W. R., Cr., 20	396
	v. Saha Mahomed	3 W. R., Cr., 70	2623
	v. Sahrae	8 W. R., Cr., 31	5560 4999
	v. Sajowpa	7 W. R., Cr., 100 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 137 .	4 238 3 569
	v. Salamut Ali	23 W. R., Cr., 59	719
	v. Salim Sheik	2 W. R., Cr., 57	986
	v. Sankara	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 381 .	1510
-	v. Sarob Roy	5 W. R., Cr., 28	19 5 560
	v. Seetanath Ghosal .	2 W. R., Cr., 60	726
	v. Seetul Pershad	5 N. W., 168	5604
	v. Sellam	6 Mad., 25	5569
	v. Setul Chunder Bagchee	3 W. R., Cr., 69 . 14 B. L. R., 294 : 23 W. R.,	712
***************************************	v. Sewa Bhogta	Cr., 12.	4115

	. Name of Ca	se.					Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
ueen a	v. Shadhuchurn Ghose		•				23 W. R., Cr., 63	1988
	. Shadry . •						1 W. R., Cr., 34	3629
v	. Shahabut Sheikh						13 W. R., Cr., 42	2756
	. Shahon					•	11 W. R., Cr., 19	2785
v	. Shama Churn Roy						8 W. R., Cr., 27	1967, 197
	. Shama Khankee						7 W. R., Cr., 22	726
	. Shama Sheikh .			-	_		8 W. R., Cr., 35	5556
		•	•	·	•	((917, 51
v	. Shama Sunkur Biswas		•	•	•	į	10 W. R., Cr., 25 . {	6271
- 7	v. Sham Bagdi .		•			\{	13 B. L. R., Ap., 19: 20 W. R., Cr., 73.	6164
	. Sham Chand Chowdhry	UT.		_			1 W. R., Cr., 34	3882
	Sham Kishore Holdar	,	•	•	•	•	13 W. R., Cr., 36	5154, 62
	01 1 11		•	•	•	•	9 W. R., Cr., 51	720, 7
	v. Sham Soonder Dass	•	•	•	•	•	25 W. R., Cr., 18	255
		•	•	•	•	•		4364
	v. Sham Soonder Shootar		•		•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 52	1260
	. Shamsoondur .	•	•	•	•	•	2 N. W., 475	3790
	2. Shamsunder	•	•	•	•	•	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 310	
	. Sham Sunder Chowdry	7	•	•	•	•	2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 11	4740
<u> </u>	. Sharoda Peshagur	•	•	•		•	2 W. R., Cr., 32	5564
•	v. Sheetaram Chowdhry					•	2 W. R., Cr., 44	918, 51
	•		•	•	•	(5605
	v. Sheo Buksh .					•	2 N. W., 295	5541
— ı	v. Sheobun alias Sheopers	shad				•	3 N. W., 150	1222
	v. Sheo Churun 🔒 🔭						3 N. W., 314	710
<u> </u>	v. Sheodurshun Dass						3 N. W., 17	736
	v. Sheogolam Das .			•		(6 B. L. R., 692: 15 W. R.,	4855, 55
	v. Sheopershun Roy				-	Ś	Cr., 58.	389
(v. Sheosunkur Şingh	•	•	•	•	•	3 N. W., 1	2028
		•	٠	•	•	•		4622
	Sheoumber Lal .	•	•	•	•	•		740
	o. Sheppard	•	•	•	•	•	13 W. R., Cr., 23	1532, 17
	v. Sheriff	•	•	•	•	•	6 W. R., Cr., 13	1767
	v. Shib Chandra Das	•	•	•	•	•	6 B. L. R., 730, note	
	v. Shib Chunder Mundle		•	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 59	5998
	v. 	-	•	•	•	•	18 W. R., Cr., 46	6165
	v. Shib Chunder Rai	•	•	•	•	•	9 W. R., Cr., 57	5603
- 1	v. Shib Dyal .		•	•	•		5 N. W., 188	1971
- 1	v. Shibprosad Giri .		•	•	•		19 W. R., Cr., 69	1963
<u> </u>	v. Shifait Ali .				•	{	2 B. L. R., A., Cr., 12:10 W. R., Cr., 61.	2006
	. Shona Dagee .						24 W. R., Cr., 13	5547
		•	•	•	•	•	5 W. R., Cr., 44	5574
	Shonaullah .	•	•	•	•	•		4728
	. Shukur Mahomed	•	•	•	•	•	22 W. R., Cr., 68	18
	v. Shumeeruddeen	•	•		•	٠	2 W. R., Cr., 40	5881
	o. Shunkur	•	•	•	•	•	2 N. W., 312 · · ·	
	"· 	•	•	•	•		2 N. W., 406	5543
	. Shurroop Chunder Dos	38		•	•	•	2 W. R., Cr., 65	1223
	. Shurruffooddeen		•	•	•	•	13 W. R., Cr., 26	5883
<u> </u>	v. Sib Narain Palodhee				•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 33	5548
	o. Siddappa			•		•	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 60	390
	v. Sidham Sircar .			•			20 W. R., Cr., 16	6166
	. Sikundur Bhukut						3 N. W., 146	2939, 56
	. Sitwa alias Sitaram						14 W. R., Cr., 66	721
	. Smith	-		_		Ş	1 Ind. Jur., N.S., 8: 4 W. R., ?	148, 1
	· ·	•	•	•	•	(Cr., 31.	
- v	. Sobeel Mahee .						5 W. R., Cr., 32	4058

	Name of C	Case,					Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Oneen	v. Sobrai Gowalah						20 W. R., Cr., 70	°755, 5550
Queen	v. Soffiruddi Palwar	•	•		•	{	13 B. L. R., Ap., 23: 22 W.	5577
		•	•	•	•	·	R., Cr., 5.	5164
	v. Sohraie	•	•	•	•	•	13 W. R., Cr., 33	1982
	v. Soleemooddeen .	•	•	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 99	4057
	v. Solim v. Somiruddin .	•	•	•	•	•	5 W. R., Cr., 41	1331
	v. Somruddin . v. Sonaoollah .	•	•	•	•	•	2 W. R., Cr., 44	4186, 4493
	v. Sonaoonan	•		•	•	•	25 W. R., Cr., 23	1003, 4432
	v. Sonoo						10 W. R., Cr., 48	4121
	v. Soobhoi	•					12 W. R., Cr., 26	99
	v. Soobjan			•		.	10 B. L. R., 332 · · ·	1003, 1792
	v. Sookee · ·	•		•			7 W. R., Cr., 36	2938
	v. Sookmoy Ghose		•	•		•	10 W. R., Cr., 23	1791, 2009
	v. Soonder Mohooree			•	•	•	9 W. R., Cr., 25	711, 1975
	v. Soondur Putnaick	•	•	•	•	•	3 W. R., Cr. 59	5563 1222
		•	•	•	•	•	4 N. W., 62	4489
	v. Soumber Ahir	•	•	•	•	•	20 W. R., Cr., 57	1796
	v. Soyumber Singh	•	•	•	•	•	9 W. R., Cr., 2	5560
		•	•	•	•	•	2 W. R., Cr., 63	1533
	v. Sreenath Dey . v. Sreenath Mookhopadh		•	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 45	6260
	v. Sreeputt Giri Gossain		•	•	:		11 W. R., Cr., 23	683, 4499
	•		•	•	•	(2 B. L. R., F. B., 22: 10 W. }	1151
	v. Srikant Charal .	•	•	•	•	į	R., Cr., 43.	1191
	v. Srimonto Kotal			•			7 W. R., Cr., 31	5566
	v. Sristidhur Shaha			•			25 W. R., 42	74
	v. Stephenson .			•	•		3 Moore's I. A., 488	5971
	v. Subal Chunder Gango	oly	•	•	•	•	22 W. R., Cr., 16	5520
	v. Subbanna Gaundan	•	•	•	•	•	1 Mad., 30	1954
	v. Subbayya Gaundan	•	•	•	•	•	1 Mad., 138	5148 4366
	v. Subbramanya Pillai	•	•	•	•	•	3 Mad., 251	1256
	v. Subdar Meeah .	•	•	•	•	•	3 W. R., Cr., 44	1045
	v. Subramanyam •	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 377	2028
	v. Subsookh	•	•	•	•	•	2 N. W., 476	4359
	v. Subun Singh . v. Suffurudee .	•	•	•	•	•	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 122	1970
	v. Sujjad Ali	•	•	•	:	:	3 N. W., 134	2028
	v. Sukhimonee Raur	:					12 W. R., Cr., 55	4686
	v. Suleem	•					1 W. R., Cr., 23	1331
	v. Sundara Dass Tevan						4 Mad., 20	4385
	v. Suppi	•		•			I. L. R., 5 Mad., 159	390
	v. Surendranath Roy					{	5 B. L. R., 274: 13 W. R.,	968, 6182
	v. Suroop Chunder Dut	t.				(Cr., 27.	73, 1987
	v. Surroop Chunder Pau	nl	•				12 W. R., Cr., 75	6066, 6268
	v. Surwan Singh .						11 W. R., Cr., 11	1326
	v. Sustiram Mandal						21 W. R., Cr., 1	6162
	v. Sutherland .						14 W. R., Cr., 20	1295, 6258
	v. Tajumaddi Lahory					{	1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 1: 10 W. R., Cr., 4.	1044, 3611
	v. Talukanam .		_				I. L. R., 7 Mad., 131	101
	v. Tamma Ghantaya	•	•	•	:	:	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 228	5996
_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	٠	,	•	•		1
	v. Tarinee Churn Bose	• ,,	•	•	•	•	21 W. R., Cr., 31 {	4049, 4114
	v. Tarinee Churn Chutt	opadh	ya	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 3	19 5546
	v. Tarinee Mytee .	•	•	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 13	2030

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Queen v. Tarinicharan Dey .	9 B. L. R., Ap., 42	1834
- v. Tarucknath Mookerjee	10 B. L. R., 285: 19 W. R., Cr., 30.	1304
v. Tasuduk Hossein .	6 N. W., 301	6045
- v. Teekai Bheer	5 W. R., Cr., 95	5294 5548
v. Tepoo	3 W. R., Cr., 15 5 W. R., Cr., 78	1330
v. Tepra Fakeer v. Thakoor Chund Surma	13 W. R., Cr., 22	1805
- v. Thakoor Doss	5 W. R., Cr., 22	254
.v. Thakoordoss Chootur .	7 W. R., Cr., 7	180 6 1533
v. Thakoordoss Chootur . v. Thakoor Ram .	25 W. R., Cr., 35 6 N. W., 86	1511
v Thakur Dass v. Thakur Pershad .	I. L. R., 1 All., 151	462
- v. Thompson	1 B. L. R., O. Cr., 1	396, 4120
v. Thunji	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 163	5496
v. Tilkoe Goala	8 W. R., Cr., 61	1534, 3621 5554
v. Tincouree	W. R., 1864, Cr., 31 7 W. R., Cr., 109	4067
v. Tofuzzal Ali	2 W. R., Cr., 63	5553, 6189
v. Tonoo	6 W. R., Cr., 46	5573
v. Tonooram	3 W. R., Cr., 44	5574
v. Toobana Gaundan .	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 136	1954 4366
v. Toolshee Rai	5 N. W., 186 3 N. W., 126	5569
v. Toral Gujar	11 W. R., Cr., 15	5154, 6239
	6 Mad., 349	261
v. Toti Chengan v. Toyluckonath Sircar . v. Tulsi Dosad	2 W. R., Cr., 64	4506
v. Tulsi Dosad	3 B. L. R., A. Cr, 66	343 6269
v. Tulsi Dosadh	18 W. R., Cr., 57 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 16: 10 W.	
v. Tulsi Singh	R., Cr., 64.	4624
v. Turnbull	6 Mad., 7	2904
v. Uckoor Ghose	1 W. R., Cr., 50	6163
- v. Udai Patnaik	4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 5: 12 W. R., Cr., 68.	6190
- v. Udhan Bind	19 W. R., Cr., 68	24
v. Udit Singh	25 W. R., Cr., 46	707
- v. Udya Changa	20 W. R., Cr., 73	6168
v. Udhan Pind	3 N. W., 212	$1793 \\ 2584$
v. Umbica Tantinee .	4 W. R., Cr., 21 5 B. L. R., 160: 14 W. R.,	
v. Umeschandra Chowdry	Cr., 1.	970
v. Ungun Lall	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 303.	1045
v. Upendronath Doss .	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 356	6025 5600
v. Vaiyapuri Gaundan .	1 Mad., 4 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 382 .	1326
v. Varthappa v. Vasantappa v.	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 231	3569
v. Vaughan	5 B. L. R., 418	2094, 3851
- v. Vedamuttu	4 Mad., 185	1963
- v. Velayudam	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 233 .	3617 5522
v. Velayudam Pillai .	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 146, . 3 Mad., 354	2901
v. Vencanna v. Venguvayyangar	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 25	1538
v. Venkatachalam Pillai	2 Mad., 43	1963
- v. Vitti Chokkan	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 229 .	4371
— v. Vyapuri	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 401	386 7 390
v. Vyapuri Kangani .	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 70 .	อลดั

-			Name of	Сане.					Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queer		hed Ali	•	•			•		13 W. R., Cr., 42	461
	v. Wa	ris Ali	•	•	•	•	•	(3 N. W., 337 8 B. L. R., Ap., 63: 17 W.	715
	v. Wa	zira .	•	•		•	•	ì	R., Cr., 5.	263, 4384
	v. Wi	llane						S	1 Mad., 31:1 Ind. Jur., O.	
					•	•	•	Ś	S., 94.	714
		omesh Chu oodurnul S		nose	•	•	•	•	5 W. R., Cr., 71	4353 5529
		ızir Mundı		·	÷	·	·		25 W. R., Cr., 25	6160
	v. Ya		•						13 W. R., Cr., 70	5881
	v. Ya	sin Sheikh						- {	4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 6: 12 W.	1331, 4056
		lamandu						(R., Cr., 68. I. L. R., 5 Mad., 157	5568
		ndava Char	Idramı	ıa.	·	•	:	:	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 189	5525
	v. Zal		•						10 W. R., Cr., 11	4055
		100r Ali K	han	•	•	•	•		4 N. W., 97	4353
		ir Ally m Rai	•	•	•	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 4	6252 1333
			•	•	•	•	•	•	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 521: 6	.
	v. Zar		•	•	•	•	•	į	W. R., Cr., 65.	1570
		nindar of C	olgong	•	•	•	•		1 W. R., Cr., 12	3627
	v . Z uł	ıır .	•	•	•	•	•	•	10 W. R., Cr., 11	1794
	v. Zuł	iruddin	•	•	•	•	•	}	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 219: 25 W. R., Cr., 27.	6027
	v. Zuh	oorul Huq	•			•			25 W. R., Cr., 64	1535
	v. Zul	fukar Kha	n.					5	8 B. L. R., Ap., 21: 16 W. R., Cr., 36.	1790, 2733
Queen Lall		e informa	tion of	Nar	ain Ko	er v.	Roshu	n Ž	4 N. W., 123	3742
		prosecutio	n of D	owlat	h Bee	v. Al	i .	,	5 Mad., 473	4377
Queen	on_tl	ie prosecu	tion of	: Go	cul Cl	and	v. Seit	և ֆ	2 N. W., 82	1325
	hun La			37	1 75	••	~	, 5	2 N. W., 62	1020
Queen Jone		e prosecut	ion or	Mac	iras K	allway	y Co. v	·)		
		prosecuti	on of	Mad	ras Ra	ilway	Co. v	۶, ۲	1 Mad., 193	2912
Male	ony.					-		')		
		prosecutio					oberts	•	1 B. L. R., S. N., 5	4236
		prosecutions v. Abdu		ard	v. Turi	ner	•	•	4 N. W., 94	959 1796
Queen.	- Empre	\boldsymbol{v} . Abdu			d Abd	ul Ra	himan	•	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 186	2911
		v. Abhr			•		,		I. L. R., 8 Bom., 575	5887
~		· v. Adar			ik Far	id	•	•	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 193	5995
		- v. Aden v. Ahm		•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 369	3862
		v. Ala I		•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 448 I. L. R., 6 All., 484	262, 5888 5148, 5149
		· v. Amai	_		:	:	÷	:	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 506	395
		· v. Amir				•		•	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 336	1310
		. v. Appa	Subha	na M	endre				I. L. R., 8 Bom., 200 . §	718, 1269
		· v. Appa					-		I. L. R., 9 Mad., 167	4749, 5285 3577
		 v. Appa v. Appa 		:	:	•	•	:	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 141	3567
		v. Atar		•	•	•			I. L. R., 11 Calc., 79	1954
		v. Autal			•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1026 .	1789
		v. Bai H		oni	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 340	964 944
		v. Balde v. Band		•	•	•	•		I. L. R., 8 All., 509 I. L. R., 8 All., 51	344 5882
•		uu		•	•	•	-	•		

							Column
	Name of Case.					Volume and Page.	of • Digest.
0 1	D. "D	***********			*	T. I. D. 10 D 999	802, 850
Queen-Empres	s v. Bapuji Dayaram	•	•	•	•	1. L. R., 10 Bom, 288	1970, 4368
	v. Batesar Mandal		•	•		I. L. R, 10 Calc, 604	1968
	v. Bepin Biswas	•		•		I. L. R., 10 Calc., 970 . {	344, 721 1004, 1853
-	v. Burke			•		I. L. R., 6 All., 224 .	914, 1839 5884
	v. Chenchugadu	•		•		I. L. R., 8 Mad., 421 .	4070
1	v. Dala Jiva .	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 190 .	1798, 1964 5511, 5513
	v. Pan Sahai	•	•	•		I. L. R., 7 All, 862	6265
	v. Dhananjai Chaudl	nuri		•	•	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 931	6258
	v. Dhum Singh .	•	•	•	•	I. L. R , 6 All., 220	1511
	v. Dhundi	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 8 All., 304	454
	v. Dorabji Hormasji	•	•	•	•	I. L. R, 10 Bom., 131	1311
	v. Dorasami .	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 284	707
	v. Dosa Jiva .	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 231	1025
	v. Dungar	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 8 All, 252	4364
	v. Dungar Singh	٠.	•	•	•	I. L. R., 7 All., 29	5559
	v. Durga Charan	•	•	•		I. L. R., 7 All., 672	5147
	v. Durga Sonar.	•	•			I. L. R., 11 Calc., 580	1798
·	z. Edwards .					I. L. R., 9 Bom., 333 . {	1952, 2107 6024
	v. Erramreddi .					I L. R., 8 Mad, 296	129,1308
	v. Fox					1. L. R., 10 Bom. 176	5147
	v. Gangaram .					I. L. R., 8 All., 38	1960
	v. Gangaram Santrai	n				I. L. R., 9 Bom., 135	5992
	v. Ganpat Tapidas			-	•	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 256	1258
	v. Ghulet .				·	I. L. R., 7 All., 44	1980
	v. Girdhari Lal.				:	I. L. R., 8 All., 653	1974, 2014
	v. Goundadu 🔒					I. L. d., 8 Mad., 350	655
	v. Govinda Punja					I. L. R., 8 Bom., 295	3867
	v. Grees Chunder B	anerice	•			I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1024.	1726, 5207
	v. Gujria			-		I. L. R., 9 Bom., 568	618
	v. Gustadji Barjorji			•		I. L. R., 10 Bom., 181	462, 2897
•	v. Hasnu.	•		•	:	I. L. R, 6 All., 367	1309
	$oldsymbol{v}$. Havia Tellapa					I. L, 10 Bom., 196	3614
	v. Honkerapa .					I. L. R, 8 Bom., 534	619
	v. Husain				·	I. L. R., 7 All., 403	2014
	v. Husein Gaibu	-		·		I. L. R., 8 Bom., 307	1269, 1298
	v. Imdad Khan .			•	:	(262, 1258
	v. Ishri Singh .			•		I. L. R., 8 All., 120 . {	6288
		•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 8 All., 672	1793, 3604 5538
	v. Ishwar Chandra S	ur	•	•	•	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 13	2584
	v. Ismail Khan.	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 8 All., 649	5163, 6169
	v. Jacquiet .	. ,,,	•	•	•	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 85	,3866
	v. Jagannath Bhikaj	ı Bha	ve	•	•	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 183 .	1003
	v. Jagrup	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 7 All., 646	4626, 6180
	v. Janki Prasad	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 8 All., 293	
	v. Jethmal .	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 27	5854 4119
	v. Jokhu	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 8 All., 99	4113
	v. Joti Rajnak	•		•	•	I. L. R, 8 Bom., 333	5886
	v. Juala Prasad			•		I. L. R., 7 All., 174	2758
	v. Jugal Kishore					I. L. R., 8 All., 382 . {	1954, 4357 5510
•	v. Juggernath .					I. L. R., 11 Calc., 267	5861
	v. Kallu .	:	•	•		I. L. R., 7 All., 160	346, 4534
	with 1	•	•	•		21 221 2019 1 111119 200	,

		Name of Case.				-	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen-Empres	18 V	. Kamalia					I. L. R., 10 Bom., 595	1019
		Kandhaia .			•		I. L. R., 7 All., 67	1670
	t	Kethigadu .					T T D O M - 1 979	3573
		. Khairati .					I. L. R., 6 All., 204	6070
		. Kharga .			•		T T D O All COE	718, 5598
		. Khasim .					I. L. R., 8 Mad., 202	390
		. Kishan Bapu					I. L. R., 10 Bom., 93	1045
		. Krishna .					I. L. R., 7 Mad., 347	3631
	ι	. Krishnabhat .			•		I T P 10 Rom 210	919, 1025
	v	. Krishnappa .	•				I. L. R., 7 Mad., 276	1324, 6287 4689
		. Kuppu .					I. L. R., 7 Mad., 560	5523
		. Lakshmana .					1. L. R, 9 Mad., 42	6169
	v	. Lakshman Dagdu	١.				I. L. R., 10 Bom., 512	2623
	v	Lalla					I. L. R., 8 Mad., 428	655
		Lalli					I. L. R., 7 All., 749	4365
		Laskari .	•				I. L. R., 7 All., 853	1307
		Lingaya .					I. L. R., 9 Mad., 258	3146, 3178
		Lochan .					I. L. R., 8 All., 635	4057
		Madho					I. L. R., 8 All., 291	4688
	e,	Maheshri Bakhsh	Singh	١.			I. L. R, 8 All., 380	4363
		Mancherji Kavasji			-		I. L. R., 10 Bom., 97	3560.
	27.	Mangal Tekchand		•	-		I T D 10 Dom 950	253, 2909
	2).		•		-	:	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 263	2909
	v.			:	•	•	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 274	3555, 6024
		Mania Dayal	•		•	:	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 497	4750
		Mathews .		·	•		I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1022	1017
		Mathura Das	-	-	•	•	TTD CAN 401	18
		Mehrban Sing			•		T T D G All GOG	1969
		Mitthu Lal .				•	TT DO AH 10	6287
		Mohan .	•		•		T T. D. Q All 699	4056
					-	- 1	1	2106, 2789
	v.	Morton •	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 288 . {	5528
	v.	Nandkishore.					I. L. R., 6 All., 248	1338
		Nanjappa .					I. L. R., 7 Mad, 432	3568
		Narayanasami					I. L. R., 9 Mad., 36	258
		Nathu .			•		I. L. R, 6 All., 214	4734
		Nga Tha Moung					I. L. R., 10 Calc., 643	6023
		Nowab Jan .			-		I. L. R., 10 Calc., 551	985, 1310
		Nur Mahomed					I. L. R., 8 Bom., 223	1025, 1223
		O'Shaughnessy					I. L. R., 9 Mad., 429	3575
		Palani					I. L. R., 7 Mad., 537	5854
		Pandu valad Gopa	la			.	I. L. R., 10 Born., 199	961
		Papadu .					I. L. R., 7 Mad., 454	1309
		Parmeshar Dat					I. L. R., 8 All., 201	4691
		Parshram Raysing		•			I. L. R., 8 Bom., 216	1966
			•	•	•	•		716, 3611
	v.	Pershad .	•	•	•	•	1. D. R., / All., 414	5560
	v.	Pestanji Barjorji					I. L. R., 9 Bom., 456	604
	v.	Pillala .				.	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 101	850
		Pir Mahomed				.	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 254	5553
		Pirya Gopal					I. L. R., 9 Bom., 100	1307
		Podiathal .					I. L. R., 8 Mad., 342	5497
		Polavarapu .				.	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 563	963
		Purshotam Kala	•			. !	I. L. R., 9 Born., 269	. 1514
		Ramakka .					I. L. R., 8 Mad., 5	5906
		Ramji Sajabarao				.		1979, 4357
		- ن				- 1)	,

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Radha Ballab Ghose v. L'eharilal Mookerjee	6 B. L. R., Ap., 155: 12 W. R., 537.	1627
Radhabenode Misser v. Kripamoyee Dabee	10 B. L. R., 386: 17 W. R., 262: 14 Moore's I. A., 443.	4026
Radhabhai v. Shama	4 Bom., A. C., 155	3395, 3441
Radha Bibee v. Government	2 Hay, 562	4474 2002
v. Mucksoodun Doss	21 W. R., 204	213, 1718
Radha Binode Chowdhry v. Digumburee Dossee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 947: 9 } W. R., 236.	2825 759, 3447
v. Juggut Surnokar .	6 W. R., 300	196
v. Mudhoo Soodun Sirear	7 W. R., 198	3447
Radha Binode Dutt v. Kootabode Mundul	15 W. R., 363	6096
Radha Binode Misser. In the matter of the petition of v. Kripa Moyee Debia	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 730 7 W. R., 531	$\begin{array}{c} 279 \\ 279 \end{array}$
Radha Bullub Gossain v. Kishen Gobind Gossain	9 W. R., 71	4192
Radha Bullubh Chuckerbutty v. Banee Madhub Chuckerbutty.	23 W. R., 230	341
Radhabullubh Sil. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 300: 11 C. } L. R., 274.	4580
Radha Bullubh Singh v. Dheraj Mahtab Chand .	2 W. R., Mis., 51	2879
Radhabullub Surma v. Anundmoyee Debea Radha Chowdhrain v. Gireedharee Sahoo	W. R., 1864, Mis., 39	235, 4910 1749
Radha Charan Ghatak v Zamirunissa Khanum .	2 B. L. R., A. C., 67:11 W. R., 83.	1731, 5813
Radha Churn Chowdhry v. Chunder Monee Shikdar	9 W. R., 290	140
Radha Churn Dass v. Kripa Sindhu Dass	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 474 : 4 C. L. R., 428.	2355, 2455
Radha Churn Dass Gossamee v. Akran Khootea .	20 W. R., 420	5985
Radha Churn Gangooly v. Anund Sein	15 W. R., 414	1748, 1768
v. Gudadhur Bahadoor Radha Churn Roy v. Moran & Co.	15 W. R., 166	5701 6274
Radha Churn Shaha v. Parbuttee Churn Dutt	25 W. R., 52	3907
Radhagobind Dass v. Prokash Chunder Dass	14 W. R., 108	4463
Radha Gobind Gossami v. Ooma Soonduree Dossia .	24 W. R., 363	2800
Radha Gobind Koer v. Rakhaldas Mukerji	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 82 .	1742, 3049
D. H. O. Lind Koner, Dam Kinhara Dutt	e W D 940	5195 2774
Radha Gobind Roy v Inglis	7 C. L. R., 364	2774 4174
v. Kyamutoollah	21 W. R., 401	1616
v. Kyamutoollah	21 W. R., 403	1613
Radha Gobind Shah v. Oozeer	15 W. R., 219	5419
Radha Gobind Shaha v. Bank of Bengal	2 C. L. R., 565	631
v. Brijendro Coomar Roy Chowdhry v. Brojendro Coomar Roy Chowdhry	18 W. R., 527	1906 805, 3830
v. Brojendro Coomar Chowdhry	7 W. R., 372	3512
v. Chunder Nath Dass Shaha .	6 W. R., 301	2574
v. Inam Buksh Ostagur	15 W. R., 217	1369
Radhagobind Surmah v. Umber Ali	15 W. R., 27	3918, 3919
Radhajeebun Moostaffee. In re	5 W. R., Cr., 8: 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 97.	4119
Radha Jeebun Mostoofee v. Bissessur Mostoofee	2 Hay, 178	1500
Radhajeebun Mustafee v. Denonath Banerjee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 49	4931
Radha Jeebun Mustofee v. Tara Monee Dossee	3 W. R., Mis., 25	1919
Radhajiban Mustafi v. Taramani Dasi .	2 B. L. R., P. C., 79: 11 W. R., P. C., 31: 12 Moore's I. A., 380.	990, 1914

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Radhajibon Mustofee v. Forlong Radha Kant Deb v. Khema Dossee Radha Kant Doss v. Ayesh Ali Shaha Radha Kant Roy v. Sadafut Mahomed Khan Radhakant Shaha v. Abhoy Churn Mitter Radhakant Shuba v. Radhakisan Hakumji v. Balvant Ramji	2 Hay, 122 7 W. R., 105 8 W. R., 109 21 W. R., 86 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 721 11 C. L. R., 310 I. L. R., 7 Bonn., 530	1196 1615, 6245 997 5426 5856 5856 409
Radha Kishen v. Ameeroodeen v. Bukhtawur Lall v. Chotey Lall v. Shurcefunnissa v. Shurcefunnissa v. Radha Kishen Chowdhry v. Komul Shaw Radhakishen Man v. Bachhaman v. Bachhaman v. Shurcefunnissa v. Shurcef	11 W. R., 204 1 Agre, 1 3 N. W, 155 W. R., 1864, 11 25 W. R., 128 1. L. R., 3 All., 118 6 W. R., 147	226 337, 2484 5665 1697 1696 2183, 2466 4292
Radhakishen Manjee v. Ram Mundul Radhakishore v. Giridharee Sahee	13 W. R., Cr., 19 12 W. R., 79 7 W. R., 23 20 W. R., 386 24 W. R., 172 11 W. R., 366 1 W. R., 62	2305 4098 4883 2085, 4156 557 3064 2744, 4162 3348, 3796
Radhakissen Rowra Dakna v. Chooneeloll Dutt . Radhakissore Bose v. Aftab Chandra Mahatab .	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 445 : 5 C. L. R., 172. I. L. R., 7 Calc., 61	4266, 4849 1915, 1940
Radhakissoree Dossee v. Guthee Kissen Sircar Radha Kisto Mytee v. Bhugwan Chunder Bose Radha Kisto Singh Deo v. Gudadhur Banerjee Radha Koonwar v. Jankee Koonwar	W. R., 1864, 272 1 W. R., 248 8 W. R., 453 9 W. R., 199	3520 3348 3378 4214, 6247 3256
Radha Krishna v. O'Flaherty	3 B. L. R., A. C., 277 : 12 W. R., 145.	2998
Radhakrishna Sett v. Harakrishna Das Radha Kristo Balo v. Rup Chunder Nundy Radhakristo Chaklanuvis v. Kaleeprossono Roy Radha Kristo Dutt v. Gunga Narain Chatterjee Radha Kristo Panjah v. Bamasonduree Dossee Radhamadhub Dutt v. Ramrunjun Chuckerbutty Radhamadhub Ghose v. Khirudnauth Roy	1 B. L. R., O. C., 1 3 C. L. R., 480 15 W. R., 268 23 W. R., 328 13 W. R., 9 17 W. R., 461 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 84	1466 3331 1212 167 1908 1139 907
Radhamadhub Gossain v. Radhabullub Gossain .	1 Hay, 311: 2 Ind. Jur., O. \ S. 5.	1506, 2110 2114
Radha Madhub Pal v. Kalee Churn Pal Radha Madhub Panda v. Juggernath Dooab Radha Malakar v. Srishtee Narain Shaha	18 W. R., 41	3036 1426, 3042 4267
Radhamohan Dhar v. Ramdas Dey	3 B. L. R., A. C., 362: 24 W. R., 86, note.	2208, 2488
Radha Mohan Naskar v. Jadunath Das Radhamohun Deb v. Nund Lal Dey Radha Mohun Dutt v. Bissessur Bundopadhya . Radhamohun Gowee v. Mohesh Chunder Kotwal	3 B. L. R., A. C., 261: 12 W. } R., 68. 7 W. R., 363 6 W. R., 90. 7 W. R., 3 Marsh, 471: 2 Hay, 595	544, 6278 6124 1394 3161 566
v. Jadoomonee Dossee v. Neel Madhab Mundul v. Shoshi Bhoosun Biswas Radhamohun Roy v. Raj Chunder Dass v. Raj Chunder Shah	23 W. R., 369 24 W. R., 200 3 C. L. R., 530 2 C. L. R., 377 22 W. R., 522	2019, 6113 1991 2520 4229 5922

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Radhamonee v. Ram Narain Dey Radhamonee Chowdhrain v. Gray Badhamonee Dossia v. Shibessurree Debia Radha Monee v. Kishna Radhamoye Dey Chowdhry v. Aghore Nath Biswas		22 W. R., 440	4267 2989 1439 539 1612
	•	25 W. R., 384	1030, 2770
Radhanath Banerjee v. Jodoonath Singh		7 W. R., 441	3243, 414 51
v. Joy Kishen Mookerjee v. Kangalee Mollah . Radha Nath Bose v. Bama Churn Mookerjee . v. Suttoprosono Ghose . Radhanath Chowdhry. In the matter of . v. Joy Soonder Moitra .		1 W. R., 288 Marsh., 407: 2 Hay, 538 25 W. R., 415 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 269 7 C. L. R., 289 2 C. L. R., 302	5523 3294 2092 5289 2931
Radhanath Das v. Elliott		6 B. L. R., 530	3326, 336 3385, 612
Radhanath Dhoopee v. Luckhee Kant Pal Radhanath Dhubi v. Ram Gobind Pal		12 W. R., 224, note 3 B. L. R., A. C., 218	6145 302 302
Radhanath Doss v. Gisborne & Co	5	14 Moore's I. A., 1: 15 W. R., P. C., 24.	3326, 336 3386, 612 6145
v. Khellut Chunder Ghose Radhanath Dutt v. Gobind Chunder Chatterjee .		17 W. R., 558 4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 19	1737 3322
Radhanath Kundu v. Land Mortgage Bank of India	{	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 559: 8 C. L. R., 10.	5014
Radha Nath Mozoomdar v. Purikhit Bodrik Radhanath Panja v. Wooma Churn Chowdhry Radhanath Sein v. Bungo Chunder Sein Radhanath Shaha v. Kameenee Soonderee Dossee Radhanath Sugracharji v. Baidonath Seal Radhaparshad Singh v. Balmukand Ojha Radhaparee Dossee v. Doorga Monee Dossia		W. R., 1864, Act X, 60. 22 W. R., Cr., 12. W. R., 1864, 157 2 W. R., 37 3 B. L. R., Ap., 118 7 N. W., 318 5 W. R., 131	531 962 3985 5235, 574 5282 2865, 518 2302
Radhapershad Misser v. Monohur Das	5	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 317: 7 C.	3895
Radha Pershad Singh v. Bajhawun Oopadhya v. Phuljuri Koer v. Ramjeewun Singh v. Ramparmeswar Singh	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	L. R., 293. 24 W. R., 116 L. L. R., 12 Calc., 402 11 W. R., 389 L. L. R., 9 Calc., 797: 13 C.	2990 5980 5983 1211
v. Sansar Roy		L. R., 22. 3 14 W. R., 27	5117 2277
Radha Prasad Singh v. Bhagwan Rai	.	I. L. R., 5 All., 289	33 10, 331
*** *		I. L. R., 7 All., 677	3533 636, 418
v. Bhajan Rai . v. Rajendra Kishore Singh v. Sahik Rai Radha Proceed Singh v. Sahik Rai		I. L. R., 5 All., 209	998
Radha Prosad Singh v. Sundur Lall	:	I. L. R., 5 All., 245	5086 3135, 347
Radha Proshad Singh v. Ram Coomar Singh .	5	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 796: 1 C. }	44
Radha Proshad Wasti v. Esuf	{	L. R., 259. I. L. R., 7 Calc., 414: 9 C.	1178, 147
Radha Pyari Debi v. Nabin Chandra Chowdhry .	3	L. R., 76. 5 B. L. R., 708: 13 W. R., }	4956
Radha Ram Deb v. James Radha Rumon Koondoo v. Phool Koomaree Bibee Radhay Kishan v. Kali Misser Radheeka Chowdrain v. Ram Mohun Ghose	:	F. B., 80. 20 W. R., 410 10 W. R., 28 1. L. R., 3 Calc., 151 1 W. R., 367	5613 2342 579 1593

	NO DESCRIPTION OF STREET	
Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Radhey Koonwer v. Ajoodhya Pandey	3 Agra, 69	5129
Radhey Lal v. Mahesh Prasad	I. L. R., 7 All., 864	1713
Radhey Pandey v. Munsaram	2 Agra, 85	4548
v. Nund Koomar Pandey	2 Agra, Pt. II, 164	3268
Radhey Tewari v. Bujha Misr	I. L. R., 3 All., 413	4003
Radhia v. Beni	I. L. R., 1 All., 560	5011
Radhika Chowdhrain v. Bama Sundari Dasi . {	4 B. L. R., P. C., 8: 13 Moore's I. A., 248.	1595,4150
v. Lukhee Chunder Ghose	18 W. R., 513	3472
Radhika Churn Sen v. Judoonath Gossamee	20 W. R., 412	687
Radhika Mohan Sett. In the goods of ,	7 B. L. R., 563	4665
Radhika Mohun Roy v. Gunga Narain Chowdhry	21 W. R., 115	1747
0.	22 W. R., 23	47
Radhika Pershad Chatterjee v. Ramdhun Pooroheet .	6 W. R., Act X, 27	556
Radhika Pershad Shadhoo v. Gooroo Prosunno Roy	20 W. R., 125	3434
Radhikaprasad Chunder v. Ramsunder Kur	1 B. L. R., A. C., 7	1253
	3 B. L. R., A. C., 124: 11 W. ?	9950
Radhika Prashad Dey v. Dharma Dasi Debi . }	R., 499.	2350
Radhika Prosunno Chunder v. Nehalee Churn Dey .	15 W. R., 410	3071
v. Urjoon Majhee	20 W. R., 128	2691
Radhoo Chowdhrain v. Heet Lall Roy	11 W. R., 209	3493
13 13 NY: 13 T NY:	2 Hay, 83	2893
Radhoo Nissee v. Ram Junoo Nissee	7 N. W., 223	3379
Radoinath Shaha. In the matter of the petition of .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 195	715, 1287
Rae Damodhur Doss v. Bholanath	2 N. W., 413	1926
Rae Manick Chund v. Beharee Lall	2 N. W., 263	3888
Raesoonissa Beguin v. Sharoda Soonduree Chowdhrain.	16 W. R., 25	3823
Rafautoollah Chowdhry v. Shushee Shikhur Banerjee	14 W. R., 57	4228
Raffee Mahomed v. Abbas Khan	8 W. R., Cr., 67	1955
Raffin v. S. S. "Chilka"	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 196	5500
Ragava v. Rajagopal	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 39	2886
Ragava Chariar v. Vedanta Chariar	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 259	915
Ragava Pishardi v. Ayumanjiri Mankal Thupan alias (Valia Thambrakle.	4 Mad., 261	3472
Ragavendra Rau v. Mahomed Kanitaraganar	1 Mad., 230	3584
Raghab Chandra Banerjee v. Brajanath Kundu (9 B. L. R., 91, note: 14 W. R., 489.	5330
Chowdhry. (Raghapa bin Hanmapa v. Parapa bin Shivapa	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 217	760
Raghia v. Dharma Jhatu	1 Bom., 52	5819
Donka Damaii Amaii Mamaii Datil	5 Bom., A. C., 116	4022
Dombohom Minney at Cityl	7 N. W., 228	2865
Pachabin Sinch a Dhanam Kuan	I. L. R., 3 All., 108	1233
Ragho Govind v. Balvant Amrit	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 101	1400
D • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	(635, 1074
Ragho Govind Paranjpe v. Dipchand	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 96 . {	2714
Raghoji Bhikaji v. Abdul Karim	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 590	3209
Raghoobur Dyal v. Dwarka Dass	3 N. W., 343	2818
Raghoonadha Perya Oodya Taver v. Katama Nauchear	10 W.R., P.C., 1:11 Moore's 1. A., 50.	1700, 49 62 4988
Raghoonath v. Luchmun Singh	1 Agra, 132	4026
Rachonath Naroin Singh at Dam Chum Sahas	2 W. R., Mis., 48	209
Raghoonath Narain Singh v. Ram Churn Sahoo	22 W. R., 162	3193
Raghoonath Pershad v. Surjoo Pershad Singh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 73: 13 C.	
Raghoo Pandey v. Kassy Parey	L. R., 263.	3443
	10 B. L. R., Ap., 26: 19 W.	
Raghoo Parirah. In the matter of the petition of	R., Cr., 28.	978
Ragho Salvi v. Balkrishna Sakharam	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 128	1174, 3967
100g no control Dalamana Dakharam	1. 11. 10, 0 10,000, 100	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Raghubai Ram Chandra. In the matter of Raghubans Gir v. Sheosaran Gir	6 Bom., O. C., 86 I. L. R., 5 All., 243	2661 3462
Raghubans Kuar v. Mahpal Singh	{ I. L. R., 5 Calc., 744: 6 C. L. } R., 593: L. R., 7 I. A., 63 }	1841
Raghubanund Doss v. Sadhu Churn Doss	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 425 : 3 C. L. R., 534.	2451,2466
Raghubar Chowdry v. Bhaikdhari Singh	3 B. L. R., Ap., 41:11 W.	1408
Raghubar Dayal v. Bank of Upper India	· I. L. R., 5 All., 364	5194
v. Budhu Lal	I. L. R., 8 All., 95 I. L. R., 7 All., 450	3979 5459
v. Lachmin Shankar Raghubar Dyal Sahu v. Bhikya Lal Misser	I. L. R., 5 All., 461 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 69	3381 2076, 3429
Raghumoni Audhicary v. Nilmoni Singh Deo .	· I. L. R., 2 Calc., 393	3293
Raghunandan Rai v. Mahabir Rai	• I. L. R., 7 All., 720	4545
Raghunandan Singh v. Majbuth Singh	R., 379.	3720
Raghu Nandan Thakur v. Ramcharan Kapali .	{ 1 B. L. R., F. B., 55:10 W. } R., F. B., 39.	5828
Raghu Nath v. Thakuri	I. L. R., 4 All, 16 .	2481
Raghu Nath Bali v. Maharaj Bali	{ I. L. R., 11 Calc., 777 : L. R., 12 I. A., 112.	3371
Raghunath Das. In the matter of the petition of v. Ashraf Husain Khan	· I. L. R., 6 All., 21	5952 5615
- v. Badri Prasad	I. L. R., 2 All., 252 I. L. R., 6 All., 21	5952
v. Kakkan Mal v. Raj Kumar v.	I. L. R., 3 All., 568	5435, 6017
v. Raj Kumar	. I. L. R., 7 All, 276 I. L. R., 7 All., 876	233, 5951 5951
Paghanoth Canach a Canachtan Dhibail	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 60	1227
Raghunath Gopal v. Nilu Nathaji	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 452 .	190, 2774
Raghunath Prasad v. Gobind Prasad	I. L. R., 8 All., 76 I. L. R., 8 All., 105	2186
Raghunath Shaw v. Rajkrishna Deb	1 B. L. R., S. N., 2	158
Raghunath Singh v. Ram Kumar Mandal	5 B. L. R., Ap., 64: 14 W.	4974
Raghu Ram v. Dannu Lal	R., 81. I. L. R., 2 All., 285	3508
Raghu Ram Biswas v. Ram Chandra Dobay .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 34: W. R., F. B., 127.	5701
Ragunada Rau v. Nathamuni Thathamayyangar .	· 6 Mad., 423	2786
Rahi v. Govinda valad Teja	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 97	2319, 2440 810
Rahimatbai v. Hirbai	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 285 .	2226, 2312
	. I. L. R., 3 Bom., 34 . }	4133
Rahim Bibi. In the matter of the petition of Rahmani Bibi v. Hulasa Kuar	· I. L. R., 6 All., 59 I. L. R., 1 All., 642	4236 3213, 3214
Rahmatulla v. Sariutulla Kagchi	{ 1 B. L. R., F. B., 58: 10 W. { R., F. B., 51.	1429, 4812 4829, 4851 5800
Rahoman v. Fuzuloonissa Rai Balkishen v. Rai Sitaram	. W. R., 1864, 326	5789 437, 2402
Rai Bishen Chand v. Asmaida Koer	J. L. R., 6 All., 560: L. R., 7	2272, 2560
Rai Chand v. Mathura Prasad	11 I. A., 164. 1. L. R., 3 All., 292 1. 5 Bom., A. C., 99	193 5655
Raicharan Pal v. Pyari Mani Dasi	. 3 B. L. R., O. C., 70	2486
Rai Dhanpat Singh Bahadur v. Madhumati Debi	{ 9 B. L. R., 197, note: 18 W. } R., 316.	498

Raie Churn Paul v. Suroop Chunder Mytee 2	Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rai Komul Dossee v. Laidley	Rai Gobind Singh v. Soonder Pal Raiji Manor v. Desai Kallianrai Hukmatrai Raiji Narottam v. Purushottam Girdhar Rai Kishen Chand v. Sheo Baram Rai	2 Agra, Pt. II, 177 6 Bom., A. C., 56 2 Bom., 244 : 2nd Ed., 233 7 N. W., 121	5617 3377 5630 4603
Rai Komul Dossee v. Laidley 1. L. R., 4 Calc., 967 4111 36, 1343 1. L. R., 9. C., 42 : 13 Moore's 1. Rai Mohun Roy v. Wise 1. R., P. C., 42 : 13 Moore's 1. Rai Mooraree Doss v. Bucha Singh 4 N. W., 122 4559 4501	Raikisori Dasi v. Bonomali Charan Maiti	R., 209.	2693, 4924
Rai Mohun Roy v. Wise	Rai Komul Dossee v. Laidley	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 957	5178 4411
Rai Mooraree Doss v. Bucha Singh 4 N. W., 122 4589 2808 Rai Narain Dass v. Newton	Rai Manik Chand v. Madhoram	I. A., 1.	36, 1343
Rai Narain Dass v. Newton	Rai Mohun Roy v. Wise	16 W. R., Cr., 24 4 N. W., 122	
Rainey. In re		6 N. W., 43	
Rainey. In re	v. Nownit Lal		2396
Rai Purdimun Kishen v. Roushun Singh 1 Agra, 111 3253 3157 3253 1714 1729 3257 3258 31714 3258 31714 31858 31858 31714 31858 31858 31714 31858	Rainey. In re	6 B. L. R., 725, note	
Rai Purdimun Kishen v. Roushun Singh 1 1 Agra, 402 1714 Rai Sri Kishen v. Rai Huri Kishen 5 Moore's I. A., 432 1714 Raisuddin Chowdhry v. Khoda Newaz Chowdhry 12 C. L. R., 479 3007, 3992 Raisunnissa Begum. In the matter of 4 2 B. L. R., A. C., 129: 10 W. R., 62. Raja v. Subbaraya 1 L. R., 7 Mad., 253 2466 Rajagopal. In re 7 Bom., O. C., 27 3674 Rajagopala Ayyangar v. Collector of Chingleput 7 Mad., 98 3035 Rajagopala Akyan Naiker v. Muttupalen Chetti 1 L. R., 7 Mad., 31 5302 Rajagopal Takkaya Naiker v. Muttupalen Chetti 1 L. R., 3 Mad., 103 997 Rajah of Cherakal Kovilagom v. Kottayath Kiyaki 7 Mad., 210 3753 Kovilagath Revi Varma Mootha Rajah. 7 Mad., 210 3753 Rajah of Venkatageri. In the matter of the petition of Rajah of Venkatageri v. Mahommed Rahimtulla Sahib L. R., 12 1. A., 16 4661, 5028 Rajah Ram v. Bainee Madho 5 N. W., 81 223 Rajan Harji v. Ardeshir Hormusji 1 L. R., 4 Bom., 70 1 L. R., 12 Calc., 470 1 L. R., 12 R., 14 1 Sos	v. Ishur Chunder Bhuttacharjee	5 C. L. R., 543	
Rais Sri Kishen v. Rai Huri Kishen Saisuddin Chowdhry v. Khoda Newaz Chowdhry Saisunnissa Begum. In the matter of Saisunnissa Saisunnissa Begum. In the matter of Saisunnissa Begum. In the matter of Saisunnissa Begum. In the matter of Cherk Rajagopal. In re Saisunnissa Begum. In the matter of Chingleput Saisungopal. In re Saisungopal. In re Saisungopal. In re Saisungopal. In re Saisungopal. Takaya Naiker v. Muttupalem Chetti Saisungopal. Takkaya Naiker v. Muttupalem Chetti Saisungopal. Takaya Naisungopal. Takaya Naiker v. Muttupalem Chetti Saisungopal. Takaya Nai		1 Agra, 111	
Raisuddin Chowdhry v. Khoda Newaz Chowdhry Raisunnissa Begum. In the matter of 2 B. L. R., A. C., 129: 10 W. 3 B. L. R., 62. 4 B. L. R., A. C., 149: 13 W. 679 Rajav. Subbaraya 143. 143. 143. 143. 144. 144. 3091 3067, 3992 3674 3674 3691 367		3 Agra, 402	
Raisunnissa Begum. In the matter of			
Raja v. Subbaraya Collector of Chingleput Rajagopal Ayyangar v. Collector of Chingleput Rajagopala Ayyangar v. Collector of Chingleput Rajagopal Takkaya Naiker v. Mutupalem Chetti Rajagopal Takkaya Naiker v. Mutupalem Chetti Rajah of Cherakal Kovilagom v. Kottayath Kiyaki Kovilagath Revi Varma Mootha Rajah. Rajah of Pittapur v. Buchi Sitayya Garu L. R., 8 All., 668 6257 Rajah of Venkatageri. In the matter of the petition of Rajah of Venkatageri. In the matter of the petition of Rajah of Venkatageri. In the matter of the petition of Rajah of Venkatageri. In the matter of the petition of Rajah of Venkatageri. In the matter of the petition of Rajah of Venkatageri. In the matter of the petition of Rajah of Venkatageri. In the matter of the petition of Rajah of Venkatageri. In the matter of the petition of Rajah of Venkatageri. In the matter of the petition of Rajah of Venkatageri. In the matter of the petition of Rajah of Venkatageri. In the matter of the petition of Rajah of Venkatageri. In the matter of the petition of Rajah of Venkatageri. In the matter of the petition of Rajah of Venkatageri. In the matter of the petition of Rajah of Venkatageri. In the matter of the petition of Rajah of Venkatageri. In the matter of the petition of Rajah of Venkatageri. In the matter of the petition of Rajah of Venkatageri. In the			
Raja v. Subbaraya		R., 62.	677
Raja v. Subbaraya J. L. R., 7 Mad., 253 2466 Rajagopal. In re 7 Bom., O. C., 27 3674 Rajagopala. Jin re 1. L. R., 9 Mad., 447 3091 Rajagopala Ayyangar v. Collector of Chingleput 7 Mad., 98 3035 Rajagopala Takkaya Naiker v. Muttupalem Chetti 1. L. R., 7 Mad., 98 3035 Rajad of Cherakal Kovilagom v. Kottayath Kiyaki Kovilagath Revi Varma Mootha Rajah. 7 Mad., 210 997 Rajah of Kantit. In the matter of the petition of Rajah of Venkatageri. In the matter of the petition of Rajah of Venkatageri. In the matter of the petition of Rajah of Venkatageri. In the matter of the petition of Rajah of Venkatagiri v. Mahommed Rahimtulla Sahib 1. L. R., 3 Mad., 13 223 Rajah of Venkatagiri v. Mahommed Rahimtulla Sahib 1. L. R., 3 Mad., 13 223 Rajah Ram v. Bainee Madho 5 N.W., 81 5508 Rajan Harji v. Ardeshir Hormusji 1. L. R., 9 Mad., 27 3592 Fajaram Banerjee v. Sonatum Roy 1. L. R., 4 Bom., 70 1. L. R., 9 Mad., 27 3592 Rajaram Banerjee v. Sonatum Roy 1. L. R., 1 All., 207 3163, 3718 Rajaram Bhagvat v. Jibai 1. L. R., 9 Bom., 151 23 W. R., 404 23 W. R., 404 2518, 6096 Rajaram Malita v. Roopa Kagatee Kalita 13 W. R., 113	v. Khujjunnissa		679
Rajagopala Ayyangar v. Collector of Chingleput Rajagopala Ayyangar v. Collector of Chingleput Rajagopalashari v. Subbaraya Mudali I. L. R., 7 Mad., 98 3035 3035 Rajagopalashari v. Subbaraya Mudali I. L. R., 7 Mad., 31 5302 3075 Rajah of Cherakal Kovilagom v. Kottayath Kiyaki Kovilagath Revi Varma Mootha Rajah. Rajah of Kantit. In the matter of the petition of Rajah of Pittapur v. Buchi Sitayya Garu L. R., 12 I. A., 16 4661, 5028 4878 4661, 5028 4661, 5028 4661, 5028 4661, 5028 4661, 5028 4661, 502			2466
Rajagopala Ayyangar v. Collector of Chingleput			i
Rajagopal Takkaya Naiker v. Muttupalem Chetti Rajah of Cherakal Kovilagom v. Kottayath Kiyaki Kovilagath Revi Varma Mootha Rajah. Rajah of Kantit. In the matter of the petition of Rajah of Pittapur v. Buchi Sitayya Garu L. R., 12 1. A., 16 4661, 5028 L. R., 12 1. A., 116 4878 487	Rajagopal. In re		
Rajagopal Takkaya Naiker v. Muttupalem Chetti Rajah of Cherakal Kovilagom v. Kottayath Kiyaki Kovilagath Revi Varma Mootha Rajah. 7 Mad., 210 3753 3753 Rajah of Kantit. In the matter of the petition of Rajah of Pittapur v. Buchi Sitayya Garu L. R., 12 I. A., 16 4661, 5028 L. R., 12 I. A., 116 4878	Rajagopala Ayyangar v. Concette of Chingleput .		
Rajah of Cherakal Kovilagom v. Kottayath Kiyaki Kovilagath Revi Varma Mootha Rajah. 7 Mad., 210 3753 3753 3753 Rajah of Kantit. In the matter of the petition of Rajah of Pittapur v. Buchi Sitayya Garu	Rajagopal Takkaya Naiker v. Muttupalem Chetti .	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 103	
Rajah of Kantit. In the mutter of the petition of Rajah of Pittapur v. Buchi Sitayya Garu	Rajah of Cherakal Kovilagom v. Kottayath Kiyaki	7 Mad., 210	3753
Rajah of Pittapur v. Buchi Sitayya Garu L. R., 12 I. A., 16 4661, 5028		•	6257
V. Venkata Mahipati Surya L. R., 12 I. A., 116 4878 5508 Rajah of Venkatageri In the matter of the petition of Rajah of Venkatageri V. Mahommed Rahimtulla Sahib I. L. R., 3 Mad., 13 223 3592			
Rajah of Venkatageri. In the matter of the petition of Rajah of Venkatagri v. Mahommed Rahimtulla Sahib. 6 Mad., 92. 5508 Rajah of Venkatagri v. Mahommed Rahimtulla Sahib. 1. L. R., 3 Mad., 13. 223 Rajah Ram v. Bainee Madho. 5 N. W., 81. 5400 Rajan v. Basuva Chetti 2 Mad., 428. 55 Rajan Harji v. Ardeshir Hormusji 1. L. R., 4 Bom., 70. 1096, 1403 Rajanikanth Biswas v. Ram Nath Neogy 1. L. R., 10 Calc., 244. 1092, 5385 Rajaram v. Bansi 1. L. R., 12 Calc., 470. 6039 Rajaram Bhagvat v. Jibai 1. L. R., 9 Bom., 151. 2518, 6096 Rajaram Govindram v. Brown 23 W. R., 404. 2518, 6096 Rajaram Kalita v. Roopa Kagatee Kalita 13 W. R., 113. 239 Rajaram Lala v. Kaliappen 5 Mad., 129. 5644, 5586 Rajaram Tawari v. Laghman Proced 4 B. L. R., A. C., 118:12 W.] 1455, 1750	v. Venkata Mahipati Surya		
Rajah Ram v. Bainee Madho S. N. W., 81 S. N. W., 81 S. N. W., 81 S. M. 81 S. M. W., 81 S. M., 81 S. M., 81 S. M., 81 S.	Rajah of Venkatageri. In the matter of the petition of		
Rajah Ram v. Bainee Madho 5 N. W., 81 5400 Rajan v. Basuva Chetti 2 Mad., 428 55 Rajan Harji v. Ardeshir Hormusji I. L. R., 4 Bom., 70 6036, 1403 Rajanikanth Biswas v. Ram Nath Neogy I. L. R., 10 Calc., 244 1902, 5385 Rajarikanth Nag Rai Chowdhuri v. Hari Mohan Guha I. L. R., 12 Calc., 470 6039 Rajaram Banerjee v. Sonatum Roy 23 W. R., 404 2518, 6096 Rajaram Bhagvat v Jibai I. L. R., 9 Bom., 151 4293 Rajaram Govindram v. Brown 7 W. R., 113 239 Rajaram Kalita v. Roopa Kagatee Kalita 13 W. R., 113 5644, 5586 Rajaram Lala v. Kaliappen 5 Mad., 129 906 Rajaram Tawari v. Laghman Proced 4 B. L. R., A. C., 118:12 W. 1455, 1750			
Rajan v. Basuva Chetti 2 Mad., 428 55 Rajan Harji v. Ardeshir Hormusji I. L. R., 4 Bom., 70 6131 Rajanikanth Biswas v. Ram Nath Neogy I. L. R., 10 Calc., 244 1902, 5385 Rajarikanth Nag Rai Chowdhuri v. Hari Mohan Guha I. L. R., 12 Calc., 470 6039 Rajaram Banerjee v. Sonatum Roy 23 W. R., 404 2518, 6096 Rajaram Chowdhry v. Seetola Buksh Misser 7 W. R., 113 239 Rajaram Govindram v. Brown 7 Bom., O. C., 97 5644, 5586 Rajaram Lala v. Kaliappen 5 Mad., 129 906 Rajaram Tawari v. Laghman Proced 4 B. L. R., A. C., 118:12 W. 1455, 1750		5 N. W., 81	
Rajan Harji v. Ardeshir Hormusji I. L. R., 4 Bom., 70 1096, 1403 6131 Rajanikanth Biswas v. Ram Nath Neogy I. L. R., 10 Calc., 244 1902, 5385 1092, 538			
Rajanikanth Biswas v. Ram Nath Neogy I. L. R., 10 Calc., 244 1902, 5385 Rajanikanth Nag Rai Chowdhuri v. Hari Mohan Guha I. L. R., 12 Calc., 470 16039 3163, 3718 1 L. R., 1 All., 207 3163, 3718 23 W. R., 404 2518, 6096 1 L. L. R., 9 Bom., 151 239 239 7 W. R., 113 239 239 364, 5586 13 W. R., 113 239 3644, 5586 13 W. R., 113 3644, 5586 13 W. R., 113 3644, 5586 13 W. R., 113 3644, 5586 1758 3664, 5586 13 W. R., 113 3664, 5586 1455, 1750 1455, 1750 1455, 1750 1455, 1750 1455, 1750 1455, 1750 1455, 1750 1455, 1750 1455, 1750 1455, 1750 1455, 1750 1455, 1750 1564, 5586 1566, 156		I. L. R., 4 Bom., 70 . {	
Rajaram v. Bansi 1. L. R., 1 All., 207 3163, 3718 23 W. R., 404 2518, 6096 Rajaram Bhagvat v Jibai 1. L. R., 9 Bom., 151 4293 7 W. R., 113 239 239 Rajaram Govindram v. Brown 7 Bom., O. C., 97 5644, 5586 1758 Rajaram Kalita v. Roopa Kagatee Kalita 13 W. R., 113 1758		I. L. R , 10 Calc., 244	
Rajaram Banerjee v. Sonatum Roy 23 W. R., 404 2518, 6096 Rajaram Bhagvat v. Jibai I. L. R., 9 Bom., 151 4293 Rajaram Chowdhry v. Seetola Buksh Misser 7 W. R., 113 239 Rajaram Govindram v. Brown 7 Bom., O. C., 97 5644, 5586 Rajaram Kalita v. Roopa Kagatee Kalita 13 W. R., 113 1758 Rajaram Lala v. Kaliappen 5 Mad., 129 906 Rajaram Tawari v. Laghman Proced 4 B. L. R., A. C., 118:12 W. 1455, 1750	71) The Table		
Rajaram Bhagvat v Jibai I. L. R., 9 Bom., 151 4293 Rajaram Chowdhry v. Seetola Buksh Misser 7 W. R., 113 239 Rajaram Govindram v. Brown 7 Bom., O. C., 97 5644, 5586 Rajaram Kalita v. Roopa Kagatee Kalita 13 W. R., 113 1758 Rajaram Lala v. Kaliappen 5 Mad., 129 906 Rajaram Tawari v. Laghman Proced 4 B. L. R., A. C., 118:12 W. 1455, 1750		1. D. K., 1 All., 207	
Rajaram Chowdhry v. Seetola Buksh Misser 7 W. R., 113 239 Rajaram Govindram v. Brown 7 Bom., O. C., 97 5644, 5586 Rajaram Kalita v. Roopa Kagatee Kalita 13 W. R., 113 1758 Rajaram Lala v. Kaliappen 5 Mad., 129 906 Rajaram Tawari v. Lachman Proced 4 B. L. R., A. C., 118:12 W. 1455, 1750	Rajaram Bhagvat v Jibai		
Rajaram Govindram v. Brown Rajaram Kalita v. Roopa Kagatee Kalita Rajaram Lala v. Kaliappen Rajaram Tawari v. Lachman Proced (4 B. L. R., A. C., 118:12 W.) 15644, 5586 1758 906 1455, 1750	Rajaram Chowdhry v. Seetola Buksh Misser	7 W. R., 113	239
Rajaram Lala v. Kaliappen 5 Mad., 129 906 Rajaram Tawari v. Lachman Proced (4 B. L. R., A. C., 118:12 W.) 1455, 1750	Rajaram Govindram v. Brown		
Rainram Tewari et Lachman Presed (4 B. L. R., A. C., 118:12 W.) 1455, 1750	Rajaram Kalita v. Koopa Kagatee Kalita		
	ا ر		

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rajaram Tewary v. Luchmun Persad	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 731:2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 216:8 W. R., 15.	2188, 4032
Raja Singh v. Sulka Raja valad Hussein. In re	I. L. R., 6 All., 398 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 174	216 5540
Raja valad Shivapa v. Krishnabhat	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 232 .	
Raj Bahadur v. Birmha Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 85 . I. L. R., 4 All., 343	2863, 5082 499
Raj Bahadur Singh v. Achumbit Lal	L. R., 6 L. A., 110 : 6 C. L. R., 12.	3348
Raj Begum v. Reza Hossein Raj Beharee Roy v. Tarapershad Roy	2 W. R., 76	1353, 3686 5283
Rajballab Saha v. Ramsaday Ghose	20 W. R., 188 5 B. L. R., Ap., 65: 14 W. R., 155.	837
Raj Bullub Addhya v. Gobindo Chunder Moitro	R., 155. 12 W. R., Cr., 66	4097
Rajbullub Buye v. Taranath Roy	3 W. R., Mis., 2	3496
Raj Bullub Gope v. Issan Chunder Hajrah Raj Bullubh Mitter v. Sreeram Sircar	7 W. R., 355 25 W. R., 109	1366, 5431 5315
Rajbullubh Sen v. Oomesh Chunder Rooz	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 44: 8 C. L. R., 384.	2196
Raj Bullub Shaha v. Gossain Dass Shaha	13 W. R., 400	1878
Rajbullub Shammee v. Waris Mahomed	8 W. R., 450 9 W. R., 285	4479 1417
Raj Chandra Bose v. Dharma Chandra Bose . {	2 B. L. R., A. C., 77: 8 B. L. R., 510, note: 10 W. R., 416	5690
Raj Chandra Poddar v. Manorama	3 B. L. R., Ap., 148: 12 W.	3999
Raj Chookun Duswandi v. Busjeet Tewaree Raj Chunder Bhuttacharjee v. Meertunjoy Sheeromoney Raj Chunder Bundoo v. Rajessory Dossee Raj Chunder Burmun v. Roma Kant Chuckerbutty	R., 353. 20 W. R., 165 2 Hay, 299 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 240 15 W. R., 324	782 666 4799 2772
Rai Chunder Chatteries v. Modhoosoodun Mookeries	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 395: 10 C. ?	3140
Raj Chunder Chowdhry v. Busheer Mahomed v. Rajnath Chowdhry	L. R., 435.) 24 W. R., 476	98 6117
Rajchunder Chuckerbutty v. Kinoo Khan	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 329	3260
dhry.	4 W. R., 7	5738
Raj Chunder Deb Biswas v. Sheeshoo Ram Deb . Raj Chunder Ghose v. Joy Chunder Dutt .	7 W. R., 146	2199 4 162
v. Joy Kishen Mookerjee	4 W. R., 76	3286
Chunder Banerjee	6 W. R., Act X, 86	778
Raj Chunder Gope v. Joogal Gope Raj Chunder Mojoomdar v. Rajaram Gope	18 W. R., 172	4068 1187
Raj Chunder Roy v. Chundee Churn Naik	8 W. R., 437	5828
v. Isser Chunder Roy	Bourke, O. C., 139	425
v. Kishen Chunder . v. Shama Soondari Debi	4 W. R., Act X, 16	3799 393, 430 4237
Raj Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Brojendro Coomar Roy Chowdhry.	21 W. R., 182	178
v. Greesh Chunder Roy	5 W. R., Mis., 45	201, 5921
kerjee. v. Unnoda Pershad Moo-)	17 W. R., 221	4336
Raj Chunder Shaha v. Gobind Chunder Koolal v. Hur Mohun Roy	13 W. R., 102 22 W. R., 98	5824 3919, 5417

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Raj Chunder Surma Gossain v. Ali Newaz Khan Raj Coomar v. Kirthu Ojha Raj Coomar Baboo v. Judoo Bungshee Raj Coomar Baboo Deo Nund Singh. In the matter of the petition of. Raj Coomar Goopto. In the matter of Raj Coomar Goopto. In the matter of Raj Coomar Hall v. Bissessur Dyal Raj Coomar Roy v. Alfuzuddin Ahmed v. Assa Bebee v. Kadumbiny Debi v. Mahomed Wais Raj Coomar Roy Chowdhry v. Debendronarain Roy Raj Coomar Singh. In the matter of the petition of v. Choto Raj Coomar Singh v. Dinonath Ghuttuck v. Rajbunsee Kooer v. Ram Suhaye Roy Raj Coomar Singh alias Nanhoo Lall v. Lalljee Sahoo Raj Dharee Lall v. Mohadeo Singh Rajdhur Chowdhry v. Kalikristna Bhattacharjya Raj Doollab v. Mohessur Bhutt Raj Doollub Sircar v. Ooma Churn Biswas Rajeeb Lochun Sawunt v. Mohessuree Dossee Rajeeb Ram Doss v. Mahomed Hossein Rajender Chunder Newgee v. Bhoobun Kalee Debea v. Mahomed Aynooddeen Rajender Nath Roy v. Perlhad Sein Rajender Nath Roy v. Perlhad Sein Rajender Rishore Singh v. Pershad Sen v. Radha Prasad Singh Rajendra Matilal v. Ramnarain Matilal	W. R., 1864, Act X, 133 13 W. R., Cr., 67 14 W. R., 112 7 W. R., 90 1. L. R., 3 Calc., 514 11 W. R., 354 1. L. R., 10 Calc., 688 8 C. L. R., 419 3 W. R., Act X, 170 13 W. R., F. B., 63 7 W. R., 337 15 W. R., 41 2 C. L. R., 62 W. R., 1664, Act X, 12 1 C. L. R., 352 W. R., 1864, Act X, 108 11 W. R., 151 18 W. R., 333 11 W. R., 511 1. L. R., 8 Calc., 963: 11 C. 1. L. R., 364 21 W. R., 109 18 W. R., 193 10 W. R., 365 6 W. R., 1864, 65 W. R., 1864, 65 W. R., 1864, 143 1. L. R., 6 Calc., 106 22 W. R., 165 5 C. L. R., 18 W. R., 1864, 111 2 C. L. R., 183 1. L. R., 3 All., 854 3 B. L. R., Ap., 3 7 B. L. R., Ap., 3	538 5510 3496 280 4312 3846 2134 3745, 6065 1610 899 3152 1352 6068, 6251 6114 5945 539 4145, 5822 5451 5119 5813 1611 4962 3497, 3526 5485 1887 6129 4591 2475 3125 2414 2345 2702 233 912
Rajendra Rau v. Sama Rau Rajendro Chunder Roy Chowdhry. In the matter of the petition of. Rajendro Kishore Narain Singh v. Doorga Koonwar	A., 67. 1 Mad., 436 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 737 7 W. R., 154	2820 4721 5344
Rajendro Kishore Roy Ohowdhry. In the matter of Rajendro Kishore Singh v. Bulaky Mahton v. Hyabul Singh	10 W. R., Cr., 55 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 367 17 W. R., 379 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 188 : 4 C. L.	4732 3194 641 1166,6087
Rajendro Lan Gossami v. Snama Churn Lahori Rajendro Narain Biswas v. Mohendro Lall Mitter Rajendro Narain Lahoree v. Saroda Soonduree Debia Rajendro Narain Neogi v. Kumud Narain Bhup Rajendro Nath Dutt v. Mahomed Lal Rajendronath Mookhopadhya v. Bassider Ruhman Khondkhar. Rajendronath Roy Bahadoor v. Chunnoomul	R., 417. 3 C. L. R., 21 15 W. R., 548 3 C. L. R., 569 1. L. R., 8 Calc., 42 1. L. R., 2 Calc., 146: 25 W. R., 329. I. L. R., 5 Calc., 448	1188 2114, 2116 6234 4251 3045 1913
Rajendro Protab Sahee v. Bhowabul Singh	14 W. R., 105	5139

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rajendur Kishwur Singh v . Sheopurshun Missur .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 273: 5 W. R., P. C., 65: 10 Moore's I. A., 438.	4042
Rajendur Pertab Sahee v. Lalljee Sahoo Rajeshuree Debia v. Raj Coomaree Dossee	20 W. R., 427	4181 3514
Rajessuree Debia v. Brindabutty Debia v. Jogendro Nauth Roy v. Shibnath Chatterjee	7 W. R., 212	4198, 4483 86, 93
Rajessuree Koonwar v. Inderjeet Koonwar	4 W. R., Act X, 42	1752, 4391 1411
Rajeswara Gajapaty Naraina Deo Maharajulungaru v. Virapratapah Rudra Gajapaty Naraina Deo Maharajulungaru.	5 Mad., 31	2325, 3366
Raj Gobind Dey v. Rajessuree Dossce	4 W. R., 10	2293
Rajhuni Singh v. Empress	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 455: 11 C. L. R., 569.	1804
Rajiblochan v. Bimalamani Dasi	2 B. L. R., A. C., 82	5485. 5487 4558
Raj Kishen Mookerjee v. Dusruth Sootrodhur	1. L. R., 5 All., 180	5314
v. Huro Mohun Mookerjee v. Modhoo Soodun Mundle v. Pearee Mohun Mookerjee v.	5 W. R., 248	5776
v. Modhoo Soodun Mundle	17 W. R., 413	2889
v. Pearee Monun Mookerjee	20 W. R., 342	1162 4192
v	24 W. R., 114	584
D. H H. P. W. H		0000 4004
v. Sree Nath Dutt	I	
Raj Kishen Roy v. Prankishen Roy	23 W. R., 408 W. R., 1864, Act X, 89	584 1637
v. Surut Chunder Chuckerbutty .	4 W. R., 79	2895
Raj Kishen Singh v. Huro Soonduree Dossee	15 W. R., 255	279
Raikishen Sircar v. Chowdhry Jaheeoorul Huq	W. R., 1864, 351	2514
Rajkishor Dutt Roy v. Girish Chandra Roy Chowdhry Rajkishore Bhuddur v. Huree Mohun Bhuddur	4 B. L. R., A. C., 136	3396 6106
Raj Kishore Dutt v. Bydonath Shaha	19 W. R., 195	5588
Rajkish oree Dossee v. Budden Chunder Shaw .	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 49: 6 W. R., 298.	3223
Rajkishore Lahoory v. Gobind Chunder Lahoory .	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 27: 24 W. R., 234.	2295
Raj Kishore Mookerjee v. Hureehur Mookerjee .	1 B. L. R., S. N., 8: 10 W. R., 117.	1618
Rajkishore Mozoomdar v. Heeraloll Bukshee	Marsh., 188	4934
Raj Kishore Mullick v. Brindabun Chunder Poddar Rajkishore Shaw v. Bhadoo Nashoo	15 W. R., 119	2883 3926
Rajkissen Singh. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 605: 6 W. R., Mis., 111.	284
v. Ramjoy Surma Mozoomdar .	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 186: 19 W. R., 8.	2228
Rajkisto Majee v. Nobace Seal	1 W. R., 351	2892
Rajkisto Paul v. Nittyanund Paul	20 W. R., Cr., 50	4749
Raj Kisto Roy v. Beer Chunder Joobraj Raj Koomar Singh v. Sahebzada Roy	6 W. R., 308	3188 2856, 4745
Rajkrishna Mookerjee v. Kali Charan Dobain .	6 B. L. R., Ap., 122: 15 W. R., 109.	1654, 4148
Raj Krishna Singh v. Collector of Mymensingh .	19 W. R., 232	1899
v. Propoda Dabee Rai Coomaree	21 W. R., 74	1454
Rajkristo Banerji v. Bama Soonduree	23 W. R 296	166
Rajkristo Moitro v. Koylash Chunder Bhuttacharjee Raj Kristo Mookerjee v. Issur Chunder Mookerjee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 24	1104 5981
. Togat Cuttiat monkellee .	23 W, R., 90	0001

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rajkristo Roy v. Dinobundoo Surma . v. Kishoree Mohun Mojoomdar Rajkristo Singh v. Bungshee Mohun . v. Huro Soonduree Chowdrain v. Sefatoollah . Rajkumar Banerji v. Rajlakhi Dabi . Rajkumar Mookerjee v. Prannath Mookerjee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 360: 3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 5. 3 W. R., 14 14 W. R., 448, note 13 W. R., 313 7 W. R., 85 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 441 7 B. L. R., Ap., 41: 15 W. R., 499.	3147 2514 5378 628 2660 3524 5691
Rajkumar Nobodip Chundro Deb Burmun v. Bir Chundra Manikya Bahadoor. Rajkumar Paramanik v. Stewart Raj Kumar Ramgopal Narayan Singh v. Ram Dutt Chowdry. Raj Kumar Roy v. Kadambini Debi	25 W. R., 404	2894 5721 3891 899 4776
Rajkumar Sing v. Kali Charan Sing	R., 57. 5 B. L. R., 585: 13 W. R., 52. 2 B. L. R , A. C., 281: 11 W. R., 218.	177 331, 3397 5047, 5138 1138
Rajlakhi Debia v. Gakul Chandra Chowdhry . Raj Luckhee Chowdhrain v. Shib Dyal Tewaree Chowdhry	3 B. L. R., P. C., 57: 12 W. R., P. C., 47: 13 Moore's L. A., 209.	1750, 2195 840
Rajluckhee Debia v. Mohesh Chunder Mojoomdar Raj Lukhee Debia v. Chunder Kant Chowdhry Rajlukhee Dassee v. Pearun Bibee Rajlukhy Dassee v. Rash Munjury Chowdrain Raj Mahomed v. Banoo Rasmah Raj Manick Singh v. Ruttun Manick Bose Rajmoheeney Dabee v. Muddoosoodun Dey Raj Mohinee Chowdhrain v. Dino Bundhoo Chowdhry Rajmohun Bose v. East Indian Railway Company	14 W. R., 191 14 W. R., 173 23 W. R., 82 5 C. L. R., 515 12 W. R., 34 15 W. R., 46 Bourke, O. C., 24 17 W. R., 566 10 B. L. R., 241	1202 2856 5367 3508 1754 5282 913 183 2824, 4705
Rajmohun Gossain v. Gourmohun Gossain . Rajmohun Mitter v. Commissioner of the Soonderbuns v. Gooroo Churn Aych Rajmohun Mookerjee v. Nilmonee Mitter .	4 W. R., P. C., 47: 8 Moore's I. A., 91. 1 W. R., 344 6 W. R., Act X, 106 11 W. R., 222	1718, 2018 2366 5984 3018 4758
Rajmohun Neogee v. Anund Chunder Chowdhry . Rajmonee Dabee v. Chunder Kant Sandel	10 W. R., 166 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 440: 10 C. L. R., 487. 11 W. R., 63 22 W. R., 124 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 594, 10 C.	2691 1193, 4290 4291 4465 2018, 2801 1697, 2682
Rajnarain Bose v. Universal Life Assurance Company { Rajnarain Deb Chowdhry v. Kassee Chunder Chowdhry. Raj Narain Dutt v. Gourmonee Dossee	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 594: 10 C.} L. R., 561. 10 B. L. R., 324: 18 W. R., 404. 6 W. R., 215	2705, 5860 2089 1730, 4562
Raj Narain Koer v. Inder Chunder Baboo . Rajnarain Koonwar v. Lala Tamoli Raut . Raj Narain Roy v. Juggessur Mookerjee . v. Modhoo Soodun Mookerjee . v. Woomesh Chunder Goopto Rajnarain Roy Chowdhry v. Atkins .	W. R., 1864, Mis., 39 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 91 14 W. R., 247 20 W. R., 19 8 W. R., 444 1 W. R., 45: 5 W. R., Act X, 30	220 2759 356 534 2392 1613

				Column
Name of Case.			Volume and Page.	of Digest.
Rajnarain Sein. In the matter of the petition	a of		6 B. L. R., Ap., 42	1509
Rajnarain Singh v. Heeralal	•	•	14 W. R., Cr., 22	1509 2465
v. Sheera Mean	•	•	7 W. R., 67	5393
Rajnarayan Pal. In the matter of Rajnath Pandah v. Doorga Lall	•	•	13 B. L. R., Ap., 25	2661 1755
Rajoo v. Raj Coomar Singh	:	:	7 W. R., 136	2775
Rajoo Singh v. Guneshmonee Burmonee	•	•	15 W. R., 400	3367 6237
<u> </u>	•	•	(753, 76
Rajpal v. Chooramun	•	•	4 N. W., 10	1940
Rajpati Singh v. Ram Sukhi Kuar	•	•	I. L. R., 2 All., 40	4766
Rajranee Dossee. In the matter of the petition	on of	{	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 52: 24 W. R., 206.	4662
Rajrani of Burdwan v. Purikhit Rawtra .	•	{	7 B. L. R., Ap., 15: 15 W. R., 426.	5918
Rajroopram v. Buddoo	•	}	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 93: 1	2572
Raj Roop Singh v. Buldeo Singh		٠	Hyde, 155.) 2 W. R., 258	2234
Rajrup Koer v. Abdul Hossein	•	{	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 394 : L. R., 7 I. A., 240 : 7 C. L. R., }	4577
•	•	(529.	1090 195
Raju Balu v. Krishnarav Ramchandra ,	•		I. L. R., 2 Bom., 273 .	1030, 135 3229, 476 4776, 479
			(4813
Rajub Mundle v. Lochun Mundle	•	•	W. R., 1864; Cr., 73	969
Rajunder Narain Rae v. Bijai Govind Singh	•	•	2 Moore's I. A., 181	992, 464 2694, 443
Rajvi Narayan Mandlık v. Dadaji Bapuji .	•	:	I L. R., 1 Bom., 523	4388, 438
Rakal Doss Tewaree v. Kinooram Haldar .	•		7 W. R., 242	1608
Rakhab Doss. In re		:	Bourke, O. C., 59	43 0, 589
Rakhal Chandra Rai Chowdhuri v. Secretary for India.	oi Stat	e }	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 603	4687
Rakhal Chunder Bose v. Dwarka Nath Misser	•		I. L. R., 13 Calc., 346	5452
takhal Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Mahtab Kh	an .		25 W. R, 221	1183
Rakhal Chunder Tewaree v. Kinooram Haldar Rakhal Churn Mundul v. Watson & Co.	•	•	10 W. R., 442	4912 1992, 419
akhal Das Bundopadhya v. Indurmonee Dab	·	•	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 50	1170, 178
Kakhaldas Madak v. Madhusudhan Madak .	•	5	3 B. L. R., A. C., 409: 12}	6061
	•	ζ	W. R., 319.	
Rakhal Das Mookerjee v. Tunoo Poramanic	•	•	7 W. R., 239	215
Takhaldass Singh v. Sheo Pershad Singh	•	•	24 W. R., Cr., 73	4504 4997
Akhaldoss Moduck v. Bindoo Bashinee Debia	·	•	Marsh., 293: 2 Hay, 157	1708
Rakhaldoss Mookerjee v. Shurno Moyee .			6 W. R., 100	6286
akhal Doss Mundul v. Protab Chunder Hazra	ah .		12 W. R., 455	333, 5784 6239
Rakhaldoss Singh v. Heera Motee Dossee .			22 W. R., 282	.5028
akhaldoss Singhee v. Roy Chunder Dutt	•		1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 124	5820
akhal Monee Dossee v. Brojendro Gopal Roy	•	•	23 W. R., 303	4336
akhi Bibi v. Rahat Bibi	•		7 N. W., 191	3729
akhmabai v. Radbabai	•		5 Bom., A. C., 181	2120 398
	•	•		1201, 5120
kakub Doss v. Sooraj Mull	•	•	Bourke, O. C., 131 . }	5130
kaku Bibi v. Mahomed Musa Khan		- 1	4 B. L. R., A. C., 10	3092

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ralli v. Fleming	{ I. L. R., 3 Calc., 417: 2 C. } L. R., 93.	6012
v. Gau Kim Swee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 939	1780
Rama v. Chengalvaraya	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 429 3 B. L. R., A. C., 18: 11 W.	3595
v. Jan Mahomed · · · · ·	\[\begin{cases} 3 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	53
— v. Kunji	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 375	4441, 59
n. Ranga	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 552	2198
v. Shivram	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 116	5244
n. Venkatachalam	. I. L. R., 8 Mad., 576	3588
v. Venkatesa	. I. L. R., 5 Mad., 171	3216
Ramabai v. Trimbak Ganesh Desai	. 9 Bom., 283	2422, 568
	10.70	5927
Ramabai Saheb Patvardhan v. Appa	. 12 Bom., 13	321, 27
Ramabhai Girdharbhai v. Ali Akbar Kajrani	. 1 Bom., 6	2684
Amachandra v. Bhimrao	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 577 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 495	2499 4840
v. Krishna	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 434	3568
Ramachandra Mankeshwar v. Bhimrao Raoji	I L R 1 Rom 577	4865
Rama Chandra Shastry v. Papu Aiyan	3 Mad., 27	6227
Rama Chandra Surya Arichandrana Deo v. Darvad	0.3	
Ramanna Chandiri.	a } 3 Mad., 207	5007
amadhar v. Ram Dayal	. I. L. R., 8 All., 536	792
am Adheen Pandey v. Goordial Pandey	. 2 N. W., 434	4545
Aamadhin v. Mahesh	. I. L. R., 2 All., 471	172
amadhin Mahton v. Ganesh	I. L. R., 2 All., 471 I. L. R., 4 All., 238	269
amadhin v. Mahesh Amadhin Mahton v. Ganesh Amagopal v. Majeti Mallikkarjanudu Amaisher Singh v. Saiva Zalim Singh	. 1 Mad., 396	5607
a maisher Singh v . Saiva Zalim Singh	. 2 Agra, 8	3281, 34
Ramaiya v. Narayanasamy Ramakistnam v. Ragavachari Rama Koer v. Lalla Bhugwan Lall	3 Mad., 209	1062
Rama Koor a Talla Pharman Lall	. I. L. R., 3 Mad., 406	4052 4904
Ramakrishna v. Namasivaya	. 22 W. R., 224	524 8
Ramakrishna Chetti v. Palanyandi Kudambar	T T. D 1 Mad 969	3866
Camakrishuappa v. Adinarayana	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 511	488
lamakristnacastrulu v. Darba Lakshmidevamma .	. 1 Mad. 320	3191
tamalakshamma v. Ramanna	. I. L. R., 9 Mad., 482	3422
Ramalakhshmi Ammal v. Sivananantha Perumal Sethi	u- (12 B. L. R., 396: 17 W. R.,)	2223, 231
rayer.	12 B. L. R., 396: 17 W. R., 553: 14 Moore's I. A., 570	2323
Ramalinga Pillai v. Satteasiva Pillai	· 7 Mad 97	3828
v. Sudasiva Pillai	1 W. R., P.C., 25: 9 Moore's	1723, 21
	1 - 20 221, 0000	
Ramamani Ammal v. Kulanthai Nauchear	{ 17 W. R., 1: 14 Moore's I. } A., 346.	55, 17
Raman v. Hassan	TTDOWN 1 047	2442 3584
v. Kurunstha Tharakan	. I. L. R., 9 Mad., 247 .	5123
v. Kurunatna Inarakan	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 10	358 4
v. Pakrichi v. Vairavan	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 392	3203, 459
Ramanada Butt v. Bithee	. 4 Mad., 263 :	3265
Ramanadamisaraiyar v. Ramabhattar	2 Mad., 412	1811
Ramanadan v. Srinivasa Murthi	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 80	3593, 62
Ramanadan Chetti v. Kunnappu Chetti	. 6 Mad., 304	819
Ramanadan Chetty v. Vijiasami	. 4 Mad., 425	4801
Ramanada Sastri v. Minatchi Ammal	. I. L. R., 3 Mad., 236	3453
Ramanagra Singh v. Ramyad Singh	. 5 C. L. R., 176	1901
Raman Ammal v. Subhan Annavi alias Subramaniya	ⁱⁿ } 2 Mad., 399	1568, 24
Annavi.) - maa., 000	•
Ramanand v . Bank of Bengal \dots	. I. L. R., 1 All., 377	167

				1
Name of Case,			Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ramanandan Chetti v. Periatambi Shervai			I. L. R., 6 Mad., 250	3499
Ramanand Kuar v. Raghunath Kuar .		{	I. L. R., 8 Calc. 769: 11 C. L.	1418, 4224
Ramanand Singh v. Gobind Singh .		(R., 149 : L. R., 9 I. A., 41.	6052 2392
Ramanatha Mudali v. Vaithalinga Mudali	· ·		I. L. R., 5 All., 384 2 Mad., 238	3114
Ramanath Dass v. Boloram Phookun		{	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 677: 9 C. ?	3925
Ramanath Dutt v. Joykishen Mookerjee .			L. R., 233.	1630, 6221
v. Matunginee Dossee	•	.	12 B. L. R., 110	1194
Ramanath Rakhit v. Chand Hari Bhuya .	•		6 B. L. R., 356	330, 1180 2927
v. Muchiram Paramanik .		.	3 B. L. R., Ap., 63	3810
Ramanihi Nayar. In the matter of			I L. R., 1 Mad., 266	1271, 4368
Ramanjem Naidoo v. Rungiah Naidoo .	•		8 Mad., 192	2955
Ramanoogra Chobey v. Putmoorta Chobayan		:	7 W. R., 205	172
Ramanoogra Narain. In the matter of the	petition of	of	13 W. R., P. C., 17	275
Ramanoogra Sahoy v. Byjnath Lal	•	{	10 B. L. R., 291, note: 15 \ W. R., 164.	498
Ramanoogro Singh v. Kishen Kishore Narai	n Singh	•	23 W. R., 265	807, 1922
Ramanooj Doss v. Government	•	•	2 W. R., Mis., 36	223
Ramansar Pandey v. Raghubar Jati	•	•	I. L. R., 5 All., 490	3406
Ramanugra Narain v. Mahasundur Kunwar	•	•	12 B. L. R., 433	2022 1441, 3872
Ramanuja v. Devanyaka	•		I. L. R., 8 Mad., 361 4 Mad., 260	3490
Ramanuja Ayyangar v. Virappa Tevan .	•		I. L. R., 6 Mad., 90	4303
Ramanuja Chariyar v. Venkata Varadh Aiya			4 Mad, 54	3157
Ramanuj Sewak Singh v. Hingu Lal	٠,		I. L. R., 3 All., 157	3540
Ramanund Koondoo v. Chowdhry Soond Sarungy.	er Narain	3	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 331	1909
Ramanund Mahton v. Koylash Mahton .	•		I. L. R., 11 Calc., 236	3614, 5912
Ramapa v. Umanna	•	•	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 123	4784
Ramappa Naicken v. Sithammal	•	•	I. L. R, 2 Mad., 182	2297
Ramaput Singh. v. Furlong	•	•	3 W. R., 38	3334
Ramaraja v. Arunachala	•	•	4 C. L. R., 397	163 7 483 6
Rama Raju v. Subbarayudu	•		I. L. R., 7 Mad., 248	3951
•	•	•	. 1	4980, 4985
Rama Rao v. Suriya Rao	•	•	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 84 . {	5052
· · · ·	•		3 C. L. R., 265	5 0 52
Ramasami v. Bhaskarasami	•		I. L. R., 2 Mad., 67	3588
v. Collector of Madure	•	•	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 67	3588
v. Kadar Bibi	•	•	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 492	4332
v. Kandasami	•	•	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 379	100 1511
v. Narassama	:		I. L. R., 8 Mad., 133	2312
v. Queen-Empress			I. L. R., 7 Mad., 292	1960
v. Ramasami			I. L. R., 5 Mad., 115	4794
~ v ,	•		I. L. R., 5 Mad., 220	305
Ramasami Aien v. Manjeya Pillai • •	•	.	6 Mad., 61	3589
Ramasami Aiyan v . Venkataramiyan	•	{	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 91: L. R., 6 I. A., 196.	2137
Ramasami Ayyan v. Ramu Mupan	•		3 Mad., 372	3769, 4410
	-	- 1	T T D 6 M 3 101	6230
Ramasami Ayyangay a Bagiyathi Ammal	•	•	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 181	3500 4900
Ramasami Ayyangar v. Bagirathi Ammal . v. Marimuttu Bhattan	•		I. L. R., 6 Mad., 180	4290 6143
Ramasami Chetti v. Angappa Chetti	:		I. L. R., 7 Mad., 220	4052

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ramasami Chetti v. Saluckai Tevar alias Oyya Tevar Ramasami Mudaliar v. Sellattammal . Ramasami Padeiyatchi v. Virasami Padeiyatchi . Ramasami Sastrigal v. Samiyappanayakan . Ramasamy Aiyan v. Minakshi Ammal . Ramasamy Chetty v. Venkatachettapaty Chetty . Ramasamy Kon v. Bhavanni Ayyar . v. Sinthiwaiyan alias Chinna Bhavani	8 Mad., 186 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 375 3 Mad., 272 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 179 2 Mad., 409 2 Mad., 468 3 Mad., 247 3 Mad., 247	2518 2164, 2204 2213, 5020 3897 2214 3146 1072 1072
Ayyar.) Ramasawmy Aiyan v. Venkata Achari	2 W. R., P. C., 21: 9 Moore's	5263
Ramasekara Pillai v. Dharmaraya Goundan .	I. A., 344. I. L. R., 5 Mad., 113 .	3451
Ramashesharaya Panday v. Bhagavat Panday .	4 Mad., 5	2370, 2448 2455
Ramasvami Chetti v. Pappa Reddi	1 Mad., 190 1 Mad., 211	5820 2707
Ramaswamy Mudali v. Valayuda Mudali alias Aiyatho- ray Mudali.	4 Mad., 266	3327
Ram Autar v. Ajudhia Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 231 I. L. R., 8 All., 540 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 122 6 N. W., 243	3537 4843 3160 4539, 4544
Ram Autar Rai v. Talimundi Kuar	7 N. W., 49	329, 2916 6174
Ram Awtar Singh v. Tulsi Ram Rama Varma Tambaram v. Raman Nayar Rama Varar v. Krishnen Nambudri Ramaya Elapa v. Muhamadbhai	5 C. L. R., 227 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 89 I. L. R., 3 Mad., 270 10 Bom., 495	3754 425() 3141, 6015
Ramayya v. Chandra Sekara	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 256 .	1133 798
Ram Bakhsh v. Panna Lal	1. L. R., 7 All., 457 8 B. L. R., 10, note: 10 W. R., 446.	
Rambaksh Chetlangi v. Banwari Gobind Bahadur	2 B. L. R., A. C., 65: 10 W	1892
Ram Baksh Singh v. Madat Ali	R., 357. 7 N. W., 95	1926, 3516
v. Ram Lall Doss	21 W. R., 428	3963, 3980
Ram Baks Lal v. Kishori Mohan Shaha	3 B. L. R., A. C., 273:12 W.	4258 4584, 6243
Ram Bandhu v. Kusu Bhattu	5 C. L. R., 481	6001,6187
Ram Baran Rai v. Murli Pandey	I. L. R., 3 All., 505 I. L. R., 2 All., 896	4830 3021
Ram Beharee Singh v. Sitara Khatoon	10 W. R., 315	3691
Rambhanjan Bhakat v. Srikrishna Bhakat	2 B. L. R., A. C., 260: 11 W. R., 140.	171
Rambharose Lal v. Gopi Bibi	7 N. W., 300 · · ·	1405
Rambhat v. Lakshman Chintaman	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 630 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 592	227 4 4462
Rambhau Bapushet v. Bhai Bapushet	2 Bom., 352: 2nd Ed., 333 .	4561
Ram Bhisto Acharjee v. Cheyt Lall Tewary Ram Bhujun Bhukut v. Ketaye Ram Chowdhry .	15 W. R., 451 6 W. R., Act X, 21	544 538
Ram Bhurosee Chowdhry v. Hunooman Singh .	21 W. R., 240	557
Ram Bhurosee Singh v. Bissessur Narain Mahata v. Mahomed Asguree Khan.	18 W. R., 454 19 W. R., 205	4481 1612, 1632
Ram Bhurose Singh v. Jewa Mahatoon	11 W. R., 319	4162
Rambhuros Lall v. Gopee Beebee Rambrem Lall v. Abluckh Singh Rambromo Chuckerbutty v. Bunsi Kurmokar	6 N. W., 66 Marsh., 267; 2 Hay, 148 11 C. L. R., 122	6229 328 5055

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of
Ram Bromo Pandah v. Kaminee Soonduree Dossee Rambuddun Singh v. Sree Koonwar Ram Buksh v. Ram Sookh	6 W. R., 295 W. R., 1864, Act X, 22 3 Agra, 253	2284 1390, 1813 2853
Ram Buksh v. Ram Sookh	1 N. W., 111 : Ed. 1873, 159	3891
Ram Buksh Singh v. Purdumun Kishore	2 Agra, Part II, 202 .	3029, 6284
Ram Buksh Thakoor v. Ajoodhya Lal	2 W. R., Mis., 45	6088
Rambundhoo Chatterjee v. Modhoo Soodun Patra Ram Bunsee Koomaree v. Soobh Koomaree	21 W. R., 134 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 193 .	1433 2275, 3851
	1 W. R., 338	2486
Ram Bunsee Koonwaree v. Soobh Koonwaree	7 W. R., 321	2275, 3851
Ramburun Singh v. Jankee Sahoo	22 W. R., 473	1393
Ram Bushan Mahto v. Jebli Mahto Ram Butty Kooer v. Kamessur Pershad	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 853 .	4456, 6004
Ram Bux v. Girdharee Lall	22 W. R., 36 1 Agra, 178	896, 3242 6015
	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 675: 2	0010
Rambux Chittangeo v. Modoosoodhun Paul Chowdhry	Ind. Jur., N. S., 155: 7 W. R., 377.	5674
Ram Chand v. Collector of Mirzapore	3 Agra, 7	2063 5969
	I. L. R., 6 All, 112 3 N. W., 323	5362 31
Ram Chandar Bahadur v. Kamta Prasad	I. L. R., 4 All, 300	5466
Ram Chand Bera v. Government	6 C. L. R., 365	2883, 5630
Ram Chand Chuckerbutty v. Madhub Narain Roy	1 C. L. R., 359	800
v. Nuddiar Chand Ghose . Ram Chand Dutt v. Mosai Santal	23 W. R., 230	1359, 528 7 5697
	7 W. R., 119	4823
Ram Chand Mookerjee v. Kamenee Debea	10 W. R., 236	910, 912
		4903
Ram Chandra v. Bhikibai	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 477	4975
Ram Chandra Apaji v. Palaji Bhaurav	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 305, note I. L. R., 9 Bom., 137	3133 418 6
Ram Chandra Babaji v. Sitaram Vinayak	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 68	5117
Ram Chandra Ballal v. Baba Esgonda	12 Bom., 163	807
Ram Chandra Bapuji Gokhle v. Vasudev Morbhat Kale.	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 451	6113
Ram Chandra Bhikaji v. Collector of Ratuagiri	10 Bom., 305	5687 4563
Rum Chandra Pose v. Snead	1 Bom., 64	558 7
Ram Chandra bin Madhavrav v. Abaji Rum Chandra Pose v. Snead Ramchandra Chintaman v. Kalu Raju	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 362	1106
Ram Chandra Chowdhry v. Brajanath Surma	3 B. L. R., Ap., 109	4461
v. Subal Patro	3 B. L. R., Ap., 74:11 W. R., 539.	543
Ramchandra Dabhalkar v. Anant Sat Shenvi	539.) I. L. R., 8 Bom., 25	2851
Ram Chandra Dada Naik v. Dada Mahadev Naik	1 Bom., Ap., 76	2460, 5972
v. Lakshman Dada Naik	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 561 .	1752, 2471 2534
Ram Chandra Das. In the goods of	9 B. L. R., 30: 18 W. R., 153	1237
Ramchandra Dikshit v. Savitribai	4 Bom., A. C., 73	2428, 5684 5742
Ramchandra Ganesh v. Devba	I. L. R., 6 Pom., 626 .	3221
Ramchandra Goswami v. Matilal Bagchi	2 B. L. R., A. C., 169 .	1198
Ram Chandra Jana v. Jiban Chandra Jana . Ram Chandra Jiyaji Tilya e Khatal Makamad Gori	1 B. L. R., A. C., 203 . I L. R. 10 Rom. 28	13 6 0 757
Ram Chandra Jivaji Tilve v. Khatal Mahomed Gori Ramchandra Kolatkar v. Mahadaji Kolatkar	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 28 . I. L. R., 9 Bom., 141 .	75 7 3974
Ramchandra Kulkarni v. Vithoji valad Malharji Patil	4 Bom., A C., 178	663
Ramchandra Lakshmanji. In re will of	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 118 .	1238
Ramchandra Mantri v. Venkatrao Mantri .	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 598 .	2057
Ramchandra Narsinha v. Collector of Ratnagiri .	7 Bom. A. C., 41 .	2936

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Ram Chandra Pal v. Becharam Dev	8 B. L. R., Ap., 28, note: 10 }	1410
Ramchandra Raghunath v. Abaji bin Rastya	6 Bom., A. C., 12	5746
Ramchandra Sakharam v. Keshav Durgaji	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 100	5584
Ram Chandra Tantra Das v. Dharmo Narayan Chuck-	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 346	2419, 4388
erbutty.	F. B., 17.	413
Ramchandra Vasudev v. Nanaji Timaji	7 Bom., A. C., 26	2109
Ramchandra Vishnu Bapat v. Sagunabai	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 261 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 2 : 4 C. L.)	2426
Ram Chand Seal. In the goods of	R., 290.	3086
Ram Chand Sen v. Audaito Sen	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1054	1101, 2847
Ram Chand Somardar v. Kalachand Chuckerbutty	1 W. R., 287 4 B. L. R., A. C., 216: 13 }	5064
Ram Charan v. Narbir Mahton	W. R., 259.	3723
Ram Charan Buhardar v. Reazuddin	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 856	5091
Ram Charan Bysak v. Harvey	2 B. L. R., S. N., 11: 10 W.	6221
· · ·	R., 373. 7 B. L. R., 704 : 16 W. R., F. ?	0740
v. Lakhikant Bannik {	B., 1.	3542
Ram Charan Lal v. Jhatu Sahu	12 B. L. R., 413, note: 14	438
Ramchhaibar Misr v. Bechu Bhagat	W. R., 25. I. L. R., 7 All., 641	816, 5458
75 (2) 1 (7.1 44: 77)	1 Agra, 134 {	1344, 3277
		4969
Ram Chunder v. Choonee Lall	1 Agra, 135	1344, 4969 120, 4408
v. Mohendronath Bose	21 W. R., 141	1882, 5622
v. Mohendronath Bose v. Kund Lall Bose	19 W. R , 132	417
Ramchunder Adhikaree v. Ramjeebun Adhikaree Ramchunder Banerjee v. Muddun Mohun Tewaree	12 W. R., 427 W. R., 1864, 355	992 6007, 6282
Ram Chunder Biswas. In the matter of	16 W. R., 180	4799, 4846
Ram Chunder Bysack v. Dino Nath Surma Sirkar .	5 C. L. R., 470	470
Ram Chunder Chatterjee v. Kanye Laha	25 W. R., Cr., 19	5910 5776
Ram Chunder Chowdhry v. Hurish Chunder Chowdhry.	14 W. R., 141	1586, 1587
v. Kashee Mohun	21 W. R., 57	891, 5015
v. Mariott	15 W. R., 465	1210, 1379
Ram Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Brojo Nath Mozumdar {	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 929: 4 C. L. R., 247.	76, 88
v. Gooroo Churn Chuck-	6 W. R., 305	2250
	-	5342
Ram Chunder Dey v. Kissen Mohun Shaha	W. R., 1864, 66	1676
Ram Chunder Dutt v. Chunder Coomar Mundul	13 Moore's I. A., 181	4652
v. Din Doyal Poramanick	2 W. R., Act X, 16	534
v. Jogesh Chunder Dutt	12 B. L. R., 229: 19 W. R., 353.	1595, 1C37
Ram Chunder Geer Gossain. In re	6 W. R., Cr., 40	4102
Ram Chunder Ghosaul v. Joggutmonmohiney Dabee	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 283: 3 C. L.	3234, 3235
	R., 336.	3439 971
Ram Chunder Ghuttuck. In re Ram Chunder Goopta v. Bhagessur Surma	18 W. R., Cr., 18	4905
Ram Chunder Gossain v. Mutty Lall Barchee	11 W. R., 19	1198
Ram Chunder Haldar v. Govind Chunder Sen	1 C. L. R., 542	4816 4008
Ram Chunder Lalla. In re	17 W. R., 230	4739
		1

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Chunder Misser v. Kally Prosonno Singh Ram Chunder Mitter v. Kistoo Kaminee Dossee v. Ramzanee Bebee Ram Chunder Mundle. In the matter of Ram Chunder Paul v. Omora Churn Deb	2 Hay, 625 10 W. R., 194 2 W. R., Act X, 4 9 W. R., Cr., 19 16 W. R., 155	3918 4216 5206 4533 4034 879
Ram Chunder Poddar v. Haridas Sen	18 W. R., 26 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 463 9 W. R., 372 7 W. R., 161 22 W. R., 200 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 741	2197, 5047 1896 3157, 3334 5194 1844
' Ram Chunder Sen v. Doorga Nath Roy	2 C. L. R., 152	1197, 1476 1869
Ram Chunder Shaha v. Collector of Mymensingh . v. Manick Chunder Banikya	22 W. R., 48 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 428: 9 C. L. R., 157.	5106, 5107 1132, 1205 4332
v. Manick Chunder Manikya	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 428: 9 C. L. R., 157.	4400
Ram Chunder Shaw v. Empress	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 575: 8 C.	500
Ram Chunder Singh v. Joher Jumma Khan .	L. R., '50. 14 B. L. R., Ap., 7: 23 W. R.,	2038
v. Madho Kumari	376. I. L. R., 12 Calc., 484 : L. R.,	3423, 5040
Ram Chunder Sircar v. Prangobind Boishnub Ram Chunder Surmah v. Ram Chunder Pal Ram Chunder Surmah Chowdhry v. Dagoo Khan	12 I. A., 188. 25 W. R., 71 23 W. R., 226 10 W. R., 339	2090 3819 4912
Ram Chunder Surmah Chuckerbutty v. Kalee Chunder Singh.	7 W. R., 307	5339
Ram Chung v. Gora Chand Chung Ram Churn Banerjee v. Kisto Doogar v. Muddun Mohun Tewaree v. Torita Churn Paul	24 W. R., 344	3035 1643 · 5257 4928
Ram Churn Bundopadhya v. Dropo Moyee Dossee	17 W. R., 122	3011, 4868 5327, 5335
Ram Churn Bysack v. Lucas Ram Churn Dey v. Ina Sheik v. Jannu Ram Churn Lall v. Hatee Mahtoon v. Koondun Koomaree v. Rughoobeer Singh	16 W. R., 279	3174 960 •4210 843
Ram Churn Mahtoon v. Surubjit Mahtoon .	11 W. R., 481	843 15 7
Ram Churn Pattuck v. Khoor Pandey Ram Churn Pooree v. Nuuhoo Mundul	10 W. R., 176	4476
Ram Churn Raha Bukshee v. Mungul Sircar	14 W. R., 147	2254 1452
Ram Churn Singh Khettree v. Meadhun Durjee . Ram Churn Tewaree v. Jussoda Koonwer .	8 W. R., 90 2 Agra, 134	4926 2428
Ram Churun v. Gunga Pershad	2 Agra, 134 2 N. W., 228 10 W R 262	2428 2917
	10 11. 10., 202	6105
Ram Comul Mistry v. Gopeekisto Gossamee Ramcomye Mitter. In re	1 Hay, 563	5311 2626
	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 867: 7 C. L. R., 204.	2020 2498
Ram Coomar Bhuttacharjee v. Ram Coomar Sein	7 (X7 D 100	1075
Ram Coomar Chowdhry v. Brojessuree Chowdhrain	6 W. R., Mis., 14	3512
Par Carrer Charles D. Hoom Chunder Chowdnry	W. R., 1864, Mis., 33 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 119	180 3 7 33

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Coomar Coondoo v. Chunderkanto Mookerjee	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 233 : L. R., 4 I. A., 23.	704, 1214
Ram Coomar Dey. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 626	5442
Ram Coomar Dhara v. Bhoyrub Chunder Mookerjee	6 W. R., Act X, 33	1645
Ram Coomar Doss v. Bidhoo Mookee Dossee	15 W. R., 31	498
Ram Coomar Dutt. In re	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 340	618 2 4151
Ram Coomar Ghosal v. Debee Pershad Chatterjee Ram Coomar Ghose v. Gobind Nath Sandyal .	6 W. R., Act X, 87 7 W. R., 480	5618
v.	12 W. R., 391	416, 5620
v. Kali Krishna Tagore .	L. R., 13 I. A., 116 : I. L. R., 14 Calc., 99.	1661, 3807
v. Prosunno Coomar Sannyal	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 106	12 07
·	11 B. L. R., 46: 18 W. R.,	6134
Ram Coomar Koondoo v. McQueen	166: L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., }	0194
Deer Comment of the All	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 716: 10 C.)	3217
Ram Coomar Kur v. Jakur Ali	L. R., 613.	
Ram Coomar Mitter v. Ichamoyi Dasi	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 36 : 6 C. L. (R., 429.	2199
Ram Coomar Mookerjee v. Raghub Mundul .	2 W. R., Act X, 2	1608
v. Rugoonath Mundul .	1 W. R., 356	1589, 1618
Ram Coomar Paul v. Jogender Nath Paul	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 56: 2 C. L. R., 310.	2 239
Ram Coomar Roy v. Bejoy Gobind Bural	7 W. R., 535	4164
v. Collector of Beerbhoom .	5 W. R., 80	4439 3388
Ram Coomar Sein v. Prosonno Coomar Sein Ram Coomar Sen v. Khoda Neway	W. R., 1864, 375	4845
v. Ram Comul Sen	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 388	3875, 5700
Ram Coomar Shaha v. Dwarkanath Hazra	5 W. R., 207 · · ·	3143 364
Ram Coomar Sheme v. Gunga Pershad Sein	21 W. R., 395	4477
Ram Coomar Singh v. Ramsoondur Singh	17 W. R., 362	5588
Ram Coomar Sircar v. Kalee Coomar Dutt	10 W. R., 279	1464, 5778 2291
Ramdan v. Beharee Lall	1 N. W., 114: Ed. 1873, 200. I. L. R., 9 Calc., 616: 12 C. \	3215
Ramdas v: Birjnundun Das alias Laloo Baboo .	L. R., 284.	
Ram Das Brij Govandas. In re the petition of .	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 107	$\begin{array}{c} 670 \\ 2779 \end{array}$
Ram Das Maghi. In the matter of	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 110 7 B. L. R., Ap., 4	5756
Ramdas Sakharlal v. Gangadhar Raghunath Dongre	2 Bom., 186: 2nd Ed., 178	4904
Ramdass Baboo v. Watson	W. R., 1864, 371	3191 44 03
Ramdas Sen v. Collector of Moorshedabad	2 B. L. R., S. N., 6	2701, 2712
Ram Dass Gossamee v. Prosunuomoye Dossee Ramdass Sadhoo v. Anund Chunder Roy	19 W. R., Cr., 27	396
Ram Dass Singh v. Ram Narain	2 Agra, Rev., 9 · · ·	2974 1993
Ramdass Surmah v. Sonatun Goohoo	W. R., 1864, 275	6185
Ram Dayal v. Mahtab Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 452 · ·	1905
Ram Dayal Singh v. Latchmi Narayan	6 B. L. R., Ap, 25: 14 W. R., }	1615
	388. 17 W. R., 420	1171
Ramdebul Lall v. Mitterjeet Singh	1 N. W., 208: Ed. 1873, 291.	5265
Ramdee Koonwaree v. Shib Dyal Singh	7 W. R., 334 · · ·	1816 540
Ram Dehul Pandey v. Kashee Rawut	14 W. R., 232 8 W. R., 339	1816
Ram Deye Kower v. Bishen Dyal Singh	0 11. 16., 000	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of
Ram Dhan Biswas v. Kefal Biswas	1 B. L. R., S. N., 10: 10 W. }	5663
Ramdhan Chuckerbutty v. Jainarayan Panja . {		5130
v. Komaltara	3 B. L. R., A. C., 99, note: 11 } W. R., 301.	4477
Ramdhani Sahai v. Rajrani Kooer	1. L. R., 7 Calc., 337: 9 C. L. R., 23.	54 08
Ramdhan Khan v. Haradhan Paramaniek	9 B. L. R., 107, note: 12 W. } R., 404.	1591, 5176 5199
Ramdhan Mandal v. Rajballab Paramanik	6 B. L. R., Ap., 10	6231
v. Rameswar Bhattacharjee .	2 B. L. R., A. C., 235 : 11 W. R., 117.	3456
Ramdhan Mitter v. Kailas Nath Dutt	4 B. L. R., A. C., 20: 12 W. R., 457.	44 0
Ramdhan Rakhit v. Panchanan Chuckerbutty .	1 B. L. R., S. N., 9: 10 W. R.,	827
Ramdhone Acharjee. In the matter of the petition of .	25 W. R., Cr., 17	715
Ramdhone Bhuttacharjee v. Ishanee Dabee	2 W. R., 123	4154
Ram Dhone Shaw v. Nobumony Dossee	Bourke, O. C., 218 7 W. R., 78	2828
- 11 III A: 1	3 Agra, 139	3833 3128
Ramdhun v. Bhowanee Singh	11 W. R., 311	5766
Ram Dhun Bhuggut v. Guneshee Mahtoon	16 W. R., 96	3386
Ram Dhun Dey v. Ram Monee Dey	21 W. R., 280	157
Ramdhun Dhur v. Mohesh Chunder Chowdhry	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 406: 11 C.) L. R., 565.	3952, 5408
Ram Dhun Doss v. Ram Rutton Dutt	10 W. R., 425	80, 3114
Ramdhun Ghose v. Anund Chunder Ghose .	2 Hyde, 93	2473
v. Eshan Chunder Ghose .	17 W. R., 34	1847
Ramdhun Goor v. Gooroodossee Dossee	13 W. R., 40	3496
Ramdhun Mundle. In the matter of .	18 W. R., Cr., 39	475 0
Ramdhun Roy v. Abdool Gunee	9 W. R., 390	3498
Ramdhun Satra v. Nobin Chunder Chowdry	12 W. R., 250	4174
	(902, 2346
Ramdhyan Koonwar v. Phoolbas Kooer .	14 W. R., 340 }	2445, 2515
•	(3160, 425 4 426 1
Ram Din v. Kalka Prasad	I. L. R., 7 All., 502: L. R., }	3381
Ram Dial v. Gulab Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 26	2870
v. Mahtab Singh v. Ram Das	I. L. R., 3 All., 701	5274
v. Kam Das	I. L. R., 1 All., 181	220, 3099
Por Dedd San What San	1 Agra, 15	4471
Ram Doolal Sen v. Radha Nath Sen	23 W. R., 167	1822
Ramdoolal Singh v. Gopal Kristo Singh .	16 W. R., 156	1247
Ramdoolary Kooer v. Thacoor Roy	R., 547.	47 95
Ram Doollub Sandyal v. Ram Naran Moitro	7 W. R., 455	3396
Ram Doss v. Lallah Nundocoomar	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 189	1881
v. Mohesur Deb Misree	7 W. R., 446	2255
Ram Doss Bhuttacharjee v. Ramjeebun Poddar .	6 W. R., Act X, 103	2927
Ramdoss Byragee v. Gunga Doss	3 Agra, 295	2332
Ramdoss Koondoo v. Nilkanto Dhur	8 W. R., 6	3556, 5777
Ram Doss Lushkur v. Hureehur Mookerjee	23 W. R., 86	5172
Raindoss Nushkur v. Rash Monee Dossee	25 W. R., 189	5075
Ram Doss Sen v. Stephenson	10 W. R., 366	4403

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ramdoyal Khan v. Ajoodhia Ram Khan	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 1:25 W. R., }	2739, 3196 4404
Ramdular Misser v. Jhumack Lal Misser	8 B. L. R., 455: 17 W. R., 3	3710 , 3724
Ramdut Singh v. Mahender Prasad	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 452:12 C. L. R., 47.	2177
Ram Dutt Misr. In the matter of the petition of	1 Agra, Cr., 29	4500
Ram Dutt Singh v. Horakh Narain Singh	I. L. R., 6 Cale., 549: 8 C. L. R., 209.	1161, 3383
Ram Dyal v. Chinta Monee	W. R., 1864, Cr., 28	4504
v. Dhoobey Jhaunnan Lal	3 N. W., 14	5830 533
v. Mushtak Ahmad	3 Agra, 274 6 N. W., 326	566
v. Ramdhun Dass	3 Agra, 43	5614
Ram Dyal Bajpie v. Heera Lall Paray	3 C. L. R., 386	1825
Ramdyal Banerjee v. Court of Wards	12 W. R., 269	4932
Ramdyal Deb v. Magnee	1 W. R., 227	2309
Ram Dyal Dutt v. Ram Doolal Deb	11 W. R., 273	333, 4033 4046, 4399
Ramdyal Gangooly v. Huro Soonduree Dossia	10 W. R., 272	5748
Ram Dyal Gooye v. Amrit Lall Khamaroo	9 W. R., 555	84, 92
Ram Dyal Gossain v. Kattyanec Debia	8 W. R., 256	3397
Ramdyal Koondoo v. Gooroo Dass Sen	18 W. R., 450	3341
Ramdyal Singh. In the matter of	5 B. L. R., Ap., 89	3604, 4854 2075
Ramendra Bhuttacharjee v. Collector of Rajshahye Ramen Nayar v. Kandapuni Nayar	14 W. R., 113	3763
Ramen Nayar v. Kandapuni Nayar	I. L. R., 5 All., 341	3286
Rameshar Pershad Narayan Sing. In the matter of	9 B. L. R., Ap., 34: 18 W. \	389
the petition of. Rameshar Siugh v. Bisheshar Singh	R., Cr., 1. 5 I. L. R., 7 All., 734	14 , 34 55
v. Kanhia Sahu	I. L. R., 3 All., 653	3987
Rameshur Kulwar v. Beharee Seth	3 N. W., 208: Agra, F. B., (5266, 5680
	Ed. 1874, 254.	
Rameshur Panda v. Soujharee Coomar	4 W. R., 32	$\frac{3929}{4318}$
Rameshur Rai v. Subhoo Rai	1 N. W., 81 : Ed. 1873, 134 . I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1033 .	3343
Ramessur Audhikaree v. Watson & Co.	7 W. R., 2	2928
Ramessur Bhuttacharjee v. Bhanoo	12 W. R., 272	2773
v. Shib Narain Chuckerbutty	14 W. R., 419	397, 6239
Ramessur Dutt v. Lootfunnissa	6 W. R., Mis., 130	164 307
Ramessur Dyal Sing v. Rajkishore Singh	13 W. R., 325	5064, 5 73 3
Ramessur Ghose v. Azeem Joardar Ramessuri Dassee. In the matter of the petition of	17 W. R., 373 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 103 : 7 C. L. 3 R., 85.	1878, 5459
v. Doorgadas Chatterjee	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 103	1878, 5459
	L. R., 85,	166
Ramessur Mahatah v. Kullyanessuree Debia Ramessur Misser v. Brojo Bhookun Misser .	9 W. R., 283 . 25 W. R., 271 .	4577
Ramessur Mookerjee v. Ishan Chunder Mookerjee	10 W. R., 457	1388
Ramessur Nath Singh v. Golamee Sahoo	24 W. R., 309 .	416
v. Huro Lal Singh	6 W. R., 87	55 91 .
Ramessur Persad Narain Sing v. Koonj Behari Pattuk {	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 633: L. R., 6 I. A., 33.	4575
Ramessur Pershad Narain Singh v. Doolee Chand	19 W. R., 422	3960
Rameswar Nath Sing v. Haralal Sing	1 B. L. R., A. C., 170	5103
Rameswar Nath Singh v. Mewar Jugjeet Singh Rameswar Sing v. Ramtanu Ghose	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 341 4 B. L. R., A. C., 24	4004. 440
AMERICAN COLOR OF TECHNICALIC CHICSO	2 21 21 20 AN VI) 02	220

		,
Nume of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ramey v. Broughton Ramful Shaw v. Biswanath Mandal	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 652 5 P. L. R., Ap., 28	3177 6230
Ramgati v. Imitari Banu	1 B. L. R., S. N., 20: 10 W. R., 280.	6241
Ram Gholam v. Sheo Tahal Ram Gholam Sahoo v. Jankee Pershad Sahoo Ram Gholam Singh. In the matter of the petition of . Ram Ghose v. Greedhur Ghose . v. Radha Churn Gangooly Ram Ghulam v. Chotey Lal . v. Dwarka Rai . v. Hazaru Koer . v. Janki Rai .	I. L. R., 1 All., 266 25 W. R., 31 6 W. R., Cr., 59 14 W. R., 429 15 W. R., 416 I. L. R., 2 All., 46 I. L. R., 7 All., 170 I. L. R., 7 All., 124	186 673 3862 3158 3006 4851 3831 833 1037
Ramgobind Jha v. Mungarram Chowdhry	13 C. L. R., 83	5068 242, 2031
patter. Ram Gobind Koond v Hossein Ali Ram Gobind Roy v. Dushoobhoojah Debee v. Kushuffudoza v. Ram Gobind Singh v. Gheenoo Singh v. Magistrate of Ghazeepore Ram Golam Dobey v. Ayma Begum Ram Golam Singh v. Heet Narain Sahoo v. Nursing Sahoy Ram Gopal v. Khiali Ram Ramgopal Barick v. Shibpershad Sircar Ramgopal Chuckerbutty v. Chundernath Sein v. Poorno Chunder Banerjee Ram Gopal Ghose v. Bullodeb Bose Ramgopal Law v. Blaquiere Ram Gopal Mookerjee v. Masseyk Ram Gopal Roy v. Chunder Coomar Mundul v. Gordon, Stuart, & Co. v. Nundo Gopal Roy v. Oma Soondry Dassee Ram Gopal Sein v. Allum Mullick	9 C L. R., 281 7 W. R., 90 18 W. R., 195 14 W. R., 1 15 W. R., 141 20 W. R., 406 4 N. W., 146 12 W. R., 177 2 C. L. R., 292 25 W. R., 43 1. L. R., 6 All, 448 12 W. R., 483 10 W. R., 289 12 W. R., 475 W. R., 1864, 385 1 B. L. R., 0. C., 35 2 W. R., C., 43: 8 Moore's J. A., 239, 2 W. R., 65 14 Moore's I. A., 453: 17 W. R., 285 4 W. R., 42 2 Hay, 41 7 W. R., 405	242, 2031 2355 2978 5350, 5367 5350, 5367 3878 1388, 1985 2842 4947 6103 3705 828 6102 5596 4953 4156 2819, 3813 2069 3122 641, 1755 1782, 4652 3255 67 3075
Ramgopal Shah v. Ramgopal Shah Ramgopal Chatterjee v. Bhobunmohun Banerjee Ram Gopaul Singh v. Teluckdharee Sahie Ramgopaul Tewaree v. Gorachund Poryal Ram Gunga Doss v. Gobind Chunder Doss Ramgut Singh v. Ram Sarun Singh Ram Guttee v. Ibrahim Ismailjee Seedat Ramguttee Acharjee v. Kristo Soonduree Debia Ramguttee Doss v. Gholam Ahmed Khondkar Ram Guttee Mundul v. Thakoor Doss Mundul Ram Gutty v. Goonomonee Dabee Ramgutty Doss v. Nundo Coomar Doss Ramgutty Gangooly v. Kuralee Pershad Gangooly Ramgutty Gungooly v. Kuralee Pershad Gangooly Ramgutty Kurmokar v. Boistub Churn Mozoomdar Ramgutty Nag Chowdhry v. Buroda Churn Bose Ramgutty Surma v. Kasi Chunder Surma Rambari Sarma v. Trihiram Sarma	9 W. R., 136 Cor., 126 W. R., F. B., 97 15 W. R., 28 16 W. R., 284 3 N. W., 141 7 W. R., 353 20 W. R., 472 W. R., F. B., 84 22 W. R., 418 11 W. R., 177 2 W. R., 11 17 W. R., 167 1 W. R., 167 1 W. R., 167 1 W. R., 1864, 317 7 B. L. R., 337 : 15 W. R., 412	5692 1197 2228 1430 5279 2915 1816 2566 5131 371 307, 4429 2329 5678 2192 67 3710 2299

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ramhit Rai v. Satgur Rai	I. L. R., 3 All., 247	3208, 3216
Ramhurry Mondul v. Mothoor Mohun Mondul .	20 W. R., 450	4867, 4879
Ramia v. Bhagi Ramiengar alias Ramanuja Chariyar v. Gnanasam- banda Pandarasannada.	1 Bom., 66	5140 2315, 2526
	T T D 9 W 1 40	1296, 1301
Rami Reddi. In the matter of the petition of .	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 48 .	1837, 5527
Ramireddy Narsareddy v. Mumareddy Papireddy Ramjadoo Gangooly v. Luckhee Narain Mundul Ram Jadub Chatterjee v. Rash Monee Dossee Ramjadub Sircar v. Ameeroonissa Bibee	5 Mad., 404 8 W. R., 488 8 W. R., 321 13 W. R., 27	171 1616, 1753 5734 890
Ramjai Mazumdar. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 67: 14 W. R., Cr., 65.	3623
Ramjan Beg v. Cook	6 B. L. R., Ap., 91: 14 W. R., 428.	5702
Ramjan Khan v. Raman Chamar	11 C. L. R., 480	739
Ramjas v. Baij Nath	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 89 I. L. R., 2 All., 567	1731, 5726 198
Ramjay Dey v. Srinath Singh . ,	2 B. L. R., A. C., 170: 11 W.	333 0
Ram Jeawan v. Ram Lall	R., 24. 2 Agra, 190	448
Ramjeeawan Rai v. Deep Narain Rai	Agra, F. B., 78: Ed. 1874, 60	33, 17 34
Ramjeebun Bose v. Tripoora Dossee	Marsh., 396: 2 Hay, 449:	515, 2691
Ramjeebun Chowdhrg v. Peary Lall Mundul .	W. R., F. B., 93. 4 W. R., Act X, 30	5301
Ram Jeebun Chuckerbutty v. Khooderam Chatterjee	17 W. R., 338	2931 42 08
Ramjeebun Doobey v. Luchmonee Dabea	7 W. R., 458 W. R., 1864, Cr., 5	4494 , 5885
Ram Jeebun Doss v. Doyalee Dossee	11 W. R., 246	5117
Ramjeebun Koyee v. Shahazadee Begum Ramjeebun Mookerjee v. Wooma Churn Hajrah	9 W. R., 336 7 W. R., 117	5701, 5936 1362
Ramjee Lall v. Anderson	7 W. R., 141	1785
Ramjeewan Lall v. Arjun Chowbey	10 W. R., 303	4913
Ram Jewun Lall v. Sham Lall Misser Ram Jewun Singh v. Collector of Shahabad	20 W. R., 123	5439 65, 28 77
v. Collector and Magistrate of Shaha-	15 W. R., 132	1985
bad.		2884, 6232
-v. Radha Pershad Singh Ramji v. Dharma	16 W. R., 109	1108, 3210
v. Ghaman	I. L. R, 6 Bom., 498	2123
Ramji bin Tukaram v. Chinto Sakharam	1 Bom., 199	3442, 3974 4022
Ramji Madauji v. Rangayya Chetti	1 Mad., 168	1367, 4676
Ramji Manar v. Little	10 Bom., 57	3795
Ramjisdas v. Bhagwan Bax	L. R., 5 I. A., 197	4228 2852
Ramjiwan Mal v. Chand Mal	1. L. R., 7 All., 227 1 Bom., 189	2462
Ramjoy Ghose v. Ram Runjun Chuckerbutty .	8 C. L. R., 367	4305
Ramjoy Goopto v. Jugodessuree	22 W. R., 399	5142
Ramjoy Kurmokar. In the matter of the petition of	25 W. R., Cr., 10	5150, 588 2 5661
Ramjoy Mojoomdar v. Kedar Narain Roy . Ramjoy Mundul v. Kallymohun Roy Chowdhry .	25 W. R., 75	4588
v. Ram Sunder Mundul	2 C. L. R, 4	535
Ramjoy Muzoomdar v. Russell	W. R., 1864, 370	1379
Ram Joy Sein v. Nundomoyee Dabea Ramjoy Singh v. Nagur Gazee	10 W. R., 374	4904 1183
	U . 1 1 201, 1200 a2, 00 .	2200

The second secon	 ,		, ·
Name of Case,		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ramjoy Surmah v. Joynath Surmah	~	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 395: 12 C. L. R., 314. 18 W. R., Cr., 10	5672 461
v. Prankishen Singh	- 1	2 W. R., 80	296, 1783
- -			2228
Ramjoy Surmah Mojoomdar v. Puran Kishen Singh Ramjun v. Lachee	•	W. R., F. B., 124	299
•		2 N. W., 382: Agra, F. B., ?	1
Ram Jus Rae v. Ram Narain	ાં	Ed. 1874, 226.	0242
Ramjuthun Singh v. Mehdee		3 N. W., 282: Agra, F. B.,	1658, 3003
•	(Ed. 1874, 258.	
Ram Kanai Roy Chowdry v. Trilochan Chuckerbutty Ram Kanaye Chuckerbutty v. Prossuno Coomar Sein	•	1 B. L. R., S. N., 13	3397 312
Ram Kanaye Doss v. Kishen Chunder Roy		Marsh., 22: 1 Hay, 55.	3113
Ram Kanaye Ghose v. Gooroo Prosunno Roy .		16 W. R., 30	3827
Ram Kanaye Shaha v. Bykuntuath Shaha	• 1	15 W. R., 89	5693
Ram Kannye Gossamee v. Meernomoyee Dossee .	•	2 W. R., 49	5212
Ram Kant Chowdhry v. Bindobun Chunder Gope	•	2 W. R., Act X, 71	3010 4495
v. Brindabun Chunder Doss . v. Brojo Mohun Mozoomdar		16 W. R., 246 6 W. R., Act X, 20	3910, 443 7 556
Ram Kant Chuckerbutty v. Chunder Narain Dutt		2 C. L. R., 474	2198
v. Mohesh Chunder Singh		7 W. R., 172	1640
	1	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 25: W R., F. B., 183.	2930, 4745
v. Kalee Mohun Mookerjee		22 W. R., 84	3255
Ram Kanth Roy Chowdhry v.	•	22 W. R., 310	4018, 5777
Ram Kant Nandi v. Shib Nanda Rai	•	2 C. L. R., 166	4440
Downland Down Deibiehous Dab		6 W. R., 47	5780 3918
Ramkant Sircar v Jadub Chunder Dass		21 W. R., Cr., 44.	967
Ram Kanye Dutt v. Haroo Chunder Mojoomdar .	.	17 W. R., 229	6223
		7 W. R., 37	2945
Ram Khelawan Rai v. Shiva Dass		2 Agra, 76	3706
	.	21 W. R., 101	1406, 6032
Ram Khilowan Ram v. Fox	.	7 W. R., 152 7 N. W., 239	2994 5304
Ram Kinkur Sein. In the matter of		13 W. R., 67	4445
		I. L. R., 6 All., 269 : L. R., 11)	
Ram Kirpal v. Rup Kuari	{	L. R., I. A., 37.	1694
Ram Kirpal Shukul v. Rup Kuar		I. L. R., 3 All., 633	274
Ram Kishan v. Bhawani Das Ram Kishen v. Doolee Chand	.	I. L. R., 1 All., 333	3294
v. Sedhu	.	22 W. R., 547 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3546 789
Ramkishen Doss v. Hurkhoo Singh	:	7 W. R., 329 · · ·	5977
Ramkishen Sircar v. Deler Ali		W. R., 1864, Act X, 36 .	1605
Ramkishen Singh v. Cheet Banoo	٠	W. R., 1864, 102	2503
Ram Kishore Acharjee Chowdhry v. Krishno Monee	3	23 W. R., 106	.3014
Debia.	"	20 1, 100	,0011
Chowdhrain. v. Lukhee Debee		W. R., 1864, 290	3156
Ramkishore Bhuttacharjee v. Biseshur Bhuttacharjee .	1	Marsh., 231	5267
Ramkishore Chuckerbutty v. Kallykanto Chuckerbutty	{	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 479 : 8 C. L. R., 1.	1458, 2521
Ramkishore Gangopadhya v. Bandikaratan Tewari	3		2/100
Chowdhry.	۱,	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 203	3422
Ram Kishore Lall v. Nand Ram Ramkishore Mundle v. Moorad Mundle		4 C. L R., 100	1820
	1	W. R., 1864, Act X, 67	6224
	- 1		

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Kishore Mundul v. Chand Mundul Ram Kishore Narain Singh v. Anund Misser	5 W. R., Act X, 84	1614 51
Ram Kishore Sein. In the matter of the petition of	10 B. L. R., Ap., 14: 19 W. R., Cr., 12.	20
Ram Kishore Singh v. Ramsurbo Chatterjee Ramkissen Doss v. Luckeynarain Ram Kissen Singh v. Kundun Lall	11 W. R., 454	4132 3466 4013 2446
Ramkisore Acharjee Chowdhry v. Arip Khan Ramkisto Biswas. In the matter of Ramkisto Paul Chowdhry v. Hurry Dass Koondoo Ramkisto Shaha v. Nemy Churn Cholhai	21 W. R., Cr., 6	4731 1256 1725, 3331 4980
Ram Koomar Kyburto Dass v. Sonatun Dass Pora manick. Ram Koomar Singh v. Kishari	3 C. L. R., 23 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 68: 11 C.)	5206, 5790 4764
Ramkrishna. In re Ramkrishnabhat v. Vithaba bin Malharji	L. R., 318. J. L. R., 9 Bom., 47 .	5849 2498
Ramkrishna Das Surrowji v. Surfunnissa Begum	3 Bom., A. C., 23 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 129 : L. B., }	323, 449
Ramkrishna Gopal v. Vithu Shivaji	7 I. A., 157. 10 Bom., 441 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 478, note 5 Bom., A. C., 35	4189 5788, 5818 2968 633, 3309
Ramkrishna Roy v. Madan Gopal Roy	6 B. L. R., Ap., 103: 12 W.)	3334
Rama Kristna v. Ranga	R., 194.) I. L. R., 7 Mad., 424	2848
Ram Kristo Dass v. Harain	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 517: 12 C.	2977
Ram Kristo Deb v. Rajchunder Surmah Ram Kristo Manjhee v. Ameeroonissa Bibee Ramkristo Roy v. Naik Tara Dass Ram Kristo Sircar v. Kaloo Ramkullee Koer v. Court of Wards Ramkumar. In the matter of	L. R., 141. 11 W. R., 246 7 W. R., 314 12 C. L. R., 449 18 W. R., 284 18 W. R., 474 10 C. L. R, 521	4520 3963 5952 2845 1491 1151, 4447
Ramkumar Mandal v. Brajahari Mridha	2 B. L. R., A. C., 75: 10 W. R., 410.	4788
Ramkuvarbai v. Damodhar Narbheram Ram Lakhan Rai v. Bakhtaur Rai ———— v. Bandan Rai . Ram Lakhi v. Durga Charan Sen . Ramlal v. Harrison . —— v. Lancaster . —— v. Mahes Baboo . —— v. Radhey Lal .	6 Bom., A. C., 146 I. L. R., 6 All., 623 I. L. R., 2 All., 711 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 680 I. L. R., 2 All., 832 3 N. W., 114 5 B. L. R., 677, note I. L. R., 7 All., 330	5133, 5792 851, 1704 6132 3391 1814. 3144 5613 2854 1936 5089, 5237
v. Tula Ram	I. L. R., 4 All., 97	5258
Ram Lal Chowdhry v. Surdarce Jah Ram Lall. In the matter of the petition of v. Chummon Ghuttuck v. Dalganjan v. Koondun Lall v. Rung Lall Ram Lall Agarwallah v. Moonia Bibee Ram Lall Chuckerbutty v. Tara Soondari Burmonya Ram Lall Ghose v. Lalla Pecumlall Doss Ram Lall Jha v. Issur Chunder Dey Ram Lall Kurfurma v. Ram Tarun Koondoo	W. R., 1864, Mis., 21 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 875 24 W. R., 271 I. L. R., 5 All., 369 3 Agra, 97 17 W. R., 47 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 79 8 W. R., 280 Marsh., 403: 2 Hay, 526 10 W. R., 451 16 W. R., 254	758 4748 1584, 4925 5253 5614 5127 460 1745 1605 297 4245
Ram Lall Misser v. Chundrabullee Dabee	13 W. R., 228	3005

Name of Case,	Volume and 1	Column of Digest.
Ram Lall Moitra v. Bama Sundari Dabia Ram Lall Mookerjee v. Chittro Coomaree v. Jodu Nath Chatterjee v. Komola Kaminee Debee Ram Lall Shaw v. Joggendro Narain Roy Ram Lall Singh v. Janki Mahatoon v. Lill Dhary Muhton	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 307 15 W. R., 277 9 C. L. R., 337 24 W. R., 92 18 W. R., 328 4 C. L. R., 14 1. L. R., 3 Calc., 776	1894 2826 5303 5172 2986 5936 1570
v. Lill Dhary Muhton v. Thakoor Dyal Ramlal Mookerjee v. Haran Chandra Dhar	9 W. R., 576 3 B. L. R., O. C., 130 12 W. R., O. C., 9.	4849 401, 2214 2498, 4072
v. Secretary of State for India v. Tara Soonduree Debia Ramlal Sett v. Kanai Lal Sett Ram Lal Sircar v. Gopal Doss	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 304: 10 C. L. R., 349: L. R., 8 I. A., 46.) W. R., 1864, 3 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 663 7 W. R., 154	4682 2569 248, 2424 2272, 2560 2577
Ram Lal Tewari v. Supharam	1 B. L. R., S. N., 26: 10 R., Cr., 34.	462
Ramlal Thakursidas v. Lakmichand Muniram Ram Lapit Ram v. Chooaram Ramlinga Khanapuri v. Virupakshi Khanapuri . Ram Lochan v. Munsoor Ali Chowdhry	1 Bom., Ap., 51	2376, 4263 4325, 5805 1867, 1905 2472 3823
Ramlochan Das v. Mansur Ali	1 B. L. R., A. C., 65: 10 W R., 96.	1467
Ram Lochan Sircar v. Kamini Debi Ram Lochun Bubra v. Madhub Chunder Bubra . Ram Lochun Chowdhry v. Joy Doorga Dossia . Ram Lochun Chuckerbutty v. Ram Soonder Chucker-	5 B L. R., 460, note 3 W. R., 118 11 W. R., 283	1667 5798 4175 6006
butty. Ram Lochun Dutt v. Petumber Paul Ramlochun Lall v. Goorpershad Ram Lochun Pattuck v. Rughoobur Dyal Ram Lochun Patuk v. Kunhya Lal Ram Lochun Paul v. Brojo Mohinee Ram Lochun Shaha v. Uuno Poorna Dossee Ram Lochun Sircar v. Denonath Paul	20 W. R., 104	1187, 1635 4874 2456 4016 4149 1813, 5386 4206, 5104
v. Prithee Ram Chowdhry v. Ram Narain Ramlochun Soor v. Nittya Kallee Debia Ram Loll Mookerjee v. Debender Nath Chatterjee	10 W. R., 430 2 W. R., Mis., 37 1 C. L. R., 296 12 W. R., 211 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 8	4715 4526 6134, 6137 335, 5583 5303
Ramloll Thackoorseydass v. Soorjunmull Dhoondmull Ram Manick Roy v. Asgur Ram Manick Shah v. Brindabun Chunder Potdar Ram Manickya Dey v. Juggunnath Gope Ram Mohan Das v. Lakhi Narayan Roy Ram Mohinee Debia v. Jabed Sircar Ram Mohinee Dossee v. Pran Koomaree Ram Mohun Doss v. Jhupproo Doss	4 Moore's I. A., 339 11 W. R., 112	1067, 1579 4562 1256 3822 2888 882 1708 4194, 4480
Ram Monee v. Aleemoodeen	W. R., F. B., 54:1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 63:1 Hay, 379. 20 W. R., 374 4 W. R., Act X, 46	4406, 6246 4192 1635
Rammoney Dossee v. Gobind Chunder Lahoory . Ram Money Dossia v. Pearee Mohun Mozoomdar	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 27: 24 W. R., 234. 6 W. R., 325	2295 5674, 5737

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Monohur Singh v. Kooldeep Narain Singh Ram Mundur v. Jankipershad	11 W. R., 514 12 C. L. R., 139 1 W. R., 71 1. L. R., 7 Mad., 341	2478 1424 5176 3376
Ram Naffer Khara v. Degumber Chatterjee Ram Nandan Rai v. Lal Dhar Rai Ram Narain v. Heera Lall v. Huree Dass	W. R., 1864, 259	6108 1480 2852
Ramnarain Bhuttacharjee v. Mohesh Chunder Roy Ramnarain Chuckerbutty v. Poolin Benari Lall Singh Ram Narain Doss v. Mohesh Chunder Banerjee	2 Hay, 79 2 C. L. R., 5 19 W. R., 202	3204 241 2945 1767
Ram Narain Doss Biswas v. Sreemunth Poddar Ramnarain Kallia v. Gopal Doss Singh	12 W. R., 161 9 W. R., 498 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 613	3012 4757 1835
v. Monee Bibee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 735 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 613 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 735	4530 1835 4530
Ram Narain Koer v. Mahabir Pershad Singh Ram Narain Lal v. Bhawani Prasad	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 208 I. L. R., 3 All., 443 19 W. R., 108 23 W. R., 399	97, 4687 2162 1623 1451
Ram Naiain Mitter v. Nobin Chunder Moordafarash Ramnarain Mookerjee v. Mahtab Chunder . Ramnarain Poddar v. Levy Ramnarain Poramanick v. Dossee	18 W. R., 208 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 48 2 Hyde, 183	570, 5078 3816, 5270 426
Ramnarain Poramanick v. Dossee Ram Narain Rai v. Ram Coomar Chunder Poddar Ram Narain Roy v. Nil Monee Adhikaree	1 W. R., 280 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 562 23 W. R., 169	2081 1738 2741
Ram Narain Singh v. Goberdhun Lall Chowdhry v. Horonath Roy v. Huronath Roy v. Mahtab Bibi	24 W. R., 144 21 W. R., 2 W. R., 1864, Act X, 86 W. R., 1864, Act X, 92	4229 159 1609 5193
v. Peary Bhugut .	I. L. R., 2 All., 828 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 830: 13 (L. R., 109.	5412 C. 2260
v. Pertum Singh . v. Ramoon Paurey v. Ram Runjun Chuckerbutty Ram Narain Singh Deo v. Bistoo Thakoor .	11 B. L. R., 397: 20 W. R., 23 W. R., 76 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 245 15 W. R., 299	189 2155 2242 5724 4207
Ram Narayan Banerjee v. Jayakrishna Mookerjee Ram Narayan Mookerjee v. Sarada Debi Ram Nath v. Gowhur	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 70 6 B. L. R., Ap., 39 2 N. W., 230	8 5689 1488, 5122
Ramnath Chowdhry. In repetition of	6 W. R., Mis., 17 . 3 W. R., Mis., 30	6015 281 3836
Ramnath Ghose v. Amrit Moyee Dossee Ramnath Ram v. Deen Dyal Ram Ram Nath Roy v. Saleem Ahmed Khan Ram Nath Roy Chowdhry v. Arnee Kally Debia	5 W. R., 168 W. R., 1864, 311 3 N. W., 188 W. R., 1864, 177 3 W. R., Act X. 140	4216 3919 - 4130 2422
v. Bhagbut Mohapattur v. Hurri Chunder Roy \	5 W. R., 50	4973 3286
Ramnath Sen Lushkur v. Rash Mohun Mookerjee v. Wise Ramnath Singh v. Gondee Singh	24 W. R., 214 25 W. R., 378 10 W. R., 441 8 W. R., 188	1057 6118 1161, 2989 2929
Ramnath Tolapattro v. Durga Sundari Debi	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 550	2341

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of
Ram Needhee Koondoo v. Rughoo Nath Narain Mullo	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 456 : 25 W. R., 516.	1409
Ram Needhy Boyde v. Neamut Ram Newaz Siugh v. Kishun Rai	Marsh., 355: 2 Hay, 437 6 N. W., 137	5075 4467, 5267
Ram Nidhee Koondoo v. Ajoodhya Ram Khan	20 W. R., 123	1213, 2852 3873
v. Goluck Chunder Moshanto Ramnidhee Manjie v. Parbutty Dassee	11 W. R., 280 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 823: 6 C. L.	2753, 4136 1623, 3016
Ramnidhy Koondoo v. Ojoodhyaram Khan.	R., 362. 11 B. J. R., Ap., 37:20 W.	1213, 2852
Ram Nirunjun Singh v. Prayag Singh	R., 123. I. L. R., 8 Calc., 138: 10 C. L. R., 66.	3873 990, 1101
Ram Nuffer Bhattacharjea v. Dhol Gobind Thakoor Ram Nursingh Chuckerbutty v. Dwarkanath Gangooly Ram Nursing Mitter v. Tripoora Soonduree Dassia Ram Onoogroho Singh v. Montorun	1 C. L. R., 421	334 3023 1733 5375
Ram Oomrao Singh v. Sutun Lall	1 N. W., Pt. VI, p. 77: Ed., 1873, 168.	1488
Ram Parshad Hazaree v. Soomathra Dabea Ram Paul Singh. In the matter of Rampersad v. Juggernauth	5 W. R., Mis., 24 8 C. L. R., 14 6 C. L. R., 1	$264 \\ 2657 \\ 354$
Rampersaud v. Callachund Doss	1 Ind. Jur., N.S., 325; and on appeal, Id., 373.	2627
Rampersaud Roy v. Callachand Doss . Ram Pershad v. Buljeet Singh . v. Chaineram v. Kishna	Bourke, O. C., 423 2 Agra, 252	431, 5896 4557 2445
v. Kishna	3 Agra, 146	4021, 4903 1636
v. mewa nooer	2 Agra, 249 2 N. W., 12	4814
v. Nazeer Hossein	1 Agra, Rev., 63	$352,3882 \\ 1719$
Rampershad Chowdhry v. Jokhoo Roy	1 Agra, 71	1416
Rampershad Doss v. Sreehuree Doss	18 W. R., 399	2240
Ram Pershad Dutt v. Krishto Mohun Shaw	18 W. R., 297	2740
Ram Pershad Misser v. Phoolputtee	7 W. R., 98	4235
Rampershad Narain Tewaree v. Court of Wards Rampershad Ojha v. Bhurosa Koonwar	21 W. R., 152	2453 249 4997
Ram Pershad Sahoo v. Rumzanee	2 Agra, 37	242, 6227 4518
Rampershad Singh v. Najbunnissa Kooer	9 W. R., 501	4156
v. Neerbhoy Singh .	11 B. L. R., 76: 19 W. R.,	1143
Rampershad Sookul v. Rajunder Sahoy .	9 W. R., 262	5792
Rampershad Tewaryv. Sheo Churn Doss .	10 Moore's I. A., 490 .	31, 2314 2351
Rampershad Vogut v. Ramtohul Singh	Marsh., 655	· 529, 3080
Ram Pertab Singh v. Bholabutty Koonwar.	9 W. R., 586	2816, 5216
Rumphal Lal v. Jafir Ali	7 N. W., 199 I. L. R., 5 All., 53 .	3330 1868
v. Tula Kuari	I. L. R., 6 All., 116	2196
Ramphul v. Durga	I. L. R., 7 All., 815 .	4747
Ramphul Ahir v. Bhugwan Dutt Paurey .	12 W. R., 326	1455
Ramphul Lall v. Chundee Pershad	1 N. W., 204 : Ed. 1873, 287	4829, 4852
Ramphul Pandey v. Wahed Ali Khan	14 W. R., 66	4016, 6232 1359, 2943
Ramphul Sahoo v. Misree Lall	24 W. R., 97	3276

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Ramphul Singh c. Deg Narain Singh {	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 517: 10 C.	2176, 2343
7) ' ' 75) ' '	L. R., 489. I. L. R., 7 All., 114	2317
Daws Dassed 1\(\frac{1}{2}\)	I. L. R., 3 All., 744	102
2 Rayhunandan Pragad	I. L. R., 7 All., 738	1778, 1783
v. Rai Kishen	I. L. R., 6 All., 36	5891
a Sitle Proped	I. L. R., 5 All., 484	2577 ·
v. Sukh Dai	I. L. R., 2 All., 720	1226
Ramprasad Hazra. In the matter of the petition of .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 426	264
Ramprasad Rai v. Dina Kuar	I. L. R., 4 All., 515 .	1164, 2867 4086
v. Radha Prasad Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 402	34
Ramprasad Ram v. Raghunandan Ram	I. L. R., 3 All., 239	1482
Ramprosad Das v. Rajo Koer	5 C. L. R., 94	5760
Ramprosad Janua v. Lakhi Narain Pradhan	1. L. R., 12 Calc., 197	3434
Ramprotab Misser v. Abhilack Misser	3 C. L. R., 170	683, 4194
Rampurgass Singh v. Ameer Ali	3 Agra, Mis., 3	3562
Ramputty Koer v. Kalee Churn Singh	14 W. R., 94	1196, 1198
Rampyari Debi v. Dinanath Mookerjee	2 B. L. R., S. N., 13: 10 W. R., 375.	5742
Ramrao Trimbak v. Yeshvantrao Madhavrao	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 327	2231,5593
Ram Rau v. Raja Rau	2 Mad., 114	50, 2942
Ramrav Khanderav v. Govind Pandshet	6 Bom., A. C., 63	317,697
Ram Rewaz Koowar. In the matter of	7 C. L. R., 536	1969
Ram Rooch Chowdree v. Deokee Nundun	2 N. W., 169	1360, 5221
Ramrooder Surma v. Prankishen Surma	2 Hay, 86	5267
Ram Roop Singh v. Sheo Golam Singh	25 W. R., 327	3824
Ram Rotton Mundul v. Netro Kally Dossee	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 339	1569, 3047
Ram Rucha Doss v. Doorga Dutt Misser	13 W. R., 453	1481
Ramrudeegowda v. Dessai Sahib	17 W. R., 8	4457, 4653
Ram Rukha Roy v. Gobind Doss Byragee	15 W. R., 291	1755, 3797
Ramrunginee Dossee v. Gooroodoss Roy	17 W. R., Cr., 9	4485, 4491
v	18 W. R., Cr., 36	516
	22 W. R., 212	517
Ram Runjun Bhandari v. Madhub Ghose	25 W. R., Cr., 33	5510 771
- Rango Madhuh Mookayiga	15 W. R., 323	1249
- Count of Wards	21 W. R., 192	1711
v. Jowhurujumah Khan v. Ram Lali Mukhopadhya	23 W. R., 129	842
v. Ram Lall Mukhopadhya	5 C. L. R., 62	3338
v. Ram Prosad Dass	13 C. L. R., 26	366
v,	5 C. L. R., 128	5890
Ramrutno Sircar v. Chunder Mookhee Debia	2 W. R., Act X, 74	1609, 1617
Ramrutton v. Oriental Inland Steam Navigation Com-	2 Hyde, 89	6275
pany.	4 Moore's I. A., 233	47.00
Ramrutton Rae v. Furrookoonnissa Begum	1	4198
Ram Ruttun Audo v. Ram Chunder Pal Ram Ruttun Banerjee v. Ameeroolmolk Bunwaree ?	25 W. R., 113	4873
Gobind.	6 W. R., Mis., 95	3479, 3509
Ram Ruttun Dass v. Gobind Dass	2 Agra, 141	4284
Ram Ruttun Ghose v. Prosunno Nath Bhuttacharjee .	20 W. R., 203	325
Ram Ruttun Neogee. In re	6 W. R., Cr., 3	965
Remyrettun Noogran Land W	W. R., 1864, 147	5288
Ramruttun Neogy v. Land Mortgage Bank of India .	ON W 109	3776
Ramruttun Rae v. Dwarka Doss Ram Ruttun Saha v. Bawool Mundul	99 W D C. 4	3815
AVALU AVULLUI DAHA V. DRWOOL VINNONI	23 W. K., Cr., 4	1223
Ram Sabuk Bose v. Kaminee Koomaree Dossee	14 B. L. R., 394	4660, 5329

:				
Name of Ca			Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Sabuk Bose v. Monmohinee Dossee	• •	{	L. R., 2 I. A., 71 : 23 W. R.,	4660, 5329
Ram Sagur Dutt v. Nobogopaul Mooker Ram Sahai v. Bank of Bengal .	jee	•	Bourke, O. C., 367 I. L. R., 8 All., 262	5879 2703
Ram Sahai Misser v. Bisraj Singh Ram Sahai Singh v. Dhunookdharee Sin	: : : :	:	1. L. R., 7 All., 107 1 Agra, Rev., 19	1485, 4558 1675 996
v. Maniram		{	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 807: 6 C. L. R., 223.	3145, 5967
v. Sheo Sahai Singh		{	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 492: 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 421: 6 W. R., Mis., 98.	3495, 3506 3526
Ramsahaya Singn v. Muzhar Ali Ram Sahay Singh v. Rookhoo Singh .	• •	•	2 B. L. R., Ap., 40	4308 1489
Ram Sahoy v. Balmokund	• •	į	L. R., 3 I. A., 259: 26 W. R., 55.	2215, 2216 2762, 4224
v. Seethoo		•	1 N. W., 28: Ed. 1873, 26 L. R., 3 I. A., 259: 26 W.	6096 2215, 2216
Ram Sahoy Singh v. Koldeep Singh . v. Mohabeer Pershad	· ·	•	R 55. 15 W. R., 80 25 W. R., 185	2762, 4224 2852, 4468 2164
Ram Sahye Bhukkut v. Lalla Laljee Sah	ye	{	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 149: 9 C. L. R., 457.	2337, 2398
Ram Saran Lal v. Amirta Kuar			I. L. R., 3 All., 369	6159
Ramsaran Mitter v. Rakhal Das Dutt		{	6 B. L. R., 244, note: 11 W. R., 412.	2846
Ram Saran Sing v. Bhajan Dobay Karpa	rdaz .		6 B. L. R., Ap., 155: 11 W. R., 515.	1626
Ram Sarun Singh v. Pran Pearee Ram Sarun Sahoo v. Veryag Mahton			1 W. R., 156	· 1680 305, 5176
Ram Sarup v. Bela		{	I. L. R., 6 All., 313: L. R.,	1097, 2042
Ramsay v. Anderson Ramsebak Misser. In the matter of	• •	`	I. A., 44. I. L. R., 7 All., 884 7 C. L. R., 336 5 B. L. R., 179	1443 391 2675, 5533
,	e petition of	•	6 B. L. R., 119 6 B. L. R., 310	2665 2660
Ramsebuk v. Ramlall Koondoo	o pennion or	· ;	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 815 : 8 C.	2376, 3219
Ram Sevak Das v. Raghubar Rai	•	Š	11 L. R., 457.	3226, 4278
Ramsewak Chowdhuree v. Nackchedee S	ingh .	{	I. L. R., 3 All., 72 3 Agra, 357: Agra, F. B., Ed. 3 1874, 160.	2401 2885
Ram Sewak Singh v. Nakched Singh		5	I. L. R., 4 All., 261 . {	3871, 4876 4998
Ram Shahai Chowdhry v. Sanker Bahad	ar	()	6 B. L. R., Ap., 65: 15 W. }	6257
Ramshet Bachashet v. Pandharinath .			8 Bom., A. C., 236 . {	3442, 3975 4026
Ramshet bin Bachashet v. Balkrishna bir Ramshewuk Roy v. Sheo Gobind Sahoo Ram Shewuk Sahoo v. Futto Roy Ram Shodoy Ghose v. Juttadharee Halds Ram Shunker v. Lalee Baee v. Sheo Pershad	• •		6 Bom., A. C., 161 8 W. R., 519 12 W. R., 184 7 W. R., 95 2 Agra, 268 5 N W., 7	5727 2509, 2516 5689 2843 3832 2919
Ram Shurn Shaha v. Bhote Kinkur Ram Singh Mohapattur v. Bhottro Manj Ram Sookh v. Tara Singh	ee Sonthal		14 W. R., 268	36 3272 502 4

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Soondaree Debee. In the matter of Ramsoondaree Debia Chowdhrain v. Ram Pershad Sadhoo Ram Soonder Bandopadhya. In the matter of Ram Soonder Doss v. Mahomed Abbed Ram Soonder Roy v. Ram Sahye Bhugut Ram Soonder Sandyal v. Gopessur Mostofee Ram Soonder Sircar v. Kistobag Bag Ram Soondur v. Ram Canto Ram Soondur Banerjee v. Doorga Churn Barui v. Kalee Pershad Hajrah Ram Soondur Chuckerbutty v. Kalee Komul Dutt v. Ramessur Acharjee Ram Soondur Dey v. Shoshi Mohun Pal Chowdhry Ram Soonduree Dassia v. Surut Soonduree Debia Ram Soondur Mundul v. Akima Bibee Ram Soondur Poddar. In the matter of Ram Soondur Shaha v. Delanney Ram Soondur Singh v. Surbanee Dossee Ram Soondur Thakoor v. Taruck Chunder Turkoruttun Ram Subhag Das v. Gobind Prasad Ram Sudoy Ghose v. Rajbullubh Saha Ram Sukh Rhunio v. Brohmovi Desi	1 C. L. R., 86 8 W. R., 288 10 B. L. R., Ap., 21 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 102 1. L. R., 8 Calc., 919 1. L. R., 3 Calc., 716: 2 C. L. R., 220 Marsh., 322: 2 Hay, 421 11 W. R., 8 19 W. R., 128 19 W. R., 267 6 W. R., 454 11 C. R., 389 17 W. R., 33 8 W. R., 366 2 C. L. R., 515 17 W. R., 380 20 W. R., 103 22 W. R., 121 19 W. R., 28 1. L. R., 2 All, 622 15 W. R., 2470	4503 1733 494 5775 2337 3513 5763 3496 494 5775 5589 4205 2658, 5440 5586 1810 1258, 5163 2752, 4892 4867 2144 1458 3186 3530 3291
Ram Sukh Bhunjo v. Brohmoyi Dasi Ramsundar v. Nirotam	6 C. L. R., 470	3610
Ram Sundar Poramanick v. Prasanna Kumar Bose Ram Sundar Singh v. Ram Bandhan Singh	W. R., Act X, 22.	2890 765, 5118
Ram Sunder De v. Rajab Ali	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 558 14 B. L. R., 371, note: 10)	490
Ram Sunder Tewari v. Srimunt Dewasi Ram Sunker Bhadoory. In the matter of Ram Sunker Sanaputty v. Gopaul Kishen Deo Ram Sunker Senaputty v. Bir Chunder Manikya Ram Sunker Sein v. Nilkant Biswas Ram Surn Muhton v. Jinonauth Bhuggut Ram Surohee Singh v. Kashee Roy Ram Surun Dass v. Ram Chund Ram Surun Lall v. Lokebas Kooer Ram Surun Singh v. Gooroo Dyal Singh v. Mahomed Ameer	W.R., 215. 3 C. L. R., 440 1 W. R., 68 1. L. R., 4 Calc., 714 9 W. R., 392 10 W. R., 396 6 W. R., 176 1 Agra, 283 18 W. R., 39 1 W. R., 83 13 W. R., 78	1734 3452 3129, 3180 560 4913 5007 1676 4807, 6153 880, 5380 • 228, 3334 3251, 3442 4287
· v. Pran Pearee .	15 W. R., P. C., 14: 13 Moore's	1680
Ram Surun Sokool v. Shecrutun Koormee Ramtahal Ram v. Rameshar Ram Ram Tahul v. Madho Ramtanu Acharji v. Komal Lochan Roy Ramtarak Karati v. Dinanath Mandal Ram Tarrun Koondoo v. Hossein Buksh Ram Taruck Barrik v. Sidhessuree Dossee Ram Taruck Ghose v. Biressur Banerjee Ram Taruck Ghossal v. Radha Bullub Sircar	I. A., 551. 2 Agra, Pt. II, 166 I. L. R., 8 All., 140 2 Agra, 111 3 B. L. R., Ap., 37 7 B. L. R, 184 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 785: 2 C. L. R., 385 13 W. R., 60 6 W. R., Act X, 32 15 W. R., 96	2291 761 5100 5064 321, 2856 4869 150 1653 4284 4792, 4820
Ramtonoo Surmah Sircar v. Gour Chunder Surmah Sircar	3 W. R., 64	5798

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ramtonu Acharjee v. Pearymohun Acharjee	\{	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 551: 7 C. L. R., 557.	5693 1361
Ramtuhul Lall v. Sheo Nath Singh		15 B. L. R., 208: 23 W. R.,	
Ramtuhul Singh v. Bisseswar Lal Sahoo	ં	305 : L. R., 2 I. A., 131.	5 492 , 6150
Ramtuhul Thakoor v. Oodit Narain Singh		12 W. R., 36	6233
Ram Tunnoo v. Sreenath Doss	•	Marsh., 537: 2 Hay, 659 .	5262 5064
Ram Tunoo Acharjee v. Radha Gobind		11 W. R., 407	5796
Ram Tunoo Koloo v. Sharoda Pershad Mullick .		19 W. R., 91	4891
Ramu Naikan v. Subbaraya Mudali		7 Mad., 229 2 W. R., Mis., 23	3939
Ram Yad v. Bissessur Bhuttacharjee	•		$\begin{array}{c} 194 \\ 384 \end{array}$
Ramyad Sahoo v. Doolar Sahoo	•	9 W. R., 441	4258
v. Nirunjun Koer		22 W. R., 420	371
Ramzan Ali v. Anwar Ali	{	2 B. L. K., Ap., 19: 11 W.	536
v. Durpo Komilla		24 W. R., Cr., 58 {	49, 3616 6029
v. Janki Prasad	.	6 B. L. R., 119	2665
Ranchoddas Dayaldas v. Ranchoddas Nanabhai	•	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 581	606, 5378
Ranchoddas Krishnadas v. Bapu Narhar	•	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 439 .	$1741 \\ 1108, 3210$
Ranchoddas Nathubhai v. Jeychand Khusalchand	.	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 405 . }	3221
Ranchhod Jamnadas v. Lallu Haribhai	.	10 Bom., 95	2615
Ranchodji v. Lallu	•	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 304	$\begin{array}{c} 290 \\ 619 \end{array}$
Ranchod Varajbhai v. Municipality of Dakor		I. L. R., 8 Bom., 142 3 Bom., A. C., 173	236 5
Raneegunge Coal Association v. Hem Lall		24 W. R., Cr., 17	4501
Ranga v. Sithaya	.	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 368	1114
v. Suba Hedge	. [I. L. R., 4 Bom., 473 . {	1075, 1098 2967
Rangai. In the matter of		12 C. L. R., 500	5167
Rangaiyan v. Hari Krishna Aiyan	. 1	2 Mad., 437	2822
Ranganayaka Ammal v. Venkatachellapati Nayudu]	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 323	4341
Ranganmani Dasi v. Kasinath Dutt	- \$1	3 B. L. R., O. C., 1: 13 W.	2373, 4320
Rangansary v. Shappani Asary	.	R., 76, note. 5 Mad., 375	813
Rangasami Aiyyangar v. Narasimhulu Nayak .	:	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 213	1301
Rangasami Mudelliar v. Sirangan	.	4 Mad., 254	762
Rangasvami Ayyangar v. Kristna Ayyangar	.	1 Mad., 72	$1678 \\ 2192$
Rangaswami Gounden v. Sabapathy Gounden .		1 Mad., 28	978
Rangil Das v. Bai Girja		I. L. R., 8 Bom., 559	242
Ranglal Sahu v. Siali Dhar Das	- (3 B. L. R., Ap., 27: 11 W.	3800
	5		3298, 5590
Rangoba Naik v. Collector of Ratnagiri	•	8 Bom., A. C., 107	2425
Rango Vithal v. Rikhivadas bin Rayachand .		11 Bom., 174	4960
Rangubai v. Bhagirthibai		I. L. R., 2 Bom., 377	2119, 2137
Ranjit Singh v. Ilahi Baksh		I. L. R., 5 All., 520 . {	1448, 2871 4076
v. Jagannath Prosad Gupta		I. L. R., 12 Calc., 375	4674
v. Sheo Prasad Ram	•	I. L. R., 2 All., 487	293, 3227
		I. L. R., 3 All., 283	985
Rannak Husain v. Harbans Singh	•	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 557	2418

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ranu valad Avaji Mali v. Ramabai kom Mahadu Mali	6 Bom., A. C., 265	2050 4050
Rao Bahadur Singh v. Jowahir Kuar	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 887 : L. R.,)	3958, 4252 5104
Rao Baneeram v. Newaz Bibee	11 I. A., 75. 8 W. R., 511	
v. Ram Nath Shah	10 B. L. R., Ap., 2: 18 W.	5121
	16., 412.	568
Raojee Gunesh v. Rao Jalee Pershad Raoji Narayan v. Krishnaji Lakshman	8 W. R., 91 11 Bom., 139	770 3546
Rao Kurun Singh v. Mahomed Fyaz Ali Khan .	10 B. L. R., 1: 14 Moore's I.	2209, 2301
v. Mehtab Koonwer	A., 176, 187. 3 Agra, 150	. 4155 1751
Raol Gorain v. Teza Gorain	4 B. L. R., Ap., 90	1751 2155
Rao Oomrao Singh v. Sutun Lall	1 N. W., Pt. VI, p. 77: Ed ?	1488
Rasaji bin Davlaji v. Sayana bin Sagdu	1873, 168. 5 6 Bom., A. C., 7	2719
Rash Beharee Baboo. In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., 130	166
Rash Beharee Lall v. Burmessur Nauth	7 W. R., 115 10 W. R., 30	16 7 3 39 7
Rash Beharee Roy v. Doorgabur Roy	14 W. R., 211	375
v. Ezud Buksh	11 W. R., 276	2792, 4476
Rash Beharee Singh v. Koonj Beharee Singh	W. R., 1864, 223 . W. R., 1864, Mis., 31 .	2300, 2333 5121
v. Saheb Rov	12 W. R., 76	3556, 5776
Rash Behari Dass v. Gopinath Barapanda Mohapatu	11 C. L. R., 352 3 B. L. R., A. C., 99: 11 W.	3249
Rash Behari Lal Sing v. Nabayi Poddar	R., 465.	326, 4478
Rashbehari Mukherji v. Sakhi Sundari Dasi Rash Behary Bundopadhya v. Peary Mohun Mooker-	I. L. R., 11 Calc , 644	1190
1ee.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 346: 3 C. L. R., 116.	3014
Rash Behary Bysack v. Buddun Chunder Singh .	12 C. L R., 550	3244
Rashbehary Ghose v. Barroda Proshad Mookhopadhya v. Ram Coomar Ghose	7 C. L. R., 380	3809 1614, 3044
Rash Behary Lall v. Wajan	12 B. L. R., 208, note: 11 W.	
Rash Behary Mookerjee v. Khettro Nath Roy	R., 516. 1 C. L. R., 418	811, 1932
Rashbehary Mookhopadhya v. Surnomoyee	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 403: 9 C. L.	1637, 6110
Rash Dharee Singh v. Nuthoonee Singh	R., 79.	211
	24 W. L. R., 301	4476
Rashessur Surmah v. Kaleekanath Surmah .	W. R., 455.	2721
Rashmohun Goshamy. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., 3	5885
Kash Mohun Goswamy v. Issur Chunder Mookeriee	9 W. R., 113	3315
Rashmonee Dassee v. Bhubo Nath Bhuttacharjee . Rashmonee Debea v. Hurronath Roy .	12 W. R., 252	34
v. Ramiov Shaha	1 W. R., 280	4150 525
Rashmonee Debia v. Rajaram Sircar	15 W. R., 86	5670
Rash Munjooree Chowdhrain v. Radha Soonduree Dossee Rashomonee Dossee v. Chowdhry Junmojoy Mullick	23 W. R., 283 9 W. R., 356	1453 5830, 5831
Augustum Decode V. Dissonanth Stroom	6 W. R., Act X, 57	3018
Rasmonee Debea v. Pran Kishan Dan	I. L. R., 4 All., 414	3354
Kasmonee Debia v. Mahamad Wacamastlat	7 W. R., P. C., 66 12 W. R., 29	4000, 4008 5741
tas muni Dibian v. Prankishen Das	4 Moore's I. A., 392	4000, 4008
Rassam v. Donzelle Rassmonee Dossee v. Monshar Ally	23 W. B., 121	2982
Rassonada Rayar v. Sitharama Pillai	2 Mad., 171	510 4136

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rasul Bibi v. Mobarik Ali	2 B. L. R., A. C., 303, note : }	230
Ratanbai v. Great Indian Peninsula Railway Company .	7 Bom., O. C., 120, note	1382
Ratan Chand Shri Chand v. Hanmantrav Shivbakao .	8 Bom., O. C., 130 6 Bom., A. C., 166	1382
Ratan Dabee v. Modhoosoodun Mohapator	2 C. L. R., 328 8 Bom., O. C., 181	1542 2317 2615, 4313
	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 287: 10 C. }	5707
Ratan Kuar v. Jiwan Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 194	4187, 4860
Ratanmani Dasi v. Miller	4 B. L. R., O. C., 63: 15 W. R., O. C., 18, note.	2663
Ratan Rai v. Hanuman Das	I. L. R., 5 All., 118	4994
Roton Shankar Rovachankar a Gulabehankar Lalehan	4 Bom., A. C., 173	4052, 5670
Kai.		5933
	10 Bom., 21	5670
	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 148	1863 4916
	8 Bom., O. C., 159	5586
Rateeah v. Phokondee	5 W. R., Cr., 1	957
Ratıram v. Chiranji Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 579	5423
Ratnam v. Govindarajulu	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 339	2379
Rau Narasinga. Ex parte	2 Mad., 164	$\begin{array}{c} 2294 \\ 684 \end{array}$
Rautan v. Ibrahim Rautan	1 Mad., 441	2648
v. Kadangot Shupan	1 Mad., 112	3763
Ravichand Dalichand v. Moti Lal Narbheram	4 Bom., A. C., 77	1494
	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 223	800
	8 Bom., Cr., 13	195 2 2378
Ravji Narayan v. Krishnaji Lakshman	11 Bom., 139	5397
or managiri.	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 523	5502
	2 Bom., 237	5591
Ravji Ranchod Naik v. Vishnu Ranchod Naik	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 241	4664
	12 Bom., 160	808 2097, 3363
	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 123	230, 4952
Rav Saheb V. N. Mandlik v. Kamaljabai Saheb Nim-	10 Bom., 26	1098, 4511
balkar. (Sawlins v. Daniel	2 Agra, 56	3811
	5 Moore's I. A., 169	2230
Rayachand Amichand. Exparte	2 Bom., 391: 2nd Ed., 369 .	4429, 4678
	4 Mad., 60	2367
Ayadur Nallatambi Chetti v. Rayadur Makunda Chetti	3 Mad., 455	2460
Sayan Krishnamachariyar v. Kuppanayyangar	1 Mad., 180	2138, 2288 4946
Layappa Chetti v. Ali Sahib	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 530	5285
Raya Raghoba Kamat v. Anapurnabai kom Subalbhat 🔒 🛚	10 Bom., 98	4855
	9 Bom , 286	181
	13 W. R., 66 10 W. R., 209	2466
Rayekishoree Dossee v. Bonomallee Churn Mytee	20 W R 370	$244 \\ 2952$
Raye Kumul Dossee v. Jhoroo Mollah	15 W. R., 543	$\begin{array}{c} 2952 \\ 6279 \end{array}$
taygo v. Pogose	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 709, note .	186
Caynor v. Mussoorie Bank	I. L. R., 2 All., 55 I. L. R., 7 All., 681	6218
v	I. L. R., 7 All., 681	832, 5966

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Raynor v. Sungheer Singh	5 N. W., 211	1363, 5431
Razabai kom Rangoji v. Sadu bin Bhavani	8 Bom., A. C., 98.	1459
Razeeooddeen v. Jhubboo Singh	W. R., 1864, 75	3963
v. Zeenut Bibee	8 W. R., 463	3723
Reade v. Krishna	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 391 . ,	3745
Reardon. In the matter of the petition of	8 Mad., 85	3812
Reasut Ali v. Abbott	12 W. R., 132	2745, 3667
Reasut Hossein v. Abdoollah	L. R., 1 I. A., 72	4635
	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 131 : 26 W. R., 50 : L. R., 3 I. A.,	4764, 4849
v · · · · }	221.	5115, 5126
v. Chorwar Sing	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 470: 9 C.	1177
	L. R., 260.	
v. Jusmunt Roy	15 W. R., 396	2715
Reaz Ali Khan v. Government of India	19 W. R., 267	3156
Reazooddeen v. Collector of Cuttack	10 W. R., 175	1249, 3207
Reazonddeen Mahomed v. McAlpine	22 W. R., 540	563 7
Reazoonissa v. Bookoo Chowdhrain	12 W. R., 267	1 753 1660
Reazoonnissa Khanum v. Doyanauth Jha	8 W. R., 326	5081
Rebeiro v. Rebeiro	Marsh, 638	6200
Rednum Atchutaramayya v. Mahomed Amin Khan)	5 Mad., 272.	3777
alias Dada Sahib.	2 C T. P. 921	5305
Redoy Kissen Dutt v. Ram Coomar Sen	3 C. L. R., 231	4662
Reed. In the goods of	C Mauro's T A 400	4641
v. Gourmoney Dabee.	15 W. R., 430	5177
Reednath Sahoo. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., 191	272
Reedoy Kisto Muzoomdar v. Puddo Lochun Muzoomdar	1 W. R., 12.	354, 369
Reedoy Nath Dooripa v. Puddo Lochun Chuckerbutty .	22 W. R., 205	580
Reet Bhunjun Singh v. Mitturjeet Singh	6 W. R., Mis., 31	5477
Reference by Board of Revenue	I. L. R., 2 All., 654	5846
	I. L. R., 2 All., 664	5845
	I. L. B., 3 All., 788	5862
	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 15 .	5861
	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 155	5858
- In the matter of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 254: 10 C.	5847
In the matter of	L. R., 33.	0037
	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 92: 13 C. } L. R., 164.	5848
Reference by the Chief Presidency Magistrate. In the matter of.	6 C. L. R., 392	985
Reference by Sessions Judge of Surat	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 352	5606
Reference from District Judge under s. 49 of the Stamp Act, 1879.	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 18	5848, 5856
Reference under Stamp Act, 1879	I. L. R., 5 All., 360	5863
	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 18	5848.5856
	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 394	5852
	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 176	5853 5059
	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 203	5858 5858
	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 209 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 349	5856 58 4 6
	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 350 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 385	5844 5845
•	T T. D 7 Mad 491	5845 5856
	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 11 ·	5853

			T		Column
Name of Case.			1	Volume and Page.	of Digest.
Reference under Stamp Act, 1879 .				1. L. R., 8 Mad., 15	5844
Reference under Stamp Act, 1878	•	•	• 1	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 87	5844
•	•	•	.	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 104	5860
	•	•	.	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 453	5861
	•	•	.	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 532 · ·	5845
	•	•	•	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 564	305, 5852
•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 138	5854
•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 140	5863
•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 146	5860
•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 358	5860
D Abdul Wadan	•	•	•	3 Bom., Cr., 45	1951
Reg. v. Abdul Kadar	•	•	•	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 224	1338
- v. Achariys	•	•	•	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 171	2911
v. Adivigadu	•	•	•		2911
v. Ahone Akong	•	•	•	י טטט , אוויטע ה	708, 559
v. Ajam Dulla	•	•	•	8 Bom., Cr., 115	1007
v. Alibhai Mitha	•	•	•	8 Bom., Cr., 108	713
v. Ali valad Faker Muhammad .	•	•	•	5 Bom., Cr., 9	5162
v. Amba kom Girsoji	•	•	•	2 20211, 021, 2	16, 101
- v. Amrita Govinda				10 Bom., 497 · · {	1022, 557
			•	l	1313
v. Amruta Nathu	•	•	•	, Dom., Ci , 20	4119
v. Annaji valad Govindram .	•	•	•	1 Bom., 93 · · ·	2582, 258
v. Anta bin Dadoba	•	•	•	1 Bom., 101.	5554
—— v. Anvarkhan valad Gulkhan .	•	•	•	9 Bom., 172	1961, 555
v. Arjun	•	•	•	1 Bom., 87	1289, 515
- v. Arjun Megha	•	•	•	11 Bom, 281	4376
- v. Arunachellam	•	•	•	I. L. R., 1 Mad, 164	3630
v. Atmaram Vaman Bhandarkar	•	•	•	3 Bom., Cr., 8	1047
- v. Auba bin Bhivrav		•	•	4 Bom., Cr., 6	1241
- v. Avji bin Naru			•	8 Bom., Cr., 22	
v. Babaji bin Bhau		•	•	4 Bom., Cr., 16 · · ·	1256, 516
v. Babji valad Bapu			•	4 Bom., Cr., 5	6190
v. Bagu valad Oswari		•	•	4 Bom., Cr., 34	965, 361
- v. Bahirji bin Krishnaji .	•		•	1 Bom., 39	5561
v. Bai Ratan			•	10 Bom., 166	1010
— v. Eai Divale			•	5 Bom., Cr., 48	966
- v. Bai Rupa				2 Bom., 124: 2nd Ed., 117	149, 58
_	•	•	•	· -	2229
v. Balapa bin Dandapa .				I. L. R., 1 Bom., 639 · ·	1297
v. Balvant V. Pendharkar			•	11 Bom., 137 · · ·	1005, 616
v. Bapu Parbat				7 Bom., Cr. 81	714
- v. Bapu Yadav				11 Bom., 172	1222
v. Bassuji Gungaram			•	7 Bom., Cr., 2	619
v. Bechar Khushal				5 Bom., Cr., 43	5567
- v. Bechar Mava				4 Bom., Cr., 38	2910
- v. Bhagtidas Bhagvandas				5 Bom., Cr., 51	609, 435
- v. Bhagu bin Shabaji				5 Bom., Cr., 47	3618
- v. Bhaishankar Hariram		•	•	8 Bom., Cr., 18	262
v. Bhaishankar Narbheram .				6 Bom., Cr., 75	1789
- v. Bhala Chula				1 Bom., 17 .	2581
	_	_	_		258, 472
v. Bhaskar Kharkar	•	•	•	3 Bom., Cr., 1	5602
- v. Bhau bin Vithu			_	3 Bom., Cr., 53	4363
v. Bhavanishankar				11 Bom., 3	2008
v. Bhavanishankar Haribhai	•	•	•	5 Bom., Cr., 55	709
v. Bhikoba Vinoba	. •	•	•	4 Bom., Cr., 9	612
- v. Bhista bin Madanna	•	•	•	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 308	5874
Dillova bili di Gualilla • •	•	•	•		1

Name of Case.					Volume and Page.	olumn of Digest.
Reg. v. Budhu Nanku					I. L. R., 1 Bom., 475	343
v. Byha valad Surjim					1 Bom., 91	43, 6263
- v. Cassidy					4 Bom., Cr., 17	453, 707
v. Chand Nur .		_		. 1	11 Bom., 240	1153
v. Changia valad Shumia		_			7 Bom., Cr., 68	6190
v. Changia valad Shuma		•			1 Bom., 4	5566
v. Chenappa valad Nagappa		<u>.</u>	•		5 Bom., Cr., 44	5568
		•	•	٠,	8 Bom., Cr., 92	2105
v. Chill		•	•	٠,	5 1	5, 451
v. Chima	•	•			8 Bom., Cr., 164	1805
a. Ob such mal. To object				- 1	11 Bom., 144	1220
v. Chouthmal Lachiram		•	•	.	6 Bom., Cr., 15	1668
v. Connon		•	•	•		4732
v. Dalpatram Pemabhai	•	•	•	. 1	5 Bom., Cr., 105	85, 4110
v. Dalsukram Haribhai				. 1	2 Bom., 407: 2nd Ed., 384	4360
		-	-	1	1	6189
v. Damo Dhar Dalji.	,	•	•	.	1 Bom., 181.	963. 51 5 6
. Damodhar Ram Chandra		•	•	•	0 Dom., 01., 00	1010
— v. Daya Anand	,	•	•	•)	11 Bom., 44	735
v. Dayabhai Parjaram		•		.	1 Bom., 140	22, 309 7
— v. Dayal Jairaj	, ,	•		•	0	
v. Dayalji Endarji	, ,	•		.	8 Bom., Cr., 21	1967
v. Deva Dayal. • • •				.	11 Bom., 287 · ·	1011
				- 1	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 64 .	84, 1221
v. Devama	, ,	•	•	•	1. 11. 11., 1 Dom., 02	4751
. Devsanvat bin Shivram Sanvat	t.			.	3 Bom., Cr., 34	1155
v. Dhania Daji				.	5 Bom., Cr., 59	4374
v. Dharmaya yalad Sancana .		-		. 1	8 Bom., Cr., 12	3624
v. Dhondu Ramchandra .					5 Bom., Cr., 100	966
v. Dhori Kullan	•				9 Bom., 165	4359
v. Diaz		•	•		3 Bom., Cr., 51	1155
v. Dip Chand Khushal	•	•	•	•	4 Bom., Cr., 30	3612
v. Dixon.		•	•	•	6 Bom., Cr., 14	3607
	•	•	•	•	11 Bom., 13	5549
	•	•	•	٠	5 Mad., 277	2104
v. Donoghue	• ••••	ė	•	•	11 Bom., 117	251
v. Dorabji Balabhai. In the ma	cter o	1	•	•	1 Bom., 139	2907
v. Dossa Sera .	•	•	•	•		1987
v. Durgaram Madhavram .	•	•	•	٠,	7 Bom., Cr., 55 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 253: 5 W.	
v. Durwan Geer				- {	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 200.	4058
				Ç	R., Cr., 73. 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 67: 7 W.	400
v. Dwarka Nauth Dutt .	_	_		- {		460
	•	•	-	(R, Cr., 16.	4120
v. Elmstone	•	•	•	•	7 Bom., Cr., 89 · · · · 23	, 720, 964
					1	155, 5164
v. Fattechand Vastachand.	•		•	•		165, 6267
						11318
- v. Gaji kom Ranu				•	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 311	3626
v. Ganga kom Mhasu .				•	5 Bom., Cr, 13	
- v. Gangaram Malji				•	6 Bom., Cr., 43	711 9496
v. Gania bin Bapu					3 Bom., Cr., 50	3826
- v. Ganoji bin Pandji .					5 Bom., Cr., 49 · ·	1975
<u>.</u>	-	-			2 Bom., 422 : 2nd Ed., 398	800, 492
—— v. Ganu Bapu	•	•	•	•		5153
v. Ganu bin Dharoji .					6 Bom., Cr., 57 · · ·	28, 724
v. Ganu bin Krishna Gurav	•	•	•		4 Bom., Cr., 25	5150
a li mu al	•	•	•	•	5 Bom., Cr., 38	5518
v. Ganu bin Tatia Selar	•	•	•	•	2 Bom., 132 : 2nd Ed., 126 .	5549: 557
	•	•	•	•	4 Bom., Cr., 3	5162
v. Ganu valad Ramchandra	•	•	•	•	2 2011., 0,	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Reg. v. Gapau kom Kusuji	1 Bom., 92	1955
v. Garbad Bechar	9 Bom., 344	1007
v. Gazi kom Aba Dore	7 Bom., Cr., 83	4358
v. Genu bin Aku	5 Bom., Cr., 83	6191
v. Girdhar Dharamdas	6 Bom., Cr., 33	1259, 5601
v. Goluck Doss.	1 Hyde, 282	1989
v. Gopal Lakshuman	5 Bom., Cr., 25	5602
v. Govinda	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 342 .	1334
v. Govinda bin Babaji	5 Bom., Cr., 15	3604, 5602
v. Govindas Haridas	6 Bom., Cr., 76	714
v. Govind Babli Raul	11 Bom., 278	1020
v. Gregory	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 423 .	1950
v. Gulabdas Kuberdas	11 Bom., 99	1317
- v. Gulam Abas	12 Bom., 147	555 0
v. Guna bin Regnak	3 Bom., Cr., 29	3619
v. Gurningapa	7 Bom., Cr., 58	960
- v. Hanmanta	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 610 .	5994
v. Hanmant Gavda	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 228 .	1220
v. Hanmapa bin Malapa	7 Bom., Cr., 37	5546
v. Hargovandas	9 Bom., 448	735, 5945
v. Hari bin Vithoji	1 Bom., 139	5600
v. Harishankar Fakirbhat	2 Bom., 136: 2nd Ed, 130	5881
- v. Hart	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 333 .	4238
v. Hau Nagji	7 Bom., Cr., 74	2029
v. Ilira Jiva	7 Bom., Cr., 59	3626
— v. Hira Punja	1 Bom., 33	2623
v. Imam valad Baban	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 190 .	1989
- c. Irapa bin Basapa .	3 Bom., Cr., 57	23 4726, 4733
- v. Jafar Ali	8 Bom., Cr., 162	969, 6182
— v. Jaffer Naik	8 Bom., Cr., 113 2 Bom., 133 : 2nd Ed., 127	1256
- v. Jagunnath Bhat bin Appa Bhat	5 Bom., Cr., 103	1153
- v. Jaili Bhavin .	6 Bom., Cr., 60	4376
v. Jaimal Shravan	10 Bom., 69	1047
v. Jeetaram Shaw	1 Ind Jur., N. S., 404.	706
v. Jellapa bin Mudakappa	1 Bom., 181	958
v. Jetha Bhala	16 Bom., 68	986
v. Jetha Moti	2 Bom., 135: 2nd Ed., 129	5821
v. Jethya valad Vestya	9 Bom., 171	101
v. Jibhai Vaja	11 Bom., 11	4114
v. Jivanji Limji	6 Bom., Cr., 1	4726, 4731
- v. Jivan Usman	3 Bom., Cr., 12	254, 3625
v. Joma bin Balu	4 Bom., Cr., 37	1152, 5547
v. Jora Hasji	11 Bom., 242	1809
- v. Joti bin Satu	1 Bom., 37	5821
v. Justices of the Peace for Calcutta	1 Ind. Jur., N. S, 293.	691
- v. Kabhai Rava Bhai	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 24 .	3783
- v. Kadir valad Balu .	5 Bom., Cr., 40	707 1802
v. Kala bin Hari Gama	7 Bom., Cr., 50	3620
- v. Kala Karsan .	7 Bom., Cr., 72 6 Bom., Cr., 55	399
v. Kalidas Keval	E Dam On 10	3624
		1800, 4920
v. Kalla Lakhmaji .	2 Bom., 419: 2nd Ed., 395	5153
v. Kalubhai Meghabhai	7 Bom., Cr., 35	260
v. Kalu Patil	11 Bom., 146	1019,6272
v. Kalya bin Fakir .	5 Bom., Cr., 34	4353

Name of Case.					Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Reg. v. Kandakora		•		•	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 223	3627
— - v. Karsan Goja	•				2 Bom., 124: 2nd Ed., 117 .	587, 2229
v. Karsanlal Danatram .		•			5 Bom., Cr., 20	6259
— v. Kasamji					5 Bom., Cr., 6	3623
v. Kashinath Bachaji Bagul					8 Bom., Cr., 168	1511
					1	150, 1004
					1	1221, 1264
v. Kashinath Dinkar .	_	_			8 Bom., Cr., 126	4364, 4532
or ita initia Dinka.	•	•	•	•		4621, 5523
					(6249
v. Kashya Rama					8 Bom., Cr., 63	2898
v. Kassamia	•	•	•	•	1.10 1///	3626
	•	•	•	•	0 D (1. 00	3866, 4121
v. Kastya Rama	•	•	•	•	5 Bom., Cr., 55	5995
v. Kasya bin Ravji	•	•	•	•		3604
- v. Katunji Bhukan	•	•	•	•	1 Bom., 17	
— v. Keru bin Ramshet	•	•	•	•	5 Bom., Cr., 100	619
v. Keshavshet	•	•	•	•	6 Bom., Cr., 74	5601
v. Khanderav Bajirav .	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 1 Bom, 10	6164
— v. Khandoji bin Tanaji .	•	•	•	•	5 Bom., Cr., 21	4363
v. Khatabai	•	•	•	•	6 Bom., Cr., 9	5993
v. Khoda Jagta	•	•	•	•	1 Bom., 137	713
— v. Khushal Hiraman .	•	•			4 Bom., Cr., 28	2007, 5591
— v. Kikabhai Parbadas .	•	•			9 Bom., 451	709
	•	•		•	3 Bom., Cr., 39	5543
v. Krishna Parashram .	•				5 Bom., Cr., 69	3615
v, Krishnashet bin Narayanshet					5 Bom., Cr., 46	3773
- v. Kuberio Ratno					4 Bom., Cr., 8	3619
- v. Kusa valad Lakshman .					7 Bom., Cr., 70	6189, 6190
v. Kushya bin Yesu .					4 Bom., Cr., 11	5570
— v. Ladu		•			7 Bom., Cr., 87	5518, 5566
v. Lakhu valad Sakru .		-			8 Bom., Cr., 118 · · ·	3626
v. Lakhya Govind			•		I. L. R., 1 Bom., 50	2907
v. Lakshman Balaji .		•	•		3 Bom., Cr., 10	3630
v. Lakshuman Ram Chandra		•	•		3 Bom., Cr., 56	2922
- v. Lala Shambhu	•	•	•	.	10 Bom., 70	3611
— v. Lallubhai Jassubhai .	•	•	•	•	5 Bom., Cr., 64	4718
- v. Lallu Karwar	•	•	•	•	5 Bom., Cr., 63	1990
T.lLL.: ()	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 232	2921
v. Laiubhai Gopaidas .	•	•	•	•		965
v. Larkins	•	•	•	•	4 Bom., Cr., 30	3865
v. Lingana bin Ginbana .	•	•	•	•	4 Bom., Cr., 14	6182
v. Locha Kala	•	•	•	•		619
v. Lukhma Chango .	•	•	•	•	9 Bom., 153	6182
- v. Mahipya valad Bomya Mahar		•	•	•	5 Bom., Cr., 99	1003
- v. Malapa bin Kapana .	•	•	•	•	11 Bom., 196	
— v. Malhari bin Shivji			•	•	3 Bom., Cr., 41	1986
v. Malhari Lauji	•		•	•	6 Bom., Cr., 45	254
v. Malharji bin Nauloji		•		•	3 Bom., Cr., 36	3624
- v. Malhar Ram Chandra .				•	7 Bom., Cr., 64 · · ·	1973, 5521
v. Mangla Bhulia	•				7 Bom., Cr., 69 · · ·	3616
v. Manikram Surajram .				•	11 Bom., 231	2680
v. Manohar Raiji	•			•	5 Bom., Cr., 17	149
v. Mansang Bhavsang .		,			10 Bom., 74 · ·	5497
- v. Maruti Dada					I. L. R., 1 Bom., 15	15
- v. Mathur Purshotam	-	-			4 Bom., Cr., 13	3626
v. Mavji Dayal	-	•	•		5 Bom., Cr., 10	3624
v. Mehervanji Bejanji	•	•	•		6 Bom., Cr., 6	735, 1326
v. Mehtarji Gopalji	•	•	•	•	7 Bom., Cr., 67	5146

	Name of	Case.					Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Reg. v.	Miya valad Daud	•	•	•	•		6 Bom., Cr., 10	724
p.	Moroba Bhaskarji	•	•	•	•		8 Bom., Cr., 101	1259, 2778
v.	Muhammad Ali valad	Abdu	l Ali	•	•		8 Bom., Cr., 9	6189
v.	Muhammad Khan va	lad In	am K	han	•	•	6 Bom., Cr., 54	5518
	Muhammad Saib .	•	•	•	•		I. L. R., 1 Mad., 277	5567
v.	Muliya Nana .	•	•	•	•	•	5 Bom., Cr., 24	5600
17.	Murar Trikam .		_		_		5 Bom., Cr., 3	1154, 5553
			•	-	•	-		5602
	Muthavan	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 191	985
	Nabaji valad Vithoji	•	•	•	•	•	4 Bom., Cr., 2	5162
v.	Nana Moroji	· ·	•	•	•	•	8 Bom., Cr., 1	2028, 6183
v.	Nantamram Uttamra		•	•	•	•	6 Bom., Cr., 64	256, 4 691
	Narainappa Comte	•	•	•	•	•	5 Bom., Cr., 39	1044
	Narayan Babaji Narayan Gangaram	•	•	•	•	•	9 Bom., 346	6277 3 96, 3625
	Narayan Krishna	-	•	•	•	•	9 Bom., 343	3864
	Narayan Sundar .	•		•	•	•	5 Bom., Cr., 1	2029, 6250
	Naro Gopal .		·		:	:	5 Bom., Cr., 56	2011, 5167
	Natha Kalyan .		•				8 Bom., Cr., 11	5993
	Natha Lalla .		•				5 Bom., Cr., 67	4371
	Nathalal Pitambar		•				10 Bom., 102	691, 4532
v.	Natha Mula .	•					4 Bom., Cr., 37	1990
v.	Navranbeg Dulabeg						10 Bom., 73	1317
a	Navroji Dadabhai						1	1005, 1852
		•	•	•	•	•	9 Bom., 358 }	3098
v.	Nevalmal valad Umed	mal	•	•	•		3 Bom., Cr., 16	1957
27.	Omrito Nauth Jha	_				{	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 399: 6 W.)	449 (), 44 94
		•	•	•	•	Ś	R., Cr., 61.	4500
	Padala Venkatasami	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 4	2015
	Pandu bin Vithoji	•	•	•	•	•	4 Bom., Cr., 7	1047
	Pandurang Mayral	•	•	•	•	•	5 Bom., Cr., 41	1532
	Papidio Muthdo . Parbat	•	•	•	•	•	9 Bom., 167	3613
	Parbhudass Ambaram	•	•	•	•	•	7 Bom., Cr., 82	399 1833
	Parsapa Mahadevapa	•	•	•	•	•	11 Bom., 90	1318
	Parshram Keshav	•	•	•	•	•	7 Bom., Cr., 61	5512, 5529
		•	•	•	•	•	- Dom., Cr., OI	18, 286
v.	Pestanji Dinsha .	•	•	•	•	•	10 Bom., 75 }	722, 3098
	n 1: 1: n						0.70 402 0.173 005 (1800, 4920
v.	Pevadi bin Bassappa	•	•	•	•	•	2 Bom., 421: 2nd Ed., 397	5153
v.							10 Bom., 356	2906
v.	Poshu bin Dhambaji I	Patil	•				2 Bom., 134: 2nd Ed., 128 .	1671, 4358
	Prabhakar N. Soman	•		•	•	•	3 Bom., Cr., 11	3624
	Prema Bhika .	•	•	•	•	•	1 Bom., 89	1970
v.	Premji Bhagvan .	•	•	•			10 Bom., 295	122 0
n. ·	Purshotam Valji .			_			5 Bom., Cr., 33	1043, 4363
		•	•	,	•	•		4690
	Pyla Atchi	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 278	5496
v. :	Ragha Naranji	•	•	•	•	•	7 Bom., Cr., 31	4622
	Raghoji bin Kanoji	•	•	•	•	•	3 Bom., Cr., 42	4354, 5161
	Kabimat	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 147	986
v.]	Rama Bhiygowda Rama bin Gopal .	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 223	5550 9741 4711
v. 1	Rama bin Gopai . Rama bin Rabhaji	•	•	•	•	•	1 Bom., 107	2761, 4711
v. 1	reerie nin reannali	•	•	•	•	•	1 Bom., 34 · · ·	5565 796 1159
v.	Ramajirav Jivbajirav						12 Bom., 1	736, 1153 1317, 1971
	alirat attaalitgt	•	•	•	•	.	12 DUM., 1 · · 3	4691
						- 1		-200 T

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Reg. v. Ramasami Padayachi		I. L. R., 1 Mad., 394	24
v. Ram Chandra Eknath		6 Bom., Cr., 36	4099
v. Ramcomul Mitter		1 Hyde, 195	1792
v. Ramdas Samaldas			690, 5886
		(6025
v. Ramji valad Daji		5 Bom., Cr., 12	958
v. Ramlojerio		5 Bom., Cr., 27	984
v. Ramswami Mudliar		6 Bom., Cr., 47	263, 5152
v. Ranchoddas Nathubhai		4 Bom., Cr., 35	5602
v. Ranchhod Dyal		10 Bom., 424	1317
v. Ratanray bin Mahadevray Chavan		3 Bom., Cr., 32	5563
v. Rattanji Bhukan	• •	1 Bom., 17	1220
v. Ravji valad Tajul		8 Bom., Cr., 37	1806, 196
v Ravlojirav bin Hanmantrav v. Reay		5 Bom., Cr., 7	3630
v. — v. —		7 Bom., Cr., 6	2902 285
v. Remedios		7 Bom., Cr., 77	4239
v. Sada Shibappa Pandurang Gappa		3 Bom., Cr., 59	966
- v. Sadu Dadabhai		9 Bom., 166	3626, 5592
— v. Sadu valad Pavadi	• •	3 Bom., Cr., 39	3626
v. Sajjan valad Vithu	• •	5 Bom., Cr., 104	5831
- v. Sakharam Anatoba	• •	10 Bom., 109, note	691
v. Sakharam Manohar	• •	11 Bom., 125	5150
	• •	,	1832, 5152
v. Sakharam Mukundji		11 Bom., 166	6269
- v. Sakya valad Kavji	i	5 Bom., Cr., 36	5570
- v. Sambhu Raghu	• •	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 347	586
- v. Samia Kaundan .	•	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 173	2938
v. Samsen Babaji	•	3 Bom., Cr., 43	955
- v. Sangapa bin Bashiapa .		7 Bom., Cr., 76	5568
v. Sankar Bhagvat	•	2 Bom., 417: 2nd Ed., 394 .	1950
- v. Santu bin Lakhappa Kore	•	5 Bom., Cr., 45	5568
v. Sardar Pathu .		1 Bom., 38	1043
- v. Shankar Abaji Hoshing		6 Bom., Cr., 69	6028
— v. Shankar Venkaji		3 Bom., Cr., 15	259
- v. Shipping Master of Calcutta		1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 371	3812
— v. Shivbasapa		7 Bom., Cr., 73	956, 5601
- v. Shivya		I. L. R., 1 Bom., 219	1011
- v. Showdar Ghenar		7 Bom., Cr., 39	4217
v. Siddu bin Balnath		1 Bom., 95	713
- v. Subhana bin Ganu		9 Bom., 169	3621
— v. Subi Sani		8 Bom., Cr., 28	5519
- v. Surkya valad Dhaku		5 Bom., Cr., 31	6183
v. Surya bin Krishna Mandavkar	• •	3 Bom., Cr., 38	6190
- v. Tai		8 Bom., Cr., 24	5516
v. Thaku bin Ira	• • •	5 Bom., Cr., 81	5168
— v. Thomesit		5 Bom., Cr., 22	15601
- v. Tookia	• •	2 Bom., 131: 2nd Ed., 125 .	1788, 1801
- v. Tribhuvan Ishwar	• •	1 Bom., 3	3623 3 63 0
- v. Tukaram Ragho	• •	3 Bom., Cr., 54	3618
- v. Tukaya bin Tamana	• •	12 Bom., 234	5554
v. Tunga Tuka	• • •	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 214	3624
TTL1 G.	• • [5 Bom., Cr., 14	625
- v. Ukha Sau . - v. Umtha Rugnath .	• 1	7 Bom., Cr., 88	2 62
v. Uttamchand Kapurchand	• •	11 Bom., 120	1808
- v. Vahala Jetha	•	7 Bom., Cr., 56	1007, 3606
		1 DOM:, OI., OU	TOO1, 2000

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Reg. v. Vakhatchand v. Vakta valad Lakhu v. Venkaji Bhaskar v. Venku Narsa v. Vinayak Divakar v. Vinayak Trimbak v. Virji Kuvarji v. Virji Kuvarji v. Vithaldas Pranjivandas v. Vithal Lakshuman v. Vithoba bin Soma	1 Bom., 50	4217 660 1044 5604 552953 1267, 55 5821 2796 2921 906 5569 1800, 4920
v. Vithu bin Mallu	5 Bom., Cr., 36	5153 3630
— v. Vyankatrav Shrinivas		2762, 3603
v. Vyankanav Shiibivas	7 Bom., Cr., 50	4358, 4625 260, 5905
v. Vyankatsvami	2 Bom., 112 : 2nd Ed., 106	260, 5905 5991
— v. Wells	7 Bom., Cr., 1 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 424 .	2905 3097
- v. Yella valad Parshia	3 Bom., Cr., 37	6191
— v. Yenku Bapuji	8 Bom., Cr., 39	3624, 5298
v. Yessappa bin Ningappa	5 Bom., Cr., 41	955
— v. Zora Karubeg	4 Bom., Cr., 12	5549 2004
Reg. on the prosecution of Shallard v. Watkins Reg. on the prosecution of Toolseedas Nundy v. East Ladin Poilway Company	2 Mad., 444	2904 3783
Reg. on the prosecution of Toolseedoss Nundy v. East, Indian Railway Company.	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 258: } Bourke, O. C., 395.	925
Registration Act, 1866. In the matter of	2 B. L. R., O. C., 151: 11 W. R., O. C., 24.	4757
	3 B. L. R., O. C., 60: 12 W. R., 386, note.	4800
	6 B. L. R., 576	4764
Registration Act, 1871.	11 B. L. R., 20	4797
Reid v. Scott Thomson & Co.	2 Hyde, 172	3792
Reily v. Bamasoonduree Dossee .	25 W. R., 398	4166
v. Hur Chunder Ghose	L. R., 398. 5 25 W. R., 175	1174, 5309 545
Remfry v. DePenning	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 929	5904
v. Shillingford	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 130	4072
Rennie v. Gunganarain Chowdhry .	3 W. R., 10	3849, 6134
Reotee Singh v. Ramjeet	2 N. W., 50	2201
Rethbunjun Singh v. Mitturjeet Singh Retoo Raj Pandey v. Lalljee Pandey	4 W. R., Mis., 9	5455 2484
Revji Patil v. Sakharam	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 615	4337
Rewa Mahton v. Ram Kishen Singh .	L. R., 13 I. A., 106 : I. L. R., 14 Calc., 18.	5623
Rewal Mahton v. Penum Mundar .	22 W. R., 9	1435
Rewunpersad v. Radha Beeby	7 W. R., P. C., 35: 4 Moore's I. A., 137.	2502, 2545
Rewun Pershad v. Jankee Pershad .	11 Moore's I. A., 25	2748
Rewut Konwur v. Omrao Bahadoor Singh	21 W. R., 148	1879
Reza Ali v. Bhikun Khan	7 W. R., 334	4814
v. Purnanand Chuckerbutty	6 B. L. R., Ap., 84: 14 W. R., 474.	4400

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rezaooddeen Hossein v. Fuzloonissa	5 W. R., Mis., 12	5618 3650
Rhidoy Krishna Ghose v. Kailas Chandra Bose .	4 B. L. R., F. B., 82: 13 W. 7 R., F. B., 3.	572
Rhidoy Kristo Mestri v. Nobin Chunder Sen Riasat Ali alias Babu Miya alias Bodiuzzuma. In §	12 C. L., R., 457	4128 452, 2015
the matter of the petition of. Riayatullah Khan v. Nasir Khan	R., 572. 5 I. L. R., 6 All., 616	4879
Riazunissa v. Tekun Jha	1 B. L. R., S. N., 18: 10 W. R., 246.	1615
Ribban v. Partab Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 81	2864
Richardson v. Government	1 W. R., P. C., 47	4650
Ricks. In the matter of .	3 Mad., 151	2656 4200
Ridai Nath Sandyal v. Iswar Chandra Saha Pidhuath Sahay r. Garas Sahas	4 B. L. R., Ap., 57, note 14 W. R., 90	4300 227
Ridhuath Sahoy v. Gopee Sahoo	I Ind. Jur., N. S., 290	3787
Rindamma v. Venkataramappa	3 Mad., 268	2316
Ritchie, Stewart, & Co., v. Gladstone, Wyllie, & Co.	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 125	4676
Ritchie v. Stokes	2 Mad., 255	$132 \\ 245$
Ritooraj v. Oojagur Singh	15 W. R., 227	536, 541
Rivett-Carnac (Administrator General, Bombay) v.	1873, 98. § I. L. R., 10 Bom., 478	2504
Jivibai.	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 333: 9 C.)	
Robarts v. Harrison	L. R., 209.	3456
Robert v. Jaquehim	Bourke, O. C., 112	5276
v. Lombard	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 192 6 B T. P. An. 90	3101,3197 1389
Robert and Charriol v. Isaac	6 B. L. R., Ap., 20 Bourke, O. C., 92	425
v. Shircore	7 B. L. R., 510	5839
Robertson, Gladstone, & Co. v. Kasturymull	3 B. L. R., O. C., 103	1055, 1071
Robertson's case. In the matter of Act XIX of 1857 .	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180	3146
Robinson. In the matter of	2 Ind Jur., N. S., 273	2633
Robinson v. Ayya Krishnama Chariyar	7 Mad., 37	4578 4465
Robson v. Maseyk	3 W. R., Mis., 2	4465 722, 1838
Rochia Mohato. In the matter of the petition of .	R., 273.	2922
Rodeshwar v. Manroop Koer	L. R., 13 I. A., 20	1199, 1505
Rodh Mal v. Ram Harakh	I. L. R., 7 All., 711	3951
Roe v. Roe	3 B. L. R., Ap., 9	1547
Rogers v. Montriou	6 B. L. R., 550	3199
v. Rajendro Dutt	2 W. R., P. C., 51:8 Moore's 1. A., 103.	1354, 4534 5880
Rogho Nundun Lall v. Mohesh Lall	3 C. L. R., 137	592 7
Roghoobuns Tewaree v. Shib Dutt	4 W. R., Act X, 48	1629
Roghoobun Tewaree v. Bishen Dutt Dobey	2 W. R., Act X, 92	1619
Roghooburdyal Mundur v. Christian	3 W. R., 123	4598
Roghoonath Dos v. Alladeen Pattuck	5 W. R., 9	1924
8 v	8 W. R., 201	1144
Roghoonath Doss Mohapattur v. Bydonath Doss Maharatha.	14 W. R., 364	292, 3245
Roghoonath Mundul v. Juggut Bundhoo Bose .	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 214: 8 C. L. R., 393.	5004
Roghoonath Singh v. Mohun Lal Mitter	7 W. R., 296 · ·	5728
Roghoo Nundun Lall Sahoo v. Bunwaree Lall Sahoo .	3 W. R., Mis., 27	355

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Roghooram Biswas v. Ram Chunder Dobey	W. R., F. B., 127 : B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 34.	5682
Roghuni Singh v. Empress	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 455: 11 C. L. R., 569.	62 66, 626 7
Rohan Singh v. Surat Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 318 : L. R., 12 I. A., 25.	4227
Rohee Lall v. Dindyal Lall	21 W. R., 257	1783, 5759 6276
Rohilkhand and Kumaon Bank v. Row	Cor., 133 I. L. R., 6 All., 468 I. L. R., 7 All., 490	656 889 37 50
Rohima Bye v. Mahomed Hadjee Joosub	13 B. L. R., 91 : 21 W. R., \	213, 2822
Rohimoni Dabi v. Zamiruddin Rohimoonissa v. Abdoollah Khan Rohimusissa Begum v. Mohamed Mirza Rohimusissa v. Leakut Ali Khan Rohime Debia v. Digambur Chatterjee v. Shib Chunder Chatterjee Rohinee Kant Roy v. Tripoora Soonduree Dassia Rohini Chowdhrain v. Kishen Gobind Dass Rohini Kant Ghose v. Mahabharat Nag Rohini Nandan Gossain v. Ratneswar Kundu Rohini Nundun Mitter v. Bhogoban Chunder Roy Rohoman Sirkar. In the matter of the petition of Roikunt Nath Kundu v. Lukhun Majhi Rojoni Kant Bhoomick. In the matter of Rollo v. Smith Romanath Bal v. Behari Bag Bagdi Romanath Banerjee. In the matter of the petition of	303. 8 C. L. R., 597 22 W. R., 319 10 C. L. R., 103 22 W. R., 506 23 W. R., 422 15 W. R., 558 8 W. R., 45 4 C. L. R., 125 8 B. L. R., 125 8 B. L. R., 514, note: 10 W. R., 258. 8 B. L. R., Ap., 89, note: 15 W. R., 345. 14 B. L. R., 144, note: 22 W. R., 154. 10 B. L. R., Ap., 4: 18 W. R., Cr., 67. 9 C. L. R., 425 13 W. R., Cr., 24 1 B. L. R., O. C., 10 13 C. L. R., 303 25 W. R., Cr., 69	4713 315 4806 5810 2504 158, 2343 4765 6286 273, 285 5690 2973 3527 5945 1846 344, 5543 3751, 3853 976 3866, 5911
Romanath Dutt v. Joy Kishen Mookerjee . Romanath Jha v. Luchimput Singh . Romanath Rakheet v. Dhookee Sham Bhooya Romanath Roy Chowdry v. Kally Proshad Roy Chowdhry	6 W. R., Act X, 80 19 W. R., 418 11 W. R., 510 18 W. R., 346	1635 3511 3810 1747
Roma Nath Thakoor v. Chunder Narain Chowdhry Romanauth Gossain's case Roma Prosad Roy v. Shorup Paramanick Roma Prosunno Singhee v. Boykanto Nath Ghosal Romesh Chunder Banerjee v. Jadub Chunder Chatterjee Romesh Chunder Dutt v. Gooroo Doss Nundee Romon Audheekaree. In the matter of	Marsh., 136: W. R., F. B., 3 45: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S. 44. 3 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 296 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 712 3 C. L. R., 504 6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 14 W. R., 1864, 204 10 W. R., Cr., 37 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 513: 12 C.	936 585 1900 5463 1582 2624
Rongai v. Empress	L. R., 500.	255, 5167 56, 692
Roocha Ram Misr v. Naga Doss Roodro Narain Roy v. Nittyanund Doss Roodurmun Misser v. Damoodur Misser Roodurnarain Roy v. Coomarnarain Patnaik Rook Begum v. Walagowhur Shah Rooke v. Pyari Lal Rookhini Kant Roy v. Sharikatunissa Bibi	2 N. W., 92	1617 1919 5262 1202 3633 2795, 2844

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rookho v. Madho Doss	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 59 . 1 Agra, Mis., 6 21 W. R., 223 5 N. W., 155	2215 679 4873 5182
Rookminy Bullub Roy v. Mulk Jamania Begum	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 914: 12	4065
Rookmonee Debia v. Krishno Churn Misser Rookuee Kant Chowdhry v. Nund Lall Chowdhry Rooknee Roy v. Amrith Lall Rookonissa v. Woolfut Ali Rookumee Kooer v. Ram Tuhul Roy Roopa v. Sahib Singh Roopa Bewa v. Ram Coomar Sundyal	20 W. R., 147 25 W. R., 120 14 W. R., 254 3 W. R., 232 17 W. R., 156 1 Agra, Rev., 58 Marsh., 248: 2 Hay, 13	2531 1910 5931 4598 3839 1160 5278 4476
Roopa Koonwar v. Juggoo Lall Oopadhya Roopchand Aswal v. Karfool Roopchand Bhukut v. Hur Kishen Doss Roopchand Roy v. Ram Kaut Kobeeraj Roop Debee v. Gungoo Mull Roop Kalee Kooer v. Doolar Pandey	11 W. R., 257 25 W. R., 54 23 W. R., 162 W. R., 1864, 98 3 N. W., 66 20 W. R., 101	480 1739 5775 1709 3151 2533, 6008
Rooploll Khettry v. Mohima Churn Roy .	. 10 B. L. R., 271, note .	6151
Roopmonjoree Chowdranee v. Ram Lall Sirkar	. 1 W. R., 144	1750, 1770 2080, 2146
Roop Moonjuree Kooeree v. Beer Chunder Joobra Roop Mungul Singh v. Anund Roy v. Chooramun Singh Roopnarain Dutt. In the matter of Roopnarainee Kooer v. Ressal Tewaree Roopnarain Misr v. Kashee Ram Singh Timbiram	3 W. R., 111 16 W. R., 182 18 W. R., Cr., 38 24 W. R., 119 2 N. W., 67	2063, 2230 4142, 4932 3458 5162 5760 780, 1081
Roop Narain Singh v. Chatooree Singh v. Gujadhur Pershad Narain v. Juggoo Singh v. Madho Singh v. Ramayee Singh	12 W. R., 283 9 W. R., 297 10 W. R., 304 Marsh., 617 3 C. L. R., 192	1036 4159, 4233 3074 3985 1919, 4293
Roopo Bewan v. Kekaroo . Roop Ram Doss v. Sasceram Nath Kurmokur Roopun Roy v. Purdeep Singh . Roopun Tewaree v. Buckle	21 W. R., Cr., 41 23 W. R., 141 22 W. R., 10 10 W. R., 142	3882 4213 1586 5659 6149
Roostum Singh v. Alum Singh	1 Agra, 291 I. L. R., 3 All., 535	2277
Roshun Doosadh v. Empress	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 768: 6 C. \ L. R., 219.	1788, 1806 2080, 3633
Roshun Jahan v. Enaet Hossein	5 W. R., 5 . W. R., F. B., 41: Marsh.,)	3634, 3679 3680, 3747
Roshun Jehan v. Enayut Hossein	. 127: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 44: } 1 Hay, 269.	4408, 4412
Roshun Mahomed v. Mahomed Kuleem Rosik Lall Nundi v. Karlik Shaut Rossool Mahomed v. Bassoo Bewah Rosul Singh v. Kishoree Lall Rotee Rumun Oopadhya v. Greeja Nund Oopadhy Rotty Rumun Oopadhya v. Chunder Binode Oopad	7 W. R., 150 22 W. R., Cr., 48 8 W. R., 163 8 W. R., 499 5 W. R., 160	3714 4505 1478 294 5615 3159
Roushan Bibee v. Hurraykristo Nath	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 926 .	1119, 1744 6110, 6114
Rousban Sirkar v. Nabin Chandra Ghatak .	6 B. L. R., 377, note: 12 R., 402.	3770

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest
Roushun Jahan v. Enaet Hossein	W. R., 1864, 83	2080, 3748
Roussac v. Thacker & Co.	1 Hyde, 9	1156
Rousseau v. Nuboo Kishore Bhudro	12 W. R., 302	5206
v. Pinto	7 W. R., 190	2767, 6248
v. Pinto	10 W. R., 54	4529
Rowshun v. Bholanath Doss	5 W. R., Act X, 67	6279
Rowshun Beebee v. Kureem Buksh	4 W. R., 12	2020
Rowshun Bibee v. Chundermadhub Kur	16 W. R., 177	4937
Rowshun Jehan v. Collector of Purneah	14 W. R., 295	1251
Rowshun Koer v. Ram Dihal Roy	13 C. L. R., 45	3721
Rowson v. Hanama Mestri	T T D 1 M . J 900	100
Damthalaami In m	I. L. R., 1 Mad, 280	3572, 3613
Royal Bank of India v. Eastern Bengal Indigo	1. 11. 10., 5 Mau., 201	
Company	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 281	5638
u Uommanii Vitamalii	9 Par O O 159	169 5999
	3 Bom., O. C., 153	168, 5823
Royal Reddi v. Linga Reddi	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 1	884 2629
Roy Chunder Roy v. Bampton	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 188	
	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 385	5641
Roy Dhunput Singh v. Lekraj Roy	1 C. L. R., 525	3319
v. Prem Bibee	24 W. R., 72	776
Roy Dinkur Doyal v. Sheo Golam Singh	22 W. R., 172	4028, 5013
Roy Kally Prosonno Sein v. Kisto Nund Dundee.	W. R., 1864, Act X, 13	3180
Royes Mollah v. Mudhoosoodun Mundul	9 W. R., 154	4164
Roy Goodur Suhaye v. Achebur Lall	13 W. R., 120	5132
Roy Gowree Sunkur v. Bholee Pershad	17 W. R., 211	3904
Roy Huree Kishen v. Asmedh Koonwar	W. R., 1864, 57	3314
v. Nursing Narain	6 W. R., Act X, 63	2885
Roy Jung Bahadoor v. Jugdeo Roy	25 W. R., 247	581
Roy Luchmeeput Singh v. Jowahur Ali	18 W R., 497	1912
v. Moshuruff Ali	25 W. R., 80	303
Roy Meghraj v. Beejoy Gobind Burral	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 197: 23 }	5123
	W. R., 438.	
Roy Mohan Lall Mittra v. Bishnu Chandra Chatterjee .	1 B. L. R., A. C., 100	4408
Roy Mohun Lall Mitter v. Buhoo Soonduree Debia .	10 W. R., 145	4408
v. Choolun Singh	1 Hay, 433.	5485
Roymoney Dossee. In the goods of	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 150	6197
v. Roghunath Sen	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 14	2239
Roy Nandipat Mahata v. Urquhart	4 B. L. R., A. C., 181: 13	860, 3096
	W. R., 209.	4445, 5461
Roy Nundeeput Mohatoon v. Stewart	23 W. R., 20	5612
Roy Odyte Narain Singh v. Ubhurun Roy	4 W. R., Act X, 1	4171
Roy Preconath Chowdhry v. Prannath Roy Chowdhry .	8 W. R., 100	3535
Roy Priyanath Chowdhry v. Bepinbehari Chuckerbutty {	2 B. L. R., A. C., 237: 11	4272, 4938
	W. R., 120.	
Chowdhry. v. Prasanna Chandra Roy	2 B. L. R., A. C., 249	177, 1195
Roy Sooltan Bahadoor v. Laloo Kooer	17 W. R., 300	3557
Rozeeoodeen v. Jehangeer	5 W. R., Mis., 22	5622
Rucha Rae v. Permeshur Dyal	2 N. W., 252	4951
Ruchpaul v. Johuree	1 Agra, 147	4295
Ruckmaboye v. Lulloobhoy Mottichund	5 Moore's I. A., 234 .	1578, 3112
_	()	5877, 5972
Ruddravarapu Vissam Raz alias Konamraze. Ex parte	3 Mad., 287	3825
Ruder Perkash Misser v. Hurdai Narain Sahu	5 C. L. R., 112	5049
v	9 C. L. R., 16	2283
Rudrakant Surma Sircar v. Nobokishore Surma Biswas {	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 663: 12 C.	3163
)	L. R., 269.	2200

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rudra Prokash Misser v. Bhola Nath Mukherjee . Rudr Narain Singh v. Rup Kuar Ruff v. Stokoe Rughobur Suhai v. Chuttraput . Rughonath Dass v. Ram Gopal . Rugho Nath Dobey v. Huttee Dobey Rughoobar Doyal Singh v. Bhekaree Singh . Rughoo Bibee v. Noor Jehan Begum . Rughoobur Dyal v. Maina Koer . Rughoobur Dyal Sahoo v. Kishen Pertab Sahee . Rughoobur Byal Singh v. Ram Narain Kolya . Rughoobur Singh v. Hurree Pershad . Rughoobur Thakoor v. Syefoollah Khan . Rughoomohinee Dossee v. Kashee Nath Roy Chowdhry . Rughoonath Bose v. Oomed Ali . Rughoonath Coondoo v. Hurish Chunder Roy	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 612 I. L. R., 1 All., 734 9 W. R., 213 1 Agra, 73 . 5 N. W., 29 1 Agra, Mis., 17 22 W. R., 472 12 W. R., 459 12 C. L. R., 564 L. R., 6 I. A., 211 22 W. R., 312 6 W. R., 289 10 W. R., 156 W. R., 156 W. R., F. B., 177 W. R., 1864, 326	3745 2264, 5057 2986 2771 3991 3836 2487 3092 172, 371 37 1429, 5267 3281 564, 5170 769 3076
Rughoonath Dass v. Chuckerdhun Raut Rughoonath Dass v. Halle v. Shiromonee Pat Mohadebee	15 W. R., Cr., 49 16 W. R., 60 . 24 W. R., 20	5161 3794 3133, 3221 3228, 3527
Rughoonath Dobey v. Puresh Ram Mahata Rughoonath Doss v. Luchmee Narain Singh Rughoonath Mitter v. Woomanath Chowdhry Rughoonath Panjah v. Luckhun Chunder Dullal	10 W. R., 9	4165 4143 5919 1902, 2459
Chowdhry. Rughoonath Pershad v. Huree Mohunt Rughoo Nath Pershad Singh v. Byjnath Sahoy Rughoo Nath Rai v. Chundoo Lall Rughoonath Roy v. Anundo Pauray	18 W. R., 23	327 4269 4187, 4459 5140 4021
Rughoonath Sahoy v. Boondir Mundir Rughoonath Singh v. Toodey Singh Rughoonath Sonar v. Mokoond Doss Rughoonath Surmah v. Gobind Chunder Roy Rughoonauth Sahai Chotayloll v. Manick Chand	7 W. R., 244 1 W. R., 36 5 N. W., 19 25 W. R., 213 14 W. R., 170 6 Moore's I. A., 251	2915 5478, 5730 5185 5634 1068
Rughoo Nundun Pershad Singh v. Chuttur Paul Singh . Rughoo Nundun Singh v. Arcott v. Cochrane v. Gopal Chund Chowdhry	10 W. R., 335	4912 2709 5275, 5936 3135 5275
Rughunath Panjah v. Issur Chunder Chowdhry	23 W. R., 301	690 5070
Rughu Nath Singh Manku v. Pareshram Mahata .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 635: 13 C. L. R., 89.	3115
Rughu Nundun Rain v. Sumessar Panday	13 B. L. R., 489: 22 W. R.,) 235.	5621 3000
Ruheemuddy Akun v. Poorno Chunder Roy Chowdhry Ruhmoonissa v. Soopun Jan Ruhmun v. Shumsooddeen Hyder Ruhmut-oollah v. Tuffuzzool Hossein Rujabai v. Ismail Ahmed	22 W. R., 336 18 W. R., 244 W. R., 1864, 183 1 Agra, Rev., 67 7 Bom., O. C., 27	3026 3985 533 4235 1146, 1453
Rujaput Rai v. Mahomed Ali Khan	5 N. W., 215 · · · į	4041
Rujjomoney v. Sibchunder Mullick Rujoneekant Mitter v. Premchand Bose Ruka Bai v. Ganda Bai Rukhinee Bullubh v. Brojonath Sircar	2 Hyde, 103 Marsh., 241: 1 Hay, 513 I. L. R., 1 All., 594 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 308	2420 2507 2413 5474

v. Ahmed Hossein	22 W. R., 443	
Rukhini Dasi v. Kader Nath Ghose Rukkini Dasi v. Kader Nath Ghose Rukmin. In the matter of Rukmini Burmonia v. Foodun Koomaree Burmonia Rukmadawla Nowab Ahmed Ali Khan v. Hurdwari Mull Rummeezooddeen Bhooyan v. Joynnala Rummun Singh v. Eshree Pershad Rumonee Debea v. Baluck Doss Mohant Rumonee Soonduree Dossia v. Punchanun Bose Ruman Doss v. Ashbul Doss Ruman Doss v. Ashbul Doss Ruman Ali v. Soorujbhan Run Bahadoor v. Poodhee Roy Run Bahadoor Singh v. Hardoyal Singh	25 W. R., 280 5 B. L. R., Ap., 87 I. L. R., 1 All., 287 23 W. R., 408 5 B. L. R., 578 15 W. R., 303 2 Agra, 144 11 B. L. R., 336, note: 14 W. R., 101 4 W. R., 105 1 W. R., 160 7 W. R., 403 W. R., 1864, 319 21 W. R., Cr., 32 4 C. L. R., 270	4232 3857 2309 183, 5115 312, 316 478 5752 2980 2252 3178 2248 4346 5285 1544 1411
v. Lucho Koer	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 406: 7 C.	1206, 5062
v. Muloorum Tewaree . v. Roy Narain Doss . v. Tilessuree Kooer . Run Bahadur Singh v. Lucho Koer . Rundle v. Secretary of State . Rungama v. Atchama . Rungiah Pillai v. Chinnasami Pillai . Rungiah Pillai v. Hem Narain Gir . Runglall Gossain v. Gowree Mundul . Rung Lall Misser v. Roghoobur Singh . v. Tokhun Misser . Rungo Bujaji v. Babaji . Rungo Debee Boistobee v. Huree Narain Oopadhya Rungo Kopohooa v. Dehassur Mussulman .	L. R., 251. 8 W. R., 149 7 C. L. R., 82 22 W. R., Cr., 79 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 301 : L. R., 12 I. A., 23. 4 C. L. R., 498 I. Hyde, 37 2 Hyde, 25, 36 7 W. R., P. C., 57 : 4 Moore's I. A., 1. 3 Mad., 374 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 166 10 W. R., 285 9 W. R., 169 I. L. R., 2 Calc., 114 : 25 W. R., 304. I. L. R., 6 Bom., 83 11 W. R., 138 13 W. R., 138 13 W. R., 302 Marsh., 117 : W. R., F. B., 34: 1 Ind Jur., O. S., 34: 1	3798 2727 4728, 4731 4735 5022, 5027 5062, 5067 4663, 4666 2804 4586 2135 5660 847, 5295 878 4457, 4477 761 3230 884 514
	Hay, 200.	2039
Rungo Monee Debia v. Raj Coomar Bebee Rungo Monee Dossee v. Campbell v. Unnopoorna Debia . Rungrav Ravji v. Sidhi Mahomed Ebrahim. Rungunmoney Dossee v. Brijo Lall Day Runjeet v. Choonnee Lall . Runjeet Narain Singh v. Shureefoonissa Runjeet Ram Koolal v. Mahomed Waris Runjeet Ram Panday v. Goverdhun Ram Panday Runjeet Singh v. Gugraj Singh v. Madud Ali Runjit Singh v. Bunwari Lal Sahu	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 314: 3 C. L. R., 119. 6 W. R., 197 12 W. R., 111 7 W. R., 149 1. L. R., 6 Bom., 482 Cor., 25 1 Agra, 78 10 W. R., 478 21 W. R., 49 20 W. R., 25 L. R., 1 I. A., 9 3 Agra, 222 1. L. R., 10 Calc., 993 1. L. R., 3 Calc., 662: 2 C. L. R., 391.	2990 143 1640 4162 4978 5284 804 3211, 3996 2203 6001 3367 2359 4466 161, 497 740, 742

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Runjit Singh v. Schoene, Kilburn, & Co. Runnoo Pandey v. Buksh Ali Runnoo Roy v. Kadoo Lall Runnoo Singh v. Kalichurn Misser Runpal Singh v. Joy Mungul Singh Run Singh v. Mahomed Abid Rupabai v. Parbhuram Kirpashankar	4 C. L. R., 390 . 3 N. W., 2 . 25 W. R., 58 . 16 W. R., Cr., 18 . 11 W. R., 106 . 2 Agra, 127 . 8 Bom., A. C., 102	4182 2088, 6031 571 980, 5994 4908 5081 1125 1574, 2238
Rupa Jagshet v. Krishnaji Govind	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 169	3430 2342
Rup Chand Chowdhry v. Latu Chowdhry	3 C. L. R., 97 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 495 8 Bom., A. C., 114	4841, 540 2 2121
Rupchandra Ghose v. Rupmanjari Dasi	3 B. L. R., A. C., 325 R., 274.	4561
Rupennessa Bibi Chowdrani v. Abeduddin Mahomed Rup Kishore v. Mohin Rup Kuari v. Ram Kirpal Shukul Ruplal Khettry v. Mahima Chandra Roy Rup Narain v. Awadh Prasad Rup Narain Singh v. Junko Bye	8 C. L. R., 73 I. L. R., 3 All., 415 I. L. R., 3 All., 141 5 B. L. R., 254 I. L. R., 7 All., 478 3 C. L. R., 112	3801, 3802 3805 3138 4982 2603 4551 1573, 2250
Rup Singh v. Baisni	I. L. R., 7 All., 1 : L. R., I. A., 149.	2328
Rushton. In the goods of v. Atkinson v. Girdharee Tewaree Russick Chunder v. Ram Lall Shaha	I. L. R., 7 All., 887 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 736 11 W. R., 485 Marsh., 331: 2 Hay, 394 22 W. R., 301	3486 1238 332, 3012 1583 1429, 2797
Russickdas Bairagy v. Preonath Misree	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 102: 12 C. L. R., 405.	3856
Russick Lall Chatterjee. In the matter of Russick Lall Day v. Jadubram Day Russick Lall Mullick. In the matter of Russickloll Mudduck v. Lokenath Kurmokar	15 W. R., 518	5918 553 2 979, 195 9 2971, 3056
Russik Lal Shaha Chowdhry v. Prem Dhun Bural	24 W. R., 279	1767
Russool Bibee v. Jan Ali Chowdhry	12 B. L. R., 267, note: 17 W. R., 31.	
Russool Nushyo. In re Russoolun v. Fuzeelntoonnissa Rustamji Adesir Davar v. Ratangi Rustamji Wadia Rustomji Burjorji v. Kessowji Naik	11 W. R., Cr., 3 W. R., 1864, Mis., 36 7 Bom., O. C., 9 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 161 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 287	4506 4941 1032 743, 4522 201, 744 5284
Rustomji Eduljee Croos v. Cursetjee Sorabjee Croos	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 349	5835 1448, 1 467 :
Rustum Ally v. Ameer Ally Soudagur	10 W. R., 487 .	4263
Rustum Singh v. Mahurban Singh Rutcheputty Dutt Jha v. Rajundur Narain Bae Rutna Dobain v. Purladh Dobey Rutnes v. Roghober Dyal Rutnessur Biswas v. Hurish Chunder Bose Rutnessur Dass. In the matter of Rutnessur Koondoo v. Majeda Bibee Rutnessur Singh v. Ram Tunoo Ghose	5 N. W., 179 2 Moore's I. A., 132. 7 W. R., 450 2 Agra, 278 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 221 14 W. R., 381 7 W. R., 252 12 W. R., 491	3259 2281 2318 79 1373 4036 3256 440
Rutta Bebee v. Dumree Lal	2 N. W., 153	2754, 4033 6128,

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ruttan Chand Shooree v. Huree Monee	. 5 W. R., 225	2420
Ruttanjote Kooer v. Ram Dass	10 B. L. R., 290: 19 W. R.,	498
Ruttee Sirdar v. Sajoo Poramanick	11 B. L. R., 345: 20 W. R., 235.	1146
Ruttonjee Eduljee Shet v. Collector of Thanna .	10 W. R., P. C., 13: 11 Moore's I. A., 295.	2960, 3074
Ruttonmonee Dabee v. Komolakanth Mookerjee .	12 B. L. R., 283, note : 12 W. R., 364.	3117
Rutton Monee Debia v. Gunga Monee Debia Chowdh	rain 3 W. R., 94	3441
Ruttonsey Lalji v. Pooribai	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 304 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 692	999, 4519
Rutton Singh v. Greedharee Lall	8 W. R., 310	1088 534, 3079
Ruttoo Singh v. Crowdy .	2 W. R., 477, note	3804
Rutto Singh v. Bajrang Singh	13 C. L. R., 280	480
Ruttun v. Dhoomee Khan.	3 Agra, 21	3689
Ruttun Bebee v. Makarum Ali	2 Agra, 309	4459
Ruttun Bewa v. Buhur	14 W. R., Cr., 7	6191
Ruttun Chand Bysack v. Bocha Bibee	12 W. R., 529	4125
Ruttunessur Chatterjee v. Gooroo Churn Chatterjee Ruttun Kristo Bosoo v. Bhugoban Chunder Bosoo	9 W. R., 296	1199
Ruttun Monee Dassee v. Kaleekissen Chuckerbutty	18 W. R., 32 W. R., F. B., 147	2329 $2891,5272$
Ruttunmonee Dossee v. Jotendromohun Tagore .	6 W. R., Act X, 31	4929
Ruttun Monee Dutt v. Brojo Mohun Dutt	22 W. R., 11	4310
	22 W. R., 333	6101
Ruttun Singh v. Oomrao Singh	4 N. W., 13	4548
Rutty Kant Bose v. Gungadhur Biswas	W. R., F. B. 13: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 6: Marsh., 40.	6175
Ruznudeen Hossein v. Fuzalun	3 W. R., 120	1357
Ryall v. Sherman	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 287	774
Ryasutulla v. Doogachurn Pal	15 B. L. R., 294: 24 W. R., 121.	4825
Ryaw Petee. In the matter of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 15	4742
Rychurn Paul v. Pearymonee Dassee	Marsh., 622	2485
Ryesunnissa Begum v. Bydonath Saha	17 W. R., 354	1631
Ryrappan Nambiar v. Kelu Kurup	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 150	3757
~ .		
8		
Saadoollah Shaikh v. Kaleechurn	12 W. R., 358	839
Sabapathi v. Subraya	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 58 .	5126, 5140
Sabapathi Chetti v. Subraya Chetti	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 250 .	5813
Sabapati Chetti v. Chedumbara Chetti	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 397 .	3137 1208, 3099
Sabapati Mudaliyar v. Narayansvami Mudaliyar .	1 Mad., 115	5875
Sabeer Khan v. Kallidoss Dey	1 W. R., 199	4868
Sabetoollah Meah v. Rohim Dewan	9 W. R., 273	245
Sabhanatha Dikshatar v. Subba Lakshmi Ammal	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 80 .	3492, 5243
Sabhapati Mudali v. Muttusvami Mudali	1 Mad., 103	566 0
Sabharam bin Vithoji v. Sadashiv bin Sayaji	1 Bom., 96	153
Sabhee Sing. In re	6 W. R., Cr., 50	4490, 4494 4500
Sabir Khan v. Ram Luckhee Chowdhrain	10 W. R., 438	4900
Sabitra Monee v. Mudhoo Soodun Singh	Marsh., 519	1701
Sabo Bewa v. Nahagun Maiti	2 B. L. R., Ap., 51: 11	2114
	R., 380.	
Sabramanya v. Gnanasambantha	I. L. R, 7 Mad., 374 .	5177

Name of Ca-e.	Volume and I'age.	Column of Digest.
Sabuktulla v. Hari	10°C, L. R., 199	1687 1422, 2523 5045
Sadabart Prasad Sahu v. Foolbash Koer	3 B. L. R., F. B., 31 : 12 W. R., F. B., 1	2391 4767
Sadagopa Ayyangar v. Dorasami Sastri Sadagopa Chariyar v. Ruthna Mudali	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 214 . 5 Mad., 457 . I. L. R., 9 Mad , 282 . I. L. R., 5 Mad., 54	3929, 482 3 971 2104, 3253 5414, 5447
Bhai Ammal. Sadakat Hossein v. Mahomed Yusuf	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 663: L. R., 11 I. A., 31.	3635
Sadashiv Anant v. Vithal Anant Sadashiv Bhaskar Joshi v. Dhakubai Sadashiv Joshi v. Dinkar Joshi Sadashiv Moreshvar v. Hanso bin Shravan Sadashiv Moreshvar Ghate v. Hari Mooreshvar Ghate Sadashiv Yeshwant v. Atmaram Sakharam Sadashiv 2 v. Muttu Sabapathi Chetti	11 Bom., 32 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 450 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 520 5 Bom., A. C., 156 11 Bom., 190 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 535 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 106	4023 6130 2180 416 1711, 2126 1243 3553
Sadasiva Pillai v. Ramalinga Pillai	15 B. L. R., 383 : 24 W. R., 193 : L. R., 2 I. A., 219.	1684, 3828
Sadasivayyar v. Muttu Sabapathi Chetti Sada Sookh v. Shiva Dyal	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 106	5437 364
Sadat Ali v. Sadattunissa	3 B. L. R., Ap., 101:12 W. R., 37.	532
Sadaya Pillai v. Chinni	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 352 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 554	4985 2628, 2659
Saddanando Maiti v. Nowrattam Maiti	8 B. L. R., 280: 16 W. R., 289.	526
Sadeeronnissa Bibi v. Shourubby Debea	25 W. R., 459	1780 3407, 3986
Sadhan Chandra Bose v. Guru Charan Bose .	8 B. L. R., 6, note: 15 W. R., 99.	3000, 3011
Sadhoojunna v. Ramhurry Mundul	1 Hay, 461	4601 3796 13 360 791
Sadodin v. Spiers Sadu v. Baiza v. Sambhu Saduck Sircar v. Mohamoya Debia Saduk Ali Khan v. Pegree	L. R., 3 Bom., 437 L. R., 4 Bom., 37 L. R., 6 Bom., 592 5 W. R., Act X, 16 9 W. R., 142	337, 2659 2330, 5009 1521 1606 4141
Sadut Ali v. Ramdhone Misser	12 C. L. R., 43 11 B. L. R., 203: 19 W. R, 171: L. R., I. A., Sup.	3245 1439
Sadut Ali Khan v. Abdool Gunney	Vol., 165.	4610
v. Manikarnika Chowdhrain v. Phillipe Saefoollah Khan v. Luchmeeput Singh Doogur Saem Sirdar v. Kamaluddy Sirdar	W. R., 1864, 119 . 1 W. R., 290 . 13 W. R., 58 22 W. R., 424	525 5321 4874 306, 2025
Safdar Ali Khan v. Lachman Das	I. L. R., 2 All., 554	4780
Sagangowda bin Basangowda v. Basapa bin Chenapa Sage v. Mackay & Co. —— v. Nirunjun Chatterjee Sagore Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Sherbourne	9 Bom., 62	4175 5753 1255 1933

	Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of
	Sagore Dutt v. Ramchunder Mitter	1 Hyde, 136 1 W. R., 249 1 B. L. R., O. Cr., 41: 18 W.	2103, 2823 5106
	Sagur Dutt. In the matter of	R., Cr., 44, note.	1152
•	Sahadeo Pandey v. Nokhid Pandey Sahai Nand v. Mungniram Marwari Sahai Pandey v. Sham Narain Sahebdi v. Kuran Sahebdi v. Kuran Saheb Jehan v. Asudoollah Saheb Jehan v. Asudoollah Saheb Ram Jha v. Kasheenath Jha Saheb Roy v. Choonee Singh v. Gujadhur Pershad Narain Singh	15 W. R., 573 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 542 I. L. R., 2 All., 142 21 W. R., Cr., 37 22 W. R., 288 5 W. R., Mis., 28 21 W. R., 295 9 W. R., 487 22 W. R., 221	4980 78, 1225 3934 4723 5131, 5782 209 388 207, 4289 4037, 6098
	Sahebzadee Begum v. Himmut Bahadoor	12 W. R., 512; 4 B. L. R., A. C., 1(3.	2735, 3637 193, 3700
	Sahee Ram v. Mahomed Abdul Rahaman Sahiba Begum v. Atchamma Sahib Rautan v. Ibrahim Rautan Sahib Singh v. Put Ram Sah Koondun Lall v. Makhun Lall	6 N. W., 268	3644 3677 2648 5074 329 3407
	Sahodra v. Rai Jang Bahadur	8 I. A., 210.	
	Sahoo Chund v. Geetum Singh	2 Agra, 206 1 N. W., 176: Ed. 1873, 255 3 Mad., 84	411 4955 4962
	tama Nachiyar. Sainal Ranchhod v. Dullabh Dvarka Sajan v. Roopram Sajanji valad Godaji v. Anaji valad Lakshman Sajibullah Sirkar v. Hazi Khosh Mohamed Sirkar	10 Bom., 360	5143 4902 4799 4850
	Sajiwan v . Gopal	1 B. L. R., S. N., 15: 10 R, 149.	423
1	Sakalchand Savaichand v. Dayabhai Ichhachand Sakharam Dikshit v. Ganesh Sathe	4 Bom., A. C., 70	4197 3361 815, 3451 4780
1	Sakharam Mahadev v. Hari Krishna	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 113 .	319, 1465 2453
1	Sakharam Mahipat v. Dawud valad Jawabhai	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 76, note	6131
:	Sakharam Narasimha Sardesai v. Vithu Lakha Gouda 🧎	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 250: 2 Bom., 237: 2nd Ed., 225.	3977
5	Sakharam Narayan v. Gopal Lakshuman Sakharam Ramchandra Dikshit v. Govind Vaman Dikshit. Sakharam Sadashiv Adhikari v. Sitabai	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 656, note . 10 Bom., 361 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 353	3973 912, 2233 2234 2311
5	Sak haram Shridhar Gadkari v. Chairman of the Muni-	7 Bom., A. C., 33.	5260
20 20 20	cipality of Kaliyan. sakharam Trimbak v. Ranu valad Vithal Apaji sakharam Vithal Adhikari v. Collector of Ratnagiri sakhawat Ally v. Noorjehan Begum sakhawat Ally ln the matter of the petition of	1 Bom., 191 8 Bom., A. C., 288 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 429 I. L. R., 6 All., 163	2416 3260 86, 91 269
	ak ho Narayan Khandalkar v. Narayan Bhikaji Khan- dalkar.	6 Bom., A. C., 238	782, 5891
5	akkaji Rau v. Lutchmana Gaundan	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 149 3 B. L. R., A. C., 141 1 Bom., 194 16 W. R., 85	3058 3980 2412 5024, 5144

Name of Caso.	Volume and Page.	Columin of Digest.
Salamat Ali v. Budh Singh v. Minahan Salamat Hossein v. Luckhi Ram Salamut Bebee v. Blugwan Doss Salehoonissa Khatoon v. Mohesh Chunder Roy Saleh Shabi Sabi-ud-din Abu Saleh. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 1 All., 303 · . I. L. R., 4 All., 337 . I. L. R., 10 Cale., 521 . 2 N. W., 33 17 W. R., 452 . 6 B. L. R., 126 : 15 W. R., 7 F. B., 1.	2020 2649 415 2918 1180, 1622 5139
v. Asadunissas		5139
Bibee. Salgram Missor v. Janki Koer Saligram v. Azim Ali Beg Saligram v. Debi Parshad Saligram v. Jhunna Kuar Saligram v. Juggun Nath Saligram v. Lultaparshad Saligram v. Meheen Lall Saligram v. Meheen v. Meheen v. Meheen v. Meheen v. Meheen v. Meheen v. Mehee	F. B., 1. 9 W. R., 122 10 Moore's I. A., 114 7 N. W., 38 I. L. R., 4 All., 546 1 Agra, 137 6 N. W., 329	168 3120 1691, 4554 5814 4603 2153
v. Meheen Lall	2 Agra, 235	44 64 4 543
v. Pursidh Ram v. Ram Sewuk	1 Agra, 186 1 Agra, Mis., 14 12 B. L. R., 167: 18 W. R.,	1925
v. Secretary of State	389: L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 119.	58, 2596
Saligram Singh v. Barun Rai v. Gheenoo Singh v. Gobind Sahai Saligram Tewaree v. Beharee Misser	4 N. W., 92 16 W. R., 19 4 B. L. R., Ap., 64 . W. R., 1864, 36	3964 4270 819 4011
Salikram v. Azim Ali Beg	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 117: 87	4635
Saluji Kesraji v. Rajsangji Jalmsangji Salu kom Raghuji v. Ravaji bin Ramjce Salur Zamindar v. Pedda Pakir Raju	Moore's 1. A., 270. 2 Bom., 169: 2nd Ed., 162 1 Bom., 41 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 371 .	310, 3115 4398, 4410 5774 3126 2062
Sam v. Appundi Ibrahim Saib	6 Mad., 75	3545, 3549 5796
Samachurn Audhicaree Byragee v. Roopdoss Byragee Samakkaundan v. Perumal Chetti Samalbhai Nathubhai v. Someshvar Samal Nathu v. Jaishankar Dalsuknam Samandra Kunwar. In re Samantha Pandara v. Sellappa Chetti Samar Ali v. Karim-ul-lah Samarapuri Chetti v. Shanmuga Chetti Sama Rayar v. Annamalai Chetti	6 W. R., 68	2265 6134 2377, 4321 385 2447 2258 3989 4981 1998
Samasundari Debi v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co	3 B. L. R., Ap., 120: 12 W. R., 160.	1204
Samat v. Amra Samathal v. Mathoosri Kamatchi Amma Boyi Saib	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 394 7 Mad., 395	2295 395ŏ
Avergul. Sambanda Mudaliyar v. Nanasambandapandara Sambasivanna v. Bhogappa Sambhubhai Karsandas v. Shivlaldas Sadashivdas Sam Chunder Baboo v. Teeluck Chunder Baboo Sami Achari v. Somasundram Achari Sami Ayyangar v. Gopal Ayyangar Sami Chetti v. Ammani Achy Saminada Pillai v. Subba Reddiar Saminadha Mudali v. South Indian Railway Company	1 Mad., 298 1. L. R., 5 Mad., 378 1. L. R., 4 Bom., 89 2 Hay, 142 1 L. R., 6 Mad., 119 7 Mad., 176 7 Mad., 260 1. L. R., 1 Mad., 333 1. L. R., 6 Mad., 420	2254 971 4195, 6007 411 4995 2821 4034 3046, 4043 4703

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Saminathaiyan v. Saminathaiyan Saminatha Pillay v. Varisai Mahomed Ravattan	4 Mad., 153	2972, 5828 5657
Samiraddi Khalifa v. Haris Chandra	3 B. L. R., A. C., 49: 13 W. } R., 451, note.	5411
Samiruddin. In the matter of the petition of .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 211: 10 C. } L. R., 11.	1794
Samod Ali v. Mahomed Kassim }	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 663: 12 C. } L. R., 269.	3163
Samshere Khan v. Empress	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 154: 8 C. L. 3 R., 158.	1334
Samsuddin Pirjade v. Ganpatrav Jagannath Samsuddin Sultan v. Ramji Bhika Samuel Pillai v. Ananthanatha Pillai Samy Aiyangar alias Ramasawmy Aiyangar v. Alaga-	7 Bom., A. C., 19	312 5826 1031
singa Aiyangar.	5 Mau., 55	991 5242, 5682
Sandes v. Jomir Shaikh v. Suroop Chunder Biswas Sandys v. Setul Mundul	9 W. R., 399 2 W. R., Act X, 11 10 W. R., 420	2915 1058
Sangamram v. Sheobart Bhagat	I. L. R., 3 All., 112 .	1467, 4541 4543, 5273
Sangapa bin Baslingapa v. Gangapa bin Niranjapa · .	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 476	5243 2793, 5255
Sangapa Malapa v. Bhimangowda Mariapa	10 Bom., 194 {	6004
Sangappa bin Chanbasappa v. Sahebanna bin Kengedappa.	7 Bom., A. C., 141	2378
Sangappa bin Ningappa v. Basappa bin Parappa Sangaralingam Pillai. In the matter of Sangili Vira Pandia Chinnatambiar v. Queen Sangili Virapandia Chinnathambiar v. Alwar Ayyangar. Sangoovien v. Kollathoorayen	7 Bom., A. C., 1	4810 292 5525 198, 800 2234 1676 3485
v. Rajan Bahi	1. L. R., 12 Calc., 219: L.	1835
Sanioollah v. Aftabooddeen Mahomed . Sanjeeviyah v. Nanjiyah . Sanjivi v. Errapa V. Ramasami	R., 12 I. A., 183. 23 W. R., 245 4 Mad., 455 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 290 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 494 24 W. R., 274	5348 804 3354 828 2084 3958, 4028
Sankana Kalana v. Virupakshapa Ganeshapa	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 146 . {	4614
Sankara Naraina v. Vijaya Raghunadha Mattayan Pannikondar.	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 134	1247
Sankaranarayana v. Kunjappa	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 411 3 Mad., 231	359 7 1395
Sankara Subbaiyan v. Subba Rau	2 Mad., 1	1741, 3427 6084
Sankaravadivammal v. Kumarasamya	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 473 4 B. L. R., A. C., 65	829, 5174 4852 5595
v. Zamindar of Salur	25 W. R., 6	4476 180, 362 4802
Santee Ram Jana v. Modoo Mytee	20 W. R., 363	4002

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Santiram Panja v. Bykunt Panjah	10 B. L. R., 397 : 19 W. R., 280.	3801
Sant Kumar v. Deo Saran	I. L. R., 8 All., 365 .	314, 1421 2292, 2523 5046
Santoo Mundle v. Abdool Biswas Sanwul Singh v. Makhun Pandey	13 W. R., Cr., 35 2 N. W., 143	5154 5471, 5482
Sarabjit Singh v. Chapman	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 81 : L. R., 13 I. A., 44.	3567
Saradamayi Chowdhrain v. Nabin Chandra Roy Chow-	2 B. L. R., A. C., 333:11 W.	4957
dhry. Sarada Sundari Debi v. Gobindmani Debi Sarangapani v. Narayanasami . Sarapu Venkadesau v. Malai Isvaraiyya Sarasibala Debi v. Nand Lal Sen . Sarasuti v. Mannu	R., 255. 2 B. L. R., A. C., 137, note I. L. R., 8 Mad., 567 1 Mad., 1 5 B. L. R., 389 I. L. R., 2 All., 134 3 Mad., 258	2476, 2544 5124 916 3993 338, 2320 3114
Saraswati Dasi v. Dhanput Singh .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 431 : 12 L. R., 12.	1840, 4456 6004
Saraswati Debi v. Nabadwip Chandra Gossain Sarat Chandra Roy v. Collector of Chittagong Sarat Soondary Dabea v. Anund Mohun Surma Ghuttack,	5 B. L. R., 380 2 B. L. R., Ap., 3 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 273 : 4 C. L R., 448.	1666 1757 1189, 1620
Sarat Sundari Debi v. Paresnarain Roy	8 B. L. R., 113: 16 W. R., 7	4654
v. Prosonno Coomar Tagore .	P. C., 9. 6 B. L. R., 677.: 15 W. R., P. C., 20: 13 Moore's I. A., 607.	293, 740 1754
- v. Secretary of State for India	I L. R., 11 Calc., 784	65
v. Surjakant Acharji Chowdhry	2 B. L. R., Ap., 53:11 W. R., 397.	4032
v. Watson Sarat Sundari Debya v. Soorjya Kant Acharjya Saravana Tevan v. Muttayi Ammal Sarawak and Hindustan Banking and Trading Company. In the matter of. Sarboree Kanto Bhuttacharjee v. Anadya Kanto Bhuttacharjee.	2 B. L., A. C., 159 25 W. R., 242 6 Mad., 371 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 704: 3 C. L. R., 581. 12 B. L. R., Ap., 10: 20 W. R., 226.	1180 44 2381 946 170,171
Sardhari Lal. In the matter of the petition of .	13 B. L. R., Ap., 40: 22 W.	1317, 1831
Sardowan v. Hurbuns Narain Singh	R., Cr., 10.	4855 1442
Sarifunnissa v. Inayet Hossein	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 415:5} W. R., 88.	4186
Sarju Prasad v. Beni Madho	I. L. R., 6 All., 6 . ;	2726
Sarkies v. Bundhoo Baee	1 N. W., Pt. VI, p. 81 : Ed. \ 1873, 172.	424, 442 2630
v. Kali Coomar Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 98 .	528
v. Prosonomoyee Dossee	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 794: 8 C. L. R., 76.	1559, 1576 1709, 1751 5900
v. Sangram Singh	6 B. L. R., 578, note 14 W.,)	166
Sarnam Tewari v. Sakina Bibi	R.,194. I. L. R., 3 All., 37	5668
Saroda Churn Chuckerbutty v. Mahomed Isuf Meah Saroda Mohun Roy Chaodhri v. Dakhina Mohun Roy (Chaodhri.	I. L. R., 3 All., 417 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 376 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 308: L. R., 13 I. A., 84.	5961 5448 3349

The second secon	,	
Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Saroda Mohun Roy Chowdhry v. Shama Soonduree } Dossec. v. Shibopooree Dossee	7 W. R., 209	478 1663
Sarodapersad Roy Chowdhry v. Nobinchand Dutt . Sarodapershad Chattopadhya v. Brojonath Bhutta (charjee.	Marsh., 417: 2 Hay, 527 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 910: 6 C. L. R., 195.	568 3173
Saroda Pershad Ganguli v. Pahali Mahanti Sarodapershad Mitter v. Kylash Chunder Banerjee	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 913 7 W. R., 315	546 4283
Saroda Prasad Mullick v. Lachmipat Singh Dugar {	9 B. L. R., Ap., 23, note: 18 (W. R, 89.	1207, 2696
Saroda Prosad Gangooly v. Prosonno Coomar Sandial	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 290: 10 C. L. R., 223.	1352, 1390
charjee. v. Taruck • Chunder Bhutta-	2 C. L. R, 325	5310
Saroda Prosaud Mullick v. Lutchmeeput Sing Doogur	10 B. L. R., 214: 17 W. R., 289: 14 Moore's I. A., 529)	434, 1892 5481
Saroda Soondery Debea v. Wooma Churn Sircar Saroda Soondery Dossee v. Tincowry Nundy	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 17 1 Hyde, 70	6286 2835
Saroda Soonduree Debee v. Hazce Mahomed Mundul . Saroda Soonduree Dossia v. Muddun Mohun Shaha .	1 Hyde, 223	287, 2531 3036 4665, 4666
Saroda Soondury Dossee v. Doyamoyee Dossee Sarstedt v. Agra Bank	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 938 12 Bom., 268	3370, 3399 4752
Sarsuti v. Kunj Behari I.al	I. L. R., 5 All., 345 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 660	4470, 4877 4569
Sarupi v. Mukhram	2 N. W., 227 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 149	2285 2033
Sashachellum Chetty v. Gobindappa	5 Mad., 451 8 Mad., 94	1812 2075
Sashannah Chetti v. Ramasamy Chetti	4 Mad., 7	1093
Sashi Bhusan Panerjee v. Tarachand Kar	3 B. L. R., A. C., 329: 11 \\ W. R., 553.	5823
Sashi Bhusan Dutt v. Jadu Nath Dutt	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 552 . 8 B. L. R., 315: 15 W. R.,	3849, 3850
Sashti Charan Chatterjee v. Tarak Chandra Chatterjee Sasta Kooer v. Kundun Lal	F. B., 9.	169 6100
Satapa valad Dau Dongre v. Karbasapa	8 W. R., 369	483
Satbhawan v. Sahoo Banarasee Doss	2 N. W., 365	424 3288, 3289
Satcowrie Sein v. Gobind Chunder Sein	2 Ind. Jur, N. S., 56	2547 5483
Satish Chunder Rai Chowdhuri v. Thomas	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 658 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 457	5262
Satnarain Singh. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 3 All., 392	6258
Satoor v. Satoor	2 Mad., 8	· 5210 336
Satracherla v. Setarama	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 61	635
Satrakumaji v. Visram Hasgavda	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 97	4794
Satto Saran Ghosal v. Tarini Charan Ghose	3 B. L. R., A. C., 287	5135 2601
Sattyanand Ghosal v. Zahir Sikdar	6 B. L. R., Ap., 119	2691 479
Satyasaran Ghosal v. Bhairab Chandra Brahmo .	2 B. L. R., A. C., 196: 11 }	3507
v. Mahesh Chandra Mitter .	2 B. L. R., P. C., 23: 12 Moore's I. A., 263: 11 W.	1581, 3067 5 357
Saudamini Dasi v. Thakomani Debi	R., P. C., 10. 3 B. L. R., Ap., 114	2886

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Saudamini Debee v. Anand Chandra Haldar .	7 B. L. R., 178, note: 13 W. R., 37.	3839
Saudamini Debi v. Sarup Chandra Roy	8 B. L R., Ap., 82: 17 W.	2973
	R, 363.	
Saunders. In the goods of	8 W. R., 3	131 2835, 3083
v. Romanath Paul	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 395 .	4523
Savaklal Karsandas v. Ora Nizmuddin	8 Bom., O. C., 77	52, 613
Savalgiapa Virbasapa v. Basvanapa Basapa	10 Pom., 399	5279 5512
Savanta. In re Sava Ramji o. Jadhavji Nathu. Ex parte Gamble	2 Bom., 150: 2nd Ed., 142	424
Savi v. Chand Sickdar	Marsh., 348: 2 Hay, 438	563
— v. Issur Chunder Mundul	20 W. R., 146	4928
— v . Jeetoo Meeah	Marsh., 186: W. R., F. B., 59: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 80:	1641
	1 Hay, 451.	7021
v. Mohesh Chunder Bose	W. R., 1864, Act X, 29	567
v. Obhoy Nath Bose	2 W. R., Act X, 27	2
— v. Punchanun Roy	25 W. R., 503	3813, 5178 5755
Savitriava v. Anandrav	12 Bom., 224	5593
Savitribai v. Luximibai	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 573	2412, 2423
Savji bin Satu v. Patlu	8 Bom., A. C., 139	4144 541, 4814
Sawantee v. Sewa Ram	2 N. W., 35 2 Agra, 168	2291
		3919
	R., 522.	
Sayaji v. Umaji Sayaji bin Nimbaji v. Ramji bin Langapa	3 Bom., A. C., 27 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 446	2993, 3341 5813
Saya Loo v. Nga Paw Loo	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 4	4742
Savama Kumar Roy v. Jan Mahamed	4 B. L. R., F. B., 134: 13 W.	3708
	R., F. B., 21.	2117, 2526
Sayama Lal Dutt v. Saudamini Dasi	5 B. L. R., 362	6120
Sayefoollah Khan v. Chaya Khakoor	18 W. R., 532	1632
Scanlan v. Herrold	10 W. R., 295	5615
Schiller v. Finlay	8 B. L. R., 544	731, 1370 4070
v. Marker	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 283 17 W. R., 545	5648
Schlaepfer, Pütz, & Co. v. Eastern Bengal Railway	21 W. R., 380	4707
Company.	1	
Scinde, Punjab, and Delhi Bank v. Mudoosoodun	Bourke, O. C., 322	1689
Scott v. Finlay	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 386	598
— v. Ricketts	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 356 .	6023
v. Scott	7 Mad., 394 I. L. R., 1 All., 710	1948
Seale v . Brown	4 W. R., Cr., 22	1515
Secretary of Ferry Fund Committee v . Ward	Marsh., 89: 1 Hay, 155 .	4612
Secretary of State for India. In the matter of the	2 C. L. R., 461	1492
petition of.	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 294	4392
v. Abdul Hakkim Khan . v. Administrator General)		
of Bengal.	1 B. L. R., O. C., 87	1672, 599
v. Anandomoyi Debi .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 95	522
v. Arathoon.	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 173	1060

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Secretary of State for India v. Bombay Landing and Shipping Company. v. Hari Bhanji v. Jamnadas v. Jagan Prasad -v. Kamachee Boye Sahiba -v. Khanzadi -v. Khemchand Jeychand v. Marjum Hosein Khan v. Mutu Sawmy v. Narayanan v. Nilamekam Pillai v. Nunja v. Poran Singh v. Radha Pershad Wasti v. Ram Ugrah Singh v. Rashbehary Mookerjee v. Sassoon v. Sham Bahadoor v. Sheo Singh Rai v. Vira Rayan Seedee Nazeer Ali Khan v. Ojoodhya Ram Khan Seedich Ghosal v. Apcar Seedick Dhoosal v. — Sees Ram v. Chait Ram Seetanath Bose v. Sham Chand Mitter Seetanath Ghose v. Madhub Narain Roy Chowdhry Seetanath Bose v. Sham Chand Mitter Seetanath Mundul v. Anund Chunder Roy Seetanath Mundul v. Anund Chunder Roy Seetaram. In the goods of v. Dhunnook Dharee Sahye v. Juggobundhoo Bose v. Kishun Dass alias Kishnaram v. Kummeer Ali Seetaram Gossain v. Fakeer Chand Chuckerbutty Seetaram Gossain v. Fakeer Chand Chuckerbutty Seetaram Gower v. Golucknath Dutt Seetaram Sahoo v. DaCosta v. Golam Sahoo Bahadur v. Sheo Gholam Sahoo	5 Bom., O. C., 23 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 273 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 737 I. L. R., 6 All., 148 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 652 7 Moore's I. A., 476 5 B. L. R., 312 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 432 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 359 I Mad., 360 4 B. L. R., Ap., 84: 13 W. R., 245 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 130 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 406 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 435 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 740 9 C. L. R., 189 I. L. R., 7 All., 140 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 591: 12 C. L. R., 27 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 769 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 769 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 769 I. L. R., 27 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 175 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 257 8 W. R., 399 Bourke, O. C., 418 Bourke, O. C., 391 2 Agra, 266 3 Agra, 170 Marsh., 465 17 W. R., 418 1 W. R., 329 15 W. R., 399 15 W. R., 399 15 W. R., 167 3 Agra, 402 15 W. R., 114 1 W. R., 250 15 W. R., 250 15 W. R., 251 12 W. R., 234 18 W. R., 325 18 W. R., 325 18 W. R., 325 18 W. R., 325	952, 6042 5219 4391 654 433 57, 2322 2048 407, 4392 184, 5371 1201, 2732 317, 3150 3598 2069 4304 331, 3059 2038 1589 2873, 6007 5364 2957 2949 1370 3445, 4178 4280 953 4685, 6138 2685 2686 28 4810 4934 4 482 3495 797, 1931 679 2128 1738 1714 1361 2300 3144, 4338 1755 4616 773 668
v. Sheo Golam Sahoo v. Shewgolam Sahoo v. Shewgolam Sahoo Seetul Bahoo v. Hurkishen Doss Seetul Pershad v. Birj Mohun Dass v. Doolhin Badam Konwur v. Hur Chund Sahoo v. Mahomed Kureem Khan	19 W. R., 183 18 W. R., Cr., 62 5 W. R., P. C., 76 Agra, 25 8 W. R., P. C., 22: 11 Moore's 2 I. A., 268. 1 Agra, 263 5 N. W., 164	782, 5526 5512 1781 80 4234 4824 753

Seetul Pershad Mitter v. Junmejoy Mullick 12 W. R., 244 325 2087, 2183 5213 3 N. W. 23 2019 3 N. W. 24 2018 3 N. W. 25 2087, 2183 3 N. W. 25 2087, 2184 3 N. W. 25 2 N. W. 25	Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Sepahee Singh v. Chundun	Seetul Pershad Singh v. Gour Dyal Singh Seetul Singh v. Luchmun Singh v. Sooruj Buksh Singh v. Thakoor Tewary Seith Gobin Dass v. Ranchore alias Rughobeer Seith Hur Lall v. Manickpal Seith Kishen Chaud v. Kour Askunder Gir Sekunder Mondul v. Nocowri Biswas Selam Sheikh v. Baidonath Ghatak Selamut v. Mowla Buksh Sengamalathammal v. Valaynda Mudali Sengotha v. Ramasami Sennandan v. Kollkiran Sennay Poorasay Hindu Janonookoola Nidhi v. Thayar Ammal. Senra Disai Venra Jagath Virarama Dhikku Vijaya Sethurayar v. Annasami Ayyar.	1 W. R., 283	2087, 2188 5213 2919 3378 567 2203 4012 3495 4610 4458, 4826 3658 2492 2732 1771 921 793
Seta Rama Kristna Rayudappa Ranga Rao v. Jagunti Sitayamma Garu. 3 Mad., 67	Sepahee Singh v. Chundun Serajgunge Jute Company v. Sorabdee Akoond Seraj Mundul v. Bistoo Chunder Roy Serajool Huq v. Keramatoollah v. Roy Luchmeeput Singh Serumah Umah v. Palathan Vitil Marya Coothy Umah Seru Mohun Bapia v. Bhagoban Din Pandey Seshadri v. Krishnan Seshadri Ayyangar v. Sandanam Seshadri Ayyangar v. Sandanam Seshatri Ayyengar v. Sankara Ayen Seshayiyangar v. Rughunatha Row Seshayyangar v. Rughunatha Row Seshayyangar v. Pappuvaradayyangar Seshayyar v. Pappuvaradayyangar Setarama Krishna Rayudappa Ranga Rao v. Vijaya	2 N. W., 160: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 213. 25 W. R., 252 7 W. R., 459 19 W. R., 88 20 W. R., 242 15 W. R., P. C., 47 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 602 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 192 I. L. R., 1 Mad., 146 4 Mad., 336 2 Mad., 249 5 Mad., 249 5 Mad., 345 I. L. R., 3 Mad., 66 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 143 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 185	1429, 4851 1104 535 298 1143 1341, 2223 5250 5962 3589 2241 2022 4809 •2787 4345, 4530 5269 1714
	Sitayamma Garu. Seth Dhunraj v. Secretary of State for India Seth Gokul Dass Gopal Dass v. Murli Seth Gujmul v. Chahee Kowar Seth Jaidial v. Seth Sitaram	1 N. W., 118: Ed. 1873, 204. I. L. R., 3 Calc., 602: 2 C. L. R., 156: L. R., 5 I. A., 78. L. R., 2 I. A., 34 L. R., 8 I. A., 215 4 B. L. R., P. C., 31: 13 W. R., F. C., 36: 13 Moore's	3790, 4422 1076, 1095 2708, 4029 4649

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Seth Samur Mull v. Choga Lall	· · · į		2067
Sethu v. Nayana v. Venkatarama Seto Luchmee Chund v. Seto Zorawur Mull Seton v. Bijohn		I. L. R., 7 Mad., 577 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 112 6 Moore's I. A., 204 8 B. L. R., 255: 17 W. R., 165	3310 3554 4645 430, 4127
Setrucherla Ramabhadra Raju Bahadur v Surianarayana Raju Bahadur.	. Vairicherla	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 314	3979
Settiappan v. Sarat Singh Seva Lall v. Mahomed Seva Ram v. Ali Bakhsh Sevinthia Pillay v. Mootoosawmy		3 Mad., 220	3242 6157 1688 663
Sevvaji Vijaya Raghunadha Valoji Kristn v. Chinna Nayana Chetti.	an Gopaidar (10 Moore's I. A., 151	4193,6001
Sewak Ram v. Ram Bhawan Ojha Sewa Ram v. Risal Chowdhry Sew Bux Bogla v. Shib Chunder Sen	• • •	1 Agra, 212 1 Agra, 144 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 225	538 4537 5418, 5962
Shaboo Majee v. Noorai Mollah .	{	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 691: 7 W. R., 386.	5675
Shabudin Mahomed v. Hirnak Rajnak Shadal Khan v. Amin-ulla Khan Shadee Lal v. Bhawanee Shadee Ram v. Surma		I. L. R., 10 Bom., 47 I. L. R., 4 All., 92 2 N. W., 52	5846 5054 3271, 3331
Shadee Ram v. Surma		2 Agra, 110 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 75: 5 W. R., Act X, 17.	4902 3076, 5185
Shadhoo Singh v. Ramanoograha Lall		9 W. R., 83	140, 158
Shadhoo Suran v. Bhuggoo Lall Shadi v. Ganga Sahai		12 W. R., 98 I. L. R., 3 All., 538 .	1644 4950 · 823
Shadulla Howladar v. Empress		I. L. R., 9 Calc., 875: 12 C. L. R., 506.	399
Shafi-uddin v. Lochan Singh Shafkatunissa v. Shib Sahai		I. L. R., 2 All., 94 I. L. R., 4 All., 171	4954 4878
Shahabooddeen v. Futteh Ali	• •	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 646: 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 135: 7	5314
Shahaboodeen v. Naduroojuma	ship .	W. R., 260. 12 W. R., 44 9 W. R., 556 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 343 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 263 24 W. R., 330	3419 4468, 5411 319 3782, 5642 4911
Shahebzadi Begum v. Himmat Bahadur		4 B. L. R., A. C., 103: 12 W. R., 512.	2735, 3637 3693, 3700
Shahiram v. Shib Lal		I. L. R., 7 All., 378	4836, 5430 5700
Shahjan Bibee v. Shib Chunder Shaha	• •	22 W. R., 314	3679
Shah Makhanlal v. Srikrishna Singh .		2 B. L. R., P. C., 44: 11 W. R., P. C., 19: 12 Moore's I. A., 157.	510, 1577 4020, 4187
Shah Muhammad v. Kashi Das Shah Sahib. In the matter of . Shahunshah Begum v. Fergusson Shahye Royree v. Barton . Shair Mull v. Singaravelu Mudali Shakaram Mahadev v. Hari Krishna . Shakur Mahomed v. Chunder Mohun Sha Shalgram v. Kubirun .		I. L. R., 7 All., 199 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 19 1. L. R., 7 Calc., 499 Marsh., 250: 2 Hay, 14 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 294 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 113 21 W. R., Cr., 38 3 B. L. R., A. C., 61: 11 W. R., 400.	5238 6178 741, 4123 3076 1665 4314 3864 4928
		, 5000	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Shama Charan Chatterji v. Madh ub Chandra Mookerji. Shamacharan Das v Joyenbolah Shama Charan Ghose v. Taraknath Mukhopadhya Shama Charan Neogi v. Nabin Chandra Dhoba Shama Churn Audhicaree Byragee v. Roop Doss Byragee Shama Churn Bhutt v. Payne & Co. Shama Churn Bose v. Bhola Nath Dutt Shama Churn Bose v. Bhola Nath Dutt Shama Churn Chatterjee v. Boidonath Banerjee v. Prosono Coomar Santikaree Shama Churn Dey v. Chunder Coomar Mookerjee Shama Churn Ghose v. Radha Kristo Chaklanuvis Shama Churn Halder v. Beharee Lall Koilay Shama Churn Halder v. Beharee Lall Koilay Shama Churn Halder v. Beharee Lall Koilay Shama Churn Mullick. In the goods of Shama Churn Singh v. Protab Coomar Ghossal Shamadanissa Beebee v. Ferasutoollah Sirdar Shama Kant Bundopadhya. In the matter of Shama Keshee v. Raj Kishore Shama Neshee v. Raj Kishore Shama Pershad Roy Chowdhry v. Hurro Pershad Roy Chowdhry. Shama Pershad Roy Chowdhry v. Hurro Pershad Roy Chowdhry. Shama Panddo Moitro v. Dinonath Bagchee Shama Panddo Moitro v. Dinonath Bagchee Shama Sankar Biswas. In the matter of the petition of. Shama Soonderee Dabee v. Prosonno Coomar Tagore Shama Soonderee Dabee v. Prosonno Coomar Tagore Shama Soonderee Dabee v. Prosonno Coomar Tagore Shama Soonderee Dossee v. Bindabun Chunder Mozoomdar. Shama Soonderee Dossee v. Bindabun Chunder Mozoomdar. Shama Soondery Debia v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co. Shama Soondery Debea v. Bejoy Gobind Bural Shama Soondery Dossee v. Tarinee Churn Singh Shamasoondery Dossee v. Shirut Chunder Dutt Shama Soonduree v. Shurut Chunder Dutt Shama Soonduree Chowdhrain v. Jumoona Chowdhrain Shamasoonduree Debia v. Binode Lall Pakrashee v. Collector of Maldah v. Degumburee Debia v. Digamburee Debia	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 93 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 750 3 B. L. R., Ap., 115 6 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 15 W. R., 239. 6 W. R., 68 18 W. R., 292 6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 9 11 W. R., 2 5 C L R., 251 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 892: 9 W. R., 181 I. L.,R., 8 Calc., 677 14 W. R., 210 14 W. R., 443 1 W. R., 308 I. L. R., 1 Calc., 52: 24 W. R., 206 20 W. R., 292 2 C. L. R., 23 14 W. R., 179 3 Agra, 290: Agra, F. B., Ed., 1874, 153. 1 Agra, Rev., 1 7 W. R., 205 3 W. R., P. C., 11: 10 Moore's I. A., 203. 15 W. R., 283 25 W. R., 108 1 B. L. R., S. N., 16 9 B. L. R., Ap., 45 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 348: 8 C. L. R., 528. 1 W. R., 114 7 W. R., 376 Marsh., 199: 1 Hay, 574 6 W. R., Cr., 10 W. R., 1864, 331 3 W. R., 194 Bourke, O. C., 326 8 W. R., 500 24 W. R., 86 14 W. R., 368 13 W. R., 164 21 W. R., 368 13 W. R., 164 21 W. R., 368 13 W. R., 164 21 W. R., 368 13 W. R., 164	of Digest. 813, 4467 4798, 4799 4850 5:77 4824 . 2338 5891 5277 5287 5025 5131, 5133 5142 4571 243 1380 3288 4662 2732 4991 2925 485 5745 4902 795 3877 796 4294 1853 1806, 4489 6255 309 67 1907 4933- 4501 5267 3418 2457 2507 2208, 2488 1889 1994, 4192 325 161, 5171
v. Kristo Chunder Roy v. Mallyut Mundul v. Puresh Narain Roy v. Rohimooddeen Sirdar Shamasundari Debi v. Dukhu Mandal v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co.	13 W. R., 316 11 W. R., 101 20 W. R., 182 24 W. R., 71 2 B. L. R., A. C., 227 3 B. L. R., Ap., 120: 12 W. R., 160.	2974 3789 2879 4409 3789 4299

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Shamasundari Debi v. Sital Khan	8 B. L. R., Ap., 85, note:	2973
Shamasunduri Dabi v. Nobin Chunder Kolya Shama Sunker Chowdhry v. Sreenath Banerjee	W. R., 474. 6 C. L. R., 117 12 W. R., 354	30 47 3818
Shamasunkur Mozoomdar v. Anundmoyee Dassya	18 W. R., Cr., 64	1806, 4489
Sham Bagdee v. Fukeer Chand Bagdee	6 W. B., 222	6255 5280
Shambhu Nath Nath v. Ram Chandra Shaha	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 267	3211
Sham Chand Bysack v. Bungo Chunder Chatterjee	Marsh., 556: 2 Hay., 663	5765
v. Kishen Prosaud Surma .	18 W. R., 4:14 Moore's I. A., 595.	41, 2946
v. Lucas	5 W. R., Mis., 5	3526
Sham Chand Ghose v. Ram Krishto Bewrah Sham Chand Giree. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., 309	1768 5928
Sham Chand Koondoo v. Kally Kanth Roy .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 243	3143
Sham Chand Kundu v. Brojonath Pal Chowdhry .	12 B. L. R., 484: 21 W. R., 94	5305, 5309
Sham Chand Mitter v. Juggut Chunder Sircar . Sham Chund Doss v. Cox	22 W. R., 50	4336, 5313 4587
	Cor., 82 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 397: 10 C.	
Sham Chunder Adhicary v. Sobin Bhoopal Sing	L. K., 419.	739
Sham Chund Ghose Mundul v. Doyamoyee Mundulnee Sham Chund Mitter. In the matter of	9 W. R., 338 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 106	1715 2921
Sham Churn Auddy v. Tariney Churn Banerjee .	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 422: 25 W.	4570
Sham Churn Koondoo v. Dwarkanath Kubeeraj .	R., 228. 19 W. R., 100	1615
Sham Coomar Roy v. Juttun Bibee	14 W. R., 448	5378
Shamdanee v. Bhojoo Ram	22 W. R., 44 •	5927
Sham Doss v. Bhola Doss Shamee Mahomed v. Prodhan Palee	1 W. R., 324 · · ·	2843 5767
Shamee Mahomed Sircar v. Brinda Mundle	5 W. R., 178	576 7 3130
Shami Mohammed v. Mohammed Ali Khan .	2 B. L. R., Ap., 22: 11 W.	3446, 3479
Shamjee Nashyo. In the matter of	R., 67. 1 C. L. R., 481	4344 5570
Shamjha v. Doorga Roy	7 W. R., 122	4924
Shamkant Banerjee v. Gopal Lal Tagore	1 W. R., 328	67, 3186
Shamkaran v. Piari	I. L. R., 5 All., 596	3460
Sham Kishore Mundle v. Shoshibhoosun Biswas .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 707: 5 C. L. R., 509.	769, 4525
Sham Kishore Shaw v. Cowie	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 7	1395
Sham Kuar v. Gaya Din . Sham Lal v. Bahadoor Ali	I. L. R., 1 All., 255	$\begin{array}{c} 2288 \\ 1471 \end{array}$
v. Banna .	7 W. R., 156 I. L. R., 4 All., 296	2433
v. Banna v. Hira Chand	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 367	1516
v. Kanahia Lal .	I. L. R., 4 All., 316	3533
Shamlal Das. In the goods of Sham Lall alias Shama v. Anuntee Lall .	5 B. L. R., Ap., 21 24 W. R., 312	685 576 7
Sham Lall Ghose v. Boistub Churn Mozoomdar	7 W. R., 407 .	1614
v. Muddun Gopal Ghose .	6 W. R., Act X, 37	1610
Sham Lall Sahoo v. Afsuroonissa	3 W. R., 182 22 W. R., 184	420 6
v. Hady Bunjara	2 Hay, 522	3725 5185
Sham Lall Sein v. Hurosoondry Gooptea .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 36:5 W. R., 29.	1136, 2239
Shamlall Set v. Huro Soonduree Goopta .	5 W. R., 29:1 Ind. Jur., N.	1136, 2239
Sham Lall Singh v. Radha Bibee	S., 36. 4 C. L. R., 173	1834
Sham Manna v. Ramdyal Goohoo	1 W. R., Mis., 3	677

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Shamnarain v. Administrator General of Bengal	23 W. R., 111	4189 1567, 2298 5762 5183 4812 2366, 3997 4807, 4812
Shamrav Pandoji v. Niloji Ramaji Shamrav Pandurang v. Trustees of Bhugvan Das Purshotom Das. Shamsonnessa Begum v. Love Sham Soonder Bhuttacharjee v. Monee Ram Doss Sham Soonder Kooer v. Jumna Kooer Sham Soondur Kooer v. Rajendur Misser Sham Soondur Koonwar v. Rughoonath Suhaye Sham Sunder Dass v. Raheem Buksh Shand & Co. v. Atmakuri Adinarayana Chetti Shankara v. Hanma	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 200 5 Bom., O. C., 163	5727, 5958 458 433 5282 2164, 3255 3820 225 5457 1061 5224
Shankar Abaji Hoshing. In the matter of the petition of. Shankarapa Dargo Patel v. Danapa Virantapa Shankar Bapu v. Vishnu Narayan Shankar Bapu v. Vishnu Narayan Shankar Bharati Svami v. Venkapa Naik Shankar Bharati Svami v. Venkapa Naik Shankar Dial v. Amir Haider Shankar Dial v. Amir Haider Shankar Lal v. Sukhrani Shankar Ramchandra v. Vishnu Anant Shankar v. Savage Shanmuga v. Meddleton Shantapa v. Balapa Shanti Lakshminarasamma v. Vepa Venkatramadas Shantomonee Gooptah v. Sutto Bhama Gooptah Shanto Teorni v. Belilios Shapurji Jahangirji v. Superintendent of the Poona City Jail.	6 Bom., Cr., 69	3611, 6028 1520, 4012 4616 3442, 3975 2257 4802 226 5851 4778 4517 3845, 5686 3851 3851 3933 5691 4184 976 3328
Shapurji Jehangir v. Morgan Shapurji Jivanji v. Collector of Bombay Shapurji Nowroji Pochaji v. Bhikaiji Sharafatunnissa v. Lachmi Narain Sharat Chunder Burmon v. Hurgobindo Burmon Sharat Chunder Ghose v. Kartick Chunder Mitter Sharat Sundari Dabia v. Bhobo Pershad Khan Chowdhuri Sharan v. Gour Shah Bangally Sharo Bibi v. Baldeo Das Sharoda Koomaree Dossee v. Mohinee Mohun Ghose Sharoda Moyee Burmonee v. Wooma Moyee Burmonee Sharoda Pershad Gangooly v. Raj Mohun Roy Sharoda Pershad Gangooly v. Raj Mohun Roy Sharoda Pershad Mookerjee v. Sreenath Mookerjee Sharoda Pershad Roy v. Bholanath Banerjee Sharoda Pershad Roy v. Bholanath Banerjee Sharoda Prosunno Mookerjee v. Bipeen Beharee Bose	4 Bom., A. C., 187 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 483 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 242 7 N. W., 288 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 510 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 810: 12 C. L. R., 455 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 101 Marsh., 542 1 B. L. R., O. C., 24 20 W. R., 272 8 W. R., 9 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 32 18 W. R., 165 15 W. R., 520 19 W. B., 219 11 W. R., 441 13 W. R., 71	655, 5659 2961 3357 3256, 3257 1177, 2879 998 3421 1367 4671 5323 333, 410 1880 2817 3803 4935 4958 1099 1596

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sharoda Soondari Debia v. Gonee Sheik Sharoda Soonduree Debee v. Gobind Monee alias Brojo ?	10 W. R., 419	3020
Soonduree Dabee. Shashi Bhusan Chuckrabutty. In the matter of the?	24 W. R., 179	249, 317
petition of.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 623	1272 .
Shashi Bhushan Bhadury. In re	1 B. L. R., A. C., 45: 10 W. R., 82.	4434
Sha Sufi Sufila v. Official Trustee of Bengal Shathuk Paul v. Gudadhur Roy	L. R., 8 I. A., 197 4 W. R., 100	3326 2772
Shatyanundo Ghosal v. Camperdown Pressing Co	21 W. R., Cr., 43	1267, 2924
Shaunkara Subbien v. Vellayan Chetty	5 Mad., 179	5675 1090
— v. Subramier	5 Mad., 108	2647
Shayama Sunduri Dasi v. Watson & Co	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 720: 11 C. L. R., 98.	1242
Shearman v. Fleming	5 B. L. R., 619	1730, 3200
Shebosoonduree Debea v. Mahomed Ali	W. R., 1864, 137 .	5644 2018
Sheeb Chunder Bhuttacharjee v. Saadut Ally Khan Sheeb Chunder Mahneeah v. Brojonath Aditya	4 W. R., Cr., 12	4101 3071, 5073
Sheeb Dyal Pauleet v. Dwarkanath Sookul	14 W. R., 301	3024, 4171
Sheeb Narain Roy v. Chidam Doss Byragee Sheebpershad Chuckerbutty. In the matter of the pc-	6 W. R., Act X, 45	4150
tition of.	17 W. R., Cr., 46	5508
Sheelabutty Debia v. Tarinee Churn Chuckerbutty Sheen v. Johuson	9 W. R., 597	763 4334
Sheero Coomaree Dabee v. Keshub Chunder Bose	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 188: 5 W. ?	4956
Sheeroo Coomaree Dabee v. Mahatab Chund	R., 224.	878
Sheetanath Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 303: 7 C.	681
Sheetul Pershad v. Mahomed Kureem Khan	L. R., 475. 5 5 N. W., 164	765
Sheetul Pershad Mitter v. Junmejoy Mullick	12 W. R., 244	1723
Shekari Varma Valia Rajah v. Mangalom Amugar Shekhara Paniker v. Ravu Nayar	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 57 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 193	3767 3763
Shemsulosman v. Bansidhar Dutt	7 B. L. R., Ap., 32	1627
Sheobaran v. Bhairo Prasad	I. L. R., 7 All., 880	1346, 1443
Sheobharos Rai v. Jiach Rai	I. L. R., 8 All., 462	4546
Sheoburrut Singh v. Lalljee Chowdhry	3 W. R., Act X, 20	4394 80
Sheoburt v. Dharee Thakoor	2 Agra, Pt. II, 194	2500, 3985
Sheochurn v. Bussunt Singh	3 N. W., 282: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 258.	1658, 3003
Sheo Churn Doss v. Rampershad Tewarry	10 Moore's I. A., 490	2351
Sheo Churn Kandoo v. Goodur Burnwar	3 Agra, 138	1346
Sheo Churn Lall v. Heera Lall	11 C. L. R., 537	886 1143, 1925
Sheo Churn Narain Singh v. Chukraree Pershad Narain Singh.	15 W. R., 436	4255
Sheo Churn Sahoo v. Curtis	3 W. R., 139 3 W. R., Act X, 125	4604 5190
Sheo Churun Singh v. Fakera Doobay	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 91: 7 C. L.	5061
Sheo Dayal Mal v. Hari Ram	R., 69. I. L. R., 7 All., 590	4798, 6136
Sheo Dial v. Prag Dat Misr. In the matter of the	I. L. R., 3 All., 229	4811
petition of. Sheo Dial Chaubey v. Collector of Gorakhpur	I. L. R., 5 All., 264	2091

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sheo Disht Narain Singh v . Rameshar Dial. Sheodut Ram Tewary v . Ramadhree	I. L. R., 7 All., 188 1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 35: Ed. \ 1873, 93.	2869 4 535
Sheodyal Aheer v. Gool Mahomed Khan Sheo Dyal Pooree v. Mohabeer Pershad Sheodyal Singh v. Girban Singh	2 N. W., 296 10 W. R., 477 6 W. R., Cr., 73, 79	4826 1736, 4473 19
v. Hodgkinson . v. Mahomed Kamil . Sheo Dyal Tewaree v. Bishonath Tewaree .	24 W. R., 342	1755, 5765 5916 1209, 2444
Sheogholam v. Buddree Nath	9 W. R., 61	2454, 2466 2467 4789
Sheo Gholam v. Ramjeawun Singh Sheo Gholam Sahoo v. Rahut Hossein Sheo Gholam Sahu v. Khub Lall	5 N. W., 114	
Sheo Gholam Singh v. Nursingh Sheo Gobind v. Sham Narain Singh Sheo Gobind Rawut v. Abhai Narayan Singh	4 N. W., 120 7 N. W., 75 5 B. L. R., Ap., 17	322, 6089
Sheo Golam Isall v. Beni Prosad Sheogolam Sahoy v. Mohadeo Lall Sahoo .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 27: 4 C. L. 3 R., 29. 18 W. R., 110	1686 5755
Sheo Golam Singh v. Ramroop Singh . v. Roy Dinker Dyal	15 B. L. R., 34, note: 23 W. R., 25. 21 W. R., 226	4004 3 90 7
v. Roy Dinkar Dyal Steogolam Tewaree v. Faquera Misser Sheo Jalun v. Gunesh	12 W. R., 215 3 Agra, 400 2 Agra, 237	492 9 46 3530
Sheojuttun Roy v. Anwar Ali	13 W. R., 189 13 W. R., P. C., 4 9 W. R., 586	2737, 3710
Sheo Lall Sahoo v. Rumzanee Sheo Narair. v. Hira v. Jai Gobind v. Joogul Kishen Ram	2 Agra, 35	4545
v. Joogul Kishen Ram Sheo Narain Bhuggut v. Budh Singh. Sheo Narain Singh v. Bishen Prosad Singh.	7 W. R., 327 11 W. R., 423 10 C. L. R, 337	3181 158 2508, 3400
v. Choonee Bhuggut	24 W. R., 299 10 C. L. R., 337 5 B. L. R, 497: 14 W. R, 65	5623 2508, 3400 795
Sheonath alias Burray Kaka v. Ramnath alias Chotay (Kaka.	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 161: 5 W. R., P. C., 21: 10 Moore's I. A., 413.	353
Sheonath Singh v. Ram Thul Rae	1 N. W., Pt. II, 39 : Ed. 1873, 397.	567, 5119
Sheo Nauth Singh v. Bishonath Singh Sheo Nund Rai v. Mahanund Ram Sheo Nundun Pershad v. Mukdoom Buksh	2 Agra, Pt. II, 191 1 Agra, 45	4579 388 610 5
Sheo Nundun Singh v. Ghunsam Kooeree Sheonundun Singh v. Kahsa Kooer Sheopal v. Deen Dynl	21 W. R., 143 6 N. W., 179	87 2078 3958
v. Khadim Hossein Sheopalul Singh v. Nabee Ashruf Khan Sheopal Singh v. Rai Seetaram	7 N. W., 220 3 N. W., 342	3443 567 4927
Sheo Partab Narain Singh v. Sheo Gholam Singh Sheo Pergah Roy v. Injore Tewaree Sheo Persad Jha v. Gunga Ram Jha	I. L. R., 2 All., 875 21 W. R., 433 5 W. R., 221	3144 5810 2392

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sheopersad Singh v. Leela Singh	12 B, L. R., 188 : 20 W. R., 160.	1166
Sheopershad Lall v. Thakoor Rai	3 Agra, 254: Agra, F. B., Ed. \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	1471
Sheo Pershad Narain v. Collector of Monghyr	7 W. R., 5	2338, 3565 3566
Sheopershad Ram v. Thakoorpershad Sheopershad Singh v. Gopal Lall	5 W. R., 103	2084 5271
v. Kally Dass Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 543 : 5 C. L. R., 138.	519, 3 069
v. Soorjbunsee Kooer Sheo Pershad Sookool v. Shunkur Sahoy Sheo Pershad Tewary v. Moleema Beebee Sheo Pertab Lal v. Issur Roy Sheo Pertab Narain Singh v. Hurshunker Pershad Singh Sheo Prasad v. Anrudh Singh	24 W. R., 281 16 W. R., 190 1 N. W., 53 : Ed. 1873, 108 5 W. R., Mis., 23 . 5 N. W., 40 . 1. L. R., 2 All., 273	4157 1434, 4309 1539 3496 2919 3481
v. Miller v. Udai Singh Sheoprokash Doss v. Joyram Doss Sheo Prokash Misser v. Fukeer Roy v. Hurdai Narain	I. L. R., 6 All., 440 I. L. R., 2 All., 474 I. L. R., 2 All., 718 5 W. R., Mis., 57 13 W. R., 20 22 W. R., 550	295, 4906 2643 3437 2332 540 5482
v. Ram Sahoy Singh	8 B. L. R., 165 : 17 W. R., 62.	5189
Sheoproshad v. Jung Bahadoor	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 389: 12 C.	2176
Sheoprosun Misser v. Rajender Kishore Singh Sheo Prosunno Chobey v. Gopal Surn . Sheo Prosunno Singh v. Buldharee Lall Sheo Prosun Singh v. Brojoo Sahoo	L. R., 494.	4531 88 1490, 1884 3912
Sheo Purshun Chobey v. Collector of Sarun .	13 W. R., 256	163, 686 1487
Sheo Purshun Pandey v. Brun Pandey Sheopurshun Singh v. Rajendro Kishore Singh Sheoraj Kooer v. Nuckchedee Lall Sheoraj Nundun Singh v. Rajenomar Baken Dee Nun	24 W. R., 251 12 W. R., 123 14 W. R., 72	5766 5342 4155
Sheoraj Nundun Singh v. Rajcoomar Baboo Deo Nundun Singh.	24 W. R., 23	4998, 622 3
Sheoraj Rai v. Kashi Nath Sheoraj Singh v. Banwari Das v. Collector of Moradabad v. Legge v. Nurkhan	I. L. R., 7 All., 247 I. L. R., 6 All., 172 2 N. W., 379 3 Agra, 293 7 N. W., 354	5031 5956 1248, 3352 5190 4398
Sheoram Singh v. Sewak Ram Sheo Ratan v. Lappu Kuar	20 W. R., 83 I. L. R., 5 All., 14	4764 5126, 5788
Sheo Ratan Kuar v. Mahipal Kuar	I. L. R., 7 All., 258	1501, 3893 4552
Sheo Ratan Lal v. Chotey Lal Sheo Ratan Singh v. Sheo Sahai Misr Sheoruttungir v. Doorga Sheo Ruttun Koonwar v. Gour Beharee Bhukut	I. L. R., 3 All., 647 I. L. R., 6 All., 358 6 N. W., 36 7 W. R., 449	5398 5030 4194 2150, 2342
Sheo Ruttun Singh v. Phool Koomaree Sheo Sahae Panday v. Rutta Beebee Sheo Sahai v. Ata Hossein Sheo Sahoy Pandey v. Ram Bhunjun Singh	W. R., 1864, Act X, 68. 2 N. W., 180 2 Agra, Rev., 10 23 W. R., 127	3044 3196 2975 1922
Sheo Sahoy Singh v. Bechun Singh	22 W. R., 31	2993, 4899 3526 4910

Sheo Saran Tato v. Empress I. L. R., 9 Calc., 877 5571	Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
R., 194. Sheo Singh Rai v. Dakho . Continue of the street of the s		8 W. R, 360	
Sheo Singh Rai v. Dakho . 6 N. W., 382 . 2125, 2225 2313 I. L. R., 1 All., 688 : 2 C	Sheo Shunkur Sahoy v. Hirdey Narain Sahu	R., 194.	4845
Sheo Singh Rai v. Dakho Sheo Singh Rai v. Dakho Sheo Sohye Roy v. Luchmeshur Singh L. L. R., 1 All., 688 : 2 C 1405, 1408 R., 193 : L. R., 5 I. A., 87. 2125, 4648 Sheo Soondary v. Pirthee Singh L. L. R., 10 Calc., 577 3406 2296 2280 2284 2280, 2284 Sheo Sonduree v 21 W. R., 89 2280, 2284 2280, 2284 2841 Sheo Suhaye Roy v. Goodur Roy Sheo Suru Lall In the matter of 3 C. L. R., 280 4730 3476 34	v. Hridhoy Narain		
R., 193 : L. R., 5 I. A., 87. 2125,4648	Sheo Singh Rai v. Dakho .	6 N. W., 382	
Sheo Soondure v. 21 W. R., 89 2280, 2284 Sheo Suhaye Dhamee v. Bhooree Mahtoon 3 W. R., 33 2841 Sheo Suhaye Roy v. Goodur Roy 8 W. R., 328 1745, 1810 Sheo Suru Lall. In the matter of 3 C. L. R., 280 4730 Sheo Suru Singh v. Ram Khelawun Singh 14 W. R., 165 135 Sheo Surun Lall v. Chumun Lall 24 W. R., 220 4476	Sheo Sobye Roy v. Luchmeshur Singh .	R., 193 : L. R., 5 I. A., 87.	2125,4648 3406
Sheo Suhaye Dhamee v. Bhooree Mahtoon 3 W. R., 33 2841 Sheo Suhaye Roy v. Goodur Roy 8 W. R., 328 1745, 1810 Sheo Surn Lall. In the matter of 3 C. L. R., 280 4730 Sheo Surn Singh v. Ram Khelawun Singh 14 W. R., 165 135 Sheo Surun Lall v. Chumun Lall 24 W. R., 220 4476			
Sheo Surn Lall. In the matter of 3 C. L. R., 280 4730 Sheo Surn Singh v. Ram Khelawun Singh 14 W. R., 165 135 Sheo Surun Lall v. Chumun Lall 24 W. R., 220 4476	Sheo Suhaye Dhamee v. Bhooree Mahtoon .	3 W. R., 33	
Sheo Surun Lall v. Chumun Lall . 24 W. R., 220 4476	Sheo Surn Lall. In the matter of	3 C. L. R., 280	4730
AAEI GOOI	Sheesurun Ojha v. Goolbanee Koocr	W. R., 1864, 264	1778 4451, 6281
Sheotuhul Ram v. Ram Narain Singh . 5 C. L. R., 291 2268	Sheotuhul Ram v. Ram Narain Singh	5 C. L. R., 291	2268
Sheo Tuhul Singh v. Ram Kooer . W. R., 1864, 311 . 3717 Sheoumbur Rai v. Sheobhung Rai 1 N. W., 45 : Ed. 1873, 41 3041			
Sheoumber Sahoo v. Bhowaneedeen Kulwar 2 N. W., 223 3388	Sheoumber Sahoo v. Bhowaneedeen Kulwar	2 N. W., 223	
v. Trustees of the Port of Bombay I. L. R., 1 Bom., 132 . 2619	v. Trustees of the Port of Bombay	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 132 .	2619
T. L. R., 1 Bom., 477 . 3102 Sherafutoollah Chowdhry v. Abedoonissa Bibee 17 W. R., 374 1899			
Sheraj Ali Chowdhry v. Ramjan Bibee . 8 W. R., 204 : 2 Ind. Jur., N. 3707 S., 249.	·	8 W. R., 204 : 2 Ind. Jur., N.	3707
Sherajooddeen Ahmed v. Horel Singh ·		25 W. R., 116	
Shere Bahadur Singh v. Dariao Kuar . I. L. R., 3 Calc., 645 . 4222, 4645		I. L. R., 13 Calc., 143 . I. L. R., 3 Calc., 645 .	4222, 4645
Sheriff v. Dina Nath Mookerjee I. L. R., 12 Calc., 258 . 505	Sheriff v. Dina Nath Mookerjee	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 258 .	
Sheriff of Bombay. In re 6 Bom., O. C., 22 5641 Sherif Saib v. Usanabibi Ammal 6 Mad., 452 3646			
Sher Mahomed. In the matter of			
Sherman v. Schorn		24 W. R., 124	
Shero Coomaree Dabee v. Shitaram Hazra . 21 W. R., 346 3878 Shero Koomaree Debee v. Keshub Chunder Bose 8 W. R., 131 4957			
Sherokumari Debi v. Shitaram Hazdra . 13 B. L. R., Ap., 17 . 829			
Sheroocoomaree Debia v. Keshub Chunder Bosoo 18 W. R., 1			
Sheshgiri Shanbhog n. Salvador Vas I. L. R., 5 Bom., 5 . 1720, 5402 Shetabdee Biswas n. Molandee Mundul 25 W. R., 30	Sheshgiri Shanbhog v. Salvador Vas		
590. 3185			
Sheth Kahandas Narandas v. Dahiabhai . I. L. R., 3 Bom., 182 . 4260			
Shetliff v. Scott 22 W. R., 39 592, 657 Shetul Shaikh v. Hills 24 W. R., 184 3805, 3809	Shetliff v. Scott Shetul Sheikh a Hill.		
Shewab Singh v. Asour Ali 6 W. R., 267 1828	Shewab Singh v. Asgur Ali	6 W. R., 267	1828
Shewak Mahtoon v. St. Joseph 9 C. L. R., 21 4325	Shewak Mahtoon v. St. Joseph	9 C. L. R., 21	
Shewak Ram v. Bhowani Buksh Singh 6 C. L. R., 140	Shewak Ram v. Bhowani Buksh Singh .	9 D T D A C 106. 12)	
W. R., 26.			
Shew Narain Siugh v. Miller		17 W. R., 234	449 , 2626
Shewram v. Rohomutoollah W. R., 1864, 94	Shew Sukov Lell a Waish At 771		
Shew Sukoy Lall v. Wajed Ali Khan 13 W. R., 205 2737 Shiam Lall v. Narain Dass 2 Agra, 106 4902	Shiam Lall v. Narain Dass		

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Shiam Soonder v. Narain Dass Shibba v. Hulasi v. Ramlal Shibban Lal v. Tiloke Chand Shib Chandra Chakravati v. Johobux	2 Agra, 343	79 5694 1176 217 4832
Shib Chandra Mullick. In the matter of Shibcharan v. Ratiram Shib Chunder Bhadooree v. Lukhee Debia Chowdhrain Shib Chunder Bidyaruttun v. Hureedoss Bhuttacharjee Shib Chunder Dass v. Ram Chunder Poddar Shib Chunder Doss v. Cochrane . Shib Chunder Ghuttuck v. Collector of Tipperah . Shib Chunder Goopto v. Allad Monee Dassia . Shib Chunder Mahtoon v. Kasheenath Kurmokar . Shib Chunder Mullick v. Kissen Dyal Opadhya . Shib Chunder Sircar v. Juggut Indur Bunwaree Gobind .	8 B. L. R., 30 1 L. R., 7 All., 20 6 W. R., Mis., 51 13 W. R., 298 16 W. R., 29 Bourke, O. C., 388 5 W. R., 139 5 W. R., Mis., 22 12 W. R., 245 1 L. R., 1 Calc., 476 12 W. R., 212	2666 377 3448 1144 1928, 3537 3811, 5647 38 509, 884 299 5718 5624 4910, 6103
Shib Chund Lahiri v. Joymala Dasi Shib Churun Sen v. Jonardhon Dey Shibdas Bandapadhya v. Bamandas Mukhapadhya Shib Dat v. Kalka Prasad Shib Dayee v. Doorga Pershad Shib Deen Tayany v. Bukshee Ramprotah Singh	7 C. L. R., 103	528 3055, 5315 3209, 3532 2412, 2429
Shib Deen Tewary v. Bukshee Ramprotab Singh. Shib Dyal Tewaree v. Bishonath Tewaree Shibendra Narain Chowdhuri v. Kinoo Ram Dass.	W. R., 1864, Mis., 3	5748 1209, 2444 2154, 2466 2467 195, 886
Shibeshuree Debia v. Mothooranath Acharjee Shibessuree Dabia v. Beekwith Shibessuree Debia v. Ladly	5 W. R., 202	
Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarce Shib Gopal v. Baldeo Sahai Shib Jaton Roy v. Panchanan Bose	1 W. R., Mis., 32. I. L. R., 2 All., 264 3 B. L. R., Ap., 55: 11 W.	677 1184, 4276 1433, 1701
Shib Kishen Doss v. Abdul Sobhan Chowdhry Shib Koenwer v. Sadho Singh Shib Kristo Dah v. Abdool Sobhan Chowdhry Shib Kristo Shaha Chowdhry v. Miller	R., 467. 3 W. R., 103 2 Agra. 255 15 W. R., 408 1. L. R., 10 Calc., 150: 13 C. 3 L. R., 433.	4792, 5798 5212 4866 2629
Shibkristo Sircar v. Abdul Hakeem	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 692 : 5 C. L. R., 455.	4418
Shib Kumar Joti v. Kali Prasad Sen Shib Lal v. Ganga Prasad . v. Hira Lal v. Radha Kishen Shib Lall v. Lochun Singh Shib Lall Singh v. Moorad Khan Shib Narain Banerjee v. Government . v. Ram Narain Lushkur Shib Narain Bose v. Ramnidhee Bose . Shib Narain Ghose v. Aukhil Chunder Mookerjee . v. Kashee Pershad Mookerjee .	1 B. L. R., A. C., 167 I. L. R., 6 All., 551 I. L. R., 1 All., 622 I. L. R., 7 All., 898 3 Agra, Rev., 7 9 W. R., 126 W. R., 1864, 138 20 W. R., 142 9 W. R., 87 17 W. R, 356 22 W. R., 485 1 W. R., 226	3816 3440 1410 3512 5376 5590 5981 4403 2329, 2449 1628, 1642 1625 1613, 1653 5422
Shib Narain Pal v. Koonjo Kaminee Dabee	22 W. R., 466	U-1444

Name of Case.	· Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Shib Narain Shaha v. Bipin Behary Biswas	L. L. R., 3 Calc., 512: 1 C. L. R., 539.	1882 1894 3824 1325 5025 5189 1035 3280
Shibo Kooeree v. Joogun Singh	8 W. R., 155	1414, 2120 2141
Shiboo Narain Singh v. Mudden Ally {	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 608: 9 C. L. R., 8.	3242, 5246 5431, 5666 5681 5587
Shiboo Roy v. Kashee Roy Shiboo Soonduree Dabi v. Beckwith Shiboo Soonduree Debea v. Secretary of State	25 W. R., 394 9 W. R., 580 7 W. R., 373 1. L. R., 4 Calc., 124: 3 C.	1424 5266 707, 1512
Shibo Prosad Pandah. In the matter of the petition of Shibo Soondery Dossee v. Rakhal Doss Sirkar Shibo Soonduree Debia v. Sowdaminee Debia Shibo Soonduree Dossee v. Chunder Kant Ghose	L. R., 122.	1849 2359 4809 5760 2889, 5334
v. Panchcowree Chundra Shibo Sundari Dasi v. Kali Churan Rai Shib Persad Roy v. Rughoonath Singh Shib Pershad Chuckerbutty. In the matter of v. Gungamonee Debee	14 W., R., 158 ·	3166 4502 1046 1210, 2343
erbutty.	W. R., 1864, Act X, 80	532
Shib Pershad Panah v. Muddun Mohum Doss	15 W. R., 415 3 B. L. R., A. C., 451 : 12 W. ?	5076
Shib Prasad Das v. Anna Purna Dayi	R., 435.	4807
Shib Prasad Panda. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 59: 14 W. R., Cr., 77.	4622
Shib Prokash Singh v. Sardar Doyal Singh	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 544: 2 C. L. S., 260.	5468
Shib Prosad Doobey v. Promothonath Ghose Shib Ram Dey v. Banee Madhab Mitter	10 W. R., 193	1746 3523
Shib Ram Ghose v. Pran Piria	4 B. L. R., Ap., 89: 13 W.	2931
Shib Ram Lall v. Raj Coomar Mitter	6 W. R., 48 7 W. R., 179 15 W. R., 68 2 N. W., 204	513 1401 432 2993
Shib Sahai v. Thika Ram	7 N. W., 97 22 W. R., 352 I. L. R., 7 All., 891 13 W. R., 209 2 Agra, 303	4538 339 4087 5005 1585
Shib Singh v. Bhoop Singh Shibsoonduree Debia v. Mahomed Ali Shib Suhaye Sookool v. Beer Chunder Joobraj Shibu Manjee v. Noshee Mookerjee	W. R., 1864, 137 11 W. R., 30 17 W. R., Cr., 3	2018 5743 973 1714, 2098
Shiddeshvar v. Ram Chandrarav Shidhojirav v. Naikjirav Shidlingapa v. Chenbasapa	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 463	3751, 4596 2230, 3366 4785

Name of Case.	Volume and	Column of Digest.
Shidmal Gura v. Anderson	11 Bom., 39 5 N. W., 153	2858 5268
Shimbhu Narain Singh v. Bachcha	I. L. R., 2 All., 200	5 08 6
Shinam Gounden v. Coomara Gounden	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 115 .	2129
Shiponessa Bibee v. Kaminee Bibee	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 121 .	4340
Shirajdee Pramanick v. Emam Buksh Biswas	13 W. R., 104	5812
Shircore v. Administrator General	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 50 .	6210
Shirekuli Timapa Hegda v. Mahablya	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 435	1478
Shiro Kumari Debi v. Govind Shaw Tanti	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 418 17 W. R., 348	6005
Shistee Pershad Chuckerbutty v. Kumlakant Roy Shisted has Parai In the matter of the potition of		3840
Shistidhur Parui. In the matter of the petition of Shitanath Biswas v. Kishen Mohun Mookerjee	9 B. L. R, Ap., 19 .	1328 365
Shitanath Biswas v. Kishen Monun Mookerjee	5 W. R., 130 16 W. R., Cr., 66	257
	2 B. L. R., Ap., 15: 11	<u> </u>
Shiudas Narayan Singh v. Bhagwan Dutt	R., 10.	2740, 5789
	2 B. L. R., Ap., 8 1 B. L. R., A. C., 164:	4460
Shiu Golam Singh v. Baran Singh	R., 198.	2348
Shiu Suhai Mullick v. Lala Hari Suhai Singh Shivalingaya v. Nagalingaya	3 B. L. R., Ap., 142	44 15
Shiva Charan Dass v. Roostum	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 247	5007 · 3891
Shiva Nathaji v. Joma Kashinath	Agra, F. B., 69: Ed. 1874, 53 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 341	59 4 9
Shivaramhari v. Arjun	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 258	1106
Shivasundari Debi v. Ramshamayat Kurmi	1 B. L. R., S. N., 3	3832
Shivji Hasam v. Datu Mavji Khoja	12 Bom., 281	2226, 3752
Shivlal bin Khubchand v. Balvantrav Vinayak	2 Bom., 75: 2nd Ed., 70	5220
Shivlal Khubchand v. Apaji Bhivrav	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 654 .	5975
Shivram .v Genu	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 515 .	3945, 4842 5403
···· v. Narayan	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 27	3285, 5000
Shivram Narayan v. Ravji Sakharam	I. L, R., 7 Bom., 254	5414
Shivram Udaram v. Kondaji Muktaji	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 340	2032, 5875
Shivram Vithal v. Bhagirthibai	6 Bom., A. C., 20	2795, 2797
Shivrudrappa v. Kashinath Vishnu Shiv Shankar v. Govindlal Parbhudas	6 Bom., A. C., 141	5581 1956
Shivshankar Govindram v. Justices of the Peace for	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 467	1356
Bombay.	5 Bom., O. C., 145	526 0
Shoay Att v. Shoay Doang	14 W. R., 218	2006
Shodone Mohaldar v. Halalkhore Mohaldar .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 645: 3 C. L. R., 462.	669
Shohrat Singh v. Bridgman	I. L. R., 4 All., 376	1873
Shoindoo Noshyo v. Rung Lall Jhah	25 W. R., Cr., 21	1303, 4487
Shojonee Dossia v. Dhuronee Dhur Ghose	16 W. R., 226	5707
Shokhee Bewa v. Mehdee Mundul	9 W. R., 327	4972
Shomaed Ali Sowdagur v. Eusoof Khan Chowdhry	15 W. R., 80	3452
Shome Dutt Chowdhry v. Surb Narain Chowdhry	24 W. R., 242	294, 4309
Shonai Bewa v. Monoram Mundul ,	11 C. L. R., 15	3855
Shonai Poramanick. In rev	1 C. L. R., 486	4111, 5151
Shoobhunkuree Dossee v. Chand Monee Dossee	1 C. L. R., 486	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{4111,5151} \\ \textbf{2200} \end{array}$
Shoobul Chunder Kulleah v. Koylash Chunder Mal	14 W. R., 23	5753, 62 45
Shoo Chand Chunder v. Grant	7 W. R., 10	3497
Shoodan Kurmokar v. Ram Churn Pal	2 W. R., 137	3036
Shoodhun Mundle. In re	5 W. R., Cr., 58	1534
Shooghury Koer v. Boshisht Narain Singh	8 W. R., 331	79
Shook Deb Shaha v. Alladi	2 C. L. R., 13	5349

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Shookmoy Chunder Dass v. Monohari Dasi	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 269: 8 C. L. R., 473; and on appeal, I. L. R., 11 Calc., 684: L. R, 12 I. A., 103.	256 2, 256 3
Shookoomar Singh v. Cruise Shokoor Ali v. Umola Ahalya Shookrah Shaikh v. Nund Coomar Banerjee Shookram Sookul v. Ram Lall Sookul Shoorut Sooudry Dabee v. Binny (Jardine, Skinner, & Co	6 W. R., Act X, 105 8 W. R., 504 25 W. R., 246 9 W. R., 248 25 W. R., 347	2742 5 301 1782 3008, 3066
Shooshi Bhoosun Pal v. Guru Churn Mookhopadhya	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 89: 8 C. L. R., 285.	4400
Shorovankurry Dabee v. Dwarka Nath Mookerjee. Shorafut Ali v. Ramzan Shorafuto Mohun Roy v. Bhuggobutty Churn Gungo-	25 W. R., 344 W. R., 1864, Act X, 53 10 B. L. R., 403, note : 18 W.	5924 528 3801
padhya. Shomomoyee v. Watson & Co. Shoroop Chunder Paul v. Mothoor Mohun Paul Chowdhry Shoroshee Bala Dabee v. Nund Lall Sein Shorosutty Dassee v. Göpeesondery Dassee Short v. Pickering Shortt v. Abdul Rahiman Shorussoti Dasi v. Parbutti Dasi	R., 332. 20 W. R., 211 4 W. R., 109 13 W. R., 364 Marsh., 423: 2 Hay, 512 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 138 6 Bom., O. C., 53 6 C. L. R., 362	1568 291, 4035 3993 482 4717 5713 1623, 3016 88, 1945
Shosheebhoosun Mozoomdar v. Muddomutty Guptee .	14 B. L. R., 21	2234, 2382
Shoshee Kishen Mookerjee. In the matter of Shoshee Mohun Shaha v. Sheer Sircar Shoshee Mookhee Burmonya v. Dwarkanath Biswas . Shoshee Shekhoreswar Roy v. Haro Gobind Bose	14 W. R., 328	3209, 4134 224 554 5470 428
Shoshi Bhooshun Pal v. Guru Churn Mookhopadhya	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 89:8 C. L. 7 R., 285.	559
Shoshimohun Pal Chowdhry v. Nobo Krishto Poddar Shoshimukhi Dabia v. Nobin Chunder Roy	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 801 4 C. L. R., 92	1126 388
Shoshinath Ghose v. Krishna Sunderi Dasi	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 381: 7 C. L. R., 313: L. R., 7 I. A., 250. I. L. R., 6 Calc., 121; and on	2113
Shoshi Shikuressur Roy v. Tarokessur Roy .	appeal, I. L. R., 9 Calc., 952:13 C. L. R., 62: L. R., 10 I. A., 51.	2567, 2568
Shostee Churn Roy v. Collector of Chittagong Shoteenath Mookerjee v. Obhoy Nund Roy . Showdaminee Dossee v. Haran Chunder Surma . v. Manick Ram Chowdhry . v. Ram Roodro Gangooly . v. Shookool Mahamed	13 W. R., 155	1492 3451, 4466 1659 5930 306, 4291
Showers v. Gobind Dass	7 W. R., 94	1653 ,5365 3694 5680
Shoylendro Geer Sunnyasee v. Patoo Doss Busanea Shreesh Chunder Doss v. Assimonissa Shreesteedhur Chuckerbutty v. Sreenath Biswas Shreesteedhur Dey v. Doorga Narain Nag	7 W. R., 234 18 W. R., 332 17 W. R., 462	1654 878 568
Shriewsbury. In the matter of the petition of Shridhar Hari v. Chima valad Ladu Shridhar Narayan v. Atmaram Govind	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 313	2659 4425 2654 1696
Shridhar Vinayak v. Babaji bin Jivaji v. Narayan valad Babaji Sringarpure v. Pethe	6 Bom., A. C., 220	4994 396, 6145

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Shrinivas v. Radhabai	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 570 9 Bom., 266 6 Mad., 120	5424 2855 725
Shri Shailapa v. Balapa Lokanna Shrish Chunder Mookhopadhya. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 446	4889 90
Shudanund Mohapattur v. Bonomalee Doss Shudek Sırcar v. Mohamoya Dabee	6 W. R., 256	2366 1606
Shugan Chand v. Government, North-Western Provinces Shuhochuree Dossee v. Showdaminee Dossee Shumbhoo Chowdhry v. Combs	7 W. R., 306	1124 2740 5701
Shumbhoo Chunder Mowlick v. Prankristo Mullick Shumbhoo Lall Girdhur Lall v. Collector of Surat	13 W. R., 105 4 W. R., P. C., 55: 8 Moore's)	5678 4215
Shumbhoo Mohun Roy v. Tripoora Sunkur Roy . Shumbhoo Nath Banerjee v. Tarinee Churn Bose .	I. A., 1.) 12 W. R., 126	3824 543
Shumbhoonath Biswas v. Kisto Dhun Sirear Shumbhoonath Chowdhry v. Prokash Chunder Dutt	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 8 8 W. R., 272	3188 2771
Shumbhoonath Ghose v. Nobinmoney Dossee Shumbhoonath Mozoomdar v. Kasheessuree Debee Shumbhoo Nath Poddar v. Luckynath Dey	Bourke, O. C., 92 13 W. R., 100 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 920	425 5673 5424
Shumbhoonath Shaha v. Guruchurn Lahiri . {	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 894: 6 C. L. R., 437.	3135, 3140 3491
Shumbhu Nath Mookhopadhya v. Hurro Sundari Dabia Chowdrain. Shumbhu Nath Sarkar v. Ram Komul Guha	11 C. L. R., 140	5293
Shumboo Chunder Chowdry v. Modhoo Kyburt	13 C. L. R., 212	490, 1325 1760 3448
Shumboo Chunder Mullick v. Prankristo Mullick . Shumboo Chunder Shaha v. Baroda Soonduree Debea .	14 W. R., 322 5 W. R., 45	3246 3344
Shumboo Chunder Singh v. Ram Narain Doss Shumboo Chunder Surnokar v. Russick Chunder Chung Shumboo Geer Gossain v. Ram Jewan Lall	9 W. R., 217 15 W. R., 346 8 W. R., 509	4962 4903 1733, 4169
Shumboonath Roy v. Monowar Ali	W. R., 1864, 109	4012 3394
Shumbul Singh v. Toondun Singh	24 W. R., 469	581 4164 1682
Shumshare Ali v. Lutafut Kureem Shum Sheer Singh v. Ramjeeawun Rae	18 W. R., 504	$\frac{4852}{3824}$
Shumsheir Ali Khan v. Ram Chunder Goopto Shumsher Ali v. Doya Bibi Shumsher Allx v. Kuskut Shah	22 W. R., 174 8 C. L. R., 150 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 236 : 6 C. L.	5130 3032
Shumsher Bahadoor v. Mahomed Ali Beg	R., 549. 5 2 Agra, 158	5705 6224
Shumsher Khan. In the matter of the petition of Shumshoolnissa v. Zohra Beebee	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 624 6 N. W., 2 : Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 286.	5563 3672
Shumshurooddy v. Jan Mahomed Sikdar	21 W. R., 260	2774, 5767 1626
Shums-oon-nissa Khanum v. Rai Jan Khanum	6 W. R., P. C., 52 23 W. R., 160	3702 5760
Shunguny Menon v. Kalampully Valia Nair	6 Mad., 117 1 Agra, Rev., 49	1425 352, 3882 1500
Shunker Singh v. Burmah Mahto Shunkur Lall v. Ram Lall	23 W. R., Cr., 25 1 N. W., 177: Ed. 1873, 256.	6066 2860, 3832
	1	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Shunkur Patukh v. Lala Sheochurn Lal Shunkurputtee Thakoorain v. Saifoollah Khan Shunkur Sahoo v. Gurbhoo Sahoo Shunmugam v. Moidin Shunt Buksh v. Lalla Nund Ram Shunto Doss Autith v. Hurechur Mookerjee Shurbessur Ghose v. Sadhoo Churn Ghose Shurbo Nɛrain Singh v. Ally Buksh Shah Shurfoonnissa v. Koolsoom	2 N. W., 443: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 238. 18 W. R., 507 15 W. R., 216 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 229 11 W. R., 48 20 W. R. 368 15 W. R., 130 2 Hay, 415 25 W. R., 447 12 B. L. R., 66, note: 10 W. S.	5778 5198 5287 3196, 4714 1203 2929 2772 3660 3661
Shurfun Bibee v. Collector of Sarun	R., 199.	799, 4132
Shurfunnissa Bibee Chowdhrain v. Kylash Chunder Gungopadhya. Shurfuraz Mollah v. Dhunoo Shurno Moyee v. Kashee Kant Bhuttacharjee v. Pattarri Sirkar v. Suttes Chunder Roy Shurnomoyee Dassee v. Uma Soondery Chowdhrain Shurnomoyee Dassee v. Uma Soondery Chowdhrain Shurnomoyee Dossee v. In the matter of the petition of Shurnomoyee Dossee v. Gopal Lall Doss Shurnomoyee Dossee v. Gopal Lall Doss Shuroop Chunder Bhoomick v. Pertab Chunder Singh Shuroop Chunder Bhoomick v. Pertab Chunder Singh Shuroop Chunder Bhoomick v. Pertab Chunder Singh Shuroop Chunder Gooho v. Ameerunnissa Khatoon Shurroop Chunder Gooho v. Ameerunnissa Khatoon Shurrut Chunder Chuttopadhya v. Rajkissen Mookerjee Shurut Chunder Kur v. Ram Shunkur Surmah Shuruf Jan v. Futteh Ali Shurut Chunder Banerjee v. Bama Churn Mookerjee Shurut Chunder Banerjee v. Bama Churn Mookerjee Shurut Chunder Mookerjee v. Thakoormonee Debia Shurut Chunder Scin v. Muthoora Nath Pudatick Shurut Chunder Sen v. Abdool Khye Mahomed Mohutesur Billah. Shurutoollah Merdha v. Gooroo Churn Dass	25 W. R., 53 16 W. R., 257 7 W. R., 511 1. L. R., 4 Calc., 625 2 W. R., P. C., 14 1. L. R., 12 Calc., 614 2 C. L. R., 138 8 W. R., 421 Marsh., 497 8 W. R., 421 7 W. R., 219 6 W. R., Act X, 55 24 W. R., 423 1. L. R., 8 Calc., 703 15 B. L. R., 350 10 W. R., 214 22 W. R., 389 13 W. R., Cr., 64 9 W. R., 533 4 C. L. R., 410 1 W. R., 90 9 W. R., 240 7 W. R., 303 23 W. R., 327 8 W. R., 310	4472 139, 6243 342 812, 3336 1724, 5356 3387, 3993 634 2476 2424 2771 5335 2889 3798 1894 89 5693 2972 4626 2884 4102 3817 666 1415 3474, 3527 434, 5453
Shurut Soonduree Dabee v. Puresh Narain Roy Shurut Soonduree Debee v. Government Shurut Soonduree Debia v. Collector of Mymensingh v. Khemunkuree Debia Shurwar Hossein Khan. In the matter of the petition of. Suryutoollah Mirdha v. Teeta Gazee Howladar Shusee Mookhee Dossee v. Bissessuree Dabee Shushee Bhoosun v. Muddun Mohun Chattopadhya Shushee Bhoosun Banerjee v. Nubo Coomar Chatterjee Shushee Mohun Pal Chowdhry v. Aukil Chunder Banerjee v. Ram Koomar	12 W. R., 85 13 W. R., 464 7 W. R., 42 7 W. R., 221 5 W. R., Act X, 87 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 720: 2 } Ind. Jur., N. S., 200. 21 W. R., 82 10 W. R., 343 2 C. L. R., 297 8 W. R., 94 25 W. R., 232	811 6095 67, 3130 1249 4424 91 1939 1748, 1769 4289, 6176 3802 2343
Koondoo.	22 W. R., 193	629 30
Shushee Shekhur Audhikaree v. Suleem Biswas	22 17 . 10., 101	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Shustee Churn Patuck v. Aukhil Chunder Sen Shustee Dhur Mozoomdar v. Nuteeja Bibee Shustee Ram Paul v. Nobo Kant Roy Chowdhry Shutt Churn Ghosal v. Mahomed Ally Shyam Chand Koondoo v. Land Mertgage Bank of India.	23 W. R., 103	4666 4480 . 4166 5696 420
Shyam Churn Chuckerbutty v. Heera Chand Mozoomdar. Shyam Singh v. Punchum Majee Shyam Soonder v. Cheita Sia Dasi v. Gur Sahai Sibboosoondery Dabia v. Bussoomutty Dabia	Marsh., 48: 1 Hay, 113 2 Agra, 243 3 N. W., 71 L. L. R., 3 All., 362 L. L. R., 7 Calc., 191	1456 4926 3886 1710, 2490 2467
Sibchunder Kurmokar. In re Sibessury Dabee v. Lukhy Dabee Sibnarain Ghose. In re v. Hullodhur Doss Sib Sahaya Sukul v. Bir Chandra Jubaraj Sibta v. Badri Prasad	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 93, note 1 W. R., 88 5 Moore's I. A., 322 6 Moore's I. A., 207 2 B. L. R., A. C., 172 I. L. R., 3 All., 134	2651 1993 4633 4632 5743 2291
Sibt Ali v. Muniruddin Sibu Jelya v. Gopal Chandra Chowdhry Siddee Gopal Sadhoo Khan v. Nund Lall Dey Siddesory Dossee v. Doorga Churn Sett	I. L. R., 6 All., 479 13 B. L. R., 423, note: 19 W. R., 200. 4 W. R., Mis., 8 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 22: Bourke, O. C., 360	1347 5183 2646 2113, 2136 2542
Siddessuree Chowdhrain v. Kissoreekant Gossain . Siddha v. Biligiri	W. R., 1864, Act X, 101 I. L. R, 7 Mad., 354 15 B. L. R., 9, note: 23 W., R., 285. 6 W. R., 65	1590 2907 3162 6231
Sideshury Chowdhrain. In the matter of Sidhee Nazir Ali Khan v. Ojoodhyaram Khan . Sidhee Nuzeer Ali Khan v. Woomesh Chunder Mitter	16 W. R., Cr., 50	6181 1698, 3997 4431, 5334 5346, 5971 4173
Sidhee Nuzur Ally Khan v. Oojoodbyaram Khan.	10 Moore's I. A., 322:1 Ind.) Jur, N. S., 185., 10 Moore's I. A., 540: 5 W. R., P. C., 83.	4640 1698, 3997 4431, 5334 5346, 5971
Sidh Gopal v. Ajudhiaprasad Sidhoo Lal. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 5 All., 392 2 N. W., 398	1403 86
Sidlingapa v. Sidava kom Sidlingapa . Sieveking, Droop, & Co. v. Focke . Sikher Chund v. Dulputty Singh .	 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 624 9 W. R., 215 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 363 : 5 C. R., 374 	2411, 2434 5684 4743 90, 1750 3433, 4159
Sikki v. Vencatasamy Gounden Sikundar Ali v. Purwurush Ali Sikur Chund v. Sooringmull Simmani Ammal v. Muttammal Simpson. In the goods of v. Cleghorn Simroo Kareegus v. Anund Chunder Roy Simson v. Gorachand Doss v. Venkatagopalam v. Viravya Sinammal v. Administrator General of Madras	N., 3744 3 N. W., 132 1 Hyde, 272 I. L. R., 3 Mad., 265 1 Mad., 171 6 C. L. R., 91 Marsh., 57: 1 Hay, 130 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 473 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 475 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 359 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 169	2436 2895 3089 2304 132 4871 6109 1054, 5712 374, 5953 1061 2339, 2443

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sinclair. In the matter of the petition of	6 N. W., 177	1270, 3559
v. Broughton	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 341: 13 C. L. R., 185: L. R., 9 I. A.,	2783
Sinde v. Sinde Singamma v. Vinjamuri Venkatacharlu	4 Bom., A. C., 51 4 Mad., 165	3409 2111
Sinia Tevar v. Rangasami Aiyangar	7 Mad., 112	4760 1903, 2414
Sinthayee v. Thanakapudayen v. alias Pondily Udayan.	4 Mad., 183	3530
Siraj-ood-dowlah v. Noor Ahmud	5 N. W., 194	6149 187, 5533
Siraj-ul-huq v. Khadim Husain	1. L. R., 5 All., 380 . I. L. R., 7 All , 568	3937
Sirdar v. Molungo Sirdar	24 W. R., 1	3368
Sirdar Begum v. Izzut-ool-nissa	2 N. W., 149	4438
Sirdar Dyal Singh v. Ram Buddun Singh	17 W. R., 454	2089 31 2 9
Sirdar Khan v. Buldeo Singh	6 N. W., 99	1773
Sirdar Sainey v. Piran Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 453	6055
Sital v. Madho	I. L. R., 1 All., 394	2265
Sital Parshad v. Jagdat Misser	7 N. W., 254	1414
Sital Prasad v. Amtul Bibi Sitanath Bose v. Mathura Nath Roy	I. L. R., 7 All., 633 2 B. L. R., Ap., 10; 11 W. R., \(\)	5205 2711
Sita Nath Das v. Luchmiput Singh	68. 11 C. L. R., 268	3639, 5407
v. Mohesh Chunder Chuckerbutty .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 627	1836
Sitanath Ghose v. Shamasundari Dasi {	8 B. L. R., Ap., 37, note: 14 \\ W. R., 26.	5130
Sitanath Koer v. Land Mortgage Bank of India	I. L. R., 9 Cale., 888: 12 C. L. R., 574.	5248
Sitanath Mookerjee v. Haimabutty Dabee	24 W. R., 377	2434
Sitanath Shaha v. Nobin Chunder Roy	5 C. L. R., 102	4247
Sitapathi Nayudu v. Queen	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 52 5 C. L. R., 73	5874, 6027 2249
Sitaram v. Aheeree Heerahnee	11 B. L. R., 129 : 20 W. R., 49	
v. Amir Begam	I. L. R., 8 All., 324	3691,5054
v. Atmaram Baji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 227, note .	416
v. Bhagwan Das	I. L. R., 7 All., 733	833
v. Dasrath Das	I. L. R., 5 All., 492	850 823
v. Zalim Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 533 I. L. R., 8 All., 231	2187
Sitarama v. Narayanan	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 130	3598
Sitaram Abbaji. In re	10 Bom., 58	2665
Sitaramaiyar v. Alagiry Iyer	4 Mad., 285	4302, 5051
Sitarama Krishna Rayadappa Ranga Raz v. Sanyasi Razu Pedda Baliyara Simbulu.	3 Mad., 4	5650
Sitaram Amrut v. Bhagvant Jaganath	6 Bom., A. C., 109	3825
Sitaraman v. Susa Pillai	2 Mad., 254	. 5676
Sitarama Razu v. Jaganada Narayana Ramachendra Razu Pedda Baliyar Simhulu.	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 367	5595
Sitarambhat v. Sitaram Ganesh	6 Bom., A. C., 250 .	2246, 3860 5265
Sitaram Dandekar v. Ganesh Gokble	6 Bom., A. C., 121, .	3985
Sitaram Krishna v. Daji Devaji	I. L. R., 7 Born., 418 .	1074
Sitaram Sahu v. Mohan Mandar	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 345: W. R., 90.	2026
Sitaram Vasudev v. Khanderav Balkrishna Sithalakshmi v. Vythilinga	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 286 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 548	3135 4950, 6018
Community of Alamina		

Name of Case.					Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sitha Ummal v. Rungasami Iyengar	· •	•			5 Mad., 385	3385, 6127
Sitla Bakhsh v. Lalta Prasad .	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 8 All., 388	4010
Sitla Din v. Sheo Prasad		•	•	•	I. L. R., 4 All., 60	$\begin{array}{c} 3522 \\ 1049 \end{array}$
Sittaram Atmaram. In the matter	ot	•	•	•	1 Ind. Jur, N. S., 23 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 30: 13 C.)	1040
Situl Purshad v. Luchmi Purshad				}	L. R., 382 : L. R., 10 I. A.,	3899
Siva Bhagiam v. Palami Padiachi				(J. L. R., 4 Mad., 401	2520
Siva Bux Savuntharam. In re	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 317	393
Sivaganga v. Lakshmana	:	Ċ	•	•	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 188	5390
0 .7		•	•	;	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 312 : L. R., 5 }	2394, 2450
Sivagnana Tevar v. Periasami .	٠	•	•	ં	I. A., 61.	2518
Sivanananja Perumal Sethurayer v.	Mutt	u Ran	naling	ga)	0.34 . 4 . 75	2264, 2318
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Seth	urayei	r .	زَ		2323
	Meeua	ıkshi A	mma	ıl.	5 Mad., 377 · · ·	2422
Sivappachari v. Mahalinga Chetti	•			•	1 Mad., 50	5261
Sivarajadhani Nilakantham Pillai (Ramiah Pantulu.	v. Ku	ppa G	lantu	lu }	2 Mad., 311	759
	•		•		I L. R., 8 Mad., 99	5487
v. Subramanya .	•	•			I. L. R., 9 Mad., 57 · ·	3264, 5430
v. Subramanya Sivaramaiyar v. Samu Aiyar	•	•			1 Mad., 447	4143
Sivarama Pillai v. Turnbull .	•	•	•			3237
Sivasankara Mudali v. Parvati Anni	•	•		•	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 96 .	2170
Sivasubramanya v. Secretary of Stat	e for	India			I. L. R., 9 Mad., 285 .	1728, 4230 4455
Sivu v. Chenamma					5 Mad. 417	5115
Skinner v. Aldwell	•	•	•	•	5 Mad., 417	1479
	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 6 All., 138	465
v. Jager	•	•	•	•	4 N. W., 160 · ·	3001
o. Mantao · · ·	•	•	•	Ċ	10 B. L. R., 125: 14)	
v. Orde				{	Moore's I. A., 309: 17	1340, 3785
•				(W. R., 77.	
v. —		•	•	•	I. L. R., 1 All., 230	4338
v				•	I. L. R., 2 All., 241 : L. R., }	3145, 6016
			-	Ś	0 1. A., 140.	
v. Rujub Ali Khan .	•	•	•	•	2 W. R., Act X, 105 .	554 683
v. Skinner	•	•	•	•	2 Agra, 128	4339
Skinner alias Nawab Mirza v. Orde	•	•	•	;	T T D O A 11 947 . T. D 3	
	•			{	I. L. R., 2 All., 241: L. R., 6 I. A., 126.	3145, 6016
Skinner <i>alias</i> Nowshaba Begum. II	n tha	mattar	of	-	13 Moore's I. A., 532	4635
Skinner & Co. v. Shama Soonduree			OI	•	19 W. R., 429	4202
Smallwood at Parry	:	•	•	•	Cor., 39	6276
Smith a Rogge	•	•	•	•	5 B. L. R., Ap., 21	2649
Smallwood v. Parry Smith v. Boggs v	•	•	•		5 B. L. R., Ap., 21	2651
- 2 Bulwant Singh	•	•	:	•	2 W. R., Mis., 24.	228
v. Bulwant Singh v. Dinonath Mookerjee		-	:		I. L. R., 12 Calc., 213	1123
v. Gopal Sheikh	:				3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 14	5656
v. Guneshee Lal					3 N. W., 83	468
v. Mokhum Mahton .					18 W. R., 526	1708
v. Nundun Lal		•			6 W. R., Act X, 13	3799, 6090
v. Secretary of State .		•				4969, 5115
v. Sona Bibee					2 W. R., Mis., 10.	3835
v. Stewart			•		Bourke, O. C., 292	6061
Smyth v. Smyth	•				5 B. L. R., Ap., 153	1555
Snadden v. Mahwine			•		2 B. L. R., A. C., 292	1360
	-	-	-	- 1		2776, 4215
v. Todd, Findlay, & Co.				•	/ W. It., 200	1110. 421n

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Snadden v. Todd, Finlay, & Co. Snaith v. McQuhae	7 W. R., 313	300, 4898 3599 4704 770, 773 1917
Sobhagchand Gulabchand v. Bhaichand	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 193 .	4838, 5401 6141, 6142
Sobha Kooerec v. Hurdey Narain Mohajun	25 W. R., 97	79, 80 2444
Sobha Mahton v. Paharoo	2 N. W., 310	1630
Sobhan v. Shubraton	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 558: 5 C. L. R., 21.	374 0
Sobha Pandey v. Sahodra Bibi Sobharam v. Gungapershad	1. L. R., 5 All., 322 2 N. W., 260 5 N. W., 148 20 W. R., Cr., 15 . 7 W. R., 273 . 5 B. L. R., Ap., 27: 13 W.	3324 1177 3964 5160 4478
Sodamini Dasi v. Jageswar Sur	R., 339.	1898
Sofaoll Khan v. Woopean Khan . Sofir Merdha v. Nobokishore . Sofiruddeen v. Empress Sohan v. Mathura Das Sohan Lal v. Aziz-unnissa Begam	9 W. R., 123 2 W. R., 286 2 C. L. R., 132 I. L. R., 6 All., 449 I. L. R., 7 All., 136 I. L. R., 2 All., 281 11 B. L. R., 138, note	5812 1984 1007 5688 4918 789 2721
Schodwa v. Smith	12 B. L. R., 82: 20 W. R.,	5197
Sohudra v. Roy Kaliha Sahoy	139. W. R., 1864, Mis., 23 6 N. W., 265 Marsh., 7 16 W. R., 169 2 Agra, 61 12 Bom., 9	. 187 484 5267 3055 1149, 3693 1215
Sokhina Bibi. In the matter of the petition of .	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 87: 8 C. L. R., 387.	1958
Solah Bibee v. Keerun Bibee Solano v. Ahmeida v. Doolhin Umrit Koer v. Hoormut Bahadoor v. Moran & Co. v. Soobrun Roy Soldar Mondul v. Nilcomul Chatterjea Solicitor. In rea Solomon. In the matter of the petition of	16 W. R., 175 10 C. L. R., 573 . W. R., 1864, Act X, 65 1 Hay, 573 . 4 C. L. R., 11 6 W. R., Act X, 4 1 C. L. R., 528 . 4 B. L. R., P. C., 29 1. L. R., 11 Calc., 767 .	3675 817 2989 3076 1483 3803 1696 5722 3154, 5136
v. Abdool Azeez	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 687 : 8 C. L. R., 169.	995
v. Abdool Aziz v. Lalla Ram Lall Somangouda bin Dajamangouda v. Bharmangouda Somarimull v. Bhairodas Johury Somashekhara v. Subhadramaji Somasundaram Chetti v. Administrator General Somasundara Tambiran v. Sakkarai Pattan Somerooddeen. In the matter of	4 C. L. R., 366 7 C. L. R., 481	2817, 4402 4407 1705 2356 589, 2211 328, 2132 133, 3093 3942 4393

Name of Case.	. Volume and Page ,	Column of Digest.
Somkali v. Bhairo Somree v. Jitun Sonar Somu v. Queen Somu Gurukhal v. Rangammal Sona v. Leelanund Singh Sona Beebee v. Lall Chand Chowdhry, Sonachala v. Manika Sonai Sardar v. Bukhtar Sardar Sonaka Chowdrain v. Bhoobunjoy Shaha Sonamall v. Sudaram Rotti Sonamonee Dossee v. Jov Doorga Dossee	I. L. R., 5 All., 55 22 W. R., Cr., 30 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 316 7 Mad., 13 5 W. R., 290 9 W. R., 242 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 516 25 W. R., Cr., 46 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 311 7 B. L. R., Ap., 23 17 W. R., 551	1431 3606, 3740 962 4817 2035 5329 6.83 3864 240, 5726 4398 498, 1885
Sonamonee Dossia v. Motee Singh Sonamoni Dasi v. Lilanund Singh Sonaoollah v. Imamooddeen Sonatan Roy v. Ananda Kumar Mookerjee	14 W. R., 385 11 C. L. R., 30 ,	5730 5723 1683 2928
Sonatun Bukshee v. Gopal Chunder Shamunto Sonatun Bysack v. Juggut Soondree Dossee Sonatun Doss v. Kalee Pershad Doss		5582 2531 4434 5106
Sonatun Ghose v. Abdool Farar	W. R., 91. 2 W. R., 105 W. R., 1864, 95 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 130 9 Bonn, 398 I. L. R., 1 Calc., 391: 25 W.)	4203 2283 3598 268, 3090
Sonet Kooer v. Himmut Bahadoor	R. 239: L. R., 3 I. A., 92. 6 W. R., Mis., 53	2 057, 3046 85
Sonnum Sookul v. Elahee Buksh Soobah Doorgah Lal Jha v. Neelanund Singh Soobah Pirthee Lal Jha v. Soobah Doorga Lal Jha Soobanse Singh v. Juggesshur Koer Soobba Tevan v. Moothookoody	7 W. R., 453 7 W. R., 73 13 C. L. R., 86 6 Mad., 40	1105 1719, 2275 3565 5221
Soobhudra Dossee v. Boloram Dewan	W. R., F. B., 57: 1 Ind. Jur., 5 O. S., 82. I. L. R., 6 Calc., 663: 7 C. 3 L. R., 201.	2352 3957
Soobhul Singh v. Meeto Singh Soobramania Telaver v. Sokka Telaver Soobudra v. Ramprokash Singh Soobudra Bebee v. Sheo Churn Lall Soobul Chunder Gooho v. Tumeezooddeen Chowdhry Soobul Chunder Paul v. Attur Ali Sooburnomonee Dabea v. Petumber Dobey Sooda Ram Doss v. Joogul Kishore Goopto Soodha Monee Dossee v. Brojonath Mozoomdar v. Gooroopersaud Dutt Soodha Mookhee Dossee v. Ram Guttee Kurmokar Soodharam Bhuttacharjee v. Odhoy Chunder Bundo- padhya.	W. R., 1864, Act X, 12. 5 Mad., 437. 16 W. R., 5. 7 W. R., 375. 14 W. R., 414. 11 W. R., 32. Marsh., 221: 1 Hay, 497. 24 W. R., 274. 4. W. R., Mis., 14. W. R., 1864, 176. 20 W. R., 419. 10 B. L. R., 380: 19 W. R., 279.	4931 6176 6085 2709 5117 2019, 5300 3348, 3796 2586 209 194 1619
Soodheekeena Chowdhrain v. Gopee Mohun Sein . Soodishtee Lall Chowdhry v. Nuthoo Lall Chowdhry Soodukhina Chowdhrain v. Issur Chunder Mozoomdar . v. Raj Mohun Bose	1 W. R., 41	1395 1611 338, 1433 338, 339 771, 1768 4458

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Soogun Chund v. Gopal Gir	4 N. W., 101 6 W. R., Act X, 44 14 B. L. R., Ap., 3: 21 W.	2332 1628
Soojan Bibee v. Achmut Ali	R., 414.	1837
Soojan Mull v. Hyder Jung Bahadoor	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 58 .	3112, 6184
Soojat Ali v. Zumeerooddeen Soojat Mahomed v. Mahomed Torab	5 W. R., 158	3670 6158
Soojaut Hossein. In the matter of the petition of	22 W. R., Cr., 19	4105
Soojun Mundul v. Woozeer Mundul	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 20	5232
Sookhan Sahoo v . Government	18 W. R., Cr., 5	6255 31 7
Sookhanundamoyee Debia v. Baney Madhub Mookerjee. Sookhee Monee Debia v. Brijoraj Mookerjee.	1 W. R., 73 17 W. R., 228	2700
Sookheemonee Dossee v. Mohendro Nath Dutt	13 W. R., P. C., 14	1697
Sookh Lall Phoojwalla v. Goolzar Bhoojwalla	14 W. R., 228	4473
Sookhmoyee Chowdhrain v. Raghubendro Narain Chow-	24 W. R., 7	[*] 3163
dary. Sookh Moyee Dossee v. Nurmooda Dossee	15 W. R., 210	759, 3448
Sookhmun v. Chooramun	1 Agra, 172	4008
Sookhnund v. Nundoo Singh	2 Agra. 221	4965
Sookho Soonduree Dabia v. Wooma Soonduree Dabia	18 W. R., 255	684
Sookhraj Bahadoor v. Jureena Bibee	11 W. R., 341	681 2772, 5452
Sookh Kaj Singh v. Tuffazzool Hossein Sookhram v. Nirput Singh	2 N. W., 142	1078
Sookna Medhee v. Gundhoo Ram Mundul	12 W. R., 264	1823, 2022
Sookoomar Singh v. Kashee Singh	13 W. R., 250	5940
Sookram Doss v. Nund Kishore Doss	22 W. R., 21	114 4216
Sookyaboye Ammal v. Latchmi Ammal	19 W. R.; 284 13 W R., P. C., 3	4234
Sooltan Ali v. Chand Bibee	9 W. R., 130	138
Sooltan Bahadoor v. Laloo Kooer	17 W. R., 300	3557
Sooltan Chund v. Schiller	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 252: 3 C. L. R., 287.	1115, 1117
Soomar Jaffer v. Abdool Kurreem	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 230 .	600
Soomrun Rai v. Mahesh Dutt	4 N. W., 33	3168
Soomrun Thakoor v. Chunder Mun Misser	3 C. L. R., 282 : 5 C L. R., 26.	2157, 2356
Soomungul Kooer v. Court of Wards	17 W. R., 560	1252
Soomut Doss v · · ·	21 W. R., 292	203, 1883
Same a Whydown	24 W. R., 151	1889 3021
Soonar v. Khuderun	2 N. W., 251	139
Soonder Bebee v. Khilloo Mull alias Ram Lall	2 N. W., 90	4327, 4866
Soonder, Koomaree Debea v. Gudadhur Pershad	4 W. R, P. C., 116: 7	2105, 2144 2318
Tewaree.	Moore's I. A., 54.	4047
Soonder Lall v. Baillie	24 W. R., 287 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 76 .	2277
Soonder Narain Pandah v. Namdar	21 W. R., 407	
Soondur Kooer v. Lalla Rughoobur Dyal	10 W. R., 246	3705
Soondar Koomaree Debia v. Kishoree Lal Sein	5 W. R., 246	4233 1387
Soondur Monee Chowdhrain v. Bhoobun Moliun Chowdry Soondur Singh v. Buhooria Alum Bashee	24 W. R., 36	451
Soonea et Ram Suha	2 N. W., 146	183
Sooney Ram Marwaree v. Byjnath Kooer	10 W. R., 88	3929
Soonjharee Koonwur v. Ramessur Pandey	5 W. R., Mis., 47	5827 203
Soonut Dass v. Bhoban Lall	20 W. R., 478 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 91 .	2645, 2651
Soopersaud. In re	a and out if are Dig UE	=0 ±0, ±001

· · -	_	
. Name of Case.	'Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Soophul Singh v. Methoo Singh	1 W. R , 163	383
Soopromonian Setty v . Heilgers	[I. L. R., 5 Calc., 71 : 4 C. L.] R., 377.	4606
Soopun Khan v. Huro Pershad Paul	4 W. R., Act X, 50 4 N. W., 5	778 5379
Sooraj Kant Acharje v. Khoodee Narain Manna Sooraj Monee Dayee v. Suddanund Mohapattur	22 W. R., 9 12 W. R., 296	5756 282
Soorasoondery Dabee v. Golam Ally	15 B. L. R., 125, note: 19 W. R., 142.	1598, 166 3 4148
Soorendronath Roy v. Bhyrub Mundul	14 W. R., 462	145
v. Purmanund Chose	15 W. R., 342	291 337
v. Rughoobur Dyal Awustee Soorendro Nath Roy Chowdhry. In the matter of .	15 W. R., 392	393
Scorendro Pershad Dobey v. Jugobundhoo Pandey .	22 W. Ŕ., 426	2749
v. Nundun Misser	21 W. R., 196	1038, 2765 4155
Sooriah Row v. Cotaghery Boochiah	5 W. R., P. C., 127: 2 Moore's I. A., 113.	3843, 6246
v. Enoogunty Sooriah	5 W. R., P. C., 125: 2	3842
Soorja Kant Acharj Chowdry. In the matter of the petition of.	Moore's 1. A., 72.	219, 5924
Soorja Koer v. Nath Buksh Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 102 9 W. R., 500	2433 5409
Scorjee Kanto Roy v. Forlong	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 382: 6 W. R., Act X, 44.	5074
Soorjeemoney Dossee v. Denobundo Mullick . {	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 37: 4 W. R., P. C., 114: 6 Moore's I. A., 526.	2550
v. Denobundoo Mullick	9 Moore's I. A., 123	2552
Soorjmonee Dossee v. Suroop Chunder Shah Soorjmukhi Koer. In the matter of the petition of	W. R., 1864, 198	1677 277
Soorjo Coomar Bhuttacharjee v. Bhugwan Chunder Roy	24 W. R., 328	4784
Soorjo Coomar Surma Roy v. Krishto Coomar Chow- dhry.	12 B. L. R., 224: 14 W. R. } F. B., 30.	5734
Soorjo Kant Banerjee v. Kristo Kishore Poddar	14 W. R., 423	322, 4007 6112
Soorjo Koomar v. Gungadhur Roy	12 W. R., 80	
Soorjomonee Dayee v. Suddanund Mohapatter . }	377: L. R., I. A., Sup. \ \ \Vol., 212.	2344, 2367 5026
Soorjomonee Dossee v. Pearee Mohun Mookerjee	25 W. R., 331	1603
Soorjo Narain Panda v. Bissumbur Singh	23 W. R., 311	1833 2301
Soorjoo Pershad v. Krishau Pertab Bahadoor	1 N. W., 49 : Ed. 1873, 46 .	2197
Soorma Khatoon v. Attaffoonnissa Khatoon	2 Hay, 210	3653
Soornum Butty v. Boodhessuree Sooruj Buksh Singh v. Sreekishen Doss	10 W. R., 313 6 W. R., Mis., 126	4848 220, 5409
Sooruj Komar Pershad v. Mahadeo Dutt	5 N. W., 50	2331
Sooruj Pershad Tewary v. Saheb Lall Tewary .	3 W. R., 25	4879
Sorabjee Vacha Gauda v. Koonwarjee Manickjee	5 W. R., P. C., 29: 1 Moore's]	1726
Sorabji Fardunji v. Dulabhbhai Hargovandas Sorabji Nassarvanji Dundas v. Justices of the Peace for the City of Bombay.	1. L. R., 5 Bom., 65 . 12 Bom., 250 .	1131, 1541 612, 1570 5876
Sorabji Ratanji v. Great Indian Peninsula Railway?	7 Bom., O. Ç., 119, note .	1382
Company. Sorbojit Roy v. Gonesh Prosad Misser ,	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 761	2103
•	,	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Soroop Chunder Hazra. In the matter of the petition	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 938	1144
Soroop Chunder Paul v. Durup De Dombal Soroop Narain Pandah v. Soondur Porya Soruth Soonderv Dabee v. Brodie	1 W. R., 72 11 W. R., 505 1 W. R., 274	529 237 2089
Soshi Bhusan Chand. In the matter of the petition of decision of the petition of decision with the solution of the petition of decision of decision of the petition of decision of decision of the petition of decision of dec	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 694 W. R., 1864, 107	3454, 4291 2240
Mahadabee.		4944, 5404
Sotish Chunder Lahiry v. Nil Comul Lahiry	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 45 . {	5965
Soudaminee Dossee vBholanath Shaha	9 W. R., 363	2890 3437
v. Dheraj Mahatab Chand .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 585: 6 W. R., Mis., 102.	276
Soudaminey Dossee v. Jogesh Chunder Dutt	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 262	2463, 2560
Soudamonee Dossee v. Poorno Chunder Roy	1 Hyde, 172	1208 3180
Southern Cachar Tea Co. In the matter of	4 W. R., 32 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180	3929 953
Southo Pursad Dass v. Parasu Padhan	26 W. R., 98	4789
Sowdaminee Debia v. Mohesh Chunder Mookerjee	19 W. R., 262	2932
Sowdamini Chowdhrain v. Krishna Kishor Poddar	4 B. L. R., F. B., 11: 12 W.	5486
Sowdamonee Debya v. Spalding. In the matter of .	R, F. B., 8.	1826
Spencer v. Kadir Buksh	6 B. L. R., 658: 15 W. R., (338, 2880 4308
v. Puhul Chowdhry	6 B. L. R., 658: 15 W. R.,	338, 2880
Spence's Hotel Company v. Anderson	471. 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 294, note .	4308 427
Speyer v. Janssen	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 295	929 2093, 5898
Spink v. Moran	Bourke, O. C., 28	4594
Spooner v. Juddow	4 Moore's I. A., 353 .	4122, 4634 5973
Sreechand v. Budhoo Singh	13 W. R., 301	2975
Sreechund Baboo v. Mullick Choolhun Sreedhessury Chowdry v. Muddun Koowar Jha	9 W. R., 564 1 W. R., 128	3279 4997
Sreedhur Churn Nundee v. Juggobundoo Pau	W. R., 1864, Mis., 37	195
Sreegobind Singh v. Odit Narain Singh	9 W. R., 170	1581 6111
Sreegopal Mullick v. Dwarkanath Sein	15 W. R., 520	325, 1629 2978
v. Ram Churn Nuskur	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 856: 12 C.	4771, 6124
Sreehuree Bukshee v. Gopaulchunder Samunt	L. R., 125.	1997
Sreehuree Roy v. Hill	9 W. R., 156	1381
Sreehurry Paul v. Nilmoney Sen Sreehurry Roy v. Hills	1 Hyde, 169 6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 21	402 5251
	7 W. R., 476	6030
Sreekant Bhuttacharjee v. Rajnarain Chatterjee	10 W. R., 1	1845
Sreekant Ghose v. Bhugwan Chunder Sen	24 W. R., 13	1635, 5766
Sreekant Roy. In the matter of the petition of Sreekant Roy Chowdhry v. Kitabooddeen Sirdar	7 W. R., 74 10 W. R., 49	279 333, 541
Sreekant Shaha v. Kaltoo Doss	10 W. R., 49	1434
Sree Kishen v. Eshree Purtaub Rai	2 Agra, 299	4249

Stree Kishen Chowdhry v. Ramkisto Bhuttacharjee 10 W. R., 317 3225 5556 5565 587ekistos Diswas v. Joy Kristo Biswas 24 W. R., 304 4485 24805 2480 24805 2480 24805	Nume of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of
Steekinston v. Juglal Sreekiristo Biswas v. Juglal Sreekiristo Biswas v. Joy Kristo Biswas 24 W. R., 342 5479		•	Digest.
Streckint Diswas v. Joy Kristo Biswas 24 W. R., 304 5489 5479	Sreekissen v. Juglal		5556
Steemach under Mookerjee v. Mutty Loll Mookerjee Sree Misser v. Crowdy 15 W. R., 243 1183, 380 3265 380 380 3265 380 3	Sreekristo Biswas v. Joy Kristo Biswas		
Stree Mohus (Bruttuck. In the matter of Stree Mohus (Bruttuck. In the matter of Streemulmoney Bebee v. Goberthone Bermono 18 W. R., 235 110, 300 232 132 232	Sreekunt Doss v. Ramjeebun Roy		1
Stee Mohun Ghuttuck	Sree Misser v. Crowdy		1183, 3804
Steemulmoney Bebee v. Goberdhone Bermono Steemundhunder Dey v. Gopal Chunder Chuckerbutty Steemunjuree Dossee v. Poorusuttum Doss 1. A., 28 9 W. R., 499 477, 612 3979 8 W. R., 197 8 W. R., 276 14 G., 536 4 G., 53	Sree Mohun Ghuttuck. In the matter of .	13 Moore's I. A., 343	
Steemunchunder Dey v. Gopal Chunder Cutckeroutly Steemunt Poly v. Gopal Chunder Cutckeroutly Streemunt Poly v. Foorusuttum Doss Streemunt Koondoo v. Sharoda Soonduree Dossee Streemunt Koondoo v. Sharoda Soonduree Dossee Streemunt Chondoo v. Sharoda Soonduree Dossee Streemunt Chondoo v. Sharoda Soonduree Dossee Streemunt Benaich Rao Imrit. In the goods of Ind. Jur., N. S., 10 4526 452	Sreemulmoney Bebee v. Goberdhone Bermono .	2 Ind. Jur., N. S. 319	
Steemunt Dutt v. Krishnanath Roy Sreemunt Koondoo v. Sharoda Soonduree Dossee Sw. R., 197 Sw. R., 438 1784, 475 Steemunt Kowar v. Akbur Mundul Sw. R., 438 1784, 475 1807, 536 Sw. R., 409 3245 537 534 1187 534 1187 534 1187 534 1187 534 1187 534 1187 534 1187 534 1187 534 1187 534 1187 534 1187 1307, 1007	Sreemunchunder Dey v. Gopal Chunder Chuckerbutty		477, 6127
Steemunt Koondoo v. Sharoda Soonduree Dossee Sreemunt Kowar v. Akbur Mundu Steemunt Lall Ghose v. Shama Soonduree Dassee Freemunt Kowar v. Akbur Mundu Steemunt Lall Ghose v. Shama Soonduree Dassee Freemunt Benaich Rao Imrit. In the goods of 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 10 4526 45	Ereemunjuree Dossee v. Poorusuttum Doss		877, 4320
Treemunt Kowar v. Akbur Mundul Sreemunt Kowar v. Akbur Mundul Sreemunt Lall Ghose v. Shama Soonduree Dassee Freemunt Benaich Rao Imrit. In the goods of Sreemunt Benaich Rao Imrit. In the goods of Sreemunt Ghose v. Shama Soonduree Dassee F2 W. R., 276 4467, 536 4526 4508 450	Sreemunt Dutt v. Krishnanath Roy		1
Steenminto Benaich Rao Imrit. In the goods of Sreemunto Duloui v. Ramchand Aduck		8 W. R., 197	1.
Steenminto Benaich Rao Imrit. In the goods of Sreemunto Duloui v. Ramchand Aduck		12 W. R., 276	1467, 5367
Steemunto Duloui v. Ramchand Aduck 5 W. R., Cr., 57 45008	Sreemunto Benaich Rao Imrit. In the goods of .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 10	4526
Steemunto Koondoo v. Brijonath Paul Chowdhry 16 W. R., 296 2928	Sreemunto Duloui v. Ramchand Aduck		
Steemunto Puramanick v. Abhoy Churn Manna 11 W. R., 297 5271 5	Sreemunto Hajrah v. Tajooddeen		
Steenmint Ram Deg v. Kookoor Chand 15 W. R., 481 56, 535 585	Sreemunto Koondoo v. Brijonath Paul Chowdhry.	2.7. 777 TO ANOM	
Steenmutt Ram Totadar v. Ram Kishen Sen 22 W. R., 387 5694	Sreemunto Puramanick v. Abhoy Churn Manna.	11 W. R., 297	
Steenant Sen v. Gorai Gazee 18 W. R., 199 2694 4157	Sreemunt Rain Dey v. Kookoor Chang.	22 W. R. 387	
Steenath Bagchee v. Smith Steenarain Bagchee v. Smith L. R., 4 Calc., 807: 4 C. Sado L. R., 148. Sado Steenarain Bagchee v. Smith L. R., 148. Sado Steenarain Bysack. In re		18 W. R. 199	
Streenarain Bagchee v. Smith		. + 22 W. R., 171	4157
Streen Navain Berahv Gooro Pershad Berah Streenarain Bysack In re 2467 2661 2661 2661 2661 2660 2603 2603 3511 2603 260		I. L. R., 4 Calc., 807: 4 C.	5340
Steenarin Bysack In re Steenarin Chuckerbutty v. Miller v 15 W. R., O. C., 7 2603 3511 35 W. R., 72 17 W. R., 74 17 W. R., 374 17 W. R., 316 17 W. R., 316 17 W. R., 316 17 W. R., 316 17 W. R., 318 4829 47 W. R.,			
Steenarin Chuckerbutty v. Miller v. Dieraj Mahtab Chund v. v. Kishen Soondery Dassee v. Kishen Soondery Dassee l. Tw. R., 72 v. Mahatab Chand l. L. R., 171: L. R., 1. 220 v. Mahatab Chand l. L. R., 9 Calc., 221 d. 6277 v. Doorgadoss Nundy v. Purrum Sookh Chunder lose v. Purrum Sookh Chunder lose v. Poglian Mollah lose v. Poglian Mollah lose v. Poglian Mollah lose v. Radhanath Bose v. Kylash Chunder Chatterjee v. Kylash Chunder Chatterjee v. Kylash Chunder Bundopadhya. Sreenath Chunder Chowdhry v. Mohesh Chunder Bundopadhya. Sreenath Churn Dass v. Dwarkanath Ghose lose v. Nilkanto Dey lose lose lose lose lose lose lose lose	Sreenarain Bysack. In re	2 Hyde, 180	1
Tw. R., 72 11 B. L. R., 171; L. R., 1 1411, 211 220 2113 220 3 W. R., 3 220 6277 9 W. R., 105 2008 2008 2009	Sreenarain Chuckerbutty v. Miller	. 15 W. R., O. C., 7	
The content of the petition of 1	Sreenarain Mitter v. Dheraj Mahtab Chund	117 W R 72	1 3011
V. Mahatab Chand 3 W. R., 3 6277 627		11 B. L. R., 171 : L. R., 1. 3 A., Sup. Vol., 149.	1411, 2112 2113
v. Doorgadoss Nundy y. Purrum Sookh Chunder 25 W. R., 165 4050	v. Mahatab Chand	. 13 W. R., 3	220
Steenath Bhuttacharjee v. Ram Comul Gaugooly Steenath Bose v. Nobin Chunder Bose Steenath Bose v. Nobin Chunder Bose Steenath Bose v. Poglian Mollah Steenath Chatterjee v. Kylash Chunder Chatterjee Steenath Chowdhry v. Grey Steenath Chunder Chowdhry v. Mohesh Chunder Bundopadhya. Steenath Chunder Chowdhry v. Mohesh Chunder Bundopadhya. Steenath Churn Dass v. Dwarkanath Ghose Steenath Churn Soor v. Nilkanto Dey Steenath Doss. In the goods of Steenath Dutt v. Dwary Dhallie Steenath Churn Dass v. Ramgopal Chatterjee Steenath Ghose Steenath Churn Dutt v. Dwary Dhallie Steenath Churn Dutt v. Dwary Dhallie Steenath Churn Dutt v. Ramgopal Chatterjee Steenath Ghose Steenath Ghose Steenath Ghose Steenath Churn Dutt v. Ramgopal Chatterjee Steenath Ghose Steen		. I. L. R., 9 Calc., 221	
Steenath Bhuttacharjee v. Ram Comul Gangooly Steenath Bose v. Nobin Chunder Bose Steenath Bose v. Nobin Chunder Bose Steenath Bose v. Poglian Mollah 17 W. R., 374 1614 1614 17 W. R., 374 1614 17 W. R., 374 1614 17 W. R., 370 175 1	v. Doorgadoss Nundy	9 W. R., 216 · · ·	1
Steenath Bose v. Nobin Chunder Bose 9 W. R., 473 3841 17 W. R., 374 1614 17 W. R., 374 3166 17 W. R., 370 3166 17 W. R., 318 17 W. R., 318 17 W. R., 318 18 W. R., 286 3123, 512 18 W. R., 286 3123, 512 18 W. R., 318 3	v. Furrum Sookh Chunder .	. 20 17.16., 100	1
Steenath Bose v. Nobin Chunder Bose 9 W. R., 473 3841	Sreenath Bhuttacharjee v. Ram Comul Gangooly .	I. A., 220.	4753
v. Poglian Mollah 17 W. R., 374 3166 sreenath Chatterjee v. Kylash Chunder Chatterjee 12 C. L. R., 370 3166 Sreenath Chatterjee v. Kylash Chunder Chatterjee 21 W. R., 248 175 Sreenath Chowdhry v. Grey 13 W. R., 114 879, 52: v. Kritattomoyee Dossee 18 W. R., 286 5123, 51: Sreenath Chunder Chowdhry v. Mohesh Chunder Bundopadhya. 1 C. L. R., 453 1186 Sreenath Churn Dass v. Dwarkanath Ghose 14 W. R., 318 4829 Sreenath Churn Soor v. Nilkanto Dey 22 W. R., 309 4782 Sreenath Doss. In the goods of 20 W. R., 440 1237 Sreenath Dutt v. Dwary Dhallie 2 W. R., 8. C. C. Ref., 2 5668 v. Nandkishore Doss 5 W. R., 208 4312 v. Ramgopal Chatterjee 11 W. R., 108 5117 v. Unnoda Churn Dutt 23 W. R., Cr., 34 5946 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 10: 3123	Sreenath Bose v. Nobin Chunder Bose		3841
Steenath Chatterjee v. Kylash Chunder Chatterjee 21 W. R., 248	v. Poglian Mollah	. 17 W. R., 374 · · ·	1.
Steenath Chowdhry v. Grey 13 W. R., 114 879, 52: 5123, 51:	v. Radhanath Bose · · ·		
v. Kritattomoyee Dossee 18 W. R., 286 5123, 513	Sreenath Chatterjee v. Kylash Chunder Chatterjee		
Steenath Chunder Chowdhry v. Mohesh Chunder Bunder Bunder Applied Churn Chunder Chowdhry v. Mohesh Chunder Bunder Churn Chur	breenath Unowanry v. Grey		1 - 100 - 100
Sreenath Churn Dass v. Dwarkanath Ghose 14 W. R., 318 4829 Sreenath Churn Soor v. Nilkanto Dey 22 W. R., 309 4782 Sreenath Doss. In the goods of 20 W. R., 440 1237 Sreenath Dutt v. Dwary Dhallie 2 W. R., S. C. C. Ref. 2 5668	Sreenath Chunder Chowdhry v. Mohesh Chunder Bun-		1100
Steenath Churn Soor v. Nilkanto Dey 22 W. R., 309 4782		. 14 W. R., 318	4829
Sreenath Doss. In the goods of 20 W. R., 440 1237 Sreenath Dutt v. Dwary Dhallie 2 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 2 5668 v. Nandkishore Doss 5 W. R., 208 4312 v. Ramgopal Chatterjee 11 W. R., 108 5117 v. Unnoda Churn Dutt 23 W. R., Cr., 34 5946 Sreenath Ghosal v. Bissonath Ghose B. L. R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 10: 3 3123			4782
Sreenath Dutt v. Dwary Dhallie 2 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 2 5668 v. Nandkishore Doss 5 W. R., 208 4312 v. Ramgopal Chatterjee 11 W. R., 108 5117 v. Unnoda Churn Dutt 23 W. R., Cr., 34 5946 Sreenath Ghosal v. Bissonath Ghose B. L. R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 10: 3123		. 20 W. R., 440	
v. Ramgopal Chatterjee	Sreenath Dutt v. Dwary Dhallie		
Sreenath Ghosal v. Bissonath Ghose	v. Nandkishore Doss		
Sreenath Ghosal v. Bissonath Ghose	v. Kamgopal Chatterjee	98 W R Cr 94	
5 W. R., 100.	•	(B. L. R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 10:	3123
)
Steenath Grose. In the matter of 10 W. A., Or., 55	Sreenath Ghose. In the matter of	. 10 W. R., Cr., 33	1040

Name of Case.	Volume and 1	Column of Digest.
Sreenath Ghose v. Rajchunder Paul	8 W. R., 171	172, 371
v. Sahib Ram Roy	12 B. L. R., 504, note: 12 W. 7 R., 304.	1924
Sreenath Ghossal v. Joy Narain Kavar .	3 W. R., Act X. 11	4316
Sreenath Gooho v. Yusoof Khan	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 556: 9 C. 7 L. R., 334.	793
Sreenath Holdar v. Ram Soondur Chuckerbutty Sreenath Koondoo v. Huree Narain Mudduck	4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 4 7 W. R., 399	5323 81
Sreenath Kur. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 450: 10 C.	2759
Sreenath Lall v. Jumneyjoy Mullick .	L. R., 421. Marsh, 550 : 2 Hay, 649	4209
Sreenath Mookerjee v. Komul Kurmokar	16 W. R., 83	5277, 5650
Sreenath Mundle v. Sreeram Rajput	13 W. R., 126	4266
Sreenath Nag v. Chunder Nath Ghose	24 W. R., Cr., 62 17 W. R., 192	981, 5154
Sreenath Nag Mozoomdar v. Mon Mohinee Dossia	. 6 W. R., 35	1708 143, 2342
Sreenath Roy. In the matter of the petition of .	. 17 W. R., 405	4442
v. Ainooddeen Shaha	. 25 W. R., 103	581
v. Bindoo Bashinee Debia	20 W. R., 112	146, 2023
v. Cally Doss Ghose	I L. R., 5 Calc., 82	2815, 2828
v. Radhanath Mookeriee	15 W. R., 348 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 773	1197, 6240
v. Radhanath Mookerjee v. Ross	4 W. R. S. C. C. Rof. 13	206 4604
Sreenath Roy Chowdhry. In the matter of the petition of.	7 W. R., 462	5829
Sreenath Singh Chowdhry v. Hurreeprea	10 W. R., 449	6141
Sreenath Thakoor v. Watson	4 W. R., Mis., 4	5588
Sreeneebash Roy v. Umbika Churn Roy	12 W. R., 375	4436
Sreenibash Lack v. Prosunno Coomar Mitter Sreepershad v. Rajgooroo Treeumbuknath Deo	25 W. R., 218	1456
Sreepershad Surmah Bhuttacharjee v. Shuroopa Dossia .	10 W. R., 444 9 W. R., 452	3158 5383
	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 10: 11 C. L.)	
Sreeputty Mirdha v. Kartick Singha	R., 181. B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 687: 77	903
Sreeputty Roy v. I.oharam Roy Sreeram v. Hokoom Singh	W. R., 384.	5672
Sreeram Bhuttacharjee v. Puddomookhee Debia	2 N. W., 467	4760 2413, 2424
Sreeram Chandra Lerkan v. Bipindass.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 710	2029
Sreeram Chatterjee v. Lakhun Magilla	Marsh, 379: 2 Hay, 427 .	1641, 1659
Sree Ram Chowdhry v. Denobundhoo Chowdhry .	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 490: 9 C. L. R., 147.	178
Sreeram Chunder Banerjee v. Mudhoo Soodun Roy	24 W. R., 17 .	218
Sreeram Ghose v. Molook Chand Deb	4 W. R., Act X, 3	1636
Sreeram Harrah v. Sreenath Dutt Chowdhry .	7 W. R., 451 .	2356
Sreeram Hazrah v. Gyaram Hatee Sreeram Roy Chowdhry v. Kolemooddee Mollah	11 W. R., 507 9 W. R., 477	3870 4 758
Breesh Chunder Doss v. Assimonissa.	7 W. R., 234	1654
Sreesnteedhur Shaha v. Woomeshnath Roy	5 W. R., Mis., 1 .	2708
Sreestee Dhur Chuckerhutty 2 Sreenath Riswag	18 W. R., 332 .	878
Breekee Daur Dev v. Doorga Narain Nag	17 W. R., 462 .	568
Sri Bullov Bhattacharji v. Baburam Chattopadhya	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 169	167, 5733
Srichand v. Nim Chand Sahu	5 B. L. R., Ap., 25, 13 W. R., 337.	1168
Sridhar Nandi v. Brajanath Kundu Chowdhry .	2 B. L. R., A. C., 211:14 W. R., 286, note.	4151
Srihari Mandal v. Jadunath Ghose	1 B. L. R., A. C., 110: 10 W. R., 169.	2742

Srihary Mundul v. Murari Chowdhry . I. L. R., 13 Calc., 257 3116 Srikant Bhuttacharji v. Kedar Nath Mookerjee 6 C. L. R., 242 1993 Srikant Dey v. Huri Das Pal 1 C. L. R., 131 2774 2774 3784 2774 3784 2774 3784 2774 3784 3784 3784 3784 3784 3884 3984	
Srihary Mundul v. Murari Chowdhry	
Srikant Bhuttacharji v. Kedar Nath Mookerjee 6 C. L. R., 242 1993 Srikant Bhuttacharji v. Kedar Nath Mookerjee 6 C. L. R., 131 2774 Srikant Dey v. Huri Das Pal 11 C. L. R., 131 2774 Srikhant Roy v. Satcori Shaha 3 C. L. R., 181 5660 Srikhant Narayanapa v. Indupuram Ramalingam 3 Mad., 226 4272 Srikishen v. Huri Kishen 5 Moore's I. A., 432 1729 Srikishen v. Secretary of State for India 1 L. R., 12 Calc., 143 : L. R., 2070 Srinath Bhattacharji v. Ram Ratan De 1 L. R., 12 Calc., 606 543 Srinath Chuckerbutty v. Srimanto Lashkar 8 L. R., 240, note: 10 W. R., 467 3013, 531 Srinath Das v. Park Pittar 5 B. L. R., 550: 14 W. R., 0. C., 41 319 Srinath Gang opadhya v. Mahesh Chandra Roy 1 L. R., F. B., 3 : 12 W. 3364, 339 Srinath Ghose v. Haronath Dutt Chowdhry 2 B. L. R., A. C., 144 : 10 W. R., 488. 3240 Srinath Mazumdar v. Brajanath Mazumdar 2 B. L. R., Ap., 99 : 13 W. R., 309 3400 Srinath Saha v. Saroda Gobindo Chowdry 5 B. L. R., Ap., 10 3595 Srinivasa v. Emperumanar Pillai 1 L. R., 5 Mad., 376 1 L. R., 5 Mad., 376 L. R., 5 Mad., 376 1 L. R., 5 Mad., 376	
Srikant Bhuttacharji v. Kedar Nath Mookerjee 6 C. L. R., 242 179 Srikant Dey v. Huri Das Pal 11 C. L. R., 131 2774 Srikant Roy v. Satcori Shaha 3 C. L. R., 181 5660 Srikhanti Narayanappa v. Indupuram Ramalingam 3 Mad., 226 1729 Srikishen v. Huri Kishen 1 L. R., 12 Calc., 143 : L. R., 2070 1729 Srikishen v. Secretary of State for India 1 L. R., 12 Calc., 143 : L. R., 2070 2070 Srinath Bhattacharji v. Ram Ratan De 1 L. R., 12 Calc., 606 543 Srinath Chuckerbutty v. Srimanto Lashkar 8 B. L. R., 240, note : 10 W. R., 407 3013, 531* Srinath Das v. Park Pittar 5 L. R., 550 : 14 W. R., 20. 3319 Srinath Gang opadhya v. Mahesh Chandra Roy 1 L. R., 9 Calc., 511 : 12 C. 2. 1 L. R., 488. Srinath Ghose v. Haronath Dutt Chowdhry 2268, 249 Srinath Mazumdar v. Brajanath Mazumdar 8 B. L. R., Ap., 99 : 13 W. 3400 Srinath Saha v. Saroda Gobindo Chowdry 5 B. L. R., Ap., 10 3595 Srinivasa v. Emperumanar Pillai 1 L. R., 2 Mad., 376 1 L. R., 5 Mad., 376 L. R., 5 Mad., 376 1 L. R., 5 Mad., 376 1 L. R., 5 Mad., 376 L. R., 5 Mad., 376 1 L. R., 5 Mad., 376	
Srikant Roy v. Satcori Shaha 3 C. L. R., 181 5660 Srikhanti Narayanappa v. Indupuram Ramalingam 3 Mad., 226 4272 Srikishen v. Huri Kishen 5 Moore's I. A., 432 1729 Srikishen v. Secretary of State for India 1. L. R., 12 Calc., 143 : L. R., 12 L. R., 12 L. R., 12 Calc., 143 : L. R., 12 L. R., 196. 2 B. L. R., A. C., 279 : 11 W. R., 196. 2 B. L. R., A. C., 279 : 11 W. R., 196. 5 Moore's I. A., 142. Srinath Bhattacharji v. Ram Ratan De 1. L. R., 12 Calc., 606 543 Srinath Chuckerbutty v. Srimanto Lashkar 8 B. L. R., 240, note : 10 W. R., 467. 3013, 531. Srinath Dutt v. Gopal Chunder Mittra 1. L. R., 9 Calc., 511 : 12 C. L. R., 467. 410 Srinath Gang opadhya v. Mahesh Chandra Roy 1. L. R., 9 Calc., 511 : 12 C. L. R., 445. 4 B. L. R., F. B., 3 : 12 W. R., 488. 3364, 339 Srinath Ghose v. Haronath Dutt Chowdhry 2 B. L. R., A. C., 144 : 10 W. R., 240. 2268, 249 Srinath Mazumdar v. Brajanath Mazumdar 1. L. R., 9 Calc., 934 : 13 C. L. R., 372. 3400 Srinath Saha v. Saroda Gobindo Chowdry 5 B. L. R., Ap., 10 3595 Srinivasa v. Emperumanar Pillai 1. L. R., 5 Mad., 376 102 W. Venkatramana 1. L. R., 5 Mad., 121 3349 Srinivasa Avvangar v. K	
Srikant Roy v. Satcori Shaha 3 C. L. R., 181 3000 Srikhanti Narayanappa v. Indupuram Ramalingam 3 Mad., 226 4272 Srikishen v. Huri Kishen 1 L. R., 12 Calc., 143: L. R., 12 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 143: L. R., 12 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 143: L. R., 12 I. L. R., 142. 2070 Srinath Bhattacharji v. Ram Ratan De 2 B. L. R., 240, note: 10 W., R., 467. 543 Srinath Chuckerbutty v. Srimanto Lashkar 8 B. L. R., 240, note: 10 W., R., 467. 3013, 531. Srinath Dutt v. Gopal Chunder Mittra 5 B. L. R., 550: 14 W. R., O. C., 41. 3319 Srinath Gang opadhya v. Mahesh Chandra Roy 4 B. L. R., F. B., 3: 12 W. A., 3364, 339 3364, 339 Srinath Ghose v. Haronath Dutt Chowdhry 2 B. L. R., A. C., 144: 10 W. R., 488. 2 B. L. R., A. C., 144: 10 W. R., 488. 3364, 339 Srinath Mazumdar v. Brajanath Mazumdar 2 B. L. R., Ap., 99: 13 W. R., 309. 3400 Srinath Saha v. Saroda Gobindo Chowdry 5 B. L. R., Ap., 10 3595 Srinivasa v. Emperumana 1 L. R., 5 Mad., 376 102 W. Venkatramana 1 L. R., 5 Mad., 376 102 Srinivasa Avyangar v. Kunnan Avyangar 1 Mad. 180 2138, 228	
Sri Kishen v. Huri Kishen	
Srikishen v. Secretary of State for India I. L. R., 12 Calc., 143 : L. R., 12 II II. R., 142. 2070 Srinarayan Mitter v. Krishna Sundari Dasi 2 B. L. R., A. C., 279 : 11 W. R., 192 Calc., 606	
Srinarayan Mitter v. Krishna Sundari Dasi 2 B. L. R., A. C., 279: 11 W. R., 196. 2112 Srinath Bhattacharji v. Ram Ratan De I. L. R., 196. 543 Srinath Chuckerbutty v. Srimanto Lashkar 8 B. L. R., 240, note: 10 W. R., 3013, 531. 3013, 531. Srinath Das v. Park Pittar 0 C., 41. 3319 Srinath Dutt v. Gopal Chunder Mittra I. L. R., 9 Calc., 511: 12 C. L. R., 445. 4 B. L. R., F. B., 3: 12 W. 3364, 339. Srinath Gang opadhya v. Mahesh Chandra Roy R., F. B., 14. 2 B. L. R., A. C., 144: 10 W. 2268, 249. Srinath Ghose v. Haronath Dutt Chowdhry 2 B. L. R., 220: 18 W. R., 240. 2268, 249. Srinath Kur v. Prosunno Kumar Ghose I. L. R., 9 Calc., 934: 13 C. 240. 3400 Srinath Saha v. Saroda Gobindo Chowdry 5 B. L. R., Ap., 99: 13 W. 3511. 3595. Srinivasa v. Emperumanar Pillai I. L. R., 5 Mad., 376. 102. W. Ponnambalam I. L. R., 5 Mad., 376. 102. W. Venkatramana I. L. R., 5 Mad., 376. 102. Srinivasa Avyangar v. Kuppan Avyangar 1 Mad., 180. 2138, 228.	
Srinath Bhattacharji v. Ram Ratan De I. L. R., 12 Calc., 606 . 543 Srinath Chuckerbutty v. Srimanto Lashkar 8 B. L. R., 240, note: 10 W. 3013, 531; R., 467. 3013, 531; 3013, 531; R., 467. Srinath Das v. Park Pittar 0. C., 41. 1. L. R., 9 Calc., 511: 12 C. 310, L. R., 445. 410 Srinath Gang opadhya v. Mahesh Chandra Roy 2. B. L. R., F. B., 3: 12 W. 3364, 339; R., F. B., 14. 3364, 339; R., F. B., 14. 22 B. L. R., A. C., 144: 10 W. 2268, 249; R., 488. Srinath Ghose v. Haronath Dutt Chowdhry 28 B. L. R., 220: 18 W. R., 240. 5316 Srinath Mazumdar v. Brajanath Mazumdar 1. L. R., 9 Calc., 934: 13 C. 240. 3400 Srinath Saha v. Saroda Gobindo Chowdry 5 B. L. R., Ap., 99: 13 W. 3511 3595 Srinivasa v. Emperumanar Pillai 1. L. R., 2 Mad., 42 3595 Srinivasa Ayvangar v. Venkatramana 1. L. R., 5 Mad., 376 1. L. R., 5 Mad., 376 Srinivasa Ayvangar v. Kuppan Ayvangar 1. Mad., 180 2138, 228	
Srinath Bhattacharji v. Ram Ratan De I. L. R., 12 Calc., 606 543 Srinath Chuckerbutty v. Srimanto Lashkar 8 B. L. R., 240, note: 10 W. 3013, 531. R., 467. 5 B. L. R., 550: 14 W. R., 3013, 531. Srinath Dutt v. Gopal Chunder Mittra 1 L. R., 9 Calc., 511: 12 C. 410 Srinath Gang opadhya v. Mahesh Chandra Roy 1 L. R., 9 Calc., 511: 12 C. 410 Srinath Gang opadhya v. Mahesh Chandra Roy 2 B. L. R., F. B., 3: 12 W. 3364, 339 R., F. B., 14. 2 B. L. R., F. B., 3: 12 W. 3364, 339 R., 488. 9 B. L. R., A. C., 144: 10 W. 2268, 249 Srinath Ghose v. Haronath Dutt Chowdhry 9 B. L. R., 220: 18 W. R., 240 Srinath Mazumdar v. Brajanath Mazumdar 1 L. R., 9 Calc., 934: 13 C. 240 Srinivasa v. Emperumanar Pillai 2 B. L. R., Ap., 99: 13 W. 3611 Srinivasa v. Emperumanar Pillai 1 L. R., 2 Mad., 42 Srinivasa Avyangar v. Kuppan Avyangar 1 Mad., 180	
Srinath Chuckerbutty v. Srimanto Lashkar 8 B. L. R., 240, note: 10 W. 3013, 531. Srinath Das v. Park Pittar 5 B. L. R., 550: 14 W. R., 300. C., 41. Srinath Dutt v. Gopal Chunder Mittra 1 L. R., 9 Calc., 511: 12 C. 410 Srinath Gang opadhya v. Mahesh Chandra Roy 2 B. L. R., F. B., 3: 12 W. 3364, 339 R., F. B., 14. 2 B. L. R., F. B., 3: 12 W. 3364, 339 R., 488, 9 B. L. R., A. C., 144: 10 W. 2268, 249 Srinath Ghose v. Haronath Dutt Chowdhry 9 B. L. R., 220: 18 W. R., 240 Srinath Mazumdar v. Prosunno Kumar Ghose 1 L. R., 9 Calc., 934: 13 C. 240 Srinath Saha v. Saroda Gobindo Chowdry 5 B. L. R., Ap., 99: 13 W. 3511 Srinivasa v. Emperumanar Pillai 1 L. R., 2 Mad., 42 Srinivasa v. Venkatramana 1 L. R., 5 Mad., 376 Srinivasa Avyangar v. Kuppan Avyangar 1 Mad., 180	
Srinath Das v. Park Pittar 5 B. L. R., 550: 14 W. R., O. C., 41. 3319 Srinath Dutt v. Gopal Chunder Mittra 1. L. R., 9 Calc., 511: 12 C. L. R., 445. 410 Srinath Gang opadhya v. Mahesh Chandra Roy 4 B. L. R., F. B., 3: 12 W. 3364, 339 R., F. B., 14. 2 B. L. R., A. C., 144: 10 W. R., 488. 2268, 249 Srinath Ghose v. Haronath Dutt Chowdhry 9 B. L. R., 220: 18 W. R., 5316 240. Srinath Kur v. Prosunno Kumar Ghose 1. L. R., 9 Calc., 934: 13 C. L. R., 372. 3400 Srinath Saha v. Saroda Gobindo Chowdry 5 B. L. R., Ap., 99: 13 W. R., 309. 3511 Srinivasa v. Emperumanar Pillai 1. L. R., 2 Mad., 42 3595 W. Ponnambalam 1. L. R., 5 Mad., 376 102 Srinivasa Avyangar v. Kuppan Avyangar 1. Mad., 180 2138, 228	7
Srinath Gang opadhya v. Mahesh Chandra Roy L. R., 445. 3364, 339 w. Sarbamangala Debi R., F. B., 14. 2 B. L. R., A. C., 144: 10 W. 2268, 249 Srinath Ghose v. Haronath Dutt Chowdhry P. L. R., 220: 18 W. R., 240. 5316 Srinath Kur v. Prosunno Kumar Ghose I. L. R., 9 Calc., 934: 13 C. 3400 Srinath Mazumdar v. Brajanath Mazumdar R., 372. 3400 Srinath Saha v. Saroda Gobindo Chowdry 5 B. L. R., Ap., 99: 13 W. 3511 R., 309. I. L. R., 2 Mad., 42 3595 Srinivasa v. Emperumanar Pillai I. L. R., 5 Mad., 376 102 Venkatramana I. L. R., 5 Mad., 376 121 Srinivasa Avyangar v. Kuppan Avyangar 1 Mad., 180 2138, 228	
Srinath Gang opadhya v. Mahesh Chandra Roy 4 B. L. R., F. B., 3: 12 W. ≥ 3364, 339 R., F. B., 14. 2 B. L. R., A. C., 144: 10 W. 2268, 249 R., 488, 8 B. L. R., 220: 18 W. R., 5316 240. Srinath Kur v. Prosunno Kumar Ghose I. L. R., 9 Calc., 934: 13 C. 240. 3400 Srinath Mazumdar v. Brajanath Mazumdar B. L. R., Ap., 99: 13 W. 3511 3516 Srinath Saha v. Saroda Gobindo Chowdry 5 B. L. R., Ap., 10 304 Srinivasa v. Emperumanar Pillai I. L. R., 2 Mad., 42 3595 V. Venkatramana I. L. R., 5 Mad., 376 102 Srinivasa Avyangar v. Kuppan Avyangar 1 Mad., 180 2138, 228	
v. Sarbamangala Debi 2 B. L. R., A. C., 144:10 W. R., 488, 488, 9 R. L. R., 220: 18 W. R., 240. Srinath Ghose v. Haronath Dutt Chowdhry 9 R. L. R., 220: 18 W. R., 240. Srinath Kur v. Prosunno Kumar Ghose I. L. R., 9 Calc., 934: 13 C. L. R., 372. Srinath Mazumdar v. Brajanath Mazumdar 4 B. L. R., Ap., 99: 13 W. 3511 Srinath Saha v. Saroda Gobindo Chowdry 5 B. L. R., Ap., 10 304 Srinivasa v. Emperumanar Pillai I. L. R., 2 Mad., 42 3595 V. Ponnambalam I. L. R., 5 Mad., 376 102 v. Venkatramana I. L. R., 5 Mad., 121 3349 Srinivasa Avyangar v. Kuppan Avyangar 1 Mad., 180 2138, 228	9
Srinath Ghose v. Haronath Dutt Chowdhry . 9 B. L. R., 220: 18 W. R., 240. Srinath Kur v. Prosunno Kumar Ghose . 1. L. R., 9 Calc., 934: 13 C. L. R., 372. Srinath Mazumdar v. Brajanath Mazumdar . 4 B. L. R., Ap., 99: 13 W. R., 309. Srinath Saha v. Saroda Gobindo Chowdry . 5 B. L. R., Ap., 10 . 304. Srinivasa v. Emperumanar Pillai . 1. L. R., 2 Mad., 42 . 3595.	6
Srinath Mazumdar v. Brajanath Mazumdar L. R., 372. Srinath Mazumdar v. Brajanath Mazumdar 4 B. L. R., Ap., 99: 13 W. Srinath Saha v. Saroda Gobindo Chowdry 5 B. L. R., Ap., 10 Srinivasa v. Emperumanar Pillai 1. L. R., 2 Mad., 42 V. Ponnambalam 1. L. R., 5 Mad., 376 V. Venkatramana 1. L. R., 5 Mad., 121 Srinivasa Avyangar v. Kuppan Avyangar 1 Mad., 180 2138, 228	
Srinath Mazumdar v. Brajanath Mazumdar 4 B. L. R., Ap., 99: 13 W. 3511 Srinath Saha v. Saroda Gobindo Chowdry 5 B. L. R., Ap., 10 304 Srinivasa v. Emperumanar Pillai I. L. R., 2 Mad., 42 3595 V. Ponnambalam I. L. R., 5 Mad., 376 102 v. Venkatramana I. L. R., 5 Mad., 121 3349 Srinivasa Avvangar v. Kuppan Avvangar 1 Mad., 180 2138, 226	
Srinath Saha v. Saroda Gobindo Chowdry 5 B. L. R., Ap., 10 304 Srinivasa v. Emperumanar Pillai I. L. R., 2 Mad., 42 3595 —— v. Ponnambalam I. L. R., 5 Mad., 376 102 v. Venkatramana I. L. R., 5 Mad., 121 3349 Srinivasa Avvangar v. Kuppan Avvangar 1 Mad., 180 2138, 228	
Srinivasa v. Emperumanar Pillai I. L. R., 2 Mad., 42 3599 — v. Ponnambalam I. L. R., 5 Mad., 376 102 — v. Venkatramana I. L. R., 5 Mad., 121 3349 Srinivasa Avvangar v. Kuppan Avvangar 1 Mad., 180 2138, 226	
v. Venkatramana I. L. R., 5 Mad., 121 3545 Sriniyasa Ayyangar v. Kupnan Ayyangar 1 Mad., 180 2138, 228	
Srinivasa Ayyangar v. Kuppan Ayyangar 1 Mad., 180 . 2138, 228	
	38
v. Peria Tambi Nayakar . I. L. R., 4 Mad., 420 240	- ^
v. Queen I. L. R., 4 Mad., 393	13
v. Rengasami Ayyangar . I. L. R., 2 Mad., 304 2300	
Srinivasa Diatta v. Malayachan Mannadi 1. L. R., 7 Mad., 211	
Srinivasachari v. Queen 1. L. R., 6 Mad., 336	
Srinivasa Chetti v. Nunjunda Chetti I. L. R., 4 Mad., 174 Srinivasa mmal v. Vijovammal 2534	
Cinitacianian of Vijayammar	
AFFO	
Srinivasa Sastri v. Seshayyangar . I. L. R., 3 Mad., 37 4775 Srinivasayyar v. Laksmamna . I. L. R., 7 Mad., 206 5265	
Srinivassa Chariyar v. Venkatasa Naikar 4 Mad., 440	
Srinivassiengar v. Srinivassaranga Chariyar . 4 Mad., 10 3414	
Srinivas Udpirav v. Reid 9 Bom., 266 2855	
Srinop v. Empress	
Sriongo Kooer v. Maheswar Buksh Singh	
Sripoti Churn Dey v. Mohip Narain Singh I. L. R., 9 Calc., 643: 13 C. L. R., 119. 4004	
Sri Prasad v. Raj Guru Triambuknath Deo 6 B. L. R., 555: 14 W. R. 2391, 608	96
Sri Ram v. Bhagirath Lal I. L. R., 4 All., 227 . 4833	
Sriram Biswas v. Juggernath Doss 1 Ind. Jur., N.S., 187:5 W. R., Act X, 45.	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sriram Chunder Haldar. In the matter of the petition of. Sriram Chunder Haldar v. Chairman of the Howrah Municipality.	20 W. R., Cr., 65	505
Sriram Ghatak v. Brajamohan Ghosal	3 B. L. R., Ap., 41: 11 W.	881
Sriramulu v. Ramayya	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 15 . {	2126, 2129
Srish Chunder Sircar Chowdhry v. Shib Narain Pal Srishteedhur Biswas v. Mudan Sirdar Srishteedhur Bose v. Shama Churn Ghose Srishteedhur Mundul v. Gobind Suruckar Sristeedhur Bhuttacharjee v. Kaleedoss Dey Sristeedhur Paroee v. Indrobhoosun Chuckerbutty Sristeedhur Samunt v. Romanath Rokhit	22 W. R., 466 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 648 14 W. R., 53 6 W. R., Act X, 15 24 W. R., 479 18 W. R., 25	2134 5422 5196 554, 5661 5320 1449 1328 3373
Stalkart v. Gopal Panday	12 B. L. R., 197 : 20 W. R., 2	1169
v. Lalla Bhurrut Lall Staves v. Dias Steel v. Ichchamoyi Chowdhrain	168. W. R., 1864, Act X, 115 . 10 W. R., 444	56 6186 205
v. Roberts	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 809 : 8 C. L. } R., 439.	355
Stephen. In the goods of	1 B. L. R., S. N., 3: 10 W.	3087
v. Gasper	R., 90. 1 W. R., 265	3129
v. Stephen	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 714: 10 C.	77
v	L. R., 533. I. L. R., 9 Calc., 901: 13 C.	78
	L. R., 430.	4254
Stephenson v. Baumgartner	3 Agra, 104	1399, 4250 6078
v. Unnoda Dossee	6 W. R., Mis., 18.	161, 43 5 3540, 5171
Sterling v. Cochrane	1 B. L. R., O. C., 114 .	2635
Stewart. In the matter of	1 B. L. R., P. C., 55: 10 W.	454
v. Delhi and London Bank	R., P. C., 43. 17 W. R., 201	3105, 4683
v. Scinde, Punjab, and Dehli Railway Company Stowell v. Ajudhia Nath v. Billings	5 B. L. R., 195 I. L. R., 6 All., 255 I. L. R., 1 All., 350	928 5433, 5445 1704, 3519
Striman Sada Gopa v. Kristna Tatachariyar Strimathoo Moothoo Vijia Ragoonadah Ranee Ko-)	1 Mad., 301	2849
landapuree Natchiar alias Kattama Natchiar v. Dora- singa Tayer.	15 B. L. R., 83 : 23 W. R., 314 : L. R., 2 I. A., 169.	1413
Strinarasimma Chariyar v. Narasimma Chariyar	5 Mad., 183	231
Strinivasa v. Narayanasami	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 1 6 C. L. R., 109	'3591 91 2
Studd v. Brij Nundun Pershad Singh	9 C. L. R., 350	5389
Suba Bibi v Balgobind Das	I. L. R., 8 All., 178 20 W. R., Cr., 58	1398 3741
Subah Roy v. Baldeo Singh	24 W. R., 225	306
Subba v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 83 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 460	6269 4486
v. Venkata	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 9	3586
	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 21 I. L. R., 1 Mad., 304	432, 864
Subba Aitala. In re	1. L. K., 1 Mad., 304	261, 3880

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Subba Chetty v. Masti Immadi	4 35 3 074	. 3756 . 3366, 3367
Subbaiyar v. Kristnaiyar	T T D 1 M.J 909	. 4279
Subbaiyar v. Kristnaiyar		. 2026, 5968
Subbaluvammal v. Ammakutti Ammal	. 2 Mad., 129	2132
Subbarama v. Eastulu Muttusami	. 3 Mad., 378	. 3201, 3300
Subbaramien v. Ponnusawmy Chetty	. 5 Mad., 298	6222
Subba Rau v. Rama Rau	19 M.A 978	2830
Subba Rau v. Rama Rau	T T D F M. 3 100	3773
Subbaraya v. Devandra	T T D # M J 901	1097
n Srinivasa	T T T #35 1 500	3595
Subbaraya Gurukal v. Chellappa Mudali		5252
Subbaraya Kamti v. Krishua Kamti	T T T A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	3028
		(2853, 3041
Subbaraya Mudali v. Collector of Chingleput .	. I. L. R., 6 Mad., 303 .	3059
v. Government	1 37 1 000	. 2805, 4253
	T T D O M. J 000	. 2418
Subbarayana v. Subbakka Subbarayulu Nayak v. Rama Reddi		2062, 3579
Subba Venkatara Maiyan v. Subraya Aiyan	1 4 35 3 0 0 0	3823
Subhara e Buthava	T T 1) 0 15 1 000	0504
Subbaya v. Buthaya		3564
v. Yarlagadda Ankimdu	. 1 Mad., 255	1
v. Yellamma		. 5960
Subbayya v. Chehamma	TTDOMI	. 2345, 25 34 . 4870
v. Venkatesappa Subbayyan v. Ruppa Nagasami Ayyan Subbiem Pillay v. Arnachala Irungol Pillay	1	- 1
Subbayyan v. Kuppa Nagasami Ayyan	. I. L. R., 6 Mad., 155 .	5389
Subolem Pillay v. Arnachala Irungol Pillay	. 5 Mad., 444	6176
Subbiramaniya Ayyan v. Velayuda Devar	. 1 Mad., 212	5702
Subbramania Mudaliar v. Kaliani Ammal	. 7 Mad., 226	2429, 3372
Subbramaniya Pillay v. Perumal Chetty	. 4 Mad., 251	214, 3597 5117
Subbramanyian v. Ganapathi		. 5217
Subbroya Oodian v. Queen		. 1967
Subbroya Oodian v. Queen Subbu v. Vasanthappan Subbu Hegadi v. Tongu	. I. L. R., & M.d., 351 .	. 3585
Subbu Hegadi v. Tongu	. 4 Mad. 196	. 2435
Subbuviyan. In the matter of the petition of .	M. L. R. 233 I. L. R. 3 I. J. 15, 2 Bom., 113	4757
Subdul v. Buldeo Subhabhat v. Vasudevbhat Subhaiyan v. Sankara Subhaiyan Subhagi v. Muhammad Ishak Subhan Ali v. Sufdar Ali	I. L. R. 7.3	. 4908
Subhabhat v. Vasudevbhat	I. J. A., 2 Bom., 413	3898
Subhaiyan v. Sankara Subhaiyan		. 3365
Subhagi v. Muhammad Ishak	. I. L. R., 6 All., 463 .	. 4542
Subhan Ali v. Sufdar Ali	. 24 W. Ř., 227	. 3526
Subhanji bin Bahirji v. Bhayanray bin Anandray	1 Bom., 173	. 1560,5091
Subharaya Mudali v. Queen	4 Mad., 81	. 6257
	,	6 802, 811
Subject Dibi at Conjugation	(3 B. L. R., A. C., 413:	836, 1363
Subjan Bibi v. Sariutulla	12 W. R., 329.	1 1385, 1931
		5431
California Tariba and California Communication Communicati	6 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 531:	7 5010
Subjan Ostagur. In the matter of the petition of	6 W. R., Mis., 77.	§ 5918
Subcorun v. Golam Nujee	. 2 W. R., 55	3253
Subramania Ayyan v. Venkata Rayar	T T D 0 M 1 0 M	4140
Subramania Ghanapati v. Queen	TTDOMIJO21	5996
Subramanian Chetti v. Prince of Arcot	T T D 0 M-3 140	5676
Subramanian Pattar v. Panjamma Kunjiamma	T T D 4 M - 3 904	1869
Subramaniya Ayyar v. Ramachandra Rau .	T T TO 1 M. J 995	9999
Subramaniyayyan v. Subramaniyayyan	T T 1 P M 1 10P	F00#
Subramanya v. Appasami		010
m Donnagoni		0.470
v. romusami	. I. L. R., 8 Mad., 92	24/9
	ı	3

Name of Case,

Volume and Page.

Subramanya v. Gnanasambantha .		I. L. R., 7 Mad., 374
v. Bajaram		I. L. R., 8 Mad., 573
v. Rajaram		I. L. R., 8 Mad., 75
v. Secretary of State for India		I. L. R., 6 Mad., 361
Subramanya Ayyar v. Queen	•	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 396
Subramanyan. Ex parte		I. L. R., 6 Mad., 187
v. Mandayan	•	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 453
Subraya Gounden v. Venkatagiri Aiyar	•	6 Mad., 22
Subraya Pillai v. Srinivasa Pillai .	•	3 Mad., 71
v. Subraya Mudali	•	4 Mad., 14
Subupalayi Ammel v. Appakutti Aiyangar		3 Mad., 106 .
Sudaburt Pershad Sahoo v. Lotf Ali Khan		14 W. R., 339
Suda Nund a Dwarks Singh		2 N. W., 194
Suda Nund v. Dwarka Singh Sudanund Mohapattur v. Bonomalee Doss		Marsh., 317: 2 Hay, 205
v. Soorjoo Monee Da		11 W. R., 436
v. Soorjoomonee De	bee .	8 W. R., 455
Sudaram Majee v. Mirtunjoy Dey .	• •	12 W. R., 509 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 1:
Sudder Golab Singh v. Ram Buddul Singh		1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 1:
		W. R., Act X, 28. I. L. R, 3 Calc., 694: 2 C. L. (
Suddurtonnessa v. Majada Khatoon .		R., 308.
Sudduruddeen Sircar v. Ramjoy Mojoomdar		14 W. R., Cr., 51
Suddye Purira v. Boistub Purira .	_	12 B. L. R., 84, note: 15 W.
	• •	R., 261.
Suderooddeen v. Huronath Sein .	• •	8 W. R., 87
Sudharam Patar v. Sudharam		3 B. L. R., A. C., 91 : 11 W. R., 457.
Sudindra v. Budan		I. L. R., 9 Mad., 80
Sudisht Lal v. Sheobarat Koer .		I. L. R., 7 Calc., 245 : L. R., 8
	• •	1. A., 39.
Sudukhina Chowdhrain v. Raj Mohan Bose	• •	3 B. L. R., A. C., 377 · ·
Sudurouddeen v. Bhetoo Pulee	• •	5 W. R., Act X,70
Sudurooddeen Mahomed. In the matter of Sufatoollah. In the matter of the petition		22 W. R., Cr., 49
	01 .	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 703: 10 C.
Sufdar Reza v. Amzad Ali	• •	L. R., 121.
Sufdur Ali v. Woolfut Ali		3 W. R., 232
Sufdur Ali Khan v. Jeo Narain Singh	• •	16 W. R., 161 · · ·
Sufeehun v. Mahomed Hubeeboollah Khan	• •	8 W. R., 379
Sufeecollah Sircar v. Begum Bibee Suffer Ali v. Futteh Alı	• •	W. R., 1864, Act X, 2
Suffer Ali v. Futteh Ali Suffer Ali Khan v. Golam Hyder Khan		6 W. R., Cr., 60
Sufferoonissa Beebee v. Gholam Hossein Cho	wdbrv	W. R., 1864, Mis., 2
Sufferuddin v. Ibrahim	• • •	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 754 · ·
Suffuroovisa Bibee v. Noorul Hossein		17 W. R., 419
Sufi Sufilla v. Official Trustee of Bengal		L. R., 8 Í. A., 197
Suiroo Shaikh Duriee v. Futteh Shaikh Dur	jee .	15 W. R., 505
Sufur Ali v. Mohesh Chunder Kaug .	• •	4 W. R., Mis., 16 8 W. R., 384
Sufuroonissa v. Saree Dhoopee . Suganchand Shivdas v. Mulchand Joharima	;	2 Bom., 270
warrance of marchana constitution	•	2 20,

Name of Oase.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Suganchand Shivdas v. Mulchand Joharimal .	12 Bom., 113	1035, 2105 2576, 2819 3089
Sugeeram Begum v. Juddobuns Suhaye Sugra Bibi v. Masuma Bibi	9 W. R., 284	220 6 3649
Suhai v. Bishun Dyal	I. L. R., 2 All., 573 1 Agra, 269	1093
Suhodara Bibee v. Mohendro Nath Bose	1 Agra, 269	3 39 7
Suhoodera v. Ram Rutton Tewaree	Marsh., 3: 1 Hay, 24	1812
Suhuj Narain Sahee v. Ram Pershad Misser .	21 W. R., 146	3776
Sujan Singh v. Gungaram	I. L. R, 8 Calc., 337 : L. R., 9 I. A., 58.	1091
Sujawut Ali v. Busheerooddeen	2 W. R., 189	2255
Sujeewun Singh v. Runpal Singh	10 W. R., 351	628
Sujjad v. Sahit Ali	3 Agra, 140	3278
Sujjad Ali v. Bholaram	5 N. W., 24	5745
Sujun Kooer v. Heitoo	1 N. W., 165 : Ed. 1873, 244 .	1187, 175 5
Sujun Koonwer v. Pirbhoo Lall	2 Agra, Pt. 11, 211	1394
Sukee Monee Debia v. Huree Mohun Mookerjee . Sukeenaah Banoo v. Huro Churn Buruj	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 6	5656
Sukhai v. Daryai	6 W. R., 34 I. L. R., 1 All., 374	2235 5480
Sukhawat Ali v. Kesho Tewaree	6 N. W., 208	3872
Sukhawatoollah v. Puthoo Goldar	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 7	5
Sukhawut Hossein v. Dhajah Roy	2 Hay, 597	5268
Sukhbasi Lal v. Guman Singh	I. L. Ř., 2 All., 366	2148
Sukhdaik Misr v. Karim Chaudhri	I. L. R., 3 All., 521	2 863, 50 85
Sukhdeo Rai v. Sheo Ghulam	I. L. R., 4 All., 382	5446
Sukhee Monee Debia v. Brojoraj Mookerjee Sukhimani Dagi u Mahandaa Nath Dutt	17 W. R., 69	1933
Sukhimani Dasi v. Mahendra Nath Dutt Sukhi Mani Haldar v. Gunga Gobind Mundle	4 B. L. R., P. C., 16	1697
Sukh Nandan v. Rennick.	W. R., 1864, Act X, 126	1641 590 2
Sukhnandan Lal. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 4 All., 193 I. L. R., 6 All., 163	269
Sukho v. Durga Prasad	I. L. R., 2 All., 448	5148
Sukho Bibi v. Ram Sukh Das	I. L. R., 5 All., 263	3339
Sukoomut Bibee v. Warris Ali	22 W. R., 400	3732
Sukram v. Kala Kahar	3 B. L. R., A. C., 105	1392, 4475
Sukrit Narain Lal v. Raghunath Sahai	I. L. R., 7 All., 445	2650
Sukry Kurdeppa v. Goondakull Nagireddi Sule v. Dhundiraj Venayak	6 Mad., 71	4772
	3 Bom., A. C., 55 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 1 : L. R., 8	56
Suleman Kadr v. Dorab Ali Khan	I. A., 117.	3679, 6218
Suleman Vadu v. Trikamji Velji	12 Pom., 10	6153
Sultan Ackeni Sabib v. Bava Malimiyar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 295 .	164
Sultan Ahmad v. Maula Bakhsh	I. L. R., 4 All., 21	5043
Sultan Chand v. Smyth	12 B. L. R., 358 : 21 W. R., 221.	5900
Sultanji T. Patil Sirvale v. Raghunath R. Marathe	2 Bom., 48: 2nd Ed., 45 .	2396
Sultan Kuar a. Gulzari Lal	I. L. R., 2 All., 290	411
Sumar Ahmed v. Ismail Haji Habib	I. L. R, 1 Bom., 158	1474, 4522
Sumarmal Joharimal v. Nahanubai	7 Bom., O. C., 183	424
Sumat Das. In the matter of the petition of	13 B. L. R., Ap., 27	203, 1983
Sumbhonauth Ghose v. Jodoonauth Chatterjee .	Cor., 88	2111, 2574
Sumbhoo Chunder Chowdhry v. Naraini Debia . Sumbhoo Chunder Khan v. Ishan Chunder Banerjee	5 W. R., P. C., 100 W. R., 1864, Mis., 24	2139 663
Sumbhoo Chunder Shaha v. Baroda Soonduree Debea	5 W. R., 45	663 6 32
	8 Moore's I. A., 1: 4 W. R., }	
Sumbhoo Lall Girdhur Lall v. Collector of Surat .	P. C., 55.	4634

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sumboo Chunder Holder. In re	Bourke, O. C., 59	430, 1860 5897
Sumboonauth Ghose v. Juddoonauth Chatterjee . Sumeena Bebee v. Koylash Chunder koy . Sumeera Khatoon v. Gopal Lall Tagore . Sumeeroodeen Lushkur v. Huronath Roy . Summun Jha v. Bhooput Jha	2 Hyde, 259 6 W. R., Act X, 79 1 W. R., 58 2 W. R., Act X, 93 18 W. R., 498	1577, 2572 525 4147 1617 4311
Sumrun Thakur v. Chundermun Misser	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 17: 9 C. L. R., 415.	2471
Sumundra Koonwar v. Kalee Churn Singh	13 W. R., 199 I. L. R., 1 All., 614 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 354	2447 2870 1493 11 6 0, 3289
Sundaram v. Sankara	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 334 . {	4716
Sundaramurti Mudali v. Vallinayakki Ammal Sundar Das v. Mansa Ram Sundardas Jagjivandas v. Mohandas Ticumdas Sundardas Mulji. Ex parte Sundar Jagjivan v. Gopal Eshvant Sundar Lal v. Yakub Ali Sunder Bash v. Monohur Lall Upadhya Sunder Dass. In re Sundhya Mala v. Dabi Churn Dutt Sundhyamula v. Devi Churn Dutt	1 Mad., 465 I. L. R., 7 All., 407 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 454 10 Bom., 58 4 Bom., A. C., 68 I. L. R., 6 All., 362 10 C. L. R., 79 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 42 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 715 9 C. L. R., 216	5591 1886, 5957 655 2665 4828, 6144 5387 2464, 2471 5423 5005 5005
Sundram Chetti v. Queen	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 203 .	4099, 52 62
Sundraraja Ayyangar v. Jaganada Pillai	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 111 I. L. R., 3 Mad., 254 6 Mad., 13 2 N. W., 18 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 164	5269 2169 1320 810 5685 342, 2729
Sunj Baree v. Payag Patuk	1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 5 : Ed.	1678
Sunker Lall v. Juddoobuns Suhaye	1873, 65. 9 W. R., 285	1407, 1751
Sunkur Bharti Swami v. Sidha Lingayah Charanti	6 W. R., P. C., 39: 3 Moore's	5244
Sunkur Dobey v. Obhoy Churn Mohaputtur .	I. A., 198. 12 W. R., 385	4852
Sunkur Dyal Singh v. Purmessur Dyal Singh .	6 W. R., 44	4991
Sunkur Lall Pattuck Gyawal v. Ramkalee Dhamin	18 W. R., 104	5669, 570 1 57 4 7
Sunkur Pershad v. Goury Pershad	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 321 .	2352, 237 4 3292
Sunkur Sahoy v. Sheo Pershad Sookool Sunkur Singh v. Huree Mohun Thakoor	16 W. R., 270	4820 568 403
Sunnooburnessa Khanum v. Meher Chund	W. R., 1864, 313	3419, 4229
Sunnud Ali v. Kurimoonissa	9 W. R., 124 · ·	5215
Sunoo Khan v. Oodea Sunraj Kuari v. Ambika Prasad Singh Sunt Lall Misser v. Bhurosee Issur Suntokhee Thakoor v. Belassee Koonwur Suntokh Rai v. East Indian Railway Company Suntosh Ram Doss v. Geru Pattuck Suppakon. Ex parte	2 Agra, 140 1. L. R., 6 All., 14 18 W. R., 57 10 W. R., 276 2 Agra, 200 23 W. R., 22 1 Mad., 450	647 3499 50 3395 4707 5096 1982
Suppanachari v. Chakkara Pattan	1 Mad., 411 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 720: 6 C	1145, 1146
Suput Singh v. Imrit Tewari	L. R., 62.	1147, 3227

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Surahutoonissa Khatoon v. Gyanee Buktoor Suraj Bansi Kunwar v. Mahipat Singh Suraj Bunsi Koer v. Sheo Persad Singh Suraj Din v. Chattar Surajpal Singh v. Jairamgir Suraneni Venkata Gopala Narasimha Roy v. Suraneni Lakshmi Venkama Roy. Suraneny Lakshmy Venkama Row v. Suraneny Venkata	11 W. R., 142 7 B. L. R., 669: 16 W. R., 18. I. L. R., 5 Calc., 148: 4 C. L. R., 226: L. R., 6 I. A., 88. I. L. R., 3 All., 755 I. L. R., 7 All., 855 3 B. L. R., P. C., 41: 12 W. R., P. C., 40: 13 Moore's I. A., 113.	1646 1415 2160 4918 5689 2446, 2449
Gopala Narasimha Row. Surat Dhobni. In the matter of the petition of . Suraya v. Lakshminarasamma Surbanund Pandey v. Ruchia Pandey Surbeshur Mustofee v. Ram Lochun Chuckerbutty Surbessur Dey r. Mahomed Sircar Surbessur Methoor v. Gossain Doss Methoor	3 Mad., 40	2446, 2449 1832 2293, 2294 3810 2352 545 2358
Surender Nath Pal Chowdhry v. Brojonath Pal Chow-	8 B. L. R., Ap., 78: 17 W. 3 R., 213. 10 Bom., 397 11 W. R., 412 16 W. R., 34 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 413 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 508 2 W. R., 231 1 Agra, 228 1 C. L. R., 475 25 W. R., 500 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 298 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 352	5754 3150 530, 5687 5812 4670 6201 1592 3281 3517 3720, 3722 2103 1737, 4967
dhry. Surendra Nath Banerjee v. Chief Justice and Judg s of the High Court, Calcutta.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 109	1043
Surendranath Roy. In the matter of the petition of { v. Hiramani Barmani	5 B. L. R., 274: 13 W. R., Cr., 27. 1 B. L. R., P. C., 26: 10 W., R., 35: 12 Moore's I. A.,	968, 6182 2230, 2284 2285, 2537
Surfoji v. Kamakshiamba Surfraz Khan v. Tasawur Ali Surgee. In the matter of Suri Bhatta v. Sitarama Bhatta Suriutollah Chowdhry v. Madur Bux Chowdhry Suriya Rau v. Raja of Pittapur Surjanarain Dass. In the matter of the petition of Surjan Chowdhry v. Imambandi Begum Surjan Singh v. Jagan Nath Singh	81. I. L. R., 7 Mad., 452 2 Agra, 253 3 C. L. R., 281 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 195 W. R., 1864, 363 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 499: L. R., 13 I. A., 97. I. L. R., 6 Calc., 88 6 B. L. R., 506, note: 12 W. R., 527. I. L. R., 2 All., 313	671 1766 3609 13 1380 2118 2897 3978 4001
Surjokant Nundi v. Mohesh Chunder Dutt Surjo Narain Ghose v. Hurri Narain Mollo Surjoo Pershad v. Kashee Rawut Surju Prasad v. Bhawani Sahai v. Mansur Ali Khan Surju Prasad Singh v. Khwahish Ali	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 70 1. C. L. R., 547 21 W. R., 121 1. L. R., 2 All., 481 1. L. R., 5 All., 463 1. L. R., 4 All., 512	2289 1781 535, 6102 4782 3903 3164

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Surjya Narain Singh v. Sirdhary Lall	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 825 : 12 C. ?	2499
	L. R., 400. 2 N. W., 209	
Surm Rae v. Ubhman Rae	1 Agra, F. B., 39: Ed. 1874,	1030, 5785
Surmust Khan v. Kadir Dad Khan	29.	1149
Surno Moyee v. Adoito Churn Roy	Marsh., 605	1583, 1659
v. Blumhardt	9 W. R., 552	1585, 1593
v. Bykunt Chunder Mustofee	W. R., F. B., 4: Marsh., 13:	4290, 6275
v. Collector of Rungpore	1 Hay, 37.	3404, 5111
v. I)inonath Gir Sunnyasee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 908: 13 C. L. R., 69.	2997
v. Doorga Monee Dossee	10 W. R., 335	4424 42
v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co	20 W. R., 276	476, 5754
v. Duonmeeput Doogui	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 694: 7 }	1
{	W. R., 52, 512.	3091
v. Poolin Behary Mundul	3 C. L. R., 15	4408
v. Ramchurn Singh	3 Agra, 251	4301 550, 465
v. Shoshee Mokhee Burmonia {	R., P. C., 5.	6044
v. Shoshi Mookhi Burmonia	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 258	553
v. Singhroop Bebee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 134 3 W. R., P. C., 14: 8 Moore's ?	2989, 312
v. Suttees Chunder Roy	I. A., 164, 165, 166.	4638 ·
v v. Watson & Co	10 Moore's I. A., 123	5356
	20 W. R., 276	42
Surnomoyee Dabee v. Purresh Narain Roy	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 576 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 173: 8 C.	5293
Surnomoyee Dassya v. Land Mortgage Bank of India	L. R., 341.	5322
Surnomoyee Debia v. Gunga Gobind Roy	2 W. R., 264	1701
Surnomoyee Dossee v. Khan Mundul	9 W. R., 270	1614 2708
Surnomoyi v. Johur Mahomed Nashyo	10 C. L. R., 545	1639, 174
Suroop Chunder Chowdhry v. Nimchand Chuckerbutty.	13 W. R., 284	2752
Suroop Chunder Hazra v Troyluckonath Roy	9 W. R., 230	1144
Suroop Chunder Mitter v. Dhonaye Biswas Suroop Chunder Mozoomdar v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co	23 W. R., 103	3010 1992
Suroop Chunder Nag v. Bonomalee Pundit	9 W. R., 116	4002
Suroop Chunder Patre v. Jadoo Moytee	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 7	5708
Suroop Chunder Roy v. Goluck Chunder Dhur	14 W. R., 477	3480 3821
Suroop Manna v. Bonomalee Churn Mytee	15 W. R., 240	1646
Suroop Ram Deb v. Gobind Ram Deb	7 W. R., 13	353
Suroop Sirdar v. Beer Chunder Manikhya	25 W. R., 370	5056
Surossutty Dossee v. Umbika Nund Biswas	24 W. R., 192	5761 811
Surot Soondry Dabee v. Onwar Persad Narain Dey Surratoolla v. Dasee Beebee	1 W. R., 66	2499
Surreshoollah v. Ram Coomar Goopta	25 W. R., 556	2989
Surroop Chunder Sircar Chowdry v. Ramruiton	1 Moore's I. A., 358	4644
Mullick. Surrut Soondery Dabea v. Watson	11 W. R., 25	1180
	I. L. R., 7 All., 411 .	233, 149
Surta v. Ganga	1	5950
Surubjeet Narain Singh v. Gource Pershad Narain?	I. L. R., 7 All., 875	1494, 595 354, 36
CHILDREE AMERICA CHEET TO THORTER PATENCE NATAIN !	7 W. R., 269	1 502,00

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
G 1 ST 1 GL 11 GL G 1' 1 D 1	11 D T D 4 90	0100
Surub Narain Chowdhry v. Shew Gobind Pandey	11 B. L. R., Ap., 28	2190
Surubsookh v. Usgur Ally Khan	2 Agra, Pt. II, 180	826
Suruj Mookhi Konwar v. Bhagwati Konwar	10 C. L. R., 377	143
Surut Chunder Chatterjee v. Ashootosh Chatterjee .	24 W. R., 46	2077
Surutram Bhaya v. G. I. P. Railway Company	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 96	4709
Surut Soondari Debya v. Prangobind Moozoomdar .	5 C. L. R., 262	1662
Surut Soonduree Debia v. Rajendur Kishore Roy	9 W. R., 125	1736, 1810
Chowdbry.		5122
Surut Soonduree Debia v. Sumeeroodeen Talookdar	22 W. R , 530	5305
Surwan Hossein Khan v. Gholam Mahomed	9 W. R., 170	3378
Surwar Hossein Khan v	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 879	3378
Surwun Singh. In re	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 149	2033
Suryaji v. Tukaram	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 358	1518
Suryanna v. Durgi	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 258	3261
Suryaprasaka Rau v. Vaisya Sannyasi Razu	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 401	5733, 5956
Sushee Mohun Shaha Chowdhry v. Tara Purshad Mo-	25 W. R., 478	1055
joomdar.	I .	l
Sushteebur Mookerjee v. Mackenzie	2 W. R., Act X, 76	2794
Susman Gossain v. Ram Churn Bhukut	5 W. R., Mis., 48	685
Sustee Churn Dey v. Ishan Chunder	22 W. R., 383	1597
	3 W. R., P. C., 14: 8 Moore's ?	4638
Sutees Chunder Roy v . Gunes Chunder $\{$	I. A., 164, 165, 166.	4000
Sutherland. In the matter of	9 W. R., 478	4743
		4488, 4489
In the matter of the petition of	9 B. L. R., 229 }	4494, 4497
A 1	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	4488, 4489
v. Crowdy	18 W. R., Cr., 11 }	4494, 4497
n. Shaw	Bourke, A. O. C., 92	3789
v. Shaw v. Singhee Churn Dutt v. v. Singhee Churn Dutt v. v. Singhee Churn Dutt v.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 808	2679
Sutrooghun Dutt v. Brojogopal Ghose	3 W. R., 143	144
Sutroogun Sutputty v. Sabitra Dye	5 W. R., P. C., 109	2109, 2147
Sutteeschunder Roy v. Modhoosoodun Paul Chowdhry .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 91.	527, 5304
Sutti Churn Ghosal v. Mudden Kishore Indoo	5 Moore's I. A., 107	4641
Sutto Bhama Dossea v. Jameeruddy Khan	4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 12	6082
Sutto Churn Ghosal v. Bhyrub Chunder Brohmo	9 W. R., 565	3507
Gourse Pershad Roy	13 W. R., 117	525
v. Gouree Pershad Roy	3 W. R., 178	4151, 5357
	ا ر	2752, 3011
v. Obhoy Nund Doss	2 W. R., Act X, 31 .	
v. Suroop Chunder Doss	12 W. R., 465	4866, 4892
v. Tarinee Churn Ghose	0 317 D 150	4409, 4433 4151, 5357
Sutto Surn Ghosal v. Dhone Kristno Sircar	1 W. R., 88	
	12 Moore's I. A., 263: 11 W.	1567, 1736
Sutto Surrun Ghosal v. Mohesh Chunder Mitter	D D C 10	1581, 3067
v. Tarinee Churn Ghose	R., P. C., 10.	5357
Catana Charal a Catanana d Charal	12 W. R., 154	5135
Suttya Ghosal v. Suttyanund Ghosal	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 388	3745
Suttya Krishna Ghosal. In the goods of	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 556	3086
Suttyanund Ghosaul v. Huro Kishore Dutt		1593
Suttya Nundo Ghosaul v. Suroop Chunder Doss	14 W. R., 76	3818
Sutyabhama Dassee v. Krishna Chunder Chatterjee	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 55: 6 C. L.	1679, 3032
	R., 375.	
Suyeedun v. Zuhoor Hossein	W. R., 1864, 44	4019
Svami Nayudu v. Subramania Mudali	2 Mad., 158	3769, 4069
Svamiyar Pillai v. Chokkalingam Pillai	1 Mad., 105.	5268
Swarnamayi v. Gauri Prasad Das	3 B. L. R., A. C., 270	1641, 2934
v. Pratap Chandra Burua {	4 B. L. R., F. B., 113 : 13 ?	3825
of Tramb Appropriate Parame	W. R., F. B., 15.	0040
j	i	

Swarnamayi v. Shashi Mukhi Barmani	7. 550, 4657 6044
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Swarnamayi Raur v. Srinibash Koyal 6 B. L. R., 144	§ 2737, 4136
	6002
Syam Singh v. Baidyanath Rai	. 1107, 1941
Syedun v. Allah Ahmed	. 3669, 6083
Syedun v. Allah Ahmed	3689 34
Syefoollah Khan v Forbes	572
Syefoolla Khan v. Kalee Pershad Sahoo 20 W. R., 256	. 1626
Syefun v. Rudder Sohay I. L. R., 7 Calc., 582	4170
Sylhet and Cachar Tea Co. In the matter of 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 94 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 123 .	. 943 . 945
Syud Khan v. Jumal Bibee 5 W. R., Mis., 19	3509
Syud Khan v. Jumal Bibee	. 5011
${f T}$	
Tabbur Singh v. Motee Singh 8 W. R., 306	. 3495, 3526
	3495, 3497
Tabira v. Government	. 3650
Tadıya v. Hasanebiyari 6 Mad., 9	W. } 3542
Tahal v. Bisheshar I. L. R., 8 All., 57	5814
Taig Ali n Golah Chowdhraa	4015
Taija Mull v. Oomrao	
Taij Singh v. Kousilla	2340
Tajammul Husain v. Uda. I. L. R., 3 All., 668	4554
Tajeh Mahomed Purdhan v. Jogendro Deh Roykut . 8 W. R., 368	. 534
Tajim v. Wahed Ali	
Tajim v. Wahed Ali. Tajoo Beebee v. Noorun Beebee . Tajubai v. Sub-Collector of Kolaba Tajuddin Khan v. Ram Parshad Bhagat Tajuddin Khan v. Ram Parshad Bhagat Tajuddin Khan v. Ram Parshad Bhagat	. 3648 2936
Tainddin Khan a Ram Parchad Bhacat	2936
Taj Uldeen Khan v. Ghafoorul-nissa	. 3141
Taj Uldeen Khan v. Ghafoorul-nissa	3 . 4440
Takhuroodeen Mahomed Eshan Chowdhry v. Kurimbux 3 W. R., 20.	. 3141, 5248
Chowdhry. Taki Mahomed Mandal v. Krishna Nath Rai 7 B. L. R., 7: 15 W. 1 Cr., 53.	5
Talan Bibi v. Tenu Bibi	W. } 5742
Taleb Hossein v. Ameer Baksh	. 1063
Taleb Hossein Khan v. Gooroo Pershad Rov 20 W. R., 57 .	4951
Talemand Singh v. Rukmina	. 2259
Talim Mundal v. Watson & Co	. 1376, 47 47 6270
Talluri Venkayya v. Queen I. L. R., 4 Mad., 130 Talub Hossein v. Walker I. Z. Talub Hossein v. Yangi Walker I. Z. Talub	5623
Tamaoonnissa v. Woojjulmonee Dossee 20 W. R., 72	. 4247
Tamarasherri Sivithri Andarjanom v. Maranat Vasude-] I. L. R., 3 Mad., 215	. 1101, 4234
van Nambudripad.	3079
Tamaya v. Timapa	. 3078

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Tameez Begum v. Furhut Hossein Tamiz Mandal v. Umid Karigar Tamizuddin Mirdha v. Gaffur Khan	2 N. W., 55 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 215 7 B. L. R., Ap., 40	3733 5541 5672
Tamizuddin Mulla v. Nyanutulla Sirkar	5 B. L. R., Ap., 73, note: 11 W. R., 528.	5432
Tamizuddin Munshi v. Huro Nath Pal	9 C. L. R., 253	513
Tammirazu Ramzogi v. Pantina Narsiah Tamor Sing v. Kalidas Roy	6 Mad., 301 4 B. L. R., O. C., 51 .	3362
Tamvaco v. Skinner	1 B. L. R., O. C., 39	751 275
The state of the s	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 221 .	1064
Tandavarya Mudali v. Valli Ammal Tanji v. Nagamma	1 Mad., 398 3 Mad., 137	2374
Tanoo. In the matter of	15 W. R., Cr., 11 .	3441 1794
Tanuviyan v. Valaganada	1 Mad., 3	2984
Tapidas Gobindbhai v. B. B. and C. I. Railway Company Taponidi Hordanund Bharati v. Mathura Lall Bhagat .	6 Bom., A. C., 116 . I. L. R., 12 Calc., 499 .	1380
Tara Banu v. Abdul Guffer Chowdhry	12 C. L. R., 486	3251, 5428 3403
Tara Bebee v. Ghasiram	3 C. L. R., 247	2262
Tarachand v. Reeb Ram	3 Mad., 50 3 Mad., 177	2216, 2340
Tara Chand Banerjee v. Boistub Churn Bhudro .	16 W. R., 196	1469
Tara Chand Biswas v. Nafar Ali Biswas	1 C. L. R., 236	781 2697, 5340
v. Radha Jeebun Mustofee	24 W. R., 148	3094
v. Ram Gobind Chowdhry	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 778 : 4 C. L. R., 20.	2970, 5340
v. Ram Jeebun Moostafee	22 W. R., 202	2777,5331
Tarachand Bose v. Nobeen Chunder Mitter. Tara Chand Chuckerbutty v. Deb Nath Roy	3 W. R., 138	2535
	10 C. L. R., 550 . 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 10:	4665
Tarachand Coondoo Chowdhry. In the goods of	Bourke, Test., 3.	4662
Tarach and Dutt v. Wakenoonissa Bibee .	7 W. R., 91.	2981, 5313
Tarachand Ghose v. Abdul Ali	8 B. L. R., 24: 16 W. R., O. C, 1.	3147, 3174
v. Anand Chandra Chowdhry . {	2 B. L. R., A. C., 110: 10 W.	3290, 3306
	R., 450.	5622, 5727
v. Pudum Lochun Ghose . {	5 W. R., 249: 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 207.	2298
v. Radhamonee Dossee	7 W. R., 469	5074
Tara Chand Hajrah v. Doorga Churn Hajrah Tarachand Megraj v. Kashinath Trimbak	10 W. R., 205 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 62 .	825
Tarachand Mookerjee v. Jadoonath Mookerjee .	Marsh., 79: 1 Hay, 141	3494, 6229
Tarachand Mytee v. Nilambur Mundul	3 W. R., 227	1210 507 3
Tarachand Pirchand v. Lakshman Bhabani	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 91 . W. R., 1864, Act X, 118	4864
v. Nobin Chunder Roy	21 W. R., 132	1524
Taracharlu v. Konadala Ramachandra Reddi	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 152 .	609 4 3529
Tarachunder Banerjee v. Ameer Mundul . Tarachund Mundul v. Bhyrub Chunder Chuckerbutty	22 W. R., 394	2992, 4280
Tarachurn Mookerjee v. Joynarain Mookerjee	8 W. R., 226	5919
Tarada Baladu v. Queen	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 112 .	2345 2763, 5600
Taradoss Bhuttacharjee v. Bhaloo Sheikh Tarafdi Mundul v. Chunder Bhoosun Banerjee	8 W. R., Cr., 69	100
	16 W. R., Cr., 74 5 W. R., P. C., 63: 10	4488, 449 0
Tarakant Bannerjee v. Puddmoney Dossee .	Moore's I. A., 476.	2749, 4661
Tarakant Bhuttacharjee v. Luckhee Dabea . v. Wise	2 Hay, 8	54()4

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Tarak Chandra Bhuttacharjee v. Baikantnath Sannial	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 107: 10 C. L. R., 281: L. R., 8 I. A., 65.	444, 5378
Taraknath Mookhopadhya v. Collector of Hooghly	4 B. L. R., A. C., 37: 13 V R., 13.	2787
Tara Kunwar v. Mangri Meeah	6 B. L. R., Ap., 114 .	3716
Taramani Dasi v. Radha Jiban Mustafi	6 B. L. R., Ap., 142: 14 W. R., 485.	817, 3830
Tara Mohun Bhuttacharjee v. Kripa Moyee Debia Taramonee Chowdhrain v. Rajlukhee Chowdhrani	9 W. R, 423	2139, 2288 79
Taramonee Dassee v. Birressu Mozoomdar .	1 W. R., 86	532, 5198
Taramonee Dassia v. Mackintosh	9 W. R., 272	5193 2702
Tara Monee Debia v. Shibnath Tulapattur	6 W. R., 191	1813
Taramonee Dossee v. Kally Churn Surmah v. Punchanun Bose	W. R., 1864, Act X, 14 18 W. R., 508	342
	Marsh., 29: 1 Hay, 67, 1 Ind.	3007
Taramonee Gooptea v. Lukheemonee Dassee	Jur., O. S., 22.	2290
Taramonee Koonwaree v. Jeebun Mundar	6 W R., Act X, 98	560 4340
Taranath Chuckerbutty v. Joy Soonduree Dabee .	21 W. R., 93	689
Taranath Dutt v. Collector of Sylhet	5 W. R., Waste Land Court Ref., 1.	6188
Taranath Roy v. Rajbullub Bhunje	7 W. R., 464	5121
Tarapersad Roy Chowdhry v. Gopal Dass Dutt .	Marsh., 72: W. R., F. B., 24: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 79: 1 Hay, 235.	1971
Tara Pershad Tangee v. Lukhee Narain Paurai .	21 W. R., 6	1843
Taraprasad Mittra v. Ram Nrising Mittra	6 B. L. R., Ap., 5: 14 W. R., 283.	5 31 2
Taraprasad Mytee v. Nund Kishore Giri	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 842; 12 C. L. R., 448.	5414
Taraprasad Roy v. Surjokanto Acharjee Chowdhry	13 B. L. R., 281, note: 15 W. R., 152.	5192
Taraproshad Sahoo. In the matter of	15 W. R., Cr., 88	1048 5751, 5 756
Taraprosunno Mojoomdar v. Bishonath Sircar	23 W. R., 144 }	5762
Tara Prosunno Sircar v. Koomaree Bebee	23 W. R., 389	2752
Tarasingh v. Chaida Mull	2 Agra, 177	4179
Tara Soondaree Chowdhrain v. Collector of Mymensingh	13 B. L. R., 495: 20 W. R.,	701,6031
Tara Soondery Debya v. Shama Soondery Debya	4 W. R., 58	5268
Tarasoonduree v. Rash Munjuree	12 W. R., 78	1899, 2308 1617
Tara Soonduree Burmonya v. Shibeshur Chatterjee Tara Soonduree Dabee v. Oojul Monee Dossee	6 W. R., Act X, 51 14 W. R., 111	485
Tara Soonduree Dabia v. Radha Soondur Roy	24 W. R., 63	5335
Tarasconduree Kuloonee v. Bhoobun Chunder Ghose .	23 W. R., 462 . • •	320 4 19 29
Tara Sundari Burmoni v. Behari Lal Roy	1 B. L. R., A. C., 28 25 W. R., 110	325
Tareen Mahtoon v. Ram Sahoy Singh	Marsh., 215; 2 Hay, 90	4209
Tareeny Churn Bonnerjee v. Maitland	11 Moore's I. A., 317	1398, 4649
Tareeny Persaud Ghose v. Bengal Indigo Company .	2 W. R., Act X. 9	4927 5239
Tareknarain Singh v. Puncha Singh	W. R., 1864, 376	842
Tarinee v. Bamundoss Moakhef	R., 86. 1 W. R., 331	5076
Tarinee alias Sawah Monee Debia v. Sreenath Mookerjee	25 W. R., 385 .	1120

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Tarinee Churn Banerjee v. Nund Coomar Banerjee Tarinee Churn Bose v. Kashinath Singh v. Ramjee Pal v. Shumbhoo Nath Panday Tarinee Churn Brohuno v. Roma Soonduree Dossee Tarineechurn Chuckerbutty v. Tarineechurn Chuckerbutty Tarinee Churn Ghose v. Hunsman Jha Tarinee Churn Mozoomdar. In the matter of the	1 W. R., 47 W. R., 1864, Act X, 37 23 W. R., 298 3 W. R., Act X, 139 20 W. R., 312 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 6 20 W. R., 240 19 W. R., Cr., 29	2192 2930 3001 677 182 5283 333
petition of. Tarinee Churn Nyaba Chusputty v. Taranath Gooho Tarinee Churn Roy v. Gopal Kisto Roy Tarinee Churn Sannyal v. Mohima Chunder Shaha Tarinee Churn Shah v. Bonomali Nag Tarinee Kant Bhuttacharjee v. Ganoda Soonduree Debee Tarinee Kant Lahiree Chowdhry v. Rajkishore Tontry Tarinee Kant Lahooree v. Koonj Beharee Awastee Tarinee Kant Lahoory v. Hanee Mundul	12 W. R., 449	1224, 5829 5696 4404 4105 4024 6279 1596, 4910 5985
Tarineekant Lahoory Chowdhry. In the matter of the petition of. Tarineepersad Ghose v. Sreegopal Paul Chowdhry Tarinee Pershad Sein v. Dwarkanath Rukheet Tarinee Prosaud Banerjee. In the matter of the petition of. Tariney Churn Bose v. Municipal Commissioners of	8 W. R., Cr., 79	4725 1692, 2976 137, 3746 19, 5162 5163 4048
Serampore. Tariney Churn Goho. In the matter of Tariney Churn Nundy v. Abdur Rohoman	11 B. L. R., Ap., 26	2656 3219, 3300 5840
Tarini Charan Bose v. Debnarayan Mistri	8 B. L. R., Ap., 69 3 B. L. R., A. C., 145: 11 W. R., 468. 3 B. L. R., A. C., 437: 12	4167 2109, 2348 4153, 6243 2507, 3397 4335
Tarini Charan Mookerjee v. Purna Chandra Roy	W. R., 413. 6 B. L. R., 717: 15 W. R., 397.	5931
Tarinidas Bandyophadya v. Bishtoo Lal Mukhopadaya . Tarini Kant Lahiri v. Krishna Moni Chowdraini v. Nundkishore Patronovis Tarini Pershad Misra v. Mahamud Chowdhry	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 608 5 C. L. R., 179	3524 4269 1186 5724
Tarini Prasad Ghose v. Khudumani Debi . v. Raghab Chandra Bandopadhaya	261. 5 B. L. R., 187, note: 13 W.	4872 4872
Tarini Prosad Misser v. Hurrish Chunder Chowdhry v. Mahammad Chowdhry Tariny Debi v. Shama Churn Mitter	R., 205. 5 6 B. L. R., 160 : 14 W. R., 224 8 C. L. R., 548 6 C. L. R., 555	3290, 3291 5724 219, 5637 5327
Tarokessur Roy v. Soshi Shikuressur Roy	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 952: 13 C. L. R., 62: L. R., 10 I. A.,	2568
Tarruck Chunder Bhuttacharjee v. Divendro Nath Sanyal. Tarrucknath Paulit v. Gladstone Tarruck Nauth Ghose v. Kaleepershad Khettry Tarruck Nauth Paulit v. Sherbourne Tarsanah v. Kadharey Lall	51. I. L. R., 9 Calc., 831: 12 C. L. R., 566. 1 Hyde, 178 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 210 Cor., 62 5 N. W., 1	838, 1931 1395 1060 1051 529, 3874
Tarsi Ram v. Man Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 492	1493, 3489

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Taruck Chunder Bhuttacharjee v. Huro Sunkur Sandyal Taruck Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Huro Chunder Chuck- erbutty.	22 W. R 267	50, 2942 753, 3496
	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 791: 8 C. L. ?	
Taruck Chunder Mookerjee v. Panchu Mohini Debya	R., 297.	4893
Taruck Chunder Nundee v. Modhoosoodun Nundee	5 W. R., Act X, 80 11 B. L. R., 193: 19 W. R., \	1597
Taruck Chunder Poddar v. Jodeshur Chunder Koondoo	178.	2347
Taruck Chunder Sen v. Doorga Churn Sen	20 W. R., 2	3160
Tarucknath Mookerjee v. Goureechurn Mookerjee	3 W. R., 147 {	910, 2699
v. Meydee Biswas .	5 W. R., Act X, 17	6018 215, 3809
v. Meydee Biswas v. Mohendro Nath Ghose	13 W. R., 56	1769
Taruck Nath Mullick v. Jeamat Nosya	8 W. R., 137	3159
Tarucknath Poramanick v. McAllister	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 353 6 W. R., Act X, 34	754, 1852 1589
Tarucknath Roy v. Taboorunissa Chowdhrain	7 W. R., 39	247
Tarucknath Sirkar v. Prosunno Coomar Ghose	19 W. R., 48	2533
Tarukpodo Ghosal v. Shyama Churn Napit Tarunginee Dassee v. Chowdry Dwarkanath Mussant	8 C. L. R., 50	3046
Taru Patur v. Abinash Chunder Dutt	20 W. R., 196	2428 1843
Tasaduk Hossain v. Beni Sinoh	13 C. L. R., 128	510, 4017
Tasouwar Ali v. Koonj Beharee Lal Tasuduk Ali v. Muksud Ali	3 N. W., 8, note	4155
Tata Charler v. Singara Chariar	6 N. W., 272	4540
lata Charlu v. Konadula Ramachandra Reddi	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 219 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 152	1900 1495
Patia v. Sadashiv	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 40	2991, 3421
Tatur Khawas v. Jagannath Prasad	7 B. L. R., Ap., 14: 15 W.	2772
Tatya Vithoji v. Banu Balaji	R., 131. I. L. R., 7 Bom., 330	1519
Taufik-un-nissa v. Ghulam Kambar	I. L. R., 1 All., 506	3650
Tavası Telavar v. Palaniandi Telavar	3 Mad., 187	1453
Tawakkul Rai v. Lachman Rai	I. L. R., 6 All., 344 .	3992, 4202
a Shoo Club D		4555 3992, 420 2
v. Sheo Ghulam Rai	I. L. R., 6 All., 344 . }	4555
Tawangar Ali v. Kura Mal Tayammaul v. Sashachalla Naiker	I. L R., 3 All., 394	3323
layneb Ally. In the matter of	10 Moore's I. A., 429	465 6 368 6
ayler v. Asmedh Koonwar	4 W. R., 86	456, 1040
Caylor. In re	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 101	1157
a Nanda Tan	1 Bom., Ap., 48	5648
v. Phillott	W. R., 1864, Mis., 25	680 62 16
v. Virasami	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 108	6012
'ayubunissa Bibi v. Sham Kishore Roy	7 B. L. R., 621: 15 W. R.,	318, 1786
L	228. 1 Mad., 51	2252 2218, 253 3
azoonnissa v. Wassil	24 W. R., Cr., 64	982
azun Dibi v. Shib Chunder Dhur	19 W. R., 170	4003, 4008
eacotta Shekdar. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 393: 10 C.	6027
eagaraya Mudali v. Mariyappa Pillai	L. R., 239. I. L. R., 1 Mad., 264'	3220
eekaunaree Singh v. Mohur Singh	7 W. R., 260	371 4
eekaram Kybutt v. Rajkishen Roy	Marsh., 495	1380, 5749
onlywaya a Ilaanii D. 1 1	7 W. R. 440	2314
TOOLEGE TELEMENT	1 Agra, 196	4562

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Teekum Lall Dass v. Macarthur Teekum Singh v. Dullo Teelottuma Debee v. Brojo Lall Shamunt Teeluck Chunder v. Shama Churu Prokash Teeluck Chunder Oswal v. Gour Chunder Shaha Teelucko Kooer v. Mooraleedhur Roy Teelucko Kooer v. Nirban Singh Teeluck Rai v. Ramjus Rai	1 W. R., 279	2799 2004 5318 2340 531 3557 1723 1178
Teencowree Chatterjee v. Dinouath Banerjee	2 Hyde, 181	427 2140, 2497
Teen Cowree Chatterjee v. Finonath Batterjee Tegh Singh v. Amin Chand Teil v. Gordon Teil & Co. In the matter of the petition of v. Abdool Hye Teja Singh v. Fokhun Singh v. Rajnarayan Singh Tej Chund v. Sree Kanth Ghose Tej Narain Chatterjee v. Ram Tunoo Mojoomdar Tejpal v. Kesri Singh Tej Protap Singh v. Champa Kalee Koer Tejram v. Harsukh v. Mooltan Mull Tejram Jagrupaji v. Kusaji bin Gangji Tej Singh v. Gobind Singh v. Ram Dass	8 W. R., 308 I. L. R., 5 All., 269 2 Ind., Jur., N. S., 142 19 W. R., 37 10 W. R., 95 1 B. L. R., A. C., 62 6 W. R., P. C., 48: 3 Moore's I. A., 261. 12 W. R., 370 I. L. R., 2 All., 621 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 96 I. L. R., 1 All., 101 3 N. W., 70 7 Bom., A. C., 110 I. L. R., 2 All., 850 Agra, F. B., 125: Ed. 1874, 944	2510 4667 824 2641 436, 3779 4716 1881, 3536 1881, 3536 3062, 4133 1926 2724 2452 5928 3845 421 4539 3028
Tekaet Bhao Narain Deo v. Court of Wards Tekaet Khood Narain Singh v. Toolsee Roy. Tekaetne Goura Coomaree v. Saroo Coomaree Tekait Chooramun Singh v. Dunraj Roy Tekait Megraj Singh v. Joy Mungul Singh. Tekaitnee Goura Coomaree v. Bengal Coal Company	15 W. R., 59 15 W. R., 9 19 W. R., 252 1 L. R., 5 Calc., 56 1 Ind Jur., N. S., 78 13 W. R., 129: 5 B. L. R., 667, note: 12 B. L. R., 282, note. 5 B. L. R., 667, note: 12 B.	2891 5145 3431, 5076 1657 6118 3118, 3431 5076 3118, 3431
Tekaitni Gowra Kumari v. Bengal Coal Company Telokdharee Sahoo v. Bissendro Narain Sahee Teluck Chand Baboo v. Soudaminee Dossee . Teluck Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Muddon Mohun	L. R., 282, note: 13 W. R., 129. Marsh., 418: 2 Hay, 528 23 W. R., 108 15 B. L. R., 143, note: 12 W.	5076 4980 3836 333, 2517
Teluckdharee Sahie v. Rajender Protaub Sahie Temmakal v. Subbamal Temulji Rustamji v. Fardunji Kavasji Terietput Singh v. Gossain Sudersan Das Tetai Abom v. Gagai Gura Chawa Tetley v. Administrator General of Bengal v. Jai Shankar v. Peet Singh Tewaree Loll v. Kasseenauth Tewaree Raghoonath Sahai v. Mahomed Nazeer Thackoor Doss Sett v. Hogg Thacoordass Nundee v. Shunkur Roy Thacoor Prosad v. Baluck Ram	R., 504. W. R., F. B., 97 2 Mad., 47 5 Bom., A. C., 137 . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 46 . 3 B. L. R., Ap., 30 . 2 N. W., 418 I. L. R., 1 All., 726 . Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 117 W R., F. B., 79 4 N. W., 108 Cor., 68 . 3 W. R., Cr., 53 12 C. L. R., 64	2228 2082 2794 6104 5822 1224 270 3506 3505 4034 27, 4244 394 5143

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Thaker Madhavji. In re	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 460 .	4663
Thakersey Devraj v. Hurbhum Narsey .	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 432 .	3170, 4272 5229
Thakoorain Sahiba v. Mohun Lall	7 W. R., P. C., 25: Moore's I. A., 386.	2300, 2479
Thakooranee Dossee v. Bisheshur Mookerjee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 202: W. R., Act X, 29. 3 W. R., Act X, 142	1653, 2930 5184 1654
Thakoor Chunder v. Chowdhry Chotee Singh Thakoor Chunder Paramanick. In the matter of the petition of.	Marsh., 261: 2 Hay, 112 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 595: 6 W. R., 228.	3775, 3778 1169, 3054
Thakoor Churn Roy v. Collector of 24-Pergunnahs	13 W. R., 336	2891, 5368 5370
Thakoor Dass Chuckerbutty v. Ram Jeebun Chucker-butty.	14 W. R., 150	358
Thakoor Dass Goshamee v. Gopee Kristo Goshamee Thakoordass Roy Chowdhry v. Nubeen Kishen Ghose Thakoor Dass Singh v. Luchmeeput Doogur	15 W. R., 18 15 W. R., 552	241 5108, 5352 434, 1927
Thakoor Dayhee v. Balack Ram	10 W. R., P. C., 3: 11 Moore's I. A., 139.	2264, 2495 2511
Thakoordeen Tewaree v. Ali Hossein Khan	8 W. R., 341 13 B. L. R., 427: 21 W.)	1424, 1811 1247, 1456
Thakoordeen Tewarry v.	R., 340: L. R., 1 I. A., 192.	1811, 4139 4233
Thakoor Deyhee v. Balukram	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 106: .0 W. R., P. C., 3: 11 Moore's I. A., 139.	2264, 2495 2511
Thakoor Doss Acharjee Chuckerbutty v. Shoshee Bhoosun Chatterjee.	17 W. R., 208	3334, 3842
Thakoor Doss Gossain v. Kashee Nath Mundul	12 W. R., 73 22 W. R., 431	* 3541 561
Thakoor Doss Mookerjee v. Ameer Mundul	14 W. R., 168	452 0
Thakoor Doss Mozoomdar v. Radha Soonderee Dossee Thakoor Doss Roy v. Bhyrub Chunder Bhuttacharjee	2 W. R., Act X, 3	538 3043
Thakoor Doss Roy Chowdhry v. Nobin Kristo Ghose	W. R., 1864, Mis., 21	382 3335, 3835
Thakoor Dutt Singh v. Gopal Singh	14 W. R , 4	145, 1634
Thakoor Dyal Sen. In the matter of	17 W. R., Cr., 51	6270
Thakooree v. Dhuleep Singh Thakoor Kapilnath Sahai v. Government	2 N. W., 261	5636 ₽ 277
Thakoor Kapilnauth Sahai Deo v	10 B. L. R., 168 13 B. L. R., 445: 22 W. R.,	6020 2003
Thakoor Lall Misser v. Brohmo Moyee Dabee .	17. 15 W. R., 253	778, 780
Thakoor Lall Missree v. Kanye Lall Tewaree Thakoor Luleet Narain Deo v. Juggurnath Misser	7 W. R., 510 8 W. R., 476	. 839 1378
Thakoor Mahatab Deo v. Leelanund Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 613: 9 C. L. R., 398.	5466
Thakoor Pathuk v. Ram Soomrun Lal	2 N. W., 433	3323, 6081
Thakoor Patuck v. Ramsoomrun Lall Thakoor Pershad v. Bashmutty Koer	1 N. W., 17: Ed. 1873, 16 . 24 W. R., 428	4428, 6081 1845
v. Kalika Pershad	2 Agra, 104.	5070
v. Kalka Pershad	6 N. W., 210	4511
v. Mahomed Baker Thakoor Pershad Singh v. Mahadeo Singh	8 W. R., 170 5 N. W., 210	1582, 1616 498
Lall	24 W. R., 390	55, 1700 3292

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Thakor Singb v. Sheopershad Ojhar	5 N. W, 8	2843
Thakur Das v. Futteh Mull	7 B. L. R., 275: 16 W. R., O.	2579
v. Shadi Lal	C., 3	3461
Thakurdas Manjhi v. Namdar Mundul	24 W. R., Cr., 12	129 4 4 197
Thakur Doyal v. Ram Narain Singh Thakur of Masuda v. The Widows of the Thakur	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 375	155
Nandwara. Thakur Prasad v. Ahsan Ali	I. I. R., 2 All., 819	162
v. Partab	I. L. R., 1 All, 668 I. L. R., 6 All., 442	3297
Thakurya v. Sheo Singh Rai	I. L. R., 2 All., 872	3303
"Thalatta." In the matter of the	Bourke, Ad., 1	5645
"Thalatta," The, v. The "Anne"	Bourke, A. O. C., 87	5645 415
Thama Sing v. Kalidas Roy Thambu Chetti Subraya Chetti v. Arundel	5 B. L. R., 386	3574
Thamman Singh v. Ganga Ram	1. L. R., 2 All., 342	1481
v. Jamal-ud-din	I. L. R., 7 All., 442	4558
Thanakoti v. Muniappa	1. I. R., 8 Mad., 495	5094
Thandraya Gounden v. Sith Aiyan	4 Mad., 254	762 2112, 211 7
Thandraya Gounden v. Sith Aiyan Thangathanni v. Ramu Mudali Than Singh v. Chundun Singh	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 358 I. L. R., 11 Calc, 296	5731
Thathu Baputty v. Chakayath Chathu	7 Mad., 179	3752
Thayammal v. Venkatarama	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 401 . {	1411, 2142
		4271
Thayu Kunjiama v. Shungunni Valia Kymal Thekmee Beldar v. Ram Kishen Lall	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 71	3762 324, 1621
Thenju v. Chimmu	15 W. R., 71	3760
Theodorus v. Abool Burkut Ameenoollah	W. R., 1864, Act X, 106	1934
Thesikam Iyengar v. Ganapathy Iyer	4 Mad., 320	3075
Thewaram v. Empress Thiagaraja v. Gnanasambantha	10 C. L, R., 187	1260, 1972
Thiagaraja v. Gnanasambantha	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 374	5177 6074
Thiagaraja Mudali v. Ramanuja Charry	6 Mad., 151	3146, 3156
Thir Sing v. Venkataramier	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 92	3572
Thiruvengadathiengar v. Sangiliveerappa Pandya Chin- anathumbiar.	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 65 .	1427
Thomas. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 192 .	4704 3795
v. Manager of the Pioneer Press	2 Agra, Mis., 1 Bourke, A. O. C., 100 .	601
Thomas & Co. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 352.	2664
Thompson v. Jehangir Hormasji	3 Bom., O. C., 66.	4678
v. Thompson · · · · ·	Bourke, Mat., 1	2834
Thompson's Policy. In the matter of	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 347	5838 5896
Thomson. In the matter of	Bourke, O. C., 421 6 B. L. R., 180: 14 W. R., 257	
. In the matter of the petition of	6 N. W., 205 · ·	3739
Thookra v. Rampershad Tewarry	10 Moore's I. A., 490 .	31, 231 4 2351
Thornhill v. Taylor	1 Agra, 215	12, 747
Thornton v. Thornton	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 422	1546, 1547
Thucker Bhagvandas Harjivan. In the matter of	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 489	2662, 5120 1028
Thukrain Sookraj Koowar v. Government . Thukree Roy v. Heeramun Singh	14 Moore's I. A., 112	577
	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 1: L. R., 2	3896
Thumbusamy Moodelly v. Hoosain Rowthen .	I. A., 241.	
Thummun v. Golab Rae	2 N. W., 89	329 3580
Thurga Ramachandra Rau v. Appayya	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 128	9000

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Thyila Kandi Ummatha v. Thyila Kandi Cheria Kunhamed.	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 308 .	5036
Tiery v. Kristo Mohun Bose Tietkins. In the matter of Tikamdas Javahirdas v. Gangakom Mathuradas Tika Ram v Khuda Yar Khan	L. R., 1 I A, 76 1 B. L. R., O. C., 84 11 Bom., 203 I. L. R., 3 All., 191	1567, 6033 2656 1072, 2731 2872
Tikaram Singh v. Sandes	22 W. R., 335 W. R., 1864, 133	1645 2 129
Tilak Patak v. Mahabir Panday	7 B. L. R., Ap., 11: 15 W. R., }	4170, 4865
Tilkoer v. Roy Anundkishore	10 C. L. R., 547	2078
Tillakchand Hindumal v. Jitamal Sudaram	10 Bom., 2:6	1034, 1397 1945
Tillessuree Kooer v. Asmedh Kooer	24 W. R., 101	1682, 220 7 4268
Tilock Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Gourmonee Tilok Chand v. Sada Ram	2 Hay, 364 7 N. W., 113	3009 3242
Tiluck Chand v. Soudamini Dasi	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 566: 3	1124, 6280
v. Sowdaminee Dassee	L. R., 456. 25 W. R., 275	5613
Tiluck Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Muddun Mohun Joogee {	12 W. R., 504: 12 B. L. R., 143, note.	333, 2517
Tiluck Chunder Dutt Chowdhry v. Brojo Soondur Mitter	24 W. R., 121	4909
Tiluck Chunder Gooho v. Gour Monee Debee Tiluckdharee. In the matter of	6 W. R., Mis., 91	3131, 3499 6167
Tiluckdharee Singh v. Samoodra Singh	6 W. R., 9	2771
Tiluck Roy v. Phoolman Roy	7 W. R., 450	2200, 3395
Timal Kuari v. Ablakh Rai Timapa Shanbhog v. Maneshvar Kashi	I. L. R., 1 All, 254	3181
Timmappa v. Lakshmamma	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 181	864 3951
Timmappa Bhat v. Parmeshriamma	5 Bom., A. C., 130	2424, 3371
Timmappa Heggade v. Mahalinga Heggade	4 Mad., 28	2454
Timmappaya v. Lakshminarayana	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 284	2171
Timmarsa Puranik v. Badiya	2 Bom., 70: 2nd Ed., 66	3031
Timmaya Mada v. Lakshmana Bhakta	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 215	$egin{array}{c} 246 \ 2448 \end{array}$
Timmi Reddy v. Achainma Timmu v. Deva Rai	L. L. R., 5 Mad., 265	4835, 5533
Tincowrie Chatterji v. Denonath Banerjee	W. R., 1864, 155 ·	2110
Tincowrie Dossee v. Umbika Churn Roy Chowdhry .	23 W. R, 41	3530
Tinnappa Chetti v. Murugappa Chetti	1. L. R., 7 Mad, 107	1407.
Tinumoni Dasi v. Nibarun Chunder Gupta .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 154: 12 L. R., 376.	2306
Tippayya Holla v. Venkata	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 74	3581
Tipperah Loan Office v. Gour Chunder Barman	2 C. L. R., 349	2693
Tirbhobun Singh v. Jhono Lal	18 W. R., 206	565
Tirthanund Thakoor v. Herdu Jha	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 252	1607
v. Mutty Lall Misser	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 774 10 B. L. R., 142, note: 13	ı 5200
v. Paresmon Jha Tirth Nund Thakur v. Mohur Mundul	R., 449.	5411
Tiruchittambala Chetti v. Seshayyangar	17 W. R., 278 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 383 .	1631 5 42 1, 5969
Tiruchurna Perumal Nadan o Sanguvien	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 1,18	2991
Tirukrishnama Chariar v. Krishnasawmi Tatachariar	L. R., 6 I. A., 120	5264
Tirumala v. Lakahmi	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 147 .	4830
Tirumala Rau Sahib v. Pingala Sunkara Rau	1 Mad., 312	1558, 5056
Tirumalasami Reddi v. Rama Sami Raddi	6 Mad., 420	6006
Tirumalathamal v. Venkataramanaiyan	2 Mad., 378	1415

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Tirumamagal Ammal v. Ramasvami Ayyangar . Tiruvalur Kirustnappa Mudali. In the matter of	. 1 Mad., 214	2336 2835
Tiruvengada v. Rangasami	. I. L. R., 7 Mad., 19 .	1830
	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 114	110
Tiruvengada Chari v. Vythilinga Pillai Titooram Bose v. Tarineechurn Ghose	. I. L. R., 6 Mad., 418 .	411
Titooram Bose v. Tarineechurn Ghose	. 15 W. R., 127	3496
Titu Bibi v. Ibrahim Mollah	. 12 C. L. R., 304	5315
v. Mohesh Chunder Bagchi	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 683	5315
Titu Maya v. Queen	{ I. L. R., 8 Calc., 618, r 1 C. L. R., 1.	2014
Tiyagaraja Padyachi v. Ramannjam Pillai	· I. L. R., 6 Mad., 422 .	4766
Tod v. Ponambilath Parapravan Kunmahod Hajee	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 169	. 3759, 3761
Todal Singh v. Thomson	• 2 N. W., 237	. 657
Todd. In the matter of the petition of	· 5 N. W., 237	. 3738
Todee Singh v. Gardner	· 2 Agra, 342	. 46 . 5690
Tofail Ahmud v. Banee Madhub Mookerjee .		4930
Tohul Roy v. Mahadeo Dutt	W. R., 1864, 327	
Tokai Sherob v. Davod Mullick Fureedoon Beglar	4 W. R., P. C., 87: 6 Mo I. A., 510.	5377
	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 536:	5 C 2
Tokee Bibee v. Abdool Khan .	I. R., 458.	2662
Tomminissa v. Nujeema Banoo	. 8 W. R., 340	4469
Tommy v. Soobha Kurim Lal	2 W. R., Act X, 73	. 2993
Tonoo Ram Gossain v. Molessur Gossain	. 24 W. R., 302 .	. 3257
Toofanee Doss v. Mun Rakhun Roy	. 15 W. R, 202	. 4130
Toofeah v. Jussouda	· 2 Agra, 337	5100
Toog Oung v. British India Steam Navigation Comp		. 4529
Toolsee v. Mohadeb Raot	. 6 W. R., 197	. 2303
Toolsee Dossee v. Doorga Churn Paul	. 15 W. R., 175 .	. 196
Toolseedoss Kobeeraj v. Bhyrub Lall Tewaree .	. 8 W. R., 311	. 6072
Toolsee Doss Seal. In re	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 133: R., 228.	7 W. 3782, 4434
Toolsee Dutt Misser v. Brojo Mohun Thakoor .	. 9 W. R., 332	4130, 4346
Toolseemoney Debee v. Jogesh Chunder Shaha .	. 1 C. L. R., 425 .	5282
Toolseemoney Dossee v. Cornelius	11 B. L. R., 144 .	2585, 2591
Toolsee Ram v. Nahar Singh .	3 N. W., 43	5630
v. Nahur Singh . v. Narain Singh . v. Nund Kishore Lall	3 Agra, 271 .	3367, 4459
v. Narain Singh.	3 Agra, 265 .	5632
v. Nund Kishore Lall	12 W. R.; 471	5738
Toolsee Sahoo v. Mohadeo Doss	10 W. R., 483	4851
Toolun v. Ooman Shunker.	2 Agra, 117 12 W. R., 473 6 W. R., Mis., 31	. 5111
Toomy v. Durrup Singh	12 W. R., 473 .	. 1735 . 148 7
Toona v. Kureemun .		369 5
Toonanjan v. Mehndee Begum .	3 Agra, 13	3.074
Toondun Singh v. Pokh Narain Singh v. Poklee Narain Singh	20 W. R., 54	. 1874 . 1479
v. I okiee Naram Singin	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 832:	6.0
Toponidhee Dhirj Gir Gosain v. Sreeputty Sahanee	L. R., 305.	•
Toppya Pillay v. Peddoo Pillay	. 5 Mad., 383	. 5679
Torab Ali v. Chooramun Singh Chowdhry	. 24 W. R., 262	5583
v. Mahomed Ameer Hossein	3 C. L. R., 105	3323, 6103
v. President of the Municipal Committee	of 6 N. W., 170 .	5976
Torab Ali Khan v. Nilruttun Lal	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 155	3356
Torab Ally v. Mahomed Tukkee	. 19 W. R., 1	4656, 6008
Toral Komhar v. Auchhi	. 9 B. L. R., 253 : 18 W. R	

Torit Bhoosun Bonnerjee v. Taraprosonno Bonnerjee Tosadookh Hossein v. Meajan Totakot Shangunni Menon v. Kurusingal Kaku Varid Tota Ram v. Har Kishan — v. Mohu Chand — v. Mohu Chand — v. Sher Singh Toulton v. Gwyther Toyab Shaikh. In the matter of Toyluck Math Doss v. Megnanth Doss Toyluck Nath Boss v. Megnanth Doss Toyluckomohun Tagore v. Gobind Chunder Sen Toyluckomohun Tagore v. Gobind Chunder Sen Trailakhanath Roy v. Kashinath Roy Trailakhanath Roy v. Kashinath Roy Trailakhanath Roy v. Kashinath Roy Trailokya Nath Biswas v. Joykali Chowdhrain Trailokya Nath Biswas v. Loykali Chowdhrain Trailokya Nath Biswas v. Chundra Nath Dutt Tranquebar Sami Ayyan v. Nathambedu Anumai Ammal Treelochun Chatrejee v. Radhamonce Dossee Tribhovan Gangaram v. Amina Trichohun Chuckerbutty v. Komola Kant Chuckerbutty Trikam Damodhar v. Lala Amirchand Trikam Goverdhun v. Dullabh Kuber Trilochan Ghose v. Kailas Nath Sidhanto Bhowmik Bhattacharji. Trilochun Chuckerbutty v. Komola Kant Chuckerbutty Trilochun Dutt v. Ishen Chunder Chowdhry Trilochun Chuckerbutty v. Komola Kant Chuckerbutty Trilochun Dutt v. Ishen Chunder Chowdhry Trilochun Dutt v. Ishen Chunder Chowdhry Trilochun Dutt v. Ishen Chunder Chowdhry Trilochun Chuckerbutty v. Komola Kant Chuckerbutty Trilochun Dutt v. Ishen Chunder Chowdhry Trilochun Chuckerbutty v. Komola Kant Chuckerbutty Trilochun Chuckerbutty v. Komola Kant Chuckerbutty Trilochun Dutt v. Ishen Chunder Chowdhry Trilochun Chuckerbutty v. Komola Kant Chuckerbutty Trilochun Chuckerbuty v. Komola Kant Chuckerbutty Trilochun Chuckerbuty v. Komola Kant Chuckerbutty Trilochun Chuckerbuty v. Komola Kant Chucker	Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Totakot Shangunni Menon v. Kurusingal Kaku Varid L. R., 7 All., 264 224 234	Toree Mahomed v. Mahomed Mahood Bux	R., 91.	3216, 3497
Tosakoto Shanguni Menon v. Kurusingal Kaku Varid Totakot Shanguni Menon v. Kurusingal Kaku Varid Totakot Shanguni Menon v. Kurusingal Kaku Varid Totaka Mad., 190	Torit Bhoosun Bonnerjee v. Taraprosonno Bonnerjee		2469, 4261
Tota Ram v. Har Kishan I. L. R., 7 All., 224 224 224 225 225 226 226 236	Tosodookh Hossein v. Meajan	W. R., 1864, 337	1353
		4 Mad., 190	.
Toulton v. & Sher Singh	v. Khub Chand		222
Sourke, O. C., 273 34399, 4399 3499	v. Mohun Lall		1345, 1741
Toyboon v. Mahomed Wajid Cryboon v. Mahomed V. Shurno Chungoni Trailokya Nath Biswas v. Joykali Chowdhrain Crailokya Nath Ghose v. Chundra Nath Dutt Crailokya Nath Ghose v. Chundra Nath Dutt Crailokya Nath Ghose v. Chundra Nath Dutt Creek v. Kalishen Roy Creek v. Railamonee Dossee Creek v. Railamonee Dossee Cryboon v. Mahomed V. Shurno Chungoni Creek v. Railamonee Dossee Creek v. Railamonee Dossee Creek v. Railamonee Dossee Cryboon v. V. Rajkishen Roy Creek v. Railamonee Dossee Cryboon v. V. Rajkishen Roy Creek v. Railamonee Dossee Cryboon v. V. Rajkishen Roy Cryboon v. V. Rajkishen Roy Cryboon	Toulton v. Gwyther		4399, 4410
Toyluck Nauth Doss v. Megnauth Doss 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 245 396 396 396 396 396 396 396 39	Toyab Shaikh. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 58	5576
Toyluckomohun Tagore v. Gobind Chunder Sen	Toyboon v. Mahomed Wajid		806, 1475
Tryluckonath Sirdar v. Baluckram Doss			
Trailakhanath Roy v. Kashinath Roy			
Trailokia Nath Nundi v. Shurno Chungoni Trailokya Nath Biswas v. Joykali Chowdhrain 11 C. L. R., 454 1373 1374 1375			
1	<u></u>	4 58. 9	
Trailokya Nath Ghose v. Chundra Nath Dutt 1. L. R., 12 Calc., 424 2837, 56 13190 12837, 56 13190			1372
Treelochun Chatterjee v. Radhamonce Dossee 6 W. R., Mis., 74 2343, 32 3497 3497			2837, 5651
Treelochun Roy v. Rajkishen Roy Sw. R., 214 2343, 2368, 337 338			3190
Triebovan Gangaram v. Amina			2343, 2358
Tribhovan Gangaram v. Amina Tribhovan Gangaram v. Amina Tribhuvandas Jagjivandas v. Motilal Ramdas Triccam Panachand v. Bombay, Baroda, and Central India Railway Company. Trikam Damodhar v. Lala Amirchand Trikam Goverdhun v. Dullabh Kuber Trilochan Ghose v. Kailas Nath Sidhanto Bhowmik Bhattacharji. Trilochun Chattapadhya v. Nobo Kishore Ghuttuck Trilochun Chuckerbutty v. Komola Kant Chuckerbutty v. Umesh Chunder Lahiri Trilochun Doss v. Gugun Chunder Dey Trilochun Doss v. Gugun Chunder Dey Triloke Chunder Sen v. Aukhil Chunder Sen Triloke Chunder Sen v. Aukhil Chunder Sen Trilokenath Jha v. Hur Dutt Khurhuree Trilokinath. In the matter of the petition of Hubli. Trimbak Anant v. Gopalshet bin Mahadshet Mahadu Trimbak Balkrishna v. Narayan Damoodar Trimbak Bawa v. Narayan Damoodar Trimbak Bawa v. Narayan Damoodar Trimbak Bawa v. Narayan Damoodar Trimbak Ranu v. Nana Bhavani Trimbak Ranu v. Nana Bhavani Trimbak Ravji v. Nana Tripooral Soondoree Chowdhranee v. Kali Chunder Roy Chowdhry. Tripoora Soondaree v. Gopal Nath Roy			668, 3361
Tribhuvandas Jagjivandas v. Motilal Ramdas Triccam Panachand v. Bombay, Baroda, and Central India Railway Company. Trikam Damodhar v. Lala Amirchand Trikam Goverdhun v. Dullabh Kuber Trilochan Ghose v. Kailas Nath Sidhanto Bhowmik Bhattacharji. Trilochun Chattapadhya v. Nobo Kishore Ghuttuck Trilochun Chuckerbutty v. Komola Kant Chuckerbutty Trilochun Doss v. Gugun Chunder Lahiri Trilochun Doss v. Gugun Chunder Chowdhry Triloke Chunder Sen v. Aukhil Chunder Sen Trilokenath Jha v. Hur Dutt Khurhuree Trilokenath Jha v. Hur Dutt Khurhuree Trilokinath. In the mafter of the petition of Hubli. Trimbak Ranant v. Gopalshet bin Mahadshet Mahadu Trimbak Bawa v. Narayan Damoodar Trimbak Bawa v. Narayan Dixit Trimbak Bawa v. Narayan Dixit Trimbak Ranu v. Nana Bhavani Trimbak Ranu v. Nana Bhavani Trimbak Ranu v. Nana Bhavani Tripoorah Soondoree Chowdhranee v. Kali Chunder Roy Chowdhry. Tripoora Soondaree v. Gopal Nath Roy 1 Bom., 34 1 L. R., 9 Bom., 244 2 Bom., 34 1 L. R., 9 Bom., 244 2 Bom., 389: 2nd Ed., 367 3 B. L. R., A. C., 298: 12 W. 8 Bom., A. C., 131 2 Bom., 389: 2nd Ed., 367 3 B. L. R., A. C., 298: 12 W. 8 Bom., A. C., 131 2 Bom., 389: 2nd Ed., 367 3 B. L. R., A. C., 298: 12 W. 8 Bom., 389: 2nd Ed., 367 3 B. L. R., A. C., 298: 12 W. 8 Bom., 389: 2nd Ed., 367 3 B. L. R., A. C., 298: 12 W. 8 Bom., 389: 2nd Ed., 367 3 B. L. R., A. C., 298: 12 W. 8 Bom., 389: 2nd Ed., 367 3 B. L. R., A. C., 298: 12 W. 8 Bom., 389: 2nd Ed., 367 3 B. L. R., A. C., 298: 12 W. 8 Bom., 389: 2nd Ed., 367 3 B. L. R., A. C., 298: 12 W. 8 Bom., 389: 2nd Ed., 367 3 B. L. R., A. C., 298: 12 W. 8 Bom., 389: 2nd Ed., 367 3 B. L. R., A. C., 298: 12 W. 8 Bom., 389: 2nd Ed., 367 3 B. L. R., A. C., 298: 12 W. 8 Bom., 389: 2nd Ed., 367 3 B. L. R., A. C., 298: 12 W. 8 Bom., 389: 2nd Ed., 367 3 B. L. R., 75		(
India Railway Company 3			1069, 4587
Trikam Damodhar v. Lala Amirchand 2 Bom., A. C., 131 2 Bom., 389 : 2nd Ed., 367 3 B. L. R., A. C., 298 : 12 W. R., 175 3 B. L. R., 10 2 W. R., 175 2 C. L. R., 50 3428, 45		I. L. R., 9 Bom., 244	5532
2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3			4681
R., 175. 3428, 45	Trikam Goverdhun v. Dullabh Kuber	2 Bom., 389: 2nd Ed., 367 .	225
3428, 44 5346 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 5			6002
Trilochun Chuckerbutty v. Komola Kant Chuckerbutty v. Umesh Chunder Lahiri v. Umesh Chunder Lahiri v. Umesh Chunder Lahiri v. Umesh Chunder Lahiri v. Umesh Chunder Chowdhry v. Umesh Chunder Lahiri v. Trilochun Doss v. Gugun Chunder Chowdhry v. Umesh Chunder Sen v. 24 W. R., 413 v. 333 W. R., 176 v. 2772 v. 21 W. R., 65 v. 21 W. R., 299 v. R., 171. v. 21 L. R., 3 Bom., 172 v. 21 L. R., 3 Bom., 172 v. 21 Bom., 27 v. 21 L. R., 3 Bom., 172 v. 2380 v. R., 358 v. 2580 v. R		2 C. L. R., 10	3428, 4977
Trilochun Doss v. Gugun Chunder Dey	Trilochun Chuckerbutty v. Komola Kant Chuckerbutty	25 W. R., 536	5348
Trilochun Dutt v. Ishen Chunder Chowdhry 3 W. R., 176 21 W. R., 65 20 W. R., 299 21 W. R., 299 22 W. R., 299 23 W. R., 171 24 W. R., 299 24 W. R., 299 25 W. R., 171 25 W. R., 171 26 W. R., 171 27 W. R., 171 27 W. R., 171 28 W. R., 171 28 W. R., 171 28 W. R., 175 27 W. R., 171 28 W. R., 175 27 W. R., 27 28 W. R., 175 27 W. R.,			337
Trilokenath Jha v. Hur Dutt Khurhuree 9 W. R., 299 1456 Trilokinath. In the matter of the petition of 1 L. R., 4 Calc., 184: L. R., 5 I. A., 171. 4657 Trimbarav Raghavendra v. Municipal Commissioners of Hubli. 1 L. R., 3 Bom., 172 2380 Trimbak Anant v. Gopalshet bin Mahadshet Mahadu 1 Bom., 27 2380 Trimbak Bawa v. Narayan Bawa 1 L. R., 8 Bom., 481 2181 Trimbak Dixit v. Narayan Dixit 1 Bom., 69 257. 32 Trimbak Ranu v. Nana Bhavani 1 Bom., 69 4196 Trimbak Ravii v. Nana 1 L. R., 10 Bom., 504 5466 Roy Chowdhry. 18 W. R., 327 25 W. R., 358 1711, 42			2772
1. L. R., 4 Calc., 184: L. R., 5 I. A., 171.			885 1455
Trimbar Raghavendra v. Municipal Commissioners of Hubli. I. L. R., 3 Bom., 172 1 Bom., 27 1 Bom., 481 2257, 32 1 Bom., 69 1 Bom., 69 1 Bom., 144 1 Bo			1
Hubli. Primbak Anant v. Gopalshet bin Mahadshet Mahadu I Bom., 27	· · ·	5 I. A., 171.	3.50
Trimbak Anant v. Gopalshet bin Mahadshet Mahadu 1 Bom., 27 2380 2181 2181 2181 2257, 32 2380 2181 2257, 32 2380		I. L. R., 3 Bom., 172	6157
Trimbak Bawa v. Narayan Bawa I. L. R., 7 Bom., 188 2257, 32 11 Bom., 69 12 Bom., 144 1. L. R., 10 Bom., 504 12 Bom., 188 196 1496 18 W. R., 327 18 W. R., 327 25 W. R., 358 1711, 42	Trimbak Anant v. Gopalshet bin Mahadshet Mahadu .	1 Bom., 27	2380
Trimbak Dixit v. Narayan Dixit 11 Bom., 69 5236 Trimbak Ranu v. Nana Bhavani 12 Bom., 144 4196 Trimbak Ravji v. Nana I. L. R., 10 Bom., 504 5466 Tripoorah Soondoree Chowdhranee v. Kali Chunder Roy Chowdhry. 18 W. R., 327 4296 Tripoora Soondaree v. Gopal Nath Roy 25 W. R., 358 1711, 42	Trimbak Balkrishna v. Narayan Damoodar	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 481	2181 2257, 3 259
Trimbak Ranu v. Nana Bhavani 12 Bom., 144 4190 Trimbak Ravji v. Nana I. L. R., 10 Bom., 504 5460 Tripoorah Soondoree Chowdhranee v. Kali Chunder Roy Chowdhry. 18 W. R., 327 4290 Tripoora Soondaree v. Gopal Nath Roy 25 W. R., 358 1711, 42	Trimbak Dixit v. Narayan Dixit	11 Bom., 69	5236
Tripoorah Soondoree Chowdhranee v. Kali Chunder 18 W. R., 327	Trimbak Ranu v. Nana Bhavani	12 Bom., 144	4196
Roy Chowdhry. Tripoora Soondaree v. Gopal Nath Roy	Trimbak Ravji v. Nana		5466
Tripoora Soondaree v. Gopal Nath Rov 25 W. R., 358 1711, 4	Roy Chowdhry.	18 W. R., 327	4299
	Tripoora Soondaree v. Gopal Nath Rov		1711, 430
Tripoora Sconduree. In the matter of the petition of 22 W. R., 45	Tripoora Soonduree. In the matter of the petition of .	1	678 4556

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Tripoora Soonduree v. Koylash Chunder Bose . Tripoora Soonduree Debia v. Ijjutoonui sa Khatoon v. Promothonath Roy .	15 W. R., 265 24 W. R., 411 11 W. R., 538	5689 3245 3834
Tripp v. Kubeer Mundul	9 W. R., 209 W. R., 1864, Mis., 7 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 74	3288 335 5464
v. Rasik Chandra Kanungui	6 B. L. R., Ap., 134: 15 W.	4853, 5800
Triton Insurance Company v. The "Moorhill" .	R., 189. 15 B. L. R., Ap., 3	5642
Troup v. East India Company	4 W. R., P. C., 111: 7 Moore's } I. A., 104.	514
Troylochotaran Chowdhry v. Muthoora Mohun Dey Troylokha Nath Biswas. Troylochyanath Mitter. Troyluckhnath Chuckerbutty v. Jhabboo Shaikh Troyluckhonath Banerjee v. Brindabun Chunder Sirkar	W. R., 1864, Act X, 41 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 742 1 C. L. R., 83 10 W. R., 334	1187 1278 5157 3150
Chowdhry.	18 W. R., 438	404, 699
Troyluckhonath Chowdhry v. Kaleema Bibee Troyluckhonath Roy v. Collector of Beerbhoom Troyluckho Tarinee Dossia v. Mohima Chunder Muttuck Troylukhonath Bose. In re- Trunath Chowdry v. Manick Chunder Doss Trustees and Mortgagees Act, 1886. In the matter of Tufani Singh. In re	2 W. R., Act X, 96 W. R., 1864, 338 7 W. R., 400 5 W. R., Cr., 58 14 W. R., 469 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 381 6 B. L. R., Ap., 141	2931 353 2991 4104 351, 368 6064 4424, 5138
v. Durgaban	4 B. L. R., Ap., 21 7 B. L. R., 186: 14 Moore's	4461
Tuffazal Hossein Khan v. Raghunath Prasad . 3	I. A., 40.	412
Tufuzzool Hossein v. Mahomed Hossein Tukaram v. Satvaji Khanduji v. Sujangir Guru Tukaram Ambaidas v. Ramchandra valad Bhimanna	2 Hay, 17	4015 3460, 4464 3415 2395
Tukaram bin Atmaram v. Ramachandra Budharam	6 Bom., A. C., 247 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 314	3943
Tukaram bin Ramkrishna v. Gunaji bin Mhaloji Tukaram Hari Atre. In re	8. Bom., A. C., 129 10 Bom., 354	423 5832
Tukaram Vithal. In re	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 527 . 6 Bom., O. C., 134 .	617 4810
Tukee v. Khoshdel Biswas	6 W. R., 151 5 N. W., 14	5650 5921
	5 N. W., 137	1194 5059
Tukhea v. Deo Narain Singh	24 W. R., 248	5059 5156, 6268 748
Tukroonissa Begum v. Mogul Jan Bibee .	8 W. R. 370	5812 6017
Tularam v. Harjiwan Das Tuljaram Morarji v. Mathuradas Tulsee Monee Dassee v. Peary Mohun Baboo	I. L. R., 5 All., 60 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 662 25 W. R., 79	2302 4131
Tulsha v. Gopal Rai	I. L. R., 6 All., 632 .	333, 1460 4045
Tulshi Pershad Singh v. Ramnarain Singh .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 117: L. R., 12-I. A., 205.	3070
Tulshi Sahu v. Nursingram Tulsidas Dhunji v. Virbasapa ·	12 C. L. R., 333 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 624 .	2211, 2575 1518
Tulsi Panday v. Buchu Lal	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 596: 12 L. R., 223.	578
Tulsi Ram v. Gangaram	I. L. R., 1 All., 252 .	4875

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Tulsiram Das v. Mohamed Afzal alias Mirza . {	1 B. L R., A. C., 12: 10 W. R., 48.	3280
Tulsi Sahu v. Mahadeo Das	2 B. L. R., A. C., 105: 10 W. R., 483.	4851, 5800
Tumeezooddeen Chowdhry v. Surwar Khan	7 W. R., 209	3024, 3406
Tumeezooddeen Khondkar v. Mohima Chunder Mooker-	11 W. R., 227	4914
Tumeezooddy v. Busarut	21 W. R., 76	772
Tundan Singh v. Pukh Narayan Sing	5 B. L. R., 546: 13 W. R.,	482
Tunnoo v. Kureem Buksh Turibullah. In the matter of Turif Biswas. In the matter of the petition of Turner, Morrison, & Co., v. Ralli, Mavrojani, & Co. Tusneef Hossein v. Sookhoo	23 W. R., Cr., 2	661 1155, 1269 5830 1059 79, 91
Tusneef Hossein v. Sookhoo Tvatat Svami v. Andanya Charanti Tweedie v. Poonochunder Gangooly	6 Bom., A. C., 132 8 W. R., 125	4564 1673
v. Poono Chunder Gangoolee	12 W. R., 138	1757
Tyebunnessa v. Kaniz Fatima	9 W. R., 151 13 C. L. R., 247	3802 4512
U Ubilack Rai v. Dallial Rai	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 557	1847
Ublukh Rai v. Sheo Nundun Singh	3 Agra, 80	2444
Uchhuba Dossia v. Nittyanund Shaha	24 W. R., 463	667 50, 54
· · · · · · ·	I. L. R., 2 All., 74	189
Udai Chand Mukhopadhya. In the matter of the petition of.	9 B. L. R., Ap., 31	4920
Udai Chandra Mandal v. Ahmedulla	7 B. L. R., 616, note I. L. R., 3 All., 186 I. L. R., 1 All., 456 I. L. R., 1 All., 135 3 B. L. R., Ap., 90	1410 1176 1871 5611 4199
Udaiya Tevar v. Katama Nachiyar	2 Mad., 131	4867, 4962 4988
Udaram Sitaram v. Ranu Panduji	11 Bom., 76 {	•2235, 2409 5384
Udaya Aditya Deb v. Jadab Lal Aditya Deb	10 Bom., 483 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 199 2 B. L. R., Ap., 45 2 B. L. R., Ap., 52 1 Mad., 322	2421 2150 3953 1180 4430
Tiddom Adissus Dab or Todoblal Adissus Dab	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 113: 4 C.	5102
Uddoyto Churn Sahoo v. Ramdhun Roy	16 W. R., 296	3495
Udeyadeta Deb v. Gregson	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 624	229, 1940
Udey Kunwar v. Ladu	6 B. L. R., 283:15 W. R., P. C., 16:13 Moore's I. A., 585.	1680, 4745 4863
Udhar Singh v. Ranee Koonwur Udit Narain Singh v. Harogouri Prosad Udit Singh v. Padarath Singh Udoy Chand Biswas v. Panchoo Ram Biswas Udoytara Chowdhrain v. Shib Nath Surma Bahadoori	1 Agra, 234 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 590 I. L. R., 8 All., 54 -11 C. L. R., 514 9 C. L. R., 207	2486, 4962 14, 3454 3354 2365 1629

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ufzool Begum v. Ladlee Begum Ugarchand Manackchand v. Madapa Somana Uggrakant Chowdhry v. Hurro Chunder Shickdar Ugrah Nath v. Laganmali Ujagar Singh v. Pitam Singh	2 N. W., 325 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 324 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 209 I. L. R., 4 All., 83 I. L. R., 4 All., 120: L. R., 2	3657 6032, 6144 1847 3532 2154
Ujalamayi Dasi v. Chandra Kumar Neogi Ujal Mundul v. Namdar Mundul Uji v. Hathi Lala Ujjala Bewa. In the matter of	8 I. A., 190. 4 B. L. R., F. B, 24 24 W. R., Cr., 12 7 Bom., A. C., 133 1 C. L. R., 52 3 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 422: 5 C.)	2844 1294 2221 966
Ujmuddin Khan v. Ziaul-nissa Begum {	L. R., 11 : L. R., 6 I. A., 3 137.	5652
Ujolla Dasi v. Dhiraj Mahatab Chand Ukanda Varriyar v. Ramen Nambudiri Ukha v. Daga Ukhoy Sunkur Chuckerbutty v. Indro Bhusan Deb Roy Ukoor Doss v. Chunder Sekhur Doss	7 C. L. R., 215	5336 3754 4881 1620, 2931 2250
Ulfatunnissa alias Elahijan Bibi v. Hosain Khan	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 520: 12 C. L. R., 209.	4807
Ulfutunnissa v. Mohan Lal Sukal Ullman v. Justices of the Peace for Calcutta v. Ulruk Singh v. Beny Persad Ultaf Ali Khan v. Ram Lall	6 B. L. R., Ap., 33 7 B L. R., Ap., 60 8 B. L. R., 265 5 W. R., P. C., 77 Agra, F. B., 83: Ed. 1874, 63	1218, 2695 5531 650 4659 3308, 3529
Uluck Monee Chowdhrain v. Panchoo Coomar Chunder Chowdhry.	21 20., 222	757
Umabai v. Bhavu Padmanji	J. L. R., 1 Bom., 557 7 B. L. R., Ap, 3	2335 6041
Umacharan Chowdhry v. Nitambini Debi {	2 B. L. R., S. N., 11: 10 W. R., 359.	
Umacharan Sett v. Hari Prosad Missry Umachurn Bag v. Ajadannissa Bibee Uma Churn Dutt v. Uma Tara Dabee Uma Churn Mundle v. Joshein Sheikh Uma Churn Santra v. Beni Madhub Roy Uma Churn Sen v. Gobin Chunder Mozumdar	1 B. L. R., S. N., 7 I. L. R., 12 Cale., 430 8 W. R., 181 I. L. R., 11 Cale., 84 7 C. L. R., 352 1 C. L. R., 460	528, 562 5294, 5877 1586 2924 4488, 4508 5382
Umaid Bahadur v. Udoi Chand alias Munmun	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 119: 6 C. L. R., 500.	2288, 2299
.Umaji valad Manaji Patil Dumale v. Hari Ram Chandra Kulkarni.	4 Bom., A. C., 143	4829
Uma Kishoree Dassi v. Huro Gobind Shaha Umameswara v. Singaperumal Umamoyi Burmonea v. Tarini Prasad Ghose Umanath Mookhopadhya v. Nilmoney Sing Umanund Roy v. Browne v. Sreekishen Banerjee	5 W. R., Act X, 95 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 376 7 W. R., 225 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 429 7 W. R., 352 7 W. R., 248 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 41	532 5389 3817, 4265 4670 5709 1466 1229
Umarkhan v. Mahomed Khan Uma Sankar Roy Chowdry v. Mansur Ali Khan	5 B. L. R., Ap., 6: 13 W. R,	4214, 6076
Uma Shankar v. Kalka Prasad	327. I. L. R., 6 All., 75 3 C. L. R., 157 3 B. L. R., A. C., 183 2 B. L. R., A. C., 284: 11 W. 7	3393 4944 5315 3164, 3368
v. Dwarka Nath Roy	R., 72. I. L. R., 7 Calc., 242: 9 C.	3561, 4279
v. Ramji Haldar	L. R., 13.	5001, 221 5

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Uma Sundari Dasi v. Umacharan Sadkhan Umasundari Debi. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 117 5 B. L. R., Ap., 29	3912 5116, 5939
Uma Sunduri Dabee v. Sourobinee Dabee	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 288: 9 C. L. R., 83.	2145, 2340
Uma Sunker Moitro v. Kali Komul Mozumdar .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 256: 7 C. L. R., 145.	2141, 2289
Uma Sunkur Sircar v. Tarini Chunder Singh .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 571: 11 C. \ L. R., 366.	
Umatara Debia v. Krishna Kamini Dasi	2 B. L. R., A. C., 102 .	5005
Umatul Fatima v. Azhur Ali	9 B. L. R., Ap., 23, note: 15 ? W. R., 356.	1207, 2696
Umbica Churn Banerjee v. Digumburee Dabee . Umbica Churn Bhuttacharjee v. Prosonno Coomar Sen Umbica Churn Dey v. Goluck Chunder Chuckerbutty Umbica Churn Pottro v. Boidonath Pottro .	12 W. R., 429 9 C. L. R., 365 9 W. R., 596 1 W. R., 82	4478 5061 1756 2931
Umbica Nundun Biswas. In the matter of	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 434: 1 C.) L. R., 561.	2663
Umbica Prosad Tewary v. Ram Sahay Lall	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 898: 10 C. L. R., 505.	2163 .
Umbica Proshad. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 268	4722
Umbica Sunduri Chowdrain v. Ajitulla Mondul .	8 C. L. R., 148 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 870 : 4 C.	1313
Umbicka Churn Goopta v. Madhub Ghosal .	L. R., 55.	4467
Umbika Churn Chuckerbutty v. Dwarka Nath Ghose Umbika Churn Dutt v. Nadir Hossein Umbika Churn Koondoo v. Dhurmo Doss Koondoo	8 W. R., 506 11 W. R., 133 11 W. R., 129	803, 5433 5790 4820
Umbika Churn Mundle v. Ramdhun Mohurir Umbika Churn Shet v. Bhuggobutty Churn Shet .	11 W. R., 35 3 W. R., 173	4151, 4890 3368
Umbika Dassia v. Chirunjeel Pershad Bose .	13 W. R., 81	1480
Umbika Debia v. Pranhuree Doss	13 W. R., F. B., 1 3 C. L. R., 72	5323 4732
Umdutoonissa v. Asloo	20 W. R., 297	26, 3695
Umed Ali v. Saffihan	3 B. L. R., A. C., 175 .	36 56 491 7
Umedchand Hukamchand v. Eulakidas Lalchand .	I. L. R., 6 All., 383 . 5 Bom., O. C., 16	3 300
Umed Dholchand v. Pir Saheb Jiva Miya	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 134	4889, 5657
Umed Kika v. Nagindas Narotamdas	7 Bom., O. C., 122	852, 2438 5804
Umedmal Motiram v. Dava Bin Dhondiba	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 547	1822, 4777 6123
Umed Ram v. Daulat Ram	I. L. R., 5 All., 564	5690
Umed Sangji v. Collector of Surat	7 Bom., A. C., 50.	1562 ·
Umer Ali v. Rumzan Ali	23 W. R., 347	4896 96 5
Umes Chandra v. Shastidhar Mookerjee	3 B. L. R., Ap., 99	381 5
Umes Chandra Roy v. Nabin Chandra Mazumdar	5 B. L. R., 327, note	5050 .
Umesh Chandra Mookerjee v. Sageman Umesh Chunder Banerjee v. Mohini Mohun Dass.	5 B. L. R., 633, note . 9 C. L. R., 301	1814, 3202 1826
Umesh Chunder Roy v. Raj Bullub Sen	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 279: 10 C. L. R., 204.	3269
Umiashankar Lakhmiram v. Chotalal Vajeram . Umirto Lall Bose. In the matter of	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 19 18 W. R., 11, note	3118, 3486 5451
Umjad Ally Khan v. Mohumdee Begum	10 W. R., P. C., 25	3674, 4251
Ummer Kutti v. Abdul Kadar	Moore's I. A., 517. I. L. R., 2 Mad., 165	3221
Umrai v. Ram Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 384	5688

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Umrao Begam v. Land Mortgage Bank of India . Umrao Lal v. Behari Singh . Umrao Singh v. Fakir Chand. In the matter of the petition of. Umrao Thakur v. Gakul Mandal	I. L. R., 1 All., 547 I. L. R., 2 All., 451 I. L. R., 3 All., 297 I. L. R., 3 All., 749 8 B. L. R., Ap., 34: 16 W. R., 7 W. R., 1864, Act X, 63. 15 W. R., P. C., 10: 13	5200 5200 4999 3622 5130 · 1181 2360
Umrithnauth Chowdhry v. Chunder Kishore Singh v. Koonj Behary Singh Umrit Koweree v. Kidarnath Ghose Umrit Lall Banerjee v. Bhoobun Mohinee Dossee.	Moore's I. A., 542. 21 W. R., 31 W. R., F. B., 34	1918, 1927 523, 2980 3025 2425 537
Umr-un-nissa v. Muhammad Yar Khan Uncovenanted Service Bank. In the matter of v. Duffin v. Khettermohun Ghose v. Marshall v. Palmer	I. L. R., 3 All., 24 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 829: 3 C. } L. R., 597. 3 N. W., 99 6 N. W., 88 6 N. W., 306 2 N. W., 456	3424 5841 588 3310 3203 3006
Undhoob Narain v. Chutterdharee Singh Unicha Kandyib Kunhi Kutti Nair v. Valia Pidigail \ Kunhamed Kutti Maraccar. Unidi Rajaha Raje Venkataperumal Rauze v. Pemma- samy Venkatadry Naidoo. Unjoor Singh v. Fuzboonnessa	9 W. R., 480	3283, 3351 3212, 6098 1785, 2064 1173
Unkar Das v. Narain Unmole Singh v. Ram Bhunjun Misser Unnian v. Rama Unniraman v. Chathan Unnocool Chunder Chowdhry v. Hurry Nath Koondoo Unnoda Churn Dass Biswas v. Mothura Nath Dass	I. L. R., 4 All., 24 3 Agra, 407 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 415 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 451 2 C. L. R., 334 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 860: 4 C.)	3241 4853 3765 5953 1895 3360, 5315
Biswas. Unnoda Gobind Chowdhry v. Surnomoyee Unnoda Persad Roy v. Erskine v. Koorpan Ally Unnodapersaud Mookerjee v. Kristo Coomar Moitro	L. R., 6. W. R., F. B., 163 12 B. L. R., 370: 21 W. R., 68 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 518: 1 C. L. R., 408. 15 B. L. R., 60, note: 19 W.	3192 1175 3470, 3528 3129, 3337
Unnoda Pershad Banerjee v. Chunder Sekhur Deb Unnoda Pershad Mookerjee v. Soorendronath Pal Chowdhry. Unnoda Proshad Dutt v. Shama Soonduree	R., 5. 7 W. R., 394 20 W. R., 105 24 W. R., Cr., 20	3066, 4589 5077 4362
Unnopoorna Dossee v. Oodhub Nath Roy Unnopoorna v. Hurbullubh Singh Unnopoorna Dassee v. Gunganarain Paul Unnopoorna Dassee v. Nufur Poddar Unnopoorna Debia v. Dossee v. Ram Lochun Ghose Unnopoorna Dossia v. Ooma Churn Doss Unnopoorna Dossia v. Ooma Churn Doss Unnopoorna Dossia v. Ooma Churn Doss Unnopoorna Chatteries v. Proteh Chunder Shiremana	11 W. R., 125 8 W. R., 465 2 W. R., 296 21 W. R., 148 14 W. R., 254 5 W. R., 227 19 W. R., 95 18 W. R., 55	2696 6018 2236 1833 34 10 5176, 5195 5198
Ununtoram Chatterjee v. Protab Chunder Shiromonee Ununtoram Doss v. Ram Lochun Aitch Ununt Ram Hazrah v. Kuralee Pershad Mistree	16 W. R., 231	2570 5797 3826

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of
		Digest.
Upendra Krishna Deb v. Nobin Krishna Bose Upendra Lal Mukhopadhya v. Collector of Rajshahye Upendra Lal Roy v. Prasannamayi Upendra Mohan Tagore v. Takalia Bepari v. Thanda Dasi Upendra Narain Myti v. Gopee Nath Bera Upendra Nath Dhal v. Soudamini Dasi Upendra Nath Dhal v. Soudamini Dasi Upendro Lall Bose. In the matter of Uporoop Tewary v. Lalla Bandhjee Suhay Uppalapati Ganakaya Garu v. Palavi Ramudu Upper Assam Tea Company v. Thopoor	3 B. L. R., O. C., 113: 17 W. R., 370, note. I. L. R., 12 Calc., 113 1 B. L. R., A. C., 221 2 B. L. R., A. C., 345 3 B. L. R., A. C., 345 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 817: 12 C. L. R., 356. I. L. R., 12 Calc., 535 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 675: 7 C. L. R., 413. I. L. R., 6 Calc., 749: 8 C. L. R., 192. 2 Mad., 475 4 B. L. R., Ap., 1	227, 312 2263 3352 2129 3531 2317, 3000 3011 2456, 2479 3742 4407 2398 5088, 5698
Uppu Lakkshmi Bhayamma Garu v. Purvis	2 Mad., 167	2876
Uramkumarath Kannan Nayar v. Uramkumarath Tenju Nayar. Urdhub Churn Debta v. Sookdeb Debta Urjoon Dutt Bonick v. Ram Nath Kurmokar Urjoon Sahoy. In the matter of the petition of v. Nilmonee Singh Deo Urjun Singh v. Ghunsiam Singh Urna Churn Chetty v. Gordon Urquhart v. Gilbert v. Nundeeput Mahaputtur. Ushrufoonnessa Begum v. Gridharee Lall Usmut Koowar v. Tayler Ussud Ali Khan v. Olfut Beebee Ustoorun v. Mohun Lal Usudoollah v. Imaman Uthur Hossein v. Ramphal Roy. Utshab Narayan Chowdhry v. Chittra Raka Gupta Uttam Chunder Chatterjee v. Ram Chunder Chatterjee Uttamram Manikram v. Girdharlal Motiram Uttamram Manikram v. Girdharlal Motiram Uttamram Manikram v. Girdharlal Motiram Uttamram Maniklal v. Damodhardas Maniklal Uttom Chunder Gangooly v. Issur Chunder Mookerjee Uttom Koondoo. In the matter of the petition of Uzhar Ali v. Ultaf Fatima	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 1 24 W. R., 45 21 W. R., 123 20 W. R., 90 5 Moore's I. A., 169 1 Hyde, 212 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 224 12 W. R., 492 19 W. R., 118 2 W. R., 307 3 Agra, 237 21 W. R., 333 5 W. R., P. C., 26: 1 Moore's I. A., 19. 20 W. R., 75 8 B. L. R., Ap., 92 13 W. R., 75 8 B. L. R., Ap., 92 13 W. R., Cr., 72 6 Bom., A. C., 45 9 Boin., 39 22 W. R., Cr., 76 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 634: 10 C. L. R., 466 13 Moore's I. A., 232	3757, 5044 815 3044 226 2230 5501 5914 5461 1507, 1687 3791, 4439 3675 316, 1779 1722, 6004 6109 4759, 5018 4100 3530 1541 6010 2757 476
${f v}$		
Vadake Vatil Ismal v. Odakel Beyakutti Umah Vadali Ramakristnama v. Manda Appaiya Vadamalai Thiruvana Tevar v. Caruppen Servai Vadju v. Vadju Vadrevu Ranganaya Kamma v. Vadrevu Bulli Ramaiya Vaimbilee v. Empress Vairicharla Surya Narayana v. Nadiminti Bhagavat Patanjali Shastri. Vaithelinga v. Saminada Vakatchand Lakhmichand v. Advocate General Vakaty Ramareddy v. Duvvuru Ayappareddy	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 347 2 Mad., 407 4 Mad., 401 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 22 5 C. L. R., 439 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 826 3 Mad., 120 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 44 8 Bom., O. C., 96 7 Mad., 234	3646 2084, 4154 5703 3977 5505 718, 1009 3383, 4406 5033 1112 4276 4786

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Vaku valad Ram Patil v. Pand valad Malji Patil	6 Bôm., A. C., 243	1098
Valaji Isaji v. Thomas	I L. R., 1 Bom., 190	4782 2597
Valamaramayyan v. Virappa Kandian	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 145	3587
Valampudducherri Padmanabhan v. Chowakaren Pudia-	5 Mad., 320	1813
purayil Kunhi Kolendan.	5 C T. P 01	3680
Valayet Hossein v. Maniran	5 C. L. R., 91	1774
Valiappa Ravuthan v. Mahommed Khasim	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 228	3220, 3235
Valia Tamburatti v. Vira Rayan Valimia Alimia v. Gulam Kadar Mohidin	6 Bom., A. C., 25	3677
Valiya Kesava Vadhyar v. Suppen Nair	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 308	1229
Vallabh Bhula v. Rama	9 Bom., 65 · · ·	4987
Vallabhdas Hirachand v. Gokaldas Tejiram.	3 Bom., A. C., 89	2075
Valla bin Hataji v. Sidoji bin Kondaji	5 Bom., A. C., 87	1813
Vallabhram Jagjivan v. Woodhouse	1 Bom., 144	4051
Vallabhram Shibnarayan v. Bai Hariganga	4 Bom., A. C., 135	2336
Vallinayagam Pillai v. Pachche	1 Mad., 326	2529, 2531
	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 252: L. R., ?	2538, 4655
Valoo Chetty v. Sooryah Chetty	4 I. A., 109.	1
Valu v. Ganga	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 84	2427
Valu Mudali v. Sowerby	2 Mad., 246	1578, 6238
Vamanaji Konera. In the matter of	1 Bom., 130 · · ·	4442 5502
Vaman Janardan Joshi v. Collector of Thana	6 Bom., A. C., 191	3064
Vaman Shripad v. Maki	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 424	1364
Vana Jagannathji v. Hata Dipaji	11 Bom., 46	4683
Van Ingen v. Dhunna Lall Lallah Vanneri Purushottaman Nambudri v. Patanattil Kanju (ľ
Menavan.	2 Mad., 382	3424
Varada Chetty v. Vaiyapury Mudali	4 Mad., 151	3497
Varadaraja v. Venkatachala Pillai	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 345	5177, 5186
Varadarajulu Nayudu. Ex parte	1 Mad., 66	· 3781
Varadha Reddi v. Venkata Subba Reddi	5 Mad., 213	5730
Varadiperumal Udaiyan v. Ardanari Udaiyan	1 Mad., 412	2329
Varajlal Shivlal v. Dalsukh Varajlal	12 Bom., 196	995
Varanakot Narayanan Namburi v. Varanakot Naray- ?	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 328	3758, 5048
anan Namburi.		3590
Varathachari v. Balu Naicken	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 255	2667
Vardalaca Charri. In the matter of	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 15 . Marsh., 461: 9 Moore's I.)	
Varden Seth Sam v. Luckpathy Royjee Lallah . }	A., 303.	6133
		1529, 1577
v	9 Moore's I. A., 303 . }	4154
Varikara Vadaka Vittil Valia Parvatthi v. Varikara)	TTD a Med 241	3762
Vadaka Vittil Kamaran Nayar.	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 341	
Varjivan Rangji v. Aji Daji	1 Bom., 165	2777
v. Ghelji Gokaldas · · · ·	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 563	2196
the state of the s	7 Mad., 210	3753
Varma Mootha Rajah.	1	321, 4392
Vasanji Haribhai v. Lallu Akhu	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 285 . {	5592
Vassareddy Lutchmeputty Naidoo In re	5 Moore's J. A., 300	4658
Vasudev. v. Vamanji	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 80	2839
Vasudeva v. Chinnasami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 584	3151, 5955
Vasudeva Bhallu v. Narasamma	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 6	1829, 4163
Vasudevan v. Keshavan	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 309'	3767
man a company of the	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 529	70, 607
Vasudev Anant v. Ramkrishna		
Vasudev Anant v. Ramkrishna Vasudeva Patrudu v. Sanyasiraz Peddabaliyara Simhulu Vasudeva Shanbhaga v. Kuleadi Narnapai	3 Mad., 1 7 Mad., 290	2992 4033

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Vasudeva Shanbog v. Naraina Pai Vasudev Balkrishna v. Salubai Vasudev Bhat v. Narayan Daji Damle v. Venkatesh Sanbhav Vasudev Daji v. Babaji Ranu Vasudev Hari v. Tatia Narayan Vasudev Moreshvar Gunpule v. Rama Babaji Dange	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 356 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 227 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 131 10 Bom., 139 8 Bom., A. C., 175 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 387 11 Bom., 149	4115 4288 2261 1034, 2395 1682 6143 4765
Vasudev Pandit v. Collector of Puna v. Narayan Joshi Vasudev Sadashiv Modak v. Collector of Ratnagiri	10 Bom., A. C., 471 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 15 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 99: L. R., 4 I. A., 119.	70 1521 4390
Vasudev Vishnu v. Narayan Jagannath Vasudev Vishnu Diksnit v. Narayan Jagannath Dikshit Vasudev Vithal Samant v. Ramchandra Samant Vathiar. In the matter of the petition of Vato Koer v. Rowshun Singh Vaughan v. Heseltine v. Lallchand Ghose	9 Bom., 289 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 642, note I. L. R., 6 Bom., 129 7 Mad., 121 8 W. R., 82 I. L. R., 1 All., 753 15 W. R., 281	3861 3859 2851 5905 2445 3081, 3889 5707
Vayalil Pudia Madathemmil Moidin Kuttiayissa v. Udaya Varma Valia Rajah.	2 Mad., 315	0200
Vayangara Vadaka Vittil Manja v. Pariyangot Padingara Kuruppath Kadugochen Nayar. Vayidinada v. Appu Vaythenatha Sastrial v. Sami Pandither Vedanta v. Kanniyappa Vedanta Chariar v. Ayyasami Mudali Vedanta Desikacharyulu v. Perindevamma Vedavalli v. Narayana Veerapah Chetty. In the matter of Velaet Ali Khan v. Azmun v. Matadeen v. Matadeen Ram Velaety Begum v. Rughonath Persad Velayet Hossein v. Wulee Ahmed	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 89 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 44 I. L. R., 3 Mad., 116 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 14 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 332 I. L. R., 3 Mad., 249 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 19 6 B. L. R., Ap., 37: 14 R., 405 11 W. R., 513 10 W. R., 402 13 W. R., 3 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 747: 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 263: 8 W R., 147. 23 W. R., 207	1147 2128, 2134 3587, 3593 5988 3590 4342 2364 5473 4161 297 1365 274
Velayuthan v. Laksmana . Veliet Doss v. Bunarussee Roy . Vellai Mira Ravuttan v. Mira Moidin Ravuttan .	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 506 W. R., 1864, 262.	1693 2573
Vellanki Venkata Krishna Rao v. Venkata Rama Lakshmi.	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 174: L. R., 4 I. A., 1: 26 W. R., 21.	2122
Vellaya v. Jaganatha Vellayan Chetty v. Aiyan alias Thundavamurty Chetty Vellayan Chetti v. Tiruvakone Vellaya Padyachy v. Moorthy Padyachy Velliappen Chetty v. Nootoo Thevan Velli Periya Mira Ravuthan v. Moidin Padsha Ravuthan Velliyammal v. Katha Chetti	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 307 4 Mad., 374 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 76 4 Mad., 174 2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 11 I. L. R., 9 Mad.; 332 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 61	3518, 3521 5128 1677 , 3586 4809 3350 3596, 5955 2171, 2404
Vembakum Somayagee Janakee Ammal v. Mooneswamy Chetty. Vencama Shetty v. Pamoo Shetty Vencata Chella Chetty v. Parvatham Vencata Chella Kandian v. Panjanadien Vencata Chella Mudali v. Sashagherry Rau	4 Mad., 176 5 Mad., 323 8 Mad., 134 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 213 7 Mad., 283	402, 2211 5121 2318 4482 3134, 3306

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
	W. R., P. C., 79	4653
	W. R., P. C., 80 Mad., 2 98	4211 3134
	W. R, P. C., 73:8 Moore's }	1848, 3579
Vencataswara Yelliappah Naiker v. Alagoo Mootoo [8	Moore's I. A., 327: 4 W.	1848, 3579
	R., P. C., 73. W. R., 91	2722
	Mad., 291	3770
	L. R., 6 Mad., 151	2839
	Mad., 208	627
Vengideswara Putter v. Chatu Achen I.	L. R., 3 Mad., 224	1212, 2727
	Mad., 4	4757
	Bom., A. C., 114	5692
Venkapa v. Chenbasapa I.	L. R., 4 Bom., 21	3244, 3266
Venkappa Chetti v. Akku 7 I	Mad., 219	3428
Venkata v. Kannam I.	L. R., 5 Mad., 184	3946
v. Muttusami : I.	L. R., 7 Mad., 314	1542
v. Rama I.	L. R., 8 Mad. 249	5265
v. Subhadra I. I	L. R., 7 Mad., 548 .	2111, 2118
Venkata Balakrishna Chetti v. Vijiaragunadha Valaji	Mud., 32	2129 3175, 5732
Krisiila Gopalei.	Mad., 48	109
	L. R., 8 Mad., 134	3252
	L. R., 5 Mad., 208	4707
Venkatachalam v. Thimma Naikan 5 M	Mad., 64	5702
	L. R., 2 Mad., 232	6043
	Mad., 393	5697
Venkatachari v. Anantachari 1 M	Mad., 69	6177
	Mad., 418	5769
Venkatachella Maniyakarer v. Thathammal 4 M	Mad., 460	1579, 2262
Venkatachellam Chetti v. Audian I. I	L. R., 3 Mad., 358	4790
v. Kadumthusi I. I	L. R., 4 Mad., 145	3589
	Mad., 289	3991
	Mad., 166	2393
	Mad., 1	1058
Venkatagiri v. Raghava I. I	L. R., 9 Mad., 142	4816
Venkatagiri Raja v. Mahommed Rahimtulla Sahib . I. I	L. R, 3 Mad., 13 •	223
	L. R., 9 Mad., 27	3592
Venkatagopal v. Rangappa I. I	L. R., 7 Mud., 365	3594
Venkatakrishna Charya v. Coelho I. I	R., 6 Mad., 170	431
Venkata Krishnayyar v. Kaveri Shettati	J. R., 7 Mad., 201	2385
	Iad., 1	3568
Venkata Mahinati (fangadhara Kama Kan e Buchi)	L. R., 8 Mad., 545	2123
_ Disayya.	L. R., 8 Mad., 219	5 028
	., R., 7 Mad., 555	686
Venkatammal v. Andyappa Chetti 6 M	Ind., 130	2415, 2458
	J. R., 2 Mad., 108	3928
	4. R., 3 Mad., 3	4337
Venkata Narasiah v. Subbamma I. I	L. R., 4 Mad., 178	3253
Venkata Narasimha Appa Row v. Court of Wards	R., 7 I. A., 38: 6 C. L. R., 153	5505
D	R., 13 I. A., 155 : I. L. R., }	4657 .
Naravya Anna Row { L. I	R., 7 I. A., 38 : 6 C. L. R., }	5505
	53.	ยยบอ

Name of Case,		Volume and Page,	Column of Digest,
Vankata Narasimba Anna Dam a Vankatakaistais		5 Mad., 410	1005
Venkata Narasimha Appa Row v. Venkatakristnia	•		1935
Venkatanarayana v. Subbarayudu	•	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 214	3580
Venkata Narsammah v. Ramiah	•	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 108	4827
Venkatanaru v. Akkamma	•	3 Mad., 139	3243
Venkatapathi v. Subramanya	•	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 457	3261, 3329
Venkatappah v. Papammah		5 Mad., 132	1044, 4717
venkacappan v. 1 apamman	•	o mau., 152	6235, 6258
Venkata Ramalakshmi Garu v. Gopala Appa Row.	5	L. R., 13 I. A., 155 : I. L.)	4657
		R, 10 Mad., 73.	1
Venkataraman v. Mahalingayyan	•	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 508	5430, 596
Venkataramana Hodai v. Bapanna Pai		7 Mad., 103	1400, 1913
Venkatarama Naik v. Chinnathambu Reddi .	.	7 Mad., 1	4819
Venkataramanaiya v. Kuppi		3 Mad., 302	243
Venkataramanayya v. Srinivasa Rau	1	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 182	3211
Venkataramanier v. Ananda Chetty	- 1	5 Mad., 120	5196
Venkata Ramanjulu Nayudu v. Ramachandra Nayu	dn l	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 150	3590
Venkataramanna v. Chavela Atchiyamma		6 Mad., 127	1491, 4437
Tenkadaramanna o. Onaveia zveniyamma	- ;		1
Venkata Rama Rao v. Venkata Suriya Rao .	3 1	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 333: 8 C.	2494, 251
Vanlada Dama Dan u Washad Casina Dan	ſ	L. R., 304.	_
Venkata Rama Rau v. Venkata Suriya Rau	•	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 281	2510
Venkataramayyan v. Dikshatar	•	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 358	2404
Venkata Rao v. Court of Wards		I. L. R., 2 Mad., 128	5505
Venkataratnamma v. Ramanujasami		I. L. R., 2 Mad., 312	2934
Venkatarayalu v. Narasimha	.	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 174	3484
Venkatarayar v. Srinivasa Ayyangar	.	7 Mad., 32	2257
Venkata Reddi v. Parvati Ammal	.	1 Mad., 460	3975
v. Venkataramaiya		1 Mad., 418	916
Venkata Reddy v. Lister		7 Mad., 342	4574
Venkatasami v. Queen		I. L. R., 7 Mad., 102	1025
Venkatasami Naik. In the matter of the petition of	e '	4 34 - 3 101	4795, 479
		T T D 1 M-1 984	2402
v. Kuppaiyan	• 1		
Volleteren Noich a Mutharitia Remards Re	:	7 Mad., 359	3591
Venkatasamy Naick v. Muthuvijia Ragunada Ra	3D1 }	5 Mad., 227	3077
Kathama Natchiar alias Kalandapuri Natchiar.	اد	•	
Venkatasa Naidu v. Sada gopasamy lyer	•	4 Mad., 404	109
Venkatasa Naiker v. Srinivassa Chariyar	.	4 Mad., 410 {	114, 1387
	- 1		6045
Venkatasubbaramayya v. Surayya		I. L. R., 2 Mad., 283	3353
Venkata Subha Pattar v. Giri Ammal		2 Mad., 113	3156
Venkata Subba Row v. Sesha Reddy	.	4 Mad., 243	3589
Venkata Subia v. Sivaramappa	.	4 Mad., 331	1888, 5829
Vanlantaanami Namalalaan a Subba Dan	l	0 W-3 1	1741, 3427
Venkatasvami Nayakkan v. Subba Rau	•	2 Mad., 1 }	6084
37 3 4 579 37 ! 3 1 A	1	TTDOWN	2801, 3329
Venkata Viraragavayyangar $oldsymbol{v}$. Krishnasami Ayyang	gar.	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 344 . }	4889
Venkatesa v. Sengoda	:	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 117	1774
Venkatesaiya v. Venkatacharlu		3 Mad., 28	, 2126
Vankatesa Navadu a Shagatana Shri Shagatana Sw	ami	7 7.5 . 3 . 7.77	108
Venkatesa Nayadu v. Shagatopa Shri Shagatopa Sw Venkatesh Narayan Pai v. Krishnaji Arjun	a	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 160	3036, 3038
Venkateshwara v. Kesava Shetti	•	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 187	3907
venkateshwara v. Kesava Shetti		I. L. R., 3 Mad., 384: L. R.,	
Venkateswara Iyan v. Shekhari Varma	{	8 I. A., 143.	3755 , 4 656
Venkatramana v. Thir Singh		I. L. R., 7 Mad., 280	104
Venkatramanna v. Brammanna Sastrulu		4 Mad., 345	2150
Venkatramaya v. Viraya	ŀ	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 4	5667
Venkatrav Bapu v. Bijesing Vithalsing	•	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 108	3206, 3476
		I. L. R., 9 Mad., 450	
Venkatrayudu v. Nagadu	•	A. Ad. Ali, U ALQUI, WUU	3153
	- 1		i

	1	
Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Venkatrayudu v. Papi Venkat Shriniyas v. Armstrong	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 182 3 Bom., A. C., 47	4810 2783
Venkayya v. Subbarayudu	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 283	3580
Venkayyar v. Venkatasubbayyar	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 53	4785
Venkittarama Pattar v. Keshava Menon	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 349	1080
Venkopadhyaya v. Kavari Hengusu	2 Mad., 36	2414, 3233
Venu v. Coorya Narayan	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 376	3768
Verasami Nayadu v. Subba Rau	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 54	112
Verasut Hossein v. Jughdharee Sing	13 W. R., 59	4933
Vericherla Surya Narayana Raju v. Satracherla Jagananada Raju.	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 253	4578
Vernede v. Abdul Giri Chinna Swami	2 Mad., 427	102
Verner v. Abdool Ali	23 W. R., 73, 239	2947, 2955
Vicar-General of the Portuguese Mission in Bengal .	17 W. R., 188	1197
Vice-President of the Municipal Commission, Cuddalore, v. Nelson.	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 129	3600
Vidyaram v. Chandra Shekharram	I. L. R., 4 Born., 163	743, 1864
Vijaya v. Sripathi . Vijaya Raganadha Bodha Gooroo Sawmy Periya)	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 94	2414
Oodya Taver v. Katama Natchiar (Rajah of Shivagunga).	11 Moore's I. A., 50	4962, 4988
Vijaya Raganadha Bodha Gooroo-Swamy Perria Woodia (Taver v. Anga Mootoo Natchiar.	6 W. R., P. C., 50: 3 Moore's I. A., 278.	3583
Vijaya Ragava v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 466	3599
Vijayasami v. Periasami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 242	3418
	1.	2118, 2439
Vijiarangam v. Lakshuman	8 Bom., O. C., 244 .	2491
Vijkor v. Jijibhai Vaji	9 Rom., 310	3860 6026
Villayet Ali Khan v. Ameenooddeen Ahmed	23 W. R., 19	4615
Vinayak Anandrav v. Lakshmibai	1 Bom., 117	2310
Vinayak Divakar v. Bai Itcha	3 Bom., A. C., 36 .	2783
		3133, 3306
Vinayak Govind v. Babaji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 230	3307
Vinayak Joshi v. Janardan Joshi	7 Bom., A. C., 23	• 6225
Vinayak K. Dhavle v. Bhau B. Samvat	4 Bom., A. C., 39	4338
Vinayak Narayan Jog v. Govindrav Chintaman Jog	6 Bom., A. C., 224	2531, 2536
Vinayak Raghunath v. Great Indian Peninsula Railway	7 Bom., O. C., 113 .	1098, 1382
Co.	(5253
Vinayakrav Ganpatrav v. Ransordas Pranjivandas	7 Bom., A. C., 90	1033
Vinayak Sadashiv Voze v. Raghi Vinayak Vasudev v. Ritchie, Steuart, & Co	4 Bom., A. C., 202	1075, 2711
	4 Bom., O. C., 139	1815, 5641
Virabhadra Pillai v. Hari Rama Pillai	3 Mad., 38	2943, 6135 . 3492
Virabuddra Gaud. Ex parte	1 Mad., 199	1853
Vitabulula Gadu. 12x parte	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 69: 25 W.)	1099
Virada Pratapa Raghunada Deo v. Brozo Kishoro Patta Deo.	R., 291: L. R., 3 I. A., 154.	2121
Viramma v. Narayya	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 283	3743
Virammal v. Kasturi Rungayyangar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 381	4790
Virankutti v. Chiyamu	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 557	· 1298
Viranna v. Nagayyah	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 6	3768
Vira Pillay v. Muruga Muttayan	2 Mad., 340	3157
Virappa Nayak v. Kathana Talavachi	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 428	5302
Viraragava v. Ramalinga	I L. R., 9 Mad., 148	2127
v. Ramudu	T T. D O Mod 170	422
Viraragava Ayyangar v. Varada Ayyangar	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 123	5424
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Viraragavamma v. Samudrala Viraraghava v. Subbakka Viraraghava Ayyangar v. Venkata Charyar Viraramuthi Udayan v. Singaravelu Vira Rayen v. Valia Rani (Rani of Calicut) Virasami v. Athi Virasami Mudali v. Queen v. Ramasami Mudali Virasami Nayudu v. Arunachella Chetti Virasamy Mudali v. Manommany Ammal Virasangappa v. Rudrappa Virasvami Chetti v. Appasvami Chetti Virasvami Gramini v. Ayyasvami Gramini Virasvami Gramini v. Ayyasvami Gramini Virasvami Nayak v. Sayambabay Sahiba Virdachala Nattan v. Ramasavami Nayakan Viresa v. Tatayya Virgas. In the goods of Virjivandas Madhabdas v. Mahomed Ali Khan Virsingappa bin Baslingappa v. Sadashivappa Golkhandi Visalatchmi Ammal v. Annasamy Sastry v. Sivasankara Taker Visalatchmi Ammal v. Subbu Pillai Vishnubhat v. Babaji Vishnu Bhau Joshi v. Ravji Bhau Joshi Vishnu Dikshit v. Narsinghrav Vishnu Chandra Vishnu Shambhog v. Manjamma Vishnu Trimbak v. Tatia alias Vasudev Pant Vishvanath v. Mahadaji Vishvanath Atmanaram v. Bapu Narayan	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 208 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 397 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 217 I. L. R., 1 Mad., 306 I. L. R., 3 Mad., 141 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 595 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 144 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 87 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 200 4 Mad., 32 I. L., R., 8 Mad., 440 I Mad., 375 1 Mad., 471 2 Mad., 6 I Mad., 341 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 467 I Bom., 103 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 467 I Bom., 103 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 208 7 Bom., A. C., 74 5 Mad., 150 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 292 6 Mad., 270 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 18 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 18 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 253 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 584 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 58 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 108 1 Bom., 262 I. Bom., 262 I. Bom., 262 I. Bom., 262 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 147 I Bom., 262 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 147 I Bom., 262	2406 822 814 2417 3753 3478 4354 5802 4274 3175, 3480 3510, 5732 2441 2434, 2212 2440, 5206 2393, 4858 3288 2608, 5798 1995 3085 1569, 4265 5433, 5453 2421, 2430 794 2263 3057 179 1036, 4597 5892 5857 1460, 1903 2427, 2527 5110 2595 1815, 5796 182
Vishvanath Hari. In the matter of the petition of . Vishvanathrav Kachesvar v. Narayan bin Gopal Khape Vissappa v. Ramajogi	7 Bom., A. C., 71	3285 2594, 2859
Visvanath Gungadhur v. Krishnaji Gunesh Visvanath Maheshvar v. Virchand Panachand	3 Bom., A. C., 69	5591, 5876 2299 5418
Viswambhara Rajendra Devu Garu v. Saradhi Charana Samantaraya Garu. Vithal alias Gopal Gonesh Bivalkar. Ex parte Vithal Ambaram v. Dayabhai Murlidhar Vithaldas Manickdas v. Jeshubai Vithaldas Narotamdas v. Karsandas Keshavdas Vithal Janardan v. Vithojirav Putlajirav Vithal Krishna v. Balkrishna Janardan Vithal Krishna Joshi v. Anant Ramchandra Vithal Mahadev v. Dand valad Mahomed Husen Vithal Nilkanth Pinjale v. Vishvasrav bin Bapujirav	3 Mad., 111 4 Bom., A. C., 148 10 Bom., 54 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 219 5 Bom., O. C., 76 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 586 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 610 11 Bom., 6 6 Bom., A. C., 90 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 497	1361, 3275 6083 , 5662 2308 4259 3459 5970, 6078 5264 1846, 3638 4027 3966
Vithal Ramchandra v Gangaram Vithoji Vithalrav Eshwantrav. Ex parte	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 180 4 Bom., A. C., 65	4051 4387

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Vithal Vishvanath Prabhu 'v. Ram Chandra Sadashiv Kirkire. Vithoba Bava v. Hariba Baya Vithoba bin Chabu v. Gangaram bin Biramji Vithoba bin Keshavshet v. Shabajirav Vithoba Malhari v. Corfield Vithoba valad Ukba v. Chotalal Tukaram Vithu v. Narayan Dabhalkar Vithu bin Manku v. Amrita bin Joti Vitla Butten v. Yamenamma Vizagapatam Municipality v. Walker Viziaramarazu v. Secretary of State for India Viziaramarazu Virabahu Narendra Rau v. Secretary of State for India Vonlintzgy v. Narayan Sing Vrandavandas Ramdas v. Yamunabai Vrijavalabh Das Khushal Das v. Collector of Ahmedabad. Vurella Gangaia. Ex parte Vurmah Valia v. Ravi Vurmah Vyakunta Bapuji v. Government of Bombay Vyankatrav Anandrav Nimbalkar v. Jayavantrav bin Malharrav Ranadive. Vyankatraya v. Shivrambhat Vyasrav Balaji v. Subhaji Narayan Vydianadayyan v. Sitaramayyan Vydianadayyan v. Sitaramayyan Vydianathyyan v. Minakshi Ammal Vydinatha v. Subramanya Vyricherla Raz Bahadoor v. Nadminti Bagavat Sastri Vythelinga Mudelly v. Cundasawmy Mudelly Vythilinga Mudali v. Ravana Sundarappayyar Vythilinga Mudali v. Ravana Sundarappayyar Vythilinga Mudali v. Thetchanamurti Pillai	7 Bom., A. C., 149 6 Bom., A. C., 54 12 Bom., 180 5 Bom., A. C., 122 3 Bom., A. C., 116 5 Bom., A. C., 72 8 Mad., 6 1. L. R., 5 Mad., 269 1. L. R., 8 Mad., 525: L. R., 12 I. A., 120 1. L. R., 5 Mad., 91 6 l'. L. R., Ap., 64 12 Bom., 190 2 Mad., 305 1. L. R., 1 Mad., 235: L. R., 3 4 I. A., 76. 12 Bom., Ap., 1 4 Bom., A. C., 191 1. L. R., 7 Bom., 256 5 Bom., A. C., 173 1. L. R., 5 Mad., 52 1. L. R., 5 Mad., 52 1. L. R., 5 Mad., 52 1. L. R., 5 Mad., 5 1. L. R., 5 Mad., 5 1. L. R., 6 Mad., 235 25 W. R., 3 21 W. R., 199 8 Mad., 21 1. L. R., 6 Mad., 43 1. L. R., 6 Mad., 43 1. L. R., 3 Mad., 76	3117, 3165 2453 3442 5674 712, 2784 2214 312, 4881 2849 2394, 2532 3602 3171 3166 4071 2265, 2266 613 1911 3754 2858, 2958 2963, 3796 2130, 2138 3078 5090 4275 2520 6084 3578 4422 2768, 4521 4527 2728 1694, 2127 3346
Wafeah v. Saheeba	8 W. R., 307 1. L. R., 10 Bom., 167 1. L. R., 3 Bom., 58 2 B. L. R., F. B., 73: 11 W. R., F. B., 1 12 B. L. R., 500: 20 W. R., 31 12 R. L. R., 487, note: 17 W. R., 417. 25 W. R., 205 2 N. W., 16 1. L. R., 529. 1. L. R., 529. 1. L. R., 5 Calc., 128 1. L. R., 5 Calc., 39	3332, 5041 4525 1039 827 1924, 3523 5411 644, 2594 4468 3670 1916 1691

Name of Caşe.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Wahidunnissa v. Shubrattun .	6 B. L. R., 54: 14 W. R., §	3658
Waigankar v. Wadekar Waj Bibee v. Azmut Ali Wajed Ali v. Chundrabutty Kooeree Wajed Hossein v. Abdool Kadir	5 Bom., A. C., 194 8 W. R., 23	5219, 5793 3645 2983 4958
v. Ahmed Rezah	17 W. R., 480	898, 444 5 5400, 6150
Wajeed Ali v. Abdool Ali	W. R., 1864, 121 .	3678, 3683 3686
Wajibun v. Kadir Buksh	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 292 .	3205
Wajid Ali Khan v. Lala Hanuman Prasad .	4 B. L. R., A. C., 139: 12 W. R., 484.	308, 3726 60 76
Wajid Ali Shah v. Dianat-ullah Beg Wajid Hossein v. Nankoo Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 31 25 W. R., 311	1443, 5225 2165
Wajoodeen Hossein v. Madhoo Chowdry Wala Hiraji v. Hira Patel	17 W. R., 404	3061 3 90
Walee Mahomed v. Kumur Ali	7 W. R., 428	1828
Wali Alam v. Nasran	3 B. L. R., Ap., 104: 12 W. R., 50.	180, 6089
Wali Muhammad v. Turab Ali Waliulla v. Ghulam Ali	I. L. R., 4 All., 497 I. L. R., 1 All., 535	1900 323, 381
v. Miran Saheb	2 Bom., 301, 2nd Ed., 285 .	3637
Walker v. Atnaram Mundur	14 W. R., 478	4191 2104
wallace. In re	I. L. R., 2 Bom, 453	2679
Wallah Allee v. Golam Gous	10 B. L. R., Ap., 32: 19 W., R., 215.	4172
Waller. In re	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 430 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 37	5715 5714
Waman Ramchandra v. Dhondiba Krishnaji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 126	1575, 4778 4783, 4803
Wannathan Kandile Chiruthal v. Keyakadath Pydei Kurup.	6 Mad., 194	3755
Ward v. Queen	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 33 9 B. L. R., Ap., 39	290 5 1 55 0
Waris Ali v. Muhammad Ismail	I. L. R., 8 All., 552	2876
Waris Meah v. Queen-Empress Warrish Ally v. Lalla Ram Sahaye	I. L., R., 10 Calc., 584 1 Hay, 197	2007 4896
Waselun Hak v. Gowhurunnissa Bibi .	1 B. L. R., S. N., 7: 10 W. R., 105.	679
Watkins v. Dhunnoo Baboo	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 140: 8 C. L. R., 433.	3858
v. Roheenee Bullub Watson v. Aga Mehedee Sherazee	10 B. L. R., Ap., 11	2651 91 7, 3318
v. Collector of Rajshahye	3 B. L. R., P. C., 48: 12 W.) R., P. C., 43: 13 Moore's 1. A., 160.	519, 6223
v. Dost Mahomed Khan v. Gobind Chunder Mozoomdar	2 Hay, 4 W. R., 1864, Act X, 46	3061 492 7
v. Golab Khan	1 B. L. R., S. N., 21: 10 W. R., Cr., 28.	1257
v. Gonesh Chunder Sahoo .	3 C. L. R., 240	528, 5307
v. Government	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 182: 3 } W. R., 73.	3394, 6187
v. Hedger v. Jonmenjoy Coondoo	W. R., 1864, Act X, 25 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 934	2792 4514

Name of Gree		Volume and Dane	Column
Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	of Digest.
Watson v. Pokhur Doss Paul		4 W. R., 2	1676
- v. Pyari Lal Shaha		7 B. L. R., 175	3839
v. Rutnokant Roy		W. R., 1864, Act X, 19	546
v. Sreemunt Lal Khan		5 Moore's I. A., 447	4637, 5350
Watson & Co. v. Anjunna Dossee	•	10 W. R., 107	1604
Bhoonya Koonwar Narain Singh		W. R., 1864, Act X, 105	3800
v. Blechynden		1 W. R., 368	5215
v. Brojo Soonduree Dabee v. Brojosoonduree Debia		10 W. R., 395	5627
v. Broiosoonduree Debia		16 W. R., 225	5611
v. Bykantnath Dass		17 W. R., 376	5627
v. Bykantnath Dass		14 W. R., Cr., 80	1255
v. Choto Joora Mundul		Marsh, 68: 1 Hay, 232 .	1605
v. Dhonendra Chunder Mookerjee		I. L. R., 3 Calc., 6	552
v. Doorgamonee Dossee		21 W. R., 410	5636
v. Dwarkanath Sircar		Marsh., 415: 2 Hay, 533 .	5268
u Hungohind Sookul	•	22 W. R., 35	1214
Hanne Noth Sincon	•	7 W. R., 388	1057
v. Jhukroo Singh		7 W. R., 388 1 W. R., 195	1599
a Joggesher Attah		Marsh., 330: 2 Hay, 436 .	1599
v. Kunhve Bahadoor		9 W. R., 294	771,4913
a Mohandronuth Paul		23 W. R., 436	581, 5634
w. Mohesh Narain Rov		24 W. R., 176	3066
v. Neel Kant Sircar		10 W. R., 330	1621
Nidhoo Digwar		10 W. R., 87	5773
v. Nistarini Gupta		I. L. R., 10 Calc., 544	7
• Nohin Mohun Bahu		10 W. R., 72	144
v. Nund Lal Sircar		21 W. R., 420	1617
v. Ram Dhun Ghose		17 W. R., 496	580, 1631
v. Sham Lall Pandah		10 W. R., 73	1604, 1782
	-	1	905, 1568
v. Shurnomoyee	• •	9 W. R., 259 {	4288
v. Shurut Soonduree Debia .		7 W. R., 395	3117, 5191
v. Sreedhur Mundle		10 W. R., 421	4126
v. Sreedhur Mundle v. Surnomoyee		8 W. R., Cr., 63	4493
v. Tarinee Churn Gangooly		24 W. R., 414	1544
v. Tarinee Churn Gangooly		17 W. R., 494	2995
Wazeer Ali v. Dunne		1 Agra, Rev., 15	1587
v. Kaim Ali		5 N. W., 196	3688
	(I. L. R., 7 Calc., 727: 9 C.)	
Wazeer Mahton v. Chuni Singh	· {	L. R., 377.	4967
mr. to Malaton of Tolk Simula	Č	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 166: 8 C. 7	174
Wazir Mahton v. Lulit Singh	į	L. R., 505.	174
	• •	I. L R., 9 Calc., 160	3483
Wazir Muhammad Khan v. Gauri Dat		I. L. R., 4 All., 412	2920
	(3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 65: 12 W.	F (CO)
Wazir Singh. In the case of		R., Cr., 46.	5603
Weatherall v. Dillon		6 N. W., 200	3772
Webb v. Rinchiden		14 W. R., 214	3910, 5689
			1575, 5817
Webbe v. Lester	•	2 Bom., 55: 2nd Ed., 52	5986
Webor Ali v. Gaddai Behari	.	2 C. L. R., 165	3293
Webster v. Keena		9 W. R., Cr., 70	4112
Welsh. In re	.	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 280	3563
Wemyss. In the goods of		I. L. R., 4 Calc., 721	6200
Wharton v. Moona Lall		1 Agra, 96	1356
Whateley v. Palanji Pestanji		3 Bom., O. C., 137	2622
White. In the goods of	.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 582	4666
	1		

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
White v. Bishto Chunder Bose	2 Hay, 567	2379
v. Tripora Sunkur Mookerjee	W D 1964 907	5744
Whateness are the Co. of the state to the Co.	I. L. R., 3 All., 469	1058
Wilaiti Begam v. Nur Khan	I. L. R., 5 All., 514	5032
Wilaiti Begam v. Nur Khan Wilayat Husain v. Allah Rakhi Wilayatunnissa v. Najibunnissa	T T D 9 A11 001	3651
Wilayatunnissa v. Najibunnissa	T T D 1 All' 500	166
Wilkinson v. Abbas Sirkar	2 R T. R O C 06	460, 1576
0. 11 . 0.1	QDTD 10G	4248, 4716
		241, 741
Williams v. Brown	1	5950
v. Great Eastern Hotel Co	Cor., 76: 2 Hyde, 166	3791
v. Williams	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 688	1549
	11 B. L. R., 415: 20 W. R., \	5791
Williamson v. Arab Ismail Khan	{ 11 B. L. R., 415 : 20 W. R., } 349.	5721
Willis v. Willis . ,	AD T D A A FO	1550
Willson. In the goods of	T T D 1.41 140	5904
v. Government	12 W. R., 122	403
Wanhya Sahoo	11 W. R., 143	1366, 137
v. President, Municipal Commission, Madras	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 429	3574
Wingrove v. Wingrove	14 W. R., 416	1545
Winter v. Gartner	1 B. L. R., O. C., 79	2630
v. Round	1 Mad., 202	2820, 4681
	_	656, 2813
v. Way	1 Mad., 200	4509.
Winterscale v. Gopal Chandra Seal	3 B. L. R., O. C., 90	4771
T. Alamara a Landa a Alamara a Landa a	10 D T D An 90	5933
wise. In the matter of the petition of	2 W. R., 127	38
	1 7 W D 196	1922
v. Ameeroonnissa Khatoon	3 W. R., 219	40, 1759
v. Ameerunissa Khatoon	2 W. R., 34	38
	0 107 10 199	43
v. Ameerunnissa Knatoon	L. R., 7 I. A., 73	42, 3236
Danasa Chaha	16 W. R., 51	3806
	. OTT D D 41 F 10 W /	3434, 4128
— v. Bhoobun Moyee Debia	I. A., 165.	5630, 6000
D 1 C D	10 W D 01	4458
•	4 W. R., Rec. Ref., 1	4603
Callandan of Dankananan	T D 7-T A 72	42, 3236
0 1 17 ' 01 ' 1	13 W. R., 271	4035
v. Gureeb Hossein Chowdry v. Huro Lall Giree Gossain	16 W. R , 150	5125
II Ob Jan Chaba	G W D Act Y OO	540
Talan Olam In Daniela	14 W. R., 380	4907
— v. Jagabandhu Bose	Moore's I. A., 477.	510
v. Jugbundhoo Bose	7 Moore's I. A., 431	5536
	12 W. R., 229	33, 2749
v. Juggobundoo Bose	4 W. R., Mis., 10	3541
v. Jugobundhoo Baboo	4 Moore's I. A., 201	510, 4637
v. Kishen Koomar Bose		3804
v. Lakhoo Khan	16 W. R., 50	1503
v. Radhagobind Shaha	11 W. R., 200	3822
v. Rajender Coomar Roy	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 5.1: 6 W.	
v. Rajkrishna Roy	R., Mis., 84.	283
•	1 B. L. R., F. B., 258:10 W.	
v. Rajnarain Chuckerbutty		3540
	R., 30. 7 W. R., 415	3799
v. Ram Chunder Bysack	1	
v. Romanath Sen Luskhur	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 5	3117

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Wise v. Rubaa Khatoon	19 W. R., 299	136, 5762 1723, 3703
Wiseman v. Gopaul Doss Sen	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 277 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 269	4618 167, 4266
Wodoy Tara Chowdhrain v. Abdool Jubbur Chowdhry }	24 W. R., 339 {	3473, 3491 3515
Wolee Alum v. Misrun Wolfut v Nusrutoollah	12 W. R., 50	180, 6089 5123
Womda Khanum v. Rajroop Koer	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 335: 1 C. } L. R., 295.	3778
Womes Chunder Chatterjee v. Chundee Churn Roy Chowdhry.	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 293	4 901
Womesh Chunder Chatterjee v. Collector of 24-Per- gunnahs.	8 W. R., 439	5 363
Womesh Chunder Ghose v. Shama Sundari Bai .	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 98: 8 C. L.	1777
Womesh Chunder Roy v. Deendyal Poramanick	R., 489. 3 2 Hay, 12	288
Wood. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 891:11 C.	651
Corporation of Town of Calcutta	L. R., 357 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 322: 9 C. L. R., 193.	651, 2767
	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 891: 11 C.)	651
v. Wood	L R., 357.	1555
	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 485: 1 C.	1549
Woodhouse v. Calcutta and South Eastern Railway Co.	L. R., 562. 9 W. R., 73	4070
Woodit Singh v. Buldeo Singh	21 W. R., 12	4859
Woodoy-aditto Deb v. Makoond Narain Aditto Deb	22 W. R., 225	2061, 5102
Woodoy Chand Halder v. Gooroo Churn Mojoomdar Woodoy Chand Jana v. Nitye Mundul	13 W. R., 148 9 W. R., 111	5655 4770, 4807
Woodoy Chand Mookhopadhya. In re	18 W. R., Cr., 31	4920
Woodoy Chunder Dhur v. Kaleetara Dassia	11 W. R., 393	1180
Woodoy Chunder Mundul v. Ahmedoollah	12 W. R., 467	1410
Woodoy Narain v. Durriaho Roy	W. R., 1864, 187	6108
Woodoy Narain Sein v. Tarinee Churn Roy	11 W. R., 496	1611
Woodoy Tara Chowdhrain v. Abdool Gunny	12 W. R., 16 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 90	4199 5216
Woodrow v. Schiller Woodwant Mahtoon v. Hunooman Pershad Singh	22 W. R., 419	6187
Woolfut Hossein v. Jumoona Doss	W. R., 1864, Act X, 60	2930
Wooma Churn Banerjee v. Haradhun Mojoomdar .	1 W. R., 347	3364, 4155
Wooma Churn Chatterjee v. Kadambini Dabee	3 C. L. R. 146	573, 3014
_	,	5266 2084
Wooma Churn Chowdhry v. Beharee Lall Mookerjee	21 W. R., 274	3984 1895
v. Kumolay Kaminee Dabee . v. Kurralee Churn Chowdhry .	W. R., 1864, 163	898
Wooma Churn Dhole v. Teil	14 B. L. R., Ap., 13	395
Wooma Churn Dutt v. Grish Chunder Bose	17 W. R., 32 · · ·	325, 1631
Wooma Churn Mitter v. Bejoy Kishore Roy.	W. R., 1864, 130	. 3181
Wooma Churn Mookerjee v. Hurry Churn Bose	11 W. R., 60 · · ·	4757
v. Luckhee Narain Roy	1 W. R., Mis., 10	1918
Chowdbry. Wooma Churn Mozoomdar v. Chunder Kant Roy?		
Chowdhry.	16 W. R., 255	494
Wooma Churn Roy Mozoomdar. In re	13 B. L. R., 215, note . •	494

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Wooma Churn Sett v. Huree Pershad Misser	10 W. R., 101	528, 562
Wooma Daee v . Gocoolanund Dass $\{$	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 587: 2 C.	2134, 2148
		2304
Wooma Kant Bukshee v. Gunga Narain Chowdhry Wooma Kant Siran v. Goral Sirah	20 W. R., 385 2 W. R., Act X, 19	1852
Woomakant Sircar v. Gopal Singh Woomamoyee Burmonya v. Bokoo Behara	12 W D 322	5183 5178
v. Hills	11 W. R , 377	5361
v. Kunuck Chunder Mookerjee	17 W. R., 418	5752
v. Ram Bukhsh Chetlangee .	16 W. R., 11	829, 5419
Woomanath Roy Chowdhry v. Ashumburee Biswas .	12 W. R., 476	1647
v. Debuath Roy Chowdry .	14 W. R., 471	1621, 5109
v. Roghoonath Mitter .	5 W. R., Act X, 63 . {	5175, 5318
v. Sreenath Singh	15 W D 960	5320 30,6221
Woomanath Tewaree v. Koondun Tewaree	19 W. R., 177	5178
Wooma Nundo Roy v. Browne	6 W. R., Ci7. Ref., 30	2999
Woomapersad Shaw v. Shumsher Sirdar	4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 10	5695
Woomapershad Roy v. Grish Chunder Prochundo .	l. L. R., 10 Calc., 639	2337
Wooma Soonduree Burmonia v. Gooroo Pershad Roy .	15 W. R., 74	2702
Wooma Soonduree Dossee v. Biressur Roy	8 W. R., 289	3210
v. Dwarkanath Roy	11 W. R., 72 21 W. R., 366	3164, 3368 3074
Wooma Soonduree Dossia v. Beerbul Mundul	11 W. R., 563	5315
Wooma Soonduree Thakooranee v. Kishoree Mohun Banerjee.	8 W. R., 238	4210
Wooma Sunkory v. Ally Ashruff	W. R., 1864, Act X, 96	538
Wooma Tara v. Bhurosa Ram Dass	24 W. R., 409	4269
Woomatara Dabee v. Unnopoorna Dossee	10 W. R., 426	5005
Woomatara Debea. In re	6 W. R., Mis., 120	5116
Woomatara Debia v. Unnopoorna Dassee	11 B. L. R., 158: 18 W. R.,	4659, 5005
Wooma Tara Goopta v. Kalee Tara Goopta	25 W. R., 93	667
Woomatool Fatima Begum v. Meerunmunnissa Khanum	9 W. R., 318	3332, 3658
Woomesh Chunder Bose v. Matunginee Debia	2 W. R., 170	877
v. Muddun Mohun Sircar v. Soorjee Kanto Roy Chow-	2 W. R., 44	5663
dhry.	L. R., 49.	548
Woomesh Chunder Chatterjee v. Isharutoollah v. Kumuroodeen Luskur .	8 W. R., 439 7 W. R., 20	5363 564
Woomesh Chunder Goopto v. Rajnarain Roy	10 W. R., 15	3392, 3813
Woomesh Chunder Mojoomdar v. Dwarkanath Roy .	4 W. R., 80	4314
Woomesh Chunder Mookerjee v. Bama Dossee	7 W. R., 15	1753
Woomesh Chunder Mookerjee v. Sageman	12 W. K., O. C., 2	1814, 3202
Woomesh Chunder Roy v. Bidhoo Mookhee Dossee .	11 W. R., 197	5935
v. Jonardun Hajra v. Markund Mookerjee v. Markund Mookerjee	15 W. R., 235	295
v. Markund Mookerjee	12 W. R., 34 10 W. R., 457	3815 5050
Woomesh Chunder Sootee v. Ram Chunder Mowree .	4 W. R., Act X, 40	5072
Woon Chit Poe v. Wee Chang	15 W. R., 185.	5976
Woopendro Mohun Tagore v. Takalia Beparee	11 W. R., 570	3531
	12 W. R., 263	2317, 3000
v. Thanda Dossia	1 ' (3011
Woopendro Nath Sircar v. Brojendronath Mundul	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 346: 9 C. L. R., 263.	5474
Woosum Ali v. Jan Ali	11 W. Ŕ., 394	1428
Woozeer Ali v. Doorga Churn Roy	5 W. R., Act X, 79	554
Woozeerun v. Noorul Jan	9 W. R., 98	4472

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Woozeerunnissa Bibee v. Mahomed Hossein Woseeun v. Byjnath Singh Wozeer Begum v. Fuzloonissa Wright v. Seetaram Wuheedun v. Wusee Hossein Wuzeer Ali v. Kalee Coomar Chuckerbutty Wuzeer Jemadar v. Noor Ali Wuzeer Mullick Sircar v. Nitumbinee Debee Wuzeerooddeen v. Sheobund Lall Wuzeerun Beebee v. Warris Ali Wuzuroonnessa v. Saeedun Wyman v. Banks Wyndham. In the matter of Wynne. In the goods of	15 B. L. R., 166, note 25 W. R., 171 W. R., 1864, 373 2 Agra, 105 15 W. R., 403 11 W. R., 228 12 W. R., 33 18 W. R., 484 11 W. R., 285 1 W. R., 51 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 613: 6 W. R., 240. 10 B. L. R., 71: 18 W. R., 516 6 B. L. R., 576 13 B. L. R., 392	2837, 5650 3941 5356 809 3632, 3636 1770, 4895 326, 3248 5671 1423, 5752 3117 3820, 3969 3103 4764 6198
Yachereddy Chinna Bassavapa v. Yachereddi Gowdapa Yacoob. In the matter of the petition of v. Adamson Yacoob Ali v. Kooer Singh Yakoob Ali v. Kaernoollah v. Luchmun Doss v. Ubdoolrahman Yakoob Ali Chowdhry v. Ram Doolal Yakub v. Narasinga Yakub Sahib v. Jaffer Ali Sahib Yamunabai v. Narayan Moreshwar Pendse Yanamandram Venkaya v. Shillakuru Venkata Naraina Reddy. Yanumula Venkayamah v. Yanumula Boochia Ven- kondora. Yarakalamma v. Anakala Naramma	5 W. R., P. C., 114 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 272 2 N. W., 111 8 W. R., 329 6 N. W., 80 3 Agra, 383: Agra, F. B Ed. 1874, 172 13 C. L. R., 272 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 572 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 167 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 164 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 131 13 W. R., P. C., 21: Moore's I. A., 333 2 Mad., 276 13 W. R., C., 70	2291 4580, 5158 5341 2929, 6108 340, 3704 5243 797, 3953 3592 3592 5099, 5101 3584 2325 2780 5881
Yar Ali. In the matter of the petition of Yashavant Subaji Kulkarni v. Gopal Ladko Bhandarkar. Yekeyamian v. Agniswarian Yeknath Babaji v. Gulab Chand Kahangi Yeknath Ram Chandra v. Waman Brahmadev Yella Chetti v. Munisami Reddi Yellapa valad Bhimapa v. Mankia Yellapa bin Basappa v. Mantappa bin Basappa Yenamandra Sitaramasami v. Midatana Sanyasi Yenkoba Balshet Kasar v. Rambhaji valad Arjun Yenumula Gavuridevamma Garu v. Yenumula Ramandora Garu. Yerrabalu Viraragava Reddi v. Abdul Khadir Yesaji Apaji Patil v. Yesaji Mhaloji Yeshwant Baburav v. Govind Shankar Yesvantrav Amritrav Jamin v. Ismail Ali Khan	13 W. R., Cr., 70 2 Bom., 202: 2nd Ed., 194 4 Mad., 307 1 Bom., 85 1. L. R., 10 Bom., 241 1. L. R., 6 Mad., 101 8 Bom., A. C., 27 3 Bom., A. C., 102 1. L. R., 6 Mad., 400 9 Bom., 12 6 Mad., 93 4 Mad., 26 8 Bom., A. C., 35 1. L. R., 10 Bom., 453 2 Bom., 99: 2nd Ed., 94	2369 139, 4141 4403 3164 850 5239 6155 2171 2826 2314, 2323 881 1441 5415 202

Name of Case.	-			Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Yettapa Naikar v. Venkateswara Yettia Young v. Bank of Bengal	•			5 Mad., 405. 1 Moore's I. A., 87	2417 2655 3142, 3317
v. McCorkindale	•	•	•	19 W. R., 159	3331, 3333
v. Mangalapilly Ramaiya	•	•	•	3 Mad., 125	1062, 2814 320 0
Yusaf Ali Khan v. Chubbee Singh .	•	•	•	5 N. W., 122	1170, 4472
Yusan Khatun v. Ramnath Sen Yusoof Ali Chowdhry v. Fyzoonissa K	hatoon	Chow	- }	7 B. L. R., Ap., 26	4955 3700, 452
drain. Yusuf Ali v. Collector of Tipperah Yusuf Khan v. Sirdar Khan	:	•	•	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 138	4901 3680 3528
${f z}$					
Zadah v. Parmeshar Das	•			I. L. R., 1 All., 524	1464
Zafaryab Ali v. Bakhtawar Singh Zahid v. Peeree	•	•	•	I. L. R., 5 All., 497	5226 5220
Zahoor Hossein v. Assud Ali	·	:		2 Agra, Pt. II, 178	4929, 521
Zahrah v. Bhugwan Doss	•	•	•	16 W. R., 211	295 3716
Zahur v. Nur Ali Zahur Khan v. Bakhtawar	•	•	:	I. L. R., 7 All., 327	845, 353
Zahuran v. Tayler			{	I. L. R., 7 All., 327 2 B. L. R., A. C., 86: 10 W R., 380.	6151
Zahurruddin v. Collector of Goruckpore			{	4 B. L. R., P. C., 36: 13 W.)	2048
Zahurunnissa v. Khuda Yar Khan .			•	R., P. C., 31. I. L. R., 3 All., 528	341, 623
Zaib-oonnissa v. Adjoodhya Pershad .		•		2 N. W., 198	908
Zaibulnissa Bibi v. Kulsum Bibi .	•	•	٠	I. L. R., 1 All., 250 19 W. R., 268	3152 1405
Zaibunnissa v. Elahee Begum Zainab Begam v. Manawar Husain Khar	•	•	:	I. L. R., 8 All., 277	885
Zainul-Abdin Khan v. Ahmad Raza Kha		•	5	I. L. R., 2 All., 67: L. R.,	760
	п •	•	ţ	5 I. A., 233.	4314
Zaker Ali Chowdhry v. Jugdessuree . Zalem Misser v. Kundun Kooer	•	•	:	1 W. R., 323	6272
Zalem Roy v. Deb Shahee	:	:	•	Marsh., 167: 1 Hay, 373	3983
Zalem Tewaree v. Gobindgeer Gossain	•	•	•	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 85	2802
Zalim v. Choonee Lall	•	•	:	3 Agra, 194	5375 2975, 43
Zalim Rai v. Doorga Rai	•	•	:	7 N. W., 181	3106
Zalim Singh v. Bisheshar Kandu v. Ujagar Singh	•	•	•	I. L. R., 3 All., 367	2872
Zameer Sirdar v. Asseemooddeen Sirdar	•	•	•	23 W. R., 257	815 4005
Zamin Ali v. Hossein Ali Zamir Husain v. Daulat Ram	•	•	:	2 Agra, Pt. II, 187 I. L. R., 5 All., 110	3709
Zamir Husain v. Damat Ram Zamorin of Calicut v. Narayana	•	•	•	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 284	6086
v. Sitarama	•	•	•	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 405	3598 831
Zaukilal v. Jawahir Singh	•	•	•	I. L. R., 5 All., 94 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 54	1727
Zaynub v. Baba Cazranee Zearuddin Howaldar. In the matter of	the pe	tition o	· 1	19 W. R., Cr., 48	4739
Zebonnissa v. Brojendro Coomar Roy Ch				21 W. R., 352	1079, 10
	- ··,	,		12 W. R., 376	2718 1001
Zebunnissa Bibee. In the matter of . Zeemut Ali v. Alimoonissa	•	•	:	10 W. R., 277	470
Zeemutoonissa Khanum v. Khutoo Begu	m .		•	12 W. R., 239	676
Zeenut Bebee v. Rahatoonissa		•	•	7 W. R., 243	5301

Name of Casc.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Zeenuttunissa v. Tombs	•	W. R., 1864, 251	1376
Zemindar of Devaracota v. Vemuri Venkayya	•	I. L. R., 8 Mad, 569 I. L. R., 1 Mad., 389	907, 4231 3591
Zemindar of Hurupam v. Zemindar of Merangi	•	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 253	4578
Zemindar of Karvatinuggar v. Kanniappah .		4 Mad., 149	3588, 4053
Zemindar of Kottam v. Zemindar of Pittapur .		I. L. R., 9 Mad., 171	665
Zemindar of Kurapam v. Nademinti Bhagavat Pataizal Shastri.	i }	3 Mad., 120	3383, 4406
Zemindar of Oodiarpalliam v. Baloosamy Chetty .	{	3 W. R., P. C., 50: 7 Moore's I. A., 224.	1506
Zemindar of Palakonda v. Secretary of State for India	.`	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 91	3166
Zemindar of Pittapuram v. Proprietors of Kolanka	Ş	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 23 : L. R., 7	4989, 4985
	ť	5 I. A., 200.	5052
Zemindar of Ramuad v. Dorasami	•	I. L R., 7 Mad., 341	3376
v. Perianayagaum Pillai .		L. R., 1 I. A., 209	1758, 2219
v. Ramamany Ammal .		I. L. R., 2 Mad., 234	2242
v. Zemindar of Yettiapooram	: 1	7 Moore's I. A., 441	2058, 5302 4127, 4647
v	.	10 Moore's I. A., 47	6003
Zemindar of Saittur v. Caruppen Servai	.	4 Mad., 401	5703
Zemindar of Salur v. Pedda Pakir Raju	.	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 371	2062
Zemindar of Saptur v. Pandya Tevar	•	7 Mad., 53	2914
Zemindar of Shivagunga v. Muhammad Mira Ravutan v Subrarama Aiyan		7 Mad., 150	6042
Zemindar of Sivagiri v. Alwar Ayyangar	.	4 Mad., 302	3334
v. Queen	.	I L. R., 3 Mad., 42	198, 2234 5525
v. Tiruvengada	: 1	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 339	801
Zemindar of Venkatagiri v. Raghava	.	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 142	4816
Zerkalee Kooer v. Lalla Doorga Pershad	.	16 W. R., 149	5364
Zimutunnissa Bibee v. Muddun Mohun Pal	•	22 W. R., 537	766
Zinnut Bloee v. Janut All Zinulabdin Rowten v. Vijien Virapatren	٠	14 W. R., 172	1457
Zohorooddeen Sirdar v. Baharoolla Sircar		I. L. R., 1 Mad., 49 W. R., 1864, 185	3596 2070 2070
Zohurutoollah Chowdry v. Asalooddeen Chowdry		15 W. R., 129	3672, 36 79 4296
Zoolfee Begum v. Ram Surun Roy	.	10 W. R., 80	3342
Zoolfekar Ali v. Mahomed Tukee	.	9 W. R., 438	484
Zoolfun Bibee v. Radhica Prosonno Chunder	5	I. L. R. 3 Calc., 560: 1 C. L	
Zorawar Singh v. Jawahir Singh	5	R., 388.	5187
Zoynooddeen Hossein Khan. In the matter of the		3 Agra, 167	80
petition of.	3	11 B. L. R., 370	5864
of Revenue.	3	20 W. R., 106	5864
Zoynul Abdeen v. Phoolash Chunder Bothrah	•	15 W. R., 126	1474
Zuburdust Khan v. His wife	•	2 N. W., 370	545, 5096
v. Indurmun	{	1 Agra, F. B., 71: Ed. 1874, }	2236
Suheerooden Paikar v. Campbell		4 W R., 57	3016
Zuhoor Hossein v. Syedun	3	11 B. L. R., 367, note: 11 W.	1488
uhoorun v. Nujubooddeen		R., 142. 11 W. R., 505	3775
whoorun Begum v. Mahomed Wajed whuruddeen Hossein. In the matter of the petition		18 W. R., 87	5923
of.	1	J.	1920 , 6182
uleff Ali v. Yeshvadabai Saheb umeelooddeen Mahomed v. Russik Chand Addy	1	9 Bom., 314 W. R., F. B., 85	59

Name of Case.			Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.		
Zumeer Ali v. Doorgabun Zumeeroodunnissa Khanum v. Phillipe Zumeer Sirdar v. Asseemooddeen Sirdar Zumeerunnissa v. Gayer Zumurudoonissa v. Radha Churn Ghuttuck Zyn-ood-deen v. Wright	•	•		1 W. R., 230 1 W. R., 290 23 W. R., 257 6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 26 9 W. R., 590 3 Agra, 77		4560 525, 1390 5447 2999 1731, 5813 1374